Experimental demonstration of optimal probabilistic enhancement of quantum coherence

Robert Stárek, Michal Mičuda, Michal Kolář, Radim Filip, and Jaromír Fiurášek
Department of Optics, Palacký University, 17. listopadu 1192/12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic

We theoretically and experimentally investigate conditional enhancement of overall coherence of quantum states by probabilistic quantum operations that apply to the input state a quantum filter diagonal in the basis of incoherent states. We identify the optimal filters that for a given probability of successful filtering maximize the output coherence. We verify the performance of the studied quantum filters in a proof-of-principle experiment with linear optics, where a pair of two-level quantum systems is represented by polarization states of two photons. We comprehensively characterize the implemented two-qubit linear optical quantum filters by full quantum process tomography and we experimentally observe the optimal quantum coherence enhancement by quantum filtering.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum coherence is a valuable resource in many areas of quantum science and technology, such as quantum information processing or quantum metrology. Of particular interest is the role of quantum coherence in quantum thermodynamics which is a rapidly developing field that explores the impact of quantum physics on thermodynamic laws and processes. The energy eigenstates and their mixtures form a natural set of incoherent states. In this context, the ability of quantum systems to be prepared in a superposition of energy eigenstates is of particular interest and importance, and it turns out that quantum coherence can potentially improve the performance of quantum thermodynamic schemes and machines. During recent years, the resource theory of quantum coherence has been firmly established, and quantum coherence transformations and distillation by incoherent operations has been widely studied theoretically and tested experimentally.

Similarly to entanglement concentration and distillation, probabilistic quantum operations can be useful for manipulation and conditional enhancement of quantum coherence. Probabilistic quantum operations can be viewed as nondestructive partial quantum measurements that conditionally apply a suitable quantum filter to the input quantum system. Here we focus on diagonal quantum filters that map incoherent states onto incoherent states and therefore belong to the class of strictly incoherent operations. Such quantum filters are trace-decreasing completely positive maps $\hat{\rho} \to M \hat{\rho} M^\dagger$ described by a single Kraus operator $M$ that is diagonal in the basis of incoherent states and satisfies $M^\dagger M \leq I$. Consequently, non-vanishing initial coherence is a necessary prerequisite for successful increase of coherence.

In the context of quantum thermodynamics, such filters preserve energy eigenstates, but can conditionally change the mean energy of a state that is a mixture or superposition of several energy eigenstates. Production of output states with increased coherence, resulting from the filtration process, can represent a useful resource in quantum thermodynamics. States with enhanced quantum coherence can speed up the energy transfer to the system of interest, or increase the power output when used as a coherent working medium in quantum thermal machines. If the filtered state is produced in larger number of copies, it may serve as improved coherent source of energy (fuel) for more efficient quantum machines. Input states formed by several subsystems such as $N$ two-level systems are of particular interest, because the optimal enhancement of coherence may require filtering that introduces quantum correlations between the elementary subsystems.

Here, we further investigate the optimal conditional enhancement of quantum coherence and focus on optimal quantum filters that maximize the coherence of the output state for a given probability of success. We employ a linear optical setup to experimentally test the optimal conditional enhancement of coherence for a pair of two-level quantum systems represented by polarization states of single photons. We experimentally demonstrate that collective quantum filters are optimal and yield better trade-offs between the achieved output coherence and the success probability than products of single-qubit quantum filters acting independently on each elementary two-level system.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II we derive the optimal quantum filters that maximize the coherence or energy of the filtered states for a fixed success probability of filtering. Analytical results are obtained for both pure and mixed initial states. The experimental setup is described in Section III and the experimental results are reported and discussed in Section IV. Finally, Section V presents a brief summary of our findings. Several technical details are collected in two Appendices. Appendix A contains formal proof of...
properties of iterative coherence enhancement schemes inspired by iterative entanglement distillation protocols, and Appendix B includes details of comprehensive experimental characterization of the implemented two-qubit linear optical quantum filters.

II. OPTIMAL QUANTUM FILTERS

Consider a pure input quantum state of a general \( d \)-level quantum system,

\[
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j |j\rangle,
\]

(1)

where \(|j\rangle\) denotes the basis of incoherent states. In the context of quantum thermodynamics, we can assume this to be the basis formed by the energy eigenstates, \( \hat{H}|j\rangle = E_j|j\rangle \). Throughout the manuscript, we keep this energetic viewpoint and assume that the energy levels are ordered in a nondecreasing manner, \( E_j \leq E_k \) if \( j \leq k \). Specifically, the input may be formed by \( N \) two-level systems that are initially in a factorized state \(|\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \), but our subsequent considerations are not restricted to this class of states. We attempt to conditionally increase the mean energy and coherence of the state by partial quantum measurement, that conditionally applies to the input state the following quantum filter \( \hat{M} \) diagonal in the energy basis,

\[
\hat{M} = \sum_j m_j |j\rangle \langle j|,
\]

(2)

where \(|m_j|^2 \leq 1\). Such filtering can be implemented by suitable coupling to an auxiliary quantum system followed by measurement of the auxiliary system and conditioning on observation of certain measurement outcome. For a general mixed state \( \rho \) we define the mean energy

\[
\bar{E} = \text{Tr}[\hat{H} \hat{\rho}] = \sum_j E_j \rho_{jj},
\]

(3)

and the coherence \[1\]

\[
C = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \log \hat{\rho}] - \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_D \log \hat{\rho}_D],
\]

(4)

where

\[
\hat{\rho}_D = \sum_j \rho_{jj} |j\rangle \langle j|,
\]

(5)

is obtained from \( \rho \) by a decoherence process that eliminates all off-diagonal density matrix elements and preserves the population of energy levels. After quantum filtering of \(|\psi\rangle\) the normalized pure output state reads

\[
|\psi_{out}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_S}} \hat{M} |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P_S}} \sum_j m_j c_j |j\rangle,
\]

(6)

where

\[
P_S = \langle \psi | \hat{M}^\dagger \hat{M} |\psi\rangle = \sum_j |m_j|^2 |c_j|^2
\]

(7)

denotes the probability of success of the filtering.

For further comparison with the enhancement of quantum coherence, let us first investigate what is the maximum achievable mean energy \( \bar{E} \) for a given success probability \( P_S \). We have

\[
\bar{E}_{out} = \frac{1}{P_S} \sum_j E_j |m_j|^2 |c_j|^2.
\]

(8)

Since \( P_S \) is a fixed quantity representing the optimization constraint, we can equivalently maximize \( P_S \bar{E}_{out} \) instead of \( \bar{E}_{out} \), which simplifies the calculations. The resulting optimization problem can be formulated as maximization of

\[
Q_E = P_S \bar{E}_{out} - \lambda P_S,
\]

(9)

where \( \lambda \) is a Lagrange multiplier. We simplify the notation by introducing \( p_j = \rho_{jj} = |c_j|^2 \) and \( M_j = |m_j|^2 \). With these definitions, we can write \( Q_E \) as

\[
Q_E = \sum_j M_j E_j p_j - \lambda \sum_j M_j p_j.
\]

(10)

Each \( M_j \) must either satisfy the extremality condition

\[
\frac{\partial Q_E}{\partial M_k} = 0,
\]

(11)

or lie at the boundary of the allowed values of \( M_j \), i.e. \( M_j = 1 \) or \( M_j = 0 \). The extremality condition yields

\[
(E_k - \lambda)p_k = 0.
\]

(12)

For nonvanishing \( p_j \), Eq. (12) can be satisfied only if \( \lambda \) is equal to one of the energy eigenvalues, \( \lambda = E_j \). We thus find that the optimal filtering consists of filters where the coefficients \( M_j \) corresponding to energy level (or several degenerate energy levels) with energy \( E_j \) can have arbitrary values in the allowed interval \([0,1]\) while all other coefficients are either equal to 0 or 1. Since our goal is to maximize \( \bar{E}_{out} \), the globally optimal strategy is to gradually eliminate and filter out the lowest energy eigenstates. Mathematically, the optimal filters consist of \( d-1 \) classes given by \( m_j = 0, j < k, m_1 = 1, j > k, \) and \( m_k \in [0,1] \), where \( 1 \leq k < d \) labels the filter. The values of \( k \) and \( m_k \) determine the success probability of filtering \( P_S \) and vice versa. In case of degenerate energy levels we can impose further symmetry and require that all \( m_k \) corresponding to the same energy are equal. Note that the above derived filters are optimal also for general mixed input states because the assumption of state purity was not used in our calculations.

Let us now turn our attention to the maximization of output coherence. For pure states, \( \hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \), we have
\[ \text{Tr}[\rho \log \hat{\rho}] = 0 \] and the maximization of \( C_{\text{out}} \) for a given \( P_S \) simplifies to maximization of the entropy of \( \hat{\rho}_{D,\text{out}} \). We can further simplify the calculations by considering the equivalent maximization of

\[ Q_C = -P_S \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_{D,\text{out}} \log \hat{\rho}_{D,\text{out}} + \log P_S] - \lambda P_S, \tag{13} \]

where the entropy of \( \hat{\rho}_{D,\text{out}} \) was rescaled by a constant factor \( P_S \) and a constant term \( -P_S \log P_S \) was added. After some algebra, we get

\[ Q_C = -\sum_j M_j p_j \log(M_j p_j) - \lambda \sum_j M_j p_j. \tag{14} \]

The extremal conditions become

\[ \frac{\partial Q_C}{\partial M_k} = -p_k \log(M_k p_k) - p_k - \lambda p_k = 0. \tag{15} \]

This yields

\[ M_k = \frac{K}{p_k}, \tag{16} \]

where \( K \) is a normalization constant. We recall that we should also consider the extremal points \( M_k = 1 \) or \( M_k = 0 \). Since we aim at the maximization of coherence, \( M_k = 0 \) can be ruled out as complete elimination of any component of the input state is not suitable for coherence maximization. By considering the condition \( 0 < M_j p_j < 1 \) and the properties of the entropy function \(-x \log x\), whose first derivative is a decreasing function of \( x \), we find that the optimal strategy is to subsequently attenuate all dominant amplitudes of the input quantum state until all the amplitudes are equal. To be more specific, assume that \( p_j \geq p_k \) if \( j \leq k \) and choose index \( n \) such that \( p_j > p_n \) if \( j < n \). Then a subclass of the optimal filters reads

\[ M_j = \frac{K}{p_j}, \quad j < n, \]

\[ M_j = 1, \quad j \geq n, \tag{17} \]

where \( K \in [p_n, p_{n-1}] \). By considering all relevant \( n \) we obtain the full set of optimal quantum filters that for a given success probability \( P_S \) maximize the coherence of the output state. The global optimality of the filters \( (17) \) can be proved by showing that any filter that is not of the form \( (17) \) is not optimal. Let \( q_j = p_j M_j / P_S \) denote the normalized probabilities of the basis states \( |j\rangle \) after successful filtering. Choose \( l \) such that \( q_j \geq q_j \forall j \). Assume that there exists \( k \) such that \( M_k < 1 \) and \( q_k < q_l \). Then one can define a different filter with the same \( P_S \) that would yield \( \tilde{q}_l = q_l - dq \) and \( \tilde{q}_k = q_k + dq \), with \( dq > 0 \) being an infinitesimal probability change, while all other \( q_j \) remain unmodified. This modified filter achieves higher output coherence for a given \( P_S \), because

\[ dC = -\tilde{q}_l \log q_l - \tilde{q}_k \log q_k + q_l \log q_l + q_k \log q_k \]

is positive, \( dC = dq \log(q_l/q_k) > 0 \). This proves that a quantum filter that would actively reduce some output probability \( q_k \) below the largest output probability \( q_l \) by active filtering (i.e. \( M_k < 1 \), cannot be optimal.

These analytical results can not be straightforwardly extended to mixed states, for which the term \( \text{Tr}\hat{\rho}\log\hat{\rho} \) does not vanish. However, we can obtain analytical formulas for the optimal quantum filters even for mixed states, if we consider different quantification of coherence based on Tsallis entropy

\[ S_2(\rho) = 1 - \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2). \tag{18} \]

In particular, we can define

\[ \check{C} = S_2(\hat{\rho}_D) - S_2(\hat{\rho}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2) - \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_D^2). \tag{19} \]

For this quantification of coherence, we can find the optimal quantum filter that maximizes \( \check{C} \) for a given \( P_S \) by maximizing the function

\[ \check{Q}_C = P_S^2 \check{C} - \lambda P_S = P_S^2 [\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2) - \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_D^2)] - \lambda P_S. \tag{20} \]
that can be rewritten as
\[ \hat{Q}_C = \sum_{j,k} M_j M_k \rho_{jk} \rho_{kj} - \sum_j M_j^2 \rho_{jj}^2 - \lambda \sum_j M_j \rho_{jj}. \]  

(21)
The extremality conditions
\[ \frac{\partial \hat{Q}_C}{\partial M_j} = 2 \sum_k |\rho_{jk}|^2 M_k - 2 \rho_{jj}^2 M_j - \lambda \rho_{jj} = 0, \]  

(22)
form a system of linear equations that can be solved to determine \( M_k \). Note that one also has to consider the extremal points \( M_j = 1 \) and \( M_j = 0 \) and optimize over all combinations of these extremal points for some \( M_j \) and solutions of the system of extremal equations (22) for the remaining \( M_k \). For pure states, \( |\rho_{jk}|^2 = \rho_{jj} \rho_{kk} \), and from Eq. (22) we recover the optimality conditions (16).

Let us illustrate the optimal filtering procedures for coherence or energy enhancement on the example of a pair of two-level systems prepared in a pure state \( |\psi\rangle = 00 \rangle + \sqrt{p} |10\rangle + |11\rangle \) with \( p < 0.5 \). Written explicitly, the state reads
\[ |\psi\rangle = (1-p)|00\rangle + \sqrt{p(1-p)}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) + p|11\rangle. \]  

(23)
Here \( |0\rangle \) and \( |1\rangle \) denote the ground and excited energy eigenstate of each two-level system, with energy difference \( \Delta E \). The energy spectrum of the system is plotted in Fig. 1(a). The filters that optimize the trade-off between the mean energy of the system (3) and the success probability (7) are given by
\[ \hat{M}_{E,1} = \frac{\sqrt{P_S - P_{th}}}{1-p} |00\rangle \langle 00| + |01\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 10| + |11\rangle \langle 11|, \]  

(24)
if \( P_S \geq P_{th} \), where \( P_{th} = p(2-p) \), and
\[ \hat{M}_{E,2} = \frac{\sqrt{P_S - p^2}}{2p(1-p)} (|01\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 10|) + |11\rangle \langle 11|, \]  

(25)
if \( p^2 \geq P_S < P_{th} \). Examples of the optimal filters (24) and (25) are given in Figs. 1(c,e) and their impact on specific input state with \( p = 1/3 \) is illustrated in Fig. 1(d,f). The filters that optimize the trade-off between the coherence (4) and the success probability (7) read
\[ \hat{M}_{C,1} = \frac{\sqrt{P_S - P_{th}}}{1-p} |00\rangle \langle 00| + |01\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 10| + |11\rangle \langle 11|, \]  

(26)
if \( P_S \geq P_{th} + p(1-p) \), and
\[ \hat{M}_{C,2} = b \frac{\sqrt{P_S}}{1-p} |00\rangle \langle 00| + b|01\rangle \langle 01| + b|10\rangle \langle 10| + |11\rangle \langle 11|, \]  

(27)
where
\[ b = \sqrt{\frac{P_S - p^2}{3p(1-p)}}, \]  

(28)
and \( 4p^2 \geq P_S < P_{th} + p(1-p) \). Examples of optimal quantum filters (26) and (27) are presented in Figs. 1(c,g) with their impact on the input state illustrated in Figs. 1(d,h). For states with non-vanishing initial coherence and low enough mean energy the quantum filtering can simultaneously increase both energy and coherence (35). In particular, in the above example the filter \( \hat{M}_{C,1} \) is optimal for both energy and coherence improvement. However, at some point further increasing the energy leads to coherence reduction and vice versa. Moreover, if the state with maximum energy is nondegenerate, then the maximum energy corresponds to zero coherence.

For the sake of completeness, we also specify the optimal pure states \( |\psi\rangle = \sum_j \sqrt{p_j} |j\rangle \) that for a given fixed energy \( E \) exhibit maximum coherence, i.e. maximum entropy of the diagonal state \( \rho_D \). This class of states can serve as a reference and benchmark when evaluating the performance of coherence and energy enhancement. We recover the well-known result from statistical physics that \( p_j \) is a thermal distribution and
\[ |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z(\beta)}} \sum_j e^{-\beta E_j/2} |j\rangle, \]  

(29)
where \( Z(\beta) = \sum_j \exp(-\beta E_j) \). This directly follows from the fact that for a fixed mean energy \( \bar{E} = \text{Tr}[\hat{H}\rho_D] \) the entropy of \( \rho_D \) is maximized if \( \rho_D = e^{-\beta \hat{H}}/Z(\beta) \) is a thermal state. Global maximum of \( C \) is reached in the limit of infinite temperature, \( \beta = 0 \), when \( |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j=1}^d |j\rangle \) and \( C = d \log d \).

In entanglement distillation, single-copy protocols based on quantum filtering (24,25) may be outperformed by multicycle iterative entanglement distillation schemes (26,29). However, this does not hold for the coherence enhancement investigated in the present work. Specifically, one can consider iterative coherence synthesis protocols where the quantum filters are applied to pairs of \( d \)-level quantum systems and one \( d \)-level system from each output pair forms an input for the next stage of the protocol. We find that the resulting output state after \( n \) iterations is formed by a mixture of states filtered with various filters diagonal in the energy basis. This indicates that the iterative procedure becomes equivalent to a mixture of single-copy filterings. Moreover, since all the involved quantum filters mutually commute, it turns out that the costly iterative procedure can be replaced by a more efficient and simpler scheme where a sequence of non-destructive mutually commuting generalized quantum measurements is applied to a single input pair of \( d \)-level systems. Technical proof of these findings is provided in Appendix A.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

We aim to test the measurement-based enhancement of quantum coherence with linear optics (40,42), where qubits are represented by polarization states of single
Quantum filters [30] with $a \leq b^2$ can be implemented by interference of modes $A_0$ and $B_0$ on an unbalanced beam splitter, followed by attenuation of modes $A_0$ and $B_0$, depending on the values of $a$ and $b$ [46]. This interferometric scheme depicted in Fig. 2 is optimal and maximizes the probability factor $P_L$. Practical implementation of this optimal setup requires a tunable beam splitter, that can be realized for instance as a balanced Mach-Zehnder interferometer with phase shift in one arm controlling the transmittance. Alternatively, one can consider configuration where the two coupled modes are spatially overlapped and orthogonally polarized and are coupled via half-wave plate. In all configurations one needs to achieve sufficient interferometric stability.

To address these experimental issues, we have chosen to employ the configuration as shown in Fig. 2 but with a fixed beam splitter BS and additional half-wave plates inserted into the paths of the input modes. The complete setup, shown in Fig. 3 involves two crossed inherently stable Mach-Zehnder interferometers formed by pairs of calcite beam displacers [47, 48]. This inherently stable and compact setup provides sufficient flexibility to control the effective coupling between the modes $A_0$ and $B_0$ and emulate a beam splitter with arbitrary transmittance by suitable rotation of the waveplates in the setup [49]. Although our scheme generally does not reach the maximum possible $P_L$, this is not a significant obstacle in our proof-of-principle experiment, where we achieve sufficient two-photon coincidence rates to collect enough data for full tomographic characterization of the investigated quantum filters and two-photon states at the filter output.

In our experiment, we generate time-correlated photon pairs with central wavelength of 810 nm in type-II spontaneous parametric down-conversion process in a nonlinear BBO crystal pumped by a 100-mW 405 nm laser diode. The generated signal and idler photons are coupled into single-mode optical fibers and guided to the main experiment. In the experimental setup, depicted in Fig. 3, we decouple the photons from the fibers into col-
liminated beams using 11-mm collimators and purify their polarization using Glan-Taylor prisms (GT). The prisms are oriented to prepare horizontal polarization, encoding the state $|1\rangle$. Pairs of quarter- and half-wave plates manipulate the photon’s polarization state to prepare any desired input state.

Calcite beam-displacing crystals (BD) laterally shift horizontally-polarized photons while leaving the vertically-polarized photons intact. The first beam displacers thus split the input signals into two parallel beams with 6 mm spacing and introduce correlations between the photon polarization and propagation path. Two ordinary beams, corresponding to the originally vertically polarized components, interfere at the central partially-polarizing beam splitter (PPBS). The PPBS has transmittance $T_H = 1$ and $T_V = 1/3$ for horizontally and vertically polarized photons, respectively. The remaining beams do not overlap at the PPBS, and potential reflections are not detected. Each pair of parallel beams is subsequently recombined at the second beam displacer. The waveplates inserted inside the calcite interferometers control the attenuation and the effective strength of the interferometric coupling between the two photons.

Successful application of the two-qubit quantum filter (30) is indicated by coincidence detection of one photon at each output port of the setup. We can project each photon onto an arbitrary polarization state using a half-wave and quarter-wave plate followed by a calcite crystal and a polarizing beam splitter. Single-photon avalanche diodes detect the photons, and the resulting electronic signal is processed in coincidence electronics. The number of single detection events and the number of simultaneous detection events are recorded with a counter of electronic pulses. The stability of the Mach-Zehnder instantaneous detection events are recorded with a counter of the number of single detection events and the number of simultaneous detection events are recorded with a counter of electronic pulses. The stability of the Mach-Zehnder interferometers formed by calcite beam displacers can be characterized by the standard deviation of fast phase fluctuations, which is approximately 2$\degree$. Moreover, the phase also drifts around 1$\degree$ per hour when the setup is sufficiently isolated from the airflow in the laboratory. This high passive stability enabled us to carry out continuous measurements for several hours before readjusting the setup.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have comprehensively characterized the implemented linear optical two-qubit quantum filters (30) by quantum process tomography. The observed quantum process fidelities of the filters exceeded 0.96 and the quantum process purities exceeded 0.95, which indicates very good quality of the implemented filters. For details, see Appendix B. We utilize the quantum filters to improve the overall coherence and total energy of a pair of two-level quantum systems. We first consider pure input state

$$|\phi\rangle = \sqrt{1-p}|0\rangle + \sqrt{p}|1\rangle.$$ (31)

The optimal filters that for a given probability of success $P_S$ maximize the mean energy or coherence were specified in Section II, c.f. Eqs. (24)–(27). The optimal filters for $p = 0.1$ are further illustrated in Fig. 4 as dots in the $a-b$ parametric space, where the orange and blue colors encode filters optimal for coherence and energy enhancement, respectively. Initially, it is optimal to use filters (30) with $b = 1$ and $a < 1$ until $a = \sqrt{p/(1-p)}$ is reached, and this filtering is simultaneously optimal for both the coherence and energy enhancement. For $a < \sqrt{p/(1-p)}$ the two optimal strategies separate. Optimal coherence enhancement requires filters with $b < 1$ and $a = b\sqrt{p/(1-p)}$ until the point $a = b^2 = p/(1-p)$ is reached. On the other hand, for the energy enhancement it is optimal to first reduce the amplitude of the state $|00\rangle$ to 0 and only then decrease the amplitudes of the states $|01\rangle$ and $|10\rangle$ by filters with $a = 0$ and $b < 1$. For comparison, in green we also plot the symmetric filters satisfying $a = b^2$, that can be implemented by independent filtering of each input qubit, $|\phi\rangle \rightarrow b\sqrt{1-p}|0\rangle + \sqrt{p}|1\rangle$.

In order to check the filters we perform auxiliary measurements with input basis states $|j,k\rangle$. The actual values of $a$ and $b$ determined from these measurements are plot-

FIG. 4. Optimal symmetric quantum filters for enhancement of energy (blue) and coherence (orange) are shown for pure input two-qubit state $|\phi\rangle|\phi\rangle$ with $p = 0.1$. In the region $b = 1$, $a > \sqrt{p/(1-p)}$ the filters optimal for energy and coherence enhancement coincide. Dots indicate the optimal theoretical filters, crosses show the experimentally achieved filter parameters. Since the experimentally realized filters are not perfectly symmetric, we plot two values of $b$ for each filter, one for state $|01\rangle$ ($\times$), and the other for state $|10\rangle$ ($+$). For reference, in green we plot the factorized filters characterized by relation $a = b^2$. These filters are products of two identical diagonal single-qubit filters that attenuate state $|0\rangle$. Statistical error bars are smaller than the marker size and therefore not plotted.
P_{T} is the total experimentally observed success probability. When estimating P_{S} we thus compensate for the extra reduction of the success probability imposed by our linear optical implementation of the quantum filters. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 5 where we plot the dependence of coherence and mean energy of the output states on the success probability P_{S}. For comparison, we plot also the results obtained for factorized filters applied independently to each two-level system. We can see that the optimal filters clearly outperform the factorized filters and the experimental results are in good agreement with theory. The discrepancies between theory and experiment are larger for the enhancement of coherence, which is sensitive to preservation of purity of the quantum state, while the energy solely depends on the state populations, i.e. diagonal density matrix elements in the energy basis.

We have also tested filtering of input mixed states. Specifically we have considered input product states \( \hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\rho} \), where

\[
\hat{\rho} = \left( \frac{1 - p}{\eta \sqrt{p(1-p)}} \right) \eta \sqrt{p(1-p)} p.
\]

The parameter \( \eta \) controls state purity, \( \text{Tr}\rho^2 = 1 - 2\eta p(1-p) \). We have experimentally generated the mixed states \( \hat{\rho} \) as statistical mixtures of pure states. We have tested one of the scenarios considered in Ref. [38], where the lowest energy state is completely filtered out, \( a = 0 \), and \( b \) is optimized to maximize the output state coherence. Note that these filters belong to the class of filters optimal for the energy enhancement, c.f. Eq. (25). Numerical calculations reveal that there exists a threshold \( p_{th} \geq 0.5 \) that depends on \( \eta \). For \( p \leq p_{th} \) the optimization yields constant \( C \) and \( \bar{E} \) that depend only on \( \eta \) but not on \( p \), see the theoretical curves plotted in Fig. 6. For \( p > p_{th} \) it is optimal to set \( b = 1 \) within the considered class of filters and the coherence decreases with increasing \( p \) while the mean energy increases. The measurements were performed for a range of different input values of \( p \) and for three different degrees of mixedness \( \eta \). The experimental results are reported in Fig. 6 and are in very good agreement with the theoretical predictions. We can observe that for low \( p \) this choice of filters can simultaneously increase both the energy and coherence.

\section{V. SUMMARY}

In summary, we have theoretically and experimentally investigated enhancement of overall quantum coherence and mean energy of quantum states by quantum filters diagonal in the energy basis. Such quantum filtering can serve for synthesis of quantum coherence, where several weakly coherent two-level quantum systems with low energy are synthesized into a single large quantum system exhibiting improved coherence and increased energy. We have presented the optimal quantum filters that for a given success probability of filtering maximize either the
output energy or coherence. We have shown that analytical results for enhancement of coherence can be obtained even for input mixed states provided that the coherence is quantified by Tsallis entropy based on state purity. We have also analyzed iterative measurement-based synthesis protocol for pairs of quantum systems and we have proved that it does not bring any advantage because all the applied quantum filters mutually commute. We have tested and verified the performance of the optimal quantum filters with linear optics setup, where a pair of two-level quantum systems is represented by polarization states of two photons and the two-photon filtering is implemented with a suitable optical interferometer followed by postselection on coincidence detection of a single photon in each output port of the filter. We have experimentally confirmed the superiority of collective quantum filters that outperform factorized products of single-qubit filters and for given success probability of filtering yield higher output coherence or energy.
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**Appendix A: Analysis of iterative coherence enhancement**

Here we prove that iterative protocols based on partial quantum measurements diagonal in the energy basis are not advantageous for the enhancement of quantum coherence and mean energy. We consider protocol where the quantum filter \( \hat{M} \) is jointly applied to a pair of \( d \)-dimensional systems A and B prepared initially in uncorrelated quantum states \( \hat{\rho}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B \). The total input state reads

\[
\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B.
\]

The conditional quantum state of system A after the successful filtering reads

\[
\hat{\rho}_{\text{out},A} = \frac{1}{P_S} \sum_{j=1}^d m_{jj} \hat{K}_j \hat{\rho}_j \hat{K}_j^\dagger,
\]

where

\[
\hat{K}_j = \sum_{k=1}^d m_{kj} |k\rangle \langle k|.
\]

We can see that the output state \( \hat{\rho}_{\text{out},A} \) is a mixture of input states filtered with operators \( \hat{K}_j \) diagonal in the energy basis. Suppose now that we will use two copies of the output state \( \hat{\rho}_{\text{out},A} \) as an input state for the next stage of the iterative protocol, with possibly different quantum
filter $\hat{M}'$. The full non-normalized conditional output state of systems A and B will be given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{AB} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \rho_{jk} \hat{M}'(\hat{K}_j \otimes \hat{K}_k)(\hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\rho})(\hat{K}_j^\dagger \otimes \hat{K}_k^\dagger)\hat{M}'^\dagger.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

Since all operators $\hat{M}'$ and $\hat{K}_j$ are diagonal in the energy basis, we can rewrite Eq. (A4) in a more compact form

$$\hat{\sigma}_{AB} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \hat{W}_{jk} \hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\rho} \hat{W}_{jk}^\dagger,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

where $\hat{W}_{jk} = \sqrt{\rho_{jk} \hat{M}'(\hat{K}_j \otimes \hat{K}_k)}$ are operators diagonal in the energy basis. The output state $\hat{\sigma}_{AB}$ is thus a mixture of output states obtained from the initial input $\hat{\rho} \otimes \hat{\rho}$ by application of diagonal filter $\hat{W}_{jk}$. The Kraus operators $\hat{W}_{jk}$ specify a trace-decreasing completely positive map and

$$\sum_{j,k} \hat{W}_{jk}^\dagger \hat{W}_{jk} \leq I$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

by construction. For convenience, we switch to single-index labeling $\hat{W}_l$, $l = 1, \ldots, d^2$. Since all operators $\hat{W}_l$ are diagonal in the energy basis and commute, the transformation (A5) can be implemented by sequence of non-destructive two-element generalized quantum measurements, where each measurement applies one of two complementary filters $\hat{M}_{+,l}$ or $\hat{M}_{-,l}$ given by

$$\hat{M}_{+,l} = \hat{W}_l \left( I - \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \hat{W}_m^\dagger \hat{W}_m \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$\hat{M}_{-,l} = \left( I - \hat{M}_{+,l}^\dagger \hat{M}_{+,l} \right)^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

The matrix inverse in the expression for $\hat{M}_{+,l}$ is the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse. To verify that the operators (A7) describe valid quantum measurements we need to show that $\hat{M}_{+,l}^\dagger \hat{M}_{+,l} \leq I$. This follows from the inequality

$$\hat{W}_l^\dagger \hat{W}_l \leq I - \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \hat{W}_m^\dagger \hat{W}_m,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

which is an immediate consequence of the condition (A6).

The measurements are applied sequentially until a plus outcome $\hat{M}_{+,l}$ is obtained, at which point the measurement sequence is stopped and an output state is produced. If all measurements yield the minus outcome $\hat{M}_{-,l}$, then the filtering was unsuccessful.

### Appendix B: Experimental characterization of two-qubit quantum filters

The quantum filter is a trace-decreasing completely positive map described by positive semidefinite Choi matrix $\hat{\chi}$ which can be obtained by applying the quantum filter to one part of a maximally entangled state in an extended Hilbert space of four qubits. In our experiments, we observe that besides amplitude modulation, the setup also imposes small residual phase shifts $\varphi_{jk}$ to the input states $|jk\rangle$. Since these fixed phase shifts do not influence the ability of the filters to increase the mean energy or coherence, we compensate for these phase shifts in our tomographic analysis of the filter operation. An example of the tomographically reconstructed quantum filter is given in Fig 7. We observe the expected structure of a filter diagonal in the basis $|jk\rangle$ and the imaginary elements practically vanish.

A quantitative characterization of several implemented filters is provided in Table I, where we display the filter purity $P_M = \text{Tr}[\hat{\chi}^2]/(\text{Tr}[\hat{\chi}])^2$ and fidelity $F_M = \text{Tr}[\hat{\chi}\hat{\chi}_M]/(\text{Tr}[\hat{\chi}][\text{Tr}[\hat{\chi}_M])]$. Here $\hat{\chi}_M$ denotes the Choi matrix of the perfect filter $\hat{M}$. This matrix has rank one and is proportional to a projector onto pure state. For perfect filters, we thus have $P = F_M = 1$. For reference, we display in Table I also the fidelity $F_M$ calculated from the Choi matrix $\hat{\chi}$ with compensated phase shifts of basis states $|jk\rangle$. The main experimental factors that reduce the fidelity and purity of the linear optical filters include imperfect visibility of two-photon interference on the partially polarizing beam splitter PPBS, phase fluctuations and phase drift in the Mach-Zehnder interferometers, non-zero reflectance of PPBS for horizontally polarized states, and imperfections of the employed wave-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$P_M$</th>
<th>$F_M$</th>
<th>$\tilde{F}_M$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.989(1)</td>
<td>0.9919(3)</td>
<td>0.9919(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.959(6)</td>
<td>0.817(4)</td>
<td>0.965(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.965(4)</td>
<td>0.884(2)</td>
<td>0.976(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.977(3)</td>
<td>0.903(1)</td>
<td>0.986(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.977(3)</td>
<td>0.875(2)</td>
<td>0.987(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 7. Quantum process tomography of a quantum filter. Shown are the real (a) and imaginary (b) parts of the reconstructed Choi matrix $\chi$ of experimentally implemented filter with nominal parameters $a = 0.32$ and $b = 0.8$. Residual phase shifts of the basis states $|jk\rangle$ were compensated before plotting the matrix $\tilde{\chi}$. ```