

ON SUPER-RIGID AND UNIFORMLY SUPER-RIGID OPERATORS

OTMANE BENCHIHEB, FATIMAEZZAHRA SADEK, AND MOHAMED AMOUCH

ABSTRACT. An operator T acting on a Banach space X is said to be super-recurrent if for each open subset U of X , there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda T^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of super-rigidity and uniform super-rigidity which are related to the notion of super-recurrence. We investigate some properties of these classes of operators and show that they share some properties with super-recurrent operators. At the end, we study the case of finite-dimensional spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, X will denote a Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}). By an operator, we mean a linear and continuous map acting on X , and we denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the set of all operators acting on X .

A (discrete) linear dynamical system is a pair (X, T) consisting of a Banach space X and an operator T . The most important and studied notions in the linear dynamical system are those of hypercyclicity and supercyclicity.

We say that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is *hypercyclic* if there exists a vector x whose orbit under T ;

$$\text{Orb}(T, x) := \{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

is dense in X , in which case, the vector x is called a *hypercyclic vector* for T . The set of all hypercyclic vectors for T is denoted by $HC(T)$.

An equivalent notion of the hypercyclicity in context of separable Banach spaces, called *topological transitivity*, was introduced by Birkhoff in [5]: an operator T acting on a separable Banach space X is hypercyclic if and only if it is topologically transitive; that is, for each pair (U, V) of nonempty open subsets of X there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$T^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

In 1974, Hilden and Wallen in [6] introduced the concept of supercyclicity. An operator T acting on X is said to be *supercyclic* if there exists $x \in X$ such that

$$\mathbb{K}\text{Orb}(T, x) := \{\lambda T^n x : \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is dense in X . Such a vector x is called a *supercyclic vector* for T . The set of all supercyclic vectors for T is denoted by $SC(T)$.

An operator T acting on a separable Banach space X is supercyclic if and only if for each pair (U, V) of nonempty open subsets of X there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lambda T^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset,$$

see [4, Theorem 1.12].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16, 37B20 .

Key words and phrases. Hypercyclicity, recurrence, super-recurrence, rigidity, uniform rigidity.

For more information about hypercyclic and supercyclic operators and their proprieties, see K.G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris's book [16] and F. Bayart and E. Matheron's book [4], and the survey article [17] by K.G. Grosse-Erdmann.

Another important notion in the linear dynamical system that has a long story is that of recurrence which introduced by Poincaré in [20]. Later, it have been studied by Gottschalk and Hedlund [15] and also by Furstenberg [13]. Recently, recurrent operators have been studied in [10].

We say that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is *recurrent* if for each open subset U of X , there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$T^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset.$$

A vector $x \in X$ is called a recurrent vector for T if there exists an increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$T^{n_k}x \longrightarrow x \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty.$$

We denoted by $Rec(T)$ the set of all recurrent vectors for T . and we have that T is recurrent if and only if $Rec(T)$ is dense in X . For more information about this classe of operators, see [1, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 18, 19, 21].

Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *rigid* if there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$T^{n_k}x \longrightarrow x, \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

This means that each vector of the space X is a recurrent vector for T with respect to the same sequence (n_k) .

An operator T is said to be *uniformly rigid* if there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|T^{n_k} - I\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|T^{n_k}x - x\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Clearly, we have that

$$T \text{ is uniformly rigid} \Rightarrow T \text{ is rigid} \Rightarrow T \text{ is recurrent}.$$

The converses of those implications does not hold in general, see [10].

Recently in [2], recurrent operators have been generalized to a large class of operators called *super-recurrent* operators. We say that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is super-recurrent if for each open subset U of X , there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lambda T^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset.$$

A vector $x \in X$ is called a super-recurrent vector for T if there exist an increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $(\lambda_k) \subset \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\lambda_k T^{n_k}x \longrightarrow x \text{ as } k \longrightarrow \infty.$$

We denoted by $SRec(T)$ the set of all recurrent vectors for T .

Taking into consideration the link between recurrence and their deviation, we introduce in this paper the notions of super-rigidity and uniform super-rigidity which are related to the notion of super-recurrence and generalize the notions of rigidity and uniform rigidity.

In Section 2, we study the notion of super-rigidity. We give the relationship between super-rigid, rigid, super-recurrent, and recurrent operators. Also we prove that the super-rigidity is preserved under similarity and we give the relationship between the super-rigidity of an operator T and its iterates by showing that T is super-rigid if and only for each $p \geq 2$, the operator T^p is super-rigid. At the end of the section, several spectral properties of super-rigid operators will be proven.

In Section 3, we introduce and study the notion of uniformly super-rigid operators. As in the super-rigidity's case, we prove that the property of being uniform super-rigid is preserved under similarity and that T is uniformly super-rigid if and only if T^p is uniformly super rigid for all p . Moreover, we prove that the spectrum of a uniform-super-rigid operator has a specific property. This lead us to show that being invertible is a necessary condition of being uniformly super-rigid.

In Section 4, we characterize the super-recurrence in finite-dimensional spaces, and we show that in this case, the notions of super-recurrent, super-rigid and uniformly super-rigid are equivalent.

2. SUPER-RIGID OPERATORS

In the following, we introduce the notion of *super-rigid* operators which generalizes the notion of rigidity and related to the notion of super-recurrence.

Definition 2.1. An operator T acting on X is called super-rigid if there exist a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of numbers such that

$$\lambda_k T^{n_k} x \longrightarrow x$$

for every $x \in X$.

Example 2.2. For $1 < p < \infty$, let $X = \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$. Let R be a strictly positive number and (λ_k) a sequence of numbers such that $\lambda_k \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$ for all k . Let T be an operator defined on $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ by

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \dots), \quad \text{for all } (x_k) \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}).$$

Then T is super-rigid. Indeed, this is since $R^{-1}T$ is recurrent, see [10, Theorem 5.4].

Remark 2.3. It is clear that the notion of super-rigidity implies the notion of rigidity, but the converse does not hold in general. Indeed, let T be the operator defined in Example 2.2, then T is super-rigid. However, T is recurrent if and only if $\lambda_k = 1$, for all k , see [10, Theorem 5.4].

Let T be an operator acting on X . It is clear that

$$T \text{ is super-rigid} \Rightarrow T \text{ is super-recurrent.}$$

However, the converse does not hold in general even if T is supercyclic as we show in the next example.

Example 2.4. Let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the canonical basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mathbf{w} = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence of positive numbers. Let $B_{\mathbf{w}}$ be the weighted backward shift operator, defined on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ by

$$B_{\mathbf{w}}(e_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mathbf{w}}(e_n) = \omega_n e_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

$B_{\mathbf{w}}$ is super-recurrent since it is supercyclic, see [4, Example 1.15]. However, $B_{\mathbf{w}}$ cannot be super-rigid since $B_{\mathbf{w}}(e_1) = 0$.

We have the following diagram showing the relationships among super-rigidity, rigidity, super-recurrence, and recurrence.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rigidity} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Recurrence} \\ \nwarrow \text{Remark 2.3} \downarrow & \xleftarrow{[10, \text{Example 5.4}]} & \downarrow \nwarrow [2, \text{Remarks 2.2}] \\ \text{Super-rigidity} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Super-recurrence} \\ & \nwarrow \text{Example 2.4} & \end{array}$$

In the following proposition, we prove that the super-rigidity is preserved under similarity.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. Assume that T and S are similar. Then T is super-rigid on X if and only if S is super-rigid on Y .*

Proof. Since T and S are similar, then there exists a homeomorphisme $\phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that $S \circ \phi = \phi \circ T$. Let $y \in Y$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $y = \phi x$. Since T is super-rigid in X , there exist a strictly increasing sequence (n_k) of positive integers and a sequence (λ_k) of numbers such that $\lambda_k T^{n_k} x \longrightarrow x$ as $k \longrightarrow +\infty$. Since ϕ is continuous and $S \circ \phi = \phi \circ T$, it follows that $\lambda_k S^{n_k} y \longrightarrow y$ as $k \longrightarrow +\infty$, which means that S is super-rigid. \square

Let p be a nonzero fixed positive integer. In 1995, Ansari proved that T is a hypercyclic (resp, supercyclic) operator on a separable Banach space if and only if T^p is hypercyclic (resp, supercyclic), see [3]. Later, the same result was proven for recurrent operators, see [10, Proposition 2.3], and for super-recurrent operators, see [2, Theorem 3.11]. In the following theorem, we prove that this result remains true for super-rigid operators.

Theorem 2.6. *Let T be an operator acting on X . Then T is super-rigid if and only if T^p is super-rigid for all $p \geq 2$.*

Proof. Assume that T is super-rigid, then there exist a strictly increasing sequence (n_k) of positive integers and a sequence (λ_k) of numbers such that $\lambda_k T^{n_k} x \longrightarrow x$ for every $x \in X$. Let $M := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\lambda_k T^{n_k}\|$. By Banach-Steinhaus theorem we have $M < +\infty$. Let x be a vector of X , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_k^p T^{pn_k} x - x\| &= \|\lambda_k^p T^{pn_k} x - \lambda_k^{p-1} T^{(p-1)n_k} x + \lambda_k^{p-1} T^{(p-1)n_k} x - \dots + \lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\| \\ &\leq \|\lambda_k^p T^{pn_k} x - \lambda_k^{p-1} T^{(p-1)n_k} x\| + \dots + \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\| \\ &\leq \|\lambda_k^{p-1} T^{p-1}\| \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\| + \dots + \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} M^i \right) \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is super-rigid with respect to (n_k) and (λ_k) , it follows that $\lambda_k^p T^{pn_k} x \longrightarrow x$, which means that T^p is super-rigid with respect to (n_k) and (λ_k^p) . \square

In the following, we will give a characterization of the spectrum of super-rigid operator. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let T be an operator acting on X and λ a nonzero number. Then, T is super-rigid if and only if λT is super-rigid.*

Proof. Assume that T is super-rigid. then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $(\lambda_k) \subset \mathbb{K}$ such that $\lambda_k T^{n_k} x \longrightarrow x$ for every $x \in X$. Let $\mu_k = \lambda^{-n_k} \lambda_k$. Then

$$\mu_k (\lambda T)^{n_k} x = \lambda^{-n_k} \lambda_k T^{n_k} x = \lambda_k T^{n_k} x \longrightarrow x,$$

for every $x \in X$. This implies that λT is super-rigid. \square

The next proposition shows that the eigenvalues of a super-rigid operators has the same argument.

Proposition 2.8. *Let T be an operator acting on X . If T is super-rigid, then*

$$\sigma_p(T) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\},$$

for some strictly positive real number R .

Proof. Assume that there exist λ_1 and λ_2 in the point spectrum of T such that $|\lambda_1| < |\lambda_2|$. Let m be a strictly positive real number such that $|\lambda_1| < m < |\lambda_2|$. Since $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \sigma_p(T)$, it follows that

there exist $x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Tx = \lambda_1 x$ and $Ty = \lambda_2 y$. By Lemma 2.7, the operator $\frac{1}{m}T$ is super-rigid. Hence, there exist a sequence $(\mu_k) \subset \mathbb{K}$ and a sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \mu_k \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{m} \right)^{n_k} x \longrightarrow x$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \mu_k \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{m} \right)^{n_k} y \longrightarrow y.$$

By (2.1), we have $|\mu_k| \longrightarrow +\infty$, and by (2.2), we have $|\mu_k| \longrightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 2.9. Proposition 2.8 is not true in general for super-recurrent operators which are not super-rigid. Indeed, let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the canonical basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mathbf{w} = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence of positive numbers. Suppose that $B_{\mathbf{w}}$ is defined as in Example 2.4, then $B_{\mathbf{w}}$ is super-recurrent. However, if $\omega_n = 1$, for all $n \geq 1$, and $B_{\mathbf{w}} = B$ is the backward shift operator, then

$$\sigma_p(B) = B(0, 1).$$

Let T be a super-rigid operator acting on a Banach space X . Since any super-rigid operator is super-recurrent, it follows by [2, Theorem 4.2] that if T is super-rigid, then the eigenvalues of T^* ; the Banach adjoint operator of T , are of the same argument. This means that there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that $\sigma_p(T^*) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R_1\}$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.8, the eigenvalues of T are of the same argument. This means that there exists $R_2 > 0$ such that $\sigma_p(T) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R_2\}$. The next proposition shows that we have $R_1 = R_2$. This means that the eigenvalues of T and the eigenvalues of his Banach adjoint are of the same argument.

Proposition 2.10. *Let T be an operator acting on X . If T is super-rigid, then the eigenvalues of T and the eigenvalues of his Banach adjoint are of the same argument. This means that there exists some $R > 0$ such that*

$$\sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_p(T^*) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}.$$

Proof. Assume that there exist $\lambda_1 \in \sigma_p(T)$ and $\lambda_1 \in \sigma_p(T^*)$ such that $|\lambda_1| < m < |\lambda_2|$, where m is a strictly positive real number. Since $\lambda_1 \in \sigma_p(T)$ and $\lambda_1 \in \sigma_p(T^*)$, it follows that there exist $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ and $x^* \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Tx = \lambda_1 x$ and $T^*x^* = \lambda_2 x^*$. By lemma 2.7, the operator $\frac{1}{m}T$ is super-rigid. Hence, there exist a sequence $(\mu_k) \subset \mathbb{K}$ and a sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu_k \left(\frac{1}{m}T \right)^{n_k} y \longrightarrow y$, for all $y \in X$. In particular, for $y = x$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_k \left(\frac{1}{m}T \right)^{n_k} x = \mu_k \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{m} \right)^{n_k} x \longrightarrow x.$$

Since x^* is a nonzero linear form on X , it follows that there exists $z \in X$ such that $x^*(z) \neq 0$. Since $\frac{1}{m}T$ is super-rigid and x^* is continuous, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \mu_k \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{m} \right)^{n_k} x^*(z) \longrightarrow x^*(z).$$

\square

By (2.3), we have $|\mu_k| \longrightarrow +\infty$ and by (2.4), we have $|\mu_k| \longrightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction.

Example 2.11. Let T be the operator defined in Example 2.2. If $p = 2$, then it is easy to prove that $\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$. Moreover, a simple verification shows that the adjoint of T is defined by

$$T^*(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (\overline{\lambda_1}x_1, \overline{\lambda_2}x_2, \dots).$$

Hence, $\sigma_p(T^*) = \{\overline{\lambda_1}, \overline{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$. This shows that

$$\sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_p(T^*) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}.$$

3. UNIFORMLY SUPER-RIGID OPERATORS

In the following, we introduce the notion of uniform super-rigidity which generalizes the notion of uniform rigidity.

Definition 3.1. An operator T acting on X is called uniformly super-rigid if there exist a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of numbers such that

$$\|\lambda_k T^{n_k} - I\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Example 3.2. Let T be an operator defined on \mathbb{C}^n by: $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_n x_n)$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = R > 0$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ and $m \geq 0$, we have

$$R^{-m} T^m(x_1, \dots, x_n) - (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (R^{-m} \lambda_1^m x_1, \dots, R^{-m} \lambda_n^m x_n).$$

Since $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = R > 0$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, it follows that there exists a strictly increasing sequence (m_k) of positive integers such that

$$\|R^{m_k} T^{m_k}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - (x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \longrightarrow 0.$$

This means that T is a uniformly super-rigid operator.

Remark 3.3. It is clear that the uniform super-rigidity implies the uniform rigidity. However, the converse does not hold in general. Indeed, let T be the operator defined as in the Example 3.2, then T is a uniformly super-rigid whenever $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = R > 0$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. But the operator T is uniform rigid if and only if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = 1$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, see [10, Section 4].

Lemma 3.4. Let T be an operator acting on X . If T is uniformly super-rigid, then λT is uniformly super-rigid for all nonzero number λ .

Proof. If T is uniformly super-rigid with respect to a sequence (μ_k) and a sequence (n_k) , then it is easy to show that λT is uniformly super-rigid with respect to $(\mu_k \lambda^{-n_k})$ and (n_k) . \square

Remark 3.5. It is clear that each uniform super-rigid operator is super-rigid. However, the converse does not hold in general. Indeed, let $(\theta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |e^{2\pi i n \theta_k} - 1| \right) \nrightarrow 0.$$

Let $R > 0$, and $\lambda_k = R e^{2\pi i n \theta_k}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let T be the operator defined as in Example 2.2. Then T is super-rigid. On the other hand, assume that if T is uniformly super-rigid, then $R^{-1} T$ is uniformly rigid, which is not possible by [10, Theorem 5.4]. Hence, T is super-rigid but not uniformly super-rigid.

In the following proposition, we prove that the uniform super-rigidity is preserved under similarity.

Proposition 3.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. Assume that T and S are similar. Then T is uniformly super-rigid on X if and only if S is uniformly super-rigid on Y .

Proof. Since T and S are similar, then there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that $S \circ \phi = \phi \circ T$.

Since T is uniformly super-rigid, it follows that a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of numbers such that

$$\|\lambda_k T^{n_k} - I\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let y be a nonzero vector of Y and pick x a nonzero vector of X such that $y = \phi(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_k S^{n_k} - I\| &= \sup_{y \neq 0} \|\lambda_k S^{n_k} y - y\| \\ &= \sup_{x \neq 0} \|\lambda_k S^{n_k} \circ \phi(x) - \phi(x)\| \\ &= \sup_{x \neq 0} \|\phi(\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x)\| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \sup_{x \neq 0} \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} x - x\| \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence S is uniformly super-rigid on Y . \square

In the following theorem, we give the relationship between the uniform super-rigidity of an operator and its iterations.

Theorem 3.7. *Let T be an operator acting on X . Then T is uniformly super-rigid if and only if T^p is uniformly super-rigid, for all $p \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let p be a strictly positive integer. Assume that T is uniformly super-rigid, then there exist a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of numbers such that $\|\lambda_k T^{n_k} - I\| \longrightarrow 0$. By Banach-Steinhaus theorem we have $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\lambda_k T^{n_k}\| = M := < +\infty$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_k^p T^{pn_k} - I\| &\leq \|\lambda_k^{p-1} T^{(p-1)n_k} + \lambda_k^{p-2} T^{(p-2)n_k} + \cdots + I\| \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} - I\| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} M^i \right) \|\lambda_k T^{n_k} - I\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T^p is uniformly super-rigid whenever T is uniformly super-rigid. \square

Let T be an operator acting on X . If T is super-rigid, then by Proposition 2.10, there exists some $R > 0$ such that $\sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_p(T^*) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$. For uniformly super-rigid operators we have a significant strengthening of this result.

Theorem 3.8. *Let T be an operator acting on X . If T is uniformly super-rigid, then there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\sigma(T) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}.$$

Proof. Assume that T is uniformly super-rigid. Then there exist a sequence $(\mu_k) \subset \mathbb{K}$ and a sequence $(n_k) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\mu_k T^{n_k} - I\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$.

Without loss of generality, by Lemma 3.4, we may suppose that $\|T\| = 1$. By Proposition 2.10, we have $\sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_p(T^*) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$ for some $0 < R \leq 1$. Since $\sigma_p(T) \cap \mathbb{D} = \emptyset$, it follows that $R = 1$.

If $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{D}$, then by the previous discussion, λ is necessarily in $\sigma_a(T)$, the approximate point spectrum of T .

By contradiction, assume that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{D}$. Since $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, it follows by the spectral theorem that $\lambda^p \in \sigma(T^p)$, for all $p \geq 2$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.7, the operator T^p is uniformly super rigid for all $p \geq 2$. Hence λ^p is in the approximate point spectrum of T^p . Thus,

for all $p \geq 2$, there exists a sequence $(x_k^{(p)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ such that $\|Tx_k^{(p)} - \lambda x_k^{(p)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Using this, one can find sequence $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{|z| = 1\}$ and $\|T^k y_k - \lambda y_k\| < a_k$, where (a_k) is such that $(|\mu_k|(a_k + |\lambda|^k)) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that

$$\|\mu_k T^k y_k\| \leq |\mu_k|(a_k + |\lambda|^k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand

$$|\|\mu_k T^{n_k} y_{n_k}\| - 1| \leq \|\mu_k T^{n_k} y_{n_k} - y_{n_k}\| \leq \|\mu_k T^{n_k} - I\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 3.9. The inclusion in Theorem 3.8 could be a strict inclusion. Indeed, let λ be an nonzero number, then λI is a uniformly super-rigid operator. On the other hand, let $|\lambda| = R$. Then

$$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda\} \subsetneq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}.$$

Proposition 3.10. *Let T be an operator acting on X . If T is uniformly super-rigid, then it is invertible.*

Proof. Assume that T is uniformly super-rigid. By Theorem 3.8, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$. Hence, $0 \notin \sigma(T)$, which means that T is invertible. \square

4. FINITE DIMENSIONAL SPACES

In this section, we will characterize the super-recurrence, super-rigidity, and uniform super-rigidity in finite-dimensional space.

In the following, if $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{K}^d)$, then we denote by A a matrix of T . Moreover, if T is super-recurrent, let $R > 0$ be such that each component of the spectrum of T intersects the circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$, see [2, Theorem 4.1].

In the complex case, we have then the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *T is super-recurrent;*
- (2) *T is super-rigid;*
- (3) *T is uniformly super-rigid;*
- (4) *A is similar to a diagonal matrix with entries of the same argument.*

Proof. We need only to prove that (4) \Rightarrow (3) and (1) \Rightarrow (4).

(4) \Rightarrow (3) : Assume that A is similar to a diagonal matrix with entries $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$ for some $R > 0$. Then there exists an increasing sequence (n_k) such that $(R^{-1}\lambda_i)^{n_k} \rightarrow 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq d$. This implies that T is uniformly super-rigid.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) : Assume that T is super-recurrent. Using the fact that $\sigma_p(T) = \sigma(T)$ and [2, Theorem 4.1], we conclude that $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M\} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$, where each λ_i with multiplicities m_i . By Jordan decomposition theorem, the matrix A is similar to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_M \end{pmatrix},$$

where each A_j has the form $A_j = \lambda_j I_{m_j}$ or the form

$$(4.1) \quad A_j = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_j & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_j & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that there exists a block A_{j_0} has the form (4.1), then $m_j \geq 2$. Using [2, Proposition 3.7], we conclude that the operator presented by the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{j_0} & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_{j_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

is super-recurrent on \mathbb{C}^2 . By straightforward induction, we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$B^n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{j_0}^n & n\lambda_{j_0}^{n-1} \\ 0 & \lambda_{j_0}^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ be a super-recurrent vector for B with $z_2 \neq 0$. Then there exist $(\mu_k) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers (n_k) such that

$$\mu_k \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{j_0}^{n_k} & n\lambda_{j_0}^{n_k-1} \\ 0 & \lambda_{j_0}^{n_k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow (z_1, z_2).$$

which implies that

$$\mu_k \lambda_{j_0}^{n_k} z_2 \longrightarrow z_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_k \lambda_{j_0}^{n_k} z_1 + \mu_k n_k \lambda_{j_0}^{n_k-1} z_2 \longrightarrow z_1.$$

This is impossible since by hypothesis $z_2 \neq 0$. □

In the real case, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *T is super-recurrent;*
- (2) *T is super-rigid;*
- (3) *T is uniformly super-rigid;*
- (4) *A is similar to a matrix of the form*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_M \end{pmatrix},$$

where each A_k , $1 \leq k \leq M$, is 1×1 matrix with entry R or $-R$, or 2×2 matrix of the form

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. We need only to prove that (4) \Rightarrow (3) and (1) \Rightarrow (4).

(1) \Rightarrow (4) : Assume that T is super-recurrent. By the Jordan decomposition, the matrix A is similar to a matrix which has the form of (4.2). We have then two cases.

(1) : Each A_k has the form $\lambda_k I_{m_k}$. In this case, it suffices to do the same method which was used in the complex case to conclude.

(2) : Each A_k has the form

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} B & I_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B & I_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B & I_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where B has the form of (4.3). We claim that $A_k = B$ for all k , $1 \leq k \leq M$. Indeed, if there exist k_0 which different to B , then by [2, Proposition 3.7], the operator presented by the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} B & I_2 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

is super-recurrent in \mathbb{R}^4 . The same argument used in the complex case lead us to a contradiction.

Hence, if T is super-recurrent, then A is similar to a matrix which has the form of (4.2).

(4) \Rightarrow (3) : It is not difficult that each matrix of that form is uniformly super-rigid. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E.Akin. Recurrence in topological dynamics. The University Series in Mathematics. Plenum Press, New York, 1997. Furstenberg families and Ellis actions.
- [2] Amouch M. Benchiheb O. On super-recurrent operators. arXiv:2102.12170v1 [math.FA] 24 Feb 2021.
- [3] Ansari SI. Hypercyclic and cyclic vectors. J. Funct. Anal. 1995;128:374-383.
- [4] Bayart F. Matheron E. Dynamics of linear operators. 2009; New York, NY, USA, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [5] Birkhoff GD. Surface transformations and their dynamical applications. Acta Math. 1922;43:1-119.
- [6] Hilden HM, Wallen LJ. Some cyclic and non-cyclic vectors of certain operators. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1994; 23:557-565.
- [7] Bonilla A. Grosse-Erdmann K-G. López-Martínez A. Peris A. Frequently recurrent operators. arXiv:2006.11428v1 [math.FA] 19 Jun 2020.
- [8] R. Cardeccia and S. Muro, Arithmetic progressions and chaos in linear dynamics, arXiv:2003.07161 (2020).
- [9] Costakis G, Parissis I. Szemerédi's theorem, frequent hypercyclicity and multiple recurrence. Math. Scand. 2012;110:251-272.
- [10] Costakis G, Manoussos A, Parissis I. Recurrent linear operators. Complex. Anal. Oper. Th. 2014;8:1601-1643.
- [11] Eisner, T. (2009). Rigidity of contractions on Hilbert spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:0909.4695.
- [12] Eisner, T., Grivaux, S.: Hilbertian Jamison sequences and rigid dynamical systems. J. Funct. Anal. 261(7), 2013-2052 (2011)
- [13] Furstenberg H. Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory. Princeton: Princeton University Press, M. B. Porter Lectures 1981.
- [14] V. J. Galán, F. Martlnez-Gimenez, P. Oprocha and A. Peris, Product recurrence for weighted backward shifts, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 9 (2015), 2361-2365.
- [15] W. H. Gottschalk and G. H. Hedlund, Topological dynamics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I. 1955.
- [16] Grosse-Erdmann KG, Peris A. Linear Chaos. (Universitext). Springer, London 2011.
- [17] Grosse-Erdmann, K. G. (1999). Universal families and hypercyclic operators. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 36(3), 345-381.
- [18] S. Grivaux, É. Matheron and Q. Menet, Linear dynamical systems on Hilbert spaces: Typical properties and explicit examples, arXiv:1703.01854v1 [math.FA] 6 Mar 2017.
- [19] S. He, Y. Huang and Z. Yin, J^F -class weighted backward shifts, Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. 28 (2018), 1850076, 11 pp.
- [20] H. Poincaré. Sur le problème des trois corps et les équations de la dynamique. Acta mathematica, 13(1), 3-270 (1890).
- [21] Z. Yin and Y. Wei, Recurrence and topological entropy of translation operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 460 (2018), 203-215.

(Otmame Benchiheb, Fatimaezzahra Sadek and Mohamed Amouch) UNIVERSITY CHOUAIB DOUKKALI. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE ELJADIDA, MOROCCO

Email address: `otmane.benchiheb@gmail.com`

Email address: `sadek.fatimaezzahra@yahoo.fr`

Email address: `amouch.m@ucd.ac.ma`