

Norm-Multiplicative Homomorphisms of Beurling Algebras

Matthew E. Kroeker*, Alexander Stephens*, Ross Stokke† and Randy Yee‡

Abstract

We introduce and study “norm-multiplicative” homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ between group and measure algebras, and $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ between Beurling group and measure algebras, where F and G are locally compact groups with continuous weights ω_F and ω_G . Through a unified approach we recover, and sometimes strengthen, many of the main known results concerning homomorphisms and isomorphisms between these (Beurling) group and measure algebras. We provide a first description of all positive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$. We state versions of our results that describe a variety of (possibly unbounded) homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ for (discrete) groups F and G .

Primary MSC codes: 43A20, 43A10, 43A15, 43A22, 16S34

Key words and phrases: weighted locally compact group, Beurling algebra, homomorphisms of group algebras, group rings

1 Introduction

Throughout this article, (F, ω_F) and (G, ω_G) are weighted locally compact groups. The Beurling group and measure algebras over (F, ω_F) are denoted by $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ respectively; when ω_F is the trivial weight, we obtain the usual group and measure algebras, denoted herein by $\mathcal{L}^1(F)$ and $\mathcal{M}_r(F)$.

A natural endeavour in any area of mathematics is to describe the structure preserving maps between like objects. The existence of an algebra isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ shows that the algebraic structures of group and measure algebras do not alone determine the underlying group [15]. In abstract harmonic analysis, a well-studied question thus asks for a description of isomorphisms between various Banach algebras built over locally compact groups that take account of the additional structure of these algebras, and whether the existence of such isomorphisms determine the underlying locally compact groups. Work on this problem began with the study of isometric and bipositive isomorphisms between group algebras and measure algebras by Kawada, Wendel, Johnson and Strichartz in [16, 29, 30, 13, 27] (and elsewhere). These authors showed that the Banach algebra and order structures of group and measure algebras determine their underlying locally compact groups, i.e. that $\mathcal{L}^1(F)$ and $\mathcal{M}_r(F)$ are complete invariants for the locally compact group F . The literature concerned with determining whether other types of Banach algebras over F are complete invariants for F is quite extensive; a small sample of relevant papers is [7, 8, 9, 17, 28].

More generally, the “homomorphism problem” in abstract harmonic analysis asks for a description of all homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$, (the dual problem asks for a description of all homomorphisms between Fourier and Fourier–Stieltjes algebras). This problem was completely

*This author was supported by a 2018 NSERC USRA.

†This author was partially supported by an NSERC grant.

‡This author was supported by R. Stokke’s NSERC grant in the summer of 2013.

solved in the abelian case by Paul Cohen in [1], but remains open in general. Contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ were first described by Greenleaf in [12], and a characterization of such homomorphisms via a Cohen-type factorization is found in [25]; see [25] for more about the history of this old problem. There had been no known description of the positive (order-preserving) homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$.

Beurling group and measure algebras provide the setting for a rather active area of research, e.g., see [2, 4, 5, 6, 14, 18, 21, 22, 23]. Zadeh [32] and Ghahramani-Zadeh [10] recently showed that the Beurling group algebra $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ and the Beurling measure algebra $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ are, up to an isometric/bipositive isomorphism, complete invariants for the underlying weighted locally compact group (F, ω_F) .

Extending work of Pym [20] and Greenleaf [12] to the setting of Beurling measure algebras, in Section 2 we describe all norm-one, and positive norm-one, idempotents in $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$. In Section 3 we introduce and completely describe the norm-multiplicative subgroups — or NM_{ω_G} -subgroups (NM-subgroups in the case of the trivial weight) — of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$: these are the (convolution) subgroups Γ of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ on which the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\omega_G} : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a homomorphism. The collection of NM_{ω_G} -subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ properly contains the collection of all contractive subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$, so the results in this section extend Greenleaf’s description from [12] (and [25], which contains the topological description) of the contractive subgroups of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. (Note that we rely heavily on the results and methods from [12, 25] in Section 3.) Every positive subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ with identity in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is also a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, and we thus obtain a first description of all positive subgroups of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$.

We call a bounded homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ a NM_{ω_G} - (NM-)homomorphism if the image of F under the canonical strict-to-weak* continuous extension of φ to $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ is a NM_{ω_G} -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ (NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$). We introduce some “basic homomorphisms” and characterize all NM_{ω_G} -homomorphisms (and NM-homomorphisms for which $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_G})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$) as those that factor into a particular product of three basic homomorphisms. While NM_{ω_G} - and NM-homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ may not be contractive, we observe that the NM-homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ are precisely the contractive homomorphisms and recover Theorem 5.11 of [25] (though we make significant use herein of [25]). We describe all positive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ such that $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_G})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$, and thereby describe *all* positive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$, also a new result. We conclude Section 4 by recovering the main results concerning isometric and bipositive isomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ from [32] and [10]; moreover, we show that positive isomorphisms are always bipositive, thereby strengthening the results from [16, 10]. This paper provides a unified approach to obtaining many of the main known results about isomorphisms and homomorphisms between (Beurling) group and measure algebras.

In Section 5, we state versions of some of our main results describing various (not necessarily bounded) homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$. We believe these results are also new and are hopeful that they will be of interest to algebraists working in group rings.

Preliminary results and notation

Throughout this article, G is a locally compact group and $\omega : G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous *weight function* satisfying

$$\omega(st) \leq \omega(s)\omega(t) \quad (s, t \in G) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(e_G) = 1;$$

the pair (G, ω) is called a *weighted locally compact group*. When dealing with two weighted locally compact groups F and G , we use ω_F and ω_G to specify their weights. Let λ denote a fixed left Haar measure on G , with respect to which the group algebra $\mathcal{L}^1(G)$ and $\mathcal{L}^\infty(G) = \mathcal{L}^1(G)^*$ are defined in

the usual way. The Beurling group algebra $\mathcal{L}^1(G, \omega)$, or simply $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$, is composed of all functions f such that ωf belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(G)$, with $\|f\|_{1, \omega} := \|\omega f\|_1$ and convolution product. If $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(G)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})$ exactly when $\psi/\omega \in \mathcal{S}(G)$; putting $\|\psi\|_{\infty, \omega^{-1}} = \|\psi/\omega\|_\infty$, $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})$ is a Banach space and $S : \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G) : \psi \mapsto \psi/\omega$ is an isometric linear isomorphism.

Following [3] and [26], $\mathfrak{S}(G)$ is the δ -ring of compacted-Borel subsets of G , i.e., the set of all Borel subsets of G with compact closure, and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ denotes the space of all complex regular compacted-Borel measures G , i.e., all complex regular measures μ defined on $\mathfrak{S}(G)$. A measure ν in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ if $\omega\nu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$, where $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is the Banach algebra of bounded measures in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ with its usual norm $\|\cdot\|$. With the norm $\|\nu\|_\omega := \|\omega\nu\|$, $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ can be identified with the dual of $C_0(\omega^{-1})$ through the pairing

$$\langle \nu, \psi \rangle_\omega = \int \psi d\nu \quad (\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega), \psi \in C_0(\omega^{-1})),$$

and $\nu \mapsto \omega\nu : \mathcal{M}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is the dual map of $\phi \mapsto \phi\omega : C_0(G) \rightarrow C_0(\omega^{-1})$; thus

$$\int \phi d(\omega\nu) = \int \phi\omega d\nu \quad \text{for } \phi \in C_0(G), \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega). \quad (1)$$

With respect to the convolution product, $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is a dual Banach algebra, called the Beurling measure algebra. For details see [3] and [26]. (The paper [26] corrects an earlier definition of the Beurling measure algebra found in the literature and was written specifically to validate the work herein, without requiring that we assume our weights are bounded away from zero.)

Remark 1.1. 1. Let $M(G)$ denote the usual measure algebra of complex regular measures defined on $\mathfrak{B}(G)$, the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of G . For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$, $\mu_e \in M(G)$ where for $A \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$, $\mu_e(A) := \lim \mu(C)$, with the limit taken over the directed family of compact subsets C of A . The map $\mu \mapsto \mu_e : \mathcal{M}_r(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ is an order-preserving weak*-homeomorphic isometric algebra isomorphism such that $\int \phi d\mu = \int \phi d\mu_e$ for $\phi \in C_0(G)$, $|\mu_e| = |\mu|_e$, and the supports of μ and μ_e are equal, i.e., $s(\mu) = s(\mu_e)$ [26, Remarks 1.1, 1.2, 2.1] and [3, II.8.15]. As a dual Banach algebra, $\mathcal{M}_r(G) = C_0(G)^*$ can thus be used interchangeably with $M(G) = C_0(G)^*$. For the sake of consistency, and because $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is more natural in this setting, we will mostly use $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ in place of $M(G)$.

2. When H is a closed subgroup of G , we can identify $\mathcal{M}_r(H)$ with the closed subalgebra $\mathcal{M}_{r,H}(G) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G) : s(\mu) \subseteq H\}$ of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, its image under the weak*-continuous isometric algebra isomorphism R_H^* , where $R_H : C_0(G) \rightarrow C_0(H)$ is the restriction operator, a quotient map [25, Proposition 5.3]. Letting $\omega_H = \omega|_H$, R_H also defines a quotient map of $C_0(\omega^{-1})$ onto $C_0(\omega_H^{-1})$, and R_H^* is a weak*-continuous isometric algebra isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_H)$ onto the weak*-closed subalgebra $\mathcal{M}_H(\omega) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega) : s(\mu) \subseteq H\}$ of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$; we will often identify $\mathcal{M}(\omega_H)$ with $\mathcal{M}_H(\omega) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ through R_H^* . For η in $\mathcal{M}_r(H)$ or $\mathcal{M}(\omega_H)$, $R_H^*(\eta)(A) = \eta(A \cap H)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{S}(G)$; if μ is in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ or $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ with $s(\mu) \subseteq H$, $\mu = R_H^*(\mu_H)$ where $\mu_H = \mu|_{\mathfrak{S}(H)}$.

3. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$, then f must vanish off a σ -compact subset of G , a subtlety that requires some care [26, Remark 1.2]. However, if $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu_e)$ and $\int f d\mu = \int f d\mu_e$ [3, II.8.15]; as noted above, $\mu_e \in M(G)$ when $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$. This will be used on several occasions.

4. Letting $\mathcal{M}_{cr}(G)$ denote the compactly supported measures in $\mathcal{M}(G)$, $\mathcal{M}_{cr}(G)$ is a dense subalgebra of both $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ [26, Remark 2.1.3].

Let $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})$ be a left introverted subspace of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\omega^{-1}) = \mathcal{L}^1(\omega)^*$ such that

$$C_0(\omega^{-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1}) \subseteq LUC(\omega^{-1}). \quad (2)$$

Equivalently, under the assumption (2), $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})$ is a left introverted subspace of $\ell^\infty(\omega^{-1}) = \ell^1(\omega)^*$; $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$ is a Banach algebra with respect to its left Arens product given by

$$\langle m \square n, \psi \rangle = \langle m, n \square \psi \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad (n \square \psi)(s) = n(\psi \cdot s), \quad (\psi \cdot s)(t) = \psi(st),$$

for $m, n \in \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1}), s, t \in G$ [26, Proposition 2.9]. The (left and right) Arens product and convolution agree on $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$. Let so_l^ω and so_r^ω denote the left- and right-strict topologies on $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ taken with respect to the ideal $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$, i.e., the locally convex topologies respectively generated by the semi-norms $p_g(\nu) = \|g * \nu\|$ and $q_g(\nu) = \|\nu * g\|$ for $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega), \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$, and let $so^\omega = so_l^\omega \vee so_r^\omega$ denote the strict topology on $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$; when $\omega \equiv 1$ is the trivial weight, we just use so_l, so_r, so . As $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$ has a contractive approximate identity, (the unit ball of) $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$ is so_l/so_r -dense in (the unit ball of) $\mathcal{M}(G, \omega)$. For brevity and clarity, we will sometimes denote the weak*-topology on $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$, including $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, by σ^ω and σ when $\omega \equiv 1$. Letting $\Theta : \mathcal{M}(\omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$ be the $so_l^\omega - \sigma^\omega$ (and $so_l^\omega - \sigma^\omega$) continuous homomorphic isometric embedding defined in [26], Θ maps into the topological centre $Z_t(\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*)$ of $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$, and extends both $\eta_S : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$ and $\eta_S : \ell^1(\omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$ [26, Proposition 2.9]. Thus, σ^ω on $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is contained in so_l^ω and so_r^ω . When convenient, we will identify $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ with its copy $\Theta(\mathcal{M}(\omega))$ in $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$.

For $x \in G$, $\delta_x \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is the Dirac measure at x and $\Delta_G = \{\delta_x : x \in G\}$. We will often identify $\mathbb{C}G$ with the linear span of Δ_G in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ or $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$, $f \mapsto \delta_x * f, f * \delta_x : G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$ are continuous (e.g., see [31, Lemma 3.1.5]) and $C_{00}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})$, so it is easy to see that $x \mapsto \delta_x$ is a topological group isomorphism of G onto Δ_G when Δ_G has either its relative so_l^ω/so_r^ω -topology from $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ or its σ^ω -topology from $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$; thus, these topologies agree on Δ_G .

Since the $\|\cdot\|_1$ -unit ball of $\mathbb{C}G$ is dense in the unit ball of $\ell^1(G)$ and $S : \ell^1(G) \rightarrow \ell^1(\omega) : f \mapsto f\omega^{-1}$ is a linear isometric isomorphism mapping $\mathbb{C}G$ onto itself, the $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ -unit ball of $\mathbb{C}G$ is also dense in the unit ball of $\ell^1(\omega)$. As $\eta_S(\ell^1(\omega))_{\|\cdot\|_\omega \leq 1}$ is σ^ω -dense in $(\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$, the $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ -unit ball of $\mathbb{C}G$ is σ^ω -dense in the unit ball of $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1})^*$. Also, the $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ -unit ball of $\mathbb{C}G$ is so_l^ω -dense in the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ by [31, Lemma 3.1.9], (the proof of which holds verbatim using $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ as defined here and in [26], and either so_r^ω — used in [31] — or so_l^ω). These observations and the proof of [26, Lemma 1.1] yield the following statement.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{S}(\omega_F^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{S}(\omega_G^{-1})$ be left introverted spaces with*

$$C_0(\omega_F^{-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\omega_F^{-1}) \subseteq LUC(\omega_F^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad C_0(\omega_G^{-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\omega_G^{-1}) \subseteq LUC(\omega_G^{-1}).$$

Then a $\sigma^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous (resp. $so_l^\omega/so_r^\omega - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous) linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{S}(\omega_F^{-1})^ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\omega_G^{-1})^*$ (resp. $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\omega_G^{-1})^*$) is uniquely determined by its values on Δ_F and if $\varphi(\Delta_F)$ is contained in $Z_t(\mathcal{S}(\omega_G^{-1})^*)$, the topological centre of $\mathcal{S}(\omega_G^{-1})^*$, then φ is a homomorphism if and only if for each $s, t \in F$, $\varphi(\delta_s * \delta_t) = \varphi(\delta_s) \square \varphi(\delta_t)$.*

Unless explicitly stated otherwise, and in Section 5, mappings between normed spaces are always assumed to be bounded and linear. Any undefined notation is found in [26].

2 Norm-one idempotents in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$

Throughout this section, (G, ω) is a weighted locally compact group. In the case that ω is the trivial weight, the following lemma is [20, Theorem 4.1]. Our proof follows Pym's approach — see section 3 and the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [20] — with some adjustments to take account of the weight. When K is a compact subgroup of G , m_K denotes the normalized Haar measure on K , usually viewed as a measure in $\mathcal{M}_{cr}(G)$, as described in Remark 1.1.2.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ be a positive norm-one idempotent. Then $K = s(\nu)$ is a compact subgroup of G such that $\omega\nu = m_K$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = \omega\nu$, so $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and $\|\mu\| = \|\nu\|_\omega = 1$. Let $K = s(\mu) = s(\nu)$. Take $\phi \in C_0(G)^+$. Then $\mu \cdot \phi \in C_0(G)^+$, where

$$\mu \cdot \phi(s) = \langle \mu, \phi \cdot s \rangle = \int \phi \cdot s d\mu \quad (s \in G),$$

(e.g., by the non-weighted case of [26, Corollary 2.6]). Taking $a = a_\phi \in G$ such that

$$\mu \cdot \phi(a) = \max_{x \in G} \mu \cdot \phi(x),$$

we claim that $\mu \cdot \phi(ax) = \mu \cdot \phi(a)$ for each $x \in K$:

Employing (1), Remark 1.1, [26, Corollary 2.6] and [26, Identity (14)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \cdot \phi(a) &= \int \phi \cdot a d(\omega\nu) = \langle \nu, (\phi \cdot a)\omega \rangle_\omega = \langle \nu * \nu, (\phi \cdot a)\omega \rangle_\omega = \int \int (\phi \cdot a)(st)\omega(st) d\nu(s) d\nu(t) \\ &\leq \int \int (\phi \cdot a)(st)\omega(s)\omega(t) d\nu(s) d\nu(t) = \int \int t \cdot (\phi \cdot a)(s) d(\omega\nu)(s) \omega(t) d\nu(t) \\ &= \int (\phi \cdot a) \cdot \mu(t) d(\omega\nu)(t) = \langle \mu, (\phi \cdot a) \cdot \mu \rangle = \langle \mu, \mu \cdot (\phi \cdot a) \rangle \\ &= \int \mu \cdot \phi(as) d\mu(s) = \int \mu \cdot \phi(as) d\mu_e(s) \\ &\leq \int \mu \cdot \phi(a) d\mu_e(s) = \mu \cdot \phi(a) \|\mu\| = \mu \cdot \phi(a). \end{aligned}$$

The function $s \mapsto h(s) = \mu \cdot \phi(a) - \mu \cdot \phi(as)$ is continuous, non-negative on G and the above calculation shows that $\int h d\mu_e = 0$, so h vanishes on $s(\mu_e) = s(\mu) = K$, giving the claim.

Taking $\phi \in C_{00}(G)^+$ such that $\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = \delta > 0$ and $a = a_\phi$ as above,

$$\mu \cdot \phi(as) = \mu \cdot \phi(a) \geq \mu \cdot \phi(e_G) = \delta > 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in K.$$

Since $\mu \cdot \phi \in C_0(G)$, it takes values less than δ off a compact set, from which it follows that K is compact. By [3, Proposition III.10.5], $K = s(\nu) = s(\nu * \nu) = \overline{s(\nu)s(\nu)} = K^2$. Thus, K is a compact cancellative semigroup, and is therefore a compact group by [19, Theorem 1].

Observe that $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(\omega)$, since it has compact support. Letting $\nu_K = \nu|_{\mathfrak{S}(K)}$, $\mu_K = \mu|_{\mathfrak{S}(K)}$, and $\omega_K = \omega|_K$, one can readily check that $\omega_K \nu_K = (\omega\nu)_K = \mu_K$, so by Remark 1.1.2, $\|\nu_K\|_{\omega_K} = \|\mu_K\| = 1$ and $\nu_K * \nu_K = \nu_K$. The above claim applied to ν_K and μ_K shows that for any $\phi \in C(K)^+$, $\mu_K \cdot \phi(a) = \mu_K \cdot \phi(as)$ for each $s \in K$, where $\mu_K \cdot \phi$ takes its maximum value at a ; hence, $\mu_K \cdot \phi$ is constant on K . Thus, μ_K is a translation-invariant positive norm-one measure on K , i.e., μ_K is the normalized Haar measure on K (and $\mu = R_K^*(\mu_K)$ is normalized Haar measure on K , viewed as a measure on G). \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ be a positive norm-one idempotent. Then there is a compact subgroup K of G such that $\nu = m_K$ and $\omega \equiv 1$ on K .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $K = s(\nu)$ is a compact subgroup of G and $\omega\nu = m_K$ or, equivalently, $\nu = (1/\omega)m_K$. We can assume that $G = K$; otherwise, as shown in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can work

with $\nu_K = \nu|_{\mathfrak{S}(K)}$ and $\omega_K = \omega|_K$. As m_K is also an idempotent in $\mathcal{M}_r(K) = \mathcal{M}_{cr}(K) = \mathcal{M}(\omega)$, for any $\phi \in C(K)^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m_K, \phi/\omega \rangle &= \int \phi \frac{1}{\omega} dm_K = \int \phi d\left(\frac{1}{\omega} m_K\right) = \langle \nu, \phi \rangle_\omega = \langle \nu * \nu, \phi \rangle_\omega \\ &= \iint \phi(st) d\nu(s) d\nu(t) = \iint \phi(st) \frac{1}{\omega(s)} dm_K(s) \frac{1}{\omega(t)} dm_K(t) \\ &\geq \iint \frac{\phi}{\omega}(st) dm_K(s) dm_K(t) = \langle m_K * m_K, \phi/\omega \rangle = \langle m_K, \phi/\omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\phi = \omega$ (on K), we obtain

$$\iint \frac{\omega(st)}{\omega(s)\omega(t)} dm_K(s) dm_K(t) = \int 1 dm_K(s) dm_K(t).$$

Letting $g(s, t) = 1 - \frac{\omega(st)}{\omega(s)\omega(t)}$, g is continuous and non-negative on $K \times K$, and

$$\int_{K \times K} g(s, t) d(m_K \times m_K)(s, t) = 0.$$

Hence, g vanishes on $K \times K$, meaning that $\omega(st) = \omega(s)\omega(t)$ for $s, t \in K$. Thus, $\omega(K)$ is a compact subgroup of $(0, \infty)$, and therefore $\omega \equiv 1$ on K . \square

Remark 2.3. If $\omega \equiv 1$ on a subgroup K of G , then ω is constant on the cosets of K . Indeed, for $s \in G$ and $k \in K$, $\omega(sk) \leq \omega(s)\omega(k) = \omega(s) \leq \omega(sk)\omega(k^{-1}) = \omega(sk)$, so $\omega(sk) = \omega(s)$. In this case, $\omega_{G/K}(sK) := \omega(s)$ is hence a well-defined continuous function on G ; moreover, $\omega_{G/K}$ is a weight function on G/K when $K \triangleleft G$.

In the case of the trivial weight, the following result contains, and gives independent proofs of, Theorems 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 of [12]. In the proof, we use the fact that $\eta \leq \sigma$ if and only if $\omega\eta \leq \omega\sigma$ for $\eta, \sigma \in \mathcal{M}(G)^+$, which is readily checked. Also, it is clear from the definition of μ_e (see Remark 1.1.1) that $\eta \leq \sigma$ if and only if $\eta_e \leq \sigma_e$.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ and $\|\mu * \nu\|_\omega = \|\mu\|_\omega \|\nu\|_\omega$. Then $|\mu * \nu| = |\mu| * |\nu|$ and $s(\mu * \nu) = \overline{s(\mu)s(\nu)}$.*

Proof. By [3, III.10.3], $|\mu * \nu| \leq |\mu| * |\nu|$. To establish the reverse inequality, we show that $\omega|\mu * \nu| \leq \omega|\mu| * |\nu|$. To this end, suppose towards a contradiction that $\omega|\mu| * |\nu|(A) > \omega|\mu * \nu|(A)$ for some $A \in \mathfrak{S}(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu * \nu\|_\omega &= \|(\omega|\mu * \nu|)_e\| = (\omega|\mu * \nu|)_e(G) = (\omega|\mu * \nu|)_e(A) + (\omega|\mu * \nu|)_e(G \setminus A) \\ &\leq \omega|\mu * \nu|(A) + (\omega|\mu| * |\nu|)_e(G \setminus A) \\ &< \omega|\mu| * |\nu|(A) + (\omega|\mu| * |\nu|)_e(G \setminus A) = (\omega|\mu| * |\nu|)_e(G) = \| |\mu| * |\nu| \|_\omega \\ &\leq \|\mu\|_\omega \|\nu\|_\omega = \|\mu * \nu\|_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

By [3, Proposition III.10.5], we now obtain

$$s(\mu * \nu) = s(|\mu * \nu|) = s(|\mu| * |\nu|) = \overline{s(|\mu|)s(|\nu|)} = \overline{s(\mu)s(\nu)}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.5. (i) *Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Then $\|\mu * \nu\| = \|\mu\| \|\nu\|$ if and only if $|\mu * \nu| = |\mu| * |\nu|$.*
(ii) *If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ and $\|\mu * \nu\|_\omega = \|\mu\|_\omega \|\nu\|_\omega$, then $\|\mu * \nu\| = \|\mu\| \|\nu\|$.*

Proof. The forward implication of statement (i) is a special case of Proposition 2.4 (and is also [12, Theorem 2.1.2]). Since $\mathcal{M}_r(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \mu \mapsto \int 1 d\mu_e$ is a homomorphism and $\|\mu\| = |\mu_e|(G) = \int 1 d|\mu_e|$, we have the converse of statement (i). Statement (ii) follows immediately from Proposition 2.4 and part (i). \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ be a norm-one idempotent. Then there is a compact subgroup K of G and $\rho \in \widehat{K}^1$, i.e., a continuous homomorphism $\rho : K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, such that $\nu = \rho m_K$; moreover $\omega \equiv 1$ on K .*

Proof. Since $\|\nu * \nu\|_\omega = \|\nu\|_\omega = 1 = \|\nu\|_\omega \|\nu\|_\omega$, $|\nu| * |\nu| = |\nu * \nu| = |\nu|$ by Proposition 2.4. By Theorem 2.2, $K = s(|\nu|) = s(\nu)$ is a compact subgroup of G and $\omega \equiv 1$ on K . Hence, $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{cr}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and $\|\nu\| = \|\omega\nu\| = \|\nu\|_\omega = 1$. Thus, ν is a norm-one idempotent in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, so by [12, Theorem 2.1.4], $\nu_e = \rho m_K$ — and therefore $\nu = \rho m_K$ — for some $\rho \in \widehat{K}^1$. \square

3 Norm-multiplicative subgroups

To avoid trivial cases, we assume all (convolution) subgroups Γ of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ are not $\{0\}$ (and therefore do not contain 0). Unless stated otherwise, Γ is always endowed with its relative σ^ω -topology inherited from $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ and ι_Γ denotes the identity of Γ . It is convenient to introduce some terminology.

Definition 3.1. We call a subgroup Γ of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ *norm-multiplicative*, or say that Γ is a NM_ω -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, if

$$\|\mu * \nu\|_\omega = \|\mu\|_\omega \|\nu\|_\omega \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \in \Gamma,$$

i.e., if $\|\cdot\|_\omega : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a homomorphism; NM_ω -subgroups taken with respect to the trivial weight $\omega \equiv 1$ will be called NM -subgroups of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$.

The following observation is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.5.

Proposition 3.2. *Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Then Γ is a NM -subgroup if and only if $|\mu * \nu| = |\mu| * |\nu|$ for every $\mu, \nu \in \Gamma$.*

In parts (iii) and (iv) of the next proposition, we are not assuming that Γ is contained in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$; in each case, this is a consequence of our hypothesis.

Proposition 3.3. *Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$.*

- (i) *If Γ is a contractive subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, i.e., if $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega)_{\|\cdot\|_\omega \leq 1}$, then $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega)_{\|\cdot\|_\omega = 1}$, and Γ is therefore a NM_ω -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$.*
- (ii) *Γ is a NM_ω -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ if and only if $\Gamma_1 = \{\mu/\|\mu\|_\omega : \mu \in \Gamma\}$ is a contractive subgroup of $M(\omega)$.*
- (iii) *If Γ is a NM_ω -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, then $\|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega = 1$, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{cr}(G)$ and Γ is a NM -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$.*
- (iv) *If $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega)^+$ and $\iota_\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ — e.g., if $\|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega = 1$ — then Γ is a NM -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and $\iota_\Gamma = m_K$ for some compact subgroup K of G .*

Proof. (i) As a nonzero idempotent in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, $\|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega \geq 1$, so $\|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega = 1$. For $\mu \in \Gamma$, it follows that $1 = \|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega = \|\mu * \mu^{-1}\|_\omega \leq \|\mu\|_\omega \|\mu^{-1}\|_\omega \leq 1$; hence $\|\mu\|_\omega = 1$.

(ii) Since Γ_1 is contained in the unit sphere of $M(\omega)$, this is easy to verify.

(iii) Clearly $\|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega = 1$, so by Corollary 2.6, $s(\iota_\Gamma) = K$ is a compact subgroup of G . Let $\mu \in \Gamma$ and take $y \in s(\mu^{-1})$. By Proposition 2.4, $K = s(\iota_\Gamma) = \overline{s(\mu)s(\mu^{-1})}$, so $xy \in K$ for $x \in s(\mu)$ and therefore $x \in Ky^{-1}$. Hence, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{cr}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Statement (i) now follows from Corollary 2.5.

(iv) Since $\iota_\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)^+ \cong M(G)^+$, $\|\iota_\Gamma\| = \langle 1_G, \iota_\Gamma \rangle = \langle 1_G, \iota_\Gamma * \iota_\Gamma \rangle = \langle 1_G, \iota_\Gamma \rangle^2 = \|\iota_\Gamma\|$. Hence, ι_Γ is a positive norm-one idempotent in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, so $K = s(\iota_\Gamma)$ is a compact subgroup of G and $\iota_\Gamma = m_K$ by the unweighted case of Theorem 2.2 (or by [20, Theorem 4.1]). By [3, Proposition III.10.5], $s(\mu * \nu) = \overline{s(\mu)s(\nu)}$ for $\mu, \nu \in \Gamma$ so the argument given in (iii) above shows that $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{cr}(G)$. By Proposition 3.2, Γ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. \square

Remark 3.4. Observe that $\Gamma = \{\delta_x : x \in G\}$ is a positive subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ with $\|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega = 1$, and is therefore a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. However, it is easy to see that Γ is a NM_ω -subgroup of $M(\omega)$ if and only if ω is multiplicative on G ; more generally, see Theorem 3.7 below. We do not know if a positive idempotent in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ must always lie in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, though when ω is bounded away from zero, $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is automatically contained in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$.

We now observe that Proposition 3.3 and the description of the contractive subgroups of $M(G) \cong \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ from [12] and [25] can be used to describe the NM-subgroups of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Our notation follows [25, Section 4], which is slightly different from Greenleaf's.

Let Γ be a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Since $\|\iota_\Gamma\| = 1$, there is a compact subgroup K of G and $\rho \in \hat{K}^1$ such that $\iota_\Gamma = \rho m_K$ and ρm_K is self-adjoint [25, Lemma 4.1]. By Proposition 3.3,

$$\Gamma_1 = \{\mu_1 := \mu/\|\mu\| : \mu \in \Gamma\}$$

is a contractive subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. From Greenleaf's description of such groups [12, Section 3]:

- $H_0 = \bigcup_{\mu \in \Gamma} s(\mu) = \bigcup_{\mu \in \Gamma} s(\mu_1)$ is a subgroup of G and, letting $H = s(\Gamma) := \overline{H_0}$, K and $\ker \rho$ are normal subgroups of H such that $K/\ker \rho$ is contained in $Z(H/\ker \rho)$, the centre of $H/\ker \rho$. As noted in [25, Lemma 5.2], $\iota_\Gamma \in Z(\mathcal{M}_r(H))$, the centre of $\mathcal{M}_r(H)$ (and $\mathcal{M}_r(H)$ is a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, via Remark 1.1.2).

- Letting

$$\Omega_{\Gamma_1} = \{(\alpha, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times H : \alpha \delta_t * \rho m_K \in \Gamma_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_\rho = \{(\rho(k), k) : k \in K\},$$

Ω_{Γ_1} is a subgroup of $\mathbb{T} \times H$, and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{T} \times H} = \{\alpha \delta_t * \rho m_K : (\alpha, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times H\}$ is a contractive subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(H) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ containing Γ_1 .

- The map $\phi_0^1 : (\alpha, t) \mapsto \alpha \delta_t * \rho m_K$ defines a continuous homomorphism of Ω_{Γ_1} onto Γ_1 , and of $\mathbb{T} \times H$ onto $\Gamma_{\mathbb{T} \times H}$, with $\ker \phi_0^1 = \Omega_\rho$;

$$\phi^1 : (\alpha, t) \Omega_\rho \mapsto \alpha \delta_t * \rho m_K$$

is thus a continuous group isomorphism of $\Omega_{\Gamma_1}/\Omega_\rho$ onto Γ_1 , and of $\mathbb{T} \times H/\Omega_\rho$ onto $\Gamma_{\mathbb{T} \times H}$. Moreover, ϕ^1 is a topological group isomorphism [25, Theorem 4.2], [24, Theorem 3.1].

Remark 3.5. 1. (a) For $s, t \in H$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $(\alpha \delta_s * \rho m_K) * (\beta \delta_t * \rho m_K) = \alpha \beta \delta_{st} * \rho m_K$ because $\iota_\Gamma = \rho m_K \in Z(\mathcal{M}_r(H))$.

(b) For $\mu = \alpha \delta_s * \rho m_K$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in G$, $\|\mu\| = |\alpha| \|\delta_s * \rho m_K\| = |\alpha|$; for any $\mu = \delta_{s^{-1}} * \delta_s * \mu \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$, $\|\delta_s * \mu\| = \|\mu\|$ by submultiplicativity of $\|\cdot\|$.

(c) From (b), if $\alpha \delta_s * \rho m_K = \rho m_K$, then $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ and therefore $(\alpha, s) \in \ker \phi_0^1 = \Omega_\rho$.

2. Let $\mu = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in G$, where we further assume that $\omega \equiv 1$ on K . Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{cr}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ and for $\phi \in C_0(G)$,

$$\langle \omega\mu, \phi \rangle = \int_K \alpha\omega(sk)\phi(sk)\rho(k) dm_K(k) = \omega(s) \int_K \alpha\phi(sk)\rho(k) dm_K(k) = \langle \omega(s)\mu, \phi \rangle,$$

using Remark 2.3. Hence, in this case we have

$$\omega\mu = \omega(s)\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu\|_\omega = \|\omega\mu\| = \omega(s)\|\mu\| = \omega(s)|\alpha|. \quad (3)$$

Let \mathbb{C}^\times denote the group $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ under multiplication.

Lemma 3.6. *Let Γ be a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Then:*

(i) *there is a compact subgroup K of G and $\rho \in \widehat{K}^1$ such that $\iota_\Gamma = \rho m_K$, K and $\ker \rho$ are normal subgroups of H such that $K/\ker \rho \leq Z(H/\ker \rho)$ and ρm_K is a self-adjoint central idempotent in $\mathcal{M}_r(H) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r(G)$;*

(ii) $\Omega_\Gamma = \{(\alpha, t) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H : \alpha\delta_t * \rho m_K \in \Gamma\}$ *is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ and*

$$\phi_0 : (\alpha, t) \mapsto \alpha\delta_t * \rho m_K \quad (4)$$

defines a continuous homomorphism of Ω_Γ onto Γ with $\ker \phi_0 = \Omega_\rho$ and $\mu^{-1} = \mu^/\|\mu\|^2$ for $\mu \in \Gamma$;*

(iii) $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H} = \{\alpha\delta_t * \rho m_K : (\alpha, t) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H\}$ *is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and (4) also defines a continuous homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ onto $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$ with $\ker \phi_0 = \Omega_\rho$.*

Proof. Statement (i) was already observed, and the algebraic parts of statement (iii) follow from Remark 3.5.1 (a), (b) and (c). Since $s \mapsto \delta_s$ is a topological group isomorphism of H onto (Δ_H, σ) and multiplication in $\mathcal{M}_r(H)$ is separately σ -continuous, ϕ_0 is continuous on $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$. That Ω_Γ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ and ϕ_0 is a continuous homomorphism of Ω_Γ into Γ , with kernel Ω_ρ , now follows from Remark 3.5.1 (a), (c) and statement (iii). If $\mu \in \Gamma$, $\mu_1 = \mu/\|\mu\| \in \Gamma_1$, so $\mu_1 = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$ for some $(\alpha, s) \in \Omega_{\Gamma_1}$; therefore, $(\|\mu\|\alpha, s) \in \Omega_\Gamma$ and $\phi_0(\|\mu\|\alpha, s) = \mu$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let Γ be a subset of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. The following three statements are equivalent:*

(i) Γ *is a NM $_\omega$ -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$.*

(ii) $H = s(\Gamma)$ *is a closed subgroup of G on which $\omega : H \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous homomorphism; there is a compact normal subgroup K of H and $\rho \in \widehat{K}^1$ such that $\ker \rho \triangleleft H$ and $K/\ker \rho \leq Z(K/\ker \rho)$; and $\Omega_\Gamma = \{(\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H : \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K \in \Gamma\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ such that $\Gamma = \{\alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K : (\alpha, s) \in \Omega_\Gamma\}$.*

(iii) Γ *is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and ω is a continuous homomorphism on $H = s(\Gamma)$.*

Hence, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H} = \{\alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K : (\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H\}$ *is a NM $_\omega$ -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ whenever Γ is a NM $_\omega$ -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ with $H = s(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): By Proposition 3.3 (iii), Γ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and $\|\iota_\Gamma\|_\omega = 1$, so by Lemma 3.6 we only need to show that ω is multiplicative on the dense subgroup $H_0 = \cup_{\mu \in \Gamma} s(\mu)$ of

H . Let $s, t \in H_0$ and, again using Lemma 3.6, observe that there must exist some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\mu = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K, \nu = \beta\delta_t * \rho m_K \in \Gamma$. By Corollary 2.6, $\omega \equiv 1$ on K , so (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(st)\|\mu\|\|\nu\| &= \omega(st)\|\mu * \nu\| = \omega(st)\|\alpha\beta\delta_{st} * \rho m_K\| = \|\omega(\mu * \nu)\| \\ &= \|\mu * \nu\|_\omega = \|\mu\|_\omega\|\nu\|_\omega = \omega(s)\|\mu\|\omega(t)\|\nu\|.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\omega(st) = \omega(s)\omega(t)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Observe that $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{cr}(H)$ and ρm_K is a central idempotent in $\mathcal{M}_r(H)$ by [25, Lemma 5.2]. Hence, Remark 3.5.1 (a) holds for all $(\alpha, s), (\beta, t) \in \Omega$, and it follows that Γ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ with identity $\iota_\Gamma = \rho m_K$. Moreover, by Remark 3.5.1 (b), Γ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\mu, \nu \in \Gamma$, say $\mu = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$ and $\nu = \beta\delta_t * \rho m_K$, where we are using Lemma 3.6. Since ω is a continuous homomorphism on H , $\omega \equiv 1$ on the compact subgroup K , and (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu * \nu\|_\omega &= \|\omega(\alpha\beta\delta_{st} * \rho m_K)\| = \omega(st)\|\alpha\beta\delta_{st} * \rho m_K\| \\ &= \omega(st)\|\mu * \nu\| = \omega(s)\omega(t)\|\mu\|\|\nu\| = \|\mu\|_\omega\|\nu\|_\omega. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

As noted in Section 1, the so_l^ω -, so_r^ω -, so^ω - and weak*-topologies agree on $\Delta_G \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega)$. Statement (v) of Proposition 3.8, show that this is a property of NM-subgroups in general.

Proposition 3.8. *Let Γ be a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, with*

$$H = s(\Gamma), \quad \iota_\Gamma = \rho m_K \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_\Gamma = \{(\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H : \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K \in \Gamma\}$$

as described in Lemma 3.6. Let ω be any weight on G . Then:

- (i) $\Gamma, \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{cr}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega) \cap \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ are subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ and $\phi_0 : (\alpha, s) \mapsto \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$ is a continuous homomorphism mapping Ω_Γ onto (Γ, σ^ω) and $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ onto $(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}, \sigma^\omega)$, with kernel $\Omega_\rho = \{(\rho(k), k) : k \in K\}$.
- (ii) $\phi : (\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho \mapsto \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$ defines a topological group isomorphism of $\Omega_\Gamma/\Omega_\rho$ onto (Γ, σ^ω) and $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_\rho$ onto $(\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}, \sigma^\omega)$.
- (iii) The relative σ and σ^ω -topologies all agree on Γ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$; so, we can unambiguously refer to the weak*-topology on a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, (or NM $_\omega$ -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$).
- (iv) Γ is a topological group and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$ is a locally compact group.
- (v) The so_l^ω -, so_r^ω -, so^ω - and weak*-topologies all agree on Γ .

Proof. (i) This mostly follows from Lemma 3.6, with σ^ω -continuity of ϕ_0 following from the σ^ω -continuity of $s \mapsto \delta_s$ and separate σ^ω -continuity of multiplication in the dual Banach algebra $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$.

(ii) From (i), ϕ is a continuous group isomorphism. Moreover, the proof of [25, Theorem 4.2], verbatim, shows that $\phi^{-1} : (\Gamma, \sigma^\omega) \rightarrow \Omega_\Gamma/\Omega_\rho$ is continuous, because the “ v ” from that proof belongs to $C_{00}(H)$ (and this v can be extended to $v_0 \in C_{00}(G) \subseteq C_0(\omega^{-1})$ if one prefers to work on (G, ω) rather than $(H, \omega|_H)$).

(iii) and (iv) are an immediate consequence of (ii).

(v) We will show that the so_l^ω - and σ^ω -topologies agree on Γ . By [26, Proposition 2.9], the identity $\mathcal{M}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is so_l^ω - σ^ω continuous — take $\mathcal{S}(\omega^{-1}) = C_0(\omega^{-1})$ there — so it suffices to establish continuity of $\text{id} : (\Gamma, \sigma^\omega) \rightarrow (\Gamma, so_l^\omega)$. Since (Γ, σ^ω) is a topological group and multiplication in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is separately so_l^ω -continuous, it suffices to establish continuity at $\iota_\Gamma = \rho m_K$. To this end,

let (μ_i) be a net in Γ such that $\mu_i \rightarrow \rho m_K$ σ^ω , say $\mu_i = \alpha_i \delta_{s_i} * \rho m_K$ with $(\alpha_i, s_i) \in \Omega_\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\times \times H$. Then $\mu_i \rightarrow \rho m_K$ in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$ as well, so $(\alpha_i, s_i) \Omega_\rho = \phi^{-1}(\mu_i) \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(\rho m_K) = \Omega_\rho$ in $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H / \Omega_\rho$. Take a relatively compact neighbourhood W of Ω_ρ in $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ such that (α_i, s_i) is eventually in W ; e.g., letting W_0 be any relatively compact neighbourhood of Ω_ρ in $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$, take $W = W_0 \Omega_\rho \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\times \times H$. Let $((\alpha_{i_j}, s_{i_j}))_j$ be a subnet and $(\alpha, s) \in \overline{W}$ such that $(\alpha_{i_j}, s_{i_j}) \rightarrow (\alpha, s)$ in $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$. Then $(\alpha_{i_j}, s_{i_j}) \Omega_\rho \rightarrow (\alpha, s) \Omega_\rho$ and $(\alpha_{i_j}, s_{i_j}) \Omega_\rho \rightarrow \Omega_\rho$, so $(\alpha, s) = (\rho(k_0), k_0)$ for some $k_0 \in K$. As noted in the introduction, this means that $\delta_{s_{i_j}} \rightarrow \delta_{k_0} \text{ so}_l^\omega$ and therefore, again using separate so_l^ω -continuity of multiplication in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, $\mu_{i_j} = \alpha_{i_j} \delta_{s_{i_j}} * \rho m_K \rightarrow \rho(k_0) \delta_{k_0} * \rho m_K = \rho m_K \text{ so}_l^\omega$ in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$. \square

Remark 3.9. 1. Spronk [24, Theorem 3.1(ii)] establishes continuity of $\phi^{-1} : \Gamma_{\mathbb{T} \times H} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times H / \Omega_\rho$ using a different argument from the one in [25]. This argument seems to require prior knowledge that the scalars “ z_i ” from that proof are bounded and does not seem to adapt to our situation. 2. If we had assumed that $\|\cdot\| : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous in our definition of NM-groups, we could establish that ϕ^{-1} in Proposition 3.8(ii) is continuous by borrowing directly from the statement of [25, Theorem 4.2] alone. In fact, continuity of $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ is automatic on any NM_ω -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let Γ be a NM_ω -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$. Then $\|\cdot\|_\omega : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous homomorphism.*

Proof. First, we claim that $\|\cdot\| : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous. To this end, suppose that $\mu_i \rightarrow \mu$ in Γ and therefore $\mu_i \rightarrow \mu$ in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$, a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Observe that for $\nu = \alpha \delta_s * \rho m_K$, $\nu * \nu^* = |\alpha|^2 \rho m_K = \|\nu\|^2 \rho m_K$. Since μ_i^*, μ^* also belong to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$, a topological group with continuous involution,

$$\|\mu_i\|^2 \rho m_K = \mu_i * \mu_i^* \rightarrow \mu * \mu^* = \|\mu\|^2 \rho m_K$$

in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$, and therefore weak* in $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Taking $v \in C_{00}(G)$ such that $v|_K = \bar{\rho}$, we obtain

$$\|\mu_i\|^2 = \langle \|\mu_i\|^2 \rho m_K, v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \|\mu\|^2 \rho m_K, v \rangle = \|\mu\|^2,$$

establishing the claim. Now observe that $\omega_{H/K} : H/K \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a well-defined continuous homomorphism, as is $p : \mathbb{C}^\times \times H / \Omega_\rho \rightarrow H/K : (\alpha, s) \Omega_\rho \mapsto sK$, and $\|\mu\|_\omega = \omega_{H/K}(p(\phi^{-1}(\mu))) \|\mu\|$ holds for $\mu \in \Gamma$ by Remark 3.5.2. \square

Let $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$, the multiplicative group of positive real numbers. The next corollary contains [10, Proposition 1.3(i)]. (We remark that [10, Proposition 1.3(i)] also quickly follows from the version of [3, Proposition III.10.5] for positive measures.)

Corollary 3.11. (i) *Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)^+$, the set of positive measures in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, with $\iota_\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Then there is a compact normal subgroup K of $H = s(\Gamma)$ such that $\Omega_\Gamma = \{(\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times H : \alpha \delta_s * m_K \in \Gamma\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^+ \times H$ such that $\phi : (\alpha, s)(1 \times K) \mapsto \alpha \delta_s * m_K$ is topological group isomorphism of $\Omega_\Gamma / (1 \times K)$ onto Γ . Moreover, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times H} = \{\alpha \delta_s * m_K : (\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times H\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)^+$ that is isomorphic as a topological group with $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (H/K)$.*

(ii) *If Γ is any subgroup of invertible elements in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)^+$, then $\Omega_\Gamma = \{(\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times H : \alpha \delta_s \in \Gamma\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^+ \times G$ such that $\phi : (\alpha, s) \mapsto \alpha \delta_s$ is topological group isomorphism of Ω_Γ onto Γ . In particular, if $\text{PInv}(G, \omega)$ is the group of all positive invertible elements in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ with positive inverses, then $\text{PInv}(G, \omega) = \{\alpha \delta_s : (\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times G\} \cong \mathbb{R}^+ \times G$.*

Proof. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)^+$ with $\iota_\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$. By Proposition 3.3 (iv), Γ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ with $\iota_\Gamma = m_K$, so, $\Omega_\rho = 1 \times K$ and $\Omega_\Gamma \leq \mathbb{R}^+ \times H$, so the first part of statement (i) follows from Proposition 3.8. Since $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times H} \leq \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H} \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times \times H) / (1 \times K) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \times H / K$, we obtain the second part of statement (i). Part (ii) is an immediate consequence of part (i) because $K = \{e_G\}$ in this case, and $\{\alpha \delta_s : (\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times G\}$ is contained in $\text{PInv}(G, \omega)$. \square

4 Norm-multiplicative homomorphisms

Throughout this section, (F, ω_F) and (G, ω_G) are weighted locally compact groups.

Remark 4.1. By [26, Corollary 2.12], every bounded homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ has a unique $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous — equivalently $so_r^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous [26, Corollary 2.13] — extension to $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$, also denoted herein by φ , with the same norm. Thus, the bounded homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ and $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ are in one-to-one correspondence, and our theorems describing certain homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ equivalently describe $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ and (f_i) is a bounded approximate identity for $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$, $(f_i * \mu)$ is a net in $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ such that

$$so_l^{\omega_F} - \lim f_i * \mu = \mu, \quad \text{so} \quad \varphi(\mu) = \sigma^{\omega_G} - \lim \varphi(f_i * \mu). \quad (5)$$

Taking (f_i) to be a positive contractive approximate identity for $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ [26, Proposition 1.6], it follows that φ is positive or contractive on $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ when the same is true for φ on $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$.

Definition 4.2. A bounded $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$, or a bounded homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ with unique $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous homomorphic extension $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$, will be called a NM_{ω_G} -homomorphism if $\Gamma_\varphi := \varphi(\Delta_F)$ is a NM_{ω_G} -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$; φ is a NM -homomorphism if Γ_φ is a NM -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$.

Remark 4.3. 1. We will mostly focus on NM -homomorphisms and *stress* that our definition of a NM -homomorphism does not assume φ maps $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ into $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$; we assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$, but that $\Gamma_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ (trivially satisfied when ω_G is bounded away from zero).

2. By Proposition 3.3, a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a NM -homomorphism in any of the following cases:

- (i) φ is a NM_{ω_G} -homomorphism (in which case $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$);
- (ii) $\omega_F \equiv 1$ and φ is a contraction (a special case of (i));
- (iii) $\Gamma_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)^+$ and $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ (e.g. if $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_F} = 1$).

Thus, our characterization below of NM -homomorphisms includes all contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ — described in the $\omega_G \equiv 1$ case in [12] and [25] (papers on which the present work depends) — and *all* bounded positive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$, a new result.

We do not know if compositions of NM -homomorphisms are always NM -homomorphisms, but do have the following proposition (where (Q, ω_Q) is another weighted locally compact group). Note that a NM -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(F)$ is automatically contained in $\mathcal{M}_{cr}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ by Lemma 3.6.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ and $\kappa : \mathcal{M}(\omega_G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_Q)$ be NM -homomorphisms.*

- (i) *If Γ is a NM -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(F)$ and $\varphi(\iota_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$ — e.g., if $\|\varphi(\iota_\Gamma)\|_{\omega_G} \leq 1$ — then $\varphi(\Gamma)$ is a NM -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$.*
- (ii) *If κ is weak*-continuous and $\kappa \circ \varphi(\delta_{e_F}) \in \mathcal{M}_r(Q)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$, then $\kappa \circ \varphi$ is a NM -homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda = \varphi(\Gamma)$, a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$, with identity $\iota_\Lambda = \varphi(\iota_\Gamma)$. Since ι_Λ is an idempotent and $\|\iota_\Lambda\| = 1$ by assumption, $\iota_\Lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{cr}(G)$. Let ν_1, ν_2 belong to the NM -subgroup Γ . By Proposition 3.8, for $i = 1, 2$ we have $\nu_i = \alpha_i \delta_{s_i} * \iota_\Gamma$ for some $(\alpha_i, s_i) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathfrak{s}(\Gamma)$; also $\varphi(\delta_{s_i}) = \beta_i \delta_{t_i} * \iota_\Phi$ for some $(\beta_i, t_i) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathfrak{s}(\Phi)$, since $\Phi = \varphi(\Delta_F)$ is a NM -subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Observe that

$\iota_\Phi * \iota_\Lambda = \varphi(\delta_{e_F}) * \varphi(\iota_\Gamma) = \varphi(\delta_{e_F} * \iota_\Gamma) = \iota_\Lambda$, so $\varphi(\nu_i) = \alpha_i \varphi(\delta_{s_i}) * \varphi(\iota_\Gamma) = \alpha_i \beta_i \delta_{t_i} * \iota_\Lambda$. Hence, Λ is contained in $\mathcal{M}_{cr}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and, using properties of multiplication in the NM-subgroups Γ and Φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\nu_1) * \varphi(\nu_2) &= \varphi(\nu_1 * \nu_2) = \varphi(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \delta_{s_1} * \delta_{s_2} * \iota_\Gamma) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \varphi(\delta_{s_1}) * \varphi(\delta_{s_2}) * \varphi(\iota_\Gamma) \\ &= \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\beta_1 \delta_{t_1} * \iota_\Phi * \beta_2 \delta_{t_2} * \iota_\Phi) * \iota_\Lambda = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \beta_2 \delta_{t_1 t_2} * \iota_\Phi * \iota_\Lambda \\ &= \alpha_1 \beta_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2 \delta_{t_1 t_2} * \iota_\Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3.5.1(b), $\|\varphi(\nu_1) * \varphi(\nu_2)\| = \|\varphi(\nu_1)\| \|\varphi(\nu_2)\|$. This establishes statement (i), from which statement (ii) immediately follows. \square

4.1 The basic NM-homomorphisms $j_{\gamma,\theta}^*$, j_θ^* , M_γ^* and S_K^*

Let $\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ be continuous homomorphisms and put

$$J_{\gamma,\theta}(\psi) = \gamma(\psi \circ \theta) \quad \text{for } \psi \in LUC(\omega_G^{-1}).$$

Proposition 4.5. *The linear map $J_{\gamma,\theta} : LUC(\omega_G^{-1}) \rightarrow LUC(\omega_F^{-1})$ is well-defined and bounded if and only if*

$$L_{\gamma,\theta} := \sup_{x \in F} |\gamma(x)| \frac{\omega_G(\theta(x))}{\omega_F(x)} < \infty.$$

In this case, $\|J_{\gamma,\theta}\| = L_{\gamma,\theta}$ and $J_{\gamma,\theta}^ : LUC(\omega_F^{-1})^* \rightarrow LUC(\omega_G^{-1})^*$ is the unique weak*-continuous homomorphism mapping δ_x to $\gamma(x)\delta_{\theta(x)}$ ($x \in F$).*

Proof. Observe that $\omega_G \in LUC(\omega_G^{-1})$, and $J_{\gamma,\theta}(\omega_G) \in \ell^\infty(\omega_F^{-1})$ if and only if $L_{\gamma,\theta} < \infty$. Suppose that $L_{\gamma,\theta} < \infty$. Then

$$\left| \frac{J_{\gamma,\theta}(\psi)(x)}{\omega_F(x)} \right| = |\gamma(x)| \frac{\omega_G(\theta(x))}{\omega_F(x)} \left| \frac{\psi(\theta(x))}{\omega_G(\theta(x))} \right| \leq L_{\gamma,\theta} \|\psi\|_{\infty, \omega_G^{-1}}$$

for $\psi \in LUC(\omega_G^{-1})$, $x \in F$; hence $J_{\gamma,\theta} : LUC(\omega_G^{-1}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\omega_F^{-1})$ and $\|J_{\gamma,\theta}\| = L_{\gamma,\theta}$. For $s \in F$ and $\psi \in LUC(\omega_G^{-1})$, $(J_{\gamma,\theta}\psi) \cdot s = \gamma(s)J_{\gamma,\theta}(\psi \cdot \theta(s))$ and $\|\psi \cdot \theta(s)\|_{\infty, \omega_G^{-1}} \leq \|\psi\|_{\infty, \omega_G^{-1}} \omega_G(\theta(s))$, from which one can check that $J_{\gamma,\theta}(\psi) \in LUC(\omega_F^{-1})$ using [26, Lemma 2.3(b)]. It is easy to check that $J_{\gamma,\theta}^*(\delta_x) = \gamma(x)\delta_{\theta(x)}$, so $J_{\gamma,\theta}^*$ is a homomorphism by Lemma 1.2. \square

Let $j_{\gamma,\theta} = J_{\gamma,\theta}|_{C_0(\omega_G^{-1})} = J_{\gamma,\theta} \circ \iota_{C_0}$, where $\iota_{C_0} : C_0(\omega_G^{-1}) \hookrightarrow LUC(\omega_G^{-1})$. Then $j_{\gamma,\theta}$ is bounded if and only if $L_{\gamma,\theta} < \infty$ and in this case, by taking dual maps, we obtain the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LUC(\omega_F^{-1})^* & \xrightarrow{J_{\gamma,\theta}^*} & LUC(\omega_G^{-1})^* \\ \Theta_{LUC} \uparrow & \searrow j_{\gamma,\theta}^* & \downarrow R_{C_0} = \iota_{C_0}^* \\ \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) & \xrightarrow{j_{\gamma,\theta}^*|_{\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)}} & \mathcal{M}(\omega_G) \end{array}$$

Observe that $j_{\gamma,\theta}^* : LUC(\omega_F^{-1})^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a weak*-continuous algebra homomorphism. Abusing notation slightly, we let $j_{\gamma,\theta}^*$ also denote $j_{\gamma,\theta}^*|_{\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)} = j_{\gamma,\theta}^* \circ \Theta_{LUC}$. Obviously $\|j_{\gamma,\theta}^*\| \leq L_{\gamma,\theta}$ and $\sup_{x \in F} \|j_{\gamma,\theta}^*(\delta_x / \omega_F(x))\|_{\omega_G} = L_{\gamma,\theta}$, so $\|j_{\gamma,\theta}^*\| = L_{\gamma,\theta}$. When $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ is proper, $j_{\gamma,\theta}$ maps $C_{00}(G)$ into $C_{00}(F)$ and therefore $j_{\gamma,\theta}$ maps $C_0(\omega_G^{-1})$ into $C_0(\omega_F^{-1})$ when $L_{\gamma,\theta} < \infty$.

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose that $L_{\gamma,\theta} < \infty$. Then $j_{\gamma,\theta}^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a NM-homomorphism with $\|j_{\gamma,\theta}^*\| = L_{\gamma,\theta}$, and $j_{\gamma,\theta}^*$ is the unique $so_l^{\omega_F - \sigma^{\omega_G}}$ continuous linear map $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ mapping δ_x to $\gamma(x)\delta_{\theta(x)}$. When θ is proper, $j_{\gamma,\theta}^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is weak*-continuous and when γ maps into $(0, \infty)$, $j_{\gamma,\theta}^*$ is positive.*

Remark 4.7. Let $1_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\text{id}_F : F \rightarrow F$ and let $M_\gamma := J_{\gamma, \text{id}_F}$, $J_\theta := J_{1_F, \theta}$, $j_\theta := j_{1_F, \theta}$; this agrees with the notation used in the non-weighted case in [25]. From the general case, $M_\gamma : LUC(\omega_F^{-1}) \rightarrow LUC(\omega_F^{-1})$ is well-defined and bounded if and only if $L_{\gamma, \text{id}_F} = \sup_{x \in F} |\gamma(x)| < \infty$, and therefore if and only if $\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. In this case, M_γ is an isometric isomorphism of $C_0(\omega_F^{-1})$ onto $C_0(\omega_F^{-1})$ with inverse $M_{\bar{\gamma}}$, and $M_\gamma^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ is the unique weak*-continuous isometric algebra isomorphism mapping δ_x to $\gamma(x)\delta_x$ and, for a compact subgroup K of F , m_K to $\gamma|_K m_K$.

Also from the general case, J_θ , j_θ are well-defined and bounded if and only if $L_\theta := L_{1_F, \theta} = \sup_{x \in F} \omega_G(\theta(x))/\omega_F(x) < \infty$; in this case $\|J_\theta\| = \|j_\theta\| = L_\theta$, $J_\theta^* : LUC(\omega_F^{-1})^* \rightarrow LUC(\omega_G^{-1})^*$ and $j_\theta^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ are respectively the unique weak*-continuous and $so_l^{\omega_F - \sigma^{\omega_G}}$ continuous algebra homomorphisms mapping δ_x to $\delta_{\theta(x)}$.

When both M_γ and J_θ/j_θ are bounded, $J_{\gamma,\theta} = M_\gamma \circ J_\theta/j_{\gamma,\theta} = M_\gamma \circ j_\theta$. However, it is possible to have neither M_γ nor J_θ/j_θ bounded and yet $J_{\gamma,\theta}/j_{\gamma,\theta}$ is bounded; see Remark 4.9 below.

Let H be a closed subgroup of G and define a continuous weight $\omega_\times = \omega_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$ on $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ by putting $\omega_\times(\alpha, s) = |\alpha|\omega_G(s)$. Let

$$\gamma_\times = \gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times} : \mathbb{C}^\times \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_H : \mathbb{C}^\times \times H \rightarrow H \leq G$$

be the projection homomorphisms. In the last statement of the following lemma, we further assume that K is a compact subgroup of H with $\omega_G \equiv 1$ on K , $\rho \in \widehat{K}^1$ and $\Omega_\rho = \{(\rho(k), k) : k \in K\}$.

Lemma 4.8. *The map $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_\times) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a well-defined, weak*-continuous contractive NM-homomorphism such that $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \delta_{(\alpha, s)} = \alpha \delta_s$. Moreover, $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*(m_{\Omega_\rho}) = \rho m_K$.*

Proof. For $(\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H$, $|\gamma_\times(\alpha, s)|\omega_G(\theta_H(\alpha, s))/\omega_\times(\alpha, s) = 1$, so $\|j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}\| = L_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H} = 1$. Let $\psi \in C_0(\omega_G^{-1})$, $\varepsilon > 0$. If B is a compact subset of G such that $|\psi(s)/\omega_G(s)| < \varepsilon$ for $s \in G \setminus B$, then $|j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H} \psi(\alpha, s)/\omega_\times(\alpha, s)| = |\psi(s)/\omega_G(s)| < \varepsilon$ for $(\alpha, s) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times G \setminus \{1\} \times B$; hence $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}$ maps $C_0(\omega_G)$ into $C_0(\omega_\times)$. (Note though that θ_H is not proper.) By Corollary 4.6, only the last statement still requires justification. For this, note that $m_{\Omega_\rho} \in \mathcal{M}_{cr}(\mathbb{C}^\times \times H) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{C}^\times \times H) \cap \mathcal{M}(\omega_\times)$, and since $\omega_G \equiv 1$ on K , $\omega_\times \equiv 1$ on Ω_ρ , and therefore $\mathcal{M}(K, \omega_G) = \mathcal{M}_r(K) = M(K)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Omega_\rho, \omega_\times) = \mathcal{M}_r(\Omega_\rho) = M(\Omega_\rho)$; the final statement hence follows from the argument used to establish [25, Proposition 5.9]. \square

Remark 4.9. Although $\|j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}\|_{\infty, \omega_\times^{-1}} = 1$, neither M_{γ_\times} nor j_{θ_H} is ever bounded because γ_\times does not map into \mathbb{T} and $\omega_G(\theta_H(\alpha, s))/\omega_\times(\alpha, s) = |\alpha|^{-1}$, so $L_{\theta_H} = \infty$.

For the remainder of this subsection, (H, ω) is a weighted locally compact group such that $\omega \equiv 1$ on K , a compact normal subgroup of H , and $\omega_{H/K}(xK) := \omega(x)$ is the continuous weight function on H/K discussed in Remark 2.3. Define $S_K : LUC(\omega^{-1}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$ by putting

$$S_K \psi(xK) = \int_K \psi(xk) dm_K(k) \quad \text{for } \psi \in LUC(\omega^{-1});$$

when we wish to emphasize that we are working specifically with ω or the trivial weight, we will use the notation S_K^ω or S_K^1 , respectively.

Proposition 4.10. 1. The linear map S_K is a well-defined quotient map of $LUC(\omega^{-1})$ onto $LUC(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$ and of $C_0(\omega^{-1})$ onto $C_0(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$.

2. The dual map S_K^* is the unique weak*-continuous isometric algebra isomorphic embedding of $LUC(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})^*$ into $LUC(\omega^{-1})^*$ and of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_{H/K})$ into $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, mapping δ_{xK} to $\delta_x * m_K$. Moreover, $S_K^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_{H/K}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is a positive NM-homomorphism.

Proof. 1. Let $\psi \in LUC(\omega^{-1})$. By [25, Proposition 5.3 and its proof], S_K^1 is a quotient mapping of $LUC(H)$ onto $LUC(H/K)$ (and of $C_0(H)$ onto $C_0(H/K)$), so $S_K^1(\psi/\omega) \in LUC(H/K)$. Since $S_K^1(\psi/\omega)(xK) = (1/\omega_{H/K}(xK))S_K^\omega(\psi)(xK)$, $S_K^\omega\psi \in LUC(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$ and

$$\|S_K^\omega\psi\|_{\infty, \omega_{H/K}^{-1}} = \|S_K^1(\psi/\omega)\|_{\infty} \leq \|\psi/\omega\|_{\infty} = \|\psi\|_{\infty, \omega^{-1}}.$$

Taking ϕ in the unit ball of $LUC(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$, we can find $\psi_0 \in LUC(H)$ with $\|\psi_0\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ such that $S_K^1\psi_0 = \phi/\omega_{H/K}$; hence $\psi = \omega\psi_0 \in LUC(H)$ with $\|\psi\|_{\infty, \omega^{-1}} \leq 1$ and $S_K^\omega\psi = \omega_{H/K}S_K^1\psi_0 = \phi$. Thus, S_K^ω is a quotient map of $LUC(\omega^{-1})$ onto $LUC(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$. Observe that $s(S_K^\omega\psi) \subseteq q_K(s(\psi)K^{-1})$ where $q_K : H \rightarrow H/K$, so S_K^ω maps $C_{00}(H)$ into $C_{00}(H/K)$, and therefore maps $C_0(\omega^{-1})$ into $C_0(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$. The above argument now shows that S_K^ω is a quotient map of $C_0(\omega^{-1})$ onto $C_0(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})$.

2. We will establish the statement for $S_K^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_{H/K}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega)$; the same argument works for $S_K^* : LUC(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})^* \hookrightarrow LUC(\omega^{-1})^*$ (though we will not use this going forward). From part 1, S_K^* is a weak*-continuous linear isometric embedding. For $x \in H$ and $\psi \in C_0(\omega^{-1})$,

$$\langle S_K^*(\delta_{xK}), \psi \rangle_{\omega} = \int_K \psi(xk) dm_K(k) = \iint \psi(st) d\delta_x(s) dm_K(t) = \langle \delta_x * m_K, \psi \rangle_{\omega}.$$

By [25, Lemma 5.2], m_K is a central idempotent in $\mathcal{M}_{cr}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega)$, so S_K^* is a homomorphism by Lemma 1.2. Since $S_K^*(\Delta_{H/K}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{cr}(H)$ is a contractive subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(H)$, S_K^* is a NM-homomorphism, and S_K^* is positive because S_K maps $C_0(\omega^{-1})^+$ into $C_0(\omega_{H/K}^{-1})^+$. \square

Following the identification described in Remark 1.1.2, when H is a closed subgroup of (G, ω_G) , but K is not necessarily normal in G , we will sometimes abuse notation slightly and write $S_K^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_{H/K}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(\omega_G)$ in place of the homomorphism $R_H^* \circ S_K^*$.

4.2 The main theorem and some corollaries

Theorem 4.11. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be a mapping. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) φ is a NM-homomorphism and $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$;
- (ii) φ is a NM-homomorphism and $\omega_G \equiv 1$ on $s(\varphi(\delta_{e_F}))$;
- (iii) there is a closed subgroup H of G , a compact normal subgroup Ω_0 of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ such that $\omega_\times \equiv 1$ on Ω_0 , and a continuous homomorphism $\theta : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_0$ such that $L_\theta = \sup_{x \in F} \omega_{\times/\Omega_0}(\theta(x))/\omega_F(x) < \infty$ and $\varphi = j_{\gamma^\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_0}^* \circ j_\theta^*$ i.e., φ factors as

$$\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \xrightarrow{j_\theta^*} \mathcal{M}(\omega_{\times/\Omega_0}) \xrightarrow{S_{\Omega_0}^*} \mathcal{M}(\omega_\times) \xrightarrow{j_{\gamma^\times, \theta_H}^*} \mathcal{M}(\omega_G). \quad (6)$$

In statement (iii), $\|\varphi\| = \|j_\theta^*\| = L_\theta$ and we can take $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$, $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = \rho m_K$ and $\Omega_0 = \Omega_\rho$ associated to Γ_φ as in Lemma 3.6.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.6.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Since $\Gamma = \Gamma_\varphi$ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$, employing Proposition 3.8 and the notation therein,

$$\theta : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_\rho : x \mapsto \phi^{-1}(\varphi(\delta_x))$$

is a continuous homomorphism, where

$$\phi : \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_\rho \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H} \supseteq \Gamma : (\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho \mapsto \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K.$$

Note that $\omega_\times \equiv 1$ on Ω_ρ since $\omega_G \equiv 1$ on $K = s(\iota_\Gamma)$, and therefore $\omega_{\times/\Omega_\rho}$ is a well-defined weight on $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_\rho$. Let $x \in F$ and suppose that $\varphi(\delta_x) = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$. Then $\theta(x) = (\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho$ and $\|\varphi(\delta_x)\|_{\omega_G} = \omega_G(s)|\alpha|$ by Remark 3.5.2, so

$$\frac{\omega_{\times/\Omega_\rho}(\theta(x))}{\omega_F(x)} = \frac{\omega_\times(\alpha, s)}{\omega_F(x)} = \frac{|\alpha|\omega_G(s)}{\omega_F(x)} = \left\| \varphi \left(\frac{\delta_x}{\omega_F(x)} \right) \right\|_{\omega_G} \leq \|\varphi\| \left\| \frac{\delta_x}{\omega_F(x)} \right\|_{\omega_F} = \|\varphi\|.$$

Hence, $L_\theta = \sup_{x \in F} \omega_{\times/\Omega_\rho}(\theta(x))/\omega_F(x) \leq \|\varphi\|$, so j_θ^* is a bounded (with $\|j_\theta^*\| \leq \|\varphi\|$) $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_{\times/\Omega_\rho}}$ continuous homomorphism, and by Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.10, $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_\rho}^* \circ j_\theta^*$ is $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous. Supposing again that $\varphi(\delta_x) = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$,

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_\rho}^* \circ j_\theta^*(\delta_x) &= j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_\rho}^*(\delta_{(\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho}) = j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*(\delta_{(\alpha, s)} * m_{\Omega_\rho}) \\ &= j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*(\delta_{(\alpha, s)}) * j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*(m_{\Omega_\rho}) = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K = \varphi(\delta_x), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.8 once more. By $so_l^{\omega_F}$ -density of $\mathbb{C}F$ in $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$, $\varphi = j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_\rho}^* \circ j_\theta^*$; since $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*$ and $S_{\Omega_\rho}^*$ are contractions, $\|\varphi\| = \|j_\theta^*\| = L_\theta$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) By Corollary 4.6, Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.10, $\varphi = j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_0}^* \circ j_\theta^*$ is a $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous composition of NM-homomorphisms. By Proposition 4.4, $S_{\Omega_0}^* \circ j_\theta^*$ is a NM-homomorphism because $S_{\Omega_0}^* \circ j_\theta^*(\delta_{e_F}) = m_{\Omega_0} \in \mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{C}^\times \times H)$ and $\|m_{\Omega_0}\| = 1$. Since $\omega_\times \equiv 1$ on Ω_0 , $\|m_{\Omega_0}\|_{\omega_\times} = \|m_{\Omega_0}\| = 1$, and therefore $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} = \|j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*(m_{\Omega_0})\|_{\omega_G} \leq 1$ because $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*$ is a contraction. By Corollary 2.6, $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ (S_{\Omega_0}^* \circ j_\theta^*)(\delta_{e_F}) \in \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ with $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\| = 1$, so, by a second application of Proposition 4.4, φ is a NM-homomorphism. \square

Example 4.12. There are NM-homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ for which $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} \neq 1$. To see this, take K to be any example of compact subgroup of G on which ω_G is not identically 1. Then $\iota = m_K \in \mathcal{M}_r(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is an idempotent with $\|\iota\| = 1$, but $\|\iota\|_{\omega_G} \neq 1$ by Corollary 2.6. Let F be a finite group and define a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) = \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ by putting $\varphi(f) = \sum_{s \in F} f(s)\iota$. Then $\Gamma_\varphi = \varphi(\Delta_F) = \{\iota\}$, so φ is a NM-homomorphism, but $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} \neq 1$ and (therefore) φ is not a NM_{ω_G} -homomorphism.

Corollary 4.13. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be a mapping. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) φ is a NM_{ω_G} -homomorphism;
- (ii) there is a closed subgroup H of G on which ω_G is multiplicative, a compact normal subgroup Ω_0 of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$, and a continuous homomorphism $\theta : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_0$ such that $L_\theta = \sup_{x \in F} \omega_{\times/\Omega_0}(\theta(x))/\omega_F(x) < \infty$ and $\varphi = j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_0}^* \circ j_\theta^*$ i.e., φ has the factorization from (6).

In statement (ii), $\|\varphi\| = \|j_\theta^*\| = L_\theta$ and we can take $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$, $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = \rho m_K$ and $\Omega_0 = \Omega_\rho$ associated to Γ_φ as in Lemma 3.6.

Proof. Assume statement (i) holds. As noted in Remark 4.3.2(i), φ is a NM-homomorphism with $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$, so statement (iii) of Theorem 4.11 holds, with $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$. By Theorem 3.7, ω_G is multiplicative on H . Conversely, assume statement (ii) holds. Then ω_\times is multiplicative on $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$, so $\omega_\times \equiv 1$ on the compact subgroup Ω_0 of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$. By Theorem 4.11, $\Gamma_\varphi = \varphi(\Delta_F)$ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Observe that the weak*-continuous homomorphism $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^*$ maps $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^\times \times H)$ into the weak*-closed subalgebra $\mathcal{M}_H(\omega_G) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega_G) : s(\mu) \subseteq H\}$ — see Lemma 4.8 and Remark 1.1.2 — and therefore maps $\mathcal{M}(\omega_\times)$ into $\mathcal{M}_H(\omega_G)$. Hence, ω_G is multiplicative on $s(\Gamma_\varphi) \subseteq H$ and by Theorem 3.7 we conclude that φ is a NM_{ω_G} -homomorphism. \square

Remark 4.14. When $\omega_F \equiv 1$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a contractive homomorphism, φ is a NM_{ω_G} -homomorphism, so Corollary 4.13 extends [25, Theorem 5.11], which describes all contractive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ — the case when ω_G is also trivial. Explicitly, see below. (Note, however, that: we have made significant use herein of several arguments from [25]; and for non-trivial weights, even NM-isomorphisms can fail to be contractive — see [10, Example 3.9].)

Corollary 4.15. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ be a map. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is a contractive homomorphism;
- (ii) φ is a NM -homomorphism;
- (iii) φ has the factorization described in [25, Theorem 5.11].

Proof. Only (ii) implies (iii) requires justification, for which we employ Corollary 4.13: Since $\omega_\times(\alpha, s) = |\alpha|$ is a continuous homomorphism containing Ω_ρ in its kernel, $\omega_\times/\Omega_\rho$ is a continuous homomorphism in this case. As $L_\theta < \infty$, $\omega_\times/\Omega_\rho \circ \theta$ is a bounded, and therefore trivial, homomorphism into $(0, \infty)$; it follows that θ maps into $\mathbb{T} \times H/\Omega_\rho$, on which $\omega_\times/\Omega_\rho \equiv 1$. Thus, Corollary 4.13 yields the desired factorization (with trivial weights, $\gamma_\times : \mathbb{T} \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, and $j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* = j_{\theta_H}^* \circ M_{\gamma_\times}^*$ by Remark 4.7). \square

The following terminology is inspired by the notion of standard isomorphism in [10].

Definition 4.16. A pair (γ, θ) will be called a *standard homomorphism* of (F, ω_F) into (G, ω_G) if

$$\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \quad \text{and} \quad \theta : F \rightarrow G$$

are continuous homomorphisms such that $L_{\gamma, \theta} < \infty$; when γ maps into the positive reals, $(0, \infty)$, we will call (γ, θ) a *positive standard homomorphism*.

The following result and its proof are weighted analogues of [25, Corollary 5.8] and its proof.

Corollary 4.17. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be a NM-homomorphism such that $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$, written as $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = \rho m_K$ with $\omega_G \equiv 1$ on K as in Corollary 2.6. If ρ extends to $\rho_H \in \hat{H}^1$ — e.g., when H is abelian — where $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$, then there is a standard homomorphism (γ, θ_K) of (F, ω_F) into $(H/K, \omega_{H/K})$ such that $\varphi = M_{\rho_H}^* \circ S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*$. That is, φ factors as*

$$\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \xrightarrow{j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*} \mathcal{M}(\omega_{H/K}) \xleftarrow{S_K^*} \mathcal{M}(\omega_H) \xrightarrow{M_{\rho_H}^*} \mathcal{M}(\omega_G).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.6, $\omega_K \equiv 1$ on K , so $\omega_{H/K}$ is well-defined and by Theorem 4.11 φ has the factorization (6), where $\theta : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_\rho$. One can check that

$$p_{\mathbb{C}^\times} : \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times : (\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho \mapsto \alpha \overline{\rho_H(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad p_K : \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_\rho \rightarrow H/K : (\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho \mapsto sK$$

are well-defined continuous homomorphisms, so $\gamma := p_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \circ \theta$ and $\theta_K := p_K \circ \theta$ are continuous homomorphisms. If $\theta(x) = (\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho$, then

$$|\gamma(x)|\omega_{H/K}(\theta_K(x)) = |\alpha|\overline{|\rho_H(s)|}\omega_{H/K}(sK) = |\alpha|\omega(s) = \omega_{\times/\Omega_\rho}(\theta(x))$$

so

$$\|j_{\gamma, \theta_K}\| = L_{\gamma, \theta_K} = \sup_{x \in F} |\gamma(x)| \frac{\omega_{H/K}(\theta_K(x))}{\omega_F(x)} = \sup_{x \in F} \frac{\omega_{\times/\Omega_\rho}(\theta(x))}{\omega_F(x)} = L_\theta = \|\varphi\|.$$

When $\theta(x) = (\alpha, s)\Omega_\rho$, the calculation (7) shows that $\varphi(\delta_x) = j_{\gamma_\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_\rho}^* \circ j_\theta^*(\delta_x) = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\rho_H}^* \circ S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*(\delta_x) &= M_{\rho_H}^* \circ S_K^*(\overline{\alpha\rho_H(s)}\delta_s K) = \overline{\alpha\rho_H(s)}M_{\rho_H}^*(\delta_s * m_K) \\ &= \overline{\alpha\rho_H(s)}\rho_H(s)\delta_s * (\rho_H|_K)m_K = \alpha\delta_s * \rho m_K = \varphi(\delta_x); \end{aligned}$$

by $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuity of the maps involved, the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 4.18. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be a mapping. Then:*

- (a) *φ is a NM-homomorphism such that $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) \geq 0$ and $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$ if and only if there is a standard homomorphism (γ, θ_K) of (F, ω_F) into $(H/K, \omega_{H/K})$ such that $\varphi = S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*$, where H is a closed subgroup of G containing the compact normal subgroup K on which $\omega_G \equiv 1$; moreover we can take $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$.*
- (b) *φ is a NM-homomorphism such that $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = \delta_{e_G}$ if and only if there is a standard homomorphism (γ, θ) of (F, ω_F) into (G, ω_G) such that $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$.*

Proof. For the forward implication in (a), $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = m_K$ for some compact subgroup K of G by Theorem 2.2, so we can take $\rho_H \equiv 1$ in Corollary 4.17. The converse direction in (a) follows from Proposition 4.4 because $S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*(\delta_{e_F}) = m_K$, a positive norm-one idempotent in both $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ since $\omega_G \equiv 1$ on K . Part (b) follows from (a) because $K = \{e_G\}$ in this case. \square

Corollary 4.19. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be a mapping. Then:*

- (a) *φ is a positive homomorphism such that $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\|_{\omega_G} = 1$ if and only if there is a positive standard homomorphism (γ, θ_K) of (F, ω_F) into $(H/K, \omega_{H/K})$ such that $\varphi = S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*$, where H is a closed subgroup of G containing the compact normal subgroup K on which $\omega_G \equiv 1$; moreover we can take $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$.*
- (b) *φ is a positive homomorphism such that $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = \delta_{e_G}$ if and only if there is a positive standard homomorphism (γ, θ) of (F, ω_F) into (G, ω_G) such that $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$.*

Proof. Only the forward implication of part (a) requires explanation. As noted in Remark 4.1, φ is a positive homomorphism on $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$. By Proposition 3.3(iv), Corollary 4.18 provides everything except that γ maps into the positive reals. However, if $\theta_K(x) = sK$, positivity of $\varphi(\delta_x) = S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*(\delta_x) = \gamma(x)\delta_s * m_K$ implies that $\gamma(x) > 0$. \square

The following important special case, which characterizes *all* positive homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$, is new and worth explicitly recording. Bipositive isomorphisms between group algebras were first described by Kawada in 1948 [16].

Corollary 4.20. (a) A map $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is a positive homomorphism if and only if there is a compact normal subgroup K of a closed subgroup H of G and continuous homomorphisms $\gamma : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\theta_K : F \rightarrow H/K$ such that $\sup_{x \in F} \gamma(x)/\omega_F(x) < \infty$ and $\varphi = S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*$.

(b) A map $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is a positive homomorphism if and only if there is a compact normal subgroup K of a closed subgroup H of G and a continuous homomorphism $\theta_K : F \rightarrow H/K$ such that $\varphi = S_K^* \circ j_{\theta_K}^*$. Thus, bounded positive homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ are automatically contractive.

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ be a positive homomorphism. By Prop. 3.3 (iv) and (iii), Γ_φ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$ and $\|\varphi(\delta_{e_F})\| = 1$. Hence, Corollary 4.19 applies with $L_{\gamma, \theta_K} = \sup_{x \in F} \gamma(x)/\omega_F(x) < \infty$ and $\varphi = S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta_K}^*$. When ω_F is trivial, $\sup_{x \in F} \gamma(x) < \infty$ implies that $\gamma \equiv 1$. \square

4.3 Recovery of the isomorphism theorems

We now recover the main isomorphism theorems from [10, 16, 32], and improve the results from [10] and [16] by showing that positive isomorphisms are automatically bipositive. Our proofs are almost entirely independent of [10] and [32], however we will use the following lemma, in which part (a) is Zadeh's important observation [32, Lemma 2.2] and part (b) is [26, Remark 2.14], which employed [10, Lemma 3.3]; the proofs of these lemmas can be read independently of the rest of [32] and [10]. In the statements that follow, isomorphisms are always assumed to be surjective.

Lemma 4.21. (i) The set of extreme points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is $\left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\omega_G(x)} \delta_x : \alpha \in \mathbb{T}, x \in G \right\}$.

(ii) If $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a Banach algebra isomorphism, then it is $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous; it is thus the unique $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ -continuous extension of $\varphi|_{\mathcal{L}^1(F)}$ to $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$.

For clarity, in the next lemma we use $\overline{\varphi}$ to denote the $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous extension to $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ of a homomorphism φ on $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$.

Lemma 4.22. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$ be a Banach algebra isomorphism. Then $\overline{\varphi} : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma_l^{\omega_G}$ continuous Banach algebra isomorphism and $\overline{\varphi}^{-1} = \overline{\varphi^{-1}}$. Moreover, $\overline{\varphi}$ is an isometry when φ is an isometry.

Proof. Suppose that $\mu_i \rightarrow \mu$ $so_l^{\omega_F}$ and take $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$. Taking $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ such that $\varphi(f) = g$, $\|g * (\overline{\varphi}(\mu_i) - \overline{\varphi}(\mu))\|_{\omega_G} \leq \|\varphi\| \|f * \mu_i - f * \mu\|_{\omega_F} \rightarrow 0$, giving $so_l^{\omega_F} - so_l^{\omega_G}$ continuity of $\overline{\varphi}$. (Note that only surjectivity of φ was required here.) Thus, $\overline{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varphi}$ is $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_F}$ continuous on $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$, and agrees with $\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)}$ on $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$, which is also $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_F}$ continuous, (e.g., by [26, Proposition 2.9] with $\mathcal{S}(\omega_F^{-1}) = C_0(\omega_F^{-1})$); hence $\overline{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varphi} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)}$, and $\overline{\varphi} \circ \overline{\varphi}^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)}$. If φ (and φ^{-1}) is an isometry, then $\overline{\varphi}$ and $\overline{\varphi}^{-1} = \overline{\varphi^{-1}}$ are both contractions by Remark 4.1, so $\overline{\varphi}$ is an isometry. \square

Definition 4.23. A pair (γ, θ) is called a *standard isomorphism of (F, ω_F) onto (G, ω_G)* if $\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a continuous homomorphism and $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ is a topological group isomorphism such that

$$0 < \inf_{s \in F} |\gamma(s)| \frac{\omega_G(\theta(s))}{\omega_F(s)} =: l_{\gamma, \theta} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\gamma, \theta} = \sup_{s \in F} |\gamma(s)| \frac{\omega_G(\theta(s))}{\omega_F(s)} < \infty;$$

when $\gamma : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, we call (γ, θ) a *positive standard isomorphism*; when $|\gamma| = \omega_F/\omega_G \circ \theta$ — in which case $l_{\gamma, \theta} = L_{\gamma, \theta} = 1$ — we call (γ, θ) a *linked standard isomorphism*.

Remark 4.24. What we have called a positive standard isomorphism is called a standard isomorphism in [10]; in the terminology of [32], θ is an isomorphism of the weighted locally compact groups (F, ω_F) and (G, ω_G) when (γ, θ) is a linked isomorphism.

Proposition 4.25. *Suppose that (γ, θ) is a standard isomorphism of (F, ω_F) onto (G, ω_G) , and let $\beta(t) := 1/\gamma(\theta^{-1}(t))$ for $t \in G$. Then $j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ is a $\sigma^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous Banach algebra isomorphism with $\varphi^{-1} = j_{\beta, \theta^{-1}}^*$. Moreover, $j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ is a bipositive isomorphism when (γ, θ) is a positive standard isomorphism and $j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ is an isometric isomorphism when (γ, θ) is a linked standard isomorphism.*

Proof. Observe that $L_{\beta, \theta^{-1}} \leq 1/l_{\gamma, \theta} < \infty$, so by Corollary 4.6, $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ and $j_{\beta, \theta^{-1}}^*$ are NM-homomorphisms that are weak*-continuous because θ and θ^{-1} are proper maps. For $s \in F$ and $t \in G$, $j_{\beta, \theta^{-1}}^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta}^*(\delta_s) = \delta_s$ and $j_{\gamma, \theta}^* \circ j_{\beta, \theta^{-1}}^* = \delta_t$, so $\varphi^{-1} = j_{\beta, \theta^{-1}}^*$ by Lemma 1.2. When γ maps into $(0, \infty)$, so does β , and therefore both φ and φ^{-1} are positive. When (γ, θ) is a linked standard isomorphism, $L_{\gamma, \theta} = l_{\gamma, \theta} = 1$, so both φ and φ^{-1} are contractions, and therefore φ is isometric. \square

By Lemma 4.21 (ii), when $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a Banach algebra isomorphism the $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuity requirement for φ to be a NM-homomorphism (Definition 4.2) is automatically satisfied; this condition can thus be dropped from the definition of a “NM-isomorphism” $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$.

Theorem 4.26. *Suppose that $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$ or $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a NM-isomorphism such that φ^{-1} is also a NM-isomorphism. Then $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ for some standard isomorphism (γ, θ) of (F, ω_F) onto (G, ω_G) .*

Proof. By Lemma 4.22, it suffices to establish the theorem when $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$. In this case, by Lemma 4.21 (ii) and Corollary 4.18 (b), there are standard homomorphisms (γ, θ) and (β, ψ) of (F, ω_F) into (G, ω_G) and (G, ω_G) into (F, ω_F) , respectively, such that $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ and $\varphi^{-1} = j_{\beta, \psi}^*$. Hence, for $s \in F$ and $t \in G$,

$$\delta_s = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\delta_s)) = \gamma(s)\beta(\theta(s))\delta_{\psi(\theta(s))} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_t = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\delta_t)) = \beta(t)\gamma(\psi(t))\delta_{\theta(\psi(t))}.$$

Thus, $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ is a topological isomorphism with $\psi = \theta^{-1}$, $\gamma(s) = 1/\beta(\theta(s))$ and $\beta(t) = 1/\gamma(\theta^{-1}(t))$; from this it is easy to check that $l_{\gamma, \theta} \geq 1/L_{\beta, \theta^{-1}} > 0$. \square

We now establish Ghahramani and Zadeh’s Theorems 3.5 and 4.3 of [10], the main results on Beurling group and measure algebras therein, with the weaker hypothesis of positivity replacing bipositivity. (If one assumes below that φ is bipositive, the result follows immediately from Theorem 4.26 and Remark 4.3.2 (iii).)

Theorem 4.27. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$ or $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be a positive isomorphism. Then φ is bipositive and $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ for some positive standard isomorphism (γ, θ) of (F, ω_F) onto (G, ω_G) .*

Proof. By Remark 4.1, φ is positive on $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ when it is positive on $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$, so by Lemma 4.22 it suffices to establish the theorem when $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is a positive isomorphism. By Corollary 4.19 (b), there is a positive standard homomorphism (γ, θ) of (F, ω_F) into (G, ω_G) such that $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$. For $s \in F$, $\varphi(\delta_s) = \gamma(s)\delta_{\theta(s)}$, so $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi) = \overline{\theta(F)}$ and therefore φ maps $\mathbb{C}F$ into $\mathcal{M}_H(\omega_G)$, a σ^{ω_G} -closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ — see Remark 1.1.2. Since $\mathbb{C}F$ is $so_l^{\omega_F}$ -dense in $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ and φ is $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuous by Lemma 4.21 (ii), φ maps $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ into $\mathcal{M}_H(\omega_G)$; hence, $G = H = \overline{\theta(F)}$.

Let $t \in G$ and take a net (s_i) in F such that $\theta(s_i) \rightarrow t$. Then $\delta_{\theta(s_i)} \rightarrow \delta_t$ $so_l^{\omega_G}$, so by Lemma 4.21(ii), $\varphi^{-1}(\delta_{\theta(s_i)}) \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(\delta_t)$ σ^{ω_F} . But for each i , $\varphi^{-1}(\delta_{\theta(s_i)}) = \varphi^{-1}(1/\gamma(s_i)\varphi(\delta_{s_i})) = 1/\gamma(s_i)\delta_{s_i} \geq 0$, so $\varphi^{-1}(\delta_t) \geq 0$ as well. Hence, $\Gamma_{\varphi^{-1}} = \varphi^{-1}(\Delta_G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\omega_F)^+$ and $\varphi^{-1}(\delta_{e_G}) = \delta_{e_F}$, so by Remark 4.3.2 (iii), φ^{-1} is a NM-isomorphism. By Theorem 4.26, (γ, θ) is a standard isomorphism of (F, ω_F) onto (G, ω_G) , that we already know is positive. By Proposition 4.25, φ is bipositive. \square

The following result was proved by S. Zadeh as Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 3.4 of [32]. It should be noted that her use of the notation $j_{\gamma,\theta}^*$ is slightly different from ours. We use her Lemma 4.21 (i) and her observation that linear isometric isomorphisms preserve extreme points of the unit ball.

Theorem 4.28. (Zadeh) Let $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$ or $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be an isometric isomorphism. Then $\varphi = j_{\gamma,\theta}^*$ for some linked standard isomorphism (γ, θ) of (F, ω_F) onto (G, ω_G) .

Proof. By Lemma 4.22, we only need to establish the corollary when $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ is an isometric isomorphism. As a linear isometry, φ maps extreme points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ to extreme points of the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$. Thus, by Lemma 4.21 (i), for each $s \in F$, $\varphi(\delta_s) = \omega_F(s)\varphi(1/\omega_F(s)\delta_s) \in \{\alpha\delta_t : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times, t \in G\}$, a NM-subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Thus, the isometric isomorphisms φ and φ^{-1} are NM-isomorphisms, so by Theorem 4.26, $\varphi = j_{\gamma,\theta}^*$ for some standard isomorphism (γ, θ) of (F, ω_F) into (G, ω_G) . Applying Lemma 4.21 (i) as before, for $s \in F$, there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\omega_F(s)}\gamma(s)\delta_{\theta(s)} = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\omega_F(s)}\delta_s\right) = \frac{\alpha}{\omega_G(\theta(s))}\delta_{\theta(s)}; \text{ hence } |\gamma(s)| = \left|\frac{\alpha\omega_F(s)}{\omega_G(\theta(s))}\right| = \frac{\omega_F(s)}{\omega_G(\theta(s))},$$

as needed. \square

The proofs of the above theorems for isomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$ were independent of Lemma 4.21 (ii), since $so_l^{\omega_F} - \sigma^{\omega_G}$ continuity of the extension of φ from $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ is automatic. We now show that the converse directions of our isomorphism theorems all hold. For bipositive isomorphisms, these are described in [10] as the “easy” directions. We first establish the weighted analogue of [3, Corollary III.11.20].

Proposition 4.29. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$ if and only if $x \mapsto \mu * \delta_x : G \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}(\omega), \|\cdot\|_\omega)$ is continuous.

Proof. Suppose that $x \mapsto \mu * \delta_x$ is continuous. Let (f_i) be a net of positive functions in $C_{00}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$ such that $s(f_i) \rightarrow e_G$ and $\int f_i dt = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and take i_0 such that $\|\mu * \delta_t - \mu\|_\omega < \varepsilon$ for $t \in s(f_i)$ and $i \succeq i_0$. Then, for $i \succeq i_0$ and $\psi \in C_{00}(G)$ with $\|\psi\|_{\infty, \omega^{-1}} \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mu * f_i - \mu, \psi \rangle_\omega| &= \left| \iint f_i(s^{-1}t) d\mu(s) \psi(t) dt - \int \psi(s) d\mu(s) \right| \\ &= \left| \iint f_i(t) \psi(st) dt d\mu(s) - \iint f_i(t) \psi(s) d\mu(s) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int f_i(t) \left(\int \psi(st) d\mu(s) - \int \psi(s) d\mu(s) \right) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int f_i(t) \langle \mu * \delta_t - \mu, \psi \rangle_\omega dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{s(f_i)} f_i(t) \|\mu * \delta_t - \mu\|_\omega \|\psi\|_{\infty, \omega^{-1}} dt \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used formula (10) in [26] and the Fubini Theorem. Hence, $\|\mu * f_i - \mu\|_\omega \rightarrow 0$; since $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$ is a closed ideal in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$. The converse direction is [31, Lemma 3.1.5]. \square

In both the weighted and non-weighted cases, the following proposition seems to be new.

Proposition 4.30. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ be a Banach algebra isomorphism. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) φ maps $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ isomorphically onto $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$;
- (ii) φ and φ^{-1} are $so_l - so_l$ continuous;
- (iii) $x \mapsto \varphi(\delta_x) : F \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}(\omega_G), so_l^{\omega_G})$ and $y \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\delta_y) : G \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}(\omega_F), so_l^{\omega_F})$ are continuous.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.21(ii) and 4.22, (i) implies (ii) and it is clear that (iii) follows from (ii). For (iii) implies (i), we will use Proposition 4.29 to observe that continuity of $y \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\delta_y) : G \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}(\omega_F), so_l^{\omega_F})$ implies that $\varphi(\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$: Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ and suppose that $y_i \rightarrow y$ in G . Then $\|f * (\varphi^{-1}(\delta_{y_i}) - \varphi^{-1}(\delta_y))\|_{\omega_F} \rightarrow 0$, so $\|\varphi(f) * (\delta_{y_i} - \delta_y)\|_{\omega_G} \rightarrow 0$; hence $\varphi(f) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$. \square

Corollary 4.31. *The converse directions of Theorems 4.26, 4.27 and 4.28 all hold.*

Proof. Let (γ, θ) be a standard isomorphism of (F, ω_F) onto (G, ω_G) . The converse statements are satisfied by the NM-isomorphism $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ and its inverse $\varphi^{-1} = j_{\beta, \theta^{-1}}$ in the various cases by Proposition 4.25 (with β as defined therein). If $s_i \rightarrow s$ in F , then $\theta(s_i) \rightarrow \theta(s)$ in G , so $\delta_{\theta(s_i)} \rightarrow \delta_{\theta(s)}$ $so_l^{\omega_G}$; also, $\gamma(s_i) \rightarrow \gamma(s)$ in \mathbb{C}^\times , so $\varphi(\delta_{s_i}) = \gamma(s_i)\delta_{\theta(s_i)} \rightarrow \gamma(s)\delta_{\theta(s)} = \varphi(\delta_s)$ $so_l^{\omega_G}$. Hence, φ and, similarly, φ^{-1} satisfy condition (iii) of Proposition 4.30, so φ maps $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ isomorphically onto $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$; when φ is positive or isometric, so is its restriction to $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$. \square

That $j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ maps $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F)$ onto $\mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G)$ when (γ, θ) is a positive standard isomorphism is [10, Theorem 2.2(ii)], which proved in a different way.

Remark 4.32. By Corollaries 4.15 and 4.20 (b), if $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is a NM-homomorphism, e.g., if φ is a positive homomorphism, then φ is automatically contractive. Thus, when $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(G)$ or $\varphi : \mathcal{M}_r(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is a NM-isomorphism such that φ^{-1} is also an NM-isomorphism, or if φ is a positive (and therefore bipositive by Theorem 4.27) isomorphism, then φ is an isometric isomorphism. By [10, Example 3.9], bipositive isomorphisms are not necessarily isomorphic when using non-trivial weights.

Corollaries 4.15 and 4.18(b) also imply that if $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_r(G)$ is a NM-homomorphism with $\varphi(\delta_{e_F}) = \delta_{e_G}$, then $\varphi = j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$ where γ maps F into \mathbb{T} . For non-trivial weights, the example of $j_{\gamma^\times, \theta_H}^*$ shows that γ does not have to map into \mathbb{T} for such homomorphisms. For an example of a positive isometric isomorphism $j_{\gamma, \theta}^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ such that γ does not map into \mathbb{T} , take $F = (\mathbb{R}, +)$, $\omega_F(s) = 2^s$, $\theta : F \rightarrow F : s \mapsto 2s$, $\gamma(s) = \omega_F(s)/\omega_F(\theta(s)) = 2^{-s}$. Then γ maps onto $(0, \infty)$, but (γ, θ) is a positive linked standard isomorphism of (F, ω_F) onto itself, so $j_{\gamma, \theta}^* : \mathcal{M}(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_F)$ is a positive isometric isomorphism.

5 NM-homomorphisms $\mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$

In what follows, F and G are discrete groups. The purpose of this section is to observe that versions of all the previous results hold for the algebraists' group algebras $\mathbb{C}F$ and $\mathbb{C}G$. We believe these results are also new. To distinguish it from the pointwise-defined product, we continue to use the convolution notation $f * g$ for the product of f and g in $\mathbb{C}G$ and, when relevant, we use the $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm on these algebras. However, unless explicitly stated otherwise, *we no longer assume that linear maps on $\mathbb{C}F$ are bounded/continuous with respect to any norm or topology*. We employ the obvious analogues of all definitions previously discussed, dropping all boundedness/continuity conditions (unless explicitly stated otherwise). In particular:

- a subgroup Γ of $(\mathbb{C}G, *)$ is a NM-subgroup if $|f * g| = |f| * |g|$ (equivalently, $\|f * g\|_1 = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$) for every $f, g \in \Gamma$;

- a homomorphism — not necessarily bounded — $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ is a NM-homomorphism if $\Gamma_\varphi = \varphi(F)$ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathbb{C}G$.

Remark 5.1. Suppose that ω_F and ω_G are weights on F and G . With respect to the norm $\|f\|_{\omega_G} = \|f\omega_G\|_1$, $\mathbb{C}G$ is a normed algebra, denoted $\mathbb{C}(G, \omega_G)$, with completion $\ell^1(G, \omega_G) = \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_G) = \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ in this, the discrete case. Although we have studied NM_{ω_G} -subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$ and NM_{ω_G} -homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}^1(\omega_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\omega_G)$, our primary focus has been on NM-subgroups and NM-homomorphisms. As sets, and algebraically, $\mathbb{C}G = \mathbb{C}(G, \omega_G)$, so $\varphi : \mathbb{C}(F, \omega_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(G, \omega_G)$ is a NM-homomorphism if and only if $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ is a NM-homomorphism (because we are no longer requiring continuity of φ , which would change things) if and only if Γ_φ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathbb{C}G$. Thus, in this section in which we are no longer interested in, or required to consider, continuity, there is little purpose in considering non-trivial weights.

Let K be a finite subgroup of G , $\rho : K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ a homomorphism. Then

$$m_K = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} k \quad \text{and} \quad \rho m_K = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \rho(k)k$$

are (norm-one) idempotents in $\mathbb{C}G$; when H is a subgroup of G and $K \triangleleft H$, $m_K \in Z(\mathbb{C}H)$, and when it is also true that $\ker \rho \triangleleft H$ and $K/\ker \rho \leq Z(H/\ker \rho)$, then $\rho m_K \in Z(\mathbb{C}H)$ (and conversely).

Proposition 5.2. *Let Γ be a NM-subgroup of $\mathbb{C}G$ with identity ι_Γ . Then:*

- (i) $H = s(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{f \in \Gamma} s(f) \leq G$, $\iota_\Gamma = \rho m_K$ for some finite normal subgroup K of H and a homomorphism $\rho : K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $\ker \rho \triangleleft H$ and $K/\ker \rho \leq Z(H/\ker \rho)$;
- (ii) $\Omega_\Gamma = \{(\alpha, t) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H : \alpha t * \rho m_K \in \Gamma\}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H} = \{\alpha t * \rho m_K : (\alpha, t) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times H\}$ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathbb{C}G$ containing Γ ;
- (iii) $\phi_0 : (\alpha, t) \mapsto \alpha t * \rho m_K$ defines a group homomorphism of Ω_Γ onto Γ and of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ onto $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times H}$ with kernel $\Omega_\rho = \{(\rho(k), k) : k \in K\}$.

If Γ is a subgroup contained in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}G$, then Γ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathbb{C}G$, and \mathbb{C}^\times can be replaced by \mathbb{T} in the above conditions; if Γ is a positive subgroup of $\mathbb{C}G$, i.e., if each $f \in \Gamma$ is positive, then Γ is a NM-subgroup of $\mathbb{C}G$, \mathbb{C}^\times can be replaced by $(0, \infty)$ in the above conditions, and $\iota_\Gamma = m_K$.

Though Proposition 5.2 follows from the results in Section 3, it can be proved directly without considering the topological and measure-theoretic complications inherent in the general case.

Let $\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ be homomorphisms, K a finite normal subgroup of H . The basic homomorphisms act on group elements by

$$\begin{aligned} M_\gamma^* : \mathbb{C}F &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}G : s \mapsto \gamma(s)s, & j_\theta^* : \mathbb{C}F &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}G : s \mapsto \theta(s), \\ j_{\gamma, \theta}^* &= j_\theta^* \circ M_\gamma^* : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G : s \mapsto \gamma(s)\theta(s), & S_K^* : \mathbb{C}(H/K) &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}H : sK \mapsto s * m_K. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that a NM-homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ is continuous, then it has a unique extension to a NM-homomorphism $\varphi : \ell^1(F) = \mathcal{M}_r(F) \rightarrow \ell^1(G) = \mathcal{M}_r(G)$. Since the basic homomorphisms map $\mathbb{C}F$ into $\mathbb{C}G$ (etc.), the results in Section 4 immediately yield corresponding results for bounded NM-homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$. However, versions of all statements from Section 4 hold without assuming $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ is bounded. The proofs from Section 4 can be used as a guide to establishing these results, however the proofs for homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ are usually much simpler. We conclude with a sample of some of these statements.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ be a mapping. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) φ is a NM-homomorphism;
- (ii) *there is a subgroup H of G , a finite normal subgroup Ω_0 of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times H$ and a homomorphism $\theta : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_0$ such that $\varphi = j_{\gamma^\times, \theta_H}^* \circ S_{\Omega_0}^* \circ j_\theta^*$. That is, φ factors as*

$$\mathbb{C}F \xrightarrow{j_\theta^*} \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^\times \times H/\Omega_0) \xrightarrow{S_{\Omega_0}^*} \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^\times \times H) \xrightarrow{j_{\gamma^\times, \theta_H}^*} \mathbb{C}G. \quad (8)$$

In statement (ii), we can take $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$, $\varphi(e_F) = \rho m_K$ and $\Omega_0 = \Omega_\rho$ associated to Γ_φ as in Proposition 5.2. When $\|\varphi(s)\|_1 \leq 1$ for all $s \in F$ — in which case φ is contractive and automatically a NM-homomorphism — \mathbb{C}^\times can be replaced by \mathbb{T} in (8).

Corollary 5.4. *1. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ be a NM-homomorphism with $H = s(\Gamma_\varphi)$ and $\iota_{\Gamma_\varphi} = \rho m_K$, (as in Proposition 5.2). If ρ extends to a homomorphism $\rho_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, then there are homomorphisms $\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\theta : F \rightarrow H/K$ such that $\varphi = M_{\rho_H}^* \circ S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$, i.e., φ factors as*

$$\mathbb{C}F \xrightarrow{j_{\gamma, \theta}^*} \mathbb{C}(H/K) \xrightarrow{S_K^*} \mathbb{C}H \xrightarrow{M_{\rho_H}^*} \mathbb{C}H \subseteq \mathbb{C}G. \quad (9)$$

- 2. *A mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ is a NM-homomorphism with $\varphi(e_F) \geq 0$ if and only if there is a subgroup H of G , a finite normal subgroup K of H , and homomorphisms $\gamma : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\theta : F \rightarrow H/K$ such that $\varphi = S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$.*
- 3. *A mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{C}F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ is a positive homomorphism if and only if there is a subgroup H of G , a finite normal subgroup K of H , and homomorphisms $\gamma : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\theta : F \rightarrow H/K$ such that $\varphi = S_K^* \circ j_{\gamma, \theta}^*$.*

Algebraic versions of the isomorphism theorems from Section 4.3 can be deduced from Corollary 5.4, however these are easy to establish without any additional machinery.

References

- [1] P. J. Cohen, On homomorphisms of group algebras, *Amer. J. Math* 82 (1960), 213-226.
- [2] H.G. Dales and A. T.-M. Lau, The second duals of Beurling algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 177 (2005), no. 836.
- [3] J.M.G. Fell and R.S. Doran, *Representations of *-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach *-algebraic bundles Vol. 1*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 125. Academic Press, Inc., 1988.
- [4] M. Filali and P. Salmi, Topological centres of weighted convolution algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 278 (2020), no. 11, 22 pages.
- [5] F. Ghahramani, Weighted group algebra as an ideal in its second dual space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1984), no. 1, 71-76.
- [6] F. Ghahramani, Compact elements of weighted group algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 113 (1984), no. 1, 77-84.
- [7] F. Ghahramani and A.T. Lau, Isometric isomorphisms between the second conjugate algebras of group algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 20 (1988), no. 4, 342-344.

- [8] F. Ghahramani, A.T. Lau, and V. Losert, Isometric isomorphisms between Banach algebras related to locally compact groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 321 (1990), no. 1, 273-283.
- [9] F. Ghahramani and A.T.-M. Lau, Isomorphisms and multipliers on second dual algebras of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 111 (1992), no. 1, 161-168.
- [10] F. Ghahramani and S. Zadeh, Bipositive isomorphisms between Beurling algebras and between their second dual algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* 69 (2017), no. 1, 3-20
- [11] I. Glicksberg, Homomorphisms of certain algebras of measures, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 167-191.
- [12] F.P. Greenleaf, Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965), 1187-1219.
- [13] B.E. Johnson, Isometric isomorphisms of measure algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (1964), 186-188.
- [14] E. Kaniuth, *A course in commutative Banach algebras*, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [15] N.J. Kalton and G.V. Wood, Homomorphisms of group algebras with norm less than $\sqrt{2}$, *Pacific J. Math.* 62 (1976), no. 2, 439-460.
- [16] Y. Kawada, On the group ring of a topological group, *Math. Japonicae* 1(1948), 1-5
- [17] A. T.-M. Lau and K. McKennon, Isomorphisms of locally compact groups and Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1980), no. 1, 55-58.
- [18] M. Neufang, On the topological centre problem for weighted convolution algebras and semi-group compactifications, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), no. 5, 1831-1839.
- [19] K. Numakura, On bicomact semigroups, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 1 (1952), 99-108.
- [20] J. S. Pym, Idempotent measures on semigroups, *Pacific J. Math.* 12 (1962), 685-698.
- [21] H. Reiter and J.D. Stegeman, *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups*, second ed., London Math. Soc. Monographs, Volume 22, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [22] E. Samei, Weak amenability and 2-weak amenability of Beurling algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 346 (2008), no. 2, 451-467.
- [23] V. Shepelska and Y. Zhang, Non-weakly amenable Beurling algebras, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 67 (2018), no. 1, 119-150.
- [24] N. Spronk, Commuting contractive idempotents in measure algebras, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 7 (2016), no. 1, 136-149.
- [25] R. Stokke, Homomorphisms of convolution algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 261 (2011), no. 12, 3665-3695.
- [26] R. Stokke, On Beurling measure algebras, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, in press.
- [27] R.S. Strichartz, Isometric isomorphisms of measure algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, 15 (1965), 315-317.

- [28] M. Walter, W^* -algebras and nonabelian harmonic analysis, *J. Funct. Anal.* 11 (1972), 17-38.
- [29] J.G.Wendel, On isometric isomorphisms of group algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 1(1951), 305-311.
- [30] J.G. Wendel, Left centralizers and isomorphisms of group algebras. *Pacific J. Math.* 2 (1952), 251–261.
- [31] S. Zadeh, Isomorphisms of Banach algebras associated with locally compact groups, PhD thesis, University of Manitoba, Canada, 2015.
- [32] S. Zadeh, Isometric isomorphisms of Beurling algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 438 (2016), 1-13.

DEPARTMENT OF COMBINATORICS AND OPTIMIZATION, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO, ON, N2L 3G1, CANADA
 email address: mekroeker@uwaterloo.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, CANADA R3T 2N2
 email address: stephe47@myumanitoba.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG, 515 PORTAGE AVENUE, WINNIPEG, MB, R3B 2E9, CANADA
 email address: r.stokke@uwinnipeg.ca

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, 2500 UNIVERSITY DRIVE NW CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA, T2N 1N4
 email address: randy.yee1@ucalgary.ca