

HYPERCYCLICITY AND SUBSPACE HYPERCYCLICITY IN FINITE DIMENSION

SALAH HERZI AND HABIB MARZOUGUI*

ABSTRACT. This paper is motivated by the article of Bamerni, Kadets and Kiliçman [J. Math. Anal. Appl. 435 (2), 1812–1815 (2016)]. We consider the remaining problem which claims that if A is a dense subset of a finite dimensional space X , then there is a nontrivial subspace M of X such that $A \cap M$ is dense in M . We show that the above problem has a negative answer when $X = \mathbb{K}^n$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}) for every $n \geq 2$. However we answer positively the problem when the dense subset A is an orbit of an abelian semigroup of matrices on \mathbb{K}^n , $\mathbb{K}^n \neq \mathbb{R}^2$.

1. Introduction

In [3, Theorem 2.1], Bamerni, Kadets and Kiliçman established that if A is a dense subset of a Banach space X , then there is a nontrivial closed subspace M of X such that $A \cap M$ is dense in M . By a nontrivial subspace M of X , we mean that M is non-zero and distinct from X . We point out that Lemma 2.3 of [3] is not correct whenever X is of finite dimension (see Remark 2.8). We acknowledge here that the authors of [3] do not clearly meant X of infinite dimension. So Theorem 2.1 in finite dimension remains an open problem.

Problem 1. Is Theorem 2.1 of [3] true for X of finite dimension?

The above problem is also addressed for the action of abelian semigroups of matrices on $X = \mathbb{K}^n$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Problem 2. Is Corollary 2.4 of [3] true for the action of abelian semigroups on \mathbb{K}^n ?

In the present paper, we show that Problem 1 does not hold in finite dimension, that is [3, Theorem 2.1] is not true for every finite dimensional space $X = \mathbb{K}^n$, $n \geq 2$. On the other hand, with regard to the action of abelian semigroups on \mathbb{K}^n , we answer positively Problem 2 (see Section 3); we show that if G is an hypercyclic abelian semigroup of matrices on \mathbb{K}^n and $\mathbb{K}^n \neq \mathbb{R}^2$, then it is subspace-hypercyclic. If $\mathbb{K}^n = \mathbb{R}^2$, we construct a counterexample consisting of a parameter family of abelian semigroups

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16, 47A15

* Corresponding author.

Key words and phrases. Banach space, finite dimension, dense, semigroup, hypercyclic, subspace-hypercyclic.

of matrices on \mathbb{R}^2 which are hypercyclic, but not subspace-hypercyclic for every straight line in \mathbb{R}^2 (see Example 4.1).

In the sequel, \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} denote the sets of non-negative integers and integers, respectively, $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ while $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ denotes the set of positive integers. For a row vector $v \in \mathbb{K}^n$, we will be denoting by v^T the transpose of v . If $v_1, \dots, v_p \in \mathbb{K}^n$, $p \geq 1$, we denote by $\text{Vect}\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ the vector subspace of \mathbb{K}^n generated by v_1, \dots, v_p . A subset $E \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is called *dense* in \mathbb{K}^n if $\overline{E} = \mathbb{K}^n$, where \overline{E} denotes the closure of E . It is called *nowhere dense* if \overline{E} has empty interior.

2. Subspace hypercyclicity in finite dimension

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (The case $X = \mathbb{R}^n$). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Set $A_\alpha = \mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T$. Then A_α is dense in \mathbb{R}^n and for every nontrivial subspace M of \mathbb{R}^n , $A_\alpha \cap M$ is not dense in M .*

For this, let recall the following multidimensional real version of Kronecker's theorem (see e.g. [8, Lemma 2.2]; see also [5, Theorem 442]).

Lemma 2.2. (Kronecker's Theorem [8, Lemma 2.2]) *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then the set*

$$\mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T := \{[s_1, \dots, s_n]^T + s[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T : s, s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is dense in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 2.3. ([8, Lemma 2.1]) *Let $H = \mathbb{Z}u_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}u_m$ with $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. If $m \leq n$, then H is nowhere dense in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First A_α is dense in \mathbb{R}^n by Lemma 2.2. Now suppose that there is a nontrivial subspace M of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension r ($1 \leq r \leq n-1$) such that $A_\alpha \cap M$ is dense in M . One can choose a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ of M so that

$$\begin{cases} v_1 &= [x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{n,1}]^T, \\ v_2 &= [0, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{n,2}]^T, \\ &\vdots \\ v_r &= [0, \dots, 0, x_{r,r}, \dots, x_{n,r}]^T. \end{cases}$$

with $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$.

• First, we have $x_{1,1} \neq 0$. Indeed, for every $u \in A_\alpha \cap M$, there exist $m, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1) \quad u = [m_1, \dots, m_n]^T + m[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_r v_r.$$

The first coordinate in (1) gives that $m_1 + m\alpha_1 = \lambda_1 x_{1,1}$. If $x_{1,1} = 0$, then $m = 0$ and thus $A_\alpha \cap M \subset \mathbb{N}^n \cap M$ which is clearly not dense in M , a contradiction.

We let $I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} : x_{i,i} = 0\}$. Set

$$k = \begin{cases} \min(I) & \text{if } I \neq \emptyset \\ r+1 & \text{if } I = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

Obviously $2 \leq k \leq r+1$.

Denote by

$$\begin{cases} v_1^{(k)} &= [x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{k,1}]^T, \\ v_2^{(k)} &= [0, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{k,2}]^T, \\ \vdots & \\ v_{k-1}^{(k)} &= [0, \dots, 0, x_{k-1,k-1}, x_{k,k-1}]^T. \end{cases}$$

Then $v_1^{(k)}, v_2^{(k)}, \dots, v_{k-1}^{(k)}$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^k .

Set

- $A_\alpha^{(k)} = \mathbb{N}^k + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T$
- $M^{(k)}$ be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^k generated by $\{v_1^{(k)}, v_2^{(k)}, \dots, v_{k-1}^{(k)}\}$.

Since $A_\alpha \cap M$ is dense in M , so $A_\alpha^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)}$ is dense in $M^{(k)}$. Then one can assume, by some elementary transformations of the $v_i^{(k)}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, that $M^{(k)}$ has a basis $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$, where

$$\begin{cases} u_1 &= [1, 0, \dots, 0, y_1]^T, \\ u_2 &= [0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, y_2]^T, \\ \vdots & \\ u_{k-1} &= [0, \dots, 0, 1, y_{k-1}]^T, \end{cases}$$

with $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.

Denote by

- $\Omega = \left\{ u = [m_1, \dots, m_k]^T + m[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T \in A_\alpha^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)} : m \neq 0 \right\}$.

Then Ω is dense in $M^{(k)}$:

Indeed, we have $(A_\alpha^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)}) \setminus \Omega \subset \mathbb{N}^k \cap M^{(k)}$ which is nowhere dense in $M^{(k)}$. Since $A_\alpha^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)}$ is dense in $M^{(k)}$, so Ω is dense in $M^{(k)}$.

In the sequel, let $u \in \Omega$ be fixed:

$$u = [m_1, \dots, m_k]^T + m[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} u_{k-1},$$

where $m, m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \neq 0$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe that for

every $v \in \Omega$:

$$v = [t_1, \dots, t_k]^T + t[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T = \delta_1 u_1 + \dots + \delta_{k-1} u_{k-1},$$

where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $t_1, \dots, t_k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \neq 0$, we obtain for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$:

$$\begin{cases} t_i + t\alpha_i &= \delta_i \\ m_i + m\alpha_i &= \lambda_i \\ mv - tu &= (mt_1 - tm_1)u_1 + \dots + (mt_{k-1} - tm_{k-1})u_{k-1} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$(*) \quad m_k + m\alpha_k = (m_1 + m\alpha_1)y_1 + \dots + (m_{k-1} + m\alpha_{k-1})y_{k-1}.$$

Moreover, the last row of $mv - tu$ gives that

$$(**) \quad mt_k - tm_k = (mt_1 - tm_1)y_1 + \dots + (mt_{k-1} - tm_{k-1})y_{k-1}.$$

From (**), we distinguish two cases:

Case 1: $1, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . In this case, for every $v \in \Omega$, we have from (**), $mt_i - tm_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore $mv = tu$. It follows that $m\Omega \subset \mathbb{N}u$ and thus by density $M^{(k)} = \mathbb{N}u = \mathbb{R}u$. A contradiction.

Case 2: $1, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}$ are not linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

First, observe that y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} are not all in \mathbb{Q} ; otherwise, $y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and then by (*), $m = 0$. Therefore $A_\alpha^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)} \subset \mathbb{N}^k \cap M^{(k)}$ and thus $A_\alpha^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)}$ is not dense in $M^{(k)}$. A contradiction.

Second, let j be the maximal number of the rationally independent numbers with 1 among y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} . This means that there exist

$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq k-2$ such that:

- $\{1, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_j}\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ,
- for every $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\}$,

$$y_p = a_{0,p} + a_{1,p}y_{i_1} + \dots + a_{j,p}y_{i_j}, \text{ for some } a_{0,p}, a_{1,p}, \dots, a_{j,p} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Notice that $1 \leq j \leq k-2$.

We can assume, by permuting indices if necessary, that $i_l = l$, $l = 1, \dots, j$. Then we have, for every $p = j+1, \dots, k-1$,

$$(***) \quad y_p = a_{0,p} + a_{1,p}y_1 + \dots + a_{j,p}y_j, \text{ for some } a_{0,p}, a_{1,p}, \dots, a_{j,p} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Now let $v \in \Omega : v = [t_1, \dots, t_k]^T + t[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T$, with $t \neq 0$.
From $(**)$ and $(***)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 mt_k - tm_k &= (mt_1 - tm_1)y_1 + \dots + (mt_{k-1} - tm_{k-1})y_{k-1} \\
 &= (mt_1 - tm_1)y_1 + \dots + (mt_j - tm_j)y_j \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)(a_{0,i} + a_{1,i}y_1 + \dots + a_{j,i}y_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{0,i} + \left((mt_1 - tm_1) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{1,i} \right) y_1 \\
 &\quad + \dots + \left((mt_j - tm_j) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{j,i} \right) y_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{1, y_1, \dots, y_j\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} mt_k - tm_k &= \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{0,i}, \\ mt_s - tm_s + \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{s,i} &= 0, \text{ for all } s = 1, \dots, j. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$mv - tu = \begin{pmatrix} mt_1 - tm_1 \\ mt_2 - tm_2 \\ \vdots \\ mt_{k-1} - tm_{k-1} \\ mt_k - tm_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{1,i} \\ \vdots \\ -\sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{j,i} \\ mt_{j+1} - tm_{j+1} \\ \vdots \\ mt_{k-1} - tm_{k-1} \\ \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} (mt_i - tm_i)a_{0,i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that there exist $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-j} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that

$$mv - tu = tw_1 + t_{j+1}w_2 + \dots + t_{k-1}w_{k-j}.$$

Hence

$$mv = t(w_1 + u) + t_{j+1}w_2 + \dots + t_{k-1}w_{k-j}.$$

We obtain that

$$m\Omega \subset \mathbb{N}(w_1 + u) + \mathbb{N}w_2 + \dots + \mathbb{N}w_{k-j} \subset \text{Vect}\{(w_1 + u), w_2, \dots, w_{k-j}\}.$$

Since Ω is dense in $M^{(k)}$, so $\dim M^{(k)} = k - 1 \leq k - j$ and thus $j = 1$. It follows that $M^{(k)} = \text{Vect}\{w_1 + u_0, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}\}$ and thus

$\mathbb{N}(w_1 + u_0) + \mathbb{N}w_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}w_{k-1}$ is dense in $M^{(k)}$. A contradiction with Lemma 2.3. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. \square

Theorem 2.4 (The case $X = \mathbb{C}^n$). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ are negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Set $A_{\alpha, \beta} = \mathbb{N}^n + i\mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1 + i\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n + i\beta_n]^T$. Then $A_{\alpha, \beta}$ is dense in \mathbb{C}^n and for every nontrivial subspace M of \mathbb{C}^n , $A_{\alpha, \beta} \cap M$ is not dense in M .*

We use the following complex version of Kronecker's theorem.

Lemma 2.5 (Kronecker's theorem: complex version). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ be negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then $\mathbb{N}^n + i\mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1 + i\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n + i\beta_n]^T$ is dense in \mathbb{C}^n .*

Proof. This results from Lemma 2.2 by identifying \mathbb{C}^n with \mathbb{R}^{2n} in the natural way. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. First $A_{\alpha, \beta}$ is dense in \mathbb{C}^n by Lemma 2.5. Assume there is a nontrivial subspace M of \mathbb{C}^n such that $A_{\alpha, \beta} \cap M$ is dense in M . Let M_1, M_2 be two subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n such that $M = M_1 + iM_2$. By the isomorphism $\phi : (x_1 + iy_1, \dots, x_n + iy_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mapsto (x_1, y_1; \dots; x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we have that $\phi(A_{\alpha, \beta}) = \mathbb{N}^{2n} + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \beta_1; \dots; \alpha_n, \beta_n]^T$ and $\phi(A_{\alpha, \beta} \cap M) = \phi(A_{\alpha, \beta}) \cap \phi(M) = A_\mu \cap (M_1 \times M_2)$, where $\mu = [\alpha_1, \beta_1; \dots; \alpha_n, \beta_n]^T$. Then $A_\mu \cap (M_1 \times M_2)$ is dense in $M_1 \times M_2$, ($M_1 \times M_2$ is a nontrivial subspace of \mathbb{R}^{2n}). This leads to a contradiction with Theorem 2.1. \square

We give in the two propositions below other dense subsets A of \mathbb{K}^2 such that for every straight line Δ in \mathbb{K}^2 , $A \cap \Delta$ is not dense in Δ .

Proposition 2.6 (Counterexample in \mathbb{C}^2). *Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are rationally independent and set $A_2 = \{[r_1 e^{2i\pi n_1 \theta_1}, r_2 e^{2i\pi n_2 \theta_2}]^T : r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then A_2 is dense in \mathbb{C}^2 , but $A_2 \cap \Delta$ is not dense in Δ , for every straight line Δ in \mathbb{C}^2 .*

Proof. As $1, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are rationally independent, then A is dense in \mathbb{C}^2 . We let $\Delta = \mathbb{C}u$, where $u = [a_1, a_2]^T \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Suppose that $A_2 \cap \Delta$ is dense in Δ . One can assume that $a_1 a_2 \neq 0$ (since $A_2 \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ whenever $a_1 a_2 = 0$). We let $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi\theta}$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. An element $z \in A_2 \cap \Delta$ can be written as $z = [r_1 e^{2i\pi n_1 \theta_1}, r_2 e^{2i\pi n_2 \theta_2}]^T = \lambda [a_1, a_2]^T$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi(n_2 \theta_2 - n_1 \theta_1)} = \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi\theta}$. Hence $\theta = n_2 \theta_2 - n_1 \theta_1 + k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The triplet (n_1, n_2, k) is unique. Indeed, if (t_1, t_2, k') is another triplet such that $\theta = t_2 \theta_2 - t_1 \theta_1 + k'$, then $(n_2 - t_2) \theta_2 - (n_1 - t_1) \theta_1 + (k - k') = 0$. As $1, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are rationally independent, then $n_2 = t_2, n_1 = t_1, k = k'$. Finally, $A \cap \Delta = \mathbb{R}_+^* v$, where $v = [e^{2i\pi n_1 \theta_1}, \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi n_2 \theta_2}]^T$ and n_1 and n_2 are fixed. Clearly $A \cap \Delta$ is not dense in Δ . \square

Proposition 2.7 (Counterexample in \mathbb{R}^2). *Let $B = \{re^{2i\pi\theta} : r \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}[\cup([\frac{1}{2}, 1[\cap(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}))]\}$. Then B is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 , but for every straight line through the origin Δ , the intersection $B \cap \Delta$, is not dense in Δ .*

Proof. Indeed, $B \cap \Delta$ is only a half line, and thus not dense in Δ . \square

Remark 2.8. We shall show that Lemma 2.3 of [3] is not true if $X = \mathbb{K}^n$, for every $n \geq 1$. Fix an arbitrary $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace Y of X , and let $A = X \setminus Y$, which is dense in X . Let $e \in X$ with $d(e, Y) > 1$, which exists ($d(e, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \|e - y\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the euclidean norm in \mathbb{K}^n). Then Lemma 2.3 of [3] claims that there is some $a \in A$ such that $d(e, \text{Vect}\{Y, a\}) > 1$, which is absurd since $e \in \text{Vect}\{Y, a\}$.

3. Subspace hypercyclicity for abelian semigroups in finite dimensional space

In this section, we will show that the analogue of [3, Corollary 2.4] is true for the action of abelian semigroups on $X = \mathbb{K}^n \neq \mathbb{R}^2$. Let us begin by some definitions.

Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ be the set of all square matrices over \mathbb{K} of order $n \geq 1$. Let G be an abelian sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$. By a sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, we mean a subset of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ which is stable under multiplication and contains the identity matrix. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{K}^n$, we consider the *orbit* of G through v : $G(v) = \{Av : A \in G\} \subset \mathbb{K}^n$. A subset $E \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is called *G -invariant* if $A(E) \subset E$ for every $A \in G$. The orbit $G(v) \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is called *dense* in \mathbb{K}^n if $\overline{G(v)} = \mathbb{K}^n$. We say that G is *hypercyclic* if there exists a vector $v \in \mathbb{K}^n$ such that $G(v)$ is dense in \mathbb{K}^n . In this case, v is called a *hypercyclic vector* for G . G is called *subspace-hypercyclic* for a non-zero subspace M of \mathbb{K}^n , if there exists $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ such that $G(x) \cap M$ is dense in M . We state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be an abelian semigroup of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, $n \geq 2$. Assume that $X = \mathbb{K}^n \neq \mathbb{R}^2$. If G is hypercyclic, then it is subspace-hypercyclic for a nontrivial subspace of X .*

Remark 3.2. Since [3, Theorem 2.1] does not work according to Theorem 2.1, so the statement and the proof of [6, Corollary 2.8] (which used [3, Theorem 2.1]) should be changed and replaced by Theorem 3.1.

Before the proof, we need to introduce the following notations and definitions. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be fixed. We denote by

- $\mathcal{B}_0 = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ the canonical basis of \mathbb{K}^n .
- I_n the identity matrix on \mathbb{K}^n .

Case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be fixed. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. By a partition of n , we mean a finite sequence of positive integers $\eta = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n$. Given a partition $\eta = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$, we denote by:

- $\mathbb{T}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all $m \times m$ lower triangular matrices over \mathbb{C} with only one eigenvalue, for each $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$

- $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{C}) := \mathbb{T}_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{T}_{n_r}(\mathbb{C})$.

In particular, $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{T}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\eta = (n)$ if $r = 1$.

- $u_\eta = [e_{\eta,1}, \dots, e_{\eta,r}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $e_{\eta,k} = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{n_k}$, $k = 1, \dots, r$.

Case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be fixed. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. By a partition of n , we mean a finite sequence of positive integers $\eta = (n_1, \dots, n_r; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s m_j = n$. In particular, we have $r + 2s \leq n$.

- $\mathbb{T}_m(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all $m \times m$ lower triangular matrices over \mathbb{R} with only one eigenvalue, for each $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$

- $\mathbb{B}_m(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of matrices of $M_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$ of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} C & & & 0 \\ C_{2,1} & C & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ C_{m,1} & \dots & C_{m,m-1} & C \end{bmatrix}$$

for each $1 \leq m \leq \frac{n}{2}$, where $C, C_{i,j} \in \mathbb{S}$, $2 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$ and \mathbb{S} is the semigroup of matrices over \mathbb{R} of the form $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$.

- $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{R}) := \mathbb{T}_{n_1}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{T}_{n_r}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{B}_{m_1}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{B}_{m_s}(\mathbb{R})$.

In particular:

- $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{T}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta = (n)$ if $r = 1, s = 0$.

- $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{B}_m(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta = (m)$, $n = 2m$ if $r = 0, s = 1$.

- $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{B}_{m_1}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{B}_{m_s}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta = (m_1, \dots, m_s)$ if $r = 0, s > 1$.

- $u_\eta = [e_{\eta,1}, \dots, e_{\eta,r}; f_{\eta,1}, \dots, f_{\eta,s}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where for every $k = 1, \dots, r; l = 1, \dots, s$, $e_{\eta,k} = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$, $f_{\eta,l} = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2m_l}$.

Proposition 3.3. ([2, Proposition 2.2], for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$; [1, Proposition 2.4], for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) *Let G be an abelian sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Then there exists a $P \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$ such that $P^{-1}GP$ is an abelian sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{K})$, for some partition η of n .*

Theorem 3.4. ([1, Theorem 1.1]; [2, Theorem 1.4]) *Let G be an abelian sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{K})$ for some partition η of n . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) G is hypercyclic,
- (ii) The orbit $G(u_\eta)$ is dense in \mathbb{K}^n .

Proposition 3.5. ([6, Lemma 2.10]) *Let G be a finitely generated abelian sub-semigroup of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If G is subspace-hypercyclic for a non-zero subspace M of \mathbb{K}^n , then there exists $y \in M$ such that $G(y) \cap M$ is dense in M .*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ such that $\overline{G(x)} = \mathbb{K}^n$. One can assume that $G \subset \mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{K})$, for some partition η of n . Indeed, following Proposition 3.3, there exists a $P \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$ such that $G' := P^{-1}GP \subset \mathcal{K}_\eta(\mathbb{K})$ for some partition η of n . It follows that $\overline{G'(x')} = \mathbb{K}^n$, where $x' = P^{-1}(x) \in \mathbb{K}^n$.

Case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. We let $\eta = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$. We see that e_{n_1} is an eigenvector of every element of G . Set $M := \mathbb{C}e_{n_1}$. Then M is nontrivial and G -invariant. Let $G_1 = \{A_1 : A = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_r) \in G\} \subset \mathbb{T}_{n_1}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\sigma(G_1) = \bigcup_{A \in G_1} \sigma(A_1)$: the spectrum of G_1 i.e. the set of eigenvalues of all elements A_1 of G_1 . As G is hypercyclic, then by Theorem 3.4, $G(u_\eta)$ is dense in \mathbb{C}^n and so $\sigma(G_1)$ is dense in \mathbb{C} . Therefore

$$\overline{G(e_{n_1}) \cap M} = \overline{G(e_{n_1})} = \overline{\{\lambda_1 e_{n_1} : \lambda_1 \in \sigma(G_1)\}} = \mathbb{C}e_{n_1} = M.$$

In result, G is subspace-hypercyclic for M .

Case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. We let $\eta = (n_1, \dots, n_r; m_1, \dots, m_s)$.

– If $r \neq 0$, then e_{n_1} is an eigenvector of every element of G . Set $M := \mathbb{R}e_{n_1}$. Then M is nontrivial and G -invariant. Let $G_1^{(r)} = \{A_1 : A = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_r; B_1, \dots, B_s) \in G\} \subset \mathbb{T}_{n_1}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\sigma(G_1^{(r)}) = \bigcup_{A \in G_1^{(r)}} \sigma(A_1)$: the spectrum of $G_1^{(r)}$ i.e. the set of eigenvalues of all elements A_1 of $G_1^{(r)}$. As G is hypercyclic, then by Theorem 3.4, $G(u_\eta)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n and so $\sigma(G_1^{(r)})$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G(e_{n_1}) \cap M} &= \overline{G(e_{n_1})} \\ &= \overline{\{\lambda e_{n_1} : \lambda \in \sigma(G_1^{(r)})\}} \\ &= \mathbb{R}e_{n_1} = M. \end{aligned}$$

In result, G is subspace-hypercyclic for M .

– If $r = 0$, then $\eta = (m_1, \dots, m_s)$. Consider the plane

$$M = \{0\}^{2m_1-2} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}^{n-2m_1} = \mathbb{R}e_{2m_1-1} + \mathbb{R}e_{2m_1}.$$

Then M is G -invariant and distinct from \mathbb{R}^n whenever $n \neq 2$. We let $G_1^{(s)} = \{B_1 : A = \text{diag}(B_1, \dots, B_s) \in G\}$ and $S_1 = \{C_1 : B_1 \in G_1^{(s)}\}$, where $C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\beta_1 \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix}$ be the diagonal element of B_1 . As G is hypercyclic, then $G(u_\eta)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n , where $u_\eta = [f_{\eta,1}, \dots, f_{\eta,s}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that $\overline{[B_1 f_{\eta,1}]^T : A \in G}$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^{2m_1} and so $S_1([1, 0]^T)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 . On

the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G(e_{2m_1-1})} \cap M &= \overline{G(e_{2m_1-1})} \\ &= \overline{\{0\}^{2m_1-2} \times S_1([1, 0]^T) \times \{0\}^{n-2m_1}} \\ &= \{0\}^{2m_1-2} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}^{n-2m_1} = M. \end{aligned}$$

In result G is subspace-hypercyclic for M . This completes the proof. \square

4. The case: $X = \mathbb{R}^2$

Herewith an example of a parameter family of hypercyclic abelian semigroups on \mathbb{R}^2 which are not subspace-hypercyclic for every straight line in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Example 4.1. Let $p, q \geq 2$ be two coprime numbers and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ irrational. Let G_θ be the abelian semigroup on \mathbb{R}^2 generated by

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{q} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{q} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\pi\theta) & -\sin(\pi\theta) \\ \sin(\pi\theta) & \cos(\pi\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then G_θ is hypercyclic but not subspace-hypercyclic for every straight line in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. By ([4, Corollary 4.2]), the set $\{\frac{p^k}{q^m} : k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in \mathbb{R}_+ . Since θ is irrational, the set $\{\frac{p^k}{q^m} e^{i\pi s\theta} : k, m, s \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in \mathbb{C} . Therefore, $G_\theta(e_1)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let us prove that G_θ is not subspace-hypercyclic for every straight line in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $v = [x, y]^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{[0, 0]^T\}$. We have

$$G_\theta(v) = \left\{ \left[\frac{p^k}{q^m} \left(\cos(\pi s\theta)(x, y) + \sin(\pi s\theta)(-y, x) \right) \right]^T : k, m, s \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Since $([x, y]^T, [-y, x]^T)$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , so

$$\begin{aligned} G_\theta(v) \cap \mathbb{R}v &= \left\{ \frac{p^k}{q^m} \cos(\pi s\theta) v : s\theta \in \mathbb{Z} : k, m, s \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{p^k}{q^m} v : k, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ v \end{aligned}$$

This proves that G_θ is not subspace-hypercyclic for the straight line $\mathbb{R}v$ since otherwise, by Proposition 3.5, there is $z = \alpha v$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\overline{G_\theta(z)} \cap \mathbb{R}v = \mathbb{R}v$. Thus $\mathbb{R}v \subset \alpha \mathbb{R}_+ v$, a contradiction. \square

5. An example in the non-abelian case

Proposition 5.1. *There exists a Non-abelian semigroup of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ which is hypercyclic and subspace-hypercyclic for a straight line.*

Proof. In [7], Javaheri proves that there are two non-commuting matrices A and B on \mathbb{K}^n that generate a hypercyclic semigroup, where $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is lower triangular and $B = \text{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is diagonal. The matrices A and B satisfy the condition: $\left\{ \left(\frac{b_n}{b_1} \right)^k \left(\frac{a_{n,n}}{a_{1,1}} \right)^l : k, l \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is dense in \mathbb{K} . Let G be the semigroup generated by $A, B, A' = \frac{1}{a_{1,1}}I_n$ and $B' = \frac{1}{b_1}I_n$. Clearly G is a hypercyclic non-abelian semigroup of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover e_n is an eigenvector of every element of G . We have

$$\left\{ (BB')^k (AA')^l e_n : k, l \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \left\{ \left(\frac{b_n}{b_1} \right)^k \left(\frac{a_{n,n}}{a_{1,1}} \right)^l e_n : k, l \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset G(e_n)$$

It follows that $G(e_n) \cap \mathbb{K}e_n = G(e_n)$ is dense in $\mathbb{K}e_n$. We conclude that G is subspace-hypercyclic for the straight line $\mathbb{K}e_n$. \square

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the research unit: “Dynamical systems and their applications” [UR17ES21], Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Faculty of Science of Bizerte, Bizerte, Tunisia.

REFERENCES

1. A. Ayadi and H. Marzougui, *Abelian semigroups of matrices on \mathbb{C}^n and hypercyclicity*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **57**, 323–338 (2014)
2. A. Ayadi and H. Marzougui, *Hypercyclic abelian semigroups of matrices on \mathbb{R}^n* , Topology Appl. **210**, 29–45 (2016); corrigendum ibid. 287, Article ID 107330, 8 p.(2021).
3. N. Bamerni, V. Kadets and A. Kiliçman, *Hypercyclic operators are subspace hypercyclic*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **435** (2), 1812–1815 (2016).
4. N.S. Feldman, *Hypercyclic tuples of operators and somewhere dense orbits*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **346**, 82–98 (2008)
5. Hardy, G.H and Wright, E.M.: An introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Oxford University Press (2008).
6. S. Herzi and H. Marzougui, *Subspace-hypercyclic abelian linear semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **487**, (2020) 123960.
7. M. Javaheri, *Semigroups of matrices with dense orbits*. Dynamical systems, **26** (2011) 235–243.
8. S. Shkarin, *Hypercyclic tuples of operator on \mathbb{C}^n and \mathbb{R}^n* , Linear and Multilinear Alg. **60**, 885–896 (2011).

SALAH HERZI, UNIVERSITY OF CARTHAGE, PREPARATORY ENGINEERING INSTITUTE OF BIZERTE, JARZOUNA. 7021. TUNISIA.
Email address: salahherziamor@gmail.com

HABIB MARZOUGUI, UNIVERSITY OF CARTHAGE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE OF BIZERTE,
(UR17ES21), “DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS”, 7021, JARZOUNA, BIZ-
ERTE, TUNISIA

Email address: `habib.marzougui@fsb.rnu.tn`