

THE MEAN-FIELD LIMIT FOR HYBRID MODELS OF COLLECTIVE MOTIONS WITH CHEMOTAXIS,

ROBERTO NATALINI AND THIERRY PAUL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a general class of hybrid mathematical models of collective motions of cells under the influence of chemical stimuli. The models are hybrid in the sense that cells are discrete entities given by ODE, while the chemoattractant is considered as a continuous signal which solves a diffusive equation. For this model we prove the mean-field limit in the Wasserstein distance to a system given by the coupling of a Vlasov-type equation with the chemoattractant equation. Our approach and results are not based on empirical measures, but rather on marginals of large number of individuals densities, and we show the limit with explicit bounds, by proving also existence and uniqueness for the limit system. In the monokinetic case we derive new pressureless nonlocal Euler-type model with chemotaxis.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The main results	6
3. Technical Preliminaries	9
3.1. Wasserstein distances	9
3.2. The diffusion term	10
3.3. Propagation of Wasserstein type estimates	11
4. From particles to Liouville-Vlasov	11
5. From Liouville-Vlasov to Vlasov	15
Estimating $\tau_{\rho^{in}}(t)$	17
6. Hydrodynamic limit	17
7. Estimates on the solution of the particle system	18
8. Existence, uniqueness and Dobrushin stability for the Vlasov system	20
9. Existence, uniqueness and Dobrushin estimate for the Liouville-Vlasov system	25
Appendix A. Proof of Theorem 3.6	27
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

A collective motion occurs when the behaviour of a group of individuals is dominated by the mutual interaction between them. This behaviour arises in many different contexts both for non-living and living systems, as for instance nematic fluids, simple

robots, bacteria colonies, flocks of birds, schools of fishes, human crowds, see for instance [42]. In a nutshell, all microscopic mathematical models of collective motion are based on one or more of the following elementary mechanisms: *alignment*, see [41], [7], and references therein, *separation* and *cohesion* [11, 38]. Concerning alignment models, a popular one is represented by the Cucker-Smale model [7], which was originally proposed to describe the dynamics in flocks of birds, but then it was extended to cover more general phenomena, as for instance animal herding [10]. The hypothesis underlying to the Cucker-Smale model is that the force acting on every individual is a weighted average of the differences of its velocity with those of the others, and this force decays when the mutual distance between the individuals increases. Some preliminary analytical results about the time asymptotic behaviour of the model has been proven in [7, 23], and then a lot of papers investigated the behaviour of this dynamical model in many directions, see for instance [6] and [33] for a comprehensive list of references.

In recent years, there was a lot of interest about collective motion of cells driven by chemical stimuli, see [39, 3, 2, 37, 29, 9, 36], and the reviews [25, 31]. Focusing on the family of Cucker-Smale models, in [12] a model for the morphogenesis in the zebrafish lateral line primordium was proposed, where a Cucker-Smale model was coupled with other cell mechanisms (chemotaxis, attraction-repulsion, damping effects) to describe the formation of neuromasts, see [17, 28] for the experimental basis of this model. The description of the cell behaviour is hybrid: while particles are considered discrete entities, endowed with a radius R describing their circular shape, the chemical signal φ is supposed to be continuous and its time derivative is equal to a diffusion term, a source term depending on the position of each particle, and a degradation term. A simplified version of the model in [12] was proposed in [13] to allow a full analytical investigation. This simplified model reads as follows:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = v_i, \\ \dot{v}_i = \frac{\beta}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\|x_i - x_j\|^2}{R^2}\right)^\sigma} (v_j - v_i) + \eta \nabla_x \varphi(x_i), \\ \partial_t \varphi = D \Delta \varphi - \kappa \varphi + f(x, X(t)), \end{cases}$$

Initial data are given by initial position and velocity for each particle:

$$X(0) = X_0, \quad V(0) = V_0,$$

with $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $V = (v_1, \dots, v_N)$, and by the initial concentration of signal, that it is assumed

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x, 0) := \varphi_0 = 0.$$

Here x_i, v_i are the position and velocity of the i -th cell and φ stands for a generic chemical signal produced by the cells themselves and such that the cells are attracted towards the direction where $\nabla_x \varphi$ is growing. For this simple model in [13] a full analytical theory was developed in the two-dimensional case with a fixed but arbitrary

number N of particles, and results of globally in time existence and uniqueness of solutions were proved, as well as the time-asymptotic linear stability. Other analytical results, for more general hybrid models, can be found in [32].

In this paper we aim to prove the mean-field limit for a general class of models including (1) towards Vlasov type kinetic equations, together with the hydrodynamic mean-field limit of such models towards Euler type equations, coupled with chemotaxis. To our knowledge, both limits, and the related kinetic and Euler equations, and a fortiori their rigorous derivation, are new in the literature.

Let us describe the class of particle systems we will handle in the present article.

Consider on $\mathbf{R}^{2dN} \ni ((x_i(t))_{i=1,\dots,N}, (v_i(t))_{i=1,\dots,N}) := (X(t), V(t))$ the following vector field

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = v_i \\ \dot{v}_i(t) = F_i(t, X(t), V(t)) \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (X(0), V(0)) = (X^{in}, V^{in}) :$$

where

$$(4) \quad F_i(t, X, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(v_i - v_j, x_i - x_j) + \eta \nabla_x \varphi^t(x_i) + F_{ext}(x_i),$$

γ is the collective interaction function, F_{ext} is an external force and φ satisfies the equation

$$(5) \quad \partial_s \varphi^s(x) = D \Delta_x \varphi - \kappa \varphi + f(x, X(s)), \quad s \in [0, t], \quad \varphi^{s=0} = \varphi^{in}$$

for some $\kappa, D, \eta \geq 0$ and function f of the form

$$(6) \quad f(x, X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \chi(x - x_j), \quad \chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^1.$$

The function $\gamma : \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d$ is supposed to be Lipschitz continuous¹.

The case $\gamma(y, w) = \psi(y)w$, $F = \varphi = 0$, ψ bounded Lipschitz, covers the standard case of Cucker-Smale models.

For any fixed function φ^{in} and any t, N we define the mapping $\Phi_N^t = \Phi^t$ by

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_N^t : & \mathbf{R}^{2dN} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2dN} \\ Z^{in} = (X^{in}, V^{in}) & \longrightarrow Z(t) = (X(t), V(t)) \text{ solution of (3, 4, 5, 6)}. \end{cases}$$

Note that Φ_N^t is not a flow.

We would like to derive a kinetic model corresponding to system (3, 4, 5, 6), that is the one particle (non-linear) PDE satisfied by the first marginal of the push-forward²

¹through this paper we define $\text{Lip}(f)$ for $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m, m, n \in \mathbf{N}$, as $\text{Lip}(f) := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (\text{Lip}(f_i))^2}$.

²We recall that the pushforward of a measure μ by a measurable function Φ is $\Phi\#\mu$ defined by $\int \varphi d(\Phi\#\mu) := \int (\varphi \circ \Phi) d\mu$ for every test function φ .

$\Phi^t \# \rho^{in}$ where $\rho^{in} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2dN})$, the space of probability measures on \mathbf{R}^{2dN} and Φ_N^t is the mapping defined by (7).

The first difficulty is the fact that $\rho_N^t := \Phi_N^t \# \rho_N^{in}$ does not satisfy a closed PDE, except if $\rho_N^{in} = \rho_{\bar{Z}}$ where

$$(8) \quad \rho_{\bar{Z}} := \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\Sigma \in \Sigma_N} \delta_{\sigma(\bar{Z})}, \quad \bar{Z} := (\bar{X}, \bar{V}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN}.$$

Here Σ_N is the group of permutations of N elements and

$$(9) \quad \sigma(\bar{Z}) = \sigma(\bar{X}, \bar{V}) := (\bar{x}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \bar{x}_{\sigma(N)}, \bar{v}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \bar{v}_{\sigma(N)}).$$

In this case $\rho_N^t := \rho_{\Phi^t(\bar{Z})}$ satisfies

$$(10) \quad \partial_t \rho_N^t + V \cdot \nabla_X \rho_N^t = \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{v_i} \cdot G_i \rho_N^t$$

where

$$(11) \quad G_i(t, X, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(v_i - v_j, x_i - x_j) + \eta \nabla_x \Psi^t(x_i) + F_{ext}(x_i),$$

Ψ (and therefore G_i too) depends on the solution ρ_N^t and satisfies the equation

$$(12) \quad \partial_t \Psi^t(x) = D \Delta_x \Psi - \kappa \Psi + f(x, \rho_{N;1}^s), \quad s \in [0, t],$$

with g given by

$$(13) \quad f(x, \rho_{N;1}^s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \chi(x - y) \rho_{N;1}^s(y, \xi) dy d\xi,$$

where, denoting $\Phi_N^t(\bar{Z}) = (\bar{x}_1(t), \dots, \bar{x}_N(t), \bar{v}_1(t), \dots, \bar{v}_N(t))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_{N;1}^s(y, \xi) \\ &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d(N-1)}} \rho_N^t(y, x_2, \dots, x_N, \xi, v_2, \dots, v_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N dv_2 \dots dv_N \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d(N-1)}} \rho_{\Phi_N^t(\bar{Z})}(y, x_2, \dots, x_N, \xi, v_2, \dots, v_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N dv_2 \dots dv_N \quad (\text{see Lemma 4.1 below}) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(y - \bar{x}_i(t)) \delta(\xi - \bar{v}_i(t)) =: \mu_{\Phi_N^t(\bar{Z})} \quad (\text{see Lemma 4.1 below}). \end{aligned}$$

In turn, this suggests that the (non local in time) Vlasov equation associated to the particle system (3, 4, 5, 6) is

$$(14) \quad \partial_t \rho^t + v \cdot \nabla_x \rho^t = \nabla_v (\nu(t, x, v) \rho^t), \quad \rho^0 = \rho^{in}$$

where

$$(15) \quad \nu(t, x, v) = \gamma * \rho^t(x, v) + \eta \nabla_x \psi^t(x) + F_{ext}(x)$$

and ψ^s satisfies

$$(16) \quad \partial_s \psi^s(x) = D \Delta_x \psi - \kappa \psi^s + g(x, \rho^s), \quad \psi^0 = \varphi^{in}.$$

with

$$(17) \quad g(x, \rho^s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \chi(x - y) \rho^s(y, \xi) dy d\xi.$$

The kinetic equation associated to Cucker-Smale systems, introduced in [24], has been derived in [23, 21] and, for generalizations of type (3) with $\varphi = 0$ in [33], but, in all these papers, **without chemotaxis interaction**. We refer to [21, 33] for a large bibliography on the subject.

Let us finish this section by recalling the three following dynamics involved in this paper, denoted by (P) for (*Particles*), (LV) for (*Liouville-Vlasov*) and (V) for (*Vlasov*) and the strategy adopted in the proof of the main results:

$$(P) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}_i = v_i, \quad \dot{v}_i = F_i(t, X(t), V(t)), \quad (X(0), V(0)) = Z(0) = Z^{in} \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN} \\ F_i(t, X, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(v_i - v_j, x_i - x_j) + \eta \nabla_x \varphi^t(x)_i + F_{ext}(x_i), \\ \partial_s \varphi^s(x) = D \Delta_x \varphi - \kappa \varphi + f(x, X(s)), \quad s \in [0, t], \quad \varphi^0 = \varphi^{in}, \\ f(x, X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \chi(x - x_j); \end{array} \right.$$

$$(LV) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho_N^t + V \cdot \nabla_X \rho_N^t = \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{v_i} \cdot G_i \rho_N^t, \quad \rho_N^0 = \rho_N^{in} = (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2dN}) \\ G_i(t, X, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(v_i - v_j, x_i - x_j) + \eta \nabla_z \Psi^t(x_i) + F_{ext}(x_i), \\ \partial_s \Psi^s(x) = D \Delta_x \Psi - \kappa \Psi + g(x, \rho_{N;1}^s), \quad s \in [0, t], \quad \Psi^0 = \varphi^{in}, \\ g(x, \rho_{N;1}^s) = \chi * \rho_{N;1}^s(x); \end{array} \right.$$

$$(V) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho^t + v \cdot \nabla_x \rho^t = \nabla_v (\nu(t, x, v) \rho^t), \quad \rho^0 = \rho^{in} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \\ \nu(t, x, v) = \gamma * \rho^t(x, v) + \eta \nabla_x \psi^t(x) + F_{ext}(x), \\ \partial_s \psi^s(x) = D \Delta_x \psi - \kappa \psi + g(x, \rho^s), \quad \psi^0 = \varphi^{in}. \\ g(x, \rho^s) = \chi * \rho^s(x). \end{array} \right.$$

Note that $(\chi * \rho_{N;1}(t))(x) = (\tilde{\chi} * \rho)(x, \dots, x)$, $\tilde{\chi}(X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \chi(x_j)$.

The strategy of our approach can be summarized by the following estimates that we will establish in some Wasserstein topology,

$$\begin{cases} (\Phi_N^t \# \rho_N^{in})_{N;1} \sim (\rho_N^t)_{N;1}(t), & \Phi_N^t \text{ solution of } (L), \rho_N^t \text{ of } (LV) \text{ with } \rho_N^0 = \rho_N^{in} \\ \rho_N^{in} = (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N} \\ (\rho_N^t)_{N;1} \sim \rho^t, & \rho^t \text{ solution of } (V) \text{ with } \rho^0 = \rho^{in}, \end{cases}$$

so that, by triangle inequality,

$$(\Phi_N^t \# \rho_N^{in})_{N;1} \sim \rho^t$$

with Φ_N^t solution of (L) and ρ^t solution of (V) with $\rho^0 = (\rho_N^{in})_{N;1}$.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let ρ^{in} be a compactly supported probability on \mathbf{R}^{2dN} , let Φ_N^t be the mapping generated by the particles system (3, 4, 5, 6) as defined by (7), and let $\tau_{\rho^{in}}$ be the function defined in formula (41) below.*

Then, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$W_2 \left((\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}, \rho^t \right)^2 \leq \tau_{\rho^{in}}(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d = 1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N & d = 2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d > 2 \end{cases}$$

where ρ^t is the solution of the Vlasov equation (14, 15, 16, 17) with initial condition ρ^{in} provided by Theorem 8.1 below and W_2 is the quadratic Wasserstein distance whose definition is recalled in Definition 3.1.

Moreover, let us denote by $\varphi_{Z^{in}}^t$ the chemical density solution of (3, 4, 5, 6) with initial data (Z^{in}, φ^{in}) and by $\psi_{\rho^{in}}^t$ the one solution of (14, 15, 16, 17) with initial data $(\rho^{in}, \varphi^{in})$.

Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \|\nabla \varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_{\infty}^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N} (dZ^{in}) \leq \tau_c(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d = 1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N & d = 2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d > 2 \end{cases}$$

where τ_c is defined below by (21).

Finally, the functions $\tau(t), \tau_c(t)$ depend only on $t, \text{Lip}(\gamma), \text{Lip}(\chi), \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)$, and the supports of $\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}$ and ρ^t , and satisfies the following estimate for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\tau_{\rho^{in}}(t) \leq e^{e^{Ct}}, \quad \tau_c(t) \leq e^{e^{C_c t}}$$

for some constants C, C_c , depending on $\text{Lip}(\gamma), \text{Lip}(\chi), \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)$ and $|\text{supp}(\rho^{in})|$.

Corollary 2.2 (Hydrodynamic Euler limit). *Let $\mu^{in}, u^{in}, \varphi^{in}$ be such that the non local Euler system*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mu^t + \nabla(u^t \mu^t) = 0 \\ \partial_t(\mu^t u^t) + \nabla(\mu^t (u^t)^{\otimes 2}) = \mu^t \int \gamma(\cdot - y, u^t(\cdot) - u^t(y)) \mu^t(y) dy + \eta \mu^t \nabla \psi^t + \mu^t F \\ \partial_s \psi^s = D \Delta \psi - \kappa \psi + \chi * \mu^s, \quad s \in [0, t], \\ (\mu^0, u^0, \psi^0) = (\mu^{in}, u^{in}, \varphi^{in}) \in H^s, \quad s > \frac{d}{2} + 1. \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $\mu^t, u^t \in C([0, t]; H^s) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1})$, $\psi^t \in C([0, t]; H^s) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-2}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{s+1})$ and let

$$\rho^{in} = \mu^{in}(x) \delta(v - u^{in}(x)).$$

Then, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}, \mu^t(x) \delta(v - u^t(x)))^2 \leq \tau(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d = 1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N & d = 2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d > 2 \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{dN}} \|\nabla \varphi_{(X^{in}, u^{\otimes N}(X^{in}))}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_{\infty}^2 (\mu^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX^{in}) \leq \tau_c(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d = 1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N & d = 2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d > 2 \end{cases}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Clearly Theorem 2.1 links the dynamics of the particle system (3, 4, 5, 6) and the one driven by the Vlasov system (14, 15, 16, 17). As an intermediate step we will consider the N -body Liouville type one defined by (10, 11, 12, 13).

We will proceed in several steps.

Step 1 [Section 4]: we will show that the marginal $(\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}$ of the pushforward of the initial condition by the flow generated by the particle system (3, 4, 5, 6) and the marginal $(\rho_{N;1}^t)$ of the solution ρ_N^t of (10, 11, 12, 13) are close as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the same Wasserstein topology through an estimate for $W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^t)_{N;1})$.

Step 2 [Section 5] we will show that the marginal $(\rho_{N;1}^t)$ of the solution ρ_N^t of (10, 11, 12, 13), is close to the solution of a Vlasov type closed equation (14, 15, 16, 17) derived below in Wasserstein metric by estimating $W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N;1}, \rho^t)$.

Step 3: [particle density]: we will use the triangular inequality for W_2 :

$$W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}, \rho^t) \leq W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^t)_{N;1}) + W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N;1}, \rho^t).$$

The first part of Theorem 2.1 is then given by the estimate given by Proposition 4.3 - namely $W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^t)_{N;1}) \leq \beta_{\rho^{in}}(t) \sqrt{C_d(N)}$ - and the one given by Proposition 5.1 - namely $W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N;1}, \rho^t) \leq \frac{\alpha_{\rho^{in}}(t)}{\sqrt{N}}$.

Step 4: *[chemical density]*: the chemical density estimate is obtained through the triangle inequality. We get

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla \varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_\infty^2 &\leq \left(\|\nabla \varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t\|_\infty + \|\nabla \psi_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_\infty \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\|\nabla \varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t\|_\infty^2 + \|\nabla \psi_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_\infty^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{Z^{in}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{z_l^{in}}$ and $\psi_{\rho^{in}}^t$ solves (10, 11, 12, 13) with initial condition $(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N} = \mu_{Z^{in}}^{\otimes N}$.

Both terms in the right hand-side of (18) are estimated by Corollary 3.5:

$$(19) \quad \|\nabla \varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t\|_\infty^2 \leq t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\mu_{Z^{in}})^{\otimes N})_{N:1}, \rho_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t)^2$$

$$(20) \quad \|\nabla \psi_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_\infty^2 \leq t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 W_2(\rho_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t, \rho_{\rho^{in}}^t)^2,$$

where $\rho_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t$ (resp. $\rho_{\rho^{in}}^t$) is the solution of the Vlasov equation with initial condition $\mu_{Z^{in}}$ (resp. ρ^{in}).

$W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\mu_{Z^{in}})^{\otimes N}, \rho_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t)^2$ is estimated by the first estimate of Theorem 2.1 we just proved in Step 3 - namely $W_2((\Phi_N^t \# \mu_{Z^{in}}, \rho_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t)^2 \leq \tau_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d=1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2} \log N} & d=2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d>2 \end{cases}$, while $W_2(\rho_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t, \rho_{\rho^{in}}^t)^2$ by the Dobrushin estimate in Theorem 8.1 -namely $W_2(\rho_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}^t, \rho_{\rho^{in}}^t)^2 \leq 2e^{\Gamma(t)} W_2(\mu_{Z^{in}}, \rho^{in})^2$.

Therefore, by (18), (20) and (19),

$$\|\nabla \varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_\infty^2 \leq 2t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 \left(2e^{\Gamma(t)} W_2(\mu_{Z^{in}}, \rho^{in})^2 + \tau_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d=1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2} \log N} & d=2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d>2 \end{cases} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \|\nabla \varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla \psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_\infty^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N} (dZ^{in}) \\ &\leq 2t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 \left(2e^{\Gamma(t)} C M_2(\rho^{in}) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d=1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2} \log N} & d=2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d>2 \end{cases} + \tau_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d=1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2} \log N} & d=2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d>2 \end{cases} \right) \\ &= 2t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 (2e^{\Gamma(t)} C M_2(\rho^{in}) + \tau_{\mu_{Z^{in}}}(t)) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d=1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2} \log N} & d=2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d>2 \end{cases} \\ (21) \leq & 2t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 (2e^{\Gamma(t)} C M_2(\rho^{in}) + \bar{\tau}_{\rho^{in}}(t)) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d=1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2} \log N} & d=2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d>2 \end{cases} =: \tau_c(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d=1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2} \log N} & d=2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d>2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by (43) since one integrates in Z^{in} on the support of $(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}$ so that $\text{supp}(\mu_{Z^{in}}) \subset \text{supp}(\rho^{in})$.

Step 5: *[rate of convergence]*: the estimate for $\tau_{\rho^{in}}(t)$ is proven at the end of Section 5 (see formula (44)), the one for $\tau_c(t)$ follows by (21). \square

Proof of Corollary 2.2. Corollary 2.2 is a rephrasing of Theorem 2.1 in the monokinetic case, which is straightforward by using Theorem 6.1. \square

Remark 2.3. *As it is clear from the step 3 above, an alternative to the second statement in Theorem 2.1 is the following.*

$$\|\nabla\varphi_{Z^{in}}^t - \nabla\psi_{\rho^{in}}^t\|_\infty^2 \leq 2t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi)^2 \left(2e^{\Gamma(t)} W_2(\mu_{Z^{in}}, \rho^{in})^2 + \tau_{\rho^{in}}(t) \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d = 1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N & d = 2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d > 2 \end{cases} \right)$$

for each $Z^{in} \in \text{supp}((\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})$.

3. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES

In this section we establish or recall several results which will be intensively used in the core of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3.1. Wasserstein distances. Let us start this section by recalling the definition of the first and second order Wasserstein distance W_2 (see [43, 44]).

Definition 3.1 (quadratic Wasserstein distance). *The Wasserstein distance of order two between two probability measures μ, ν on \mathbf{R}^m with finite second moments is defined as*

$$W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^m} |x - y|^2 \gamma(dx, dy)$$

where $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ is the set of probability measures on $\mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^m$ whose marginals on the two factors are μ and ν .

Likewise is the first order Wasserstein distance W_1 between two probability measures μ, ν on \mathbf{R}^m with finite moments is defined by the following.

Definition 3.2.

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} f(\mu - \nu) \mid f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d}), \text{Lip}(f) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.3.

- (i) $W_1(\mu, \nu) \leq W_2(\mu, \nu),$
- (ii) $\sup_{\text{Lip } f \leq 1} \left| \int f(\mu - \nu) \right| = W_1(\mu, \nu) \leq W_2(\mu, \nu),$
- (iii) *The convergence in the weak topology (i.e., in the duality with $C_b(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$) of sequences of probability measures with supports equibounded is equivalent to the convergence with respect to the distance W_p , $p = 1, 2$ (in fact with respect to Wasserstein of all orders),*

Proof. The first and second items are exactly formulas (7.1) and (7.3) in [43], The third item is a straightforward consequence of [43, Theorem 7.12 (iii)], since the weak convergence of equisupported sequences of measures implies the convergence of all of their moments \square

Note that Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$(22) \quad \left| \int f(\mu - \nu) \right| \leq \text{Lip } f W_1(\mu, \nu) \leq \text{Lip } f W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

3.2. The diffusion term. The three equations (5), (12), (16), namely

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_s \varphi^s(z) &= D\Delta_z \varphi - \kappa \varphi + f(z, Y(s)), & \varphi^0 = \varphi^{in} \\ \partial_s \Psi^s(z) &= D\Delta_z \Psi - \kappa \Psi + g(z, \rho_{N;1}^s), & \Psi^0 = \varphi^{in} \\ \partial_s \psi^t(z) &= D\Delta_z \psi - \kappa \psi + g(z, \rho^s), & \psi^0 = \varphi^{in} \end{cases}$$

can be solved, denoting $\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, by

$$(24) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^t(z) \\ \Psi^t(z) \\ \psi^t(z) \end{pmatrix} = e^{-\kappa t} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)D\Delta_z} \begin{pmatrix} f(z, X(s)) \\ g(z, \rho_{N;1}^s) \\ g(z, \rho^s) \end{pmatrix} ds + e^{-\kappa t} e^{tD\Delta} \varphi^{in} \mathbf{I}$$

$$= e^{-\kappa t} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{e^{-\frac{(z-z')^2}{4D(t-s)}}}{(4\pi D(t-s))^{\frac{d}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} f(z', X(s)) \\ g(z', \rho_{N;1}^s) \\ g(z', \rho^s) \end{pmatrix} ds dz' + e^{-\kappa t} e^{tD\Delta} \varphi^{in} \mathbf{I}$$

Note that $\nabla_z \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^t(z) \\ \Psi^t(z) \\ \psi^t(z) \end{pmatrix}$ is given by the same formula after replacing χ by $\nabla \chi$ in the definitions of f and g .

The following lemma will be systematically used in the forthcoming sections.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\rho, \rho' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mu \in \text{Lip}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then, for all $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|(e^{t\Delta} \mu) * (\rho - \rho')\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq \text{Lip}(\mu) W_p(\rho, \rho'), \quad p = 1, 2.$$

Proof. On has

$$\begin{aligned} |(e^{t\Delta} \mu) * (\rho - \rho')(x_i)| &= \left| \int (e^{t\Delta} \mu)(x_i - z) (\rho - \rho')(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq \text{Lip}((e^{t\Delta} \mu)(x_i - \cdot)) W_2(\rho, \rho') \\ &\leq \text{Lip}(e^{t\Delta} \mu) W_2(\rho, \rho') \\ &\leq \text{Lip} \mu W_2(\rho, \rho') \end{aligned}$$

since, by Lemma 3.3,

$$\sup_{\text{Lip } f \leq 1} \left| \int f(d\mu - d\nu) \right| = W_1(\mu, \nu) \leq W_2(\mu, \nu),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(e^{t\Delta} \mu)(x) - (e^{t\Delta} \mu)(y)| &= |(e^{t\Delta}(\mu(x - \cdot) - \mu(y - \cdot)))(0)| \\ &\leq |\mu(x) - \mu(y)| \leq \text{Lip}(\mu) |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *Let φ^t and σ^t solve (23). Then*

$$\|\nabla \varphi^t - \nabla \psi^t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq t \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi) W_2((\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}, \rho^t).$$

3.3. Propagation of Wasserstein type estimates. In this paragraph, we establish a result used later as a black box, concerning the propagation of estimates in Wasserstein topology under general transport equation including the several types used in this paper.

Theorem 3.6. *Let us define the set of compactly supported probability measure on \mathbf{R}^{2dN} invariant by permutation:*

$$\mathcal{P}_c^p(\mathbf{R}^{2dN}) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_c(\mathbf{R}^{2dN}), \rho(\sigma(dZ)) = \rho(dZ), \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_N\}$$

where $\sigma(Z)$ is defined in (9).

Let us suppose that the two equations

$$(25) \quad \partial_t \rho_i^t + V \cdot \nabla_X \rho_i^t = \nabla_V \cdot (\mathbf{v}_i([\rho_i^{in}]^{\leq t}, X, V) \rho_i^t), \quad \rho_i^0 = \rho_i^{in} \in \mathcal{P}_c^p(\mathbf{R}^{2dN}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

have the property of existence and uniqueness of solutions in $C^0(\mathbf{R}^+, \mathcal{P}_c^p(\mathbf{R}^{2dN}))$.

Here

$$(26) \quad [\rho_i^{in}]^{\leq t} : s \in [0, t] \rightarrow \rho_i^s, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and $\mathbf{v}_i([\rho_i^{in}]^{\leq t}, X, V)$ is supposed to be invariant by permutations of the variables (x_j, v_j) , $j = 1, \dots, N$, is Lipschitz continuous with respect to (X, V) and satisfies the estimate

$$\mathbf{v}_i(\psi^{\leq t}, X, V) \leq \gamma_0 \|V\|, \quad i = 1, 2$$

for some constant $\gamma_0 < \infty$, uniformly in $X, V \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN}$, $\psi^{\leq t} : [0, t] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_c^p(\mathbf{R}^{2dN})$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let us finally define, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$(\rho_i^t)_{N;1}(x, v) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d(N-1)}} \rho_i^t(x, x_2, \dots, x_N; v, v_2, \dots, v_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N dv_2 \dots dv_N & N > 1 \\ \rho_i^t(x, v), & N = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, for all $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$, and all $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2((\rho_1^t)_{N;1}, (\rho_2^t)_{N;1})^2 &\leq e^{\int_0^t L_1(s) ds} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in})^2 \\ &+ \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1([\rho_1^{in}]^{\leq s}, Y, \Xi) - \mathbf{v}_2([\rho_2^{in}]^{\leq s}, Y, \Xi)|^2 \rho_2^s(dY, d\Xi) e^{\int_s^t L_1(u) du} ds \end{aligned}$$

with

$$L_1(t) = 2(1 + \sup_{(X,V) \in \text{supp}(\rho_1^t)} (\text{Lip}(\mathbf{v}_1(t, X, V))_{(X,V)})^2).$$

The proof on Theorem 3.6 is given in Appendix A.

4. FROM PARTICLES TO LIOUVILLE-VLASOV

In this section we estimate $W_2((\Phi_N^t \# \rho^{in})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^t)_{N;1})$, where Φ_N^t defined by (7) is generated by the particle system (3, 4, 5, 6) and ρ_N^t is the solution of the N -body Liouville type one defined by (10, 11, 12, 13) with initial data ρ^{in} .

Applying Theorem 3.6 with

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{v}_1([\rho^{in}]^{\otimes N}]^{\leq s}, X, V))_i &= F_i(t, X, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(v_i - v_j, x_i - x_j) + \eta \nabla_x \varphi^t(x_i) + F_{ext}(x_i) \\
(\mathbf{v}_2([\rho^{in}]^{\otimes N}]^{\leq s}, X, V))_i &= G_i(t, X, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(v_i - v_j, x_i - x_j) + \eta \nabla_x \Psi^t(x_i) + F_{ext}(x_i)
\end{aligned}$$

we get easily that, since the initial conditions are the same,

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad & W_2((\Phi_N^t \# \rho^{in})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^t)_{N;1})^2 \\
& \leq 4\eta \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |\nabla \varphi^s(x_i) - \nabla \Psi^s(x_i)|^2 (\Phi_N^s \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})(dX, dV) e^{\int_s^t \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) du} ds
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$(28) \quad \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) = 2 + 2 \left(\sup_{\substack{i,l=1,\dots,N \\ (X,V) \in \text{supp}(\rho_N^t)}} \text{Lip}(\gamma)_{(x_i - x_l, v_i - v_l)}^2 + 2u\eta \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi) + \text{Lip}(F_{ext}) \right)^2.$$

Therefore, we have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |\nabla \varphi^s(x_i) - \nabla \Psi^s(x_i)|^2 (\Phi_N^s \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})(dX, dV) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |\nabla \varphi^s(x_i^s(X, V)) - \nabla \Psi^s(x_i^s(X, V))|^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX, dV)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted

$$(30) \quad \Phi_N^t(X, V) =: (x_1^t(X, V), \dots, x_N^t(X, V), v_1^t(X, V), \dots, v_N^t(X, V))$$

i.e. $x_i^t(X, V)$ is the x_i -component of $\Phi_N^t(X, V)$,

We first remark that, in (23),

$$f(\cdot, X) = \chi * \mu_Z,$$

where, for any $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN}$, the empirical measure μ_Z is defined by

$$(31) \quad \mu_Z := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}$$

Therefore, by (24),

$$\nabla \varphi^s(x_i^s(X, V)) = e^{-\eta s} \int_0^s e^{(s-u)\Delta} \nabla \chi * \mu_{\Phi^u(X, V)}(x_i^s(X, V)) du$$

so that, denoting ∇_l , $l = 1, \dots, d$, the d components of the vector ∇ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(32) \quad & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |\nabla \varphi^s(x_i) - \nabla \Psi^s(x_i)|^2 (\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})(dX, dV) \\
&= e^{-2\kappa s} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \left| \int_0^s e^{(s-u)\Delta} \nabla \chi * (\mu_{\Phi^u(X,V)} - (\rho_N^u)_{N;1})(x_i^s(X, V)) du \right|^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX, dV), \\
&= e^{-2\kappa s} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \sum_{l=1}^d \left| \int_0^s e^{(s-u)\Delta} \nabla_l \chi * (\mu_{\Phi^u(X,V)} - (\rho_N^u)_{N;1})(x_i^s(X, V)) du \right|^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX, dV), \\
&\leq e^{-2\kappa s} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \sum_{l=1}^d \left| \int_0^s \|e^{(s-u)\Delta} \nabla_l \chi * (\mu_{\Phi^u(X,V)} - (\rho_N^u)_{N;1})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} du \right|^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX, dV), \\
&\leq e^{-2\kappa s} \sum_{l=1}^d \text{Lip}(\nabla_l \chi)^2 \int \left| \int_0^s W_2(\mu_{\Phi^u(X,V)}, (\rho_N^u)_{N;1}) du \right|^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX, dV), \\
&= e^{-2\kappa s} \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 \int \left| \int_0^s W_2(\mu_{\Phi^u(X,V)}, (\rho_N^u)_{N;1}) du \right|^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX, dV), \\
&= e^{-2\kappa s} \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 \int \left| \int_0^s W_2((\rho_{\Phi^u(X,V)})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^u)_{N;1}) du \right|^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dX, dV),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.4 for the second inequality and the following result for the last equality.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ρ_Z be defined by (8) and μ_Z by (31). Then*

$$\mu_Z = (\rho_Z)_{N;1}.$$

Proof. Let us recall that $\Sigma_N = \{\sigma : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}, \sigma \text{ one-to-one}\}$ so that $\#\Sigma_N = N!$. We have

$$\int \cdots \int \delta_{\sigma(Z)} dz_2 \dots dz_N = \int \cdots \int \delta_{\sigma(Z)} \prod_{l \neq \sigma(1)} dz_l$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \delta_{\sigma(Z)} \right)_{N;1} &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma_N \text{ int} \\ \sigma(l)=1}} \int \cdots \int \delta_{\sigma(Z)} \prod_{l \neq \sigma(1)} dz_l \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{\#\Sigma_{N-1}}{N!} \delta_{z_l} = \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{(N-1)!}{N!} \delta_{z_l} = \mu_Z.
\end{aligned}$$

□

By (10), $\rho_Z^s := \rho_{\Phi^s(Z)}$ solves the N -body Liouville type one defined by (10, 11, 12, 13) with initial data $\rho^{in} := \rho_Z$. Therefore, by the Dobrushin estimate in Theorem 9.1, one has

$$(33) \quad W_2((\rho_{\Phi^u(X,V)})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^u)_{N;1}) \leq 2e^{\Gamma_N(u)} W_2((\rho_Z)_{N;1}, ((\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})_{N;1}) = 2e^{\Gamma_N(u)} W_2(\mu_Z, \rho^{in}),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |(\nabla \varphi^s(x_i) - \nabla \Psi^s(x_i))|^2 (\Phi_N^t \# (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N})(dX, dV) \\
& \leq 4e^{-2\kappa s} \text{Lip}(\chi)^2 \left(\int_0^s e^{\Gamma_N(u)} du \right)^2 \int W_2(\mu_Z, \rho^{in})^2 (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}(dZ), \\
(34) \quad & \leq 4e^{-2\kappa s} \text{Lip}(\chi)^2 e^{\sup_{u \leq s} \Gamma_N(u)} s^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (x^2 + v^2) \rho^{in}(dx, dv) C \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d = 1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N & d = 2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d > 2 \end{cases},
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the following result by Fournier and Guillin:

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 1 in [16]). *Let $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ satisfy*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (x^2 + v^2) \rho(dx, dv) := M_2(\rho) < \infty.$$

and let $\mu_{(X,V)}$, $(X, V) \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN}$, be the empirical measure defined by (31). Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} W_2(\mu_{(X,V)}, \rho)^2 \rho^{\otimes N}(dXdV) \leq C_d(N) M_2(\rho),$$

where

$$C_d(N) := C \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} & d = 1 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log N & d = 2 \\ N^{-\frac{1}{d}} & d > 2 \end{cases}$$

where C depends only on d .

Therefore, we get by (27) and (34) the final result of this section.

Proposition 4.3.

$$W_2((\Phi_N^t \# \rho^{in})_{N;1}, (\rho_N^t)_{N;1}) \leq \beta_{\rho^{in}}(t) \sqrt{C_d(N)}$$

with

$$(35) \quad \beta_{\rho^{in}}(t)^2 = 16\eta^2 t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 e^{t \sup_{s \leq t} \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(s)} e^{2 \sup_{s \leq t} \Gamma_N(s)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (x^2 + v^2) \rho^{in}(dx, dv).$$

Remark 4.4. *Let us define $\bar{\beta}_{\rho^{in}} \geq \beta_{\rho^{in}}$ by*

$$(36) \quad \bar{\beta}_{\rho^{in}} := 16\eta^2 t^2 \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 e^{t \sup_{s \leq t} \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(s)} e^{2 \sup_{s \leq t} \Gamma_N(s)} \sup_{(x,v) \in \text{supp}(\rho^{in})} (x^2 + v^2).$$

By its definition (28), $\bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(t)$ is, for fixed t , an increasing function of $\text{supp}(\rho^{in})$ and, by Remark 9.4, Γ_N is independent of ρ^{in} . Therefore $\bar{\beta}_{\rho^{in}}(t)$ is, for fixed t , an increasing function of $\text{supp}(\rho^{in})$.

5. FROM LIOUVILLE-VLASOV TO VLASOV

In this section we estimate $W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N:1}, \rho^t)$, where ρ_N^t is the solution of the N -body Liouville type one defined by (10, 11, 12, 13) and ρ^t is the solution of the Vlasov system (14, 15, 16), with initial data $(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}$ and ρ^{in} .

We first remark that $(\rho^t)^{\otimes N}$ solves the equation

$$\partial_t (\rho^t)^{\otimes N} + V \cdot \nabla_X (\rho^t)^{\otimes N} = \nabla_V \cdot (\mathbf{v}_2([\rho^{in}]^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t}, X, V) (\rho^t)^{\otimes N},$$

with

$$\mathbf{v}_2([\rho^{in}]^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t}, \cdot, \cdot) := \nu([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t}, \cdot, \cdot)^{\otimes N}.$$

Therefore, applying again Theorem 3.6 taking this time

$$(\mathbf{v}_1([\rho^{in}]^{\otimes N}]^{\leq s}, X, V))_i = G_i(t, X, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(v_i - v_j, x_i - x_j) + \eta \nabla_x \Psi^t(x_i) + F_{ext}(x_i)$$

$$(\mathbf{v}_2([\rho^{in}]^{\otimes N}]^{\leq s}, X, V))_i = \nu([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t}, x_i, v_i) = \gamma * \rho^t(x_i, v_i) + \eta \nabla_x \psi^t(x_i) + F_{ext}(x_i),$$

we get easily that

$$\begin{aligned} W_2((\rho_1^t)_{N:1}, (\rho_2^t)_{N:1})^2 &\leq 4 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \left(\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(x_i - x_j, v_i - v_j) - \gamma * \rho^s(x_i, v_i) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \eta^2 |(\nabla \psi^s(x_i) - \nabla \Psi^s(x_i))|^2 \right) (\rho^s)^{\otimes N}(dX, dV) e^{\int_s^t \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) du} ds, \end{aligned}$$

with the same factor $\bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}$ as in Section 4, namely

$$(37) \quad \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) = 2 + 2 \left(\sup_{\substack{i, l=1, \dots, N \\ (X, V) \in \text{supp}(\rho_N^t)}} \text{Lip}(\gamma)_{(x_i - x_l, v_i - v_l)}^2 + 2u\eta \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi) + \text{Lip}(F_{ext}) \right)^2.$$

The first term in the integral has been estimated in [33, Lemma 3.5, Section 3] and we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(x_i - x_j, v_i - v_j) - \gamma * \rho^s(x_i, v_i) \right|^2 (\rho^s)^{\otimes N}(dX, dV) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{N} \sup_{(x, v), (x', v') \in \text{supp}(\rho^t)} |\gamma(x - x', v - v')|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate

$$(38) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |(\nabla \psi^s(x_i) - \nabla \Psi^s(x_i))|^2 (\rho^t)^{\otimes N}(dX, dV).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\nabla \Psi^s(x_i) - \nabla \psi^s(x_i)|^2 \\
&= e^{-2\kappa s} \left| \int_0^s (e^{(s-s')\Delta} \nabla \chi) * ((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1} - \rho^{s'})(x_i) ds' \right|^2 \\
&= e^{-2\kappa s} \int_0^s ds' \int_0^s ds'' ((e^{(s-s')\Delta} \nabla \chi) * ((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1} - \rho^{s'})(x_i)) ((e^{(s-s'')\Delta} \nabla \chi) * ((\rho_N^{s'')_{N;1}} - \rho^{s''})(x_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

But, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\left| (e^{(t-s)\Delta} \nabla \chi) * ((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1} - \rho^{s'})(x_i) \right| \leq \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi) W_2((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1}, \rho^{s'}).$$

Therefore

$$|\nabla \Psi^s(x_i) - \nabla \psi^s(x_i)|^2 \leq \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)^2 e^{-2\kappa s} \int_0^s \int_0^s W_2((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1}, \rho^{s'}) W_2((\rho_N^{s''})_{N;1}, \rho^{s''}) ds' ds'',$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N;1}, \rho^t)^2 &\leq \frac{4}{N} \int_0^t \sup_{(x,v), (x',v') \in \text{supp}(\rho^t)} |\gamma(x-x', v-v')|^2 e^{\int_s^t \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) du} ds \\
&+ \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t L_{\rho^{in}}(u) du} \int_0^s ds' \int_0^s ds'' W_2((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1}, \rho^{s'}) W_2((\rho_N^{s''})_{N;1}, \rho^{s''}) ds \\
&:= \frac{C_{\rho^{in}}(t)}{N} + \eta \text{Lip} \nabla \chi^2 \int_0^t e^{-2\kappa s} e^{\int_s^t \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) du} ds \times \\
&\int_0^s ds' \int_0^s ds'' W_2((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1}, \rho^{s'}) W_2((\rho_N^{s''})_{N;1}, \rho^{s''})
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Let us define

$$f(t) = \sup_{0 \leq t' \leq t} W_2((\rho_N^{t'})_{N;1}, \rho^{t'})^2.$$

We have, since by the definition (39), $C_{\rho^{in}}(t)$ is not decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t) &\leq \frac{C_{\rho^{in}}(t)}{N} + \eta \text{Lip} \nabla \chi^2 \int_0^t e^{-2\kappa s} e^{\int_s^t \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) du} ds \times \\
&\int_0^s ds' \int_0^s ds'' W_2((\rho_N^{s'})_{N;1}, \rho^{s'}) W_2((\rho_N^{s''})_{N;1}, \rho^{s''}) \\
&\leq \frac{C_{\rho^{in}}(t)}{N} + \eta \text{Lip} \nabla \chi^2 \int_0^t e^{-2\kappa s} e^{\int_s^t \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u) du} s^2 f(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude by the Grönwall Lemma,

$$(40) \quad W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N;1}, \rho^t)^2 \leq f(t) \leq \frac{C_{\rho^{in}}(t)}{N} e^{\eta \text{Lip} \nabla \chi^2 \int_0^t e^{\sup_{u \leq s} \bar{L}_{\rho^{in}}(u)} \frac{s^2}{2} ds} := \frac{\alpha_{\rho^{in}}(t)^2}{N},$$

and get the final result of this section.

Proposition 5.1.

$$W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N;1}, \rho^t) \leq \frac{\alpha_{\rho^{in}}(t)}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Out of $\alpha_{\rho^{in}}, \beta_{\rho^{in}}$, defined in (35)-(39) we define

$$(41) \quad \tau_{\rho^{in}}(t) = \left(\alpha_{\rho^{in}}(t) + \beta_{\rho^{in}}(t) \sqrt{C} \right)^2,$$

where C is the constant appearing in Theorem 4.2.

One sees immediately that $C_{\rho^{in}}(t)$ defined by (39) is, for fixed t , an increasing function of $\text{supp}(\rho^{in})$. Therefore so is $\alpha_{\rho^{in}}(t)$ as defined by (40) so that, if we define

$$(42) \quad \bar{\tau}_{\rho^{in}}(t) = \left(\alpha_{\rho^{in}}(t) + \bar{\beta}_{\rho^{in}}(t) \sqrt{C} \right)^2,$$

where C is the constant appearing in Theorem 4.2, we have

$$(43) \quad \text{supp}(\rho_1^{in}) \subset \text{supp}(\rho_2^{in}) \Rightarrow \tau_{\rho_1^{in}} \leq \bar{\tau}_{\rho_1^{in}} \leq \bar{\tau}_{\rho_2^{in}}.$$

Estimating $\tau_{\rho^{in}}(t)$.

By the same type of arguments than in the proof of [33, Corollary 2.6] one can easily estimate $\tau_{\rho^{in}}(t)$ by using the estimates of Φ_N^t established in Proposition 7.2 and Theorem 7.3, on the support of ρ_N^t given by Theorem 8.1 and the support of ρ^t in Theorem 8.1. We omit the details here.

We get, for some time independent constant C depending explicitly on and only on $\text{Lip}(\gamma, \text{Lip}(\chi), \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi)$ and $|\text{supp}(\rho^{in})|$,

$$(44) \quad \tau_{\rho^{in}}(t) \leq e^{e^{Ct}}.$$

6. HYDRODYNAMIC LIMIT

The hydrodynamic limit of Cucker-Smale models has provided up to now a large litterature, whose exhaustive quotation is beyond the scope of the present paper. We refer to [6] and the large bibliography therein. In [6], the corresponding Euler equation is derived for Cucker-Smale systems with friction, using the empirical measures formalism and in a modulated energy topology.

Our approach and results are different: we consider generalizations of frictionless Cucker-Smale models, coupled to chemotaxis through a diffusive interaction, for large numbers N of particles and we provide explicit rates of convergences in the quadratic Wasserstein metric towards Euler type equations.

Our result happens to be a simple corollary of our main result Theorem 2.1 in the case where ρ^{in} is monokinetic, i.e.

$$\rho^{in}(x, v) = \mu^{in}(x) \delta(v - u^{in}(x))$$

thanks to the following result: the monokinetic form is preserved by the Vlasov equation (14) and the solution is furnished by the solution of a Euler type equation.

Theorem 6.1. *Let μ^t, u^t, ψ^t be a solution to the following system*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mu^t + \nabla(u^t \mu^t) = 0 \\ \partial_t(\mu^t u^t) + \nabla(\mu^t (u^t)^{\otimes 2}) = \mu^t \int \gamma(\cdot - y, u^t(\cdot) - u^t(y)) \mu^t(y) dy + \eta \mu^t \nabla \psi^t + \mu^t F \\ \partial_s \psi^s = D \Delta \psi - \kappa \psi + \chi * \mu^s, \quad s \in [0, t], \\ (\mu^0, u^0, \psi^0) = (\mu^{in}, u^{in}, \psi^{in}) \in H^s, \quad s > \frac{d}{2} + 1. \end{cases}$$

where $\mu^t, u^t \in C([0, t]; H^s) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1})$, $\psi^t \in C([0, t]; H^s) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-2}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{s+1})$ ³.

Then $\rho^t(x, v) := \mu^t(x) \delta(v - u^t(x))$ solves the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^t + v \cdot \nabla_x \rho^t = \nabla_v(\nu(t, x, v) \rho^t), \\ \nu(t, x, v) = \gamma(x, v) * \rho^t + \eta \nabla_x \psi^t(x) + F_{ext}(x), \\ \partial_s \psi^s(z) = D \Delta_z \psi - \kappa \psi + g(z, \rho^s), \quad \psi^0 = \psi^{in}, \\ \rho^0(x, v) = \mu^{in}(x) \delta(v - u^{in}(x)). \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $\eta = 0$, the derivation of (45) out of (3.4) is standard, see e.g. [15] and [6, Section 1.2]. The addition of the term $\eta \nabla_x \psi^t$ is a straightforward generalization. \square

Notice that the Euler system in Theorem 6.1 can be compared to the so-called Preziosi model of vasculogenesis, where the nonlocal term in our Euler system is replaced by a phenomenological pressure gradient local in the density, see [35].

7. ESTIMATES ON THE SOLUTION OF THE PARTICLE SYSTEM

Global existence and uniqueness for the system (P) has been proved when γ is exactly the Cucker-Smale field in [12]. It is straightforward to adapt the proofs to the case of a general γ satisfying the hypothesis of the present paper. This situation is anyway fully included in [32, Theorem 6] and we have the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\text{Lip}(\gamma), \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi) < \infty$ and let $Z^{in} \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN}$. Then, for any $N \in \mathbf{N}$, the Cauchy problem*

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = v_i, \quad \dot{v}_i = F_i(t, X(t), V(t)), \quad (X(0), V(0)) = Z(0) = Z^{in} \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN} \\ F_i(t, Y, W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(w_i - w_j, y_i - y_j) + \eta \nabla_z \varphi^t(z)|_{z=y_i} + F_{ext}(y_i), \\ \partial_s \varphi^s(z) = D \Delta_z \varphi - \kappa \varphi + f(z, X(s)), \quad s \in [0, t], \\ f(z, X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \chi(z - x_j). \end{cases}$$

has a unique global solution in $C^0(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^{2dN})$.

³We suppose this regularity because it is somehow standard for mixed hyperbolic-parabolic systems (see [30, Theorem 2.9 p. 34], one certainly could low it down).

Estimates on the solution of (P) can be easily obtained by the same kind of proof that in [33, Appendix A]. We get the following result.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\gamma_0 = \text{Lip}(\gamma) + \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi)$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, the solution of (P) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} |v_i(t)| &\leq \max_{j=1,\dots,N} |v_j(0)| e^{2\gamma_0 t}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ ||x_1(t)| - |x_i(0)|| &\leq \max_{j=1,\dots,N} |v_j(0)| \frac{e^{2\gamma_0 t} - 1}{2\gamma_0}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we will need the following estimate on the derivative of the flow generated by the system (P) .

Theorem 7.3. *Let $z_i(t) = x_i(t), v_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ be the solution of (P) with initial date $z_i(0) = z_i^{\text{in}}$. Then, for all $T \in \mathbf{R}$,*

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \left| \frac{\partial z_i(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| \leq e^{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 T)t}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N$$

with $\gamma_1 = \text{Lip}(\gamma), \gamma_2 = \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi)$.

In other words,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|d\Phi_N^t\|_\infty \leq e^{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 T)t}.$$

Proof. One easily get that, for each $i, j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\left| \partial_t \frac{\partial z_i(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| \leq \text{Lip}(\gamma) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial z_l(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| + \eta \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \frac{\partial z_l(s)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| ds$$

Therefore, since the right hand-side of the preceding equality doesn't depend on i ,

$$\left| \partial_t \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial z_i(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| \leq \text{Lip}(\gamma) \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial z_l(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| + \eta \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi) \int_0^t \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial z_l(s)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| ds$$

so that, since

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial z_i(0)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial z_i^{\text{in}}}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{i,j} = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial z_i(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} - 1 \right| &\leq \text{Lip}(\gamma) \int_0^t \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial z_l(u)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| du + \eta \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi) \int_0^t \int_0^u \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial z_l(s)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| ds du \\ &\leq (\text{Lip}(\gamma) + T\eta \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi)) \int_0^t \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial z_l(u)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| du \end{aligned}$$

and, by Grönwall Lemma,

$$\left| \frac{\partial z_i(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial z_i(t)}{\partial z_j^{\text{in}}} \right| \leq e^{\gamma_1 t + \gamma_2 T t}.$$

□

8. EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND DOBRUSHIN STABILITY FOR THE VLASOV SYSTEM

In this section we study the Vlasov system (V) and prove the properties that satisfy its solution necessary for the proof of our main result.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\text{Lip}(\gamma), \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi) < \infty$ and let $\nu^{in} \in \mathcal{P}_c(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, the set of compactly supported probability measures. Then the Cauchy problem*

$$(V) \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^t + v \cdot \nabla_x \rho^t = \nabla_v(\nu(t, x, v) \rho^t), & \rho^0 = \rho^{in} \\ \nu(t, x, v) = \gamma * \rho^t(x, v) + \eta \nabla_x \psi^t(x) + F_{ext}(x), \\ \partial_s \psi^s(z) = D \Delta_z \psi - \kappa \psi + g(z, \rho^s), & \psi^0 = \varphi^{in}. \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $t \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \rho^t \\ \psi^t \end{pmatrix}$ in $C^0(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{P}_c(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times W^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d))$.

Moreover, if ρ^{in} is supported in the ball $B(0, R^0)$ of \mathbf{R}^{2d} centered at the origin of radius R^0 , ρ^t is supported in $B(0, R^t)$ with

$$R^t = e^{(\text{Lip}(\gamma) + \|F_{ext}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} + \eta \text{Lip}(\chi))t} (R^0 + \text{Lip}(\gamma) + \|F_{ext}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} + \eta \text{Lip}(\chi)).$$

Finally, if ρ_1^t, ρ_2^t are the solutions of (V) with initial conditions ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} , then the following Dobrushin type estimate holds true

$$W_2(\rho_1^t, \rho_2^t)^2 \leq 2e^{\Gamma(t)} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in})^2$$

where $\Gamma(t)$ is given below by (54).

Proof. The proof of the existence of a solution will follow closely the strategy of the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [34, Appendix A]. The main difference is that ν is not only non-local in space as in [34], it is also non-local in time as $\nu([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t}, x, v)$ involves the whole history of the solution $\{\rho^s, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$.

We will first need the following Lemma

Lemma 8.2. *For any $T \geq 0$, there exist $L', M', K' < \infty$ such that, for any $t, t_1, t_2 \leq T$, $z, z' \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ and any $\rho^{in}, \rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t}, z) - \mathbf{v}([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t}, z')\| &\leq L' \|z - z'\|, \\ \|\nu([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t}, z)\| &\leq M' (1 + \|z\|) \\ \|\mathbf{v}([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t_1}, z, [\rho_1^{in}]^{\leq t_1}) - \mathbf{v}([\rho^{in}]^{\leq t_2}, z)\| &\leq K' \sup_{s \leq \min(t_1, t_2)} W_1(\rho_1^s, \rho_2^s) + \eta \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty} |t_1 - t_2|. \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_1^t, ρ_2^t are the solutions of (V) with initial conditions ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} .

Here W_1 is the Wasserstein distance of order 1 whose definition is recalled in Definition 3.2:

The proof is immediate with $L' = \text{Lip}(\gamma) + \text{Lip}(F_{ext}) + T\eta \text{Lip}(\nabla\chi)$, $M' = \text{Lip}(\gamma) + \|F_{ext}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} + \eta \text{Lip}(\chi) + \text{Lip}(\nabla\varphi^{in})$ and $K' = \text{Lip}(\gamma) + \eta \text{Lip}(\chi)$.

Let us fix $T > 0$. For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we define $\tau_k = T2^{-k}$.

Let ρ_k^t be defined by $\rho_k^{t=0} = \rho^{in}$ and, for $l = 0, \dots, 2^k - 1$, $u \in [0, \tau_k)$,

$$(V_k) \begin{cases} \partial_u \rho_k^{l\tau_k+u}(x, v) + v \cdot \nabla_x \rho_k^{l\tau_k+u}(x, v) = \nabla_v \cdot \nu_k(l\tau_k, x, v) \rho_k^{l\tau_k+u}(x, v) \\ \nu_k(l\tau_k, x, v) = \gamma(x, v) * \rho_k^{l\tau_k} + \eta \nabla_x \psi_k(l\tau_k, x) + F_{ext}(x), \\ \partial_s \psi_k(s, z) = D\Delta_z \psi_k - \kappa \psi_k + g(z, \rho_k^s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq l\tau_k, \quad \psi_k^0 = \varphi^{in}. \end{cases}$$

with

$$(45) \quad \nu_k(t, z) = \mathbf{v}([\rho^{in}]_k^{\leq t}, z)$$

Note that we have obviously the following corollary of Lemma 8.2.

Corollary 8.3. *For any $T \geq 0$, $z, z' \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, one has, with the same constant $L'.M', K'$ than in Lemma 8.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu_k(t, z) - \nu_k(t, z')\| &\leq L'\|z - z'\|, \\ \|\nu_k(t, z)\| &\leq M'(1 + \|z\|), \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\nu_k(t, z), \rho_k^t$ satisfies (V_k) with $\rho_k^{t=0} = \rho^{in}$ and $\nu'_k(t, z), \rho'_k^t$ satisfies (V_k) with $\rho_k^{t=0} = \rho^{in}$, then

$$\|\nu_k(t, z) - \nu'_k(t', z)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}; C^0(\mathbf{R}^{2d}))} \leq K' \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \min(t, t')} W_1(\rho_k(s), \rho'_k(s)) + K''|t - t'|.$$

We first show that the support of the sequence ρ_k^t is equibounded.

One easily checks that, since ρ^{in} is compactly supported, so is ρ_k^t for all k, t by construction. So $\text{supp}(\rho_k^t) \subset B(0, R_k^t)$ for some $R_k(t)$. One can estimate R_k^t as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\rho_k^{l\tau_k}) \subset B(0, R_k^{l\tau_k}) &\Rightarrow \|\nu_k(t, z)\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{v}([\rho^{in}]_k^{\leq t}, z)\|_\infty \leq M'(1 + R_k^{l\tau_k}) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{supp}(\rho_k^{l\tau_k+u}) \subset B(0, R_k^{l\tau_k} + uM'(1 + R_k^{l\tau_k})), \quad u \in [0, \tau_k] \\ &\Rightarrow \text{supp}(\rho_k^{(l+1)\tau_k}) \subset B(0, (1 + \tau_k)R_k^{l\tau_k} + \tau_k M'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one can choose $R_k^{l\tau_k}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} R_k^{l\tau_k} &\leq (1 + M'\tau_k)R_k^{(l-1)\tau_k} + \tau_k M' \\ &\leq (1 + M'\tau_k)^2 R_k^{(l-2)\tau_k} + \tau_k M'(1 + (1 + M'\tau_k)) \\ &\leq (1 + M'\tau_k)^l R^0 + M'((1 + M'\tau_k)^l - 1) \\ &\leq (1 + M'T2^{-k})^{2^k} R^0 + M'((1 + M'T2^{-k})^{2^k} - 1) \leq e^{M'T}(M' + R^0) := R^T. \end{aligned}$$

Here R^0 is such that $\text{supp}(\rho_k^0 := \rho^{in}) \subset B(0, R^0)$.

Hence the sequences $(\rho_k^t)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ are compactly supported in $B(0, R^T)$ uniformly in k for all $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore there are tight for all $t \in [0, T]$. By Prokhorov's Theorem, this is equivalent to the compactness of $(\rho_k^t)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ with respect to the weak topology of probability measures (i.e., in the duality with $C_b(R^{2d})$, the space of bounded continuous functions). Hence, up to extracting a t -dependent subsequence, $\rho_k^t \rightarrow \rho_*^t$ weakly. By Lemma 3.3, this convergence is equivalent to the convergence with respect to the distance W_1 , so that we just proved that

$$(46) \quad W_1(\rho_k^t, \rho_*^t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } t \in [0, T].$$

By [34, Proposition A.1 2] and the first inequality in Lemma 8.2, we get that, for $l = 0, \dots, 2^k - 1$, $s \in [0, \tau_k)$,

$$W_1(\rho_k^{l\tau_k}, \rho_k^{l\tau_k+s}) \leq sL'.$$

Hence, by the triangle inequality,

$$(47) \quad W_1(\rho_k^t, \rho_k^{t'}) \leq L'|t - t'|, \forall t, t' \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Therefore, since L' and $\rho_k^{t=0}$ don't depend on k , the sequence ρ_k^t is equi-Lipschitz continuous with respect to W_1 . This implies, by the triangular inequality again, that, for all $t, t' \in [0, T]$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(\rho_*^t, \rho_*^{t'}) &\leq W_1(\rho_*^t, \rho_k^t) + W_1(\rho_k^t, \rho_k^{t'}) + W_1(\rho_k^{t'}, \rho_*^{t'}) \\ &\leq L'|t - t'| + W_1(\rho_*^t, \rho_k^t) + W_1(\rho_k^{t'}, \rho_*^{t'}) \rightarrow L'|t - t'| \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ρ_*^t is L' -Lipschitz and, in particular,

$$\rho_*^t \in C_0([0, T], \mathcal{P}_c(\mathbf{R}^{2d})).$$

What is left is to prove that ρ_*^t solves (V) and that the solution of (V) is unique.

In order to do so, we first need to prove that

$$(48) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} W_1(\rho_k^t, \rho_*^t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the standard Ascoli-Arzelà approach, we take the sequence $\{q_i, i = 1, \dots, \infty\}$ of all rationals in $[0, T]$ ordered by any fixed order.

From (46) we have that

$$W_1(\rho_{k_1}^{q_1}, \rho_*^{q_1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

along a subsequence k_1 .

Take now a subsequence k_2 of k_1 such that

$$W_1(\rho_{k_2}^{q_2}, \rho_*^{q_2}) \rightarrow 0.$$

and iterate such as to obtain a sequence k_m such that

$$W_1(\rho_{k_m}^{q_l}, \rho_*^{q_l}) \rightarrow 0, \text{ for all } l \leq m.$$

In a standard way, we can extract a diagonal subsequence k_k such that

$$W_1(\rho_{k_k}^{q_k}, \rho_*^{q_k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We prove now that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, the sequence of densities $\rho_{k_k}^t$ is Cauchy in the topology of $C_0([0, T], (\mathcal{P}_c, W_1))$, which implies (48).

We have that, for any $q \in \mathbf{Q}$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(\rho_{k_k}^t, \rho_{l_l}^t) &\leq W_1(\rho_{k_k}^t, \rho_{k_k}^{q_i}) + W_1(\rho_{k_k}^q, \rho_{l_l}^q) + W_1(\rho_{l_l}^q, \rho_{l_l}^t) \\ &\leq 2L'|q - t| + W_1(\rho_{k_k}^q, \rho_{l_l}^q) \end{aligned}$$

For any ϵ , taking q such that $2L'|q - t| \leq \epsilon/2$ and k, l large enough such that $W_1(\rho_{k_k}^q, \rho_{l_l}^q) \leq \epsilon/2$, we get $MKu(\rho_{k_k}^t, \rho_{l_l}^t) \leq \epsilon$.

We now return to proving that ρ_*^t is a solution of (V), it suffices to prove that

$$(V^*) \begin{cases} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_v f \cdot \mathbf{v}(t, z, \rho_*^{\leq t}) \rho_*^t(dZ) dt = 0 \\ \mathbf{v}(t, (x, v), \rho_*^{\leq t}) = \gamma(x, v) * \rho_*^t + \eta \nabla_x \psi^t(x) + F_{ext}(x), \\ \partial_s \psi^s(z) = D\Delta_z \psi - \kappa \psi + g(z, \rho_*^s). \end{cases}$$

for each $f \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

In (V^*) we have used the notation $\rho^{\leq t} = \rho^s|_{s \leq t}$ for any function $t \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \rho^t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$.

By construction, we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{2^k-1} \int_{l\tau_k}^{(l+1)\tau_k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (\partial_u f(u, z) + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_v f \cdot \nu_k(l\tau_k, z, \rho_k^{\leq l\tau_k}) \rho_k^u(dz) du = 0$$

for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

The equation

$$(49) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_v f \cdot \mathbf{v}(t, z, \rho_*^{\leq t}) \rho_*^t(dZ) dt = 0$$

will be proven through the three following limits:

$$(50) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f) (\rho_*^t - \rho_k^t)(dz) dt = 0$$

$$(51) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=0}^{2^k-1} \int_{l\tau_k}^{(l+1)\tau_k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \nabla_v f \cdot \left(\mathbf{v}(l\tau_k, z, \rho_k^{\leq l\tau_k}) - \mathbf{v}(u, z, \rho_*^{\leq l\tau_k}) \right) \rho_*^u(dz) du = 0$$

$$(52) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=0}^{2^k-1} \int_{l\tau_k}^{(l+1)\tau_k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \nabla_v f \cdot \mathbf{v}(l\tau_k, z, \rho_*^{\leq l\tau_k}) (\rho_k^u - \rho_*^u)(dz) du = 0$$

To prove (50) and (52) we remark that, since $f \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and by the Lipschitz property of $\mathbf{v}(l\tau_k, z, \rho_*^{\leq l\tau_k})$ we have, by (22), that the absolute value of the right hand

side of (50) satisfies, thanks to (48),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f) (\rho_*^t - \rho_k^t) (dz) dt \right| \\ & \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T (\text{Lip}(\partial_t f) + \text{Lip}(v \cdot \nabla_x f)) \sup_{t \leq T} W_1(\rho_k^t, \rho_*^t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the absolute value of the right hand side of (52) satisfies, thanks again to (48),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=0}^{2^k-1} \int_{l\tau_k}^{(l+1)\tau_k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \nabla_v f \cdot \mathbf{v}(l\tau_k, z, \rho_*^{\leq l\tau_k}) (\rho_k^u - \rho_*^u) (dz) du \right| \\ & \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T \text{Lip}(\nabla f) |supp(f)| M' \sup_{t \leq T} W_1(\rho_k^t, \rho_*^t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Let us finally prove (51). By the third inequality in Lemma 8.2 and the L' -Lipschitz continuity of ρ_k we get that, for each $u \in [l\tau_k, (l+1)\tau_k]$,

$$\left| \mathbf{v}(l\tau_k, z, \rho_k^{\leq l\tau_k}) - \mathbf{v}(u, z, \rho_*^{\leq l\tau_k}) \right| \leq K' \sup_{0 \leq s \leq l\tau_k} W_1(\rho_k^{l\tau_k}, \rho_*^{l\tau_k}) + K'' \frac{T}{2^k}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{2^k-1} \int_{l\tau_k}^{(l+1)\tau_k} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \nabla_v f \cdot \left(\mathbf{v}(l\tau_k, z, \rho_k^{\leq l\tau_k}) - \mathbf{v}(u, z, \rho_*^{\leq l\tau_k}) \right) \rho_*^u (dz) du \right| \\ & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_1(\rho_k^t, \rho_*^t) + K'' \frac{T}{2^k} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the uniqueness of the solution of (V) is obviously a consequence of the Dobrushin stability result that we will prove now.

Take two initial conditions $\rho_l^{in}, l = 1, 2$. By Theorem 3.6, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & W_2(\rho_1^t, \rho_2^t)^2 \\ & \leq e^{\int_0^t L_1(s) ds} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in})^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} 2 \|\mathbf{v}([\rho_1^{in}]^{\leq s}, Z) - \mathbf{v}([\rho_2^{in}]^{\leq s}, Z)\|^2 (\rho_1^t)^{\otimes N} (dX, dV) e^{\int_s^t L_1(u) du} ds \\ & \leq e^{\int_0^t L_1(s) ds} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_1^{in})^2 + \int_0^t 2 \|\mathbf{v}([\rho_1^{in}]^{\leq s}, Z) - \mathbf{v}([\rho_2^{in}]^{\leq s}, Z)\|_\infty^2 e^{\int_s^t L_1(u) du} ds \\ & \leq e^{\int_0^t L_1(s) ds} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in})^2 + 2 \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t L_1(u) du} (K')^2 \sup_{u \leq s} W_2(\rho_1^u, \rho_2^u)^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

by the third inequality in Lemma 8.2.

Here, by Theorem 3.6,

$$L_1(t) = 2(1 + \sup_{(X,V) \in supp(\rho_1^t)} (\text{Lip}(\mathbf{v}([\rho_1^{in}]^{\leq t}, X, V))_{(X,V)})^2.$$

and by the first inequality in Lemma 8.2,

$$(53) \quad L_1(t) = 2 + 2(L')^2.$$

Therefore, for any $T \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \leq T} W_2(\rho_1^t, \rho_2^t)^2 \\ & \leq \sup_{t \leq T} e^{\int_0^t L(s) ds} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in})^2 + 2 \sup_{t \leq T} \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t l(u) du} (K')^2 \sup_{u \leq s} W_2(\rho_1^u, \rho_2^u)^2 ds \\ & \leq e^{\int_0^T L(s) ds} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in})^2 + 2 \int_0^T e^{\int_s^T l(u) du} (K')^2 \sup_{u \leq s} W_2(\rho_1^u, \rho_2^u)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the Grönwall Lemma, we get immediately

$$W_2(\rho_1^t, \rho_2^t)^2 \leq e^{\Gamma(t)} W_2(\rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in})^2$$

with

$$(54) \quad \Gamma(t) := t \left(2 + 2(L')^2 + (2K')^2 e^{2t(1+(L')^2)} \right)$$

Theorem 8.1 is proved. \square

9. EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND DOBRUSHIN ESTIMATE FOR THE LIOUVILLE-VLASOV SYSTEM

In this section we study the Liouville-Vlasov system (LV) in the same spirit than in the previous section devoted to the Vlasov system.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $\text{Lip}(\gamma), \text{Lip}(\nabla \chi) < \infty$ and let $\nu^{in} \in \mathcal{P}_c(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, the set of compactly supported probability measures. Then, for every $N \in \mathbf{N}$, the Cauchy problem*

$$(LV) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \partial_t \rho_N^t + V \cdot \nabla_X \rho_N^t = \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{v_i} \cdot G_i \rho_N^t, \quad \rho_N^0 = \rho_N^{in} \\ & G_i(t, Y, W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(w_i - w_j, y_i - y_j) + \eta \nabla_z \Psi^t(z)|_{z=y_i} + F_{ext}(y_i), \\ & \partial_s \Psi^s(z) = D \Delta_z \Psi - \kappa \Psi + g(z, \rho_{N;1}^s), \quad s \in [0, t], \quad \Psi^0 = \varphi^{in} \\ & g(z, \rho_{N;1}^s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \chi(z - x) \rho_{N;1}^s(x, v) dx dv \end{aligned} \right.$$

has a unique solution $t \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \rho_N^t \\ \Psi^t \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ in $C^0(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{P}_c(\mathbf{R}^{2dN}) \times W^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d))$.

Moreover, if ρ_N^{in} is supported in the ball $B(0, R^0)$ of \mathbf{R}^{2dN} of radius R^0 , ρ_N^t centered at the origin is supported in $B(0, R^t)$ with

$$R^t = e^{(\text{Lip}(\gamma) + \|F_{ext}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} + \eta \text{Lip}(\chi))t} (R^0 + \text{Lip}(\gamma) + \|F_{ext}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} + \eta \text{Lip}(\chi)).$$

Finally, if ρ_N^t, τ_N^t are the solutions of (LV) with initial conditions ρ_N^{in}, τ_N^{in} invariant by permutations in the sense that

$$\rho_N^{in} \circ \sigma = \rho_N^{in}, \quad \tau_N^{in} \circ \sigma = \tau_N^{in}, \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_N,$$

then the following Dobrushin type estimate holds true

$$W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N:1}, (\tau_N^t)_{N:1})^2 \leq 2e^{\Gamma_N(t)} W_2((\rho_N^{in})_{N:1}, (\tau_N)_{N:1})^2$$

where $\Gamma_N(t)$ is given below by (57).

Proof. The proof of Theorem 9.1 is easily attainable by a straightforward modification of the one of Theorem 8.1. Let us define the vector G with components $G_i, i = 1, \dots, N$. This time, G has the form

$$(55) \quad G(t, Z) = \mathbf{\Gamma}([(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t})_{N:1}, Z) \in \mathbf{R}^N$$

where we recall that $[(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t} : s \in [0, t] \rightarrow \rho^s$ solution of (LV) with initial data $(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}$.

One easily check that Lemme 8.2 still holds true for $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ with the same constants L', M', K' .

Lemma 9.2. *For any $T \geq 0$, there exist $L', M', K' < \infty$ such that, for any $t, t_1, t_2 \leq T$, $z, z' \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ and any $\rho^{in}, \rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{\Gamma}([(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t})_{N:1}, Z) - \mathbf{\Gamma}([(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t})_{N:1}, Z')\| &\leq L' \|Z - Z'\|, \\ \|\mathbf{\Gamma}([(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t})_{N:1}, Z)\| &\leq M'(1 + \|Z\|) \\ \|\mathbf{\Gamma}([(\rho_1^{in})^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t})_{N:1}, Z) - \mathbf{\Gamma}([(\rho_2^{in})^{\otimes N}]^{\leq t})_{N:1}, Z)\| &\leq K' \sup_{s \leq \min(t_1, t_2)} W_1((\rho_{1N}^s)_{N:1}, (\rho_{2N}^s)_{N:1}) \\ &\quad + \eta \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty} |t_1 - t_2|. \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_{1N}^t, ρ_{2N}^t are the solutions of (LV) with initial conditions $(\rho_1^{in})^{\otimes N}, (\rho_2^{in})^{\otimes N}$.

Here W_1 is the Wasserstein distance of order 1 defined in Definition 3.2.

For $T > 0, k \in \mathbf{N}$ we define $\tau_k = T2^{-k}$ and, with a slight abuse of notation, ρ_k^t by $\rho_k^{t=0} = (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}$ and, for $l = 0, \dots, 2^k - 1$, $u \in [0, \tau_k)$ (remember $Z := (X, V) \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN}$),

$$(LV_k) \begin{cases} \partial_u \rho_k^{l\tau_k+u}(Z) + V \cdot \nabla_X \rho_k^{l\tau_k+u}(Z) = \nabla_V \cdot (\mathbf{\Gamma}^k([(\rho^{in})^{\times N}]_k^{\leq t})_{N:1}, Z) \rho_k^{l\tau_k+u}(Z) \\ \mathbf{\Gamma}_i^k([(\rho^{in})^{\times N}]_k^{\leq t})_{N:1}, (Y, W)) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma(w_i - w_j, y_i - y_j) + \eta \nabla_z \Psi^t(z)|_{z=y_i} + F_{ext}(y_i), \\ \partial_s \Psi^s(z) = D\Delta_z \Psi - \kappa \Psi + g(z, (\rho_k^s)_{N:1}), \quad s \in [0, t], \end{cases}$$

where $[\rho^{in}]_k^{\leq t} : s \in [0, t] \rightarrow \rho_k^s$ solution of (V_k) with initial data ρ^{in} .

As before, $\mathbf{\Gamma}^k$ satisfies the same estimates than $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ and we have the following result.

Corollary 9.3. *For any $T \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots, N$, $t, t_1, t_2 \leq T$, $Z, Z' \in \mathbf{R}^{2dN}$ and $\rho^{in}, \rho_1^{in}, \rho_2^{in} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{\Gamma}^k([\rho^{in}]^{\times N}_k \leq t)_{N:1}, Z) - \mathbf{\Gamma}^k([\rho^{in}]^{\times N}_k \leq t)_{N:1}, Z')\| &\leq L' \|Z - Z'\|, \quad 2 \\ \|\mathbf{\Gamma}^k([\rho^{in}]^{\times N}_k \leq t)_{N:1}, Z)\| &\leq M'(1 + \|Z\|) \\ \|\mathbf{\Gamma}^k([\rho_1^{in}]^{\times N}_k \leq t)_{N:1}, Z) - \mathbf{\Gamma}^k([\rho_2^{in}]^{\times N}_k \leq t)_{N:1}, Z)\| &\leq K' \sup_{s \leq \min(t_1, t_2)} W_1((\rho_{1k}^s)_{N:1}, (\rho_{2k}^s)_{N:1}) \\ &\quad + \eta \|\nabla \chi\|_{L^\infty} |t_1 - t_2|. \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_{1k}^t, ρ_{2k}^t are the solutions of (LV_k) with initial conditions $(\rho_1^{in})^{\otimes N}, (\rho_2^{in})^{\otimes N}$.

At this point, we remark that the proof of the existence of the solution and the estimate on the size of its support in Theorem 8.1 uses only the content of Corollary 8.3. Since Corollary 9.3 holds true with the same constants L', M', K' , we conclude that the proof of existence and size of the support in Theorem 9.1 is exactly the same. Therefore we omit it.

Uniqueness of the solution of (LV) is again a consequence of the Dobrushin stability result for the system (LV) whose proof is a straightforward adaptation as the one of Theorem 8.1:

Take two initial conditions $\rho_{lN}^{in}, l = 1, 2$ for (LV) .

By Theorem 3.6, the same argument as at the end of the proof Theorem 8.1 and the third inequality in Lemma 9.2 we get easily that

$$\begin{aligned} W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N:1}, (\tau_N^t)_{N:1})^2 &\leq e^{\int_0^t L_N(s) ds} W_2((\rho_N^{in})_{N:1}, (\tau_N^{in})_{N:1})^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t L_N(u) du} (K')^2 \sup_{u \leq s} W_2((\rho_N^u)_{N:1}, (\tau_N^u)_{N:1})^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(56) \quad L_1(t) = 2 + 2(L')^2.$$

By the Grönwall Lemma again we get

$$W_2((\rho_N^t)_{N:1}, (\tau_N^t)_{N:1})^2 \leq 2e^{\Gamma_N(t)} W_2((\rho_N^{in})_{N:1}, (\tau_N^{in})_{N:1})^2$$

with

$$(57) \quad \Gamma_N(t) := t \left(2 + 2(L')^2 + (2K')^2 e^{2t(1+(L')^2)} \right)$$

and Theorem 9.1 is proved. \square

Remark 9.4. Γ_N is independent from the two initial data ρ_N^{in} and τ_N^{in} .

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.6

We will denote $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N), V = (v_1, \dots, v_N), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_N), \Xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$, all of them belonging to \mathbf{R}^{2dN} .

Let π^{in} be an optimal coupling for ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} . Obviously $\pi_N^{in} := (\pi^{in})^{\otimes N}$ is a coupling for $(\rho_1^{in})^{\otimes N}, (\rho_2^{in})^{\otimes N}$.

Let moreover ρ_i^t , $i = 1, 2$, be two solutions of the equations (25) and let π_N^t be the unique (measure) solution to the following linear transport equation

$$\partial_t \pi_N + V \cdot \nabla_X \pi_N + \Xi \cdot \nabla_Y \pi_N = \nabla_V \cdot (\mathbf{v}_1([\rho_1^{in}]^{\leq t}, X, V) \pi_N^t + \nabla_\Xi \cdot (\mathbf{v}_2([\rho_2^{in}]^{\leq t}, Y, \Xi) \pi_N^t)$$

with $\pi_N^{t=0} = \pi_N^{op} \in \mathcal{P}_c^p(\mathbf{R}^{2dN})^{\otimes 2}$ optimal coupling between ρ_1^{in} and ρ_2^{in} invariant by permutation in the sense that, for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$,

$$\pi_N^{in}(\sigma(dZ_1), \sigma(dZ_2)) = \pi_N^{in}.$$

The following first Lemma is equivalent to [33, Lemma 3.1]. It consists in evolving π_N^{in} by the two dynamics of ρ_i^t , $i = 1, 2$. The proof is very similar to the one of [33, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma A.1. *For all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, π_N^t is a coupling between ρ_1^t and ρ_2^t .*

Proof. One easily check that the two marginals of π_N^t satisfy the two equations (25). Therefore, the Lemma holds true by unicity of these solutions. \square

In order to shorten a bit the notations, we will use in the sequel the following abuses of notation $\mathbf{v}_i(t, X, V) := \mathbf{v}_i([\rho_i^{in}]^{\leq t}, X, V)$ and $\mathbf{v}_i^t = \mathbf{v}_i(t, \cdot, \cdot)$.

By a slight modification of the proof of [33, Lemma 3.2] we arrive easily to the following.

Lemma A.2. *Let*

$$D_N(t) := \frac{1}{N} \int ((X - Y)^2 + (V - \Xi)^2) \pi_N^t(dX dV dY d\Xi).$$

Then

$$\frac{dD_N}{dt} \leq L_1(t) D_N + \frac{1}{N} \int_{|bR^{2dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1(t, Y, \Xi) - \mathbf{v}_2(t, Y, \Xi)|^2 \rho_2^t(dY, d\Xi)$$

and

$$\frac{dD_N}{dt} \leq L_2(t) D_N + \frac{1}{N} \int_{|bR^{2dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1(t, X, V) - \mathbf{v}_2(t, X, V)|^2 \rho_1^t(dX, dV),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(t) &= 2(1 + \sup_{(X, V) \in \text{supp}(\rho_1^t)} (\text{Lip}(\mathbf{v}_1^t)_{(X, V)})^2), \\ L_2(t) &= 2(1 + \sup_{(Y, \Xi) \in \text{supp}(\rho_2^t)} (\text{Lip}(\mathbf{v}_2^t)_{(Y, \Xi)})^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As already mentioned, the proof is very similar to the one of [33, Lemma 3.2]. Plugging into the definition of $D_N(t)$ the equation (58) satisfied by π_N^t , integrating by part and using the fact that $2U \cdot V \leq U^2 + V^2, \forall U, V \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ (see the proof of [33,

Lemma 3.2] for details), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dD_N}{dt} &= \frac{1}{N} \int ((X - Y)^2 + (V - \Xi)^2) \frac{d\pi_N^t}{dt} (dX dV dY d\Xi) . \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4dN}} \left(2(|X - Y|^2 + |V - \Xi|^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\mathbf{v}_1(t, X, V) - \mathbf{v}_2(t, Y, \Xi)|^2 \right) \pi_N^t(dX, dV, dY, d\Xi) \\
&\leq 2D_N(t) + \frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1(t, X, V) - \mathbf{v}_1(t, Y, \Xi)|^2 \pi_N^t(dX, dV, dY, d\Xi) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1(t, Y, \Xi) - \mathbf{v}_2(t, Y, \Xi)|^2 \pi_N^t(dX, dV, dY, d\Xi) \\
&\leq 2D_N(t) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{N} \sup_{(X, V, Y, \Xi) \in \text{supp}(\pi_N^t)} (\text{Lip}(\mathbf{v}_1^t)_{(X, V)})^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4dN}} (|X - Y|^2 + |Y - \Xi|^2) \pi_N^t(dX, dV, dY, d\Xi) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1(t, Y, \Xi) - \mathbf{v}_2(t, Y, \Xi)|^2 \pi_N^t(dX, dV, dY, d\Xi) \\
&\leq L_1(t) D_N(t) + \frac{2}{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1(t, Y, \Xi) - \mathbf{v}_2(t, Y, \Xi)|^2 \rho_2^t(dY, d\Xi).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude by the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{(X, V, Y, \Xi) \in \text{supp}(\pi_N^t)} (\dots) &\leq \sup_{(X, V) \in \text{supp}(\rho_1^t)} (\dots) \\
&\leq \sup_{(Y, \Xi) \in \text{supp}(\rho_2^t)} (\dots)
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, (we write the argument in the case π_N^t is a density for sake of simplicity)

$$\begin{aligned}
(X, V, Y, \Xi) \in \text{supp}(\pi_N^t) &\Rightarrow \pi_N^t(X, V, Y, \Xi) > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \pi_N^t(X, V, dY, d\Xi), \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \pi_N^t(dX, dV, Y, \Xi) > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \rho_1^t(X, V), \rho_2^t(Y, \Xi) > 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow (X, V) \in \text{supp}(\rho_1^t), (Y, \Xi) \in \text{supp}(\rho_2^t).
\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality in Lemma A.2 is proved by exchanging ρ_1^{in} and ρ_2^{in} . \square

Therefore, by Grönwall Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_N(t) &\leq e^{\int_0^t L_1(s) ds} D_N(0) \\
(58) \quad &+ \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} |\mathbf{v}_1(s, Y, \Xi) - \mathbf{v}_2(s, Y, \Xi)| \rho_2^s(dY, d\Xi) e^{\int_s^t l_1(u) du} ds
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the following lemma, we can always suppose that π_N^{in} is invariant by permutation in the sense of Theorem 9.1.

Lemma A.3. *Let $\rho_1^{in}(dZ_1), \rho_2^{in}(dZ_2)$ be invariant by permutation in the sense of (9). Then there exists an optimal coupling π of ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} invariant by permutation in the sense that, for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$, $\pi \circ \sigma^{\otimes 2} = \pi$, that is*

$$\pi(\sigma(dZ_1), \sigma(dZ_2)) = \pi(dZ_1, dZ_2), \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_N.$$

Proof. Let $\pi^I(dZ_1, dZ_2)$ an optimal coupling of ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} . It is straightforward to show that, for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$,

$$\pi^\sigma(dZ_1, dZ_2) := \pi^I(\sigma(dZ_1), \sigma(dZ_2))$$

is also an optimal coupling of ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} , since ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} and the cost function is also invariant by permutation:

$$|Z_1 - Z_2|^2 = |\sigma(Z_1) - \sigma(Z_2)|^2, \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_N.$$

Therefore

$$\pi(dZ_1, dZ_2) := \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \pi^\sigma$$

is an optimal coupling of ρ_1^{in}, ρ_2^{in} , and is invariant by permutation. \square

We now remark that, since both π_N^{in} and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are invariant by permutations of the variables (x_j, v_j) , $j = 1, \dots, N$, so is π_N^t for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. This implies that, in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} D_N(t) &= \frac{1}{N} \int ((X - Y)^2 + (V - \Xi)^2) \pi_N^t(dX dV dY d\Xi). \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int ((x_i - y_i)^2 + (v_i - \xi_i)^2) \pi_N^t(dX dV dY d\Xi). \\ &= \int ((x_1 - y_1)^2 + (v_1 - \xi_1)^2) \pi_N^t(dX dV dY d\Xi). \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (|x - v|^2 + |y - \xi|^2) (\pi_N^t)_1(dx dv), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\pi_N^t)_1$ is the measure on $\mathbf{R}^{2d} \times \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ defined, for every test function $\varphi(x, v; y, \xi)$, by

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN} \times \mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \varphi(x_1, v_1, y_1, \xi_1) \pi_N(dX dV dY d\Xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d} \times \mathbf{R}^{2d}} \varphi(x, v, y, \xi) (\pi_N^t)_1(dx, dv, dy, d\xi).$$

Moreover, $(\pi_N^t)_1$ is a coupling between $(\rho_1^t)_{N:1}$ and $(\rho_2^t)_{N:1}$. Indeed, for any test functions ψ and φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d} \times \mathbf{R}^{2d}} (\psi(x, v) + \varphi(y, \xi)) (\pi_N^t)_1(dx, dv, dy, d\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN} \times \mathbf{R}^{2dN}} (\psi(x_1, v_1) + \varphi(y_1, \xi_1)) \pi_N(dX, dV, dY, d\Xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \psi(x_1, v_1) \rho_1^t(dX, dV) + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2dN}} \varphi(y_1, \xi_1) \rho_2^t(dY, d\Xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \psi(x, v) (\rho_1^t)_{N,1}(dx, dv) + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} \varphi(y, \xi) (\rho_2^t)_{N,1}(dy, d\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, one has

$$\begin{aligned} W_2((\rho_1^t)_{N:1}, (\rho_2^t)_{N:1}) &:= \inf_{\pi \text{ coupling } (\rho_1^t)_{N:1} \text{ and } (\rho_2^t)_{N:1}} \int (|x - v|^2 + |y - \xi|^2) \pi(dx dy dv d\xi) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2d}} (|x - v|^2 + |y - \xi|^2) (\pi_N^t)_1(dx dy dv d\xi) = D_N(t) \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows by (58).

Acknowledgements. We warmly thank Francesco Rossi for helpful discussions. The work of TP was partly supported by LIA LYSM (co-funded by AMU, CNRS, ECM and INdAM) and IAC (Istituto per le applicazioni del calcolo "Mauro Picone").

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures, Second Edition, Birkhäuser Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [2] Y. Arboleda-Estudillo, M. Krieg, J. Stühmer, N. A. Licata, D. J. Muller and C.-P. Heisenberg, Movement Directionality in Collective Migration of Germ Layer Progenitors, *Curr Biology*, **20** (2010) 161–169.
- [3] J. M. Belmonte, G. L. Thomas, L. G. Brunnet, R. M. de Almeida and H. Chaté, Self-propelled particle model for cell-sorting phenomena, *Phys Rev Lett* **100** (2008) 248702.
- [4] F. Bolley, J. Cañizo, J. A. Carrillo, Stochastic Mean-Field Limit: Non-Lipschitz Forces & Swarming, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, World Scientific Publishing, 21 (2011) 2179–2210.
- [5] J. A. Cañizo, J. A. Carrillo and J. Rosado, A well-posedness theory in measures for some kinetic models of collective motion. *Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 21 (2011) 515–539.
- [6] Carrillo, José A.; Choi, Young-Pil Mean-field limits: from particle descriptions to macroscopic equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **24** (3) (2021) 1529–1573.
- [7] F. Cucker and S. Smale, On the mathematics of emergence. *Japan. J. Math.* **2** (2007) 197–227.
- [8] F. Cucker and S. Smale, Emergent behavior in flocks. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* 52 (2007) 852–862.
- [9] T. Colin, M.-C. Durrieu, J. Joie, Y. Lei, Y. Mammeri, C. Pognard and O. Saut, Modeling of the migration of endothelial cells on bioactive micropatterned polymers, *Math. BioSci. and Eng.*, **10** (2013) 997–1015.
- [10] I. D. Couzin, J. Krause, N. R. Franks and S. A. Levin, Effective leadership and decision-making in animal groups on the move, *Nature*, **433** (2005) 513–516.
- [11] M. R. D’Orsogna, Y. L. Chuang, A. L. Bertozzi and L. S. Chayes, Self-Propelled Particles with Soft-Core Interactions: Patterns, Stability, and Collapse, *Phys Rev Lett*, **96** (2006) 104302.
- [12] E. Di Costanzo, R. Natalini, Roberto, L. Preziosi, A hybrid mathematical model for self-organizing cell migration in the zebrafish lateral line. *J. Math. Biol.* **71** (1) (2015) 171–214.
- [13] E. Di Costanzo, M. Menci, E. Messina, R. Natalini, A. Vecchio, A hybrid model of collective motion of discrete particles under alignment and continuum chemotaxis, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B* **25** (1) (2020) 443–472.
- [14] R. Dobrushin, Vlasov equations, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **13** (1979) 115–123.
- [15] A. Figalli and M.-J. Kang, A rigorous derivation from the kinetic Cucker-Smale model to the pressureless Euler system with nonlocal alignment, *Anal. PDE.* **12** (2019) 843–866.
- [16] N. Fournier and A. Guillin, On the rate of convergence in Wasserstein distance of the empirical measure. *Probability theory and related fields*, **162** (4–5) 707–2015.
- [17] P. Haas P, D. Gilmour, Chemokine signaling mediates self-organizing tissue migration in the zebrafish lateral line. *Dev Cell* **10** (2006) 673–680.
- [18] F. Golse, C. Mouhot, V. Ricci, Empirical measures and Vlasov hierarchies, *Kinetic and Related Models* **6** (2013) 919–943.
- [19] F. Golse, C. Mouhot, T. Paul, On the Mean-Field and Classical Limits of Quantum Mechanics, *Communication in Mathematical Physics* **343** (2016) 165–205.
- [20] F. Golse, T. Paul, M. Pulvirenti, On the derivation of the Hartree equation in the mean field limit: Uniformity in the Planck constant. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **275** (2018) 1603–1649.
- [21] S.-Y. Ha, J. Kim, X. Zhang, Uniform stability of the Cucker-Smale model and applications to the mean-field limit, *Kinetic and Related Models* **11** (2018) 1157–1181.
- [22] S. Y. Ha and D. Levy, Particle, kinetic and fluid models for phototaxis, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B*, **12** (2009) 77–108.
- [23] S.-Y. Ha and J.-G. Liu, A simple proof of Cucker-Smale flocking dynamics and mean-field limit, *Commun. Math. Sci.* **7** (2009) 297–325.
- [24] S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor, From particle to kinetic and hydrodynamic descriptions of flocking, *Kinet. Relat. Models* **1** (2008) 415–435.
- [25] H. Hatzikirou and A. Deutsch, Collective guidance of collective cell migration, *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **81** (2007) 401–434.

- [26] P.-E. Jabin and Z. Wang, Mean Field limit and propagation of chaos for Vlasov systems with bounded forces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **271** (2016) 3588–3627.
- [27] P.-E. Jabin and Z. Wang, Quantitative estimates of propagation of chaos for stochastic systems with $W-1, \infty$ kernels, *Invent. Math.* **214** (2018) 523–591.
- [28] Lecaudey V, Akdogan GC, Norton WHJ, Gilmour D Dynamic Fgf signaling couples morphogenesis and migration in the zebrafish lateral line primordium. *Development* **135** (2008) 2695–2705.
- [29] J. Joie, Y. Lei, M.-C. Durrieu, T. Colin, C. Poignard and O. Saut, Migration and orientation of endothelial cells on micropatterned polymers: a simple model based on classical mechanics, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **20** (2015), 1059–1076.
- [30] D. Kawashima, PhD Thesis, Kyoto University (1983).
- [31] E. Méhes and T. Vicsek, Collective motion of cells: from experiments to models, *Integr Biol* **6** (2014) 831–854.
- [32] M. Menci, M. Papi, Global solutions for a path-dependent hybrid system of differential equations under parabolic signal, *Nonlinear Analysis* **184** (2019) 172–192.
- [33] R. Natalini, T. Paul, On The Mean Field limit for Cucker-Smale models, *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - B*, doi: 10.3934/dcdsb.2021164.
- [34] B. Piccoli, F. Rossi, E. Trélat, Control to flocking of the kinetic Cucker-Smale model, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2014) 4685–4719.
- [35] Preziosi, L.; Astanin, S. Modelling the formation of capillaries. Quarteroni, Alfio (ed.) et al., *Complex systems in biomedicine*. Milano: Springer 109–145, 2006.
- [36] A. Colombi, M. Scianna and A. Tosin, Differentiated cell behavior: a multiscale approach using measure theory, *J Math Biol*, **71**(5) (2015) 1049–1079.
- [37] N. Sepúlveda, L. Petitjean, O. Cochet, E. Grasland-Mongrain, P. Silberzan and V. Hakim, Collective Cell Motion in an Epithelial Sheet Can Be Quantitatively Described by a Stochastic Interacting Particle Model, *PLOS Computational Biology*, **9** (3) (2013) e1002944.
- [38] D. Strömbom, Collective motion from local attraction, *J Theor Biol* **283** (2011) 145–151.
- [39] B. Szabó, G. J. Szöllösi, B. Gönci, Z. Jurányi, D. Selmeçzi and T. Vicsek, Phase transition in the collective migration of tissue cells: Experiment and model, *Phys Rev E* **74** (2006) 061908.
- [40] A.-S. Sznitman, Topics in propagation of chaos. Ecole d’Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX, Lecture Notes in Math. 1464. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [41] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen and O. Shochet, Novel Type of Phase Transition in a System of Self-Driven Particles, *Phys Rev Lett*, **75** (1995) 1226–1229.
- [42] T. Vicsek and A. Zafeiris, Collective motion, *Physics Reports* **517** (2012), 71–140.
- [43] C. Villani: Topics in Optimal Transportation, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (RI), 2003.
- [44] C. Villani: Optimal Transport. Old and New, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.

(R.N) IAC, VIA DEI TAURINI, 19, 00185 ROMA RM ITALY

Email address: roberto.natalini@cnr.it

(T.P.) CNRS & LJLL SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ 4 PLACE JUSSIEU 75005 PARIS, FRANCE FRANCE

Email address: thierry.paul@upmc.fr