

Controlled g -atomic subspaces for operators and stability of dual controlled g -fusion frame in Hilbert spaces

Prasenjit Ghosh

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta,
35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata, 700019, West Bengal, India
e-mail: prasenjitpuremath@gmail.com

T. K. Samanta

Department of Mathematics, Uluberia College,
Uluberia, Howrah, 711315, West Bengal, India
e-mail: mumpu_tapas5@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

Controlled g -atomic subspace for a bounded linear operator is being presented. Some properties of controlled K - g -fusion frame have been discussed. We establish relationship between quotient operator and controlled K - g -fusion frame and then construct several useful resolutions of the identity operator on a Hilbert space using the theory of controlled g -fusion frames. Frame operator for a pair of controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences has been introduced. Finally, we shall discuss the stability of dual controlled g -fusion frame.

Keywords: K - g -fusion frame, g -atomic subspace, frame operator, controlled g -fusion frame, controlled K - g -fusion frame.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C15; 94A12; 46C07.

1 Introduction

Frame for Hilbert space was first introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [10] in 1952 to study some fundamental problems in non-harmonic Fourier series. Later on, after some decades, frame theory was popularized by Daubechies et al. [8]. For special applications many other types of frames were proposed such as K -frame [12], fusion frame [5], g -frame [22], g -fusion frame [15, 20] and K - g -fusion frame [1] etc. P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta [13] studied the stability of dual g -fusion frame in Hilbert space and they also discussed generalized atomic subspace for operator in Hilbert space and presented the frame operator for a pair of g -fusion Bessel sequences [14].

Controlled frame is one of the newest generalization of frame. I. Bogdanova et al. [4] introduced controlled frame for spherical wavelets to get numerically more efficient approximation algorithm. Thereafter, P. Balaz [3] developed weighted and controlled frame in Hilbert space. In recent times, several generalizations of controlled frame namely, controlled K -frame [17], controlled g -frame [18], controlled fusion frame [16], controlled g -fusion frame [21], controlled K - g -fusion frame [19] etc.

have been appeared. At present, frame theory has been widely used in signal and image processing, filter bank theory, coding and communications, system modeling and so on.

In this paper, we develop some results in K - g -fusion frame to the controlled K - g -fusion frame. Controlled g -atomic subspace for a bounded linear operator is introduced and a characterization is given. A necessary and sufficient condition for controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence to be a controlled K - g -fusion frame is established. We discuss some useful results about resolution of the identity operator on a Hilbert space using the theory of controlled g -fusion frame. The frame operator for a pair of controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences are discussed and some properties of its are going to be established. Finally, stability of controlled g -fusion frame and its dual have been presented.

Throughout this paper, H is considered to be a separable Hilbert space with associated inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$ are the collection of Hilbert spaces, where J is subset of integers \mathbb{Z} . I_H is the identity operator on H . $\mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ is a collection of all bounded linear operators from H_1 to H_2 . In particular $\mathcal{B}(H)$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators on H . For $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we denote $\mathcal{N}(S)$ and $\mathcal{R}(S)$ for null space and range of S , respectively. Also, $P_M \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is the orthonormal projection onto a closed subspace $M \subset H$. $\mathcal{GB}(H)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators which have bounded inverse. If $S, R \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$, then R^*, R^{-1} and SR are also belongs to $\mathcal{GB}(H)$. $\mathcal{GB}^+(H)$ is the set of all positive operators in $\mathcal{GB}(H)$ and T, U are invertible operators in $\mathcal{GB}(H)$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some necessary definitions and theorems.

Theorem 2.1. (*Douglas' factorization theorem*) [9] Let $S, V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(V)$.
- (ii) $SS^* \leq \lambda^2 VV^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$.
- (iii) $S = VW$ for some bounded linear operator W on H .

Theorem 2.2. [7] The set $\mathcal{S}(H)$ of all self-adjoint operators on H is a partially ordered set with respect to the partial order \leq which is defined as for $R, S \in \mathcal{S}(H)$

$$R \leq S \Leftrightarrow \langle Rf, f \rangle \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Theorem 2.3. [11] Let $M \subset H$ be a closed subspace and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then $P_M T^* = P_M T^* P_{\overline{TM}}$. If T is an unitary operator (i.e $T^*T = I_H$), then $P_{\overline{TM}} T = T P_M$.

Theorem 2.4. [7] Let H_1, H_2 be two Hilbert spaces and $V : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator with closed range \mathcal{R}_V . Then there exists a bounded linear operator $V^\dagger : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ such that $VV^\dagger x = x \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{R}_V$.

The operator V^\dagger defined in Theorem 2.4, is called the pseudo-inverse of V .

Definition 2.5. [5] A family of bounded operators $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ on H is called a resolution of identity operator on H if for all $f \in H$, we have $f = \sum_{j \in J} T_j(f)$, provided the series converges unconditionally for all $f \in H$.

Definition 2.6. [20] Let $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of H and $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights and let $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is called a generalized fusion frame or a g -fusion frame for H respect to $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$ if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j}(f)\|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H. \quad (1)$$

The constants A and B are called the lower and upper bounds of g -fusion frame, respectively. If $A = B$ then Λ is called tight g -fusion frame and if $A = B = 1$ then we say Λ is a Parseval g -fusion frame. If Λ satisfies only the right inequality of (1) it is called a g -fusion Bessel sequence with bound B in H .

Define the space

$$l^2\left(\{H_j\}_{j \in J}\right) = \left\{ \{f_j\}_{j \in J} : f_j \in H_j, \sum_{j \in J} \|f_j\|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with inner product is given by

$$\langle \{f_j\}_{j \in J}, \{g_j\}_{j \in J} \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f_j, g_j \rangle_{H_j}.$$

Clearly $l^2\left(\{H_j\}_{j \in J}\right)$ is a Hilbert space with the pointwise operations [1].

Definition 2.7. [14] Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of H , let $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is said to be a generalized atomic subspace or g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K if the following statements hold:

(i) Λ is a g -fusion Bessel sequence in H .

(ii) For every $f \in H$, there exists $\{f_j\}_{j \in J} \in l^2\left(\{H_j\}_{j \in J}\right)$ such that

$$K(f) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* f_j \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \{f_j\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{l^2(\{H_j\}_{j \in J})} \leq C \|f\|$$

for some $C > 0$.

Definition 2.8. [14] Let $\Lambda = \{(V_i, \Lambda_i, v_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Lambda' = \{(V'_i, \Lambda'_i, v'_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be two g -fusion Bessel sequences in H with bounds D_1 and D_2 , respectively. Then the operator $S_{\Lambda\Lambda'} : H \rightarrow H$, defined by

$$S_{\Lambda\Lambda'}(f) = \sum_{i \in I} v_i v'_i P_{V_i} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda'_i P_{V'_i}(f) \quad \forall f \in H,$$

is called the frame operator for the pair of g -fusion Bessel sequences Λ and Λ' .

Definition 2.9. [21] Let $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of H and $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights. Let $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a sequence of Hilbert spaces, $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda_{TU} = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H. \quad (2)$$

If $A = B$ then Λ_{TU} is called (T, U) -controlled tight g -fusion frame and if $A = B = 1$ then we say Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled Parseval g -fusion frame. If Λ_{TU} satisfies only the right inequality of (2) it is called a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H .

Definition 2.10. [21] Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H with a bound B . The synthesis operator $T_C : \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j} \rightarrow H$ is defined as

$$T_C \left(\left\{ v_j (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \right) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f,$$

for all $f \in H$ and the analysis operator $T_C^* : H \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}$ is given by

$$T_C^* f = \left\{ v_j (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \quad \forall f \in H,$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j} = \left\{ \left\{ v_j (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} : f \in H \right\}.$$

The frame operator $S_C : H \rightarrow H$ is defined as follows:

$$S_C f = T_C T_C^* f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \quad \forall f \in H$$

and it is easy to verify that

$$\langle S_C f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Furthermore, if Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame with bounds A and B then $A I_H \leq S_C \leq B I_H$. Hence, S_C is bounded, invertible, self-adjoint and positive linear operator. It is easy to verify that $B^{-1} I_H \leq S_C^{-1} \leq A^{-1} I_H$.

Definition 2.11. [19] Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of H and $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights. Let $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a sequence of Hilbert spaces, $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda_{TU} = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Throughout this paper, Λ_{TU} denotes the family $\{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$.

3 Some properties of controlled K - g -fusion frame

In this section, we describe few properties of controlled K - g -fusion frame. Constructions of controlled g -fusion frame from a given controlled K - g -fusion frame have been discussed. We also give some characterizations of controlled K - g -fusion frame. Relationship between controlled K - g -fusion frame and quotient operator is established. We also present resolutions of the identity operator and using this we will see that controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence is a controlled g -fusion frame.

3.1 Example

Let $H = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be an orthonormal basis for H . Define $K : H \rightarrow H$ by $Kf = \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j$, $f \in H$. Suppose that $W_1 = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_2, e_3\}$, $W_2 = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_1, e_3\}$ and $W_3 = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_1, e_2\}$. Define $\Lambda_1 f = \langle f, e_2 \rangle e_3$, $\Lambda_2 f = \langle f, e_3 \rangle e_1$ and $\Lambda_3 f = 2 \langle f, e_1 \rangle e_2$. We show that $\{(W_j, \Lambda_j, 1)\}_{j=1}^3$ is a K - g -fusion frame for H . It is easy to verify that $K^* e_1 = e_1$, $K^* e_2 = e_2$, $K^* e_3 = e_3$. Now, for any $f \in H$, we have

$$\|K^* f\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle f, e_j \rangle K^* e_j \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j \right\|^2 = \|f\|^2,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} f\|^2 = |\langle f, e_2 \rangle|^2 + |\langle f, e_3 \rangle|^2 + 4 |\langle f, e_1 \rangle|^2 = \|f\|^2 + 3 |\langle f, e_1 \rangle|^2.$$

Thus,

$$\|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} f\|^2 \leq 4 \|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Let $T(f_1, f_2, f_3) = (2f_1, 6f_2, 9f_3)$ and $U(f_1, f_2, f_3) = \left(\frac{f_1}{2}, \frac{f_2}{3}, \frac{f_3}{4}\right)$ be two operators on H . Then it is easy to verify that $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}^+(H)$, $TU =$

$UT, TS_\Lambda = S_\Lambda T$ and $US_\Lambda = S_\Lambda U$. Now, for any $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3) \in H$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle = 4f_1^2 + 2f_2^2 + \frac{9}{4}f_3^2.$$

Thus,

$$2\|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq 4\|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Hence, $\{(W_j, \Lambda_j, 1)\}_{j=1}^3$ is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H with synthesis operator T_C . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled tight K - g -fusion frame for H , then $\mathcal{R}(T_C) = \mathcal{R}(K)$.*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{R}(T_C) = \mathcal{R}(K)$ if and only if there exist constants positive A, B such that*

$$A\|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B\|K^* f\|^2 \quad (3)$$

for all $f \in H$.

Proof. (i) Suppose Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled tight K - g -fusion frame for H . Then for each $f \in H$, there exists constant $A > 0$ such that

$$A\|K^* f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle = \|T_C^* f\|^2.$$

This shows that $AKK^* = T_C T_C^*$ and hence by Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{R}(T_C) = \mathcal{R}(K)$.

(ii) First we suppose that $\mathcal{R}(T_C) = \mathcal{R}(K)$. Then by Theorem 2.1, there exist positive constants A and B such that $AKK^* \leq T_C T_C^* \leq BKK^*$ and therefore for each $f \in H$, we have

$$A\|K^* f\|^2 \leq \|T_C^* f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B\|K^* f\|^2.$$

Conversely, suppose that (3) holds. Using synthesis operator T_C , the inequality (3) can be written as $A\|K^* f\|^2 \leq \|T_C^* f\|^2 \leq B\|K^* f\|^2$ and this implies that $AKK^* \leq T_C T_C^* \leq BKK^*$ and hence by Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{R}(T_C) = \mathcal{R}(K)$. \square

In the following theorem, we will see that every controlled g -fusion frame is a controlled K - g -fusion frame and the converse is also true under some condition.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then*

- (i) Every (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{R}(K)$ is closed, every (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for $\mathcal{R}(K)$.

Proof. (i) Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H with bounds A and B . Then for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\frac{A}{\|K\|^2} \|K^* f\|^2 \leq A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Hence, Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds $\frac{A}{\|K\|^2}$ and B .

(ii) Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A and B . Since $\mathcal{R}(K)$ is closed, by Theorem 2.4, there exists an operator $K^\dagger \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $K K^\dagger f = f \ \forall f \in \mathcal{R}(K)$. Then for each $f \in \mathcal{R}(K)$,

$$\frac{A}{\|K^\dagger\|^2} \|f\|^2 \leq A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for $\mathcal{R}(K)$ with bounds $\frac{A}{\|K^\dagger\|^2}$ and B . \square

In the next two theorems, we will construct new type of controlled g -fusion frame from a given controlled K - g -fusion frame by using a invertible bounded linear operator.

Theorem 3.3. Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an invertible operator on H and V^* commutes with T and U . Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H for some $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then $\Gamma_{TU} = \{ (V W_j, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled $V K V^*$ - g -fusion frame for H .

Proof. Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H , there exist positive constants A and B such that

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2. \quad (4)$$

Now, for each $f \in H$, using Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U V^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T V^* f \rangle \\ &\leq B \|V^* f\|^2 \leq B \|V\|^2 \|f\|^2 \quad [\text{by (4)}]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{A}{\|V\|^2} \|(VKV^*)^* f\|^2 &= \frac{A}{\|V\|^2} \|VK^*V^*f\|^2 \leq A \|K^*V^*f\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} UV^*f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} TV^*f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*Uf, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*Tf \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*P_{VW_j}Uf, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*P_{VW_j}Tf \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled VKV^* - g -fusion frame for H . \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an invertible operator on H and $V^*, (V^{-1})^*$ commutes with both T and U . Let $\Gamma_{TU} = \{(VW_j, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H , for some $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled $V^{-1}KV$ - g -fusion frame for H .*

Proof. Since Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H , for each $f \in H$, there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \|K^*f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*P_{VW_j}Uf, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*P_{VW_j}Tf \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2. \quad (5)$$

Now, for each $f \in H$, using Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{A}{\|V\|^2} \|(V^{-1}KV)^* f\|^2 &= \frac{A}{\|V\|^2} \|V^*K^*(V^{-1})^*f\|^2 \\
&\leq A \|K^*(V^{-1})^*f\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*P_{VW_j}U(V^{-1})^*f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*P_{VW_j}T(V^{-1})^*f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*U(V^{-1})^*f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*T(V^{-1})^*f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*(V^{-1})^*Uf, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*(V^{-1})^*Tf \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} Uf, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} Tf \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U V^* (V^{-1})^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T V^* (V^{-1})^* f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* U (V^{-1})^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* T (V^{-1})^* f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U (V^{-1})^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T (V^{-1})^* f \rangle \\
&\leq B \left\| (V^{-1})^* f \right\|^2 \leq B \|V^{-1}\|^2 \|f\|^2 \text{ [by (5)].}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled $V^{-1} K V$ - g -fusion frame for H . \square

In the following theorem, we will construct a controlled K - g -fusion frame by using a controlled g -fusion frame under some sufficient conditions.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an invertible operator on H and Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H with frame bounds A, B and S_C be the associated frame operator. Suppose $S_C^{-1} K^*$ commutes with T and U . Then $\Gamma_{TU} = \{ (K S_C^{-1} W_j, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} K^*, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with the corresponding frame operator $K S_C^{-1} K^*$.*

Proof. Let $V = K S_C^{-1}$. Then V is invertible on H and $V^* = S_C^{-1} K^*$. Now, it is easy to verify that

$$\|K^* f\|^2 \leq B^2 \|S_C^{-1} K^* f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H. \quad (6)$$

Now, for each $f \in H$, using Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* T f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} K^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T S_C^{-1} K^* f \rangle \\
&\leq B \|S_C^{-1}\|^2 \|K^* f\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{B}{A^2} \|K\|^2 \|f\|^2 \text{ [using } B^{-1} I_H \leq S_C^{-1} \leq A^{-1} I_H \text{].}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} K^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T S_C^{-1} K^* f \rangle \\ &\geq A \|S_C^{-1} K^* f\|^2 \geq \frac{A}{B^2} \|K^* f\|^2 \quad [\text{by (6)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H .

Furthermore, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{V W_j} (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*)^* (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*) P_{V W_j} U f \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* (P_{V W_j} V P_{W_j}) \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j (P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j}) U f \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* (P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j})^* \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j (P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j}) U f \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* (P_{W_j} V^*)^* \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* U f \quad [\text{using Theorem 2.3}] \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* V P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* U f \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 V T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U V^* f = V S_C V^* f \\ &= (K S_C^{-1}) S_C (S_C^{-1} K^* f) = K S_C^{-1} K^* f. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $K S_C^{-1} K^*$ is the corresponding frame operator. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H with frame operator S_C . If P_V is the orthogonal projection onto closed subspace $V \subset H$ and $S_C^{-1} P_V$ commutes with T, U then $\{(P_V S_C^{-1} W_j, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} P_V, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with the corresponding frame operator $P_V S_C^{-1} P_V$.*

Proof. Proof of this corollary directly follows from the Theorem 3.5, by putting $K = P_V$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}^+(H)$ and Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with frame bounds A, B . If $V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\mathcal{R}(V) \subset \mathcal{R}(K)$, then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled V - g -fusion frame for H .*

Proof. Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H , for each $f \in H$,

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{R}(V) \subset \mathcal{R}(K)$, by Theorem 2.1, there exists some $\lambda > 0$ such that $VV^* \leq \lambda KK^*$. Thus, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\frac{A}{\lambda} \|V^* f\|^2 \leq A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Hence, Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled V - g -fusion frame for H . \square

A characterization of a controlled K - g -fusion frame is given by in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}^+(H)$ and K commutes with T and U . Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H if and only if Λ_{TU} is a (TU, I_H) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H .*

Proof. For each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \right\rangle \\ &= \langle T S_\Lambda U f, f \rangle = \langle S_\Lambda T U f, f \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T U f, f \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_\Lambda f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} f$. Hence, Λ_{TU} is (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A and B is equivalent to:

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (TU, I_H) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A and B . \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}^+(H)$ and K commutes with T and U . Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H if and only if Λ_{TU} is a $((TU)^{1/2}, (TU)^{1/2})$ -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H .*

Proof. According to the proof of the Theorem 3.8, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle &= \left\langle S_\Lambda (TU)^{1/2} f, (TU)^{1/2} f \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} (TU)^{1/2} f, (TU)^{1/2} f \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} (TU)^{1/2} f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} (TU)^{1/2} f \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H if and only if Λ_{TU} is a $((TU)^{1/2}, (TU)^{1/2})$ -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H . \square

The following theorem shows that any controlled K - g -fusion frame is a K - g -fusion frame and conversely any K - g -fusion frame is a controlled K - g -fusion frame under some conditions.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}^+(H)$ and K commutes with T and U and $S_\Lambda T = T S_\Lambda$. Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H if and only if Λ_{TU} is a K - g -fusion frame for H , where S_Λ is the K - g -fusion frame operator defined by*

$$S_\Lambda f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} f, \quad f \in H.$$

Proof. First we suppose that Λ_{TU} is a K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A and B . Then for each $f \in H$, we have

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} f\|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Now according to the Lemma 3.10 of [2], we can deduced that

$$m m' A K K^* \leq T S_\Lambda U \leq M M' B I_H,$$

where m, m' and M, M' are positive constants. Then for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m m' A \|K^* f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle \leq M M' B \|f\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow m m' A \|K^* f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq M M' B \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H .

Conversely, suppose that Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H . Then for each $f \in H$, there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Now, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A \|K^* f\|^2 &= A \left\| (TU)^{1/2} (TU)^{-1/2} K^* f \right\|^2 \\
&= A \left\| (TU)^{1/2} K^* (TU)^{-1/2} f \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \left\| (TU)^{1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U (TU)^{-1/2} f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T (TU)^{-1/2} f \right\rangle \\
&= \left\| (TU)^{1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U^{1/2} T^{-1/2} f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T^{1/2} U^{-1/2} f \right\rangle \\
&= \left\| (TU)^{1/2} \right\|^2 \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 U^{-1/2} T^{1/2} P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U^{1/2} T^{-1/2} f, f \right\rangle \\
&= \left\| (TU)^{1/2} \right\|^2 \left\langle U^{-1/2} T^{1/2} S_\Lambda U^{1/2} T^{-1/2} f, f \right\rangle = \left\| (TU)^{1/2} \right\|^2 \langle S_\Lambda f, f \rangle \\
&= \left\| (TU)^{1/2} \right\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} f, f \rangle \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{A}{\left\| (TU)^{1/2} \right\|^2} \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\| \Lambda_j P_{W_j} (f) \right\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\| \Lambda_j P_{W_j} (f) \right\|^2 = \langle S_\Lambda f, f \rangle \leq B \left\| (TU)^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \|f\|^2.$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a K - g -fusion frame for H . This completes the proof. \square

In the following theorem, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence to be a controlled K - g -fusion frame.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H with frame operator S_C . Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H if and only if the quotient operator $[K^* / S_C^{1/2}]$ is bounded.*

Proof. First we suppose that Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A and B . Then for each $f \in H$, we have

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Thus, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \langle S_C f, f \rangle = \left\| S_C^{1/2} f \right\|^2.$$

Now, it is easy to verify that the quotient operator $T : \mathcal{R}(S_C^{1/2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(K^*)$ defined by $T(S_C^{1/2} f) = K^* f \ \forall f \in H$ is well-defined and bounded.

Conversely, suppose that the quotient operator $\left[K^* / S_C^{1/2} \right]$ is bounded. Then for each $f \in H$, there exists some $B > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^* f\|^2 &\leq B \left\| S_C^{1/2} f \right\|^2 = B \langle S_C f, f \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \|K^* f\|^2 &\leq B \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H . \square

Now, we establish that a quotient operator will be bounded if and only if a controlled K - g -fusion frame becomes controlled VK - g -fusion frame, for some $V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with frame operator S_C . Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an invertible operator on H and V^* commutes with T and U . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\Gamma_{TU} = \{ (V W_j, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^*, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled VK - g -fusion frame for H .
- (ii) The quotient operator $\left[(VK)^* / S_C^{1/2} V^* \right]$ is bounded.
- (iii) The quotient operator $\left[(VK)^* / (V S_C V^*)^{1/2} \right]$ is bounded.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled VK - g -fusion frame with bounds A and B . Then for each $f \in H$, we have

$$A \|(VK)^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

By Theorem 2.3, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U V^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T V^* f \rangle = \langle S_C V^* f, V^* f \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Thus, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$A \|(VK)^* f\|^2 \leq \langle S_C V^* f, V^* f \rangle = \left\| S_C^{1/2} V^* f \right\|^2.$$

Now, we define a operator $T : \mathcal{R}(S_C^{1/2} V^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}((VK)^*)$ by $T(S_C^{1/2} V^* f) = (VK)^* f \forall f \in H$. Then it is easy verify that the quotient operator T is well-defined and bounded.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) It is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that the quotient operator $\left[(VK)^* / (V S_C V^*)^{1/2} \right]$ is bounded. Then for each $f \in H$, there exists $B > 0$ such that

$$\| (VK)^* f \|^2 \leq B \left\| (V S_C V^*)^{1/2} f \right\|^2.$$

Now, by (7), for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T f \rangle \\ &= \langle S_C V^* f, V^* f \rangle = \left\| (V S_C V^*)^{1/2} f \right\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{B} \| (VK)^* f \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} V^* P_{V W_j} T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U V^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T V^* f \rangle \\ &\leq C \| U^* f \|^2 \leq C \| U \|^2 \| f \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled VK - g -fusion frame for H . This completes the proof. \square

In the following theorem, we will present some algebraic properties of controlled K - g -fusion frame.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $K_i \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K_i - g -fusion frame for H for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then*

(i) *If a_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are finite collection of scalars, then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i K_i$ - g -fusion frame for H .*

(ii) *Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled $\prod_{i=1}^n K_i$ - g -fusion frame for H .*

Proof. (i) Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K_i - g -fusion frame for H for all i , there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \| K_i^* f \|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \| f \|^2.$$

Then for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A}{n \max_i |a_i|^2} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i K_i \right)^* f \right\|^2 \leq A \| K_i^* f \|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \| f \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i K_i$ - g -fusion frame for H .

Proof of (ii) For each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \|K_i^*\|^2} \left\| \left(\prod_{i=1}^n K_i \right)^* f \right\|^2 &\leq A \|K_n^* f\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled $\prod_{i=1}^n K_i$ - g -fusion frame for H . \square

We now construct a new controlled K - g -fusion frame for the Hilbert space $H \oplus X$, using the controlled K - g -fusion frames of the Hilbert spaces H and X , respectively. Let us now define

$$H \oplus X = \{f \oplus g = (f, g) : f \in H, g \in X\}.$$

Then $H \oplus X$ forms a Hilbert space with respect to point-wise operations and inner product defined by for all $f, f' \in H$ and $g, g' \in X$

$$\langle (f \oplus g), (f' \oplus g') \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle_H + \langle g, g' \rangle_X.$$

Remark 3.14. Suppose $R \in \mathcal{B}(H, Z)$, $V \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, where Z, Y are Hilbert spaces. Then

(i) $R \oplus V \in \mathcal{B}(H \oplus X, Z \oplus Y)$ and $(R \oplus V)(f \oplus g) = Rf \oplus Vg$, for all $f \in H$ and $g \in X$.

(ii) $(R \oplus V)^* = R^* \oplus V^*$.

(iii) $P_{M \oplus N}(f \oplus g) = P_M f \oplus P_N g$, where P_M, P_N and $P_{M \oplus N}$ are the orthonormal projection onto the closed subspaces $M \subset H, N \subset X$ and $M \oplus N \subset H \oplus X$, respectively.

We assume that for each $j \in J$, $W_j \oplus V_j$ are the closed subspaces of $H \oplus X$ and $\Gamma_j \in \mathcal{B}(X, X_j)$, where $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$ are the collection of Hilbert spaces and $\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j \in \mathcal{B}(H \oplus X, H_j \oplus X_j)$.

Theorem 3.15. Let $\Lambda_{TT_1} = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ be a (T, T_1) -controlled K_1 - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A, B and $\Gamma_{UU_1} = \{(V_j, \Gamma_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ a (U, U_1) -controlled K_2 - g -fusion frame for X with bounds C, D , where $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $T_1, U_1 \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$. Then $\Lambda_{TT_1} \oplus \Gamma_{UU_1} = \{(W_j \oplus V_j, \Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is a $(T \oplus U, T_1 \oplus U_1)$ -controlled $K_1 \oplus K_2$ - g -fusion frame for $H \oplus X$ with bounds $\min\{A, C\}, \max\{B, D\}$. Furthermore, if $S_C, S_{C'}$ and S are frame operators for $\Lambda_{TT_1}, \Gamma_{UU_1}$ and $\Lambda_{TT_1} \oplus \Gamma_{UU_1}$, respectively then we have $S = S_C \oplus S_{C'}$.

Proof. For each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) f \oplus g, (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T \oplus U) f \oplus g \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 f \oplus U_1 g), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T f \oplus U g) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) (P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus P_{V_j} U_1 g), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) (P_{W_j} T f \oplus P_{V_j} U g) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g), (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U g) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle_H + \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U g \rangle_X \\
&\leq B \|f\|_H^2 + D \|g\|_X^2 \leq \max\{B, D\} (\|f\|_H^2 + \|g\|_X^2) \\
&= \max\{B, D\} \|f \oplus g\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) f \oplus g, (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T \oplus U) f \oplus g \rangle \\
&\geq \min\{A, C\} \left(\|K_1^* f\|_H^2 + \|K_2^* g\|_X^2 \right) = \min\{A, C\} \|K_1^* f \oplus K_2^* g\|^2 \\
&= \min\{A, C\} \|(K_1 \oplus K_2)^* (f \oplus g)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Lambda_T T_1 \oplus \Gamma_U U_1$ is a $(T \oplus U, T_1 \oplus U_1)$ -controlled $K_1 \oplus K_2$ - g -fusion frame for $H \oplus X$ with bounds $\min\{A, C\}$ and $\max\{B, D\}$.

Furthermore, for $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(f \oplus g) \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T \oplus U)^* P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j)^* (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) f \oplus g \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T \oplus U)^* P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j)^* (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g) \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T \oplus U)^* P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (\Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g) \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g) \\
&= \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g \right) \\
&= (S_C f \oplus S_{C'} g) = (S_C \oplus S_{C'}) (f \oplus g).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $S = S_C \oplus S_{C'}$. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we discuss about resolutions of the identity operator on H using the theory of controlled g -fusion frame.

Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame with the corresponding frame operator S_C . From reconstruction formula, for $f \in H$, we have

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 S_C^{-1} T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f.$$

This shows that the families of bounded operators $\left\{ v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} \right\}_{j \in J}$ and $\left\{ v_j^2 S_C^{-1} T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right\}_{j \in J}$ are resolution of the identity operator on H .

Theorem 3.16. *Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H with frame bounds A, B and S_C be its corresponding frame operator. Assume that S_C^{-1} commutes with T and U . Then the family $\left\{ v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* T_j U \right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on H , where $T_j = \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1}$, $j \in J$. Furthermore, for each $f \in H$, we have*

$$\frac{A}{B^2} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T_j U f, T_j T f \rangle \leq \frac{B}{A^2} \|f\|^2.$$

Proof. For $f \in H$, we have the reconstruction formula for Λ_{TU} :

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} U f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* T_j U f. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left\{ v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* T_j U \right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on H . Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H with frame bounds A and B , for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T_j U f, T_j T f \rangle &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T S_C^{-1} f \rangle \\ &\leq B \|S_C^{-1} f\|^2 \leq B \|S_C^{-1}\|^2 \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{B}{A^2} \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T_j U f, T_j T f \rangle \geq A \|S_C^{-1} f\|^2 \geq \frac{A}{B^2} \|f\|^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Next we will see that a controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence becomes a controlled g -fusion frame by using a resolution of the identity operator on H .

Theorem 3.17. *Let $\Lambda_T = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ be a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H with bound B . Then Λ_T is a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H if $\left\{v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on H .*

Proof. Since $\left\{v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on H , for $f \in H$, we have

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^4 &= (\langle f, f \rangle)^2 = \left(\left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \right\rangle \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \rangle \\ &\leq B \|f\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{B} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T T^{-1} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T T^{-1} U f \rangle \\ &\leq B \|T^{-1} U f\|^2 \leq B \|T^{-1}\|^2 \|U\|^2 \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_T is a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H . Similarly, it can be shown that if Λ_T is a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H then Λ_T is also a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion frame for H . \square

4 Controlled g -atomic subspace

In this section, we define controlled generalized atomic subspace or controlled g -atomic subspace of a Hilbert space with respect to a bounded linear operator and then we will see that controlled g -atomic subspace is equivalent to controlled K - g -fusion frame.

Definition 4.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of closed subspaces of H , let $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of positive weights. Let $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a sequence of Hilbert spaces, $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda_{TU} = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is said to be a generalized atomic subspace controlled by the operators T, U or (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K if the following statements hold:

- (i) Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H .
- (ii) For every $f \in H$, there exists $\left\{v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U\right)^{1/2} f\right\}_{j \in J} \in \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2$ such that $K(f) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f$, and

$$\left\| \left\{v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U\right)^{1/2} f\right\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} \leq C \|f\|$$

for some $C > 0$.

The next theorem provides a characterization of a controlled g -atomic subspace.

Theorem 4.2. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of closed subspaces of H , let $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of positive weights. Let $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\Lambda_{TU} = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K .
- (ii) Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K . Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H . Then for each $f \in H$, there exists constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Now, for any $f \in H$, we have

$$\|K^* f\| = \sup_{\|g\|=1} |\langle K^* f, g \rangle| = \sup_{\|g\|=1} |\langle f, K g \rangle|,$$

by definition (4.1), for $g \in H$, there exists $\left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} g \right\}_{j \in J} \in \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2$ such that $Kg = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U g$, and

$$\left\| \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} g \right\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} \leq C \|g\|$$

for some $C > 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^* f\|^2 &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left| \left\langle f, \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U g \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in J} \left\langle v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f, v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} g \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sum_{j \in J} \left\| v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\|^2 \left\| \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} g \right\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle C^2 \|g\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{C^2} \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds $\frac{1}{C^2}$ and B .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with the corresponding synthesis operator T_C . Then obviously Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H . Now, for each $f \in H$,

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle = \|T_C^* f\|^2$$

gives $AKK^* \leq T_C T_C^*$ and by Theorem (2.1), there exists $L \in \mathcal{B}(H, \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2)$ such that $K = T_C L$. Define

$$L(f) = \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J},$$

for every $f \in H$. Then, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(f) &= T_C L(f) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \text{ and} \\ \left\| \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} &= \|L(f)\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} \leq C \|f\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \|L\|$. Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K . \square

Remark 4.3. Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. If Λ_{TU} is an (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K_1 and K_2 , then for any scalars α, β , Λ_{TU} is an (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ and $K_1 K_2$.

In the next theorem, we will see that every controlled g -fusion frame is a controlled g -atomic subspace with respect to its frame operator.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\Lambda_{TU} = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H . Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to its frame operator S_C .

Proof. Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H , we have $\mathcal{R}(T_C) = H = \mathcal{R}(S_C)$, so by Theorem (2.1), there exists some $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha S_C S_C^* \leq T_C T_C^*$ and therefore for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\alpha \|S_C^* f\|^2 \leq \|T_C^* f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled S_C - g -fusion frame for H and hence by Theorem (4.2), Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to S_C . \square

Now, we construct new controlled g -atomic subspace from given controlled g -atomic subspaces under some sufficient conditions.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\Lambda_{TU} = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ and $\Gamma_{TU} = \{(W_j, \Gamma_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ be two (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspaces of H with respect to $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and let $V, W \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $V + W$ be an invertible operator on H with $K(V + W) = (V + W)K$ and $(V + W)^*$ commutes with T and U . Then

$$\Delta_{TU} = \{((V + W)W_j, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j)P_{W_j}(V + W)^*, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$$

is a (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K , if for all j ,

$$\langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j}(V + W)^* U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j}(V + W)^* T f \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j}(V + W)^* U f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j}(V + W)^* T f \rangle = 0, f \in H. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Since Λ_{TU} and Γ_{TU} are (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspaces with respect to K , by Theorem (4.2), they are (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frames for H . So, for each $f \in H$, there exist positive constants (A, B) and (C, D) such that

$$\begin{aligned} A \|K^* f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2, \text{ and} \\ C \|K^* f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq D \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $V + W$ is invertible, so

$$\begin{aligned}\|K^* f\|^2 &= \left\| \left((V + W)^{-1} \right)^* (V + W)^* K^* f \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| (V + W)^{-1} \right\|^2 \| (V + W)^* K^* f \|^2.\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Take $R = V + W$. Now, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} U f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* U f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} U R^* f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \} + \\ &+ \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \} \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \} \\ &\leq B \|R^* f\|^2 + D \|R^* f\|^2 = (B + D) \|(V + W)^* f\|^2 \\ &\leq (B + D) \|V + W\|^2 \|f\|^2 \text{ [as } V + W \text{ is bounded]}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} U f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} T f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \} \\ &\geq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \\ &\geq A \|K^* (V + W)^* f\|^2 \\ &= A \|(V + W)^* K^* f\|^2 \text{ [using } K(V + W) = (V + W)K] \\ &\geq A \left\| (V + W)^{-1} \right\|^{-2} \|K^* f\|^2 \text{ [using (9)]}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Δ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H and hence by the Theorem (4.2), it is a (T, U) -controlled g -atomic subspace of H with respect to K . \square

5 Frame operator for controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences

In this section, we first introduce the concept of the frame operator for a pair of controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences and establish some properties relative to frame

operator.

Definition 5.1. Let $\Lambda_T = \{(W_j, \Lambda_j, w_j)\}_{j \in J}$ and $\Gamma_U = \{(V_j, \Gamma_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$ be (T, T) -controlled and (U, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences in H with bounds D_1 and D_2 , respectively. Then the operator $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} : H \rightarrow H$, defined by

$$S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}(f) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j w_j T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \quad \forall f \in H,$$

is called the frame operator for the pair of controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences Λ_T and Γ_U .

By Theorem 3.10, Λ_T and Γ_U are also two g -fusion Bessel sequences in H . So, the frame operator

$$S_{\Lambda\Gamma} f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j w_j P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} f \quad \forall f \in H$$

for this pair of g -fusion Bessel sequences is well-defined and bounded. Therefore, we can write $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} = T^* S_{\Lambda\Gamma} U$. Thus, $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}$ is well-defined and bounded. Also, for each $f, g \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f, g \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j w_j T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, g \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j w_j \langle f, U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T g \rangle \\ &= \left\langle f, \sum_{j \in J} w_j v_j U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T g \right\rangle = \langle f, S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T} g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and hence $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}^* = S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T}$.

In the next theorem, we give a characterization of a controlled g -fusion frame with the help of frame operator.

Theorem 5.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and Λ_T be a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence in H . Then Λ_T is a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion frame for H if and only if there exist an operator $U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence Γ_U such that $S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T} \geq m I_H$, for some $m > 0$.

Proof. Let Λ_T be a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion frame with bounds A and B . Now, we take $U = T$, and $V_j = W_j$, $\Lambda_j = \Gamma_j$ and $v_j = w_j$, for all $j \in J$. Thus, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{T\Lambda\Lambda T} f, f \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, f \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \geq A \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that there exist an operator $U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequence Γ_U with bound D such that

$$m \|f\|^2 \leq \langle S_{U\Gamma\Lambda} T f, f \rangle \quad \forall f \in H, \text{ for some } m > 0.$$

Thus, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m \|f\|^2 &\leq \langle S_{U\Gamma\Lambda} T f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle v_j w_j U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \langle w_j \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, v_j \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \rangle \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{D} \|f\| \left(\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{m^2}{D} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Λ_T is a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion frame for H . \square

Theorem 5.3. Let $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}$ be the frame operator for a pair of (T, T) -controlled and (U, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences Λ_T and Γ_U with bounds D_1 and D_2 , respectively. Suppose $\lambda_1 < 1$, $\lambda_2 > -1$ such that for each $f \in H$,

$$\|f - S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f\| \leq \lambda_1 \|f\| + \lambda_2 \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f\|.$$

Then Γ_U is a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H .

Proof. For each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda_1) \|f\| &\leq (1 + \lambda_2) \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f\| \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right) \|f\| &\leq \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f\| = \sup_{\|g\|=1} \langle S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f, g \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j w_j T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, g \right\rangle \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{D_1} \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{D_1} \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^2 \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \rangle \quad \forall f \in H. \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Γ_U is a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H . \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}$ be the frame operator for a pair of (T, T) -controlled and (U, U) -controlled g -fusion Bessel sequences Λ_T and Γ_U with bounds D_1 and D_2 , respectively. Assume $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|f - S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f\| \leq \lambda \|f\| \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Then Λ_T is a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion frame for H and Γ_U is a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H .

Proof. By putting $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = 0$ in (10), we get

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda)^2}{D_1} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \rangle$$

and therefore Γ_U is a (U, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H . Now, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda) \|f\| &\leq \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}^* f\| = \sup_{\|g\|=1} \langle S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}^* f, g \rangle = \sup_{\|g\|=1} \langle S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T} f, g \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j w_j U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, g \right\rangle \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \|\Gamma_j P_{V_j} U g\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{D_2} \left(\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \|\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \geq \frac{(1 - \lambda)^2}{D_2} \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Λ_T is a (T, T) -controlled g -fusion frame for H . This completes the proof. \square

6 Stability of dual controlled g -fusion frame

In frame theory, one of the most important problem is the stability of frame under some perturbation. P. Casazza and Christensen [6] have been generalized the Paley-Wiener perturbation theorem to perturbation of frame in Hilbert space. P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta [13] discussed stability of dual g -fusion frame in Hilbert space. In this section, we give an important on stability of perturbation of controlled K - g -fusion frame and dual controlled g -fusion frame.

Following theorem provides a sufficient condition on a family Λ_{TU} to be a controlled K - g -fusion frame, in the presence of another controlled K - g -fusion frame.

Theorem 6.1. *Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A, B and $\Gamma_{TU} = \{(V_j, \Gamma_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$. If there exist constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu$ with $0 \leq \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1, 0 \leq \mu < A(1 - \lambda_1)$ such that for each $f \in H$,*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} - P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j}) U f, f \rangle \leq \mu \|K^* f\|^2 + \\ & + \lambda_1 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle + \lambda_2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

then Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H .

Proof. Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K - g -fusion frame for H with bounds A, B , for each $f \in H$, we have

$$A \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Now, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} - P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j}) U f, f \rangle + \\ & \quad + \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle. \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \lambda_2) \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, f \rangle \\ &\leq (1 + \lambda_1) \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle + \mu \|K^* f\|^2. \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \lambda_2) \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} T f \rangle \\ &\leq (1 + \lambda_1) \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle + \mu \|K\|^2 \|f\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} T f \rangle \leq \left[\frac{(1 + \lambda_1) B + \mu \|K\|^2}{(1 - \lambda_2)} \right] \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, f \rangle &\geq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle - \\
&- \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} - P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j}) U f, f \rangle. \\
&\Rightarrow (1 + \lambda_2) \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, f \rangle \\
&\geq (1 - \lambda_1) \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle - \mu \|K^* f\|^2. \\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} T f \rangle \geq \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda_1) A - \mu}{(1 + \lambda_2)} \right] \|K^* f\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K -g-fusion frame for H . \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled K -g-fusion frame for H with bounds A, B and $\Gamma_{TU} = \{(V_j, \Gamma_j, v_j)\}_{j \in J}$. If there exists constant $0 < D < A$ such that for each $f \in H$,*

$$0 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} - P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j}) U f, f \rangle \leq D \|K^* f\|^2$$

then Γ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K -g-fusion frame for H .

Proof. For each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} T f \rangle &= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} - P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j}) U f, f \rangle + \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle \\
&\leq (B + D \|K\|^2) \|f\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, f \rangle &\geq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \rangle - \\
&- \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} - P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j}) U f, f \rangle \\
&\geq (A - D) \|K^* f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in H.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H and S_C be corresponding frame operator. Assume that S_C^{-1} commutes with T and U . Then $\Gamma_{TU} = \{ (S_C^{-1} W_j, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1}, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame for H with the corresponding frame operator S_C^{-1} .*

Proof. Proof of this theorem directly follows from the Theorem 3.5, by putting $K = I_H$. \square

The family Γ_{TU} is called the canonical dual (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frame of Λ_{TU} .

We now give the stability of dual controlled g -fusion frame.

Theorem 6.4. *Let Λ_{TU} and Γ_{TU} be two (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frames for H with bounds A_1, B_1 and A_2, B_2 having their corresponding frame operators S_C and $S_{C'}$, respectively. Consider $\Delta_{TU} = \{ (X_j, \Delta_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ and $\Theta_{TU} = \{ (Y_j, \Theta_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ as the canonical dual (T, U) -controlled g -fusion frames of Λ_{TU} and Γ_{TU} , respectively. Assume that S_C^{-1} and $S_{C'}^{-1}$ commutes with both T and U . Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *If the condition*

$$\left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle - \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} T f \rangle \right| \leq D \|f\|^2$$

holds for each $f \in H$ and for some $D > 0$ then for all $f \in H$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Delta_j P_{X_j} U f, \Delta_j P_{X_j} T f \rangle - \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Theta_j P_{Y_j} U f, \Theta_j P_{Y_j} T f \rangle \right| \leq \frac{D}{A_1 A_2} \|f\|^2.$$

(ii) *If for each $f \in H$, there exists $D > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} - P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j}) U f, f \rangle \right| \leq D \|f\|^2$$

then

$$\left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{X_j} \Delta_j^* \Delta_j P_{X_j} - P_{Y_j} \Theta_j^* \Theta_j P_{Y_j}) U f, f \rangle \right| \leq \frac{D}{A_1 A_2} \|f\|^2.$$

Proof. (i) Since $S_C - S_{C'}$ is self-adjoint so

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_C - S_{C'}\| &= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle (S_C - S_{C'})f, f \rangle| \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle S_C f, f \rangle - \langle S_{C'} f, f \rangle| \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle - \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} T f \rangle \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} D \|f\|^2 = D.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_C^{-1} - S_{C'}^{-1}\| &\leq \|S_C^{-1}\| \|S_C - S_{C'}\| \|S_{C'}^{-1}\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{A_1} D \frac{1}{A_2} = \frac{D}{A_1 A_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Delta_j P_{X_j} U f, \Delta_j P_{X_j} T f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} P_{S_C^{-1} W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} P_{S_C^{-1} W_j} T f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} T f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T S_C^{-1} f \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f, S_C^{-1} f \rangle \\
&= \langle S_C S_C^{-1} f, S_C^{-1} f \rangle = \langle f, S_C^{-1} f \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Theta_j P_{Y_j} U f, \Theta_j P_{Y_j} T f \rangle = \langle f, S_{C'}^{-1} f \rangle.$$

Therefore, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Delta_j P_{X_j} U f, \Delta_j P_{X_j} T f \rangle - \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Theta_j P_{Y_j} U f, \Theta_j P_{Y_j} T f \rangle \right| \\
&= |\langle f, S_C^{-1} f \rangle - \langle f, S_{C'}^{-1} f \rangle| = |\langle f, (S_C^{-1} - S_{C'}^{-1}) f \rangle| \\
&\leq \|S_C^{-1} - S_{C'}^{-1}\| \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{D}{A_1 A_2} \|f\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (ii) In this case, we also find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_C - S_{C'}\| &= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle (S_C - S_{C'}) f, f \rangle| \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle S_C f, f \rangle - \langle S_{C'} f, f \rangle| \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} - P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j}) U f, f \rangle \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} D \|f\|^2 = D.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for each $f \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T^* (P_{X_j} \Delta_j^* \Delta_j P_{X_j} - P_{Y_j} \Theta_j^* \Theta_j P_{Y_j}) U f, f \rangle \right| \\
&= |\langle (S_C^{-1} - S_{C'}^{-1}) f, f \rangle| \leq \|S_C^{-1} - S_{C'}^{-1}\| \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{D}{A_1 A_2} \|f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] R. Ahmadi, G. Rahimlou, V. Sadri and R. Zarghami Farfar, *Constructions of K - g fusion frames and their duals in Hilbert spaces*, Bull. Transilvania Un. Brasov, 13(62), No. 1, (2020), 17-32.
- [2] N. Assila, S. Kabbaj and B. Moalige, *Controlled K -fusion frame for Hilbert space*, arXiv: 2007.05110v1.
- [3] P. Balazs, J. P. Antonie and A. Grybos, *Weighted and controlled frames: mutual relationship and first numerical properties*, Int. J. Wavelets, Multiresolution Info. Proc., 14 (2010), No. 1, 109-132.
- [4] I. Bogdanova, P. Vandergheynst, J. P. Antoine, L. Jacques and M. Morvidone, *Stereographic wavelet frames on the sphere*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 16 (2005), 223-252.
- [5] P. Casazza and G. Kutyniok, *Frames of subspaces*, Cotemporary Math, AMS 345 (2004), 87-114.
- [6] P. Casazza and O. Christensen, *Perturbation of operators and applications to frame theory*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 3 (1997), 543-557.
- [7] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, Birkhauser (2008).
- [8] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann and Y. Mayer, *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 27 (5) (1986) 1271-1283.

- [9] R. G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 17, 413-415 (1966).
- [10] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72, (1952), 341-366.
- [11] P. Gavruta, *On the duality of fusion frames*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333 (2007) 871-879.
- [12] L. Gavruta, *Frames for operator*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 32 (1), 139-144 (2012).
- [13] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Stability of dual g -fusion frame in Hilbert spaces*, Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology, Vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 227-240.
- [14] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Generalized atomic subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces*, Mathematica Bohemica, Accepted.
- [15] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Generalized fusion frame in tensor product of Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Indian Mathematical Society, Accepted.
- [16] A. Khosravi and K. Musazadeh, *Controlled fusion frames*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topol. 18 (3), 256-265.
- [17] M. Nouri, A. Rahimi and Sh. Najafizadeh, *Controlled K -frames in Hilbert spaces*, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 4 (2015), No. 2, 39-50.
- [18] A. Rahimi and A. Fereydooni, *Controlled g -frames and their g -multipliers in Hilbert spaces*, Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta Seria Matematica 21 (2), 223-236.
- [19] G. Rahimlou, V. Sadri and R. Ahmadi, *Construction of controlled K - g -fusion frame in Hilbert spaces*, U. P. B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. 82, Iss. 1, 2020.
- [20] V. Sadri, Gh. Rahimlou, R. Ahmadi and R. Zarghami Farfar, *Generalized Fusion Frames in Hilbert Spaces*, Submitted, arXiv: 1806.03598v1 [math.FA] 10 Jun 2018.
- [21] H. Shakoory, R. Ahamadi, N. Behzadi and S. Nami, *(C, C') -Controlled g -fusion frames*, Submitted (2018).
- [22] W. Sun, *G -frames and G -Riesz bases*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 322 (1) (2006), 437-452.