

**RESTRICTED FAMILIES OF PROJECTIONS ONTO PLANES:
THE GENERAL CASE OF NONVANISHING GEODESIC
CURVATURE**

TERENCE L. J. HARRIS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ is C^3 with $\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'') \neq 0$, and if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a Borel set with Hausdorff dimension $\dim A \leq 5/2$, then $\dim \pi_\theta(A) \geq \min \left\{ \dim A, \frac{\dim A}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right\}$ for a.e. $\theta \in [a, b]$, where π_θ denotes projection onto the orthogonal complement of $\gamma(\theta)$. This partially resolves a conjecture of Fässler and Orponen in the range $\dim A \leq 3/2$, which was previously known only for non-great circles. For $3/2 < \dim A < 5/2$, this improves the known lower bound for this problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S^2 be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . Given a curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ and $\theta \in [a, b]$, let π_θ be the orthogonal projection onto $\gamma(\theta)^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, given by

$$\pi_\theta(x) = x - \langle x, \gamma(\theta) \rangle \gamma(\theta), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Let $\dim A$ denote the Hausdorff dimension of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ be C^3 with $\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'')$ nonvanishing. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is an analytic set with $\dim A \leq 5/2$, then*

$$\dim \pi_\theta(A) \geq \min \left\{ \dim A, \frac{\dim A}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right\},$$

for a.e. $\theta \in [a, b]$.

This partially resolves Conjecture 1.6 from [3] in the range $\dim A \leq 3/2$, for projections onto planes. In this range, this was previously known in the special case of non-great circles; due to Orponen and Venieri [14]. In the range $3/2 < \dim A < 5/2$, Theorem 1.1 improves and generalises the previously best known lower bound from [5], which was also specific to non-great circles.

In \mathbb{R}^2 , the classical Marstrand projection theorem [7] states that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is a Borel set and P_e denotes orthogonal projection onto the 1-dimensional subspace through $e \in S^1$, then for $\dim A \leq 1$,

$$\dim P_e(A) = \dim A, \quad \text{a.e. } e \in S^1,$$

and for $\dim A > 1$,

$$\mathcal{H}^1(P_e(A)) > 0, \quad \text{a.e. } e \in S^1.$$

This was generalised to higher dimensions by Mattila. In \mathbb{R}^3 there are two versions of the Marstrand-Mattila projection theorem; one for lines and one for planes. The

version for lines is analogous to the above with S^1 replaced by S^2 . For planes, it states that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a Borel set, then if $\dim A \leq 2$,

$$(1.1) \quad \dim \pi_v(A) = \dim A, \quad \mathcal{H}^2\text{-a.e. } v \in S^2,$$

and if $\dim A > 2$, then

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\pi_v(A)) > 0, \quad \mathcal{H}^2\text{-a.e. } v \in S^2,$$

where π_v denotes projection onto v^\perp . The term ‘‘restricted projection families’’ in this context refers to parametrised families of projections formed by constraining v to move along a one-dimensional curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$, and the restricted projection problem asks whether (1.1) still holds with a natural 1-dimensional measure replacing the surface measure \mathcal{H}^2 on S^2 .

Without curvature assumptions on γ , the inequality

$$\dim \pi_\theta(A) = \dim A, \quad \text{a.e. } \theta \in [a, b],$$

can only hold for $\dim A \leq 1$, and was proved in this range by Järvenpää, Järvenpää, Ledrappier, and Leikas [10] using classical techniques going back to Kaufman [12]. Considering the case where γ is a great circle contained in a plane $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ shows that some curvature assumptions on γ are necessary to avoid great circles. The following conjecture is due to Fässler and Orponen; ρ_θ denotes projection onto the 1-dimensional subspace through $\gamma(\theta)$.

Conjecture 1.2 ([3, Conjecture 1.6]). *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ be a C^3 curve with $\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'')$ nonvanishing, and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be an analytic set. Then*

$$\dim \rho_\theta(A) = \min\{\dim A, 1\}, \quad \text{a.e. } \theta \in [a, b],$$

and

$$\dim \pi_\theta(A) = \min\{\dim A, 2\}, \quad \text{a.e. } \theta \in [a, b].$$

Progress on the planar version of this conjecture was made by Fässler-Orponen [3], Oberlin-Oberlin [9], Orponen [13], Orponen-Venieri [14], and by the author in [5]. The condition $\dim A > 5/2$ remains the best known sufficient condition that ensures $\mathcal{H}^2(\pi_\theta(A)) > 0$ for a.e. $\theta \in [a, b]$; this is due to Oberlin-Oberlin. A comparison with some of the previous bounds, in the special case of non-great circles, is shown in Figure 1.

The improvement over [5] in Theorem 1.1 originates from Definition 4.1, which is the main novelty of this work. This definition reformulates the projection problem as an averaged inequality over collections of ‘‘bad’’ tubes. The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows a similar approach to [5] (which in turn was based on [9, 14, 4, 6]), and proceeds by splitting an integral into a good and bad part. The self-similarity inherent to the method of proof allows the bad part to be bounded by reusing Definition 4.1, which circumvents the need to appeal to the Orponen-Venieri lemma ([14, Lemma 2.3]). Since this lemma was the only step in [5] specific to great circles, this allows the proof to be generalised to curves in S^2 of nonvanishing geodesic curvature. The bound on the good part makes crucial use of the Bourgain-Demeter decoupling theorem from [1].

Section 2 outlines the wave packet decomposition needed in Section 4 and related properties. Section 3 is a proof of the refined Strichartz inequality, also needed in Section 4. Section 4 is the proof of Theorem 1.1. On a first reading, it would probably be easier to start at Section 4, and refer back to Sections 2 and 3 only as needed. Section 5 contains a discussion of some related problems.




FIGURE 1. Some of the previous a.e. lower bounds for $\dim \pi_\theta(A)$, in the special case of non-great circles, in the range $\dim A \in (1, 5/2)$.

Acknowledgements. I thank Shaoming Guo for some corrections to an earlier version of this article. I also thank Alex Barron who helped find the counterexample at the end of Section 5.

2. WAVE PACKET DECOMPOSITION

Throughout this section, assume that γ is C^2 with unit speed, that

$$(2.1) \quad |\gamma(\theta) - \gamma(\phi)| \leq 2^{-100}, \quad |\gamma'(\theta) - \gamma'(\phi)| \leq 2^{-100},$$

for all distinct $\theta, \phi \in [a, b]$, that

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma'_1 \neq 0.$$

and that

$$(2.3) \quad \det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'') > 0.$$

Write $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $c > 0$ and $c' \in (0, 1]$ be constants to be chosen later. For each $j \geq 0$, let

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta_j = \left\{ a + c2^{-j/2}l : l \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, (b-a) \cdot c^{-1}2^j] \right\}.$$

For each $k \in [0, j] \cap \mathbb{N}$, if $k < j$ then for each $\theta \in \Theta_k$, let

$$(2.5) \quad \tau^+(\theta, j, k) = \left\{ \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta) + \lambda_3 \gamma(\theta) : \right. \\ \left. 2^{j-2} \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2^{j+2}, \quad |\lambda_2| \leq c'2^{-k/2+j}, \quad -2^{-k+j+2} \leq \lambda_3 \leq -2^{-k+j-2} \right\},$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \tau^-(\theta, j, k) = \left\{ \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta) + \lambda_3 \gamma(\theta) \right. \\ \left. : -2^{j+2} \leq \lambda_1 \leq -2^{j-2}, \quad |\lambda_2| \leq c' 2^{-k/2+j}, \quad 2^{-k+j-2} \leq \lambda_3 \leq 2^{-k+j+2} \right\}.$$

If $k = j$ then for each $\theta \in \Theta_j$, let

$$(2.7) \quad \tau^+(\theta, j, j) = \left\{ \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta) + \lambda_3 \gamma(\theta) : \right. \\ \left. 2^{j-2} \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2^{j+2}, \quad |\lambda_2| \leq c' 2^{j/2}, \quad |\lambda_3| \leq 4 \right\},$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \tau^-(\theta, j, j) = \left\{ \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta) + \lambda_3 \gamma(\theta) \right. \\ \left. : -2^{j+2} \leq \lambda_1 \leq -2^{j-2}, \quad |\lambda_2| \leq c' 2^{j/2}, \quad |\lambda_3| \leq 4 \right\}.$$

Let

$$\Lambda_{j,k}^+ = \{ \tau^+(\theta, j, k) : \theta \in \Theta_k \}, \quad \Lambda_{j,k}^- = \{ \tau^-(\theta, j, k) : \theta \in \Theta_k \}, \\ \Lambda_{j,k} = \Lambda_{j,k}^+ \cup \Lambda_{j,k}^-,$$

and

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^j \Lambda_{j,k}.$$

Given $\delta > 0$, for each j, k and each $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k}$ let

$$\mathbb{T}_\tau = \mathbb{T}_\tau^\delta = \left\{ T = a\gamma(\theta_\tau) + b\gamma'(\theta_\tau) + c(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) + \right. \\ \left\{ \lambda_1 \gamma(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_3 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) : |\lambda_2|, |\lambda_3| \leq 2^{k/2-j+k\delta}, \quad |\lambda_1| \leq 2^{k-j+k\delta} \right\} \\ \left. b, c \in 2^{-10-j+k/2+k\delta} \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad a \in 2^{-10+k-j+k\delta} \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Given $J \geq 0$ let

$$\Lambda^J = \bigcup_{j \geq J} \bigcup_{J \leq k \leq j} \Lambda_{j,k},$$

and

$$\Lambda^{J,o} = \bigcup_{j > J} \bigcup_{J < k \leq j} \left\{ \tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} : \text{dist}(\theta_\tau : \{a, b\}) > c 2^{-k/2}(b-a) \right\}.$$

For any $\tau \in \Lambda$ and constant $C \geq 0$, let $C\tau$ be the box with the same centre as τ but with side lengths scaled by C .

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a constant C , which may depend on γ but not c' , such that if $\tau_1 \in \Lambda_{j_1, k_1}$, $\tau_2 \in \Lambda_{j_2, k_2}$, and*

$$(2.9) \quad 1.01\tau_1 \cap 1.01\tau_2 \neq \emptyset,$$

then

$$(2.10) \quad |j_1 - j_2| + |k_1 - k_2| \leq C,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad |\theta_{\tau_1} - \theta_{\tau_2}| \leq C 2^{-k_1/2},$$

and such that if $\tau_1 \in \Lambda_{j_1, k_1}^+$ and Λ_{j_2, k_2}^- , or if $\tau_1 \in \Lambda_{j_1, k_1}^-$ and Λ_{j_2, k_2}^+ , then

$$(2.12) \quad k_1 + k_2 \leq C.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $K = K(c')$ such that if $k_1, k_2 \geq K$ and (2.9) holds, then

$$(2.13) \quad |\theta_{\tau_1} - \theta_{\tau_2}| \leq Cc'2^{-k_1/2}.$$

Proof. If $1.01\tau_1 \cap 1.01\tau_2 \neq \emptyset$, let

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_1}) + \lambda_2 \gamma' (\theta_{\tau_1}) + \lambda_3 \gamma (\theta_{\tau_1}) \\ = \mu_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_2}) + \mu_2 \gamma' (\theta_{\tau_2}) + \mu_3 \gamma (\theta_{\tau_2}), \end{aligned}$$

be a point in the intersection, where each side satisfies the conditions in any of (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) or (2.8), multiplied by the factor 1.01. Then

$$(2.15) \quad |j_1 - j_2| \leq 6.$$

by comparing norms on either side. By symmetry it may be assumed that $k_1 \leq k_2$. If $\text{sgn } \lambda_1 \neq \text{sgn } \mu_1$, then by (2.1),

$$|\lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_1}) + \lambda_2 \gamma' (\theta_{\tau_1}) + \lambda_3 \gamma (\theta_{\tau_1}) - \mu_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_2})| \gtrsim 2^{j_1},$$

and therefore $k_2 \lesssim 1$ by (2.14) and the triangle inequality. This proves the lemma if $\text{sgn } \lambda_1 \neq \text{sgn } \mu_1$, so assume that $\text{sgn } \lambda_1 = \text{sgn } \mu_1$. By (2.14) and (2.15),

$$(2.16) \quad |\lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_1}) - \mu_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_2})| \lesssim 2^{j_1 - k_1/2}.$$

The inequality

$$|v - \lambda w| \geq |v - w|/2 \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad \forall v, w \in S^2 \text{ with } \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0,$$

together with (2.1), (2.16) and the assumption $\text{sgn } \lambda_1 = \text{sgn } \mu_1$, gives

$$(2.17) \quad |(\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_1}) - (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_2})| \lesssim 2^{-k_1/2}.$$

By (2.2), (2.17), the mean value theorem and the identity

$$(\gamma \times \gamma')' = -\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'') \gamma',$$

it follows that

$$(2.18) \quad |\theta_{\tau_1} - \theta_{\tau_2}| \lesssim 2^{-k_1/2}.$$

If $k_1 = j_1$ then the first part of the lemma follows, so assume that $k_1 < j_1$. By the generalised mean value theorem and the assumption that γ is C^2 ,

$$\gamma(\theta) = \gamma(\theta_{\tau_2}) + (\theta - \theta_{\tau_2}) \gamma'(\theta_{\tau_2}) + \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_{\tau_2})^2 \gamma''(\theta_{\tau_2}) + o(|\theta - \theta_{\tau_2}|^2)$$

for any $\theta \in [a, b]$, where the rate of decay to zero in the error term is uniform. It follows that

$$\langle \gamma(\theta), (\gamma \times \gamma') (\theta_{\tau_2}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_{\tau_2})^2 \det(\gamma(\theta_{\tau_2}), \gamma'(\theta_{\tau_2}), \gamma''(\theta_{\tau_2})) + o(|\theta - \theta_{\tau_2}|^2).$$

Using (2.14) and (2.18) gives

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_3 = O\left(2^{j_2 - k_1/2 - k_2/2}\right) + \frac{\mu_1}{2} (\theta_{\tau_1} - \theta_{\tau_2})^2 \det(\gamma(\theta_{\tau_2}), \gamma'(\theta_{\tau_2}), \gamma''(\theta_{\tau_2})) \\ + \mu_1 o\left(|\theta_{\tau_1} - \theta_{\tau_2}|^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\lambda_3| \gtrsim |2^{j_1 - k_1}|$ and $\text{sgn } \lambda_3 = -\text{sgn } \lambda_1 = -\text{sgn } \mu_1$, this implies that $k_2 \lesssim k_1$, and therefore $|k_1 - k_2| \lesssim 1$. The proof of the final statement in the lemma is similar to the proof of (2.18). \square

Lemma 2.3. *There exist constants $c > 0$, $c' \in (0, 1]$ and $J_1 \geq 0$ such that for all $J \geq J_1$, there is a partition of unity $\{\psi_\tau\}_{\tau \in \Lambda^J}$ subordinate to the cover $\{1.01\tau : \tau \in \Lambda^J\}$ of*

$$\bigcup_{\tau \in \Lambda^{J,o}} \tau,$$

such that for each $\tau \in \Lambda^{J,o}$, the function ψ_τ is smooth and satisfies

$$(2.20) \quad \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^l \psi_\tau(x + tv) \right| \lesssim_l |2^{-j} \langle v, (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) \rangle|^l \\ + |2^{-j+k/2} \langle v, \gamma'(\theta_\tau) \rangle|^l + |2^{k-j} \langle v, \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle|^l,$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Given any $\delta > 0$, for every $\tau \in \Lambda$ there exists a smooth partition of unity $\{\eta_T\}_{T \in \mathbb{T}_\tau}$ subordinate to the cover $\mathbb{T}_\tau = \mathbb{T}_\tau^\delta$ of \mathbb{R}^3 , such that

$$(2.21) \quad \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^l \eta_T(x + tv) \right| \lesssim_l |2^{j-k-k\delta} \langle v, \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle|^l \\ + |2^{j-k/2-k\delta} \langle v, \gamma'(\theta_\tau) \rangle|^l + |2^{j-k/2-k\delta} \langle v, (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) \rangle|^l,$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $T \in \mathbb{T}_\tau$.

Proof. By translating and rescaling a fixed bump function on the unit cube, for each $\tau \in \Lambda$ let f_τ be a smooth bump function which is equal to 1 on τ , nonzero in the interior of 1.01τ , with $f_\tau \geq 1/100$ on 1.009τ and with $f_\tau = 0$ outside 1.01τ . For $J \geq 0$ to be chosen in a moment, if $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \cap \Lambda^J$ with $k < j$, let

$$(2.22) \quad \psi_\tau(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_\tau(x)}{\sum_{\tau' \in \Lambda^J} f_{\tau'}(x)} & x \in (1.01\tau)^o \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (1.01\tau)^o. \end{cases}$$

If $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,j} \cap \Lambda^J$, let

$$(2.23) \quad \psi_\tau(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_\tau(x)g_\tau(x)}{\sum_{\tau' \in \Lambda} f_{\tau'}(x)} & x \in (1.01\tau)^o \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (1.01\tau)^o, \end{cases}$$

where if $\tau \in \Lambda^+$,

$$g_\tau(x) = g_0(4.005 + \langle x, \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle),$$

and if $\tau \in \Lambda^-$,

$$g_\tau(x) = g_0(4.005 - \langle x, \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle),$$

and where g_0 is a bump function on \mathbb{R} , independent of τ , j and k , with $0 \leq g_0 \leq 1$, $g_0(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1/1000$ and $g(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$. Choose J_1 large enough and c' small enough to ensure that if $\tau \in \Lambda^J$ and if $\tau' \in \Lambda \cap \Lambda_{j,j}$ is such that $1.01\tau \cap 1.01\tau' \neq \emptyset$, then $g_{\tau'}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \tau \cap (1.01)\tau'$; such a choice of J_1 and c' exists by the angle condition (2.13) in Lemma 2.2. By this choice, $\sum_{\tau \in \Lambda^J} \psi_\tau(x) = 1$ for $x \in \bigcup_{\tau \in \Lambda^J} \tau$.

If the absolute constant c in (2.4) is small enough, and if J is large enough, then for any $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \cap \Lambda^{J,o}$ with $k < j$,

$$(2.24) \quad (1.01\tau) \subseteq \bigcup_{\tau' \in \Lambda^J} \tau'.$$

For instance, if $\tau \in \Lambda^+$ this follows from the following argument. Given

$$\begin{aligned} 1.01\tau \ni x &= \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_3 \gamma(\theta_\tau) \\ &= \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') \left(\theta_\tau + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 \det(\gamma(\theta_\tau), \gamma'(\theta_\tau), \gamma''(\theta_\tau))} \right) + O(2^{j-k}), \end{aligned}$$

let $\theta' = \theta_\tau + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$. Choose j' such that $2^{j'-1} \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2^{j'+1}$, and choose k' such that

$$(2.25) \quad 2^{j'-k'} \leq \text{dist}(x, \text{span}\{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta'), \gamma'(\theta')\}) \leq 2^{j'-k'+1}.$$

If J is sufficiently large and c' is sufficiently small, this implies that $|k - k'| \lesssim 1$, $k' \geq 0$, and that

$$\langle x, (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta') \rangle < 0.$$

If $k' \leq j'$, choose $\theta_{\tau'} \in \Theta_{k'}$ such that

$$|\theta' - \theta_{\tau'}| \leq c(b-a)2^{-k'/2}.$$

Then (provided c is sufficiently small and J_1 is sufficiently large),

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{j'-2} &\leq \langle x, (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle \leq 2^{j'+2}, \\ |\langle x, \gamma'(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle| &\leq c'2^{j'-k'/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$-2^{j'-k'+2} \leq \langle x, \gamma(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle \leq -2^{j'-k'-2}.$$

By letting $\tau' \in \Lambda_{j',k'} \cap \Lambda^+$ be the cap corresponding to angle $\theta_{\tau'}$, this proves (2.24). If $k' \geq j'$, the above argument still works by taking $\theta_{\tau'} \in \Theta_{j'}$ instead. The covering property in (2.24) implies that the denominator in the definition of ψ_τ in (2.22) is bounded away from zero on the support of the numerator, and therefore (by Lemma 2.2) ψ_τ is smooth and satisfies the inequalities in (2.20) whenever $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \cap \Lambda^{J,o}$ with $k < j$. This proves the first part of the lemma, except for the case $k = j$.

For the case $k = j$, if the constant c in (2.4) is small enough, and if J_1 is large enough, then for any $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,j} \cap \Lambda^{J,o}$,

$$(2.26) \quad (1.01\tau) \setminus \{x : \langle x, \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle > 4.005\} \subseteq \bigcup_{\tau' \in \Lambda^J} 1.009\tau'.$$

To see this, given

$$\begin{aligned} (1.01\tau) \setminus \{x : \langle x, \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle > 4.005\} \ni x &= \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_3 \gamma(\theta_\tau) \\ &= \lambda_1 (\gamma \times \gamma') \left(\theta_\tau + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 \det(\gamma(\theta_\tau), \gamma'(\theta_\tau), \gamma''(\theta_\tau))} \right) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

let $\theta' = \theta_\tau + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$. Choose j' such that $2^{j'-1} \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2^{j'+1}$. If

$$(2.27) \quad -4 \leq \langle x, \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle \leq 4.005,$$

then let $k' = j'$ and choose $\theta_{\tau'} \in \Theta_{j'}$ such that

$$|\theta' - \theta_{\tau'}| \leq c(b-a)2^{-j'/2}.$$

Then (provided c is sufficiently small and J_1 is sufficiently large),

$$2^{j'-2} \leq \langle x, (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle \leq 2^{j'+2},$$

$$|\langle x, \gamma'(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle| \leq c'2^{j'/2},$$

and

$$-4.036 \leq \langle x, \gamma(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle \leq 4.036.$$

If (2.27) does not hold, then

$$-4.04 \leq \langle x, \gamma(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle \leq -4,$$

In this case, let $k' = j' - 1$, and choose $\theta_{\tau'} \in \Theta_{k'}$ such that

$$|\theta' - \theta_{\tau'}| \leq c(b-a)2^{-k'/2}.$$

Then (provided c is sufficiently small and J_1 is sufficiently large),

$$2^{j'-2} \leq \langle x, (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle \leq 2^{j'+2},$$

$$|\langle x, \gamma'(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle| \leq c'2^{j'-k'/2},$$

and

$$-2^{j'-k'+2} \leq \langle x, \gamma(\theta_{\tau'}) \rangle \leq -2^{j'-k'-2}.$$

In either case, by letting $\tau' \in \Lambda_{j',k'} \cap \Lambda^+$ be the cap corresponding to angle $\theta_{\tau'}$, this proves (2.26). This implies that the denominator in the definition of ψ_{τ} in (2.23) is bounded away from zero on the support of the numerator, and therefore (by Lemma 2.2) ψ_{τ} is smooth and satisfies (2.20) whenever $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,j} \cap \Lambda^{J,\sigma}$.

The second part of the lemma is straightforward. \square

Definition 2.4. Let $\delta > 0$. Given a box $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k}$ for some $j \geq 0$ and $k \in [0, j] \cap \mathbb{N}$, and $T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau} = \mathbb{T}_{\tau}^{\delta}$, define

$$M_T f = \eta_T \left(f * \widetilde{\psi}_{\tau} \right),$$

for each Schwartz function f .

Let ϕ be a smooth bump function equal to 1 on $B(0, 1)$ which vanishes outside $B(0, 2)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $j_0, J \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\phi_{j_0}(x) = 2^{3j_0} \phi(2^{j_0} x),$$

and let $\epsilon, \delta, \alpha'_0 > 0$. Then for any finite Borel measure μ on $B_3(0, 1)$, and any J sufficiently large, there is a decomposition

$$\mu * \phi_{j_0} = \mu_g + \mu_b,$$

where

$$\mu_g = \mu_{g, j_0, J, \alpha, \epsilon, \delta, \alpha'_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_b = \mu_{b, j_0, J, \alpha, \epsilon, \delta, \alpha'_0},$$

are complex-valued continuous functions supported in $B_3(0, 2^{J\delta})$, and

$$(2.28) \quad \mu_b = \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in [j\epsilon, j]} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k}} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b}} M_T (\mu * \phi_{j_0}),$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}_{\tau,b} = \left\{ T \in \mathbb{T}_\tau : \mu(4T) \geq 2^{10-k\alpha'_0/2-\alpha(j-k)} \right\}, \quad \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} = \mathbb{T}_\tau \setminus \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b},$$

and the sum in (2.28) converges in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. Most of the lemma follows by defining μ_b as in (2.28) and by defining $\mu_g = \mu * \phi_{j_0} - \mu_b$; the only nontrivial thing to check is that the sum in (2.28) converges in L^∞ . For this it suffices to show that for any T with $\tau(T) \in \Lambda_{j,k}$ and $j \geq j_0$, for any $N \geq 0$,

$$\|M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim_N 2^{(j_0-j)N}.$$

By Hausdorff-Young, the definition of M_T , and the assumption that μ is finite, it suffices to show that

$$(2.29) \quad \left\| \psi_\tau \cdot \widehat{\phi_{j_0}} \right\|_1 \lesssim_N 2^{(j_0-j)N}.$$

By the Schwartz decay of ϕ ,

$$\left\| \psi_\tau \cdot \widehat{\phi_{j_0}} \right\|_1 \leq \left\| \widehat{\phi_{j_0}} \right\|_{L^1(1.01\tau)} \lesssim_N \frac{m(\tau)}{2^{(j-j_0)N}}.$$

By replacing N with $2N$, this gives (2.29) and proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.6. *If J is sufficiently large, $j \leq 2j_0$ and $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \cap \Lambda^{J,o}$, then*

$$\|M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})\|_1 \lesssim_N 2^{j\delta} \mu(2T) + 2^{-jN} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

for any $T \in \mathbb{T}_\tau$ and any $N \geq 1$.

Proof. By the definition of $M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_T \mu\|_1 &\leq \int_{1.5T} \left[\int_{y+2^{j\delta}\widehat{\tau}} \left| \widetilde{\psi}_\tau(x-y) \right| dx \right] d(\mu * \phi_{j_0})(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{1.5T} \left[\int_{T \setminus (y+2^{j\delta}\widehat{\tau})} \left| \widetilde{\psi}_\tau(x-y) \right| dx \right] d(\mu * \phi_{j_0})(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (1.5T)} \int_T \left| \widetilde{\psi}_\tau(x-y) \right| dx d(\mu * \phi_{j_0})(y). \end{aligned}$$

The first integral is $\lesssim 2^{j\delta} (\mu * \phi_{j_0})(1.5T)$. The second is $\lesssim_N 2^{-jN} (\mu * \phi_{j_0})(1.5T)$ by Lemma 2.3 and repeated integration by parts. The third integral is

$$\lesssim_{N'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (1.5T)} \text{dist}(x, T)^{-N'} d\mu(y) \lesssim 2^{-jN} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

if N' is sufficiently large; by Lemma 2.3 and repeated integration by parts. \square

Lemma 2.7. *If $\theta \in [a, b]$, $\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k}$ and*

$$(2.30) \quad |\theta - \theta_\tau| \geq 2^{-k(1/2-\delta)},$$

then for k sufficiently large, for any $N \geq 1$, $T \in \mathbb{T}_\tau$ and for any smooth function f supported in $B_3(0, 1)$,

$$\|\pi_{\theta\#} M_T f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathcal{H}^2)} \lesssim_N 2^{-kN} \|f\|_1.$$

Proof. By identifying the complex measure $\pi_{\theta\#}M_T f$ with its Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to \mathcal{H}^2 ,

$$\pi_{\theta\#}M_T f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_\tau(\xi) e^{2\pi i \langle \xi, x-y \rangle} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_T(x+t\gamma(\theta)) e^{2\pi i t \langle \xi, \gamma(\theta) \rangle} dt \right] d\xi \right] dy,$$

for any $x \in \gamma(\theta)^\perp$. Therefore, it will suffice to show that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_T(x+t\gamma(\theta)) e^{2\pi i t \langle \xi, \gamma(\theta) \rangle} dt \right| \lesssim_N 2^{-kN}, \quad \xi \in \tau, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

By repeated integration by parts, it suffices to show that

$$(2.31) \quad \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^l \eta_T(x+t\gamma(\theta)) \right| \lesssim 2^{-lk\delta} |\langle \xi, \gamma(\theta) \rangle|^l.$$

Define $\varepsilon = |\theta - \theta_\tau|$. The assumed lower bound (2.30) on ε and the assumption that γ is C^2 with $\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'')$ nonvanishing yields

$$|\langle \xi, \gamma(\theta) \rangle| \gtrsim 2^j \varepsilon^2, \quad \xi \in \tau,$$

provided k is sufficiently large. Hence to prove (2.31) it suffices to show that

$$\left| \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^l \eta_T(x+t\gamma(\theta)) \right| \lesssim (2^{j-k\delta} \varepsilon^2)^l.$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^l \eta_T(x+t\gamma(\theta)) \right| \\ & \leq |\langle \gamma(\theta), \gamma(\theta_\tau) \rangle 2^{j-k-k\delta}|^l + |\langle \gamma(\theta), (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) \rangle 2^{j-k/2-k\delta}|^l \\ & \quad + |\langle \gamma(\theta), \gamma'(\theta_\tau) \rangle 2^{j-k/2-k\delta}|^l \\ & \lesssim (2^{j-k-k\delta})^l + (\varepsilon^2 2^{j-k/2-k\delta})^l + (\varepsilon 2^{j-k/2-k\delta})^l \\ & \lesssim (\varepsilon^2 2^{j-k\delta})^l, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the assumed lower bound (2.30) on ε . \square

3. REFINED STRICHARTZ INEQUALITY

Definition 3.1. Given a C^3 curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ and $R \geq 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{R^{-1/2}}(\Gamma(\gamma)) = & \left\{ \tau = \left\{ x_1 \gamma(\theta_\tau) + x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} + x_3 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)|} : \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. 1 \leq x_1 \leq 2, |x_2| \leq R^{-1/2}, |x_3| \leq R^{-1} \right\} : \theta_\tau \in [a, b] \cap \frac{1}{1000 \|\gamma\|_{C^3[a,b]} R^{1/2}} \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\delta > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{P}_{R^{-1}}(\Gamma(\gamma))$, let

$$\mathbb{T}_\tau = \left\{ T = a\gamma(\theta_\tau) + b\frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} + \left\{ x_1 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)|} + x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} + x_3 \gamma(\theta_\tau) : \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. |x_1| \leq R^{1+\delta}, |x_2| \leq R^{1/2+\delta}, |x_3| \leq R^{1/2+\delta} \right\} : (a, b) \in (1/10)R^{1/2+\delta}\mathbb{Z}^2 \right\}.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{T}_R = \mathbb{T}_R^\delta = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}_{R^{-1}}(\Gamma(\gamma))} \mathbb{T}_\tau.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\gamma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow S^2$ be a C^3 curve with $\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'') \neq 0$. Let $B \geq 1$ be such that*

$$(3.1) \quad |\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'')| \geq B^{-1},$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \|\gamma\|_{C^3[-1,1]} \leq B.$$

Then there exists $A > 0$ such that for any $\delta, \epsilon > 0$, the following holds. Let $R \geq 1$ and

$$f = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T,$$

where $\mathbb{W} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_R$, with

$$(3.3) \quad \|f_T\|_{L^\infty(B(0,R)\setminus T)} + \left\| \widehat{f_T} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \tau(T))} \leq AR^{-10000} \|f_T\|_2, \quad \forall q \in [1, 2].$$

Suppose that $\|f_T\|_2$ is constant over $T \in \mathbb{W}$ up to a factor of 2. Let Y be a union of disjoint $R^{1/2}$ -balls in $B(0, R)$, each of which intersects at most M sets $2T$ with $T \in \mathbb{W}$. Then for $2 \leq p \leq 6$,

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Y)} \leq C_{\epsilon, \delta} B^{1000/\epsilon} R^\epsilon \left(\frac{MR^{-3/2}}{|\mathbb{W}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Given $\delta, \epsilon > 0$, assume inductively that the theorem holds for all $\tilde{R} \leq R^{3/4}$, for all $B \geq 1$ and all curves γ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), with a choice of A to be specified later.

By dyadic pigeonholing, there exists a subset $Y' \subseteq Y$, consisting of balls $Q \subseteq Y$ such that $\|f\|_{L^p(Q)}$ is constant over $Q \subseteq Y'$ up to a factor of 2, and

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Y)} \leq 10^{10} \log R \|f\|_{L^p(Y')} + R^{-1000} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For each $\tau \in \mathcal{P}_{R^{-1/2}}(\Gamma(\gamma))$, let $\kappa = \kappa(\tau) \in \mathcal{P}_{R^{-1/4}}(\Gamma(\gamma))$ be the element of $\mathcal{P}_{R^{-1/4}}(\Gamma(\gamma))$ which minimises $|\theta_\tau - \theta_\kappa|$.

For each κ , let

$$\mathbb{P}_\kappa = \left\{ \square = a\gamma(\theta_\kappa) + b\frac{\gamma'(\theta_\kappa)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\kappa)|} + \left\{ x_1 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\kappa)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\kappa)|} + x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\kappa)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\kappa)|} + x_3 \gamma(\theta_\kappa) : \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. |x_1| \leq R^{1+\delta}, |x_2| \leq R^{3/4+\delta}, |x_3| \leq R^{1/2+\delta} \right\} \right. \\ \left. : a \in (1/10)R^{1/2+\delta}\mathbb{Z}, b \in (1/10)R^{3/4+\delta}\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Given any τ and corresponding $\kappa = \kappa(\tau)$,

$$(3.4) \quad | \langle (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau), \gamma'(\theta_\kappa) \rangle | \leq B^2 R^{-1/4},$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad | \langle (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau), \gamma(\theta_\kappa) \rangle | \leq B^3 R^{-1/2}.$$

It follows that for each $T \in \mathbb{T}_\tau$, there are $\sim_B 1$ sets $\square \in \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(\tau)}$ with $T \cap \square \neq \emptyset$. For each T let $\square = \square(T) \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ be some choice such that $T \cap \square \neq \emptyset$. For each τ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_\tau = \left\{ S = a\gamma(\theta_\tau) + b \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} + \left\{ x_1 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)|} + x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} + x_3 \gamma(\theta_\tau) : \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. |x_1| \leq R^{1+\delta}, |x_2| \leq R^{1/2+\delta}, |x_3| \leq R^{1/4+\delta} \right\} \right. \\ \left. : a \in (1/10)R^{1/4+\delta}\mathbb{Z}, b \in (1/10)R^{1/2+\delta}\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each \square and T with $\square = \square(T)$, let $f_S = f_{S,T} := \eta_S f_T$, where the functions η_S form a smooth partition of unity such that

$$\|\eta_S\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S)} \leq CR^{-10000},$$

with $\widehat{\eta_S}$ supported in the set

$$\left\{ x_1 \gamma(\theta_\tau) + x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} + x_3 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)|} : |x_1| \leq 1, |x_2| \leq R^{-1/2}, |x_3| \leq R^{-1} \right\},$$

This partition can be constructed using the Poisson summation formula. By dyadic pigeonholing and by (3.3), there are dyadic numbers c and μ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Y')} \lesssim (\log R)^2 \left\| \sum_{\square} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y')} + R^{-1000} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where, for each \square , \mathbb{W}_\square is a subset of

$$\{(S, T) : \square = \square(T), \quad S \in \mathbb{S}_{\tau(T)}, \quad \|\eta_S f_T\|_2 \in [c, 2c]\},$$

with the property that for any $(S_0, T_0) \in \mathbb{W}_\square$,

$$|\{(S, T_0) \in \mathbb{W}_\square\}| \in [\mu, 2\mu],$$

and with the property that

$$(S, T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square \Rightarrow S \cap T \neq \emptyset.$$

For each \square , let $\{Q_\square\}_{Q_\square}$ be a $\lesssim 1$ overlapping cover of \square by ellipsoids of the form

$$\begin{aligned} v + \left\{ \lambda_1 \gamma(\theta_\kappa) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta_\kappa) + \lambda_3 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\kappa) \right. \\ \left. : \left(|\lambda_1|^2 + (|\lambda_2| R^{-1/4})^2 + (|\lambda_3| R^{-1/2})^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq R^{1/4+\delta} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = \kappa(\square)$. Using Poisson summation again, let $\{\eta_{Q_\square}\}_{Q_\square}$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{Q_\square\}_{Q_\square}$ of \square , such that each function η_{Q_\square} satisfies

$$\|\eta_{Q_\square}\|_\infty \lesssim 1, \quad \|\eta_{Q_\square}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Q_\square)} \leq CR^{-10000},$$

and

$$|\eta_{Q_\square}(x)| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(x, Q_\square)^{-10000} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

with $\widehat{\eta_{Q_\square}}$ supported in

$$\left\{ \lambda_1 \gamma(\theta_\kappa) + \lambda_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\kappa)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\kappa)|} + \lambda_3 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\kappa)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\kappa)|} \right. \\ \left. : |\lambda_1| \leq R^{-1/4}, \quad |\lambda_2| \leq R^{-1/2}, \quad |\lambda_3| \leq R^{-3/4} \right\}.$$

By dyadic pigeonholing again,

$$\left\| \sum_{\square} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y')} \lesssim \log R \left\| \sum_{\square} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \eta_{Y_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y')},$$

where, for each \square , Y_\square is a union over a subset of the sets Q_\square , and η_{Y_\square} is a sum over η_{Q_\square} , such that each $Q_\square \subseteq Y_\square$ intersects a number $\# \in [M'(\square), 2M'(\square)]$ different sets $2S$ with $(S, T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square$, up to a factor of 2. By pigeonholing again,

$$\left\| \sum_{\square} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \eta_{Y_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y')} \lesssim (\log R)^2 \left\| \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \eta_{Y_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y')},$$

where $M' = M'(\square)$ and $|\mathbb{W}_\square|$ are constant over $\square \in \mathbb{B}$ up to a factor of 2. By further pigeonholing,

$$\left\| \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \eta_{Y_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y')} \lesssim \log R \left\| \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \eta_{Y_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y'')},$$

where Y'' is a union over cubes $Q \subseteq Y$ such that each cube $2Q$ intersects a number $\# \in [M'', 2M'']$ of the sets Y_\square in a set of positive measure as \square varies over \mathbb{B} . By the decoupling theorem (see [2, Exercise 12.5]),

$$\left\| \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \eta_{Y_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Q)} \\ \leq C_{\epsilon, B} R^{\epsilon/100} (M'')^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \eta_{Y_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(2Q)}^p \right)^{1/p} \\ + R^{-900} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Summing over Q gives

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim (\log R)^{100} R^{\epsilon/100} (M'')^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \left\| \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y_\square)}^p \right)^{1/p} + R^{-800} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For each $\theta \in [-1, 1]$, define the Lorentz rescaling map $L = L_\theta$ at θ by

$$\begin{aligned} L \left[x_1 \gamma(\theta) + x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta)}{|\gamma'(\theta)|} + x_3 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)|} \right] \\ = x_1 \gamma(\theta) + R^{1/4} x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta)}{|\gamma'(\theta)|} + R^{1/2} x_3 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\phi) = \frac{L(\gamma(\phi))}{|L(\gamma(\phi))|}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\gamma}'(\phi) = \frac{\pi_{\tilde{\gamma}(\phi)^\perp}(L(\gamma'(\phi)))}{|L(\gamma(\phi))|},$$

and

$$\tilde{\gamma}''(\phi) = \frac{\pi_{\tilde{\gamma}(\phi)^\perp}(L(\gamma''(\phi)))}{|L(\gamma(\phi))|} - \frac{\langle L(\gamma(\theta)), L(\gamma'(\theta)) \rangle \pi_{\tilde{\gamma}(\phi)^\perp}(L(\gamma'(\phi)))}{|L(\gamma(\phi))|^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma}', \tilde{\gamma}'') &= \frac{1}{|L \circ \gamma|^3} \det(L \circ \gamma, L \circ \gamma', L \circ \gamma'') \\ &= \frac{R^{3/4}}{|L \circ \gamma|^3} \det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma''). \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ to be chosen, let

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{\gamma}(\phi) = \tilde{\gamma}(\theta + R^{-1/4}\phi), \quad \phi \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon].$$

The assumption $\|\gamma\|_{C^3[-1,1]} \leq B$ implies that

$$1 \leq |L(\gamma(\phi))| \leq 1 + 10B^2\varepsilon, \quad \forall \phi \in [\theta - \varepsilon R^{-1/4}, \theta + \varepsilon R^{-1/4}].$$

Similarly,

$$\left| L(\gamma'(\phi)) - R^{1/4}\gamma'(\theta) \right| \leq 10\varepsilon BR^{1/4}, \quad \forall \phi \in [\theta - \varepsilon R^{-1/4}, \theta + \varepsilon R^{-1/4}],$$

$$|L(\gamma''(\phi)) - L(\gamma''(\theta))| \leq 10\varepsilon BR^{1/2}, \quad \forall \phi \in [\theta - \varepsilon R^{-1/4}, \theta + \varepsilon R^{-1/4}],$$

and

$$|L\gamma'''(\phi)| \leq 10BR^{1/2}, \quad \forall \phi \in [\theta - \varepsilon R^{-1/4}, \theta + \varepsilon R^{-1/4}].$$

It follows that $\|\hat{\gamma}\|_{C^3[-1,1]} \leq 2B$ and that

$$|\det(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}', \hat{\gamma}'')| \geq (2B)^{-1},$$

provided $\varepsilon < (10000B^{10})^{-1}$.

For each \square , given $(S, T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square$, let $g_{S,T} = f_{S,T} \circ L$, where $L = L_{\theta_{\kappa(\square)}}$. Then

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} f_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(Y_\square)} \leq R^{\frac{3}{4p}} \left\| \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} g_{S,T} \right\|_{L^p(L^{-1}Y_\square)}.$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that each $L^{-1}(S)$ has diameter $\lesssim B^2 R^{1/4+\delta}$. Moreover,

$$(3.8) \quad L(\tau) \subseteq \left\{ x_1 \tilde{\gamma}(\theta_\tau) + x_2 \frac{\tilde{\gamma}'(\theta_\tau)}{|\tilde{\gamma}'(\theta_\tau)|} + x_3 \frac{(\tilde{\gamma} \times \tilde{\gamma}')(\theta_\tau)}{|(\tilde{\gamma} \times \tilde{\gamma}')(\theta_\tau)|} : 1 - \frac{1}{100} \leq x_1 \leq 2 + \frac{1}{100}, |x_2| \leq 100R^{-1/4}, |x_3| \leq 100R^{-1/2} \right\}.$$

To see this, let

$$x = x_1 \gamma(\theta_\tau) + x_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} + x_3 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)|},$$

where

$$x_1 \in [1, 2], \quad |x_2| \lesssim R^{-1/2}, \quad |x_3| \lesssim R^{-1}.$$

The vector $(\tilde{\gamma} \times \tilde{\gamma}')(\theta_\tau)$ is parallel to $L^{-1}((\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau))$, since $L^{-1}((\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau))$ is orthogonal to $\tilde{\gamma}(\theta_\tau)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}'(\theta_\tau)$. The lower bound

$$|L^{-1}((\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau))| \gtrsim R^{-1/2} |(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)|,$$

gives

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \left\langle Lx, \frac{L^{-1}((\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau))}{|L^{-1}((\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau))|} \right\rangle \right| \lesssim R^{-1/2}.$$

Moreover,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle Lx, \frac{\pi_{L(\gamma(\theta_\tau))^\perp}(L(\gamma'(\theta_\tau)))}{|\pi_{L(\gamma(\theta_\tau))^\perp}(L(\gamma'(\theta_\tau)))|} \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \left\langle x_2 L \left(\frac{\gamma'(\theta_\tau)}{|\gamma'(\theta_\tau)|} \right) + x_3 L \left(\frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau)|} \right), \frac{\pi_{L(\gamma(\theta_\tau))^\perp}(L(\gamma'(\theta_\tau)))}{|\pi_{L(\gamma(\theta_\tau))^\perp}(L(\gamma'(\theta_\tau)))|} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\lesssim R^{-1/4}, \end{aligned}$$

since $|\gamma'(\theta_\tau) - \gamma'(\theta_\kappa)| \lesssim R^{-1/4}$. For the direction $L(\gamma(\theta_\tau))$,

$$|L(\gamma'(\theta_\tau))| \lesssim R^{1/4}, \quad |L((\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau))| \lesssim R^{1/2},$$

and hence by (3.6),

$$(3.11) \quad \left\langle Lx, \frac{L(\gamma(\theta_\tau))}{|L(\gamma(\theta_\tau))|} \right\rangle = x_1 + O(R^{-1/4}).$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) gives (3.8).

Inductively applying the theorem at scale $R^{1/2}$ therefore gives

$$(3.7) \lesssim C_{\epsilon,\delta} B^{1000/\epsilon} R^{\epsilon/2} R^{3/(4p)} \left(\frac{M' R^{-3/4}}{|\mathbb{W}_\square|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \|g_{S,T}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= C_{\epsilon,\delta} B^{1000/\epsilon} R^{\epsilon/2} \left(\frac{M' R^{-3/2}}{|\mathbb{W}_\square|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \|f_{S,T}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Y)}$$

$$\leq C_{\epsilon,\delta} B^{1000/\epsilon} R^{3\epsilon/4} \left(\frac{M' M'' R^{-3/2}}{|\mathbb{W}_\square|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \left(\sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \|f_{S,T}\|_2^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Using $\|f_{S,T}\|_2^2 \lesssim \|f_T\|_2^2/\mu$, this is

$$\lesssim C_{\epsilon,\delta} B^{1000/\epsilon} R^{3\epsilon/4} \left(\frac{M' M'' R^{-3/2}}{|\mathbb{W}| \mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}| |\mathbb{W}_\square|}{|\mathbb{W}| \mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The second bracketed term is $\lesssim 1$, since

$$|\mathbb{W}| \mu = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \mu \geq \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{W}: \\ \square = \square(T)}} \mu \sim \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{W}: \\ \square = \square(T)}} \sum_{\substack{(S,T') \in \mathbb{W}_\square: \\ T'=T}} 1 = |\mathbb{B}| |\mathbb{W}_\square|.$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{L^p(Y)} \lesssim C_{\epsilon,\delta} B^{1000/\epsilon} R^{3\epsilon/4} \left(\frac{M' M'' R^{-3/2}}{|\mathbb{W}| \mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

It remains to show that $M' M'' \lesssim \mu M$. Let $Q \subseteq Y''$. Then

$$\mu M \gtrsim \sum_{\substack{T: \\ 2T \cap Q \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{\square \in \mathbb{B} \\ \square = \square(T)}} \sum_{\substack{(S,T') \in \mathbb{W}_\square \\ T'=T}} 1$$

$$\gtrsim \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{\substack{T: \\ \square = \square(T) \\ 2T \cap Q \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{(S,T') \in \mathbb{W}_\square \\ T'=T}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{\substack{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square \\ 2T \cap Q \neq \emptyset}} 1.$$

Hence

$$M' M'' = \sum_{\substack{\square \in \mathbb{B} \\ m(2Q \cap Y_\square) > 0}} M'$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\square \in \mathbb{B} \\ m(2Q \cap Y_\square) > 0}} \sum_{Q_\square \subseteq Y_\square} M' \frac{m(Q_\square \cap 2Q)}{m(Y_\square \cap 2Q)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{\square \in \mathbb{B} \\ m(2Q \cap Y_\square) > 0}} \sum_{Q_\square \subseteq Y_\square} \sum_{\substack{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square \\ Q_\square \cap 2S \neq \emptyset}} \frac{m(Q_\square \cap 2Q)}{m(Y_\square \cap 2Q)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\square \in \mathbb{B} \\ m(2Q \cap Y_\square) > 0}} \sum_{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square} \sum_{\substack{Q_\square \subseteq Y_\square \\ Q_\square \cap 2S \neq \emptyset}} \frac{m(Q_\square \cap 2Q)}{m(Y_\square \cap 2Q)} \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{\square \in \mathbb{B} \\ m(2Q \cap Y_\square) > 0}} \sum_{\substack{(S,T) \in \mathbb{W}_\square \\ Q_\square \cap 2T \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{Q_\square \subseteq Y_\square} \frac{m(Q_\square \cap 2Q)}{m(Y_\square \cap 2Q)} \\
&\leq \sum_{\square \in \mathbb{B}} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathbb{W}_\square \\ Q \cap 2T \neq \emptyset}} 1 \\
&\lesssim \mu M. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Definition 4.1. Given a regular differentiable curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^1$, $\alpha \in [0, 3]$ and $A > 0$, let $\alpha_0(\alpha, A, \gamma)$ be the supremum over all $\alpha^* \geq 0$ such that there exists $\delta = \delta(\alpha, \alpha^*, A, \gamma) > 0$ and $C = C(\alpha, \alpha^*, A, \gamma) > 0$, such that for all Borel measures μ on the unit ball with $c_\alpha(\mu) \leq A$, and for any $R \geq 1$,

$$(4.1) \quad (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu) \left\{ (\theta, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in \bigcup_{T \in \mathbb{T}_\theta} T \right\} \leq C \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) R^{-\delta},$$

for any set $\{\mathbb{T}_\theta : \theta \in [a, b]\}$, where for each $\theta \in [a, b]$, \mathbb{T}_θ is a disjoint set of cardinality $|\mathbb{T}_\theta| \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) R^{\alpha^*/2}$, consisting of boxes T of the form

$$a_T + \left\{ \lambda_1 \gamma(\theta) + \lambda_2 \frac{\gamma'(\theta)}{|\gamma'(\theta)|} + \lambda_3 \frac{(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)}{|(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)|} : |\lambda_1| \leq 1, |\lambda_2| \leq R^{-1/2}, |\lambda_3| \leq R^{-1/2} \right\},$$

where $a_T \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $[a, b] \subseteq (c, d)$ and that $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ extends to a C^2 unit speed curve $\tilde{\gamma} : [c, d] \rightarrow S^2$ satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) on $[c, d]$. Let $\alpha \in [0, 3]$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1/100)$. If $\alpha'_0 \in [0, \alpha_0(\alpha, 1, \gamma))$, then there exists $\delta'' > 0$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta'')$, there is a positive integer J_0 such that for all $j_0 \geq J \geq J_0$ and for all Borel measures μ on the unit ball with $c_\alpha(\mu) \leq 1$,

$$\int_a^b \int |\pi_{\theta \# \mu_b}| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \leq 2^{-J\delta''} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where μ_b is defined by (2.28).

Proof. Given α, ϵ and α'_0 , let $\delta' = \delta'(\alpha, \alpha'_0) \in (0, 1/100)$ be an exponent that works in (4.1) with α^* replaced by $\alpha'_0 + 100\delta''$, for some $\delta'' \leq (\delta'\epsilon^2)/100$; such an exponent exists by definition of α_0 . Let $\delta \in (0, \delta'')$ be given. Let j_0 and J be such that $j_0 \geq J \geq J_0$, where J_0 will be implicitly chosen later, possibly depending on

δ . By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & \int_a^b \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_b| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \\
& \leq \int_a^b \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in [j\epsilon, j]} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k}} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b}} \int |\pi_{\theta\#}M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \\
(4.3) \quad & = \int_a^b \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in [j\epsilon, j]} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \\ |\theta_\tau - \theta| < 2^{k(-1/2+\delta)}}} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b}} \int |\pi_{\theta\#}M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \\
(4.4) \quad & + \int_a^b \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in [j\epsilon, j]} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \\ |\theta_\tau - \theta| \geq 2^{k(-1/2+\delta)}}} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b}} \int |\pi_{\theta\#}M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7, the term in (4.4) is

$$\lesssim_{\delta, \epsilon} 2^{-J} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

By Lemma 2.6 and the assumption that $j_0 \geq J$, the term in (4.3) is (for J_0 sufficiently large)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in [j\epsilon, j]} \int_a^b \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \\ |\theta_\tau - \theta| < 2^{k(-1/2+\delta)}}} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b}} 2^{j\delta} \mu(4T) d\theta + 2^{-J} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) \\
(4.5) \quad & \lesssim \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in [j\epsilon, j]} 2^{10j\delta} (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu)(B_{j,k}) + 2^{-J} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_{j,k} = \{(\theta, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in B_{j,k}(\theta)\},$$

and

$$B_{j,k}(\theta) = \bigcup_{\substack{\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \\ |\theta_\tau - \theta| < 2^{k(-1/2+\delta)}}} \bigcup_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b}} 4T.$$

For j and k fixed, let $\{B_l\}_l$ be a $\lesssim 1$ overlapping cover of $B_3(0, 1)$ by balls of radius $2^{-(j-k)}$. For each θ let

$$B_{j,k,l}(\theta) = \bigcup_{\substack{\tau \in \Lambda_{j,k} \\ |\theta_\tau - \theta| < 2^{k(-1/2+\delta)}}} \bigcup_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,b} \\ 4T \cap B_l \neq \emptyset}} 4T,$$

and let

$$B_{j,k,l} = \{(\theta, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in B_{j,k,l}(\theta)\}.$$

Let $\mu_{j,k}$ be the pushforward of μ under $x \mapsto 2^{j-k-2k\delta}x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu)(B_{j,k}) \leq \sum_l (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu)(B_{j,k,l}) \\
& = \sum_l 2^{-\alpha(j-k-2k\delta)} (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l})(B'_{j,k,l}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B'_{j,k,l} = \{(\theta, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in 2^{j-k-2k\delta} B_{j,k,l}(\theta)\},$$

and $\tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l} = 2^{\alpha(j-k-2k\delta)} \cdot \mu_{j,k} \chi_{\tilde{B}_l}$, where

$$\tilde{B}_l = \{2^{j-k-2k\delta} b_l + y : |y| \leq 1\},$$

with b_l the centre of B_l . Up to translation and finite overlaps, $B'_{j,k,l}$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l}$ satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma; for each θ the set $2^{j-k-2k\delta} B_{j,k,l}(\theta)$ is contained in a union of $\lesssim 1$ overlapping boxes T of the form

$$a_T + \left\{ \lambda_1 \gamma(\theta) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta) + \lambda_3 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta) : |\lambda_1| \leq 1, |\lambda_2| \leq 2^{-k/2}, |\lambda_3| \leq 2^{-k/2} \right\},$$

where $a_T \in \mathbb{R}^3$, with the number of boxes $\lesssim 2^{k(\alpha'_0 + 100\delta'')/2} \tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover $c_\alpha(\tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l}) \leq 1$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l}$ is supported in a ball of radius 1. Therefore, by partitioning the boxes T into $\lesssim 1$ groups and recalling the definition of δ' ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l})(B'_{j,k,l}) &\lesssim C(\alpha, \alpha^*) \tilde{\mu}_{j,k,l}(\mathbb{R}^3) 2^{-k\delta'} \\ &\leq C(\alpha, \alpha^*) 2^{\alpha(j-k-2k\delta)} \mu_{j,k}(\tilde{B}_l) 2^{-k\delta'}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is absolute. Putting this into (4.6) yields

$$(\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu)(B_{j,k}) \lesssim C(\alpha, \alpha^*) 2^{-k\delta'/2} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

by the choice of δ'' . Substituting this into (4.5) and then (4.2) gives

$$\int \int |\pi_{\theta\#} \mu_b| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \lesssim 2^{-(J\epsilon\delta')/4} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and hence

$$\int \int |\pi_{\theta\#} \mu_b| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \leq 2^{-J\delta''} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

provided J_0 is sufficiently large. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ be a C^2 unit speed curve satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) on $[a, b]$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$, depending on γ , such that for any $\tau \in \Lambda^J \cap \Lambda_{j,k}$ with $k \in [j\epsilon, j]$, and any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\eta_1| \geq |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}$,*

$$(4.7) \quad \text{dist}(1.01\tau, \eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)) \geq c \max\left(|\eta|^{1-10\epsilon}, 2^{j(1-10\epsilon)}\right),$$

for all $\theta \in [a, b]$ with $|\theta - \theta_\tau| \leq c$, for all J is sufficiently large (depending on γ and ϵ).

Proof. If either $|\eta| < 2^{j-10}$ or $|\eta| > 2^{j+10}$ this is immediate, so it may be assumed that $2^{j-10} \leq |\eta| \leq 2^{j+10}$. If $|\theta_\tau - \theta| \leq 2^{-3j\epsilon}$ then for any $x = \lambda_1(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta_\tau) + \lambda_3 \gamma(\theta_\tau) \in 1.01\tau$, where $|\lambda_1| \sim 2^j$, $|\lambda_2| \lesssim 2^{j-k/2}$ and $|\lambda_3| \sim 2^{j-k}$,

$$|\langle x, \gamma'(\theta) \rangle| \leq 2^{j(1-2\epsilon)},$$

and this implies (4.7) by Cauchy-Schwarz. Otherwise $|\theta - \theta_\tau| > 2^{-3j\epsilon}$, and therefore

$$\langle \lambda_1(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_\tau), \gamma(\theta) \rangle = \lambda_1 \left[(\theta_\tau - \theta)^2 \det(\gamma(\theta_\tau), \gamma'(\theta_\tau), \gamma''(\theta_\tau)) + o(|\theta_\tau - \theta|^2) \right],$$

where the implicit constant in the error term is uniform. For $c = c_\gamma$ small enough, this yields

$$|\langle x, \gamma(\theta) \rangle| \gtrsim 2^{j(1-6\epsilon)},$$

which implies (4.7) by Cauchy-Schwarz. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, let $\alpha \in [0, 3]$ and let $\alpha'_0 > 0$. Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ be a C^2 unit speed curve satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) on $[a, b]$, and such that $|a - b| \leq c$, where c is the constant in Lemma 4.3. Then there is a constant C and a positive integer J_0 such that for all $j_0 \geq J \geq J_0$ and for all finite Borel measures μ on the unit ball,*

$$(4.8) \quad \int_a^b \int_{\{|\eta_1| \geq |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}\} \cap B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ \leq C 2^{CJ} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2 + \int_{\{|\eta_1| \geq |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}\} \cap B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta,$$

where μ_g is defined by Lemma 2.5.

Proof. This follows from the triangle inequality, Lemma 4.3, by expanding out the definition of μ_b , and then using the rapid decay of $\mathcal{F}(M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0}))$ outside $1.01\tau(T)$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 3]$, $\alpha'_0 > 0$, and let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ with $\delta < \epsilon/1000$. Suppose that $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ extends to a C^3 unit speed curve $\tilde{\gamma} : [c, d] \rightarrow S^2$ satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), with $[a, b] \subseteq (c, d)$. Let*

$$A_{j,k} = [B(0, 2^{j+1}) \setminus B(0, 2^j)] \cap \{2^{j-k/2} \leq |\eta_1| < 2^{j+1-k/2}\}, \quad k < j,$$

and

$$A_{j,j} = [B(0, 2^{j+1}) \setminus B(0, 2^j)] \cap \{|\eta_1| < 2^{j/2}\}.$$

Then there exists J_0 such that if $J \geq J_0$, $j \geq 2J$ and $j\epsilon \leq k < j$, then for any Borel measure μ on $B_3(0, 1)$ with $c_\alpha(\mu) \leq 1$,

$$(4.9) \quad \int_a^b \int_{A_{j,k}} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) 2^{100j\epsilon + j(2-\alpha) + k} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha}{3} - \frac{\alpha'_0}{3}\right),$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \int_a^b \int_{A_{j,j}} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) 2^{100j\epsilon + j} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{3} - \frac{\alpha'_0}{3}\right).$$

Proof. First suppose that $k < j$. By using the wave packet decomposition and Lemma 2.2, there is a constant C such that

$$(4.11) \quad (4.9) \leq C 2^{-k} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2 + \int_a^b \int_{A_{j,k}} \left| \sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{\substack{|k'-k| \leq C \\ k' \leq j'}} \sum_{\tau \in A_{j',k'}} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g}} \mathcal{F}(M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})) (\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)) \right|^2 d\eta d\theta.$$

Let μ' be defined by setting $\widehat{\mu}'$ equal to the function inside the modulus signs above. Let $\{B_j\}_j$ be a $\lesssim 1$ overlapping cover of \mathbb{R}^3 by balls of radius 2^{k-j} , and let $\{\vartheta_j\}_j$ be

a corresponding subordinate smooth partition of unity. Then by changing variables (using (4.26) below) and then using Plancherel,

$$(4.12) \quad (4.11) \lesssim 2^{k/2-j} \sum_{m=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \widehat{\mu' \vartheta_m} \right|^2 + C 2^{-k} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2.$$

For each m the integral in (4.12) satisfies

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \widehat{\mu' \vartheta_m} \right|^2 \lesssim C_N 2^{-kN} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2 + \sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{\substack{|k'-k| \leq C \\ k' \leq j'}} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} \int |M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})|^2,$$

for arbitrarily large N , which follows by changing variables and using “essential orthogonality” of wave packets.

If $k = j$, then by using the wave packet decomposition,

$$(4.14) \quad (4.10) \leq C 2^{-j} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2 + \int_a^b \int \left| \sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{\substack{|k-j| \leq C \\ k \leq j'}} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k}} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g}} \mathcal{F}(M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})) (\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)) \right|^2 d\eta d\theta.$$

Let μ' be defined by setting $\widehat{\mu'}$ equal to the function inside the modulus signs above. By ‘the finite overlapping property of the sets τ ,

$$(4.15) \quad \int_a^b \int \left| \widehat{\mu'} (\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)) \right|^2 d\eta d\theta \leq C 2^{-j} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) + \sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{\substack{|k-j| \leq C \\ k \leq j'}} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k}}$$

$$\int_a^b \int \left| \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B(0,10) \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{T' \subseteq T} \mathcal{F}(M_{T'}(\mu * \phi_{j_0})) (\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta)) \right|^2 d\eta d\theta,$$

where the $T' \subseteq T$ partition T into planks of dimensions $\approx 1 \times 2^{-j/2} \times 2^{-j}$, with long direction parallel to $\gamma(\theta_{\tau(T)})$, medium direction parallel to $\gamma'(\theta_{\tau(T)})$ and short direction parallel to $(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta_{\tau(T)})$, and $M_{T'}\mu = \eta_{T'} M_T \mu$, where $\{\eta_{T'}\}_{T'}$ is a natural smooth partition of unity subordinate to the cover $\{T'\}$. By the 2-dimensional Plancherel theorem followed by the uncertainty principle (bounding the L^2 norm by the L^∞ norm, then applying Hausdorff-Young, then Cauchy-Schwarz),

$$(4.16) \quad (4.15) \lesssim C 2^{-j} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) + 2^{10j\delta-j/2} \sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{\substack{|k-j| \leq C \\ k \leq j'}} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B(0,10) \neq \emptyset}} \int |M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})|^2.$$

This shows that (4.13) holds also in the case $k = j$, although possibly with a $2^{10j\delta}$ loss, and with $\{B_m\}$ equal to the cover of $B(0, 1)$ by the single ball $B(0, 10)$ in that case. The remainder of the proof will therefore cover both cases simultaneously ($k \leq j$).

Applying Plancherel to the non-negligible term in the right hand side of (4.13) gives

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_{|j'-j|\leq C} \sum_{|k'-k|\leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} \int |M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})|^2 \\ = \int \sum_{|j'-j|\leq C} \sum_{|k'-k|\leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} \left([\eta_T M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})] * \widetilde{\psi}_\tau \right) d(\mu * \phi_{j_0}),$$

where ν is the restriction of $\mu * \phi_{j_0}$ to $2^{10k\delta} B_m$. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$(4.18) \quad (4.17) \leq C_N 2^{-kN} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2 + \mu(2^{10k\delta} B_m)^{1/2} \times \\ \left(\int \left| \sum_{|j'-j|\leq C} \sum_{|k'-k|\leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} [\eta_T M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})] * \widetilde{\psi}_\tau \right|^2 d\nu \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let

$$f_T = [\eta_T M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})] * \widetilde{\psi}_\tau, \quad f = \sum_{|j'-j|\leq C} \sum_{|k'-k|\leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} f_T.$$

The integral in (4.18) satisfies

$$\int |f|^2 d\nu \lesssim \int |f|^2 d(\nu * \zeta),$$

where $\zeta(x) = \frac{2^{3j}}{1+2^{2jN}|x|^N}$ for some very large N . This follows from the uncertainty principle; since \widehat{f} is supported in a ball of radius $\lesssim 2^j$. By dyadic pigeonholing, there is a subset

$$\mathbb{W} \subseteq \bigcup_{|j'-j|\leq C} \bigcup_{|k'-k|\leq C} \bigcup_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k'}} \{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} : T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset\},$$

such that $\|f_T\|_2$ is constant up to a factor of 2 as T varies over \mathbb{W} , and

$$(4.19) \quad \int |f|^2 d(\nu * \zeta) \lesssim \log(2^j)^2 \int \left| \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T \right|^2 d(\nu * \zeta) \\ + 2^{-100j} \sum_{|j'-j|\leq C} \sum_{|k'-k|\leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j',k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau,g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} \|f_T\|_2^2.$$

By pigeonholing again and by Hölder's inequality, there is a disjoint union Y of balls Q of radius $2^{-j+k/2}$, such that

$$(4.20) \quad \int \left| \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} f_T \right|^2 d(\nu * \zeta) \lesssim \log(2^k) \|f\|_{L^6(Y)}^2 \left(\int_Y (\nu * \zeta)^{3/2} \right)^{2/3},$$

and such that each $Q \subseteq Y$ intersects $\sim M$ boxes $2T$ as $T \in \mathbb{W}$, for some dyadic number M . By rescaling and then applying the refined Strichartz inequality (Theorem 3.2), the first term in (4.20) satisfies

$$\|f\|_{L^6(Y)} \leq C_{\epsilon, \delta} 2^{j-k/2+k\epsilon} \left(\frac{M}{|\mathbb{W}|} \right)^{1/3} \left(\sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For the second term in (4.20), the assumption $c_\alpha(\mu) \leq 1$ implies that $\|\nu * \zeta\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{j(3-\alpha)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y (\nu * \zeta)^{3/2} &\lesssim \frac{2^{\frac{j}{2}(3-\alpha)}}{M} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} (\nu * \zeta)(3T) \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{j}{2}(3-\alpha)}}{M} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \mu(4T) + C_N 2^{-jN} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ &\lesssim \frac{2^{\frac{j}{2}(3-\alpha)} |\mathbb{W}| 2^{-k\alpha'_0/2-\alpha(j-k)}}{M} + C_N 2^{-jN}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.21) \quad (4.20) \lesssim \log(2^k) 2^{j(3-\alpha)+k} \left(-1 + \frac{2\alpha}{3} - \frac{\alpha'_0}{3} + \epsilon \right) \sum_{T \in \mathbb{W}} \|f_T\|_2^2.$$

By Plancherel, $\|f_T\|_2 \leq \|M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})\|_2$ for every T . Applying this to (4.21) and then substituting back into (4.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{|k'-k| \leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j', k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau, g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} \int |M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})|^2 \\ &\lesssim \mu(2^{10k\delta} B_m)^{1/2} 2^{10j\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \left[j(3-\alpha) + k \left(-1 + \frac{2\alpha}{3} - \frac{\alpha'_0}{3} \right) \right]} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{|k'-k| \leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j', k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau, g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} \int |M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By cancelling the common factors, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|j'-j| \leq C} \sum_{|k'-k| \leq C} \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{j', k'}} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\tau, g} \\ T \cap B_m \neq \emptyset}} \int |M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})|^2 \\ &\lesssim \mu(2^{10k\delta} B_m) 2^{20j\epsilon + j(3-\alpha) + k \left(-1 + \frac{2\alpha}{3} - \frac{\alpha'_0}{3} \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting back into (4.13), this proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 3]$, $\alpha'_0 > 0$, and let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ with $\delta < \epsilon/1000$. Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ be a C^2 unit speed curve satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). Then there is a constant C and a positive integer J_0 such that for all $j_0 \geq J \geq J_0$ and for all finite Borel measures μ on the unit ball,*

$$\int_a^b \int_{B(0, 2^{2J})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \leq C 2^{CJ} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2.$$

Proof. This follows by applying the trivial bound on each $M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0})$, and the rapid decay of $\mathcal{F}(M_T(\mu * \phi_{j_0}))$ outside $1.01\tau(T)$, for each τ . \square

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ extends to a C^3 unit speed curve $\tilde{\gamma} : [c, d] \rightarrow S^2$ satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), with $[a, b] \subseteq (c, d)$, and such that $|a - b| \leq c'$, where c' is the constant in Lemma 4.3. Let $\alpha \in [0, 3]$ and $\epsilon > 0$. If $\alpha'_0 \in (0, \alpha_0(\alpha, 1, \gamma))$, then there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$, there is a positive integer $J_0 = J_0(\delta, \epsilon)$ such that for all $j_0 \geq J \geq J_0$ with $J \in [(j_0\epsilon)/1000, 1000j_0\epsilon]$ and for all Borel measures μ on the unit ball with $c_\alpha(\mu) \leq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_g|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta &= \int_a^b \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_{g,j_0,J,\alpha,\epsilon,\delta,\alpha'_0}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) 2^{j_0 \left(\max\left\{0, 2-\alpha, 2-\left(\frac{\alpha}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha'_0}{3}\right)\right\} + 10000\epsilon \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Plancherel,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.22) \quad \int_a^b \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_g|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta &= \int_a^b \int_{B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality

$$(4.23) \quad \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \lesssim \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2 \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

follows from the rapid decay of $\widehat{\phi}_{j_0}$ outside $B(0, 2^{j_0})$. The proof of a similar inequality to (4.23) is given in more detail in [5], but it is omitted here since it is fairly standard. The remaining term, in (4.22), can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (4.24) \quad \int_a^b \int_{B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta &= \int_a^b \int_{\{|\eta_1| \geq |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}\} \cap B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_a^b \int_{\{|\eta_1| < |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}\} \cap B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4, the first term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (4.25) \quad \int_a^b \int_{\{|\eta_1| \geq |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}\} \cap B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta &\lesssim 2^{CJ} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^2 + \int_{\{|\eta_1| \geq |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}\} \cap B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}(\eta_1\gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2(\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The change of variables

$$\xi = \xi(\eta, \theta) = \eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta),$$

has Jacobian

$$(4.26) \quad \left| \frac{\partial(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}{\partial(\eta_1, \eta_2, \theta)}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \theta) \right| = |\eta_1| |\det((\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta), \gamma'(\theta), \gamma''(\theta))|$$

$$(4.27) \quad = |\eta_1| |\langle [\gamma' \times (\gamma \times \gamma')](\theta), \gamma''(\theta) \rangle|$$

$$= |\eta_1| |\langle \gamma(\theta), \gamma''(\theta) \rangle|$$

$$(4.28) \quad = |\eta_1|,$$

The line (4.28) above follows from the assumption that γ has unit speed, whilst (4.26) and (4.27) use the scalar triple product formula $\det(a, b, c) = \langle a, b \times c \rangle$, and the identity

$$(\gamma \times \gamma')' = -\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'') \gamma'.$$

Applying this change of variables to (4.25) gives

$$(4.25) \lesssim 2^{CJ} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) + \int_{B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\xi|^{-(1-\epsilon)} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ \leq 2^{CJ} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) + 2^{j_0(1+\delta)(2+2\epsilon-\alpha)} I_{\alpha-\epsilon}(\mu) \\ \lesssim_{\epsilon} \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) \left(2^{CJ} + 2^{j_0(1+\delta)(2+2\epsilon-\alpha)} \right).$$

This is smaller than the right hand side of (4.8). This bounds the first term in (4.24).

It remains to bound the second term in (4.24). This satisfies

$$(4.29) \quad \int_a^b \int_{\{|\eta_1| < |\eta_2|^{1-\epsilon}\} \cap B(0, 2^{j_0(1+\delta)})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ \leq \sum_{j \in [2J, j_0(1+\delta)]} \sum_{k \in [j\epsilon, j]} \int_a^b \int_{[B(0, 2^{j+1}) \setminus B(0, 2^j)] \cap \{2^{j-k/2} \leq |\eta_1| < 2^{j+1-k/2}\}} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ + \sum_{j \in [2J, j_0(1+\delta)]} \int_a^b \int_{[B(0, 2^{j+1}) \setminus B(0, 2^j)] \cap \{|\eta_1| < 2^{j/2}\}} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta \\ + \int_a^b \int_{B(0, 2^{2J})} |\widehat{\mu}_g(\eta_1 \gamma'(\theta) + \eta_2 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta))|^2 d\eta d\theta.$$

By Lemma 4.5,

$$(4.29) \lesssim \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) 2^{j_0 \left(\max\left\{0, 2-\alpha, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{3} - \frac{\alpha_0}{3}\right\} + 200\epsilon \right)}.$$

This covers the final case, and therefore proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow S^2$ be a C^3 unit speed curve with $\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'') \neq 0$ on $[a, b]$. Let $\alpha \in (0, 3]$. For any $\alpha^* > 0$ with*

$$(4.30) \quad \alpha^* < \min \left\{ \alpha, \frac{\alpha}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_0(\alpha, 1, \gamma)}{3}, 2 \right\},$$

there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that for any $R \geq 1$,

$$(4.31) \quad (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu) \left\{ (\theta, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in \bigcup_{T \in \mathbb{T}_\theta} T \right\} \leq C(\alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma) \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) R^{-\delta'},$$

whenever μ is a Borel measure on the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 with $c_\alpha(\mu) \leq 1$, and for each θ the set \mathbb{T}_θ is a disjoint collection of boxes T of the form

$$a_T + \left\{ \lambda_1 \gamma(\theta) + \lambda_2 \gamma'(\theta) + \lambda_3 (\gamma \times \gamma')(\theta) : |\lambda_1| \leq 1, |\lambda_2| \leq R^{-1/2}, |\lambda_3| \leq R^{-1/2} \right\},$$

where $a_T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and such that $|\mathbb{T}_\theta| \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) R^{\alpha^*/2}$, for every $\theta \in [a, b]$.

In particular, $\alpha_0(\alpha, 1, \gamma) \geq \min \left\{ 2, \alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right\}$.

Proof. By localisation, it may be assumed that γ satisfies all the assumptions in Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.7. Let $\alpha, \alpha_0(\alpha, 1, \gamma), \alpha^*, R, \mu$ and the sets \mathbb{T}_θ be given. Define

$$\epsilon = \frac{\min \left\{ \alpha, \frac{\alpha}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_0}{3}, 2 \right\} - \alpha^*}{10^{10}}.$$

By the good-bad decomposition (Lemma 2.5) with $\alpha'_0 := \alpha_0 - \epsilon$ and $\delta > 0$ to be defined later, J defined by $R^\epsilon \in [2^J, 2^{J+1})$ and j_0 defined by $R^{1/2} \in [2^{j_0}, 2^{j_0+1})$,

$$(4.32) \quad (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu) \left\{ (\theta, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in \bigcup_{T \in \mathbb{T}_\theta} T \right\} \\ \lesssim \int \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_b| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta + \sup_{\theta \in [a, b]} \mathcal{H}^2 \left(\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{D}_\theta} D \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_g|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\mathbb{D}_\theta = \{\pi_\theta(T) : T \in \mathbb{T}_\theta\}$. By the assumption that $|\mathbb{T}_\theta| \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) R^{\alpha^*/2}$ for every $\theta \in [a, b]$,

$$(4.33) \quad (4.32) \lesssim \int \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_b| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta + \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^{1/2} R^{-\frac{1}{4}(2-\alpha^*)} \left(\int \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_g|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$(4.34) \quad \int \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_b| d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) R^{-\epsilon\delta''},$$

provided R is sufficiently large. By Lemma 4.7,

$$(4.35) \quad \mu(\mathbb{R}^3)^{1/2} R^{-\frac{1}{4}(2-\alpha^*)} \left(\int \int |\pi_{\theta\#}\mu_g|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} \\ \lesssim \mu(\mathbb{R}^3) R^{\max\{\alpha^*-2, \alpha^*-\alpha, \alpha^*-(\frac{\alpha}{3}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha_0}{3})\}+10^5\epsilon}.$$

Applying (4.34) and (4.35) to (4.33) yields (4.31) and proves the first part of the lemma, provided δ' is chosen sufficiently small, R is taken sufficiently large, and the constant $C(\alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma)$ is then taken large enough to handle the small values of R .

The last part of the lemma follows directly from the first part, and from Definition 4.1 which defines α_0 . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume without loss of generality that $\dim A > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be smaller than $\dim A$, let $\alpha = \dim A - \epsilon$ and (using Frostman's lemma) let μ be

a nonzero, finite Borel measure on A with $c_\alpha(\mu) \leq 1$. Suppose that $E \subseteq [a, b]$ is a compact set such that

$$\dim \pi_\theta \text{supp } \mu \leq s := \min \left\{ \alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right\} - 10\epsilon,$$

for every $\theta \in E$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each $\theta \in E$ let $\{B(\pi_\theta(x_j(\theta)), r_j(\theta))\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a covering of $\pi_\theta \text{supp } \mu$ by balls of dyadic radii smaller than ϵ , with each $x_j(\theta) \in \text{supp } \mu$, such that

$$(4.36) \quad \sum_j r_j(\theta)^{s+\epsilon} < 1.$$

Issues of measurability will be ignored since they can be easily adjusted for. For each $\theta \in E$ and each $k \geq |\log_2 \epsilon|$ let

$$D_k(\theta) = \bigcup_{j: r_j(\theta)=2^{-k}} B(\pi_\theta(x_j(\theta)), r_j(\theta)).$$

Then

$$1 \leq \sum_{k \geq |\log_2 \epsilon|} (\pi_{\theta \#} \mu)(D_k(\theta)) \quad \forall \theta \in E.$$

By the Besicovitch covering theorem, for each $\theta \in E$ there is a disjoint subcollection $D_k(\theta)' \subseteq D_k(\theta)$, such that

$$1 \lesssim \sum_{k \geq |\log_2 \epsilon|} (\pi_{\theta \#} \mu)(D_k'(\theta)) \quad \forall \theta \in E.$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{H}^1(E) \leq \sum_{k \geq |\log_2 \epsilon|} \int (\pi_{\theta \#} \mu)(D_k'(\theta)) d\theta.$$

For each k let

$$\mathbb{T}_{\theta, k} = \{T = \pi_\theta^{-1}(B(\pi_\theta(x_i(\theta)), r_i(\theta))) : B(\pi_\theta(x_i(\theta)), r_i(\theta)) \subseteq D_k'(\theta)\}.$$

Then $|\mathbb{T}_{\theta, k}| \leq 2^{k(s+\epsilon)}$ for every k , by (4.36). Hence by Lemma 4.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^1(E) &\leq \sum_{k \geq |\log_2 \epsilon|} (\mathcal{H}^1 \times \mu) \left\{ (\theta, x) : x \in \bigcup_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{\theta, k}} T \right\} \\ &\lesssim_\epsilon \sum_{k \geq |\log_2 \epsilon|} 2^{-k\delta} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0$. Hence

$$\dim \pi_\theta(A) \geq \dim \pi_\theta \text{supp } \mu \geq \min \left\{ \alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right\} - 10\epsilon$$

for a.e. $\theta \in [a, b]$. The theorem follows by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ along a countable sequence. \square

5. DISCUSSION AND RELATED PROBLEMS

I do not know if Theorem 1.1 holds with C^3 replaced by C^2 . The only step in the proof that makes use of the C^3 assumption is the decoupling theorem for generalised cones, which (as far as I am aware) has only been proved in the literature with C^3 assumptions.

A related problem is the family of projections $\rho_\theta(x) = \langle x, \gamma(\theta) \rangle \gamma(\theta)$ onto lines, where γ is a smooth curve in S^2 with $\det(\gamma, \gamma', \gamma'')$ nonvanishing. For non-great circles this was resolved by Käenmäki-Orponen-Venieri in [11]. I do not know if the method of proof here would also work on this problem; I would guess that at least a different kind of refined Strichartz inequality would be needed, with “slabs” in place of “tubes”.

Although the proof of Theorem 1.1 has some similarities with the proof of the lower bound for the distance set problem in [4], it makes crucial use of the fact that the projections π_θ are linear. Since the distance function is nonlinear, it might not be possible to get the approach in the proof of Theorem 1.1 to work on the distance set problem.

Another related problem is the family of maps $S_g(x, y) = x - gy$ from \mathbb{R}^{2n} to \mathbb{R}^n , where $n \geq 2$ is fixed and g ranges over $O(n)$. In [8] Mattila proved that

$$(5.1) \quad \dim S_g(A) \geq \max\{\min\{\dim A, n-1\}, \min\{\dim A-1, n\}\},$$

for a.e. $g \in O(n)$, and posed the problem of whether this can be replaced by $\dim S_g(A) \geq \min\{n, \dim A\}$ for a.e. $g \in O(n)$. A counterexample is given by the set $A = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$; since every $g \in O(n)$ with $\det g = (-1)^{n+1}$ has 1 as an eigenvalue, the set $S_g(A)$ has dimension at most $n-1$ whenever $\det g = (-1)^{n+1}$. By taking direct sums with Cantor subsets of the plane $\{(x, -x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, this can be modified to show that (5.1) is sharp for all values of $\dim A$. I do not know if a better lower bound is possible if the requirement “a.e. $g \in O(n)$ ” is weakened to “with probability at least $1/2$ ”.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bourgain, J., Demeter, C.: The proof of the l^2 Decoupling Conjecture. *Ann. of Math.* **182**, 351–389 (2015)
- [2] Demeter, C.: *Fourier restriction, decoupling, and applications*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **184**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2020)
- [3] Fässler, K., Orponen, T.: On restricted families of projections in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **109**, 353–381 (2014)
- [4] Guth, L., Iosevich, A., Ou, Y., Wang, H.: On Falconer’s distance set problem in the plane. *Invent. Math.* **219**, 779–830 (2020)
- [5] Harris, T. L. J.: Improved bounds for restricted projection families via weighted Fourier restriction. arXiv:1911.00615v5, to appear in *Anal. PDE*
- [6] Liu, B.: Hausdorff dimension of pinned distance sets and the L^2 -method. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** 333–341 (2020)
- [7] Marstrand, J.: Some fundamental geometrical properties of plane sets of fractional dimensions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **4**, 257–302 (1954)
- [8] Mattila, P.: Hausdorff dimension and projections related to intersections. arXiv:2005.04947v3 (2020)
- [9] Oberlin, D. M., Oberlin, R.: Application of a Fourier restriction theorem to certain families of projections in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Geom. Anal.* **25**, 1476–1491 (2015)
- [10] Järvenpää, E., Järvenpää, M., Ledrappier, F., Leikas, M.: One-dimensional families of projections. *Nonlinearity* **21** 453–463 (2008)
- [11] Käenmäki, A., Orponen, T., Venieri, L.: A Marstrand-type restricted projection theorem in \mathbb{R}^3 . arXiv:1708.04859v1 (2017)

- [12] Kaufman, R.: On Hausdorff dimension of projections. *Mathematika* **15** 153–155 (1968)
- [13] Orponen, T.: Hausdorff dimension estimates for restricted families of projections in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Adv. Math.* **275**, 147–183 (2015)
- [14] Orponen, T., Venieri, L.: Improved bounds for restricted families of projections to planes in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **19**, 5797–5813 (2020)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853-4201, USA
Email address: `tlh236@cornell.edu`