

Nonlinear Evolution Equation Associated with Hypergraph Laplacian

Masahiro Ikeda^{a,b}, Shun Uchida^{c,*}

^a *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University,
3-14-1 Hiyoshi Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223-8522, Japan.*

^b *Center for Advanced Intelligence Project, RIKEN,
Tokyo, 103-0027, Japan.*

^c *Department of Integrated Science and Technology, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Oita University, 700 Dannoharu, Oita, 870-1192, Japan.*

Abstract

In this paper, we consider a nonlinear ordinary differential equation describing “diffusion” and “conduction” phenomena on the hypergraph. This equation is governed by the so-called hypergraph p -Laplacian $L_{G,p}$, which is a multivalued operator and written as a subdifferential of an energy functional. The main purpose of this paper is to give basic usages of this nonlinear operator from the viewpoint of nonlinear evolution equation theory and investigate the Cauchy problem and the time-periodic problem of the ODE associated with $L_{G,p}$. More precisely, we shall show that $L_{G,p}$ satisfies a Poincaré-Wirtinger type inequality and exhibits some basic properties which are similar to the homogeneous Neumann Laplacian in PDE.

Keywords: Hypergraph, p -Laplacian, subdifferential, Poincaré-Wirtinger’s inequality, ordinary differential equation, nonlinear evolution equation.

2020 MSC: Primary 34G25; Secondary 05C65, 26D15, 47J30.

1. Introduction

Weighted hypergraph G is the triplet of a finite set V (vertex set), a family $E \subset 2^V$ of subsets with more than one element of V (set of hyperedges), and

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: masahiro.ikeda@keio.jp/masahiro.ikeda@riken.jp (Masahiro Ikeda), shunuchida@oita-u.ac.jp (Shun Uchida)

a function $w : E \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ (edge weight). In this paper, we consider the so-called hypergraph p -Laplacian $L_{G,p} : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^V}$ defined on $G = (V, E, w)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ (see §2.2 for the precise definition) and the following ordinary differential equation associated with $L_{G,p}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) + L_{G,p}(x(t)) \ni h(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is an unknown function and $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is a given external force with $T > 0$. This equation is referred as a “heat” equation on the hypergraph.

When G is a usual graph, namely, if E consists of subsets with two elements of V , then $L_{G,2}$ becomes single-valued and coincides with a square matrix $D - A$, where D and A stand for the degree matrix and the adjacency matrix of G , respectively. Then the random walk on the graph can be characterized by $L_{G,2}D^{-1} = I - AD^{-1}$, called the random walk normalized Laplacian matrix on G . In this case, the weight function w in the Laplacian can be regarded as the conductance (reciprocal of resistance) of a “current” or “flow” over each edge $e \in E$. Such matrix can be used to investigate some properties of network represented by a graph. In particular, one of the important applications is PageRank, an algorithm to determine the importance of a Web site introduced by Brin–Page [2]. Moreover, the linear differential equation $x'(t) = (I - AD^{-1})x(t)$ can be found in the definition of another pagerank, called the heat kernel pagerank given by Chung [6]. In this article, such “heat” equation is also applied to show a Cheeger type inequality, a relation between the first positive eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian $L_{G,2}D^{-1}$ and the geometric structure of graph. See also [5] and references therein for more information of Cheeger inequalities on graphs.

As for the case where G is a hypergraph (i.e., the family E possibly possesses some sets with more than two elements), we can find various applications to, e.g., neural network [7] or molecular modeling in chemistry [12]. In order to study geometric properties in the sense of Cheeger’s inequality, Louis [16] introduced a generalized Laplacian and consider a heat equation defined on hypergraph. A variant definition is given by Yoshida [21], which comprises subdifferentials of Lovász extension of submodular transformation. This type of Laplacian on hypergraph, which is the main target of this paper, is often used in recent researches, e.g, [8][10][14][20] and generalized to p -Laplacian in [4] and [15]. Remark that the hypergraph p -Laplacian $L_{G,p}$ defined in this manner becomes a multivalued operator.

The main purpose of this paper is to state some basic tools and usages of the hypergraph p -Laplacian $L_{G,p}$ from a viewpoint of nonlinear evolution equation. In the next section, we give a precise definition of $L_{G,p}$ and check that this can be written as a subdifferential of a functional $\varphi_{G,p}$ (see Proposition 2.3). Although this fact has already been pointed out in several articles, we shall give a proof for self-containment. We also show that $L_{G,p}$ satisfies a Poincaré-Wirtinger type inequality and the structure of $L_{G,p}$ is quite similar to the standard Neumann Laplacian in PDE. By using these facts, we deal with the Cauchy problem and the time-periodic problem of (1.1) in Section 3. Since one can see that $L_{G,p}$ coincides with the subdifferential of a convex functional, Kōmura–Brézis theory is applicable and the existence of a unique global solution to Cauchy problem of (1.1) can be assured. From Poincaré’s inequality, we shall derive a decay estimate of solution to (1.1) with $h \equiv 0$. On the other hand, we can not apply the abstract theory for the time-periodic problem since the coercivity of $\varphi_{G,p}$ does not hold (see Theorem 2.5 below). We here employ a technique for parabolic equations governed by the homogeneous Neumann Laplacian (see e.g., [18]) and assure the existence of periodic solution to (1.1).

As exemplified later, the structure of energy functional and multiplicity of $L_{G,p}$ imply that (1.1) describes the diffusion of “heat” from the vertex with maximum to minimum belonging to the same hyperedge. Interestingly, the vertices with middle value are ignored and halt until the maximum or minimum touches. This property might suggest a new PDE models describing competition of two groups. Namely, we can expect hypergraph Laplacian in reaction-diffusion system describes the effect of aid/replenishment/assistance to injured/suffering members (vertices) from others in each group (hyperedge).

2. Properties of Hypergraph p -Laplacian

2.1. Preliminary

We first fix some terms and definitions of maximal monotone operator and subdifferential operator (see, e.g., [1][3][19]). Let H be a real Hilbert space with the norm $|\cdot|$ and the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and A be a (possibly) multivalued operator from H into 2^H , which stands for the power set of H . The domain and range of A are denoted by $D(A)$ and $R(A)$, respectively. An operator A is said to be monotone if $(y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2) \geq 0$ holds for any $x_j \in D(A)$ and $y_j \in Ax_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) and a monotone operator A is said to be

maximal monotone if $R(\text{id} + A) = H$, where id is the identity map. It is well known that

- If A is maximal monotone, then Ax becomes a closed convex subset in H for any $x \in D(A)$. Based on this fact, we define the minimal section of A by $A^\circ x := (Ax)^\circ$, where $C^\circ := \text{argmin}_{y \in C} |y| = \text{Proj}_C 0$ for a closed convex set $C \subset H$.
- Maximal monotone operator is demiclosed. That is, if $y_m \in Ax_m$, $x_m \rightarrow x$ strongly in H , and $y_m \rightarrow y$ weakly in H as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then the limits satisfy $x \in D(A)$ and $y \in Ax$.

Let $\varphi : H \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper (i.e., $\varphi \not\equiv +\infty$) lower semi-continuous (l.s.c., for short) and convex functional. The set $D(\varphi) := \{x \in H; \varphi(x) < +\infty\}$ is called the effective domain of φ . Then we can define a (possibly) nonlinear multivalued mapping on H by

$$\partial\varphi : x \mapsto \{\eta \in H; (\eta, \xi - x) \leq \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(x) \quad \forall \xi \in D(\varphi)\},$$

known as the subdifferential of φ . As for the basic result in the convex analysis, we can see that

- Convex function φ is continuous at the interior points of $D(\varphi)$. Especially, if φ is defined on the whole space H (i.e., $D(\varphi) = H$), then φ is continuous on H .
- Subdifferential of a proper l.s.c. convex functional is always maximal monotone.
- Let $\varphi, \psi : H \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be proper l.s.c. convex functions such that the intersection of $D(\varphi)$ and the interior of $D(\psi)$ is not empty. Then it follows that $\partial(\varphi + \psi) = \partial\varphi + \partial\psi$.

Moreover, assume that φ is even, namely $D(\varphi)$ is symmetric (i.e., $x \in D(\varphi)$ iff $-x \in D(\varphi)$) and $\varphi(-x) = \varphi(x)$. Then the subdifferential $\partial\varphi$ becomes an odd operator, that is, $D(\partial\varphi)$ is symmetric and $\partial\varphi(-x) = -\partial\varphi(x)$. Indeed, if $\eta \in \partial\varphi(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\eta, \xi - x) \leq \varphi(\xi) - \varphi(x) \quad \forall \xi \in D(\varphi) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \quad (-\eta, -\xi - (-x)) \leq \varphi(-\xi) - \varphi(-x) \quad \forall \xi \in D(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $-\eta \in \partial\varphi(-x)$.

2.2. Definition of Hypergraph p -Laplacian

Let $V := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a vertex set and $E \subset 2^V$ (the power set of V) be a set of hyperedges. Note that each $e \in E$ consists of more than one element of V . Moreover, a positive function $w : E \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is defined as a weight on each hyperedge $e \in E$. Then the triplet $G = (V, E, w)$ is called the weighted hypergraph.

In this paper, we shall consider some ordinary differential equations over \mathbb{R}^V , the set of functions $x : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By letting $x_i := x(v_i)$, we can identify \mathbb{R}^V with the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and define a norm on \mathbb{R}^V by

$$|x|_{\ell^q} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{v \in V} |x(v)|^q \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } q \in [1, \infty), \\ \max_{v \in V} |x(v)| & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and an inner product on \mathbb{R}^V by $x \cdot y := \sum_{v \in V} x(v)y(v)$ for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Recall the standard inequality $|x|_{\ell^r} \leq |x|_{\ell^q}$ holds if $q < r$.

Henceforth, we express the indicator function on $S \subset V$ by $1_S \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $1_v := 1_{\{v\}}$ if $S = \{v\}$, i.e., let $1_S(u) = 1$ if $u \in S$ and $1_S(u) = 0$ if $u \notin S$. Then we define the base polytope for the hyperedge $e \in E$ by

$$B_e := \text{conv}\{1_u - 1_v; \quad u, v \in e\},$$

where $\text{conv } Q$ denotes the convex hull of $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^V$. For later use, we prepare a property of

$$\text{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x = \left\{ b_e \in B_e; \quad b_e \cdot x = \max_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $e \in E$, let $\xi_+^e := \max\{x(v); v \in e\}$, $\xi_-^e := \min\{x(v); v \in e\}$, and $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_\mu}\} := \text{argmax}\{x(v); v \in e\}$. Then either of the followings holds:*

i) *For every $b_e \in \text{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$, it holds that $b_e(v_{i_j}) \geq 0$ for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$ and $b_e(v_{i_k}) > 0$ for at least one of $k = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$.*

ii) *$\xi_+^e = \xi_-^e$, i.e., $x(u) = x(v)$ for any $u, v \in e$.*

Proof. Assume $\xi_+^e > \xi_-^e$. By letting $\{u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_\nu}\} := \text{argmin}\{x(v); v \in e\}$, we see that every $b_e \in \text{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$ can be described as $b_e = \sum_{j,k} \lambda_{j,k} (1_{v_{i_j}} -$

$1_{u_{i_k}}$), where $\lambda_{j,k} \geq 0$ ($\forall j = 1, \dots, \mu, \forall k = 1, \dots, \nu$) and $\sum_{j,k} \lambda_{j,k} = 1$. Indeed, if $b' \in B_e$ is written as $b' = \sum_{j,k} \lambda'_{j,k} (1_{v_{i_j}} - 1_{u_{i_k}}) + \lambda' (1_v - 1_u)$, where $\lambda' > 0$, $\sum_{j,k} \lambda'_{j,k} + \lambda' = 1$ and either $\xi_+^e > x(v)$ or $\xi_-^e < x(u)$, then we have $b' \cdot x = \sum_{j,k} \lambda'_{j,k} (\xi_+^e - \xi_-^e) + \lambda' (x(v) - x(u)) < (\xi_+^e - \xi_-^e)$, that is, $b' \notin \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$. This implies that every $b_e(v_{i_j}) = \sum_k \lambda_{j,k}$ ($j = 1, \dots, \mu$) is non-negative and at least one of them is positive. Therefore, we obtain i) if ii) is violated. \square

We here define

$$f_e(x) := \max_{u,v \in e} (x(u) - x(v)) = \max_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x.$$

Obviously, we have

- $f_e(x) \geq 0$ and $f_e(x) = f_e(-x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$.
- $f_e(x) = 0$ iff $x(u) = x(v)$ for any $u, v \in e$.

Moreover, since f_e is convex and its domain coincides with the whole space \mathbb{R}^V (which yields the continuity of f_e), we can define the subdifferential of f_e . Thanks to the following maximum rule of subdifferential (see, e.g., Proposition 2.54 in [17]), we obtain $\partial f_e(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g_j : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be convex functions satisfying $D(g_j) = \mathbb{R}^V$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ it holds that*

$$\partial(\max_k g_k(x)) = \operatorname{conv} \left(\bigcup_{j \in J(x)} \partial g_j(x) \right),$$

where

$$J(x) := \left\{ j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}; g_j(x) = \max_k g_k(x) \right\}.$$

By the standard argument of convex analysis, we can assure the subdifferentiability of the following functional composed by the composition and sum of f_e :

Proposition 2.3. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, non-decreasing, and C^1 -function. Then*

$$\varphi_{G,g}(x) := \sum_{e \in E} w(e) g(f_e(x))$$

is continuous, convex and even, and its domain $D(\varphi_{G,g})$ coincides with \mathbb{R}^V . Moreover, its subdifferential coincides with

$$L_{G,g}(x) := \left\{ \sum_{e \in E} w(e)g'(f_e(x))b_e; \quad b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x \right\},$$

which is an odd maximal monotone operator satisfying $D(L_{G,g}) = \mathbb{R}^V$.

Proof. Evidently, $D(\varphi_{G,g}) = \mathbb{R}^V$ and $\varphi_{G,g}$ is even and convex. We here only demonstrate $\partial\varphi_{G,g}(x) = L_{G,g}(x)$. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{e \in E} w(e)g'(f_e(x))b_e \right) \cdot (y - x) \\ & \leq \sum_{e \in E} w(e)g'(f_e(x))(f_e(y) - f_e(x)) \\ & \leq \sum_{e \in E} w(e)(g(f_e(y)) - g(f_e(x))) = \varphi_{G,g}(y) - \varphi_{G,g}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L_{G,g}(x) \subset \partial\varphi_{G,g}(x)$ holds and it is enough to check the maximality of $L_{G,g}$.

Let $\{a_e\}_{e \in E}$ is a vector in \mathbb{R}^E . If $a_e \geq 0$ for any $e \in E$, then $\psi(x) := \sum_{e \in E} a_e w(e) f_e(x)$ is a proper continuous convex function and its subdifferential coincides with $\partial\psi(x) = \{\sum_{e \in E} a_e w(e) b_e, b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x\}$ since $D(f_e) = \mathbb{R}^V$. Hence the maximality of subdifferential implies that

$$x + \sum_{e \in E} a_e w(e) b_e = y, \quad b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x \quad (2.1)$$

possesses a unique solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ for each given $y \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Here we define a mapping on \mathbb{R}^E by $\Gamma : \{a_e\}_{e \in E} \rightarrow \{g'(f_e(x))\}_{e \in E}$, where x is a solution to (2.1).

Multiplying (2.1) by x and recalling $b_e \cdot x = f_e(x) \geq 0$, we have

$$|x|_{\ell^2}^2 \leq |x|_{\ell^2} |y|_{\ell^2},$$

which yields

$$|x|_{\ell^\infty} \leq |x|_{\ell^2} \leq |y|_{\ell^2}.$$

Since $f_e(x) \leq 2|x|_{\ell^\infty}$, we can see that Γ maps a closed convex set

$$K := \left\{ \{a_e\}_{e \in E} \in \mathbb{R}^E; 0 \leq a_e \leq L := \max_{0 \leq s \leq 2|y|_{\ell^2}} g'(s) \right\}$$

into itself. Next, suppose that $a_e^m \rightarrow a_e$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for each $e \in E$. Let $x^m \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $b_e^m \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x^m$ satisfy (2.1) with $\{a_e^m\}_{e \in E}$, i.e., $x^m + \sum_{e \in E} a_e^m w(e) b_e^m = y$. Testing this equation by x^m , we get $|x^m|_{\ell^\infty} \leq |y|_{\ell^2}$. Moreover, the definition of B_e yields $|b_e^m|_{\ell^2} \leq 2$. Hence we can extract convergent subsequences of $\{x^m\}$ and $\{b_e^m\}$. Let their limit be $x^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $b_e^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Since the demiclosedness of maximal monotone operator leads to $b_e^\infty \in \partial f_e(x^\infty)$, we can see that x^∞ is a solution to (2.1) with $\{a_e\}_{e \in E}$ by taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which together with the continuity of f_e and g' assures the continuity of Γ (remark that the original sequences $\{x^m\}$ and $\{b_e^m\}$ converge to x^∞ and b_e^∞ , respectively, by the uniqueness of solution to (2.1)). Therefore Brouwer's fix point theorem is applicable to Γ and the solvability of $x + L_{G,g}(x) \ni y$ can be obtain for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^V$. \square

Hypergraph p -Laplacian is defined by $L_{G,g}$ with $g(s) = \frac{1}{p}s^p$ ($p \geq 1$) in Proposition 2.3:

$$\varphi_{G,p}(x) := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x))^p,$$

and

$$L_{G,p}(x) := \left\{ \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x))^{p-1} b_e; \quad b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x \right\}.$$

Remark 2.4. When G is hypergraph, $L_{G,p}(x)$ is possibly multivalued on $\bigcup_{e \in E} \bigcup_{u,v \in e} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^V; x(u) = x(v)\}$. On the other hand, if $p > 1$ and G is a usual graph, i.e., each $e \in E$ contains two elements, Lemma 2.1 implies that $L_{G,p}(x)$ is always single-valued. Even if G is a graph, however, $L_{G,1}(x)$ (i.e., when $p = 1$) presents the same multiplicity as hypergraph Laplacian.

2.3. Poincaré-Wirtinger Inequality

We here decompose the vertex set V into “connected component” by the following manner: define $S_1 \subset V$ by the set of elements connected with v_1 .

$$S_1 := \left\{ v_i \in V; \quad \begin{array}{l} \exists u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in V, \exists e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \in E \text{ s.t.} \\ u_{j-1}, u_j \in e_j \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \text{ where } u_0 = v_1 \text{ and } u_k = v_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

If $S_1 \subsetneq V$, let i_2 be the least index satisfying $v_{i_2} \notin S_1$ and define

$$S_2 := \left\{ v_i \in V; \begin{array}{l} \exists u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in V, \exists e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \in E \text{ s.t.} \\ u_{j-1}, u_j \in e_j \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \text{ where } u_0 = v_{i_2} \text{ and } u_k = v_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

Continue this task inductively until $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_l = V$ holds. One can expect the ‘‘heat’’ is not delivered between two separated components. It is easy to see that

- $S_j \cap S_k = \emptyset$ if $j \neq k$.
- for any $e \in E$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $e \subset S_j$ and $e \cap S_k = \emptyset$ if $k \neq j$.

Here we define $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^V$ by

$$\phi = \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l} = \sum_{j=1}^l c_j 1_{S_j},$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$ are some constants, that is to say, ϕ satisfies $\phi(v) = c_j$ if $v \in S_j$. We next show that all 0-eigenfunction of $L_{G,p}$ can be denoted by ϕ for some c_1, \dots, c_l .

Theorem 2.5. *Let $p > 1$. Then $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ satisfies $0 \in L_{G,p}(x)$ if and only if $x = \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}$ with some constant $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds that*

$$L_{G,p}(x + \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}) = L_{G,p}(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We first check that $L_{G,p}(\phi) = 0$. Recall that for every $e \in E$ there exists an index j such that $e \subset S_j$ and $e \cap S_k = \emptyset$ if $k \neq j$. Since $(1_u - 1_v) \cdot \phi = c_j - c_j = 0$ holds for any $u, v \in e$, we obtain $b \cdot \phi = 0$ for any $b \in B_e = \text{conv}\{1_u - 1_v; u, v \in e\}$. Hence we obtain $0 = L_{G,p}(\phi)$ by the definition. For the same reason, we get

$$b \cdot (x + \phi) = b \cdot x \quad \forall b \in B_e, \quad \forall e \in E, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^V, \quad (2.3)$$

which leads to (2.2).

We next show that $0 \in L_{G,p}(x)$ implies $x = \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_l \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\{v_{i_1}^k, \dots, v_{i_\mu}^k\} := \text{argmax}\{x(v); v \in S_k\}$, where $k = 1, \dots, l$. From

Lemma 2.1, we have $(f_e(x))^{p-1}b_e(v_{i_j}^k) \geq 0$ for arbitrary selection of $b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$ since either of $f_e(x) = 0$ or $b_e(v_{i_j}^k) \geq 0$ holds when $v_{i_j}^k \in e$ and $b_e(v_{i_j}^k) = 0$ when $v_{i_j}^k \notin e$. Hence there is no negative terms in the sum $\sum_{e \in E} (f_e(x))^{p-1}b_e(v_{i_j}^k)$ and then i) of Lemma 2.1 dose not occur if $0 = \sum_{e \in E} (f_e(x))^{p-1}b_e(v_{i_j}^k)$ with some $b_e \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x$. Therefore every $u \in V$ connected to $v_{i_j}^k$ satisfies $x(u) = x(v_{i_j}^k) = \max\{x(v); v \in S_k\}$, whence it follows that our result. \square

We define $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^V$ by averaging of $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ with respect to each connected component S_k , which can be expected to be a stable state of a system according to Theorem 2.5:

$$\bar{x} := \phi_{c_1, \dots, c_l}, \quad \text{where } c_k = \frac{\sum_{v \in S_k} x(v)}{\#S_k} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, l. \quad (2.4)$$

Here and henceforth, $\#S$ stands for the number of elements belonging to $S \subset V$. Then we can obtain the Poincaré–Wirtinger type inequality:

Theorem 2.6. *Let $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $p \geq 1$. Then every $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $y \in L_{G,p}(x)$ satisfy*

$$|x - \bar{x}|_{\ell^q}^p \leq Cx \cdot y = pC\varphi_{G,p}(x), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$C = C_{G,p} := \frac{1}{\min_{e \in E} w(e)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \#S_j^{2-\frac{1}{p}} \right)^p. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Let $u, v \in S_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$). By the definition, there exist $v_{j1}, \dots, v_{j(k-1)} \in S_j$ and $e_{j1}, \dots, e_{jk} \in E$ such that $v_{j(i-1)}, v_{ji} \in e_{ji}$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $v_{j0} = u$ and $v_{jk} = v$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x(u) - x(v)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |x(v_{j(i-1)}) - x(v_{ji})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k f_{e_{ji}}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{\#S_j^{1/p'}}{\min_{e \in E} w(e)^{1/p}} \left(\sum_{e \in E} w(e)(f_e(x))^p \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where p' is the Hölder conjugate exponent:

$$p' := \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } p > 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } p = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Recalling (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x(u) - \bar{x}(u)| &\leq \frac{1}{\#S_j} \sum_{v \in S_j} |x(u) - x(v)| \\ &\leq \frac{\#S_j^{1/p'}}{\min_{e \in E} w(e)^{1/p}} \left(\sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x))^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

for each $u \in S_j$, which leads to (2.5) with $q = 1$. From the general inequality $|x|_{\ell^q} \leq |x|_{\ell^1}$, we can derive (2.5) for every $q \in (1, \infty]$. \square

Remark 2.7. Let $y_j \in L_{G,p}(x_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$), then we can easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(y_1 - y_2) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) \\ &\geq \sum_{e \in E} w(e) \left((f_e(x_1))^{p-1} - (f_e(x_2))^{p-1} \right) (f_e(x_1) - f_e(x_2)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

However, it seems to be difficult to establish an estimate of $|x_1 - x_2|_{\ell^2}$ or $|x_1 - \bar{x}_1 - x_2 + \bar{x}_2|_{\ell^2}$ from this inequality. For instance, let $\#V = 4$, $E = \{V\}$, $w \equiv 1$, and

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(v_1) \\ x_1(v_2) \\ x_1(v_3) \\ x_1(v_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_1 \\ b_1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2(v_1) \\ x_2(v_2) \\ x_2(v_3) \\ x_2(v_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a_2 \\ b_2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $|a_j|, |b_j| < 1$ ($j = 1, 2$). Since $\operatorname{argmax}_{v \in V} x_1(v) = \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in V} x_2(v) = v_1$ and $\operatorname{argmin}_{v \in V} x_1(v) = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in V} x_2(v) = v_4$, we have $f_e(x_1) = f_e(x_2) = (1 - (-1)) = 2$ and

$$L_{G,p}(x_1) = L_{G,p}(x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{p-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2^{p-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain $(L_{G,p}(x_1) - L_{G,p}(x_2)) \cdot (x_1 - x_2) = 0$ although $a_j, b_j \in (-1, 1)$ can be chosen arbitrarily so that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $\bar{x}_1 = \bar{x}_2$.

3. Heat Equation

3.1. Cauchy Problem

In this section, we consider the ordinary differential equation associated with hypergraph p -Laplacian by using properties given above.

$$(C) \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + L_{G,p}(x(t)) \ni h(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

where $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is an unknown function and $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ is a given external force. Henceforth, we write $x'(t) := \frac{d}{dt}x(t)$,

$$L^q(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V) := \left\{ h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V; \int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^q dt < \infty \right\},$$

$$W^{1,q}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V) := \left\{ h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V; \int_0^T (|h(t)|_{\ell^2}^q + |h'(t)|_{\ell^2}^q) dt < \infty \right\},$$

for $q \in [1, \infty)$, and $h \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ iff $\text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} |h(t)|_{\ell^2} < \infty$.

Since the maximal monotonicity of $L_{G,p}$ in the Hilbert space \mathbb{R}^V endowed with ℓ^2 -norm is shown in Proposition 2.3, the abstract theory by Kōmura [13] (see also Theorem 3.6 and 3.7 in Brézis [3]) can be applied and it holds that

Theorem 3.1. *For every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^V$ and $h \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$, (C) possesses a unique solution satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V), \\ & t \mapsto \varphi_{G,p}(x(t)) \text{ is absolutely continuous on } [0, T], \\ & \left(\int_0^t |x'(s)|_{\ell^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int_0^t |h(s)|_{\ell^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\varphi_{G,p}(x_0)} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $t_0 \in [0, T)$ is a right-Lebesgue point of h , x is right-differentiable at t_0 and the right-derivative of x denoted by $\frac{d^+x}{dt}$ satisfies

$$\frac{d^+x}{dt}(t_0) = (h(t_0 + 0) - L_{G,p}(x(t_0)))^\circ, \quad (3.1)$$

where $h(t_0+0) := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} h(s) ds$. If $h \in W^{1,1}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$, the solution also fulfills

$$tx'(t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d^+ x}{dt}(t) \right|_{\ell^2} &\leq |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 + \frac{1}{t} |x_0 - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^t s^2 |h'(s)|_{\ell^2} ds \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{t} \left(\int_0^t s |h'(s)|_{\ell^2} ds \right)^{1/2} \left(|x_0 - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2} + \int_0^t |h(s)|_{\ell^2} ds \right)^{1/2} \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Multiply the equation of (C) by 1_{S_k} ($k = 1, 2, \dots, l$), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{v \in S_k} x(t)(v) = \sum_{v \in S_k} h(t)(v),$$

which yields the following identity:

$$\bar{x}(t) = \bar{x}_0 + \int_0^t \bar{h}(s) ds.$$

Viewing this mass conservation law derived from (2.3) and recalling Theorem 2.5 and Poincaré-Wirtinger's inequality (2.5), one may expect to deal with the hypergraph Laplacian $L_{G,p}$ and (1.1) by treatments similar to those for the standard Laplacian $-\Delta$ with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition and parabolic equations governed by the Neumann Laplacian.

We here consider the large time behavior of solution to (C). Let $h \equiv 0$, then $\bar{x}(t) = \bar{x}_0$ holds for any $t > 0$. By (2.3) and Theorem 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x(t)))^{p-1} b_e(t) \cdot (x(t) - \bar{x}_0) \\ &= \sum_{e \in E} w(e) (f_e(x(t)))^p \geq \frac{1}{C_{G,p}} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^p \quad \forall b_e(t) \in \operatorname{argmax}_{b \in B_e} b \cdot x(t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence multiplying (C) by $x(t) - \bar{x}_0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^2 + \frac{1}{C_{G,p}} |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^p \leq 0,$$

which leads to

Theorem 3.2. *Let $h \equiv 0$. Then the solution to (C) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
X(t) &\leq \left(X(0)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} - \frac{(2-p)t}{C_{G,p}} \right)_+^{\frac{2}{2-p}} && \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2, \\
X(t) &\leq X(0) \exp \left(-\frac{2t}{C_{G,p}} \right) && \text{if } p = 2, \\
X(t) &\leq \left(X(0)^{-\frac{p-2}{2}} + \frac{(p-2)t}{C_{G,p}} \right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} && \text{if } p > 2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $X(t) := |x(t) - \bar{x}_0|_{\ell^2}^2$, $(s)_+ := \max\{s, 0\}$, and $C_{G,p}$ is a constant defined by (2.6).

Remark 3.3. As for a significant property of nonlinear multivalued evolution equation, we here construct a solution which dose not belong to C^1 -class. Let $\#V = 4$, $E = \{V\}$, $h \equiv 0$, and $w \equiv 1$. We solve (C) with $p = 2$ and given data

$$h \equiv 0, \quad x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0(v_1) \\ x_0(v_2) \\ x_0(v_3) \\ x_0(v_4) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When t is sufficiently small, the order of initial data is preserved by the continuity, i.e., $x_4(t) < x_3(t) < x_2(t) < x_1(t)$ holds (here and henceforth, we write $x_i(t) := x(t)(v_i)$). Then

$$L_{G,p}(x(t)) = f_e(x(t)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) - x_4(t) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_4(t) - x_1(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence (C) is equivalent to

$$x_1'(t) = -x_1(t) + x_4(t), \quad x_4'(t) = x_1(t) - x_4(t), \quad x_2'(t) = x_3'(t) = 0,$$

which yields

$$x_1(t) = 2e^{-2t}, \quad x_2(t) = 1, \quad x_3(t) = -1, \quad x_4(t) = -2e^{-2t}$$

until $t \leq t_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}$.

In order to see the behavior of solution after x_1 and x_4 touches x_2 and x_3 , respectively, i.e., $t \geq t_0$, we have to specify the minimal section of

$$L_{G,2}(x(t)) = \left\{ (x_1(t) - x_4(t)) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ -\mu_1 \\ -\mu_2 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0, \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1, \\ \mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since $\sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2}$ attains its minimum at $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1/2$, we get

$$L_{G,2}^\circ(x(t)) = \frac{(x_1(t) - x_4(t))}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (3.1), (C) with $t \geq t_0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} x_1'(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) - x_4(t)), & x_2'(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}(x_2(t) - x_3(t)), \\ x_3'(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(x_2(t) - x_3(t)), & x_4'(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(x_1(t) - x_4(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the solution of (C) is

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= \begin{cases} 2e^{-2t} & \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-t} & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} & x_4(t) &= -x_1(t), \\ x_2(t) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-t} & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} & x_3(t) &= -x_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Periodic Problem

Next we consider the following time-periodic problem:

$$(P) \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + L_{G,p}(x(t)) \ni h(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x(0) = x(T). \end{cases}$$

Note that the abstract result can not be applied since $\varphi_{G,p}$ is not coercive. Multiplying (P) by 1_{S_k} , integrating over $[0, T]$ and using the periodicity, we have

$$\int_0^T \bar{h}(t) dt = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

as a necessary condition of existence of solution. Recall (2.7), i.e., p' stands for the the Hölder conjugate of p .

Theorem 3.4. *Let $h \in L^{\bar{p}'}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ with $\bar{p}' := \max\{2, p'\}$ and assume (3.2). Then (P) possesses at least one solution $x \in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$.*

Proof. We first deal with the following approximation problem:

$$(P)_\varepsilon \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon(t) + L_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon(t)) \ni h(t) & t \in (0, T), \\ x_\varepsilon(0) = x_\varepsilon(T). \end{cases}$$

Remark that the main term of $(P)_\varepsilon$ coincides with the subdifferential of

$$\varphi_{G,p}^\varepsilon(x) := \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|x|_{\ell^2}^2 + \varphi_{G,p}(x),$$

i.e., $\partial\varphi_{G,p}^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon x + L_{G,p}(x)$. Since $\varphi_{G,p}^\varepsilon$ is coercive, $(P)_\varepsilon$ possesses a unique periodic solution x_ε such that $x_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ (see Corollary 3.4 of [3]).

Testing $(P)_\varepsilon$ by 1_{S_k} ($k = 1, \dots, l$) and integrating over $[0, T]$, we get

$$\sum_{v \in S_k} \int_0^T x_\varepsilon(t)(v) dt = 0$$

by the condition $x_\varepsilon(0) = x_\varepsilon(T)$ and (3.2). Then the continuity of x_ε implies that there exists some $t_k \in [0, T]$ such that $\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t_k)(v) = 0$. Multiplying $(P)_\varepsilon$ by 1_{S_k} again, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t)(v) \right) + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t)(v) \right) = \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} h(t)(v) \right),$$

which leads to

$$\left(\sum_{v \in S_k} x_\varepsilon(t)(v) \right) = \int_{t_k}^t e^{-\varepsilon(t-s)} \left(\sum_{v \in S_k} h(s)(v) \right) ds$$

and

$$|\overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^q} \leq |\overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^1} \leq \int_0^T |\overline{h}(s)|_{\ell^1} ds \quad (3.3)$$

for any $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

Multiplying $(P)_\varepsilon$ by x_ε and integrating over $[0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt + p \int_0^T \varphi_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon(t)) dt \\ & \leq \int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2} |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2} dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \left[\left(\int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t) - \overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^2}^p dt \right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_0^T |\overline{x_\varepsilon}(t)|_{\ell^2}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate together with (2.5) and (3.3), we can derive

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt + \int_0^T |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^p dt \leq C. \quad (3.4)$$

where C is some general constant independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Let $t_0 \in [0, T]$ attain the minimum of $t \mapsto |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}$. Clearly $|x_\varepsilon(t_0)|_{\ell^2} \leq C$ by (3.4).

Testing (P) $_\varepsilon$ by x'_ε , we get

$$\int_0^T |x'_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt \leq \int_0^T |h(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt. \quad (3.5)$$

This immediately yields

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2} \leq C \quad (3.6)$$

by $|x_\varepsilon(t_0)|_{\ell^2} \leq C$ and

$$\int_0^T |y_\varepsilon(t)|_{\ell^2}^2 dt \leq C, \quad (3.7)$$

where y_ε is the section of $L_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon)$ satisfying (P) $_\varepsilon$, i.e., $x'_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon x_\varepsilon(t) + y_\varepsilon(t) = h(t)$ and $y_\varepsilon(t) \in L_{G,p}(x_\varepsilon(t))$ for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

By (3.5) and (3.6), we can apply Ascoli-Arzelà's theorem and extract a subsequence (we omit relabeling) which strongly converges in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^V)$. Let x be its limit, which evidently fulfills the periodic condition. Then (3.4) yields

$$|\varepsilon x_\varepsilon|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C \rightarrow 0$$

and (3.5) leads to

$$x'_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup x' \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V).$$

Moreover, (3.7) implies that $\{y_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ also possesses a subsequence which weakly converges in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$. Thanks to the maximal monotonicity of $L_{G,p}$, its limit $y \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^V)$ satisfies $y \in L_{G,p}(x)$, whence it follows Theorem 3.4 \square

Although the difference of two solutions can be hardly estimated (see Remark 2.7), we can show the uniqueness of periodic solution by virtue of Theorem 5 in [9]:

Theorem 3.5. *Let x_1, x_2 be two solutions to (P) with the same given h , then there exists some constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^V$ such that $x_1 = x_2 + \gamma$.*

Remark 3.6. We can easily see that if u is a solution to (P) then $u + \phi_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k}$ also satisfies (P) for any $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and obtain $f_e(x_1(t)) = f_e(x_2(t))$ by (2.8). Still, $\gamma = \phi_{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k}$ does not necessarily hold in Theorem 3.5. For instance, let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ and

$$h(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha \exp\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) + 2\beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2\alpha \exp\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) - 2\beta \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \cosh\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) + \beta \\ a \\ b \\ -\alpha \cosh\left(2\left(t - \frac{T}{2}\right)\right) - \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

becomes a periodic solution to (P) with $\#V = 4$, $E = \{V\}$, $w \equiv 1$, and $p = 2$ for arbitrary fixed $a, b \in (-\alpha - \beta, \alpha + \beta)$.

Acknowledgment

M. Ikeda is supported by JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR1913, Japan and Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists Research (No.19K14581), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. S. Uchida is supported by the Fund for the Promotion of Joint International Research (Fostering Joint International Research (B)) (No.18KK0073), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Differential Equations of Monotone Types in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [2] S. Brin, L. Page, The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine, *Comput. Networks ISDN Syst.* 30 (1998), 107-117.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7552\(98\)00110-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7552(98)00110-X)

- [3] H. Brézis, *Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semi-groupes de Contractions dans les Espaces de Hilbert*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [4] K. C. Chang, S. Shao, D. Zhang, The 1-Laplacian Cheeger Cut: Theory and Algorithms, *J. Comp. Math.*, 33 (2015), 443-467.
<https://doi.org/10.4208/jcm.1506-m2014-0164>
- [5] F. Chung, *Spectral Graph Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [6] F. Chung, The heat kernel as the pagerank of a graph, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 104 (2007), 19735–19740.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708838104>
- [7] Y. Feng, H. You, Z. Zhang, R. Ji, Y. Gao, Hypergraph neural networks *Proc. AAAI Conf. Artificial Intelligence* 33 (2019), 3558-3565.
<https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v33i01.33013558>
- [8] K. Fujii, T. Soma, Y. Yoshida, Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Submodular Laplacian Systems, arXiv:1803.10923.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.10923>
- [9] A. Haraux, Equations d'évolution non linéaires: solutions bornées et périodiques, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 28 (1978), 201–220.
<https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.696>
- [10] M. Ikeda, Y. Kitabepu, Y. Takai, Coarse Ricci curvature of hypergraphs and its generalization, arXiv:2102.00698.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.00698>
- [11] M. Ikeda, A. Miyauchi, Y. Takai, Y. Yoshida, Finding cheeger cuts in hypergraphs via heat equation, arXiv:1809.04396.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.04396>
- [12] H. Kajino, Molecular hypergraph grammar with its application to molecular optimization, *Proc. 36th Int. Conf. Mach. Learn.*, PMLR 97 (2019), 3183-3191.
<http://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/kajino19a.html>
- [13] Y. Kōmura, Nonlinear semi-groups in Hilbert space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 19 (1967), 493–507.
<https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/01940493>

- [14] P. Li, N. He, O. Milenkovic, Quadratic decomposable submodular function minimization: theory and practice, *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* 21 (2020), Paper No. 106, 49 pp.
<https://jmlr.org/papers/v21/18-790.html>
- [15] P. Li, O. Milenkovic, Submodular hypergraphs: p -Laplacians, Cheeger inequalities and spectral clustering, *Proc. 35th Int. Conf. Mach. Learn.*, PMLR 80 (2018), 3014-3023.
<http://proceedings.mlr.press/v80/li18e.html>
- [16] A. Louis, Hypergraph markov operators, eigenvalues and approximation algorithms, *Proc. 47th Annu. ACM on Sympos. Theory Comput. (STOC)* (2015), 713–722.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/2746539.2746555>
- [17] B. S Mordukhovich, N. M. Nam, *An Easy Path to Convex Analysis and Applications*, Morgan and Claypool Publishers, Williston, VT, 2014.
- [18] M. Ôtani, S. Uchida, Global solvability of some double-diffusive convection system coupled with Brinkman-Forchheimer equations, *Lib. Math. (N.S.)* 33 (2013), 79–107.
<http://system.lm-ns.org/index.php/lm-ns/article/view/55>
- [19] R. E. Showalter, *Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [20] Y. Takai, A. Miyauchi, M. Ikeda, Y. Yoshida, Hypergraph Clustering Based on PageRank, *Proc. 26th ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining* (2020), 1970–1978.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3394486.3403248>
- [21] Y. Yoshida, Cheeger Inequalities for Submodular Transformations, *Proc. 2019 Annu. ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms (SODA)* (2019), 2582–2601.
<https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611975482.160>