

## ON TYPE II DEGENERATIONS OF HYPERKÄHLER MANIFOLDS

D. HUYBRECHTS &amp; M. MAURI

**ABSTRACT.** We give a simple argument to prove Nagai's conjecture for type II degenerations of compact hyperkähler manifolds and cohomology classes of middle degree. Under an additional assumption, the techniques yield the conjecture in arbitrary degree. This would complete the proof of Nagai's conjecture in general, as it was proved already for type I degenerations by Kollár, Laza, Saccà, and Voisin [KLSV18] and independently by Soldatenkov [Sol20], while it is immediate for type III degenerations. Our arguments are close in spirit to a recent paper by Harder [Ha20] proving similar results for the restrictive class of *good* degenerations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Any one-dimensional degeneration  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  of compact Kähler manifolds induces monodromy operators  $T_k$  acting on the cohomology groups  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  of a smooth fibre  $X := \mathcal{X}_t$ ,  $t \neq 0$ . After a base change, one can assume that  $T_k$  is unipotent, i.e. the operator  $T_k - \text{id}$  is nilpotent. Alternatively, this can be expressed by saying that the logarithmic monodromy operator  $N_k := \log T_k$  acting on  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  is nilpotent. Thus, the *index of nilpotence* defined as

$$\text{nilp}(N_k) := \max\{i \mid N^i \neq 0\}$$

is finite and it is known that  $\text{nilp}(N_k) \leq k$ , see [Gri84, Ch. IV]. Clearly, if  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  is a smooth family, then  $N_k = 0$  for all  $k$ . In general, there is no direct link between the  $\text{nilp}(N_k)$  for different  $k$ . However, following the general philosophy that the geometry of a compact hyperkähler manifold  $X$  is largely determined by its Hodge structure of weight two  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , it was conjectured by Nagai [Nag08] that  $N_2$  determines all even  $N_{2k}$ .

**Conjecture 1.1** (Nagai). *The even logarithmic monodromy operators  $N_{2k}$ ,  $k \leq n$ , of a degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  with unipotent monodromy satisfy*

$$\text{nilp}(N_{2k}) = k \cdot \text{nilp}(N_2).$$

Using Verbitsky's result [Bog96, Ver96] that cup-product yields inclusions  $S^k H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \subset H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for  $k \leq n$ , Nagai already observed that

$$\text{nilp}(N_{2k}) \geq k \cdot \text{nilp}(N_2).$$

---

The first author is supported by the ERC Synergy Grant HyperK. The second author is supported by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics.

Thus, for type III degenerations, i.e.  $\text{nilp}(N_2) = 2$ , the conjecture follows from the two inequalities  $2k \geq \text{nilp}(N_{2k}) \geq k \cdot \text{nilp}(N_2)$ , see also [KLSV18, Sec. 6] or [GKLR19, Sec. 5.1].

For projective degenerations of type I, i.e.  $N_2 = 0$ , the conjecture was first established by Kollár, Laza, Saccà, and Voisin [KLSV18]. An independent proof, also valid in the non-projective case, was given by Soldatenkov [Sol20, Cor. 3.6]. For type II degenerations, i.e.  $\text{nilp}(N_2) = 1$ , it was proved in [KLSV18, Thm. 6.19] that  $k \leq \text{nilp}(N_{2k}) \leq 2k - 2$  for all  $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , which follows from the observation that the level of the Hodge structure of  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})/S^k H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  does not exceed  $2k - 2$ .

Thus, in order to establish Nagai's conjecture in full, only the case of type II degenerations remains open. In fact, for all known examples of compact hyperkähler manifolds the conjecture was established by Green, Kim, Laza, and Robles [GKLR19], relying on a complete understanding of the cohomology ring as a representation of the LLV algebra. Special cases of degenerations of Hilbert schemes and generalized Kummer varieties have been treated in [Nag08].

The purpose of this note is to give an elementary proof of the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a type II degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds of dimension  $2n$ . Then  $N^{n+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , i.e.*

$$\text{nilp}(N_{2n}) = n.$$

Furthermore,  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k}) \leq n - 1$  for all  $k < n$  and, assuming condition (2.7), in fact  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k}) = k$  for all  $k \leq n$ .

Assuming that the degeneration is good, the result for  $\text{nilp}(N_{2n})$  and  $\text{nilp}(N_{2n-2})$  was proved before using different but related arguments by Harder [Ha20]. In this sense, our arguments show that the restrictive assumption in [Ha20] that the degeneration is good can be dropped. Good degenerations had earlier been studied by Nagai [Nag08] who observed already that  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k}) \notin \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ . In particular, for good type II degenerations one has  $\text{nilp}(N_{2n-2}) = n - 1$  which in fact holds for general type II degenerations.

In Section 3 we establish a link between the perverse and the monodromy filtration. It leads to the following reformulation of Nagai's conjecture.

**Corollary 3.4.** *The Nagai conjecture (for type II degenerations) holds if and only if the Hodge structure on the graded pieces  $\text{Gr}_i^P H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  of the perverse filtration has level at most  $2k - 2|i - k|$ .*

The techniques that will be used to prove Theorem 1.2 also yield information about the logarithmic monodromy action in odd degree. In Section 4 we shall prove the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a type II degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds of dimension  $2n$ . Then the odd logarithmic monodromy operators  $N_{2k-1}$ ,  $k \leq n$ , satisfy*

$$\text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}) \leq \min\{2k - 3, n - 1\}.$$

Furthermore, assuming condition (2.7), in fact  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}) \leq k - 1$  for all  $k \leq n$ .

There is also a lower bound for  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k-1})$  depending on the level of the Hodge structure, see Corollary 4.2.

**Acknowledgments:** We wish to thank Oliver Debarre for the reference [Bor66] and Andrey Soldatenkov for comments on a first version of this note.

## 2. EVEN DEGREE

In the following,  $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \Delta$  will always be a degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds with unipotent monodromy and  $X = \mathcal{X}_t$ ,  $t \neq 0$ , denotes a fixed smooth fibre.

2.1. We begin by recalling some well-known properties of the logarithmic monodromy operator  $N = \bigoplus N_k$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For classes  $\alpha \in H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\alpha' \in H^\ell(X, \mathbb{C})$  one has*

$$(2.1) \quad N_{k+\ell}(\alpha \wedge \alpha') = N_k(\alpha) \wedge \alpha' + \alpha \wedge N_\ell(\alpha').$$

Furthermore, for a degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds, the operator  $N_2$  is compatible with the Beauville–Bogomolov form:

$$(2.2) \quad q(N_2(\alpha), \alpha') + q(\alpha, N_2(\alpha')) = 0.$$

*Proof.* The monodromy operator  $T$  on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  is an algebra isomorphism and, hence,

$$(2.3) \quad T^m(\alpha \wedge \alpha') = T^m(\alpha) \wedge T^m(\alpha')$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ . Now use  $T^m = \exp(mN)$  and expand (2.3) in  $m$ . Comparing linear terms, yields the first assertion. The proof of the second assertion is similar, relying on the fact that the monodromy operator  $T_2$  is orthogonal, i.e.  $q(T_2(\alpha), T_2(\alpha')) = q(\alpha, \alpha')$ .  $\square$

Let us now consider a degeneration  $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \Delta$  of compact hyperkähler manifolds with  $T_2 - \text{id}$  of order two or, equivalently, such that  $N_2^2 = 0$  but  $N_2 \neq 0$ , i.e. a degeneration of type II. For the convenience of the reader we recall the following observation due to Schreieder and Soldatenkov [SS20, Prop. 4.1].

**Lemma 2.2.** *For a type II degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds the image of the logarithmic monodromy operator  $N_2: H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is an isotropic plane.*

Furthermore, for any basis  $w_1, w_2 \in \text{Im}(N_2)$  one has

$$(2.4) \quad N_2(\alpha) = q(w_2, \alpha) w_1 - q(w_1, \alpha) w_2$$

up to scaling  $N_2$  by a factor.

*Proof.* Due to (2.2), one has  $q(N_2(\alpha), N_2(\alpha')) = -q(\alpha, N_2^2(\alpha')) = 0$ , which shows that the image is indeed isotropic. A computation with Hodge numbers, see [Gri84, Ch. VI], yields  $\dim \operatorname{Im}(N_2) = 2$ .

Thus, one can write  $N_2 = \lambda_1(\cdot) w_1 + \lambda_2(\cdot) w_2$  for certain linear forms  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ . Then, since by (2.2) one has  $q(N_2(\alpha), \alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , one finds  $\lambda_1(\alpha) q(w_1, \alpha) + \lambda_2(\alpha) q(w_2, \alpha) = 0$ , which proves  $[\lambda_1(\cdot) : \lambda_2(\cdot)] = [q(w_2, \cdot) : -q(w_1, \cdot)]$ .  $\square$

2.2. Let us now fix a non-trivial isotropic class  $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X)$  and denote by  $L_\beta$  the operator  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \wedge \beta$  which is of bidegree  $(1, 1)$ . Furthermore, we let  $\Lambda_\sigma^c$  be the operator of bidegree  $(-2, 0)$  defined as the contraction by the holomorphic symplectic form  $\sigma$ , see also Remark 2.3. Then the operator

$$M := [L_\beta, \Lambda_\sigma^c]$$

is of bidegree  $(-1, 1)$  and enjoys similar properties as the monodromy operator  $N$ . For example,

$$(2.5) \quad M_{k+\ell}(\alpha \wedge \alpha') = M_k(\alpha) \wedge \alpha' + \alpha \wedge M_\ell(\alpha')$$

for classes  $\alpha \in H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\alpha' \in H^\ell(X, \mathbb{C})$ . Furthermore,  $M$  is of type II, i.e.  $M_2^2 = 0$ , and the image of  $M_2$  is an isotropic plane, namely  $\operatorname{Im}(M_2) = \langle \beta, \bar{\sigma} \rangle$ . More precisely, up to a scaling factor

$$M(\sigma) = -q(\bar{\sigma}, \sigma) \beta \text{ and } M(\alpha) = q(\beta, \alpha) \bar{\sigma}$$

for any  $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X)$ . So, similarly to Lemma 2.2, we can write, up to a scaling factor,

$$(2.6) \quad M_2 = q(\beta, \cdot) \bar{\sigma} - q(\bar{\sigma}, \cdot) \beta.$$

The quickest way to prove these facts is via the (complex) Looijenga–Lunts–Verbitsky Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ , see [LL97, Ver96] or the summaries [GKLR19, Thm. 2.7] and [Sol19, Sec. 2]. It is generated by all  $L_x$  and  $\Lambda_x$ , where  $x \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  can be any class satisfying the Lefschetz property. As  $L_\beta$  and  $\Lambda_\sigma^c$  are linear combinations of certain  $L_x$  and  $\Lambda_x$ , cf. Remark 2.3 below, the known commutator relations in  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$  also hold for  $[L_\beta, \Lambda_\sigma^c]$  and the property that  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$  acts by derivation on the algebra  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  extends to the operator  $M$ .

**Remark 2.3.** (i) If one writes  $\sigma = \omega_J + \sqrt{-1}\omega_K$ , where  $\omega_J, \omega_K$  are the Kähler classes of complex structures  $J$  and  $K$  defined by the choice of a hyperkähler metric, then  $\Lambda_\sigma^c = \Lambda_{\omega_J} - \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_K}$ . Here,  $\Lambda_{\omega_J}$  and  $\Lambda_{\omega_K}$  are the usual dual Lefschetz operators associated to the Lefschetz classes  $\omega_J$  and  $\omega_K$ . In other words, if  $\Lambda_\omega$  depending on a Lefschetz class  $\omega$  is extended linearly on the whole  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $\Lambda_\sigma^c = \Lambda_{\bar{\sigma}}$ . For an explanation of the sign we refer to [Fuj87, p. 118], see also [SY21, Sec. 3.1].

(ii) There exists an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{C}))$ , see [LL97, Ver96]. Here,  $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{C})$  denotes the Mukai extension of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , i.e. its direct sum with a hyperbolic plane. Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{g}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathbb{C}h) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 2} \simeq H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{g}' \simeq \mathfrak{so}(H^2(X, \mathbb{C}))$ , cf. [LL97, GKLR19, Sol19, Ver96].

2.3. Nagai's conjecture for the operator  $M_{2n}$  can be verified by a computation. This is the content of the next result. For  $k = n$  the assertion will give Nagai's conjecture for the middle cohomology, see Section 2.6. For  $k \leq n/2$  one in fact has the stronger result  $M^{2k+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , simply because  $M$  is of bidegree  $(-1, 1)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *For all  $k \leq n$  we have  $M^{n+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is of bidegree  $(-1, 1)$ , we have  $M^{\ell+1} = 0$  on  $H^{p,q}(X)$  for  $p \leq \ell$ . Thus, to prove the assertion, it suffices to show  $M^{n+1}(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(X)$  with  $p + q = 2k$  and  $p > n$ . Using the isomorphism  $\sigma^{p-n}: \Omega_X^{2n-p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^p$  for  $n < p \leq 2n$ , we can write  $\alpha = \sigma^{p-n} \wedge \gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in H^{2n-p,q}$ . Then, by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} M^{n+1}(\alpha) = M^{n+1}(\sigma^{p-n} \wedge \gamma) &= \sum_{i+j=n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} M^i(\sigma^{p-n}) \wedge M^j(\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ j \leq 2n-p}} \binom{n+1}{i} M^i(\sigma^{p-n}) \wedge M^j(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where we use that for bidegree reasons  $H^{2n-p,q}(X)$  is annihilated by  $M^j$  for  $j > 2n-p$ . On the other hand, since  $M^2(\sigma) = 0$ , we have  $M^i(\sigma^{p-n}) = 0$  for  $i > p-n$ . This yields the assertion.  $\square$

2.4. The arguments in the previous proof can be used to deduce  $M^{k+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  assuming the following holds for all  $p + q \leq 2n - 2$ :

$$(2.7) \quad \{\gamma \in H^{p,q}(X) \mid \gamma \wedge \beta = 0, \gamma \wedge \bar{\sigma} = 0\} = 0.$$

In fact, it suffices to show triviality for those  $\gamma \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $2k < 2n$ , that are furthermore contained in the image of  $M^{k+1}$  or satisfy  $\Lambda_{\bar{\sigma}}(\gamma) \wedge \beta = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *Assuming (2.7), one has  $M^{k+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for all  $2k \leq 2n$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $M^{k+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $k < n$ , is implied by  $M^{k+2} = 0$  on  $H^{2k+2}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , which reduces the assertion to the middle degree  $2n$  covered by Proposition 2.4.

Let  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(X)$  with  $p + q = 2k < 2n$  (and  $q < k$ ) and assume that  $\ell$  is maximal with  $M^\ell(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\ell \geq k + 1$ . Since  $\ell + 1 \geq k + 2$ ,  $\alpha \wedge w \in H^{2k+2}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $M^2(w) = 0$  for all classes  $w \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$0 = M^{\ell+1}(\alpha \wedge w) = M^\ell(\alpha) \wedge M(w).$$

As the image of  $M$  acting on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is spanned by  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\beta$ , assumption (2.7) yields the contradiction  $M^\ell(\alpha) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** The condition (2.7) is trivially satisfied for  $q < n$ , for  $L_{\bar{\sigma}}$  is injective on  $H^{p,q}(X)$  for  $q < n$ . Also, if  $\beta$  were a Kähler class or simply a class with  $q(\beta) \neq 0$ , then  $L_\beta$  would be injective for  $2k < 2n$ . At this point, we do not know how realistic (2.7) is. Note that, unlike

condition (5.2) in [GKLR19, Thm. 5.2], which is shown to be equivalent to Nagai's conjecture, condition (2.7) may fail without contradicting Nagai's conjecture.

**Remark 2.7.** (i) Note that the argument in the above proof reducing  $M^{k+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  to  $M^{k+2} = 0$  on  $H^{2k+2}(X, \mathbb{C})$  works for  $2k < n$ , for in this case  $L_{\bar{\sigma}}$  is injective on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ . In other words, without assuming (2.7), to confirm Nagai's conjecture (for the operator  $M$ ) it suffices to show  $M^{k+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for  $n \leq 2k < 2n$ .

(ii) Also, one can combine the arguments in the proofs of Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 to show that  $M^n = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for  $2k < 2n$ , because in this case one only needs (2.7) for  $(0, 2k)$ -classes which holds true. Indeed,  $M^n(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(X)$  with  $p < n$ . For  $p \geq n$ , write again  $\alpha = \sigma^{p-n} \wedge \gamma$  and compute  $M^n(\alpha) = \sum_{i+j=n} \binom{n}{i} M^i(\sigma^{p-n}) \wedge M^j(\gamma) = \binom{n}{p-n} M^{p-n}(\sigma^{p-n}) \wedge M^{2n-p}(\gamma) = \binom{n}{p-n} \beta^{p-n} \wedge M^{2n-p}(\gamma)$  with  $M^{2n-p}(\gamma) \in H^{0,2(k-p+n)}(X)$  and so  $\gamma = c \cdot \bar{\sigma}^{k-p+n}$ . Hence, for  $w \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  with  $M(w) = \bar{\sigma}$  we obtain  $0 = M^{n+1}(w \wedge \alpha) = c \cdot \beta^{p-n} \wedge \bar{\sigma}^{k-p+n+1}$ . Since  $\bar{\sigma}^{2n-p}$  induces an isomorphism  $H^{p-n,p-n}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{p-n,3n-p}(X)$  and since  $k-p+n+1 \leq 2n-p$ , multiplying classes in  $H^{p-n,p-n}(X)$  with  $\bar{\sigma}^{k-p+n+1}$  is injective and, in particular,  $\beta^{p-n} \wedge \bar{\sigma}^{k-p+n+1} \neq 0$ . This proves  $c = 0$  and, therefore,  $M^n(\alpha) = 0$ .

2.5. Let  $X$  be a compact hyperkähler manifold and  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  its complex cohomology algebra. In addition,  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is endowed with the complex linear extension of the Beauville–Bogomolov pairing  $q$ .

Assume  $V \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is an isotropic plane with a basis  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ . Since  $v^{n+1} = 0$  in  $H^{2n+2}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for any isotropic class  $v$ , the choice of  $V = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$  endows  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  with the structure of a graded algebra over the Artin algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]/(x_1, x_2)^{n+1}$ .

The next proposition is a two-parameter version of the observation [HM21, Prop. 2.2].

**Proposition 2.8.** *For every two isotropic planes  $V = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$  and  $V' = \langle v'_1, v'_2 \rangle$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , the induced graded  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]/(x_1, x_2)^{n+1}$ -algebra structures on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Note that due to the existence of the isotropic planes, we know that  $b_2(X) \geq 4$  or, equivalently, that the Beauville–Bogomolov form  $q$  is indefinite.

We use the shorthand  $H^* := H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  and denote by  $\text{Aut}(H^*)$  the complex algebraic group of automorphisms of the graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $H^*$ . Let  $G$  be its image under the restriction map  $\text{Aut}(H^*) \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^2)$ . To prove the assertion, it suffices to show that the two planes  $V$  and  $V'$  with their chosen bases are contained in the same  $G$ -orbit. Clearly, any two isotropic planes  $V = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$  and  $V' = \langle v'_1, v'_2 \rangle$  are contained in the same orbit of the action of the complex orthogonal group  $\text{O}(H^2, q)$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $\text{O}(H^2, q) \subset G$ .

Now, monodromy defines a discrete subgroup in  $\text{Aut}(H^*)$  and its image in  $\text{GL}(H^2)$  contains a finite index subgroup of the integral orthogonal group  $\text{O}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ . Since by [Bor66] the latter is Zariski dense in  $\text{O}(H^2, q)$  when  $q$  is indefinite, we indeed have  $\text{O}(H^2, q) \subset G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a type II degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds and let  $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X)$  be a non-trivial isotropic class on a smooth fibre  $X := \mathcal{X}_t$ ,  $t \neq 0$ . Then the*

monodromy operator  $N$  and the operator  $M = [L_\beta, \Lambda_\sigma^c]$ , for  $0 \neq \sigma \in H^{2,0}(X)$ , are conjugate under a graded automorphism of the algebra  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* According to (2.4) and (2.6) we can write  $N_2 = q(w_2, \cdot)w_1 - q(w_1, \cdot)w_2$  for a certain basis  $w_1, w_2$  of the isotropic plane  $\text{Im}(N_2) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  and, up to a scaling factor,  $M_2 = q(\beta, \cdot)\bar{\sigma} - q(\bar{\sigma}, \cdot)\beta$ . Now choose a graded algebra automorphism of  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  that maps the basis  $w_1, w_2$  of the image of  $N_2$  to the basis  $\beta, \bar{\sigma}$  of the image of  $M_2$ . Let  $N'$  denote the conjugate of the logarithmic monodromy operator  $N$  under this algebra isomorphism.

Then  $N'_2 = M_2$  up to a scaling factor and both operators  $N'$  and  $M$  are contained in the total Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ . For  $N'$  this follows from the corresponding assertion for  $N$ , which is a consequence of [Sol20, Prop. 3.5], see also [GKLR19, Sec. 4], and for  $M = [L_\beta, \Lambda_\sigma^c]$  it holds by definition. Also,  $N'$  and  $M$  are both of degree zero and hence contained in the degree zero part of  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$ . Now use that the degree zero part of  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{so}(H^2(X, \mathbb{C})) \oplus \mathbb{C}h$ , where  $h$  is the degree operator. Hence, any two degree zero elements of  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$  with identical action on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  coincide. Thus, the fact that  $N'_2 = M_2$  immediately implies  $N' = M$ .  $\square$

**2.6. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** According to Corollary 2.9, the monodromy operator  $N$  and the operator  $M$  correspond to each other under a graded algebra automorphism of  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ . Consider their actions on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for  $k \leq n$ . Since  $M^{n+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  by Proposition 2.4, we can conclude that  $N^{n+1} = 0$  holds as well. The stronger statement  $N^n = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for  $2k < 2n$  follows from Remark 2.7, (ii).

Furthermore, assuming (2.7), we deduce from Corollary 2.5 that  $M^{k+1} = 0$  on  $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , which again by Corollary 2.9 implies the assertion  $N^{k+1} = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. NAGAI'S CONJECTURE AND THE PERVERSE FILTRATION

Let  $X$  be a compact hyperkähler manifold of complex dimension  $2n$ . In this section we show that, quite remarkably, Nagai's conjecture prescribes the shape of the perverse filtration associated to a Lagrangian fibration  $f: X \rightarrow B$ .

**3.1.** We start by recalling the filtration associated to a nilpotent operator, see [Del80, §1.6].

Given a nilpotent endomorphism  $N$  of a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  of index of nilpotence  $k$ , i.e.  $N^k \neq 0$  and  $N^{k+1} = 0$ , the *weight filtration of  $N$  centred at  $k$*  is the unique increasing filtration  $W_0^N V \subset W_1^N V \subset \dots \subset W_{2k-1}^N V \subset W_{2k}^N V = V$ , such that

$$NW_i^N \subseteq W_{i-2}^N \text{ and } N^i: \text{Gr}_{k+i}^N V \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{k-i}^N V.$$

Here, we use  $N$  to denote also the induced maps on the graded pieces  $\text{Gr}_i^N V := W_i^N V / W_{i-1}^N V$ .

**Examples 3.1.** Let us consider some geometric examples.

(i) For  $0 \neq \bar{\sigma} \in H^{0,2}(X)$ , the weight filtration of the nilpotent operator  $L_{\bar{\sigma}}$  on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  centred at  $n$  is the conjugate Hodge filtration, i.e.  $W_i^{L_{\bar{\sigma}}} H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) = \sum_{q \geq 2n-i} H^{p,q}(X)$ , see [Fuj87, Prop. 2.6].



(ii) Assume  $f: X \rightarrow B$  is a Lagrangian fibration. Up to a scalar factor, there exists a unique class  $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$  which is the pullback of an ample class in  $H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})$ , see [Mat99]. The weight filtration of  $L_\beta$  on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  centred at  $n$  is the perverse filtration

$$P_i H^d(X, \mathbb{Q}) := \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta^j \cdot \text{Ker} \left( \beta^{n-(d-2j)+i+1} : H^{d-2j}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2n-d+2j+2i+2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \right),$$

i.e.  $W_i^{L_\beta} H^d(X, \mathbb{Q}) = P_{d+i-2n} H^d(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , see [dCM05, Thm. 2.1.5]. In particular, the graded pieces  $\text{Gr}_i^P H^d(X, \mathbb{Q})$  are pure Hodge structures of weight  $d$ .

(iii) Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  be a type II degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds with unipotent monodromy and  $X = \mathcal{X}_t$ ,  $t \neq 0$ , a fixed smooth fibre. Up to a shift, the weight filtration of the logarithmic monodromy operator  $N$  on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  centred at  $n$  coincides with the monodromy filtration  $W(M)$  defined in [PS08, Sec. 11.2.5]. On the other hand, by Corollary 2.9, the weight filtration of  $N$  is conjugate to the weight filtration of  $M = [L_\beta, \Lambda_\sigma^c]$ . Together this becomes

$$\text{Gr}_{k+j}^{W(M)} H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Gr}_{n+j}^N H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Gr}_{n+j}^M H^k(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Observe that the dimension of  $\text{Gr}_{n+j}^N H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  by Corollary 2.9, see [KLSV18, Sol20] for the analogous statements in type I and III.

3.2. The goal of this section is to provide an equivalent formulation of Nagai's conjecture, see [GKLR19, Prop. 1.15] for another one using the representation theory of the LLV algebra. We begin by establishing a link between the monodromy filtration and the perverse filtration.

As before,  $X$  denotes a compact hyperkähler manifold of complex dimension  $2n$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $N$  be the logarithmic monodromy operator on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  of a type II degenerations and assume that there exists a Lagrangian fibration  $f: X \rightarrow B$ . For the two induced filtrations there exists an isomorphism*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Gr}_{n+j}^N H^\ell(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=\ell, i=j+q} \text{Gr}_i^P H^{p,q}(X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(g, I, J, K)$  be a hyperkähler structure on the complex manifold  $X$ , i.e. a Riemannian metric  $g$  which is Kähler with respect to three complex structures  $I$  (the one defining  $X$ ),  $J$ , and  $K$  satisfying the quaternion relations  $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1$ . Let  $(\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K) := (g(I\cdot, \cdot), g(J\cdot, \cdot), g(K\cdot, \cdot))$  be the corresponding Kähler classes in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\sigma := \omega_J + i\omega_K$  a holomorphic symplectic form on  $X$ . Assume  $q(\omega_I) = q(\omega_J) = q(\omega_K) = \frac{1}{2}$  and let  $\beta = f^*\alpha$  be the pullback of an ample class  $\alpha \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})$ . The signature of the Beauville–Bogomolov form implies that there exists a unique  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the class  $\eta := \omega_I + \lambda\beta$  is isotropic, i.e.  $q(\eta) = 0$ . Up to scaling  $\beta$ , we can assume  $q(\beta, \eta) = q(\beta, \omega_I) = q(\bar{\sigma}, \sigma) = 1$ . We shall denote by  $U \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  the orthogonal complement of  $\langle \beta, \omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K \rangle$ .

By Proposition 2.8, there exists an involution  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(H^*(X, \mathbb{C}))$  of the graded algebra  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\varphi(\bar{\sigma}) = \beta$ ,  $\varphi(\sigma) = \eta$ , and  $\varphi|_U = \text{id}$ . Let  $y := \varphi(\omega_J)$ ,  $z := \varphi(\omega_K) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .



Then the following are all  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\bar{\sigma}} &:= L_{\bar{\sigma}} = L_{\omega_J} - \sqrt{-1}L_{\omega_K}, \quad F_{\bar{\sigma}} := \Lambda_{\bar{\sigma}}^c = \Lambda_{\sigma} = \Lambda_{\omega_J} + \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_K}, \quad H_{\bar{\sigma}} = 4(q-n) \text{ id on } H^{p,q}(X), \\ E_{\sigma} &:= L_{\sigma} = L_{\omega_J} + \sqrt{-1}L_{\omega_K}, \quad F_{\sigma} := \Lambda_{\sigma}^c = \Lambda_{\bar{\sigma}} = \Lambda_{\omega_J} - \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega_K}, \quad H_{\sigma} = 4(p-n) \text{ id on } H^{p,q}(X), \\ E_{\beta} &:= \varphi E_{\bar{\sigma}} \varphi = L_{\beta}, \quad F_{\beta} := \varphi F_{\bar{\sigma}} \varphi = \Lambda_{\eta} = \Lambda_y + \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_z, \quad H_{\beta} = [E_{\beta}, F_{\beta}] = \varphi H_{\bar{\sigma}} \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E_{\eta} := \varphi E_{\sigma} \varphi = L_{\eta}, \quad F_{\eta} := \varphi F_{\sigma} \varphi = \Lambda_{\beta}, \quad H_{\eta} = \varphi H_{\sigma} \varphi.$$

Since  $H_{\beta}$  is a morphism of Hodge structures, we obtain the decomposition

$$(3.2) \quad H^{p,q}(X) = \bigoplus_i V^{p,q,i}$$

such that  $H_{\beta} = 4(p+q-i-n) \text{ id on } V^{p,q,i}$ . In particular, the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples gives  $V^{p,q,i} \simeq \text{Gr}_i^P H^{p,q}(X)$ . Next one observes that

$$(3.3) \quad E_M := 2M = 2[E_{\beta}, F_{\bar{\sigma}}] = 2[L_{\beta}, \Lambda_{\sigma}^c], \quad F_M := 2[E_{\eta}, F_{\sigma}], \quad H_M := [E_M, F_M] = \frac{1}{4}H_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}H_{\beta}$$

is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Indeed, by [KSV19, Lem. 3.9] or [GKLR19, Thm. 2.7]

$$E_M|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{C})} = q(\beta, \cdot) \bar{\sigma} - q(\bar{\sigma}, \cdot) \beta \quad \text{and} \quad F_M|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{C})} = q(\eta, \cdot) \sigma - q(\sigma, \cdot) \eta.$$

From here it is straightforward to check that  $(E_M, F_M, H_M)$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple in  $\mathfrak{so}(H^2(X, \mathbb{C}))$  and, hence, also in the LLV algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{so}(\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{C}))$ .

Finally by (3.3), the eigenspaces of  $H_M$  are  $\bigoplus_{p+q=\ell, i=j+q} V^{p,q,i} \simeq \text{Gr}_{n+j}^M H^{\ell}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , and hence

$$\text{Gr}_{n+j}^N H^{\ell}(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Gr}_{n+j}^M H^{\ell}(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=\ell, i=j+q} \text{Gr}_i^P H^{p,q}(X),$$


which concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Despite being evocative from a geometric viewpoint, it is not necessary to assume the existence of a Lagrangian fibration in Theorem 3.2. Indeed, in the above proofs we can replace  $\beta$  with any non-zero isotropic class of type  $(1, 1)$  by [HM21, Prop. 2.2].

The theorem immediately yields an equivalent reformulation of Nagai's conjecture in terms of the perverse filtration.

**Corollary 3.4.** *The Nagai conjecture (for type II degenerations) holds if and only if the Hodge structure  $\text{Gr}_i^P H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  has level at most  $2k - 2|i - k|$ .*

*Proof.* The Nagai conjecture for  $N$  says that  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k}) = k$ , alternatively that  $\text{Gr}_{n+j}^N H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  for  $|j| > k$ , see Section 3.1. By Theorem 3.2 this condition is equivalent to  $\text{Gr}_i^P H^{p,q}(X) = 0$  for  $|i - q| > k$ , i.e.  $\text{Gr}_i^P H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  has level at most  $2k - 2|i - k|$ .  $\square$



The  $(q, i)$ -entry in the following picture is the direct summand  $V^{2-q, q, i}$  of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

The sums along the columns give the Hodge decomposition of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ; the sums along the rows split the perverse filtration on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ; the sums along the northeast-southwest diagonals split the weight filtration of  $M$ . Complex conjugation and the conjugate via  $\varphi$  of complex conjugation account for the symmetries of this diamond, respectively the reflection about the vertical and horizontal middle axes. The Nagai conjecture predicts the existence of these diamonds in any cohomological degree.

The arguments above also yield the following observation concerning the cohomology of odd degree of a compact hyperkähler manifold, see also [Fuj87, Lem. 1.2] or [Wak58, Cor. 8.1] where the hypothesis  $b_2(X) \geq 4$  is not needed.

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $b_2(X) \geq 4$ , then all odd Betti numbers  $b_{2k-1}$  are divisible by 4.*

*Proof.* If  $b_2(X) \geq 4$ , there exists a non-zero isotropic class  $\beta$  of type  $(1, 1)$ . Consider then the involution  $\varphi$  in the proof of Theorem 3.2 and the decomposition (3.2), both well-defined by Remark 3.3. The map  $\varphi$  exchanges the eigenvectors of  $H_{\bar{\sigma}}$  and  $H_{\beta}$ , i.e.  $\varphi(V^{p, q, i}) = V^{i, p+q-i, p}$ . By complex conjugation, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad V^{q, p, i} \simeq V^{p, q, i} \simeq V^{i, p+q-i, p} \simeq V^{p+q-i, i, p}.$$

We conclude that

$$H^{2k-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=2k-1} V^{p, q, i} \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=2k-1, p < k, i < k} (V^{p, q, i})^{\oplus 4},$$

which proves the assertion.  $\square$

#### 4. ODD DEGREE

We shall now discuss the action of the logarithmic monodromy on the odd degree cohomology. Again,  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$  is a type II degeneration of compact hyperkähler manifolds of dimension  $2n$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The odd logarithmic monodromy operators  $N_{2k-1}$ ,  $k \leq n$ , satisfy*

$$\text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}) \leq \min\{2k-3, n-1\}.$$

*Furthermore, assuming condition (2.7), in fact  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}) \leq k-1$  for all  $k \leq n$ .*

For any degeneration types one has  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}) \leq 2k - 3$ , as the level of the Hodge structure  $H^{2k-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is at most  $2k - 3$ . For type III degenerations, i.e.  $\text{nilp}(N_2) = 2$ , Soldatenkov proves [Sol20, Prop. 3.15] that the upper bound  $2k - 3$  is attained if  $H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ . In fact, one can show that for type III degenerations  $\text{nilp}(N_d)$  is always the level of the Hodge structure  $H^d(X, \mathbb{C})$ , see [HM21, Prop. 3.12]. For type I degenerations all logarithmic monodromy operators are trivial, cf. [KLSV18].

4.1. *Proof of Theorem 4.1.* As we argue in Section 2.6, it suffices to show the analogous statements for  $M_{2k-1}$ . Since  $M$  is of bidegree  $(-1, 1)$  and the Hodge structure of  $H^{2k-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  has level at most  $2k - 3$ , we have  $\text{nilp}(M_{2k-1}) \leq 2k - 3$ .

To show that  $\text{nilp}(M_{2k-1}) \leq n - 1$ , we imitate the arguments in the proof of Proposition 2.4 to show  $M^n = 0$  on  $H^{2k-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ . Assume  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(X)$  with  $p + q = 2k - 1$ . If  $p < n$ , then clearly  $M^n(\alpha) = 0$  and for  $p = n$ , we have  $M^n(\alpha) \in H^{0,2k-1}(X) = 0$ . For  $p > n$ , we write again  $\alpha = \sigma^{p-n} \wedge \gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in H^{2n-p,q}(X)$ . Then use  $M^i(\sigma^{p-n}) = 0$  for  $i > p - n$  and  $M^j(\gamma) = 0$  for  $j \geq 2n - p$  to conclude  $M^n(\alpha) = 0$ .  $\square$

Note that the induction in the proof of Corollary 2.5 under the assumption (2.7) will work here as well. So, assuming (2.7), one can actually show  $\text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}) \leq k - 1$  for all  $k \leq n$ .

4.2. Let us now turn to lower bounds, which can not be obtained directly from  $\text{nilp}(N_2)$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** *Assume that the level of the Hodge structure  $H^{2k-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $k \leq n$ , is  $2\ell - 1 > 0$ . Then*

$$\ell \leq \text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}).$$

*If  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ , then  $\ell = k - 1$  and, therefore,*

$$k - 1 \leq \text{nilp}(N_{2k-1}).$$

*In particular,  $\text{nilp}(N_3) = 1$  and  $\text{nilp}(N_{2n-1}) = n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We prove first that  $\ell \leq \text{nilp}(M_{2k-1})$ . By assumption,  $H^{k-\ell, k+\ell-1}(X) \neq 0$ , and so there exists an integer  $i$  such that  $V^{k-\ell, k+\ell-1, i} \neq 0$ . Then, by (3.4) and (3.1),  $\text{Gr}_{n+j}^M H^{2k-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$  if  $j = |k - \ell - i|$  and  $|k + \ell - 1 - i|$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\ell = \min_i \max\{|k - \ell - i|, |k + \ell - 1 - i|\} \leq \text{nilp}(M_{2k-1}).$$

If  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ , the level of the Hodge structure  $H^{2k-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , for  $k \leq n$ , is exactly  $2(k - 1) - 1$ . Indeed, since  $\bar{\sigma}^{n-2}$  induces an isomorphism  $H^{1,2}(X) \simeq H^{1,2n-2}(X)$ , the map  $\bar{\sigma}^{k-2}: H^{1,2}(X) \rightarrow H^{1,2k-2}(X)$  is injective, and so  $H^{1,2k-2}(X) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Bog96] F. Bogomolov *On the cohomology ring of a simple hyper-Kähler manifold (on the results of Verbitsky)*. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 6 (1996), 612–618. 1

- [Bor66] A. Borel *Density and maximality of arithmetic subgroups*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 224 (1966), 78–89. [3](#), [6](#)
- [dCM05] M. de Cataldo and L. Migliorini *The Hodge theory of algebraic maps*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 38 (2005), 693–750. [8](#)
- [Del80] P. Deligne *La conjecture de Weil II*. Publ. Math. IHES 52 (1980), 137–252. [7](#)
- [Fuj87] A. Fujiki *On the de Rham cohomology group of a compact Kähler symplectic manifold*. Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 10 (1987), 105–165. [4](#), [7](#), [10](#)
- [GKLR19] M. Green, Y.-J. Kim, R. Laza, and C. Robles *The LLV decomposition of hyper-Kähler cohomology*. to appear in Math. Ann. arXiv:1906.03432. [2](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [Gri84] P. Griffiths et al *Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry*. Annals of Math. Studies 106 Princeton University Press (1984). [1](#), [4](#)
- [Ha20] A. Harder *Mixed Hodge structures in log symplectic geometry*. arXiv:2005.11367. [1](#), [2](#)
- [HM21] D. Huybrechts and M. Mauri *Lagrangian fibrations*. Notes (2021). <http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/huybrech/HuybrechtsMauri.pdf> [6](#), [9](#), [11](#)
- [KLSV18] J. Kollár, R. Laza, G. Saccà, and Voisin *Remarks on degenerations of hyper-Kähler manifolds*. Ann. Inst. Fourier 68 (2018), 2837–2882. [1](#), [2](#), [8](#), [11](#)
- [KSV19] N. Kurnosov, A. Soldatenkov, and M. Verbitsky *Kuga–Satake construction and cohomology of hyperkähler manifolds*. Adv. Math. 351 (2019), 275–295. [9](#)
- [LL97] E. Looijenga and V. Lunts *A Lie algebra attached to a projective variety*. Invent. Math. 129 (1997), 361–412. [4](#)
- [Mat99] D. Matsushita *On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold*. Topology 38 (1999), 79–83. [8](#)
- [Nag08] Y. Nagai *On monodromies of a degeneration of irreducible symplectic Kähler manifolds*. Math. Z. 258 (2008), 407–426. [1](#), [2](#)
- [PS08] C. Peters and J. Steenbrink *Mixed Hodge structures*. volume 52 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. [8](#)
- [SS20] S. Schreieder and A. Soldatenkov *The Kuga–Satake construction under degeneration*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 19 (2020), 2165–2182. [3](#)
- [SY21] J. Shen and Q. Yin *Topology of Lagrangian fibrations and Hodge theory of hyper-kähler manifolds*. to appear in Duke J. Math. arXiv:1812.10673. [4](#)
- [Sol20] A. Soldatenkov *Limit mixed Hodge structures of hyperkähler manifolds*. Mosc. Math. J. 20 (2020) 423–422. [1](#), [2](#), [7](#), [8](#), [11](#)
- [Sol19] A. Soldatenkov *On the Hodge structures of compact hyperkähler manifolds*. Math. Res. Letters 28 (2021), 623–635. [4](#)
- [Ver96] M. Verbitsky *Cohomology of compact hyper-Kähler manifolds and its applications*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996), 601–611. [1](#), [4](#)
- [Wak58] H. Wakakuwa *On Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous holonomy group  $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$* . Tohoku Math. J. 2 (1958), 274–303. [10](#)

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT AND HAUSDORFF CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, 53115 BONN, GERMANY & MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

*Email address:* [huybrech@math.uni-bonn.de](mailto:huybrech@math.uni-bonn.de) & [mauri@mpim-bonn.mpg.de](mailto:mauri@mpim-bonn.mpg.de)