

NONLINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATION ASSOCIATED WITH HYPERGRAPH LAPLACIAN

Masahiro Ikeda, Shun Uchida*

Abstract

Let V be a finite set, $E \subset 2^V$ be a set of hyperedges, and $w : E \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an edge weight. On the (weighted) hypergraph $G = (V, E, w)$, we can define a multivalued nonlinear operator $L_{G,p}$ ($p \in [1, \infty)$) as the subdifferential of a convex function on \mathbb{R}^V , which is called “hypergraph p -Laplacian.” In this article, we first introduce an inequality for this operator $L_{G,p}$ which resembles the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in PDEs. Next we consider an ordinary differential equation on \mathbb{R}^V governed by $L_{G,p}$, which is referred as “heat” equation on the hypergraph and used to study the geometric structure of graph in recent researches. With the aid of the Poincaré-Wirtinger type inequality, we can discuss the existence and the large time behavior of solutions to the ODE by procedures similar to those for the standard heat equation in PDEs with the zero Neumann boundary condition.

1 Introduction

Weighted hypergraph G is the triplet of a finite set V (vertex set), a family $E \subset 2^V$ of subsets with more than one element of V (set of hyperedges), and a function $w : E \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ (edge weight). In this paper, we consider the so-called hypergraph p -Laplacian $L_{G,p} : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^V}$ defined on $G = (V, E, w)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ (see §2.2 for the precise definition) and the following ordinary differential equation associated with $L_{G,p}$:

$$(1) \quad \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + L_{G,p}(x(t)) \ni h(t),$$

*Corresponding author: shunuchida@oita-u.ac.jp

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 34G25; Secondary 05C65, 26D15, 47J30.

Keywords: Hypergraph, p -Laplacian, subdifferential, nonlinear evolution equation, ordinary differential equation, Poincaré-Wirtinger’s inequality.

where $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is an unknown function and $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is a given external force with $T > 0$. This equation is referred as a “heat” equation on the hypergraph.

When G is a usual graph, namely, if E consists of subsets with two elements of V , then $L_{G,2}$ becomes single-valued and coincides with a square matrix $D - A$, where D and A stand for the degree matrix and the adjacency matrix of G , respectively (see Remark 2.3 below). Then the random walk on the graph can be characterized by $L_{G,2}D^{-1} = I - AD^{-1}$, called the random walk normalized Laplacian matrix on G . In this case, the weight function w in the Laplacian can be regarded as the conductance (reciprocal of resistance) of “current” or “flow” over each edge $e \in E$. Such a matrix can be used to investigate some properties of network represented by a graph. In particular, one of the important applications is PageRank, an algorithm to determine the importance of a Web site introduced by Brin–Page [2]. Moreover, the linear differential equation $x'(t) = (I - AD^{-1})x(t)$ can be found in the definition of another pagerank, called the heat kernel pagerank given by Chung [6]. Such a “heat” equation is also applied in [6] to show a Cheeger type inequality, a relation between the first positive eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian $L_{G,2}D^{-1}$ and the geometric structure of graph. See also [5] and references therein for more information of Cheeger inequalities on graphs.

As for the case where G is a hypergraph (i.e., the family E possibly possesses some sets with more than two elements), we can find various applications to, e.g., neural network [8] or molecular modeling in chemistry [15]. In order to study geometric properties in the sense of Cheeger’s inequality, Louis [19] introduced a generalized Laplacian and consider a heat equation defined on hypergraph (see also [13][14]). A variant definition is given by Yoshida [24], which comprises subdifferentials of Lovász extension of submodular transformation. This type of Laplacian on hypergraph, which is the main target of this paper, is often used in recent researches, e.g, [9][11][17][23] and generalized to p -Laplacian in [4] and [18]. Remark that the hypergraph p -Laplacian $L_{G,p}$ defined in this manner becomes a multivalued operator.

The main purpose of this paper is to state some basic tools and usages of the hypergraph p -Laplacian $L_{G,p}$ introduced by Yoshida [24] from a viewpoint of the nonlinear evolution equation theory. In the next section, we give a precise definition of $L_{G,p}$ and check that $L_{G,p}$ can be written as a subdifferential of a functional $\varphi_{G,p}$ (see Proposition 2.2). Although this fact has already been pointed out in several articles, we shall give a proof for self-containment. We also show that $L_{G,p}$ satisfies a Poincaré–Wirtinger type inequality and the structure of $L_{G,p}$ is quite similar to the standard Neumann Laplacian in PDE. By using these facts, we deal with the Cauchy problem and the time-periodic problem of (1) in Section 3. Since one can see that $L_{G,p}$ coincides with the subdifferential of a convex functional, the Kōmura–Brézis theory is applicable and the existence of a unique

global solution to Cauchy problem of (1) can be assured. From Poincaré's inequality, we shall derive a decay estimate of solution to (1) with $h \equiv 0$. On the other hand, we can not apply the abstract theory for the time-periodic problem since the coercivity of $\varphi_{G,p}$ does not hold (see Theorem 2.4 below). We here employ a technique for parabolic equations governed by the homogeneous Neumann Laplacian (see, e.g., [21]) and assure the existence of periodic solution to (1).

2 Properties of Hypergraph p -Laplacian

2.1 Preliminary

We first fix some terms and definitions of maximal monotone operator and subdifferential operator (see, e.g., [1][3][22]). Let H be a real Hilbert space with the norm $|\cdot|$ and the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and A be a (possibly) multivalued operator from H into 2^H , which stands for the power set of H . The domain and range of A are denoted by $D(A)$ and $R(A)$, respectively. An operator A is said to be monotone if $(y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2) \geq 0$ holds for any $x_j \in D(A)$ and $y_j \in Ax_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) and a monotone operator A is said to be maximal monotone if $R(\text{id} + A) = H$, where id is the identity map. It is well known that

- If A is maximal monotone, then Ax becomes a closed convex subset in H for any $x \in D(A)$. Based on this fact, we define the minimal section of A by $A^\circ x := (Ax)^\circ$, where $C^\circ := \arg\min_{y \in C} |y| = \text{Proj}_C 0$ for a closed convex set $C \subset H$.
- The maximal monotone operator is demiclosed. That is, if $y_m \in Ax_m$, $x_m \rightarrow x$ strongly in H , and $y_m \rightharpoonup y$ weakly in H as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then the limits satisfy $x \in D(A)$ and $y \in Ax$.

Let $\varphi : H \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper (i.e., $\varphi \not\equiv +\infty$) lower semi-continuous (l.s.c., for short) and convex functional. The set $D(\varphi) := \{x \in H; \varphi(x) < +\infty\}$ is called the effective domain of φ . Then we can define a (possibly) nonlinear multivalued mapping on H by

$$\partial\varphi : x \mapsto \{\eta \in H; (\eta, \xi - x) \leq \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(x) \quad \forall \xi \in D(\varphi)\},$$

known as the subdifferential of φ . As for the basic result in the convex analysis, we can see that

- The convex function φ is continuous at the interior points of $D(\varphi)$. Especially, if φ is defined on the whole space H (i.e., $D(\varphi) = H$), then φ is continuous on H .
- The subdifferential of a proper l.s.c. convex functional is always maximal monotone.

- Let $\varphi, \psi : H \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper l.s.c. convex functions such that the intersection of $D(\varphi)$ and the interior of $D(\psi)$ is not empty. Then it follows that $\partial(\varphi + \psi) = \partial\varphi + \partial\psi$.

Moreover, assume that φ is even, namely $D(\varphi)$ is symmetric (i.e., $x \in D(\varphi)$ iff $-x \in D(\varphi)$) and $\varphi(-x) = \varphi(x)$. Then the subdifferential $\partial\varphi$ becomes an odd operator, that is, $D(\partial\varphi)$ is symmetric and $\partial\varphi(-x) = -\partial\varphi(x)$. Indeed, if $\eta \in \partial\varphi(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta, \xi - x) &\leq \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(x) \quad \forall \xi \in D(\varphi) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad (-\eta, -\xi - (-x)) &\leq \varphi(-\xi) - \varphi(-x) \quad \forall \xi \in D(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $-\eta \in \partial\varphi(-x)$.

2.2 Definition of Hypergraph p -Laplacian

Let $V := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a vertex set and $E \subset 2^V$ (the power set of V) be a set of hyperedges. Note that each $e \in E$ consists of more than one element of V . Moreover, a positive function $w : E \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is defined as a weight on each hyperedge $e \in E$. Then the triplet $G = (V, E, w)$ is called the (weighted) hypergraph.

In this paper, we shall consider some ordinary differential equations over \mathbb{R}^V , the set of functions $x : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By letting $x_i := x(v_i)$, we can identify \mathbb{R}^V with the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Define norms on \mathbb{R}^V by

$$|x|_{\ell^q} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{v \in V} |x(v)|^q \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } q \in [1, \infty), \\ \max_{v \in V} |x(v)| & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and the ℓ^2 -inner product on \mathbb{R}^V by $x \cdot y := \sum_{v \in V} x(v)y(v)$ for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Recall that the standard inequality $|x|_{\ell^r} \leq |x|_{\ell^q}$ holds if $q < r$.

Henceforth, we express the indicator function on $S \subset V$ by $1_S \in \mathbb{R}^V$, i.e., let $1_S(u) = 1$ if $u \in S$ and $1_S(u) = 0$ if $u \notin S$. Then we define the base polytope for the hyperedge $e \in E$ by

$$B_e := \text{conv}\{1_u - 1_v; \quad u, v \in e\},$$

where $1_v := 1_{\{v\}}$ and $\text{conv } Q$ denotes the convex hull of $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^V$.

We here define

$$f_e(x) := \max_{u, v \in e} (x(u) - x(v)) = \max_{u, v \in e} |x(u) - x(v)| = \max_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x.$$

Obviously, we have

- $f_e(x) \geq 0$ and $f_e(x) = f_e(-x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$.
- $f_e(x) = 0$ iff $x(u) = x(v)$ for any $u, v \in e$.

Moreover, since f_e is convex and its domain coincides with the whole space \mathbb{R}^V (which yields the continuity of f_e), we can define the subdifferential of f_e . Here we recall the following maximum rule of subdifferential (see, e.g., Proposition 2.54 in [20]):

Lemma 2.1. *Let $g_j : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be convex functions satisfying $D(g_j) = \mathbb{R}^V$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ it holds that*

$$\partial(\max_k g_k(x)) = \text{conv} \left(\bigcup_{j \in J(x)} \partial g_j(x) \right),$$

where

$$J(x) := \left\{ j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}; \quad g_j(x) = \max_k g_k(x) \right\}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.1, the subdifferential of f_e can be represented by

$$\partial f_e(x) = \text{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x = \left\{ b_e \in B_e; \quad b_e \cdot x = \max_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x \right\}.$$

By the standard argument of convex analysis, we can assure the subdifferentiability of the following functional composed by the composition and sum of f_e :

Proposition 2.2. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, non-decreasing, and C^1 -function. Then*

$$\varphi_{G,g}(x) := \sum_{e \in E} w(e) g(f_e(x))$$

is continuous, convex and even, and its domain $D(\varphi_{G,g})$ coincides with \mathbb{R}^V . Moreover, its subdifferential coincides with

$$\begin{aligned} L_{G,g}(x) &:= \partial \varphi_{G,g}(x) = \sum_{e \in E} w(e) g'(f_e(x)) \partial f_e(x) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{e \in E} w(e) g'(f_e(x)) b_e; \quad b_e \in \text{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is an odd maximal monotone operator satisfying $D(L_{G,g}) = \mathbb{R}^V$.

PROOF. This result has already shown by Corollary 3.5 of [7] within a fairly general setting. For the sake of completeness, however, we here give another proof via Brouwer's fix point theorem.

Evidently, $D(\varphi_{G,g}) = \mathbb{R}^V$ and $\varphi_{G,g}$ is even and convex. We here only demonstrate $\partial\varphi_{G,g}(x) = L_{G,g}(x)$. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{e \in E} w(e) g'(f_e(x)) b_e \right) \cdot (y - x) \\ & \leq \sum_{e \in E} w(e) g'(f_e(x)) (f_e(y) - f_e(x)) \\ & \leq \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (g(f_e(y)) - g(f_e(x))) = \varphi_{G,g}(y) - \varphi_{G,g}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L_{G,g}(x) \subset \partial\varphi_{G,g}(x)$ holds and it is enough to check the maximality of $L_{G,g}$.

Let $\{a_e\}_{e \in E}$ is a vector in \mathbb{R}^E . If $a_e \geq 0$ for any $e \in E$, then $\psi(x) := \sum_{e \in E} a_e w(e) f_e(x)$ is a proper continuous convex function and its subdifferential coincides with $\partial\psi(x) = \{\sum_{e \in E} a_e w(e) b_e, b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x\}$ since $D(f_e) = \mathbb{R}^V$. Hence the maximality of subdifferential implies that

$$(2) \quad x + \sum_{e \in E} a_e w(e) b_e = y, \quad b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$$

possesses a unique solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ for each given $y \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Here we define a mapping on \mathbb{R}^E by $\Gamma : \{a_e\}_{e \in E} \rightarrow \{g'(f_e(x))\}_{e \in E}$, where x is a solution to (2).

Multiplying (2) by x and recalling $b_e \cdot x = f_e(x) \geq 0$, we have

$$|x|_{\ell^2}^2 \leq |x|_{\ell^2} |y|_{\ell^2},$$

which yields

$$|x|_{\ell^\infty} \leq |x|_{\ell^2} \leq |y|_{\ell^2}.$$

Since $f_e(x) \leq 2|x|_{\ell^\infty}$, we can see that Γ maps a closed convex set

$$K := \left\{ \{a_e\}_{e \in E} \in \mathbb{R}^E; 0 \leq a_e \leq L := \max_{0 \leq s \leq 2|y|_{\ell^2}} g'(s) \right\}$$

into itself. Next, suppose that $a_e^m \rightarrow a_e$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for each $e \in E$. Let $x^m \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $b_e^m \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x^m$ satisfy (2) with $\{a_e^m\}_{e \in E}$, i.e., $x^m + \sum_{e \in E} a_e^m w(e) b_e^m = y$. Testing this equation by x^m , we get $|x^m|_{\ell^\infty} \leq |y|_{\ell^2}$. Moreover, the definition of B_e yields $|b_e^m|_{\ell^2} \leq 2$. Hence we can extract convergent subsequences of $\{x^m\}$ and $\{b_e^m\}$. Let their limit be $x^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $b_e^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Since the demiclosedness of maximal monotone operator leads to $b_e^\infty \in \partial f_e(x^\infty)$, we can see that x^∞ is a solution to (2) with $\{a_e\}_{e \in E}$ by taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which together with the continuity of f_e and g' assures the continuity of Γ (remark that the original sequences $\{x^m\}$ and $\{b_e^m\}$ converge to x^∞ and b_e^∞ , respectively, by the uniqueness of solution to (2)). Therefore Brouwer's fix point theorem is applicable to Γ and the solvability of $x + L_{G,g}(x) \ni y$ can be obtain for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^V$. \square

Hypergraph p -Laplacian is defined by $L_{G,g}$ with $g(s) = \frac{1}{p}s^p$ ($p \geq 1$) in Proposition 2.2:

$$\varphi_{G,p}(x) := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{e \in E} w(e)(f_e(x))^p,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{G,p}(x) &:= \partial \varphi_{G,p}(x) = \sum_{e \in E} w(e)(f_e(x))^{p-1} \partial f_e(x) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{e \in E} w(e)(f_e(x))^{p-1} b_e; \quad b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. If $p > 1$ and G is a usual graph, i.e., each $e \in E$ contains two elements, $L_{G,p}(x)$ becomes a single-valued operator. Indeed, since $f_e(x) = |x(v_i) - x(v_j)|$ when $e = \{v_i, v_j\}$, we get

$$\varphi_{G,p}(x) = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_{ij} |x_i - x_j|^p,$$

where $x(v_i)$ is abbreviated to x_i and $w_{ij} := w(\{v_i, v_j\})$ if $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E$ (i.e., v_i and v_j are connected directly) and $w_{ij} := 0$ if $\{v_i, v_j\} \notin E$ (i.e., v_i and v_j are disconnected). Clearly, this functional is totally differentiable except $p = 1$ and its subgradient coincides with its derivative (see Ch. 1.2 of [1]). Especially, calculating partial derivative for the case where $p = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} \varphi_{G,2}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}(x_i - x_j) = d_i x_i - \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} x_j \\ &= (-w_{i1}, \dots, d_i - w_{ii}, \dots, w_{in}) \cdot x, \end{aligned}$$

where $d_i := \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}$ denoting the (weighted) number of vertex connected to v_i . Hence $L_{G,2} = \partial \varphi_{G,2}$ coincides with $D - A$, where the square matrix $D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ and $A = (w_{ij})$ are called the (weighted) degree matrix and the (weighted) adjacency matrix.

On the other hand, when G is hypergraph, $L_{G,p}(x)$ possibly returns a set-value on $\bigcup_{e \in E} \bigcup_{u,v \in e} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^V; x(u) = x(v)\}$ (union of hyperplanes) by the singularity of derivative of max function even if $p > 1$.

2.3 Poincaré-Wirtinger Type Inequality

We here decompose the vertex set V into “connected component” by the following manner: define $S_1 \subset V$ by the set of elements connected with v_1 .

$$S_1 := \left\{ v_i \in V; \quad \begin{array}{l} \exists u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in V, \exists e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \in E \text{ s.t.} \\ u_{j-1}, u_j \in e_j \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \text{ where } u_0 = v_1 \text{ and } u_k = v_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

If $S_1 \subsetneq V$, let i_2 be the least index satisfying $v_{i_2} \notin S_1$ and define

$$S_2 := \left\{ v_i \in V; \begin{array}{l} \exists u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in V, \exists e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \in E \text{ s.t.} \\ u_{j-1}, u_j \in e_j \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \text{ where } u_0 = v_{i_2} \text{ and } u_k = v_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

Continue this task inductively until $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_l = V$ holds. One can expect the “heat” is not delivered between two separated components. It is easy to see that

- $S_j \cap S_k = \emptyset$ if $j \neq k$.
- for any $e \in E$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $e \subset S_j$ and $e \cap S_k = \emptyset$ if $k \neq j$.

Here we define $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^V$ by

$$\phi = \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l} = \sum_{j=1}^l c_j 1_{S_j},$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$ are some constants, that is to say, ϕ satisfies $\phi(v) = c_j$ if $v \in S_j$. We next show that all 0-eigenfunction of $L_{G,p}$ can be denoted by ϕ for some c_1, \dots, c_l .

Theorem 2.4. *Let $p \geq 1$. Then $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ satisfies $0 \in L_{G,p}(x)$ if and only if $x = \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}$ with some constant $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds that*

$$(3) \quad \varphi_{G,p}(x + \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}) = \varphi_{G,p}(x), \quad L_{G,p}(x + \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}) = L_{G,p}(x).$$

PROOF. We recall that the definition of the subdifferential yields

$$0 \in L_{G,p}(x) = \partial \varphi_{G,p}(x) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{G,p}(x) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^V} \varphi_{G,p}(y) = 0.$$

Since $w(e) > 0$ and $f_e \geq 0$, $\varphi_{G,p}(x) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x))^p = 0$ implies that $f_e(x) = \max_{u,v \in e} |x(u) - x(v)| = 0$ holds for every $e \in E$, and vice versa. Therefore, it follows from $0 \in L_{G,p}(x)$ that $x(u) = x(v)$ for every $e \in E$, namely, $x = \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}$ with some constants $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$. Conversely, we can easily obtain $0 \in L_{G,p}(\phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l})$, i.e., $\varphi_{G,p}(\phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}) = 0$ since $f_e(\phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}) = |c_j - c_j| = 0$ holds for every $e \in E$ satisfying $e \subset S_j$ and for every $j = 1, \dots, l$.

Since $(1_u - 1_v) \cdot \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l} = c_j - c_j = 0$ holds for any $u, v \in e \subset S_j$, we obtain $b \cdot \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l} = 0$ for any $b \in B_e = \text{conv}\{1_u - 1_v; u, v \in e\}$. Hence we obtain

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} f_e(x + \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}) &= f_e(x), \quad b \cdot (x + \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}) = b \cdot x \\ &\forall b \in B_e, \quad \forall e \in E, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^V, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (3). □

Remark 2.5. When $p > 1$, then $L_{G,p}(\phi_{c_1,\dots,c_l})$ returns a single value. Indeed,

$$L_{G,p}(\phi_{c_1,\dots,c_l}) = \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(\phi_{c_1,\dots,c_l}))^{p-1} \partial f_e(\phi_{c_1,\dots,c_l}) = 0.$$

We define $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^V$ by averaging of $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ with respect to each connected component S_k , which can be expected to be a stable state of a system according to Theorem 2.4:

$$(5) \quad \bar{x} := \phi_{c_1,\dots,c_l}, \quad \text{where } c_k = \frac{1}{\#S_k} \sum_{v \in S_k} x(v) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

Here and henceforth, $\#S$ stands for the number of elements belonging to $S \subset V$. Then we can obtain the Poincaré–Wirtinger type inequality:

Theorem 2.6. Let $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $p \geq 1$. Then every $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $y \in L_{G,p}(x)$ satisfy

$$(6) \quad |x - \bar{x}|_{\ell^q}^p \leq Cx \cdot y = pC\varphi_{G,p}(x),$$

where

$$(7) \quad C = C_{G,p} := \frac{1}{\min_{e \in E} w(e)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \#S_j^{2-\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p.$$

PROOF. Let $u, v \in S_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$). By the definition, there exist $v_{j1}, \dots, v_{j(k-1)} \in S_j$ and $e_{j1}, \dots, e_{jk} \in E$ such that $v_{j(i-1)}, v_{ji} \in e_{ji}$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $v_{j0} = u$ and $v_{jk} = v$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x(u) - x(v)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |x(v_{j(i-1)}) - x(v_{ji})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k f_{e_{ji}}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{\#S_j^{1/p'}}{\min_{e \in E} w(e)^{1/p}} \left(\sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x))^p \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where p' is the Hölder conjugate exponent:

$$(8) \quad p' := \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } p > 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

Recalling (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x(u) - \bar{x}(u)| &\leq \frac{1}{\#S_j} \sum_{v \in S_j} |x(u) - x(v)| \\ &\leq \frac{\#S_j^{1/p'}}{\min_{e \in E} w(e)^{1/p}} \left(\sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x))^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

for each $u \in S_j$, which leads to (6) with $q = 1$. From the general inequality $|x|_{\ell^q} \leq |x|_{\ell^1}$, we can derive (6) for every $q \in (1, \infty]$. \square

Remark 2.7. Let $y_j \in L_{G,p}(x_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$), then we can easily obtain

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & (y_1 - y_2) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) \\ & \geq \sum_{e \in E} w(e) \left((f_e(x_1))^{p-1} - (f_e(x_2))^{p-1} \right) (f_e(x_1) - f_e(x_2)). \end{aligned}$$

However, it seems to be difficult to establish an estimate of $|x_1 - x_2|_{\ell^2}$ or $|x_1 - \overline{x_1} - x_2 + \overline{x_2}|_{\ell^2}$ from this inequality. For instance, let $\#V = 4$, $E = \{V\}$, $w \equiv 1$, and

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(v_1) \\ x_1(v_2) \\ x_1(v_3) \\ x_1(v_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_1 \\ b_1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2(v_1) \\ x_2(v_2) \\ x_2(v_3) \\ x_2(v_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_2 \\ b_2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $|a_j|, |b_j| < 1$ ($j = 1, 2$). Since $\operatorname{argmax}_{v \in V} x_1(v) = \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in V} x_2(v) = v_1$ and $\operatorname{argmin}_{v \in V} x_1(v) = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in V} x_2(v) = v_4$, we have $f_e(x_1) = f_e(x_2) = (1 - (-1)) = 2$ and

$$L_{G,p}(x_1) = L_{G,p}(x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{p-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2^{p-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain $(L_{G,p}(x_1) - L_{G,p}(x_2)) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) = 0$ although $a_j, b_j \in (-1, 1)$ can be chosen arbitrarily so that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $\overline{x_1} = \overline{x_2}$.

3 Heat Equation

3.1 Cauchy Problem

In this section, we consider the ordinary differential equation associated with the hypergraph p -Laplacian by using properties given above.

$$(C) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + L_{G,p}(x(t)) \ni h(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

where $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is an unknown function and $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is a given external force. Henceforth, we write $x'(t) := \frac{d}{dt}x(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L^q(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V) &:= \left\{ h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V; \quad \int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^q dt < \infty \right\}, \\ W^{1,q}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V) &:= \left\{ h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V; \quad \int_0^T (|h(t)|_{\ell^2}^q + |h'(t)|_{\ell^2}^q) dt < \infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $q \in [1, \infty)$, and $h \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ iff $\text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} |h(t)|_{\ell^2} < \infty$.

Since the maximal monotonicity of $L_{G,p}$ in the Hilbert space \mathbb{R}^V endowed with ℓ^2 -norm is shown in Proposition 2.2, the abstract theory by Kōmura [16] (see also Theorem 3.6 and 3.7 in Brézis [3]) can be applied and it holds that

Theorem 3.1. *For every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $h \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$, (C) possesses a unique solution satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V), \\ t &\mapsto \varphi_{G,p}(x(t)) \quad \text{is absolutely continuous on } [0, T], \\ \left(\int_0^t |x'(s)|_{\ell^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left(\int_0^t |h(s)|_{\ell^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\varphi_{G,p}(x_0)} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $t_0 \in [0, T)$ is a right-Lebesgue point of h , x is right-differentiable at t_0 and the right-derivative of x denoted by $\frac{d^+x}{dt}$ satisfies

$$(10) \quad \frac{d^+x}{dt}(t_0) = (h(t_0 + 0) - L_{G,p}(x(t_0)))^\circ,$$

where $h(t_0 + 0) := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} h(s) ds$. If $h \in W^{1,1}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$, the solution also fulfills

$$\begin{aligned} tx'(t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V), \\ \left| \frac{d^+x}{dt}(t) \right|_{\ell^2} &\leq |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 + \frac{1}{t} |x_0 - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^t s^2 |h'(s)|_{\ell^2} ds \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{t} \left(\int_0^t s |h'(s)|_{\ell^2} ds \right)^{1/2} \left(|x_0 - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2} + \int_0^t |h(s)|_{\ell^2} ds \right)^{1/2} \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. As for a significant property of nonlinear multivalued evolution equation, we here give an example of solution which dose not belong to C^1 -class. Let $\#V = 4$, $E = \{V\}$, and $w \equiv 1$. We solve (C) with $p = 2$ and given data

$$h \equiv 0, \quad x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0(v_1) \\ x_0(v_2) \\ x_0(v_3) \\ x_0(v_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When t is sufficiently small, the order of initial data is preserved by the continuity, i.e., $x_4(t) < x_3(t) < x_2(t) < x_1(t)$ holds (here and henceforth, we write $x_i(t) := x(t)(v_i)$). Then

$$L_{G,2}(x(t)) = f_e(x(t)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) - x_4(t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_4(t) - x_1(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence (C) is equivalent to

$$x_1'(t) = -x_1(t) + x_4(t), \quad x_4'(t) = x_1(t) - x_4(t), \quad x_2'(t) = x_3'(t) = 0,$$

which yields

$$x_1(t) = 2e^{-2t}, \quad x_2(t) = 1, \quad x_3(t) = -1, \quad x_4(t) = -2e^{-2t}$$

until $t \leq t_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log 2$.

In order to see the behavior of solution after x_1 and x_4 touches x_2 and x_3 , respectively, i.e., $t \geq t_0$, we have to specify the minimal section of

$$L_{G,2}(x(t)) = \left\{ (x_1(t) - x_4(t)) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ -\mu_1 \\ -\mu_2 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0, \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1, \\ \mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since $\sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2}$ attains its minimum at $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1/2$, we get

$$L_{G,2}^\circ(x(t)) = \frac{(x_1(t) - x_4(t))}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{(x_2(t) - x_3(t))}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (10), (C) with $t \geq t_0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} x_1'(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) - x_4(t)), & x_2'(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}(x_2(t) - x_3(t)), \\ x_3'(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(x_2(t) - x_3(t)), & x_4'(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) - x_4(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the solution of (C) is

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= \begin{cases} 2e^{-2t} & \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \log 2, \\ \sqrt{2}e^{-t} & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2} \log 2, \end{cases} & x_4(t) &= -x_1(t), \\ x_2(t) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \log 2, \\ \sqrt{2}e^{-t} & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2} \log 2, \end{cases} & x_3(t) &= -x_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can construct a solution to (C) for the same hypergraph as the above with $p \neq 2$ only by replacing $L_{G,2}(x) = (f_e(x))\partial f_e(x)$ with $L_{G,p}(x) = (f_e(x))^{p-1}\partial f_e(x)$.

Multiply the equation of (C) by 1_{S_k} ($k = 1, 2, \dots, l$), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{v \in S_k} x(t)(v) = \sum_{v \in S_k} h(t)(v),$$

which yields the following identity:

$$\bar{x}(t) = \bar{x}_0 + \int_0^t \bar{h}(s) ds.$$

Viewing this mass conservation law derived from (4) and recalling Theorem 2.4 and Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality (6), one may expect to deal with the hypergraph Laplacian $L_{G,p}$ and (1) by treatments similar to those for the standard Laplacian $-\Delta$ with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition and parabolic equations governed by the Neumann Laplacian.

We here consider the large time behavior of solution to (C). Let $h \equiv 0$, then $\bar{x}(t) = \bar{x}_0$ holds for any $t > 0$. By (4) and Theorem 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x(t)))^{p-1} b_e(t) \cdot (x(t) - \bar{x}_0) \\ &= \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x(t)))^p \geq \frac{1}{C_{G,p}} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^p \quad \forall b_e(t) \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence multiplying (C) by $x(t) - \bar{x}_0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^2 + \frac{1}{C_{G,p}} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^p \leq 0,$$

which leads to

Theorem 3.3. *Let $h \equiv 0$. Then the solution to (C) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &\leq \left(X(0)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} - \frac{(2-p)t}{C_{G,p}} \right)_+^{\frac{2}{2-p}} && \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2, \\ X(t) &\leq X(0) \exp \left(-\frac{2t}{C_{G,p}} \right) && \text{if } p = 2, \\ X(t) &\leq \left(X(0)^{-\frac{p-2}{2}} + \frac{(p-2)t}{C_{G,p}} \right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} && \text{if } p > 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $X(t) := |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^2$, $(s)_+ := \max\{s, 0\}$, and $C_{G,p}$ is a constant defined by (7).

Remark 3.4. Optimality of decay rate in Theorem 3.3 can be easily obtained as follows.

Since $\bar{y}(u) = \bar{y}(v)$ holds for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^V$ if $u, v \in e$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_e(x(t)) &= \max_{u,v \in e} |x(t)(u) - x(t)(v)| = \max_{u,v \in e} |x(t)(u) - \bar{x}_0(u) + \bar{x}_0(v) - x(t)(v)| \\ &\leq \sum_{u \in V} |x(t)(u) - \bar{x}_0(u)| \leq \sqrt{n} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence testing (C) by $x(t) - \bar{x}_0$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^2 &= -p \varphi_{G,p}(x(t)) \\ &\geq -p(\#E) n^{p/2} \max_{e \in E} w(e) |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^p, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the estimate of $X(t) = |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^2$ from below.

3.2 Periodic Problem

Next we consider the following time-periodic problem:

$$(P) \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} x(t) + L_{G,p}(x(t)) \ni h(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x(T). \end{cases}$$

Note that the abstract result can not be applied since $\varphi_{G,p}$ is not coercive. Multiplying (P) by 1_{S_k} , integrating over $[0, T]$ and using the periodicity, we have

$$(11) \quad \int_0^T \bar{h}(t) dt = 0$$

as a necessary condition of existence of solution. Recall (8), i.e., p' stands for the Hölder conjugate of p .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $h \in L^{\bar{p}'}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ with $\bar{p}' := \max\{2, p'\}$ and assume (11). Then (P) possesses at least one solution $x \in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$.*

PROOF. We first deal with the following approximation problem:

$$(P)_\varepsilon \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} x_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon(t) + L_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon(t)) \ni h(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x_\varepsilon(0) = x_\varepsilon(T). \end{cases}$$

Remark that the main term of $(P)_\varepsilon$ coincides with the subdifferential of

$$\varphi_{G,p}^\varepsilon(x) := \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |x|_{\ell^2}^2 + \varphi_{G,p}(x),$$

i.e., $\partial \varphi_{G,p}^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon x + L_{G,p}(x)$. Since $\varphi_{G,p}^\varepsilon$ is coercive, $(P)_\varepsilon$ possesses a unique periodic solution $x_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ for any given $h \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ (see Corollary 3.4 of [3]).

Testing $(P)_\varepsilon$ by 1_{S_k} ($k = 1, \dots, l$) and integrating over $[0, T]$, we get

$$\sum_{v \in S_k} \int_0^T x_\varepsilon(t)(v) dt = 0$$

by the condition $x_\varepsilon(0) = x_\varepsilon(T)$ and (11). Then the continuity of x_ε implies that there exists some $t_k \in [0, T]$ such that $\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t_k)(v) = 0$. Multiplying (P) $_\varepsilon$ by 1_{S_k} again, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t)(v) \right) + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t)(v) \right) = \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} h(t)(v) \right),$$

which leads to

$$\left(\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t)(v) \right) = \int_{t_k}^t e^{-\varepsilon(t-s)} \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} h(s)(v) \right) ds$$

and

$$(12) \quad |\overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^q} \leq |\overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^1} \leq \int_0^T |\overline{h}(s)|_{\ell^1} ds$$

for any $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

Multiplying (P) $_\varepsilon$ by x_ε and integrating over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt + p \int_0^T \varphi_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon(t)) dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2} |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2} dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \left[\left(\int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t) - \overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^2}^p dt \right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_0^T |\overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^2}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate together with (6) and (12), we can derive

$$(13) \quad \varepsilon \int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt + \int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^p dt \leq C,$$

where C is some general constant independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Let $t_0 \in [0, T]$ attain the minimum of $t \mapsto |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}$. Clearly $|x_\varepsilon(t_0)|_{\ell^2} \leq C$ by (13).

Testing (P) $_\varepsilon$ by x'_ε , we get

$$(14) \quad \int_0^T |x'_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt \leq \int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt.$$

This immediately yields

$$(15) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2} \leq C$$

by $|x_\varepsilon(t_0)|_{\ell^2} \leq C$ and

$$(16) \quad \int_0^T |y_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt \leq C,$$

where y_ε is the section of $L_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon)$ satisfying $(P)_\varepsilon$, i.e., $x'_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon(t) + y_\varepsilon(t) = h(t)$ and $y_\varepsilon(t) \in L_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon(t))$ for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

By (14) and (15), we can apply Ascoli-Arzelà's theorem and extract a subsequence (we omit relabeling) which strongly converges in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^V)$. Let x be its limit, which evidently fulfills the periodic condition. Then (13) yields

$$|\varepsilon x_\varepsilon|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C \rightarrow 0$$

and (14) leads to

$$x'_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup x' \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V).$$

Moreover, (16) implies that $\{y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ also possesses a subsequence which weakly converges in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$. Thanks to the maximal monotonicity of $L_{G,p}$, its limit $y \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ satisfies $y \in L_{G,p}(x)$, whence it follows Theorem 3.5 \square

Although the difference of two solutions can be hardly estimated (see Remark 2.7), we can show the uniqueness of periodic solution by virtue of Theorem 5 in [10]:

Theorem 3.6. *Let x_1, x_2 be two solutions to (P) with the same given h , then there exists some constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^V$ such that $x_1 = x_2 + \gamma$.*

Remark 3.7. We can easily see that if u is a solution to (P) then $u + \phi_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k}$ also satisfies (P) for any $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and obtain $f_e(x_1(t)) = f_e(x_2(t))$ by (9). Still, $\gamma = \phi_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k}$ does not necessarily hold in Theorem 3.6. For instance, let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ and

$$h(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha \exp\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) + 2\beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2\alpha \exp\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) - 2\beta \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \cosh\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) + \beta \\ a \\ b \\ -\alpha \cosh\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) - \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

becomes a periodic solution to (P) with $\#V = 4$, $E = \{V\}$, $w \equiv 1$, and $p = 2$ for arbitrary fixed $a, b \in (-\alpha - \beta, \alpha + \beta)$.

Conclusion

In this article, we study the hypergraph p -Laplacian from the viewpoint of nonlinear analysis and find the lack of coerciveness and the Poincaré–Wirtinger type inequality for this operator. We can see some validity of these tools in the treating Cauchy problem and time-periodic problem of the evolution equation governed by the hypergraph p -Laplacian.

Interestingly, the multiplicity of $L_{G,p}$ implies that the ODE describes the diffusion of “heat” from the vertex with maximum to minimum belonging to the same hyperedge and the vertices with middle value halt until the maximum or minimum touches. This property might suggest a new PDE model describing competition of two groups. Namely, we can expect hypergraph Laplacian in reaction-diffusion system describes the effect of aid/replenishment/assistance to injured/suffering members (vertices) from others in each group (hyperedge).

Acknowledgment

M. Ikeda is supported by JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR1913, Japan and Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists Research (No.19K14581), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. S. Uchida is supported by the Fund for the Promotion of Joint International Research (Fostering Joint International Research (B)) (No.18KK0073), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Differential Equations of Monotone Types in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [2] S. Brin and L. Page, The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine, *Comput. Networks ISDN Syst.* 30 (1998), 107–117.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7552\(98\)00110-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7552(98)00110-X)
- [3] H. Brézis, *Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semi-groupes de Contractions dans les Espaces de Hilbert*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [4] K. C. Chang, S. Shao, D. Zhang, The 1-Laplacian Cheeger Cut: Theory and Algorithms, *J. Comp. Math.* 33 (2015), 443–467.
<https://doi.org/10.4208/jcm.1506-m2014-0164>
- [5] F. Chung, *Spectral Graph Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

- [6] F. Chung, The heat kernel as the pagerank of a graph, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 104 (2007), 19735–19740.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708838104>
- [7] C. Combari, M. Laghdir, L. Thibault, A note on subdifferentials of convex composite functionals, *Arch. Math.* 67 (1996) 239–252.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01195240>
- [8] Y. Feng, H. You, Z. Zhang, R. Ji, Y. Gao, Hypergraph neural networks *Proc. AAAI Conf. Artificial Intelligence* 33 (2019), 3558–3565.
<https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v33i01.33013558>
- [9] K. Fujii, T. Soma, Y. Yoshida, Polynomial-time algorithms for submodular Laplacian systems, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 892 (2021), 170–186.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2021.09.019>
- [10] A. Haraux, Equations d’évolution non linéaires: solutions bornées et périodiques, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 28 (1978), 201–220.
<https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.696>
- [11] M. Ikeda, Y. Kitabeppu, Y. Takai, T. Uehara, Coarse Ricci curvature of hypergraphs and its generalization, preprint, 2021.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.00698>
- [12] M. Ikeda, A. Miyauchi, Y. Takai, Y. Yoshida, Finding cheeger cuts in hypergraphs via heat equation, preprint, 2018.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.04396>
- [13] J. Jost and R. Mulas, Hypergraph Laplace operators for chemical reaction networks, *Adv. Math.* 351 (2019), 870–896.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2019.05.025>
- [14] J. Jost, R. Mulas, D. Zhang, p -Laplace operators for oriented hypergraphs, *Vietnam J. Math.* (2021), 36pp.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10013-021-00525-4>
- [15] H. Kajino, Molecular hypergraph grammar with its application to molecular optimization, *Proc. 36th Int. Conf. Mach. Learn.*, PMLR 97 (2019), 3183–3191.
<http://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/kajino19a.html>
- [16] Y. Kōmura, Nonlinear semi-groups in Hilbert space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 19 (1967), 493–507.
<https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/01940493>

- [17] P. Li, N. He, O. Milenkovic, Quadratic decomposable submodular function minimization: theory and practice, *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* 21 (2020), Paper No. 106, 49 pp.
<https://jmlr.org/papers/v21/18-790.html>
- [18] P. Li and O. Milenkovic, Submodular hypergraphs: p -Laplacians, Cheeger inequalities and spectral clustering, *Proc. 35th Int. Conf. Mach. Learn.*, PMLR 80 (2018), 3014-3023.
<http://proceedings.mlr.press/v80/li18e.html>
- [19] A. Louis, Hypergraph markov operators, eigenvalues and approximation algorithms, *Proc. 47th Annu. ACM on Sympos. Theory Comput. (STOC)* (2015), 713–722.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/2746539.2746555>
- [20] B. S Mordukhovich and N. M. Nam, *An Easy Path to Convex Analysis and Applications*, Morgan and Claypool Publishers, Williston, VT, 2014.
- [21] M. Ôtani and S. Uchida, Global solvability of some double-diffusive convection system coupled with Brinkman-Forchheimer equations, *Lib. Math. (N.S.)* 33 (2013), 79–107.
<http://system.lm-ns.org/index.php/lm-ns/article/view/55>
- [22] R. E. Showalter, *Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [23] Y. Takai, A. Miyauchi, M. Ikeda, Y. Yoshida, Hypergraph Clustering Based on PageRank, *Proc. 26th ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining* (2020), 1970–1978.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3394486.3403248>
- [24] Y. Yoshida, Cheeger Inequalities for Submodular Transformations, *Proc. 2019 Annu. ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms (SODA)* (2019), 2582–2601.
<https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611975482.160>

MASAHIRO IKEDA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
KEIO UNIVERSITY,
3-14-1 HIYOSHI KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA,
223-8522, JAPAN/
CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE
PROJECT, RIKEN, TOKYO,
103-0027, JAPAN.

E-mail address: masahiro.ikeda@keio.jp/
masahiro.ikeda@riken.jp

SHUN UCHIDA

DEPARTMENT OF INTEGRATED SCIENCE AND
TECHNOLOGY,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
OITA UNIVERSITY,
700 DANNOHARU, OITA CITY, OITA PREF.,
870-1192, JAPAN.

E-mail address: shunuchida@oita-u.ac.jp