

# DISCRETE ANALOGUES OF MAXIMALLY MODULATED SINGULAR INTEGRALS OF STEIN-WAINGER TYPE, II

BEN KRAUSE AND JORIS ROOS

ABSTRACT. It is proved that certain discrete analogues of maximally modulated singular integrals of Stein-Wainger type are bounded on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . This extends earlier work of the authors concerning the case  $p = 2$ . Some open problems for further investigation are briefly discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $n, d$  be positive integers and  $K$  a Calderón–Zygmund kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the maximal operator

$$\mathcal{C}f(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} f(x - y) e(\lambda|y|^{2d}) K(y) \right|, \quad (x \in \mathbb{Z}^n), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ . This is a discrete analogue of a well-known maximal operator considered by Stein and Wainger [21]. Our main result concerns  $\ell^p$  bounds for this operator.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Then there is a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\|\mathcal{C}f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \quad (1.2)$$

*The constant  $C$  only depends on  $p, d, n$  and  $K$ .*

The case  $p = 2$  was proved in our previous paper [9], building on earlier work by Krause and Lacey [8]. Here we shall heavily rely on arguments introduced in [9] and we recommend that the two papers be read side by side, though all necessary preliminaries will be repeated here.

**1.1. Historical remarks and related problems.** The study of discrete analogues in harmonic analysis dates back to the work of Bourgain, who developed a theory of discrete polynomial maximal functions in the course of his breakthrough work on pointwise ergodic theorems, [1], [2], [3]. Subsequently Stein and Wainger [19], [20] became interested in discrete analogues of singular integrals for their own sake.

Bourgain proved the following estimate. Suppose that  $P$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients, and  $p \in (1, \infty]$ . Then there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  so that

$$\left\| \sup_{N \geq 1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq y \leq N} |f(x - P(y))| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})} \leq C \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

---

*Date:* August 2, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B15, 42B20, 42B25.

Stein and Wainger [19], [20] started investigating singular integral analogues such as

$$f \mapsto \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(x - P(y))}{y},$$

and higher-dimensional versions such as

$$f \mapsto \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(x_1 - y, x_2 - y^2)}{y}, \quad (1.3)$$

the latter being a discrete analogue of a prototypical singular Radon transform. Singular Radon transforms have been studied extensively in real harmonic analysis (see [4] and references contained therein). The study of these discrete analogues was not motivated by ergodic-theoretic considerations, but by intrinsic interest in these operators. Stein and Wainger [20] proved  $\ell^p$  estimates for  $p \in (3/2, 3)$  for a large class of polynomial Radon transforms such as these, while estimates in the full range  $p \in (1, \infty)$  were later established by Ionescu and Wainger [7]. This theory was extended and significantly refined by Mirek, Stein and Trojan [16], [17] (also see references therein). In view of these developments and Stein and Wainger's work on oscillatory integrals related to Carleson's theorem [21], it was then natural to ask for  $\ell^p$  bounds for the maximal operator

$$f \mapsto \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f(x - y) \frac{e(\lambda y^2)}{y} \right|,$$

which is our operator  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $n = d = 1$  (the question was posed by Lillian Pierce at an AIM workshop in 2015). We now describe some related problems that are still open.

A first consequence of our theorem concerns a variable-coefficient variant of (1.3), given by

$$\mathcal{H}_v f(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{f(x_1 - y, x_2 - v(x)y^2)}{y}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $v : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is an arbitrary function. This is a discrete analogue of a real-variable operator studied by Guo, Hickman, Lie and one of the authors [5].

By taking a partial Fourier transform in the  $x_2$  variable and applying Theorem 1 with  $p = 2$ , the following result is obtained.

**Corollary 2.** *There exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  so that for all  $v : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $v(x_1, x_2) = v(x_1, 0)$  for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_v f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}.$$

It would be interesting to prove such estimates for  $p \neq 2$ . Discrete analogues of maximal functions associated with variable curves, such as

$$f \mapsto \sup_{N \geq 1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq y \leq N} |f(x_1 - y, x_2 - v(x)y^2)|. \quad (1.5)$$

are also of interest.

In view of Lie's quadratic Carleson theorem [12], a long term goal is to study modulation invariant discrete analogues such as

$$f \mapsto \sup_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f(x - y) \frac{e(\lambda y + \mu y^2)}{y} \right|. \quad (1.6)$$

If the supremum is restricted to one of the variables  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$ , then  $\ell^p$  bounds are known, though they are obtained by entirely different methods: if  $\lambda$  is dropped, we are in the situation of the present paper; if  $\mu$  is dropped, then familiar linear Carleson theory can be applied (see e.g. Lacey-Thiele [10]). It is not clear how the two methods could be combined.

An interesting model problem is to determine whether the operator

$$f \mapsto \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} f(x - y^3) \frac{e(\lambda y^3)}{y} \right|$$

is bounded on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ , as this is a prototypical example of an operator that combines modulation invariance and Radon behavior. A further obstacle in (1.6) is the multi-parameter setting. This is an appealing challenge even in non-modulation invariant cases. We hope to return to these questions in the future.

**Acknowledgements.** J.R. would like to thank the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics in Bonn and the organizers of the trimester program “Harmonic Analysis and Analytic Number Theory” for a pleasant work environment.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Notation.** Given a bounded function  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we denote the Fourier multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  associated with  $m$  by  $m(D)$ . If  $m$  is 1-periodic,  $m(D)$  may also stand for the Fourier multiplier on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . It will always be clear from context which one is meant.

Given integers (or vectors of integers)  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , we denote their greatest common divisor by  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and for a positive integer  $q$  we denote the set of non-negative integers smaller than  $q$  by  $[q]$ .

For non-negative quantities  $A$  and  $B$ , we use the notation  $A \lesssim B$  to indicate existence of a constant  $C$  so that  $A \leq C \cdot B$ , where  $C$  may depend on various parameters, which may sometimes be indicated by subscripts. Similarly,  $A \approx B$  means that  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ , whereas  $A \asymp B$  means that  $2^{-1}B \leq A \leq 2B$ . All constants throughout are allowed to depend on  $d$  and  $n$ .

**2.2. Exponential sum estimates.** Let  $D$  be a positive integer. For a set of coefficients  $\xi = (\xi_\alpha)_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq D}$  (with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  a multiindex), define the polynomial

$$P(\xi; x) = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq D} \xi_\alpha x^\alpha.$$

Further let  $R \geq 2$  and fix a smooth function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq 1, \quad |\nabla \varphi(x)| \leq (1 + |x|)^{-1}$$

holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Finally, let  $c_0 > 0$  and let  $\omega$  be a convex set contained in the ball of radius  $c_0 R$  centered at the origin. We are interested in the exponential sum

$$S_R = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \omega} e(P(\xi; x)) \varphi(x).$$

The triangle inequality implies that  $|S_{P,R,\varphi,\omega}| \lesssim_{c_0,n} R^n$ . Heuristically, we can expect an improvement to this trivial estimate if at least one of the coefficients  $\xi_\alpha$  is in some sense badly approximated by rationals with small denominators. We shall require two quantitatively distinct manifestations of this principle. The first is due to Stein and Wainger [20, Proposition 3].

**Proposition 2.1.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, n, D) > 0$  such that if  $\alpha_0$  with  $1 \leq |\alpha_0| \leq D$  is such that*

$$|\xi_{\alpha_0} - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}, \quad R^\varepsilon \leq q \leq R^{|\alpha_0| - \varepsilon}$$

for a reduced rational  $a/q$ , then

$$|S_R| \leq CR^{n-\delta},$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $n, D, c_0, \varepsilon$ .

The following refinement is due to Mirek, Stein and Trojan [16, Theorem 3.1].

**Proposition 2.2.** *For every  $\gamma > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\gamma, n, D) > 0$  such that if  $\alpha_0$  with  $1 \leq |\alpha_0| \leq D$  is such that*

$$|\xi_{\alpha_0} - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}, \quad (\log R)^\delta \leq q \leq R^{|\alpha_0|} (\log R)^{-\delta}$$

for a reduced rational  $a/q$ , then

$$|S_R| \leq CR^n (\log R)^{-\gamma},$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $n, D, c_0, \gamma$ .

**2.3. Ionescu-Wainger theory.** Call a subset  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  *periodic* if  $x + \Theta = \Theta$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Given a bounded function  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a rational periodic frequency set  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ , consider the periodic multi-frequency multiplier

$$\Delta_\Theta[m](\xi) = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} m(\xi - \theta).$$

The idea is roughly that if  $\Theta$  has appropriate arithmetic properties and  $m$  has sufficiently small support, then the  $L^p$  theories of  $\Delta_\Theta[m]$  (as a multiplier on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ) and  $m$  (as a multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) are closely related. Define

$$\mathcal{B}_N = \left\{ \frac{b}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^n : (b, q) = 1, 1 \leq q \leq N \right\}$$

and let  $\eta$  be a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  supported on  $\{|\xi| \leq 1\}$  and equal to one on  $\{|\xi| \leq 1/2\}$ . Denote  $\eta_\lambda(\xi) = \eta(\lambda^{-1}\xi)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $r$  a positive integer with  $(2r)' \leq p \leq 2r$ . Further let  $m$  be a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that  $A > 0$  is such that for all  $f \in L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\|m(D)f\|_{L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq A\|f\|_{L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let  $\rho > 0$  and  $N \geq 2^{\lfloor \frac{2}{\rho} \rfloor + 1}$ . Then there exists a periodic set  $\mathcal{U}_N \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$  such that

$$\mathcal{B}_N \subset \mathcal{U}_N \subset \mathcal{B}_{2N^\rho}$$

and for all  $f \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ ,

$$\left\| \Delta_{\mathcal{U}_N}[m_\nu \eta_{2^{-N^{2\rho}}}] (D)f \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim_{\rho,p,r} A \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

(In particular, the implicit constant is independent of  $N$ .)

*Remark.* This result was first proved by Ionescu and Wainger [7]; it originally featured a logarithmic loss in  $N$ . This loss was later improved by Mirek [14] and recently Tao [22] showed that no loss in  $N$  occurs. (However, this is not crucial for our application.)

**2.4. A numerical inequality.** The following is a variant of an inequality that proved useful in several recent works such as [16], [17], [15].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $r \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(a_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 2^s}$  a family of complex numbers. Then for all  $0 \leq j, j_0 \leq 2^s$ ,*

$$|a_j| \leq |a_{j_0}| + 2^{1/r'} \sum_{l=0}^s \left( \sum_{\kappa=0}^{2^{s-l}-1} |a_{\kappa 2^l} - a_{(\kappa+1)2^l}|^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

This is an immediate consequence of the observation that for every  $j_0 \leq j_1$ , the interval  $[j_0, j_1)$  can be partitioned into dyadic intervals in such a way that each dyadic length occurs at most twice. For the proof of this observation and further details we refer to [15, Lemma 1].

**2.5. Multiplier approximations.** We decompose  $K(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} K_j(x)$  so that for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $K_j$  is supported in  $\{x : |x| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$ , and satisfies the standard estimates

$$|K_j(x)| \lesssim 2^{-jn}, \quad |\nabla K_j(x)| \lesssim 2^{-j(n+1)}.$$

Moreover, let  $K_j$  be supported in  $\{x : |x| \geq 2^{j-1}\}$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Define associated periodic multipliers by

$$m_{j,\lambda}(\xi) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e(\lambda|y|^{2d} + \xi \cdot y) K_j(y).$$

We recall a basic approximation result for  $m_{j,\lambda}(\xi)$  in the spirit of Bourgain [3]. To do this, define the exponential sums

$$S\left(\frac{a}{q}, \frac{b}{q}\right) = q^{-n} \sum_{r \in [q]^n} e\left(\frac{a}{q}|r|^{2d} + \frac{b}{q} \cdot r\right),$$

where  $\frac{a}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\frac{b}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  are rationals with  $(a, b, q) = 1$  and further the oscillatory integrals,

$$\Phi_{j,\lambda}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e(\lambda|y|^{2d} + \xi \cdot y) K_j(y) dy.$$

The basic result, which was proved in [9, §2] now reads as follows.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $j$  and  $q$  be positive integers so that  $q \leq 2^{j-2}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $(a, b, q) = 1$ . Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy*

$$|\lambda - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \delta 2^{-(2d-1)j}, \quad |\xi - \frac{b}{q}| \leq \delta$$

with  $\delta \in (2^{-j}, 1)$ . Then

$$m_{j,\lambda}(\xi) = S(\frac{a}{q}, \frac{b}{q}) \Phi_{j,\lambda-\frac{a}{q}}(\xi - \frac{b}{q}) + O(q\delta)$$

and the implicit constant depends only on  $d, n, K$ .

Another key piece of information is that for  $(a, b, q) = 1$ ,

$$(a, q) > 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad S(\frac{a}{q}, \frac{b}{q}) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

For the proof we refer to [9, Lemma 2.3].

### 3. REDUCTION TO MAJOR ARCS

This section closely follows the corresponding reductions in our previous paper [9], with some adaptations required to facilitate the application of Ionescu-Wainger theory.

We begin with observing the estimate

$$\| \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |m_{j,\lambda}(D)f| \|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}, \quad (3.1)$$

valid for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $j \geq 0$ . This follows from the triangle inequality and Young's convolution inequality.

The next step is a non-trivial improvement for the case of  $\lambda$  away from rationals with small denominators. For a real number  $N > 1$ , define

$$\mathfrak{A}(N) = \{ \frac{a}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} : (a, q) = 1, 1 \leq q \leq N \},$$

$$X_{j,M} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_{j,M}} \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : |\lambda - \alpha| \leq 2^{-2dj} j^M \}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *For every  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and every  $\kappa > 0$  there exists  $M = M(p, \kappa) > 0$  large enough so that for all  $j \geq 1$ ,*

$$\| \sup_{\lambda \notin X_{j,M}} |m_{j,\lambda}(D)f| \|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim j^{-\kappa} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

By interpolating with (3.1), it suffices to show the claim for  $p = 2$ . The proof of this is in essence identical with that of [9, Prop. 3.1]; we provide details for the necessary changes in §5. Before we describe the major arc approximation for the remaining part of our operator, let us introduce some convenient notations. For  $s \geq 1$  define

$$\mathcal{A}_s = \{ \frac{a}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} : (a, q) = 1, q \in [2^{s-1}, 2^s] \}.$$

For a reduced rational  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} \in \mathcal{A}_s$ ,  $\rho > 0$ , a bounded function  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , write

$$\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha,M}[m](\xi) = \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^n} S(\alpha, \beta) m(\xi - \beta) \chi_{s,M}(\xi - \beta), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\chi_{s,M}(\xi) = \chi(2^{4s2^{s/(2M)}} \xi)$  with  $\chi$  a smooth radial function satisfying  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$  that is equal to one on  $\{|\xi| \leq 1/4\}$  and supported on  $\{|\xi| \leq 1/2\}$ . The definition (3.2) is

motivated by Lemma 2.5. A major obstacle is that the range of frequencies  $\beta$  depends on the denominator  $q$  of  $\alpha$ , which is essentially the modulation parameter over which a supremum is taken. A redeeming quality is that we can let  $\beta$  run over a product of cyclic subgroups. This exploits the relation (2.1) and is crucial at various points in the argument. Define

$$L_{j,\lambda,M}^s = \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha,M}[\Phi_{j,\lambda-\alpha,M}],$$

where  $\alpha$  is the unique  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s$  so that  $|\lambda - \alpha| \leq 2^{-4s2^{s/(2M)}}$ , or an arbitrary element of the complement of  $\mathcal{A}_s$  if no such  $\alpha$  exists and

$$\Phi_{j,\nu,M} = \Phi_{j,\nu} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{|\nu| \leq 2^{-2dj}M}.$$

Finally, decompose

$$m_{j,\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{X_{j,M}} = \sum_{s \geq 1: 2^s \leq j^M} L_{j,\lambda,M}^s + E_{j,\lambda,M}, \quad (3.3)$$

which we take to be the definition of the error term,  $E_{j,\lambda,M}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *For every  $p \in (1, \infty)$  there exists  $\gamma_p > 0$  so that for every  $M > 0$  and every  $j \geq 1$ ,*

$$\left\| \sup_{\lambda \in X_{j,M}} |E_{j,\lambda,M}(D)| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p j} \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

This is proved by a standard Sobolev embedding-type argument, relying on Lemma 2.5, Proposition 2.1 and standard estimates for oscillatory integrals. The proof coincides with that of [9, Prop. 3.2], but we provide some details in §6.

Fix  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and choose  $M = M(p, 2)$  as in Proposition 3.1. To establish Theorem 1 it now suffices to show that

$$\left\| \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{s \geq 1: 2^s \leq j^M} L_{j,\lambda,M}^s(D) f \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \quad (3.4)$$

#### 4. MAIN ARGUMENT

To show (3.4) we will show existence of  $\gamma_p > 0$  so that for every  $M > 0$ , and every  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{j \geq 0: 2^s \leq j^M} L_{j,\lambda,M}^s f \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p s} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the implicit constant may depend on  $d, n$  and  $M$ . Since the value of  $M > 0$  is not important in this section, we suppress it from notation and write

$$\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha,M}.$$

See (3.2) for the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha,M}$ . Define the auxiliary multiplier,

$$\mathcal{L}_s^\sharp[m](\xi) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{U}_{2^s}} m(\xi - \beta) \tilde{\chi}_s(\xi - \beta) = \Delta_{\mathcal{U}_{2^s}}[m \tilde{\chi}_s], \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_{2^s}$  is a set as provided by Proposition 2.3 and  $\tilde{\chi}$  is a smooth radial function with  $0 \leq \tilde{\chi} \leq 1$  that is equal to one on  $\{|\xi| \leq 1/2\}$  and supported on  $\{|\xi| \leq 1\}$  (so that  $\chi \cdot \tilde{\chi} = \chi$ ), and  $\tilde{\chi}_s(\xi) = \tilde{\chi}(2^{4s2^{s/(2M)}} \xi)$ . Observe the crucial factorization

$$\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[m] = \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[1] \cdot \mathcal{L}_s^\sharp[m], \quad (4.3)$$

which follows from disjoint supports of the shifted cutoff functions, and uses the fact that  $\frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathcal{U}_{2^s}$  for all  $q \leq 2^s$ . We now begin with the main sequence of lemmas that will pave the way for the proof of (4.1). The first should be regarded as a trivial estimate, but is crucial in proving the result for all  $p > 1$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** (i) Let  $m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded function and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then for every  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[m]](y) = e(\alpha|y|^{2d})\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[m\chi_s](y). \quad (4.4)$$

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a set and  $(m_u)_{u \in \mathcal{I}}$  a family of bounded measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and all  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{u \in \mathcal{I}} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[m_u](D)f| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \left\| \sup_{u \in \mathcal{I}} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[\chi_s m_u]| \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Writing  $\alpha = a/q$  with  $(a, q) = 1$  we compute the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}](y) &= \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^n \cap [0,1)^n} S(\alpha, \beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e(y \cdot \xi) m(\xi - \beta) \chi_s(\xi - \beta) d\xi \\ &= \left[ \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}[q]^n} S(\alpha, \beta) e(y \cdot \beta) \right] \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[m\chi_s](y). \quad (y \in \mathbb{Z}^n) \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\beta = \frac{b}{q}$  with  $b \in [q]^n$ . Then,

$$\sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}[q]^n} S(\alpha, \beta) e(y \cdot \beta) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{r \in [q]^n} e\left(\frac{a}{q}|r|^{2d}\right) \left( \sum_{b \in [q]^n} e\left(\frac{b}{q} \cdot (y+r)\right) \right)$$

Changing variables  $r \mapsto r - y$  and using periodicity, the previous equals

$$= \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{r \in [q]^n} e\left(\frac{a}{q}|r - y|^{2d}\right) q^n \mathbf{1}_{r=0} = e\left(\frac{a}{q}|y|^{2d}\right),$$

which shows (4.4).

(ii) Part (i) implies the pointwise estimate

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{I}} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[m_u](D)f(x)| \leq (|f| * \left( \sup_{u \in \mathcal{I}} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[\chi_s m_u]| \right))(x),$$

which in turn implies the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a countable set. For every  $p \in (1, \infty)$  there exists  $\gamma_p > 0$  such that for all  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[1](D)f_\nu|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p s} \left\| \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\nu|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

*Proof.* For  $p = 2$  this was proved in [9, Prop. 3.3] (the cutoff functions  $\chi_s$  are localized less narrowly there, but this only makes the claim easier; the same proof works verbatim). For  $p \in [1, \infty]$  we may use Lemma 4.1 (i) to dominate the left-hand side by

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} (|f_\nu| * |\phi_s|)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} |f_\nu|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)},$$

where the last estimate is standard; it follows from Khinchine's and Minkowski's inequalities and the fact that  $\|\phi_s\|_{\ell^1} \approx 1$ . Interpolating with  $p = 2$  yields the claim.  $\square$



The previous lemma will allow us to prove the following  $\ell^p$  analogues of [9, Lemma 7.1] and [9, Lemma 7.2].

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a countable set and  $(m_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}}$  a family of bounded functions. Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $r$  a positive integer with  $(2r)' \leq p \leq 2r$ . Assume that for all  $(\epsilon_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}}$  with  $\epsilon_\nu \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,*

$$\left\| \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} \epsilon_\nu m_\nu(D) f \right\|_{L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq A \|f\|_{L^{2r}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Then there exists  $\gamma_p > 0$  such that for all  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[m_\nu](D) f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p s} A \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

*Proof.* By the factorization (4.3) and Lemma 4.2 we estimate the left-hand side by a constant times

$$2^{-\gamma_p s} \left\| \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} |\mathcal{L}_s^\sharp[m_\nu](D) f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

with  $\gamma_p$  as in Lemma 4.2. By Khinchine's inequality, the previous is

$$\lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p s} \left( \mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left\| \mathcal{L}_s^\sharp \left[ \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} \epsilon_\nu m_\nu \right] (D) f \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

with  $\mathbb{E}_\epsilon$  denoting expectation taken over i.i.d. random variables  $\epsilon_\nu$  each with  $\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_\nu = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . By the Ionescu-Wainger multiplier theorem (that is, Proposition 2.3), the previous display is dominated by

$$\lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p s} \sup_\epsilon \left\| \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{I}} \epsilon_\nu m_\nu(D) \right\|_{L^{2r} \rightarrow L^{2r}} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)},$$

where  $r$  is a positive integer with  $(2r)' \leq p \leq 2r$ , and the supremum is over all choices of  $\epsilon_\nu \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Applying the assumption (4.5) gives the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *For  $j \geq 1$  let  $\mathcal{K}_j$  be a mean zero  $C^1$  function supported on  $\{|x| \asymp 2^j\}$  so that*

$$2^{jn} |\mathcal{K}_j(x)| + 2^{j(n+1)} |\nabla \mathcal{K}_j(x)| \lesssim 1$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $j \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}^{a,b} = \sum_{a \leq j < b} \mathcal{K}_j$ . Then for every  $p \in (1, \infty)$  there exists  $\gamma_p > 0$  such that for all  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{J \geq 1} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\widehat{\mathcal{K}^{0,J}}](D) f| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p s} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

We postpone the proof to the end of this section. It closely resembles that of [9, Lemma 7.2]. We are now ready to show (4.1), which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of (4.1).* We fix  $s \geq 1$  and begin by splitting the operator into three components, similarly as in [9]. Define

$$\mathcal{J}_{\ell,\mu} = \{j : 2^s \leq j^M, |\mu| 2^{2dj} \asymp 2^\ell\}, \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{\ell,\mu}} \Phi_{j,\mu},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} : \ell \geq s\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = \{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} : -s < \ell < s\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} : \ell \leq -s\}.$$

Then it suffices to bound each of the terms

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{\mu} \left| \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i} \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\tilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu}](D)f \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , separately.

The high frequency component,  $i = 1$ , is handled using a theorem of Stein and Wainger [21], which implies that for every fixed  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_1$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu}(D)g| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_p \ell} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for some  $\gamma_p > 0$ . A simple transference argument and Lemma 4.1 (i) then give the desired exponential decay in  $s$ .

The low frequency component,  $i = 3$ , can be written as

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{\mu} \left| \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha} \left[ \sum_{J_- \leq j \leq J_{+,\mu}} \Phi_{j,\mu} \right] (D)f \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)},$$

where  $J_-$  is the smallest integer  $j$  so that  $2^s \leq j^M$  and  $J_{+,\mu}$  is the largest integer  $j$  so that  $|\mu|2^{2dj} < 2^{-s+1}$ . The oscillatory integrals  $\Phi_{j,\mu}$  do not experience significant oscillation in this case, so that it is reasonable to bound the previous by

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{\mu} \left| \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha} \left[ \sum_{J_- \leq j \leq J_{+,\mu}} (\Phi_{j,\mu} - \widehat{K}_j) \right] (D)f \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}, \quad (4.6)$$

plus

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J \geq J_-} \left| \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha} \left[ \sum_{J_- \leq j \leq J} \widehat{K}_j \right] (D)f \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

Lemma 4.4 shows that the latter term is bounded by  $2^{-\gamma_p s} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ . Also note that by definition of  $J_{+,\mu}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{J_- \leq j \leq J_{+,\mu}} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[\Phi_{j,\mu}] - K_j) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sum_{J_- \leq j \leq J_{+,\mu}} |\mu|2^{2dj} \lesssim 2^{-s}.$$

In view of Lemma 4.1 (ii) this shows that (4.6) is dominated by a constant times  $2^{-s} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ .

The heart of the problem lies in the analysis of the intermediate frequency component,  $i = 2$ , which exhibits stationary phase behavior. Since  $\#\mathcal{L}_2 \lesssim s$ , it suffices to establish exponential decay for each  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_2$  separately. For  $p = 2$ , it is shown in [9, §7.2] (the slightly different definition of the present multipliers does not affect the argument) that for every  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_2$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{\mu} \left| \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\tilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu}](D)f \right| \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_s} \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

To establish such decay for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  we interpolate with the following estimate, which is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1 (ii): for every  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_2$ ,

$$\left\| \sup_{\mu} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \left| \mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\tilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu}](D)f \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \quad (4.7)$$

is dominated by

$$\left\| \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[\tilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu}] \right| \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

If  $J_{\ell,\mu} = \{j\}$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[\tilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu}](y) = e(\mu|y|^{2d})K_j(y),$$

so that  $\|\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[\tilde{\Phi}_{\ell,\mu}]|\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \approx 1$ . Therefore, (4.7) is bounded by a constant times  $\|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ , which suffices to establish the claim.  $\square$

*Remark.* There is an alternate argument for  $p \geq 2$  that does not make use of interpolation. Similarly as in [9, §7.2], one estimates the term (4.7) by appropriately subtracting the zero frequency, applying the Sobolev embedding trick, using Ionescu-Wainger theory and a version of Lemma 4.2. One is then presented with a real-variable multiplier problem. A variant of a theorem due to Seeger [13] allows us to reduce this to the estimate (in the case  $n = d = 1$ )

$$\left\| \left( \int_1^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e(x\xi) e(\tau\xi^2) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})},$$

which holds if  $p \geq 2$  (see Lee, Rogers and Seeger [11, Prop. 5.2]). We also refer to [6], where similar arguments were used to obtain  $L^p(V^r)$  inequalities associated with real-variable singular integrals of Stein-Wainger type. This approach does not appear adequate to prove bounds for  $p \in (1, 2]$ .

*Proof of Lemma 4.4.* As in [9], the argument splits into two cases: either  $J \leq 2^{C_s}$  or  $J > 2^{C_s}$ , with  $C$  a large constant (if  $s$  is large enough, then  $C = 2$  suffices).

*Case I:  $J \leq 2^{C_s}$ .* The numerical inequality from Lemma 2.4 yields a domination of the left-hand side by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{C_s} \left\| \left( \sum_{\kappa \leq 2^{2s-l}} \sup_{\alpha} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[K^{\widehat{\kappa^{2^l}, (\kappa+1)^{2^l}}]}(D)f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ & \quad + \left\| \sup_{\alpha} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_1](D)f| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3 and standard Calderón-Zygmund theory allow us to bound this by  $2^{-\gamma p s} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ .

*Case II:  $J > 2^{C_s}$ .* A key fact is that if  $Q_s$  denotes the least common multiple of all integers in the range  $[1, 2^s)$ , then, say,  $2^J > Q_s^{100n}$  if  $C > 0$  is large enough. By adding and subtracting  $\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \widehat{\mathcal{K}^{0,\infty}}$  and an application of Lemma 4.3 it suffices to control

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J > 2^{C_s}} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\widehat{\mathcal{K}^{J,\infty}}](D)f| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

Next, let  $\varphi$  denote a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is non-negative and satisfies  $\int \varphi = 1$  and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi} \subset \{|\xi| < 1/2\}$ . Writing  $\varphi_j(x) = 2^{-jn} \varphi(2^{-j}x)$ , it now suffices to estimate

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J > 2^{C_s}} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\widehat{\varphi}_J \widehat{\mathcal{K}}](D)f| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \quad (4.8)$$

This is because the term

$$\left\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J > 2^{C_s}} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\widehat{\mathcal{K}^{J,\infty}} - \widehat{\varphi}_J \widehat{\mathcal{K}}](D)f| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

can be dominated by

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{J \geq 1} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} |\mathcal{L}_{s,\alpha}[\widehat{\mathcal{K}^{J,\infty}} - \widehat{\varphi}_J \widehat{\mathcal{K}}](D)f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{J \geq 1} \epsilon_J (\mathcal{K}^{J, \infty} - \varphi_J * \mathcal{K})$$

is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel (its Fourier transform is bounded and it satisfies Hörmander's condition), uniformly in all choices of  $\epsilon_J \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Thus, by standard Calderón-Zygmund theory and Lemma 4.3 we can bound (4.9) by  $2^{-\gamma p} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . To estimate (4.8) we use the fact that  $\varphi_J$  is essentially unchanged when shifted by  $u \in [Q_s]^n$ . Indeed, for every  $u \in [Q_s]^n$ ,

$$\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J > 2^{Cs}} |\mathcal{L}_{s, \alpha}[(\widehat{\varphi_J} - \widehat{\varphi_J(\cdot - u)})\widehat{\mathcal{K}}](D)f| \|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

is dominated by, say,  $\lesssim 2^{-10s} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ . This uses  $|u|2^{-J} \leq Q_s^{-100n+1} \ll 2^{-100ns}$ , the triangle inequality on the summation over  $\beta$  and  $\ell^p$  bounds for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function and the Calderón-Zygmund kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  (see [9, §7.5] for more details). Averaging over  $u \in [Q_s]^n$  it now suffices to bound

$$\left( Q_s^{-n} \sum_{u \in [Q_s]^n} \| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J \geq 1} |\mathcal{L}_{s, \alpha}[\widehat{\varphi_J(\cdot - u)}\widehat{\mathcal{K}}](D)f| \|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The  $p$ th power of this expression equals

$$Q_s^{-n} \sum_{u \in [Q_s]^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J \geq 1} \left| \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}[q]^n} S(\alpha, \beta) e(x \cdot \beta) (\varphi_J * \mathcal{K}_s * M_{-\beta} f)(x - u) \right|^p,$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_s = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{-1}[\widehat{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \chi_{s, M}]$  and  $M_{-\beta} f(y) = e(-\beta y) f(y)$ . Changing variables  $x \mapsto x + u$  and then  $u \mapsto v - x$  and crucially using that  $Q_s \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for all  $\beta \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^n$  (with  $q \in [2^{s-1}, 2^s)$ ), we see that the previous is equal to

$$Q_s^{-n} \sum_{v \in [Q_s]^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J \geq 1} \left| \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}[q]^n} S(\alpha, \beta) e(v \cdot \beta) (\varphi_J * \mathcal{K}_s * M_{-\beta} f)(x) \right|^p,$$

which equals

$$Q_s^{-n} \sum_{v \in [Q_s]^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \sup_{J \geq 1} |\varphi_J * \left[ \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}[q]^n} S(\alpha, \beta) e(v \cdot \beta) (\mathcal{K}_s * M_{-\beta} f) \right](x)|^p.$$

By  $\ell^p$  boundedness of the discrete Hardy–Littlewood maximal function the previous is bounded by

$$\lesssim Q_s^{-n} \sum_{v \in [Q_s]^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \left| \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}[q]^n} S(\alpha, \beta) e(v \cdot \beta) (\mathcal{K}_s * M_{-\beta} f)(x) \right|^p.$$

Changing variables back,  $v \mapsto u + x$  and then  $x \mapsto x - u$  we arrive at

$$Q_s^{-n} \sum_{u \in [Q_s]^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} \left| \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{q}[q]^n} S(\alpha, \beta) e(x \cdot \beta) (\mathcal{K}_s(\cdot - u) * M_{-\beta} f)(x) \right|^p.$$

For every fixed  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  we have by Lemma 4.3,

$$\| \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_s} |\mathcal{L}_{s, \alpha}[\mathcal{K}(\cdot - u)](D)f| \|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma p s} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)},$$

with constant not depending on  $u$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. REDUCTION TO MAJOR ARC PARAMETERS: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1

By interpolation with (3.1), we observe that it suffices to prove the claim for  $p = 2$ . To do this, we use the argument from our previous paper, see [9, §4]. Here we will only describe the necessary changes to that argument. To begin, it suffices to determine  $M > 0$  so that for all functions  $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus X_{j,M}$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(y) e(\lambda(x)|x-y|^{2d}) K_j(x-y) \mathbf{1}_{B_j}(y) \right\|_{\ell_x^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim j^{-\kappa} \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)},$$

for all large enough  $j$ , where  $B_j = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n : |y| \leq 2^j\}$ . Denoting the operator in the last display by  $T_{j,\lambda}$  we see that

$$T_{j,\lambda}(T_{j,\lambda})^* g(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g(y) \mathcal{K}_{j,\lambda}^\sharp(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{j,\lambda}^\sharp(x, y) &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e(\lambda(x)|z|^{2d} - \lambda(y)|y-x+z|^{2d}) \\ &\quad \times K_j(z) \overline{K_j(y-x+z)} \mathbf{1}_{B_j}(x-z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

The support of  $\mathcal{K}_{j,\lambda}^\sharp$  is contained in  $B_{j+2} \times B_{j+2}$ . Let

$$E_{j,\lambda,\kappa} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n : |\mathcal{K}_{j,\lambda}^\sharp(x, y)| \geq c_0 2^{-2jn} j^{-2\kappa}\},$$

with  $c_0$  to be determined. We will show that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  large enough so that for every  $\kappa > 1$  there exists  $c_0 > 0$  so that for all  $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus X_{j,C_1\kappa}$  and  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$|E_{j,\lambda,\kappa}| \lesssim 2^{nj} j^{-4\kappa}. \quad (5.2)$$

With the pointwise estimate

$$|\mathcal{K}_{j,\lambda}^\sharp(x, y)| \lesssim 2^{-2nj} j^{-2\kappa} \mathbf{1}_{B_{j+2} \times B_{j+2}}(x, y) + 2^{-2nj} \mathbf{1}_{E_{j,\lambda,\kappa}}(x, y),$$

the relation (5.2) then implies

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{j,\lambda}^\sharp\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim j^{-2\kappa},$$

as long as  $\lambda(y) \notin X_{j,C_1\kappa}$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and  $\ell^2$  duality then give the desired estimate. It remains to show (5.2). The key to this is the following statement.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The constants  $c_0$  and  $\delta_0$  can be chosen such that for every  $(x, y) \in E_{j,\lambda,\kappa}$ , and  $j$  large enough there exists a reduced rational  $\frac{a}{q}$  with  $q \leq 2d \cdot j^{\delta_0}$  such that*

$$|(x_1 - y_1)\lambda(y) - \frac{a}{q}| \leq 2^{-j(2d-1)} j^{\delta_0}. \quad (5.3)$$

*Proof.* The coefficient of  $z_1^{2d-1}$  in the phase of (5.1) is equal to  $2d(x_1 - y_1)\lambda(y)$ . Applying Proposition 2.2 (with  $\gamma = 2\kappa$ ,  $R = 2^j$ ,  $\alpha_0 = (2d - 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ) we obtain constants  $c_0 > 0$  and  $\delta_0 > 0$  so that if

$$|2d(x_1 - y_1)\lambda(y) - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{q^2}, \quad q \leq 2^j(2d-1)j^{-\delta_0} \quad (5.4)$$

and  $q \geq j^{\delta_0}$  hold for a reduced rational  $\frac{a}{q}$ , then  $|\mathcal{K}_{j,\lambda}^\sharp(x, y)| < c_0 2^{jn} j^{-2\kappa}$ . Dirichlet's approximation theorem on the other hand implies the existence of a reduced rational  $\frac{a}{q}$  so that

(5.4) holds. Since  $(x, y) \in E_{j, \lambda, \kappa}$  means that  $|\mathcal{K}_{j, \lambda}^\#(x, y)| \geq c_0 2^{jn} j^{-2\kappa}$ , we must have  $q \leq j^{\delta_0}$ . Dividing through by  $2d$  gives the claim.  $\square$

Observe that if we replace  $\delta_0$  by any larger number, the conclusion continues to hold. In particular, we may assume that  $\delta_0 > 4\kappa$ .

Fix  $(x', y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$  and let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z} : (x_1, x', y) \in E_{j, \lambda, \kappa}\}.$$

It will now suffice to determine  $C_1 > 0$  so that for all  $\kappa > 1$  and  $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus X_{j, C_1 \kappa}$  we have

$$|\mathcal{E}| \leq 2^j j^{-\delta_0} \leq 2^j j^{-4\kappa}.$$

We argue by contradiction and assume

$$|\mathcal{E}| > 2^j j^{-\delta_0}.$$

Then we follow exactly the same argument as in [9, (4.9) etc.], where every occurrence of  $2^{\varepsilon_0 j}$  is to be replaced by  $j^{\delta_0}$  and the role of [9, (4.6)] is taken up by (5.3) above. This gives (assuming that  $j$  is large enough) that

$$\lambda(y) \in X_{j, 10\delta_0},$$

resulting in a contradiction if  $C_1 \kappa \geq 10\delta_0$ , which holds as long as  $C_1$  is large enough.

## 6. ERROR ESTIMATE: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2

The key estimate to verify is that

$$|E_{j, \lambda, M}(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{-\delta j} \tag{6.1}$$

for some constant  $\delta > 0$  (independent of  $M$ ). Also keeping in mind that  $|\partial_\lambda E_{j, \lambda, M}(\xi)| \lesssim 2^{2dj}$  and using the fundamental theorem of calculus to estimate the supremum (precisely, using [9, Lemma 5.1]), the inequality (6.1) implies

$$\| \sup_{\lambda \in X_{j, M}} |E_{j, \lambda, M}(D)f| \|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim j^{\frac{3}{2}M} 2^{-\frac{\delta}{2}j} \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

Interpolating this with the trivial estimate

$$\| \sup_{\lambda \in X_{j, M}} |E_{j, \lambda, M}(D)f| \|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)},$$

valid for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we obtain the claim. Finally, (6.1) follows from the same argument as in [9, §5]; the only change being that every occurrence of  $2^{j\varepsilon_1}$  is replaced by  $j^M$  (the term  $2^{j\varepsilon_2}$  remains unchanged; the case distinction  $1 \leq s_0 \leq \varepsilon_1 j$  vs.  $\varepsilon_1 j \leq s_0 \leq \varepsilon_2 j$  becomes  $2^{s_0} \leq j^M$  vs.  $j^M \leq 2^{s_0} \leq 2^{\varepsilon_2 j}$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jean Bourgain. On the maximal ergodic theorem for certain subsets of the positive integers. *Israel J. Math.* 61:39–72, 1988.
- [2] Jean Bourgain. On the pointwise ergodic theorem on  $L^p$  for arithmetic sets. *Israel J. Math.* 61:73–84, 1988.
- [3] Jean Bourgain. Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (69):5–45, 1989. With an appendix by the author, Harry Furstenberg, Yitzhak Katznelson and Donald S. Ornstein.

- [4] Michael Christ, Alexander Nagel, Elias M. Stein, Stephen Wainger. Singular and Maximal Radon Transforms: Analysis and Geometry. *Ann. Math.* (2) 150(2):489–577, 1999.
- [5] Shaoming Guo, Jonathan Hickman, Victor Lie, Joris Roos. Maximal operators and Hilbert transforms along variable non-flat homogeneous curves. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 115(1):177–219, 2017.
- [6] Shaoming Guo, Joris Roos, Po-Lam Yung. Sharp variation-norm estimates for oscillatory integrals related to Carleson’s theorem. *Analysis & PDE* 13(5):1457–1500, 2020.
- [7] Alexandru D. Ionescu, Stephen Wainger.  $L^p$  boundedness of discrete singular Radon transforms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19(2):357–383, 2006.
- [8] Ben Krause, Michael Lacey. A discrete quadratic Carleson theorem on  $\ell^2$  with a restricted supremum. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* (10):3180–3208, 2017.
- [9] Ben Krause, Joris Roos. Discrete analogues of maximally modulated singular integrals of Stein–Wainger type. arXiv:1907.00405v3, 2019. To appear in *JEMS*
- [10] Michael Lacey, Christoph Thiele. A proof of boundedness of the Carleson operator. *Math. Res. Lett.* 7(4):361–370, 2000.
- [11] Sanghyuk Lee, Keith M. Rogers, Andreas Seeger. Improved bounds for Stein’s square functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 104(6):1198–1234, 2012.
- [12] Victor Lie. The (weak- $L^2$ ) boundedness of the quadratic Carleson operator. *GAFSA* 19(2):457–497, 2009.
- [13] Andreas Seeger. Some inequalities for singular convolution operators in  $l^p$ -spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 308(1):259–272, 1988.
- [14] Mariusz Mirek. Square function estimates for discrete Radon transforms. *Analysis & PDE* 11(3):593–608, 2018.
- [15] Mariusz Mirek, Bartosz Trojan. Discrete maximal functions in higher dimensions and applications to ergodic theory. *Amer. J. Math.* 138(6):1495–1532, 2016.
- [16] Mariusz Mirek, Elias M. Stein, Bartosz Trojan.  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ –estimates for discrete operators of Radon type: Maximal functions and vector-valued estimates. *J. Funct. Anal.* 277(8):2471–2521, 2019.
- [17] Mariusz Mirek, Elias M. Stein, Bartosz Trojan.  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ –estimates for discrete operators of Radon type: variational estimates. *Invent. Math.* 209(3):665–748, 2017.
- [18] Elias M. Stein. Oscillatory integrals related to Radon-like transforms. *Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Jean-Pierre Kahane (Orsay, 1993)*. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 1995, Special Issue, 535–551.
- [19] Elias M. Stein, Stephen Wainger. Discrete analogues of singular Radon transforms. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 23(2):537–544, 1990.
- [20] Elias M. Stein, Stephen Wainger. Discrete analogues in harmonic analysis. I.  $\ell^2$  estimates for singular Radon transforms. *Amer. J. Math.*, 121(6):1291–1336, 1999.
- [21] Elias M. Stein, Stephen Wainger. Oscillatory integrals related to Carleson’s theorem. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 8(5-6):789–800, 2001.
- [22] Terence Tao. The Ionescu-Wainger multiplier theorem and the adèles. *Mathematika*, 67(3):647–677, 2021.

BK: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING’S COLLEGE LONDON, WC2R 2LS, UK

*Email address:* ben.krause@kcl.ac.uk

JR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS LOWELL, USA, & SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, SCOTLAND, UK

*Email address:* jroos.math@gmail.com