

Decompositions and eigenvectors of Riordan matrices

Gi-Sang Cheon^{*a}, Marshall M. Cohen^b and Nikolaos Pantelidis^c

^a *Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Rep. of Korea*

^b *Department of Mathematics, Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD 21251, USA*

^c *School of Science, Waterford Institute of Technology, Ireland*

gscheon@skku.edu, marshall.cohen@morgan.edu, nikolaospantelidis@gmail.com

Abstract

Riordan matrices are infinite lower triangular matrices determined by a pair of formal power series over the real or complex field. These matrices have been mainly studied as combinatorial objects with an emphasis placed on the algebraic or combinatorial structure. The present paper contributes to the linear algebraic discussion with an analysis of Riordan matrices by means of the interaction of the properties of formal power series with the linear algebra. Specifically, it is shown that if a Riordan matrix A is an $n \times n$ pseudo-involution then the singular values of A must come in reciprocal pairs. Moreover, we give a complete analysis of existence and nonexistence of the eigenvectors of Riordan matrices. This leads to a surprising partition of the group of Riordan matrices into matrices with three different types of eigenvectors. Finally, given a nonzero vector v , we investigate the Riordan matrices A that stabilize the vector v , i.e. $Av = v$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A18, 13F25, 05A15.

Key words: Eigenvectors of Riordan matrix, formal series of infinite order, stabilizers.

1 Introduction

Triangular matrices appear often in matrix theory, applied linear algebra, combinatorics and also as representations of operators on spaces of formal power series. For instance, Pascal's triangle can be represented as an infinite lower triangular matrix $P = [p_{ij}]_{i,j \geq 0}$ by putting the triangle of binomial coefficients, i.e. $p_{ij} = \binom{i}{j}$ into a matrix. This matrix representation is influential in linear algebra [4, 12]. Using the generalized binomial theorem we see that j^{th} column of the matrix P has the formal power series as its generating function:

$$\frac{1}{1-x} \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^j = \sum_{i \geq j} \binom{i}{j} x^i, \quad j \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

This is one way to view a matrix via columns given by means of associated generating functions. In 1991, Shapiro, Getu, Woan and Woodson [22] more generally introduced a special matrix group

^{*}The author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (NRF-2019R1A2C1007518, 2016R1A5A1008055).

called the *Riordan group* where it has been proved that the Riordan group unifies many themes in enumeration. Elements of the group are infinite lower triangular matrices called *Riordan matrices* or *Riordan arrays*. These matrices are analogously defined in terms of column generating functions as those of the Pascal matrix in the expression (1).

More formally, let $\mathbb{F}$ be the field of real or complex numbers and let $\mathbb{F}[[x]]$ denote the ring of formal power series (f.p.s.) over $\mathbb{F}$. A Riordan matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is an infinite lower triangular matrix whose entries are determined by

$$a_{ij} = [x^i]g(x)F(x)^j \quad \text{or} \quad g(x)F(x)^j = \sum_{i \geq j} a_{ij}x^i \quad (2)$$

for some $g, F \in \mathbb{F}[[x]]$ such that $g(0) \neq 0, F(0) = 0, F'(0) \neq 0$, where $[x^i]$ is the coefficient extraction operator and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$. As is customary, the Riordan matrix is denoted by $A = (g(x), F(x))$ or simply $A = (g, F)$. By definition, the Pascal matrix P is a Riordan matrix given by $(\frac{1}{1-x}, \frac{x}{1-x})$.

Using the *fundamental property* of Riordan matrices [22] asserting that

$$(g(x), F(x))h(x) = g(x) \cdot (h(F(x))), \quad (3)$$

it is shown that the set of all Riordan matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}$ forms a group under the usual matrix multiplication in terms of generating functions,

$$(g, F)(h, L) = (g \cdot h(F), L(F)). \quad (4)$$

This group is called the Riordan group over the field $\mathbb{F}$, and it is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$.

Riordan matrices have been mainly studied as combinatorial objects, while discussion of the algebraic structure does appear, for example, in [3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 17, 19, 20, 23] and references there in. Recently, Cheon et al. [8] established an infinite-dimensional Fréchet Lie group and the corresponding Lie algebra on the Riordan group $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ from the inverse limit approaches of Riordan groups.

The present paper contributes to the body of work on the algebra of the Riordan group. Some of the proofs of the known results are new and simpler. Specifically, we investigate properties of Riordan matrices by means of formal power series from the viewpoint of linear algebra. More precisely, in Section 2 we give a new factorization of a Riordan matrix in terms of almost-Riordan arrays. Moreover, it is shown that if a Riordan matrix A is an $n \times n$ pseudo-involution then the singular values of A must come in reciprocal pairs. In Section 3 we describe a complete analysis of existence and nonexistence of the eigenvectors of Riordan matrices. An eigenvector of a Riordan matrix (g, F) of the form $\mathbf{h}_k = (0, \dots, 0, h_k, h_{k+1}, \dots)^T$ with $h_k \neq 0$ is called an *eigenvector of level k* . When $k = 0$, $\mathbf{h}_0$ is called a *primary eigenvector*. It is normalized if $h_k = 1$. Clearly, the corresponding eigenvalue is $\lambda_k = g_0 f_1^k$ where $g_0 = g(0)$ and $f_1 = [x]F$. A *full set of eigenvectors* is defined to be a set of eigenvectors $\{\mathbf{h}_0, \mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_k, \dots\}$, in which every possible eigenvector level is achieved. Note that a full set of eigenvectors is linearly independent, but is not a basis of the vector space of all infinite sequences in $\mathbb{F}$. As a key result, we prove that the Riordan group $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ has a partition (see Theorem 3.13):

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F}) = \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_k, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_k$ are infinite families of Riordan matrices, which matrices respectively have full sets of eigenvectors, no eigenvectors, and a one-dimensional set of eigenvectors, all of which are of level k . Finally, in Section 4 we analyze the Riordan matrices in the stabilizer Riordan subgroup for which $Av = v$ for a given nonzero vector v .

2 Decompositions of a Riordan matrix

Riordan matrices with entries of nonnegative integers form a special class of lower triangular matrices of combinatorial interest. In [20], Peart and Woodson studied a class of Riordan matrices A with triple factorization, $A = PCF$ where P is a Pascal-type matrix, the second factor C involves the generating function for the Catalan numbers, and F involves the Fibonacci generating function. This is a beautiful factorization from the combinatorial point of view. In this section, we investigate the matrix decompositions of a Riordan matrix from a linear algebra perspective.

In the sequel, we use the notations $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_1$ defined by the sets

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{F}[[x]] \mid a_0 \neq 0 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_1 = x\mathcal{F}_0.$$

As is well known, $(\mathcal{F}_0, \cdot)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_1, \circ)$ are the groups of invertible f.p.s. with respect to multiplication $\cdot$ and composition $\circ$, respectively.

Decomposition into almost-Riordan matrices Recently, Barry [2] introduced the notion of an *almost-Riordan array*. It is an infinite lower-triangular matrix denoted by an ordered triple (a, g, F) of power series $a, g \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ whose first column has the generating function a , and the remaining columns starting at the (1,1) position coincide with the Riordan matrix (g, F) . For example, $(1, g, F) = [1] \oplus (g, F)$ where $\oplus$ denotes the direct sum of two matrices. We now describe a new class of matrix decompositions that differs from the classical ones e.g. $(g, F) = (g, x)(1, F)$, but are similar in spirit.

Theorem 2.1. *A Riordan matrix $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ can be factorized into almost-Riordan matrices as follows:*

$$(g, F) = (g, F/x, x)(1, g, F). \tag{6}$$

Proof. A Riordan matrix (g, F) can be written as

$$(g, F) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} g_0 & O \\ \hline g_1 & \\ g_2 & (gF/x, F) \\ \vdots & \end{array} \right) = (g, gF/x, F)$$

where $g = g_0 + g_1x + g_2x^2 + \dots$. Since $(gF/x, F) = (F/x, x)(g, F)$ it follows that

$$(g, F) = (g, F/x, x)([1] \oplus (g, F)) = (g, F/x, x)(1, g, F),$$

as required. ■

An $n \times n$ Riordan matrix of $A = (g, F)$ is the truncated Riordan matrix of order n , denoted by $(g, F)_n$, which is the leading $n \times n$ principal submatrix of $A = (g, F)$.

Corollary 2.2. *Every $n \times n$ Riordan matrix can be expressed as a product of n almost-Riordan matrices.*

Proof. Let $A_n = (g, F)_n$. Then it follows from (6) that

$$A_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (I_k \oplus (g, F/x, x)_{n-k}),$$

as required. ■

To illustrate the above proof, we consider the case $n = 4$:

$$A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ g_1 & f_1 & 0 & 0 \\ g_2 & f_2 & f_1 & 0 \\ g_3 & f_3 & f_2 & f_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_1 & f_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & f_2 & f_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_1 & f_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Singular Value Decomposition Edelman and Strang [12] showed that the singular values of the Pascal matrix of order n must come in reciprocal pairs σ_i and $1/\sigma_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. One may ask for which Riordan matrix $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, the singular values of A must come in reciprocal pairs?

Since $(AB)(AB)^T = A(BB^T)A^T$, it follows that A and AB have the same singular values if and only if $BB^T = I_n$, i.e. B is an orthogonal matrix. If B is a Riordan matrix with $B \neq \pm I_n = \pm(1, x)$, it can be easily shown that B is orthogonal if and only if B is $(1, -x)_n$ or $(-1, -x)_n$. A Riordan matrix A is called a *pseudo-involution* if $(AM)^2 = I$, i.e. AM is involution where

$$M = \pm(1, -x) = \pm \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots).$$

For more information about pseudo-involutions, see [6, 15, 16].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $A = (g, F)_n$ be an $n \times n$ pseudo-involution. Then the singular values of A must come in reciprocal pairs σ_i and $1/\sigma_i$, i.e. $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq 1/\sigma_2 \geq 1/\sigma_1$, where $\sigma_n = 1/\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_{n-1} = 1/\sigma_2$.*

Proof. Since $(AM)^2 = I_n$ and $M^2 = I_n$ we have $A^{-1} = MAM^{-1}$ and $(A^T)^{-1} = MA^TM^{-1}$. Let $S = AA^T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1} &= (A^T)^{-1}A^{-1} = (MA^TM^{-1})(MAM^{-1}) = (MA^T)AM^{-1} \\ &= (MA^T)AA^T(MA^T)^{-1} = (MA^T)S(MA^T)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S is similar to S^{-1} , which implies that S and S^{-1} have the same eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ where $\lambda_n = 1/\lambda_1$, $\lambda_{n-1} = 1/\lambda_2$, and so on. Hence the singular values of A must come in reciprocal pairs σ_i and $1/\sigma_i$ where $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$. ■

Example 2.4. The Aigner's directed animal matrix [1],

$$(g, xg) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & & & & \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ 4 & 6 & 6 & 4 & 1 & & \\ 9 & 13 & 13 & 10 & 5 & 1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

is a pseudo-involution where $g = \frac{1+x-\sqrt{1-2x-3x^2}}{2x}$. Its singular values of the first 6×6 matrix are:

$$\sigma_1 \simeq 25.976 \geq \sigma_2 \simeq 2.2139 \geq \sigma_3 \simeq 1.2161 \geq \sigma_4 \simeq 0.82230 \geq \sigma_5 \simeq 0.45169 \geq \sigma_6 \simeq 0.038497,$$

which are reciprocal pairs as the relations $\sigma_6 = \frac{1}{\sigma_1}, \sigma_5 = \frac{1}{\sigma_2}, \sigma_4 = \frac{1}{\sigma_3}$ are satisfied.

Theorem 2.5. *Let A be an $n \times n$ pseudo-involution. Then A has a singular value decomposition of the form $A = U\Sigma V^T$ where U is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix diagonalizing AA^T , $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$, $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n$ for reciprocal pairs σ_i and $1/\sigma_i$, and $V = MUP$ for*

$$M = (1, -x)_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad \text{and} \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. By the singular value decomposition of A , we may assume that $A = U\Sigma V^T$. Since A is a pseudo-involution it follows from Theorem 2.3 that the singular values $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ of A must come in reciprocal pairs σ_i and $1/\sigma_i$. Clearly, U and V are $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices that diagonalize AA^T and $A^T A$, respectively. To complete the proof, we show that $V = MUP$ where $M = (1, -x)$ and P is the $n \times n$ backward identity matrix in (7). Since $(AM)^2 = I_n$ and $M^2 = I_n$ it follows from $A = U\Sigma V^T$ that

$$A = MA^{-1}M = M(V\Sigma^{-1}U^T)M = (MV)\Sigma^{-1}(MU)^T. \quad (8)$$

Using $\Sigma^{-2} = P\Sigma^2P$, we obtain from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} A^T A &= (MU)\Sigma^{-1}(MV)^T(MV)\Sigma^{-1}(MU)^T = (MU)\Sigma^{-2}(MU)^T \\ &= (MUP)\Sigma^2(MUP)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A = U\Sigma V^T$ and $U^T U = I_n$, we obtain $A^T A = V\Sigma^2 V^T$. By taking $V = MUP$ we thus complete the proof. $\blacksquare$

3 Eigenvectors of a Riordan matrix

In this section, we study existence of eigenvectors from the viewpoint of the formal power series which generate a Riordan matrix. We prove that there is a surprising partition of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ given in (5) into three types of Riordan matrices, according to the sets of eigenvectors of these matrices. We also give algorithms for the construction of each type of matrix and give combinatorial criteria for recognizing the type of a given matrix.

In the sequel, let $A = (g, F)$ be a Riordan matrix in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ where $g = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n x^n \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and $F = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n x^n \in \mathcal{F}_1$. By the fundamental property in (3), $\mathbf{h} = (h_0, h_1, \dots)^T$ is an eigenvector of A associated with eigenvalue λ if and only if

$$g(x)h(F(x)) = \lambda h(x), \quad (9)$$

where $h(x) = h_0 + h_1 x + \dots$.

Formal power series F with $F(0) = 0$ play a key role in the section. If F and H are conjugate in the group $\mathcal{F}_1$ with operation of composition, we write $F \sim H$, in which case there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $(\theta \circ F \circ \bar{\theta})(x) = H(x)$. By $F^{(n)}$, we mean the n -fold composition of F . We say that F has finite *compositional order* n , if n is the smallest positive integer such that $F^{(n)}(x) = x$, and that F has infinite compositional order if no such n exists. The finite *multiplicative order* of $a \in \mathbb{F}$ is the smallest positive integer n such that $a^n = 1$, and if no such n exists, a is said to have infinite multiplicative order.

Lemma 3.1. [9, 21] *Let $F = f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Then exactly one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) F has finite compositional order n , in which case f_1 has finite multiplicative order n and $F \sim f_1x$.
- (b) f_1 has infinite multiplicative order, in which case F has infinite compositional order and $F \sim f_1x$. Indeed there exists a unique series $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i x^i$ with $\theta_1 = 1$ such that $(\theta \circ F \circ \theta)(x) = f_1x$.
- (c) F has infinite compositional order and f_1 has finite multiplicative order n . Such series F is called a hybrid series. This occurs if and only if $F(x) \approx f_1x$. Rather, one of the following holds:
 - (i) if $f_1 = 1$ and $F = x + f_k x^k + \dots$, $f_k \neq 0$ then there exists unique $b \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $F \sim x + x^k + bx^{2k-1}$;
 - (ii) if $f_1^n = 1$ (n smallest ≥ 2) then there exist a unique integer $k \geq 2$ and unique $b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $F \sim f_1x + bx^k + cx^{2k-1}$, where $b \neq 0$ and $k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

If f_1 is a primitive n^{th} root of unity, there exist uncountably many series $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ of finite compositional order and also infinitely many hybrid series $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$. These series can be constructed by setting F as an arbitrary conjugate of the appropriate canonical form given in Lemma 3.1. Alternatively, let $F = f_1x + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_k x^k$ where f_k is arbitrarily chosen for $k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and where those $k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ do or do not (according to whether $F(x)$ is of finite order or is hybrid) satisfy certain required equations (see [5, 9]).

It is convenient in exhibiting Riordan matrices with no eigenvectors or only a one-dimensional set of eigenvectors, to have the most easily recognizable hybrid series, given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. (Hybrid series) *Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ is of the form*

$$F = f_1x + f_s x^s + f_{s+1} x^{s+1} + \dots, \quad (s > 1, f_s \neq 0),$$

where f_1 has finite multiplicative order $n \geq 1$. Then F is a hybrid series if one of the following holds:

- (a) F is a polynomial: $F = f_1x + f_s x^s + \dots + f_q x^q$, ($1 < s \leq q$, $f_s, f_q \neq 0$).
- (b) $s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Proof. We must prove that $F^{(n)}(x) \neq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In situation (a) this is true because we see inductively that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F^{(n)}(x) = f_1^n x + \dots + f_q^{q^{n-1}} x^{q^n} \neq x.$$

For (b), it is known ([9, Lemma 2.4], [5, Prop. 2.3.3]) that if $F^{(n)}(x) = x$ and $s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f_s = 0$. Therefore, under our hypothesis F cannot have finite order n . ■

We also need the following lemma called Roots Theorem in $\mathbb{F}[[x]]$.

Lemma 3.3. (Multiplicative roots of formal power series)

- (a) Niven's Theorem ([18, Thm.3]) *Suppose that $A = 1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots$. Then there exists a unique series of the form $B = 1 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots$, such that $B^n = A$. We denote $B = A^{\frac{1}{n}}$.*
- (b) Extension of Niven's Theorem ([10, 13]) *Suppose that for $k \geq 0$,*

$$C = \sum_{n \geq k} c_n x^n = c_k x^k \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{c_{k+n}}{c_k} x^n \right), \quad c_k \neq 0.$$

Then, for each $b_1 \neq 0$, there exists a unique series of the form $B = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n x^n$ such that $B^k = C$ if and only if $b_1^k = c_k$ and $B = b_1 x \hat{C}^{\frac{1}{k}}$, where $\hat{C} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{c_{k+n}}{c_k} x^n$.

3.1 Riordan matrices of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$ and diagonalization

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ be the group of all invertible lower triangular matrices over $\mathbb{F}$, which has $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ as a subgroup. Our opening examples of matrices with full sets of eigenvectors are given by the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *If $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ has distinct diagonal elements then for each integer $k \geq 0$ there exists a unique eigenvector of level k of the form $\mathbf{h}_k = (0, \dots, 1, h_{k+1}, \dots)^T$ associated with eigenvalue $\lambda = a_{kk}$.*

Proof. Fix $k \geq 0$. Let $\lambda = a_{kk}$ and $A_{(n)}$ the n^{th} row of the matrix A . We consider a variable vector $\mathbf{h} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, h_{k+1}, \dots)$ of level k . Note that the dot product $\langle A_{(n)}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = 0 = \lambda h_n$ for $n < k$, and $\langle A_{(k)}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = a_{kk} \cdot 1 = \lambda h_k$. We solve the equation $A\mathbf{h} = \lambda\mathbf{h}$ inductively for h_n with $n \geq k+1$. Thus we seek solutions to

$$0 = \langle A_{(n)}, \mathbf{h} \rangle - \lambda h_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{nj} h_j + (a_{nn} - a_{kk}) h_n.$$

By hypothesis, $a_{nn} \neq a_{kk}$. Thus we may solve uniquely for h_n , proving the theorem. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 3.5. *Let $A = (g, F)$ be a Riordan matrix in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$. If f_1 has infinite multiplicative order in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ then for every $k \geq 0$, A has a unique eigenvector of the form $\mathbf{h}_k = (0, \dots, 0, 1, h_{k+1}, \dots)^T$.*

Proof. If $i \neq j$ then $a_{ii} = g_0 f_1^i \neq g_0 f_1^j = a_{jj}$. We may apply the Proposition 3.4. $\blacksquare$

By definition, $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ is *diagonalizable* if and only if there exists a diagonal matrix $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ such that A is conjugate to D in the group $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$, i.e. there exists a diagonalizing matrix $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $X^{-1}AX = D$. The classical connection of diagonalizability to the existence of a full set of eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvectors for $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ is given by the Lemma below.

Lemma 3.6. *$A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ is diagonalizable if and only if A has a full set of eigenvectors.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ be a diagonalizing matrix such that $X^{-1}AX = D$. Clearly, the columns of X form a full set of eigenvectors for A , and the diagonal elements of D are the corresponding eigenvalues of these eigenvectors of A . Since a full set of eigenvectors of A is linearly independent it follows the converse. $\blacksquare$

To recognize whether a diagonalizing matrix of a diagonalizable Riordan matrix can in fact be taken to be a Riordan matrix, we will need the following Lemma. The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $A = (g, F)$ and $X = (h, \theta)$ be Riordan matrices. Then $X^{-1}AX = (g_0, f_1x)$ if and only if X gives a solution to the functional equations:*

$$g_0 h(x) = g(x) h(F(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad f_1 \theta(x) = \theta(F(x)). \quad (10)$$

Thus, A is diagonalizable in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ if and only if A has a primary eigenvector h and there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $(\theta \circ F \circ \bar{\theta})(x) = f_1 x$. In the light of Lemma 3.7, our key tool in forming a unified theory is the following

Lemma 3.8. (Two Vector Lemma) *If $A = (g, F)$ has two linearly independent eigenvectors then there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $(\theta \circ F \circ \bar{\theta})(x) = f_1 x$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u} = (0, \dots, 0, u_\ell, u_{\ell+1}, \dots)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (0, \dots, 0, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots)$ be linearly independent eigenvectors of A . We may assume that $u_\ell = 1$ and $v_k = 1$. If $k = \ell$ then $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ have the same eigenvalue $g_0 f_1^k$, so that $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}$ are eigenvectors of different levels. Thus we may assume that $k > \ell \geq 0$.

To find $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_0$ which conjugates F to $f_1 x$, we let $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ be the generating functions of the eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$. We have the system

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) \cdot u(F(x)) &= g_0 f_1^\ell \cdot u(x), \\ g(x) \cdot v(F(x)) &= g_0 f_1^k \cdot v(x). \end{aligned}$$

By substituting $a(x) := \frac{v(x)}{u(x)}$ we obtain $a(F) = \frac{v(F)}{u(F)} = f_1^{k-\ell} a(x)$. Using Niven's Theorem, we write

$$a(x) = x^{k-\ell} (1 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots) = \theta(x)^{k-\ell}, \quad (11)$$

where $\theta(x) = x(1 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots)^{1/(k-\ell)}$. By substituting $x = F$ in this equation we obtain

$$\theta(F)^{k-\ell} = a(F) = f_1^{k-\ell} a(x) = f_1^{k-\ell} \theta(x)^{k-\ell}.$$

By the extension of Niven's Theorem in Lemma 3.3, we have $\theta(F(x)) = f_1 \theta(x)$, which implies

$$(\theta \circ F \circ \bar{\theta})(x) = \theta(F(\bar{\theta}(x))) = f_1 \theta(\bar{\theta}(x)) = f_1 x,$$

as desired. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 3.9. *If $A = (g, F)$ has an eigenvector of level $k > 0$ and if there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$, such that $(\theta \circ F \circ \bar{\theta})(x) = f_1 x$, then A has also a primary eigenvector.*

Proof. Let $v(x) = x^k(1 + v_1 x + \dots)$ give an eigenvector of level k . Let $\theta(x) = \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2 + \dots$. Consider the eigenvector $\mathbf{h}$ given by

$$h(x) := v(x)\theta(x)^{-k} = \theta_1^{-k} + h_1 x + \dots \quad (12)$$

Since $v(x)$ generates an eigenvector of level k , it follows that $(g, F)v(x) = g(x)v(F) = g_0 f_1^k v(x)$. Also, $\theta(x)$ satisfies $\theta(F(x)) = f_1 \theta(x)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g, F)h(x) &= (g, F)v(x)\theta(x)^{-k} = g(x)v(F)\theta(F)^{-k} = g_0 f_1^k v(x)(f_1 \theta(x))^{-k} \\ &= g_0 f_1^{-k+k} v(x)\theta(x)^{-k} = g_0 h(x), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $h(x)$ generates a primary eigenvector of A . $\blacksquare$

From the results above, we can now paint the total picture with a set of equivalent criteria for recognizing when $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$. One is that (g, F) is conjugate in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ to $(g_0, f_1 x)$, which solves the diagonalizability problem for Riordan matrices.

Theorem 3.10. (Riordan matrices in $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$) *Let $A = (g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) A has a full set of eigenvectors, i.e. $A \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$.
- (b) A has two linearly independent eigenvectors.
- (c) A has an eigenvector of level $k > 0$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $(\theta \circ F \circ \bar{\theta})(x) = f_1 x$.
- (d) A has a primary eigenvector and there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $(\theta \circ F \circ \bar{\theta})(x) = f_1 x$.

(e) A is conjugate to the diagonal matrix (g_0, f_1x) by a Riordan matrix $(h, \theta) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ such that

$$(h, \theta)^{-1}(g, F)(h, \theta) = (g_0, f_1x). \quad (13)$$

(f) A has an eigenvector of level k for some $k \geq 0$ and either, F has finite compositional order in $\mathcal{F}_1$, or f_1 has infinite multiplicative order in $\mathbb{F}$.

(g) Let $\hat{g} := \frac{1}{g_0}g(x) \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Either f_1 has infinite multiplicative order in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ or $(\hat{g}, F)$ is a finite order element of the Riordan group $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ of order equal to the order of f_1 .

Proof. Clearly (a) $\implies$ (b). The implications, (b) $\implies$ (c) $\implies$ (d) $\implies$ (e) $\implies$ (a), follow in turn directly from Lemmas 3.8, 3.9, 3.7, 3.6. Moreover, (c) $\iff$ (f) follows from the classification of elements of $\mathcal{F}_1$ under conjugation; see Lemma 3.1.

Finally, we prove that (e) $\implies$ (g) and (g) $\implies$ (a):

(e) $\implies$ (g): Suppose that f_1 does not have infinite order, but in fact has finite order n . Notice that $(g, F) = g_0(\hat{g}, F)$ and $(g_0, f_1x) = g_0(1, f_1x)$. Since the matrix $(h, \theta)^{-1}$ gives a linear transformation, our assumption (e) yields

$$g_0(h, \theta)^{-1}(\hat{g}, F)(h, \theta) = (h, \theta)^{-1}(g, F)(h, \theta) = (g_0, f_1x) = g_0(1, f_1x),$$

which implies $(h, \theta)^{-1}(\hat{g}, F)(h, \theta) = (1, f_1x)$. The order of $(1, f_1x)$ in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ is finite, since it equals the order n of f_1 in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$. But conjugate elements in a group have the same order. Thus $(\hat{g}, F)$ is of finite order in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$.

(g) $\implies$ (a): If f_1 has infinite order, then $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$ by Corollary 3.5. Now let $(\hat{g}, F)$ be of finite order. Then Theorem 3 of [11] exhibits a full set of eigenvectors for $(\hat{g}, F)$. But $\mathbf{h}$ is an eigenvector of level k for $(\hat{g}, F)$ if and only if $g_0\mathbf{h}$ is an eigenvector of level k for $g_0(\hat{g}, F) = (g, F)$. Therefore (g, F) has a full set of eigenvectors. $\blacksquare$

Example 3.11. Let

$$A = \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}, -x \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ 2 & -1 & & & \text{O} \\ 2 & -2 & 1 & & \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & -1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{h}_0 = (1, 1, 0, \dots)^T$ is a primary eigenvector of A associated with $\lambda = 1$. Moreover, $\theta = x$ conjugates $-x = F$ to $-x = f_1x$. Thus it follows from the equivalence of (d) and (e) in Theorem 3.10 that, taking $(h, \theta) = (1+x, x)$ with $(h, \theta)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{1+x}, x \right)$ we may apply (e) to get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 & -1 \\ \dots & \dots & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ \dots & \dots & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

A fundamental question is that if a Riordan matrix $A \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ is diagonalizable in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$, then is it diagonalizable in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$? This will be answered affirmatively in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $A = (g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$. Then A is diagonalizable in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ if and only if A is diagonalizable in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. The sufficiency is immediate. For necessity, assume that there exists a $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $X^{-1}AX = (g_0, f_1x)$. Then the columns of X form a full set of eigenvectors for A and the diagonal elements of (g_0, f_1x) are the corresponding eigenvalues of these eigenvectors of A . Thus Theorem 3.10 implies that A is diagonalizable in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$. ■

Now, we prove the partition (5) of the Riordan group $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ that we stated in Section 1.

Theorem 3.13. *The Riordan group $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ can be partitioned into matrices with three different types of eigenvectors given by*

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F}) = \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_k \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}.$$

Proof. By definition, the sets $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}, (\mathcal{R}_k)_{k \geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$ are pairwise disjoint. It is also easily shown that these sets are nonempty. Let $A = (g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$. Then A is an element of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$ or A is not in $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$. If $A \notin \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$ then by Theorem 3.10, part (b), either no eigenvectors exist or all those which exist are multiples of a single vector. If the level of this vector is k for some integer k , then $A \in \mathcal{R}_k$. Thus $A \in \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_k \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$, so that $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F}) \subset \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_k \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$. The reverse is clear. Thus we have a partition of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$. ■

3.2 Construction of Riordan matrices in $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}, \mathcal{R}_k$, and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$

From Theorem 3.10 we get complete prescriptions for constructing elements (g, F) of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}, \mathcal{R}_k$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$. In making these constructions when f_1 is a primitive n^{th} root of unity, $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ will either be of finite compositional order or will be hybrid series according to the criteria given in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. Having chosen F , it follows from (9) that g will be determined by any eigenvector $h(x)$.

Theorem 3.14. *Every element $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$ can be constructed as follows:*

- (i) Choose $g_0 \neq 0$.
- (ii) Choose a conjugate F in $\mathcal{F}_1$ of some f_1x ($f_1 \neq 0$).
- (iii) Choose a series $h = h_kx^k + \dots$ ($h_k \neq 0$) of some level $k \geq 0$.
- (iv) Set $g = g_0f_1^k \cdot \frac{h(x)}{h(F(x))}$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.10 (c), the result follows. ■

In the following theorem, we construct, for each $k \geq 0$ the class $\mathcal{R}_k$ of Riordan matrices which have eigenvectors of level k and of no other level.

Theorem 3.15. *Every element $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_k$ can be constructed as follows:*

- (i) Choose $g_0 \neq 0$.
- (ii) Choose a hybrid series F (Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2).
- (iii) Choose a series $h = h_kx^k + \dots$ ($h_k \neq 0$) of some level $k \geq 0$.
- (iv) Set $g = g_0f_1^k \cdot \frac{h(x)}{h(F(x))}$

Moreover, if $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_k$ then there is a unique h of the form $h = x^k + h_{k+1}x^{k+1} + \dots$ such that $g = g_0f_1^k \cdot \frac{h(x)}{h(F(x))}$.

Proof. Statements (iii) and (iv) are equivalent to the statement that (g, F) has an eigenvector of level k . Given this, (ii) implies that (g, F) has eigenvectors of no other level, by the equivalence of (b) and (d) of Theorem 3.10. The uniqueness follows from Theorem 3.10 (b) that two independent eigenvectors would imply the existence of a full set of eigenvectors, contradicting $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_k$. ■

Example 3.16. The simplest examples of elements of $\mathcal{R}_k$ given by Theorem 3.15 are constructed by letting $g_0 = 1$, $F = \pm x + x^2$ and $h = x^k$. This gives the examples respectively

$$A_k = \left(\frac{1}{(1+x)^k}, x + x^2 \right) \in \mathcal{R}_k \quad \text{and} \quad B_k = \left(\frac{1}{(1-x)^k}, -x + x^2 \right) \in \mathcal{R}_k$$

For instance, $B_1 = \left(\frac{1}{1-x}, -x + x^2 \right)$ has eigenvector $(0, 1, 0, \dots)^T$ of level one associated with $\lambda = -1$ and no eigenvectors of any other level.

In the following theorem we show that, from Theorem 3.10, we can construct surprising elements $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$, because (g, F) has no eigenvectors even though F is of finite compositional order and is thus conjugate to $f_1 x$ in $\mathcal{F}_1$.

Theorem 3.17. *Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ has finite compositional order n and that $g = 1 + g_r x^r + g_{r+1} x^{r+1} + \dots \in \mathcal{F}_0$ with $r > 0$, $g_r \neq 0$. If $f_1^r = 1$, i.e. $r \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, then $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$.*

Proof. If (g, F) had any eigenvectors whatsoever, it would follow from the equivalence of (d) and (e) in Theorem 3.10 that $(g, F)^n = (1, x)$. Thus, looking at the first coordinate, we have

$$g \cdot g(F) \cdots g(F^{(n-1)}) = 1.$$

Noticing, by induction, that $F^{(j)}(x) = f_1^j x + (\text{higher powers})$, we obtain

$$g(F^{(j)}) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{r+k} (f_1^j x + (\text{higher powers}))^{r+k}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [x^r] 1 = [x^r] \left(g \cdot g(F) \cdots g(F^{(n-1)}) \right) \\ &= [x^r] \left((1 + g_r x^r)(1 + g_r f_1^r x^r) \cdots (1 + g_r (f_1^{n-1})^r x^r) \right) \\ &= [x^r] g_r \left(1 + (f_1^r) + \cdots + (f_1^r)^{n-1} \right) x^r, \quad \text{where } f_1^r = 1, \\ &= n g_r \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction implies that (g, F) has no eigenvectors. ■

Example 3.18. Let $A = (1 + g_2 x^2 + g_3 x^3 + \dots, -x)$. If $g_2 \neq 0$ then A has no eigenvectors.

From Theorem 3.10 we see that $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_k$ if and only if (g, F) does not have two linearly independent eigenvectors. For hybrid series F , statement (d) of Theorem 3.10 is false. Thus hybrid series play the following role:

Theorem 3.19. *If F is a hybrid series then $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$ or $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_k$ for some unique integer $k \geq 0$.*

The converse of Theorem 3.19 is false. We have shown in Theorem 3.17 that there exist $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$ such that F is not a hybrid series, but rather a series of finite compositional order. In general, it is difficult to recognize, for a given element (g, F) which does not have two independent eigenvectors, whether or not (g, F) has an eigenvector at all. Thus we have a question: given (g, F) can we use quick numerical computation to recognize the type of (g, F) ? The next subsection gives results on this question.

3.3 Recognizing the eigenvector type of a given element $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$

We begin by applying the results above to record the answers in the most easily recognizable examples – those of the forms $(g, F) = (g_0, F)$ or (g, f_1x) .

Theorem 3.20. *Let $(g_0, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ where $F = f_1x + f_2x^2 + \cdots \in \mathcal{F}_1$.*

- (a) *If f_1 is of infinite multiplicative order then $(g_0, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$.*
- (b) *Assume that f_1 is of finite multiplicative order. If F is of finite compositional order then $(g_0, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$; and if F is of infinite compositional order then $(g_0, F) \in \mathcal{R}_0$, with eigenvectors $(1, 0, \dots)^T$ and its multiples.*

Proof. The statement (a) follows from Corollary 3.5. (b) Let f_1 be of finite multiplicative order. If F is of finite compositional order, by Lemma 3.1 (a) we have $F \sim f_1x$. Thus by Theorem 3.10 (e) it follows from $(g_0, F) \sim (g_0, f_1x)$ that $(g_0, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$. If F is of infinite compositional order then by inspection, $(1, 0, \dots)^T$ is an eigenvector of (g_0, F) . If there was another eigenvector linearly independent of this, then Theorem 3.10, parts (b) and (d) would imply that F is not hybrid. Thus $(g_0, F) \in \mathcal{R}_0$. ■

Theorem 3.21. *Let $(g, f_1x) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ where $g = g_0 + g_rx^r + g_{r+1}x^{r+1} + \cdots \in \mathcal{F}_0$ with $g_r \neq 0$.*

- (a) *If f_1 is of infinite multiplicative order then $(g, f_1x) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$.*
- (b) *If f_1 is of finite multiplicative order n then either $(g, f_1x) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$ or $\mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$.*

Proof. The statement (a) follows from Corollary 3.5. (b) Let f_1 be of finite multiplicative order n . Since $F = f_1x$ is not a hybrid series, Theorem 3.15 implies $(g, F) \notin \mathcal{R}_k$. Then, by Theorem 3.10 (f) and (g), $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{full}}$ if and only if $(\hat{g}, f_1x)$ has order n where $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{g_0}g(x)$. This occurs if and only if $(1, x) = (\hat{g}, f_1x)^n = (\hat{g}(x) \cdot \hat{g}(f_1x) \cdots \hat{g}(f_1^{n-1}x), f_1^n x)$. Thus the statement (b) follows. ■

We assume from now on that $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} g = g_0 + g_rx^r + g_{r+1}x^{r+1} + \cdots, & (r \geq 1, g_r \neq 0); \\ F = f_1x + f_sx^s + f_{s+1}x^{s+1} + \cdots, & (s \geq 2, f_s \neq 0); \\ f_1 \text{ has finite multiplicative order } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

The following computational lemma will help us to more precisely recognize whether $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$ or $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_k$ for some particular k .

Lemma 3.22. *Let $A = (g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ where g, F are given in (14). Suppose that A has an eigenvector $\mathbf{h}$ of level $k \geq 0$ with generating function $h = h_kx^k + h_{k+1}x^{k+1} + \cdots$.*

- (a) *If $r < s$ then*

$$g_0f_1h_{k+r}(1 - f_1^r) = (kg_0f_{r+1} + g_rf_1)h_k. \quad (15)$$

- (b) *If $r \geq s$ then*

$$kh_kf_s = f_1h_{k+s-1}(1 - f_1^{s-1}). \quad (16)$$

Proof. First note that the coefficients of $h(F(x))$ can be determined by

$$[x^n]h(F(x)) = \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_i = n} h_i f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_i} \quad (17)$$

where the sum runs over all positive integer solutions $j_1, \dots, j_i$ to $j_1 + \dots + j_i = n$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.
(a) Let $r < s$. Since the eigenvalue for $\mathbf{h}$ is $g_0 f_1^k$, we have

$$(g, F)h = gh(F) = g_0 f_1^k h.$$

Using (17) together with $g_1 = \dots = g_{r-1} = 0$ it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 f_1^k h_{k+r} &= [x^{k+r}] \left(gh(F) \right) = \sum_{t=0}^{k+r} [x^t] g \cdot [x^{k+r-t}] h(F) \\ &= g_0 (h_k \cdot k f_1^{k-1} f_{r+1} + h_{r+k} f_1^{r+k}) + g_r h_k f_1^k. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by f_1^{k-1} yields (15).

(b) Let $r \geq s$. Then $g_1 = \dots = g_{s-1} = 0$. By a similar method used in (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 f_1^k h_{k+s-1} &= [x^{k+s-1}] \left(g \cdot h(F) \right) = \sum_{t=0}^{k+s-1} [x^t] g \cdot [x^{k+s-1-t}] h(F) \\ &= g_0 (h_k k f_1^{k-1} f_s + h_{k+s-1} f_1^{k+s-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (16). ■

Lemma 3.22 leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 3.23. (Recognition Theorem) *Let $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ where g, F are given in (14).*

(a) *If $r < s - 1$ and $f_1^r = 1$ then $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$.*

(b) *Let $r = s - 1$ and $f_1^r = 1$. Then the following holds:*

(i) *If there exists an eigenvector of level k , then $k = -\frac{g_r f_1}{g_0 f_s} \neq 0$, and $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_k$.*

(ii) *If g_0, g_r, f_1 , and f_s are real numbers with $g_0 g_r f_1 f_s > 0$, then $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$.*

(c) *Let $r \geq s$ and $f_1^{s-1} = 1$. If there exists an eigenvector of level k , then $k = 0$.*

Proof. (a) If $r < s - 1$ then $r + 1 < s$, so that $f_{r+1} = 0$. Thus, if $f_1^r = 1$, then equation (15) implies $0 = g_r f_1 h_k$, contradicting $g_r, f_1, h_k \neq 0$. Thus $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$.

(b) Given that $f_1^r = 1$, (i) follows directly from equation (15). The result of (i) and the hypothesis of (ii) imply that $k < 0$. This is impossible, so that in the situation of (ii), $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{none}}$.

(c) If $r \geq s$ and $f_1^{s-1} = 1$ then equation (16) gives $kh_k f_s = 0$. Thus if there exists an eigenvector, it must be of level $k = 0$, *i.e.* a primary eigenvector. ■

4 The stabilizer group of a vector

In this section, we consider the reverse problem for the existence of eigenvectors of a Riordan matrix. Given a nonzero vector $\mathbf{h} = (h_0, h_1, \dots)^T$, we are interested to the Riordan matrices $A = (g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ with the vector $\mathbf{h}$ as an eigenvector of A . Since $A\mathbf{h} = \lambda\mathbf{h}$, $\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $(\frac{1}{\lambda} A)\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}$, this problem is equivalent to finding Riordan matrices (g, F) that *stabilize* the vector $\mathbf{h}$, *i.e.* $(g, F)\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}$ or $(g, F)h(x) = h(x)$ where $h(x)$ is the generating function for the vector $\mathbf{h}$. It is known, from the Riordan group (g, F) acting on the set $\mathcal{F}_0$, that for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{h}$, the set of all such Riordan matrices $(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ forms a subgroup of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$ called the *stabilizer subgroup* [14] of $\mathbf{h}$:

$$\text{stab}(\mathbf{h}) := \{(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F}) \mid (g, F)\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}\}.$$

For instance, if $\mathbf{h} = (h_0, 0, 0, \dots)^T$ with $h_0 \neq 0$, then $\text{stab}(\mathbf{h}) = \{(1, F) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$, which is known as the *associated subgroup* [23] of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$.

By the fundamental property in (3), the equation $(g, F)\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}$ is equivalent to $h(F) = \frac{h}{g}$, which will be called the *stabilizer equation*. Thus for any $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$, the Riordan matrix $(h/h(F), F)$ is an element of $\text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$. One may ask that given a vector $\mathbf{h}$, is there a $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $(g, F) \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$ for any $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$? To answer this question, we will investigate the elements $(g, F) \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$ from the perspective of $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Specifically, we will delineate which $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$ can occur and give an explicit formula for $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ in terms of such g and h . As a corollary, we obtain that for the $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$ there exists at most finitely many F such that $(g, F) \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$.

We begin with a vector $\mathbf{h}$ with a single nonzero entry h_k with generating function $h = h_k x^k$ with $k \geq 1$. By the stabilizer equation it is easily shown that for any $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$, the Riordan matrices $(g, F) = (g, f_1 x g^{-1/k})$ with $f_1^k = 1$, are the elements of $\text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$, because its k^{th} column generating function is $gF^k = g(f_1 x g^{-1/k})^k = x^k$.

Now we may assume that $\mathbf{h}$ has at least two nonzero entries. In the sequel, we assume that $\mathbf{h} = (h_0, 0, \dots, 0, h_k, h_{k+1}, \dots)$ with $h_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq 1$, and we explore an element (g, F) of $\text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$. We note that the generating series of $\mathbf{h}$ can be written as

$$h = h_0 + \sum_{n \geq k} h_n x^n = h_0 + h_k H(x)^k, \quad h_k \neq 0 \quad (18)$$

where

$$H(x) = x \left(1 + \frac{h_{k+1}}{h_k} x + \frac{h_{k+2}}{h_k} x^2 + \dots \right)^{1/k} \in \mathcal{F}_1.$$

The compositional inverse of H will be denoted by $\overline{H}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and $h = h_0 + \sum_{n \geq k} h_n x^n$, with $h_k \neq 0$. If $c = \frac{h}{g} = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n x^n$ then $c_1 = \dots = c_{k-1} = 0$ if and only if $g_1 = \dots = g_{k-1} = 0$. Moreover, if $c_i = 0$ or $g_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ then $h_k = c_0 g_k + c_k g_0$ where $c_0 = h_0/g_0$.*

Proof. Consider $h = cg = h_0 + \sum_{n \geq k} h_n x^n$. Then the proof is straightforward from the convolution rule:

$$h_n = [x^n]h = [x^n]cg = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i g_{n-i}.$$

■

The stabilizer equation leads to the following stabilizer theorem.

Theorem 4.2. (The stabilizer theorem) *Let $A = (g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F})$. Assume that $\mathbf{h}$ is a given vector with generating series $h = h_0 + h_k H(x)^k$ with $h_k \neq 0$ in (18), and let $D = \left(h_k^{-1} \left(\frac{h}{g} - h_0 \right) \right)^{1/k}$.*

- (a) *If $h_0 \neq 0$, then $A \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$ if and only if $g = 1 + \sum_{n \geq k} g_n x^n$ and $F = \overline{H}(D)$ where $f_1 = [x]F$ is a root of $f_1^k = (h_k - h_0 g_k)/h_k$.*
- (b) *If $h_0 = 0$, then $A \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$ if and only if $g = g_0 + \sum_{n \geq k} g_n x^n$ and $F = \overline{H}(D)$ with $h_0 = 0$ where $f_1 = [x]F$ is a root of $f_1^k = 1/g_0$.*

Proof. (a) Let $h_0 \neq 0$. By the stabilizer equation, $A \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$ if and only if

$$\frac{h}{g} = h(F) = h_0 + h_k H(F)^k. \quad (19)$$

Now let $c = h/g = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n x^n$. Since

$$c = h(F) = h_0 + (h_k f_1^k) x^k + (\text{higher power}), \quad (20)$$

it implies that $c_0 = h_0$, $c_1 = \dots = c_{k-1} = 0$ and $c_k = h_k f_1^k \neq 0$. Since $c_0 = h_0/g_0 = h_0$ we have $g_0 = 1$. Thus by Lemma 4.1 we obtain $g = 1 + g_k x^k + \dots$ where $g_k = (h_k - c_k)/h_0$. Since $c_k = h_k f_1^k$ we have $f_1^k = (h_k - h_0 g_k)/h_k$. Moreover, it follows from (19) that

$$H(F)^k = \frac{1}{h_k} \left(\frac{h}{g} - h_0 \right) = D^k.$$

By the extension of Niven's Theorem in Lemma 3.3, $H(F) = D \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Therefore, $A \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$ if and only if $g = 1 + \sum_{n \geq k} g_n x^n$ and $F = \overline{H}(D)$ where $f_1^k = (h_k - h_0 g_k)/h_k$.

(b) If $h_0 = 0$ then the result follows from the similar argument used in (a). $\blacksquare$

Example 4.3. Let $\mathbf{h} = (1, 1, \dots)^T$. By (18) we have $k = 1$, $h = \frac{1}{1-x}$, $H = \frac{x}{1-x}$, and $\overline{H} = \frac{x}{1+x}$. Since $D = \frac{h}{g} - 1 = \frac{1}{(1-x)g} - 1$ for $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$ with $g_1 \neq 1$, it follows from (a) of Theorem 4.2 that $F = \overline{H}(D) = 1 - g + xg$ where $f_1 = 1 - g_1$. Thus we obtain

$$\text{stab}(\mathbf{h}) = \{(g, F) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{F}) \mid F = 1 - g + xg, g_1 \neq 1\},$$

which is known as the *stochastic subgroup* [15].

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.2. It asserts that for the $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$ there exists at most finitely many F such that $(g, F) \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $h = h_0 + \sum_{n \geq k} h_n z^n$ with $h_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Given $g \in \mathcal{F}_0$ that satisfies (a) or (b) of Theorem 4.2, let

$$S_g = \{(g, F) \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h}) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$$

be the subset of the stabilizer subgroup of $\mathbf{h}$. Then $|S_g| \leq k$. Moreover, we have the following:

- (a) If $h_0 \neq 0$ then S_g is in one-one correspondence with the set of k^{th} roots of $\frac{h_k - h_0 g_k}{h_k}$;
- (b) If $h_0 = 0$ then S_g is in one-one correspondence with the set of k^{th} roots of $\frac{1}{g_0}$;
- (c) If $k = 1$, or if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and k is odd, then there exists a unique $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $(g, F) \in \text{stab}(\mathbf{h})$.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank the reviewer for valuable comments that led to a big improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] Martin Aigner, *Motzkin numbers*, Europ. J. Combinatorics (1998) 19, 663-675, Article No. 980235.
- [2] Paul Barry, *On the Group of Almost-Riordan Arrays* (2016), arXiv: 1606.05077.
- [3] Paul Barry, Aoife Hennessy and Nikolaos Pantelidis, *Algebraic Properties of Riordan Subgroups*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 53 (2021), 1015-1036.
- [4] Robert Brawer and Magnus Pirovino, *The Linear Algebra of the Pascal Matrix*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 174 (1992), 13-23.

- [5] Thomas S. Brewer, *Algebraic Properties of Formal Power Series Composition*, University of Kentucky Dissertation (2014).
- [6] Gi-Sang Cheon, Hana Kim and Louis W. Shapiro, *Riordan Group Involutions*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 428 (2008), 941-952.
- [7] Gi-Sang Cheon and Hana Kim, *The Elements of Finite Order in the Riordan Group Over the Complex Field*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 439 (2013), 4032-4046.
- [8] Gi-Sang Cheon, Ana Luzón, Manuel A. Morón, Felipe L. Prieto-Martinez and Minho Song, *Finite and infinite dimensional Lie group structures on Riordan groups*, Advances in Mathematics, 319 (2017), 522-566.
- [9] Marshall M. Cohen, *Elements of Finite Order in the Group of Formal Power Series Under Composition* (2018), arXiv:1804.00059.
- [10] Marshall M. Cohen, *Roots of Formal Power Series and New Theorems on Riordan Group Elements*, Congressus Numerantium CCXXXIII (2019), 195-204.
- [11] Marshall M. Cohen, *Elements of Finite Order in the Riordan Group and their eigenvectors*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 602 (2020), 264-280.
- [12] Alan Edelman and Gilbert Strang, *Pascal Matrices*, The American Mathematical Monthly Vol. 111, No. 3 (2004), 189-197.
- [13] Xiao-Xiong Gan and Dariusz Bugajewski, *Formal multiplicative root series and algorithms of their evaluation*, Communications in Algebra 49:8 (2021), 3232-3240.
- [14] Tian-Xiao He and Louis W. Shapiro, *Fuss-Catalan matrices, their weighted sums, and stabilizer subgroups of the Riordan group*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 532 (2017), 25-42.
- [15] Candice Jean-Louis and Asamoah Nkwanta, *Some Algebraic Structure of the Riordan Group*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 438 (2013), 2018-2035.
- [16] Ana Luzón, Manuel A. Morón and Felipe L. Prieto-Martinez, *A formula to construct all involutions in Riordan matrix groups*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 533 (2017), 397-417.
- [17] Candice Marshall, *Another Method of Constructing Pseudo-Involutions in the Riordan Group*, Congressus Numerantium 229 (2017), 343-351.
- [18] Ivan Niven, *Formal Power Series*, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), 871-889.
- [19] Nikolaos Pantelidis, *A Study in Algebraic Properties of Riordan Arrays*, Dissertation, Waterford Institute of Technology (2020).
- [20] Paul Peart and Leon C. Woodson, *Triple factorisation of some Riordan matrices*, Fibonacci Quart., 31 (1993), 121-128.
- [21] Stephen Scheinberg, *Power Series in One Variable*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 31 (1970), p. 321-333.
- [22] Louis W. Shapiro, Seyoum Getu, Wen-Jin Woan and Leon C. Woodson, *The Riordan Group*, Discrete Applied Mathematics 34 (1991), 229-239.
- [23] Louis W. Shapiro, *Bijections and the Riordan group*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 307 (2003), 403-413.