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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate fast algorithms in the small fraction order regime to approximate the Caputo derivative ${ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)$ when $\alpha$ is small. We focus on two fast algorithms, i.e. FIR and FIDR, both relying on the sum-of-exponential approximation to reduce the cost of evaluating the history part. FIR is the numerical scheme originally proposed in [16], and FIDR is an alternative scheme proposed in [26], and we show that the latter is superior when $\alpha$ is small. With quantitative estimates, we prove that given a certain error threshold, the computational cost of evaluating the history part of the Caputo derivative can be decreased as $\alpha$ gets small. Hence, only minimal cost for the fast evaluation is required in the small $\alpha$ regime, which matches prevailing protocols in engineering practice. We also present improved stability and error analysis of FIDR for solving linear fractional diffusion equations, which achieves clear dependence of the error bound on the fraction order $\alpha$. Finally, we carry out systematic numerical studies for the performances of both FIR and FIDR schemes, where we explore the trade-off between accuracy and efficiency when $\alpha$ is small.
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## 1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been an emerging interest in the field of fractional derivatives. Many phenomena in engineering have been described by models with fractional derivatives, such as the groundwater flow [2, 3], the blood ethanol concentration system [24], the epidemic model [32], the magnetic hysteresis phenomena [6], and seismic wave propagation problems discussed in [35]. These complex media or processes in these fields need hereditary descriptions, while fractional derivative is an excellent mathematical tool for characterizing the memory effects [28, 37].

Several versions of fractional time derivative has been proposed, including the Riemann-Liouville (RL) fractional derivative [25, Sec. 2], [27], the Grünwald-Letnikov (GL) fractional derivative [23, Sec. 2.2], [31], and the Caputo fractional derivative [5]. Both GL fractional derivative and RL fractional derivative require fractional-type initial values, whose physical interpretation is not clear. On the other hand, the Caputo fractional derivative takes the integer-order differential equations as the initial value. We refer to [17,21,23] for a more general discussion.

The general form of the Caputo fractional derivative is represented as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial_{\tau}^{m} u(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha+1-m}} d \tau, \quad m-1 \leq \alpha<m, m \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we focus on the numerical approximation of the Caputo derivative with $\alpha \in(0,1)$; then (1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u^{\prime}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}} d \tau, \quad 0<\alpha<1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Designing an efficient numerical methods for fractional differential equations is nontrivial, since the fractional derivative at $t$ depends on the information of all $u(\tau)$ on $\tau \in(0, t)$ [19]. For heuristic purposes, we introduce the L1 approximation below to demonstrate the computational burden due to the non-local dependence in time of the Caputo derivative.

The L1 approximation, based on piecewise linear interpolation of $u$, is a popular scheme of discretizing the Caputo fractional derivative [10-12]. The cost of the onetime evaluation of the time derivative grows linearly as the time step increases. For a given time grid $\left\{t_{n}, 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}=t\right\}$, $t_{k}=k \Delta t$, the L1 approximation constructs a finite difference scheme as [12, eq. 3.1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}=\frac{\Delta t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left[a_{0}^{(\alpha)} u^{n}-\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\left(a_{n-l-1}^{(\alpha)}-a_{n-l}^{(\alpha)}\right) u^{l}-a_{n-1}^{(\alpha)} u^{0}\right], \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{k}:=u\left(t_{k}\right)$ and

$$
a_{l}^{(\alpha)}=(l+1)^{1-\alpha}-l^{1-\alpha}, \quad l \geq 0 .
$$

As aforementioned, the fractional derivative at $t_{k}$ depends on all $u(\tau)$ on $\tau \in(0, t)$; as a result, the finite difference scheme (3) requires $O(n)$ computational cost to compute $C_{0} D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}$, and $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ computational cost to solve the fractional differential equations
(since we need to compute all ${ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}, k=1, \cdots, n$ ). Therefore, it is expensive when $n$ is large.

There are plenty of works that propose fast evaluations of the Caputo derivative, including the second order implicit schemes [13], the fast evaluations with the sum-of-exponential approximation [16, 33], as well as some efficient algorithms implemented in engineering works [34-36], [9, Chapter 2]. In this paper we focus on the fast schemes using the sum-of-exponential approximation, which is a widely-used method in speeding up the evaluation of the convolution integrals. Such approximations have been used in efficient approximations for heat kernel [15], the evaluation of average probability of error [20], and the evaluation of the exponential integral function [1].

The fast scheme in [16] is presented in the following to illustrate the application of the sum-of-exponential approximation in the Caputo derivative. To distinguish the scheme that we shall discuss in this paper, we call the scheme in [16] the fast evaluation of the integral representation, abbreviated by FIR. To calculate the Caputo derivative (2) when $t=t_{n}$, FIR splits the convolution integral in (2) into two parts a local part containing the integral from $t_{n-1}$ to $t_{n}$, and a history part containing the integral from 0 to $t_{n-1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{\alpha}} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{\alpha}} d s+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{\alpha}} d s  \tag{4}\\
& :=C_{l}\left(t_{n}\right)+C_{h}\left(t_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

FIR applies the standard L1 approximation for the local part, with the integration by parts, the history part is rewritten as,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{h}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{\alpha}} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\frac{u\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\alpha \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u(s) d s}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{1+\alpha}}\right] . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The main challenge to calculate the Caputo derivative efficiently is the evaluation of the time integral from 0 to $t_{n-1}$. FIR uses the sum-of-exponential approximation to approximate the integral in the history part, instead of a finite difference scheme, thus the computational cost is considerably reduced. To be more specific, [16, eqs. 2.1-2.4] approximates the convolution kernel $t^{-1-\alpha}$ via a sum-of-exponentials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u(s) d s}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{1+\alpha}} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{exp}}} w_{i} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} u(\tau) e^{-s_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)} d \tau \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ are the nodes and weights of sum-of-exponentials, respectively, and $N_{\text {exp }}$ denotes the total number of modes. Note that $N_{\text {exp }}(\ll n)$ is chosen for a given error threshold. For each time step, FIR only needs to update the $N_{\text {exp }}$ modes to assemble the approximation of the history part instead of gathering contributions from all past time steps $u\left(t_{k}\right), k=1, \cdots, n$. Thus FIR reduces the storage requirement from
$O(n)$ to $O\left(N_{\exp }\right)$. Furthermore, the overall computational cost is reduced from $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ to $O\left(n N_{\exp }\right)$ in (3).

This work however, is devoted to investigating the numerical representation of the Caputo derivative in the small $\alpha$ regime. This has been overlooked by the community of numerical analysis. It is motivated by quantitative comparison in the recent work for efficient viscoelastic wave modeling problems [18, 34], where the authors use the parameter $Q$ instead of $\alpha$ in (1) to quantify the memory effect of the fractional derivative, with the following relationship between $Q$ and $\alpha$ [34, e.q. 2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \frac{1}{Q} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\alpha$ is roughly inversely proportional to $Q$. In [34], the authors consider time-domain anisotropic anelastic attenuation modeling involves Caputo fractional time derivatives, where an error of the factor function is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\omega, Q):=\cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{r}}\right)^{2 \alpha} \cos (\pi \alpha) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ and $\omega_{r}$ denote the frequency to be computed and the reference angular frequency, respectively, and $\alpha$ is the same $\alpha$ as in (7). They find that that the smaller the Q value is, the larger the error of $R(\omega, Q)$ is [34, Fig. 1], [35, Fig. 2]. Similar phenomena can be find in the experiments [18], which always show that the numerical experiments works better when $Q$ increases (i.e., $\alpha$ decreases). In complex engineering systems, the engineers can only afford a few modes, similar to $N_{\text {exp }}$ in (6), to account for the history effect. Their results show that an acceptable approximation could be achieved with only $1 \sim 3$ modes when $\alpha$ is small. And in their works it often suffices to consider the cases when $Q$ is relative large, like $Q=10,30$ in [8], respectively corresponding to $\alpha \approx 0.03,0.01$. On the other hands, the existing numerical analysis results in the applied math community have not addressed the dependence issue on $\alpha$; as a result, relatively impractical upper bound of the computational cost is provided, when $\alpha<1$ is small [4, 14] or $\alpha>1$ [30], thus beyond the computation capacity for 3D real-world large-scale transient applications.

In this paper, we explore the relationship between $\alpha$ and the global error of fast algorithms for the Caputo derivative. For the algorithm FIR, we show that the global error will reduce as $\alpha$ gets smaller. Nevertheless, the fast scheme FIR still requires considerably many modes, even $\alpha$ is moderately small; thus it is not affordable in complex engineering applications.

We shall show that another scheme originally presented in [26] requires much smaller numbers of modes to achieve a satisfactory approximation error, when compared with FIR in the small $\alpha$ regime. In this paper, we call this scheme the fast evaluation of the integral differential representation, abbreviated by FIDR.

FIDR proposes a different evaluation for the history part. In FIDR, the convolution integral is also split into the local part and the history part, similar to (4). However, they use the sum-of-exponential approximation directly to evaluate the history part

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h}\left(t_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{\alpha}} d s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is less singular near $t-t_{n-1}$.
In other words, they approximate the convolution kernel $t^{-\alpha}$ via sum-of-exponentials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} d s \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)} \partial_{\tau} u(\tau) d \tau \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The detailed construction of such a scheme will be presented in Section 2,
However, the small fraction order issue is not addressed in [26], nor does the numerical analysis in [26] apply to this scenario. In fact, Theorem 4.2 in [26] gives an error bound that tends to $O(1)$ where $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

We carry out an improved error analysis for FIDR, and obtain a sharper global error estimate with explicit dependence on the fraction order $\alpha$. In particular, it shows the FIDR scheme requires less history modes to achieve a certain accuracy when $\alpha$ gets smaller.

Furthermore, we also compare the two scheme FIR and FIDR and prove that the error of FIDR is smaller than the error of FIR when $N_{A}=N_{\text {exp }}$, where $N_{A}$ denotes the total number of modes in FIDR. The detailed analysis of the difference between two schemes is provided in Section 3

The novelties delivered from this paper are summarized below.

1. We prove that the global error of the scheme FIR and FIDR reduces, when $\alpha$ becomes smaller. This serves as the first verification of the engineering protocol that reliable numerical experiments can be implemented with reduced cost in the small $\alpha$ regime (or equivalently, in the large $Q$ value regime).
2. Both quantitative estimates and extensive numerical tests show that given a certain error threshold, FIDR can evaluate the Caputo derivative with little computational cost.
3. Compared with FIR, the global error of FIDR is smaller when the storage cost and the computational cost are the same, especially when $\Delta t$ is small.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the scheme construction and main results for the two schemes. In Section 3, we analyse the sum-ofexponential approximation especially when $\alpha$ is small. In Section 4, we give the proof of stability and convergence of the two scheme. We compare the two schemes and present the numerical results of the schemes solving fractional diffusion PDEs in Section 5 Finally we give the conclusion and remarks in Section 6

## 2 FIR and FIDR Scheme construction

In this work, we consider two types of discretization of the Caputo derive (1) when $0<\alpha<1$, and we pay special attention to the asymptotic regime $0<\alpha \ll 1$.

When $0<\alpha<1$, the Caputo derivative (1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u^{\prime}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\alpha}} d \tau, \quad 0<\alpha<1 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that we want to evaluate the Caputo fractional derivative in the interval $[0, T]$ over a set of time grids $\Omega_{t}:=\left\{t_{n}=n \Delta t, n=0,1, \cdots, N_{T}\right\}$ with $T$ denoting the total
simulation time $N_{T}$ denoting the total number of time steps and the time step $\Delta t:=$ $T / N_{T}$.

Note that, the Caputo derivative involves the time integration from the initial time to the current time. To avoid storing all the function value from $t_{0}$ to $t_{N_{T}}$, it is natural to split the convolution integral into a sum of a local part and a history part and compute the history part, with a reduced but accurate approximation, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} d s+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} d s  \tag{12}\\
& :=C_{l}\left(t_{n}\right)+C_{h}\left(t_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality defines the local and history parts, respectively. In both FIR and FIDR schemes, we apply the standard L1 approximation for the local part

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{l}\left(t_{n}\right) \approx \frac{u\left(t_{n}\right)-u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n} \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \frac{1}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{\alpha}} d s=\frac{u\left(t_{n}\right)-u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the approximations of the history part are different in these schemes. In the scheme FIR presented in [16], they apply the integration by parts to eliminate $u^{\prime}(s)$ and have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{h}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} d s  \tag{14}\\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\frac{u\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\alpha \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u(s) d s}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{1+\alpha}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

To approximate the history part, they approximate the convolution kernel $t^{-1-\alpha}$ via a sum-of-exponentials efficiently on the interval $[\delta, T](\delta>0)$ with the absolute error $\varepsilon$. That is, for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exist positive real numbers $s_{i}$ and $w_{i}\left(i=1, \cdots, N_{\exp }\right)$ such that for $0<\alpha<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} w_{i} e^{-s_{i} t}\right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad 0<\delta \leq t \leq T \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $s_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ are the nodes and weights derived from the hybrid use of the GaussLegendre quadrature and the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature. For details see Lemma 3 and Lemma 4

Furthermore, the history part can be approximated by $N_{\text {exp }}$ modes, denoted by $U_{\text {hist }, i}$ respectively, whose time evolution can be effectively realized by its current value as well as $u\left(t_{k}\right)$ from $k=n-1$ and $k=n-2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(t_{n}\right) & =C_{l}\left(t_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\frac{u\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\alpha \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u(s) d s}{\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{1+\alpha}}\right] \\
& \approx \frac{u\left(t_{n}\right)-u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\frac{u\left(t_{0}\right)}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{i} U_{\text {hist }, i}\left(t_{n}\right)\right] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\text {hist }, i}\left(t_{n}\right)= & e^{-s_{i} \Delta t} U_{\text {hist }, i}\left(t_{n-1}\right)+\int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_{n-1}} e^{-s_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)} u(\tau) d \tau \\
\approx & e^{-s_{i} \Delta t} U_{\text {hist }, i}\left(t_{n-1}\right)+\frac{e^{-s_{i} \Delta t}}{s_{i}^{2} \Delta t}\left[\left(e^{-s_{i} \Delta t}-1+s_{i} \Delta t\right) u\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(1-e^{-s_{i} \Delta t}-e^{-s_{i} \Delta t} s_{i} \Delta t\right) u\left(t_{n-2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the effective mode representation of the history part, we are ready to present the complete scheme FIR in the following

Scheme 1 (Scheme FIR) We define the discrete scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}:=\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1}}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{u^{n-1}}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\frac{u^{0}}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{i} \mathbb{U}_{\text {hist }, i}^{n}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{U}_{h i s t, i}^{n}:= & e^{-s_{i} \Delta t} \mathbb{U}_{h i s t, i}^{n-1}+\frac{e^{-s_{i} \Delta t}}{s_{i}^{2} \Delta t}\left[\left(e^{-s_{i} \Delta t}-1+s_{i} \Delta t\right) u^{n-1}\right.  \tag{18}\\
& \left.+\left(1-e^{-s_{i} \Delta t}-e^{-s_{i} \Delta t} s_{i} \Delta t\right) u^{n-2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

However, the shortage of Scheme 1 is that the error, from the sum-of-exponential approximation, rises rapidly when the time step $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, and thus the global error becomes unsatisfactory for extremely small time steps. The details of this shortage will be elaborated in Section 3 while the corresponding numerical result in Section 5

Next we consider an alternative scheme for fast evaluation of the Caputo derivative. This scheme was originally proposed in [26], and in this paper, we call it FIDR (abbreviation for the fast evaluation of the integral differential representation).

In FIDR, we treat the history part in the original form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{h}\left(t_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} d s \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we approximate $t^{-\alpha}$ instead of $t^{-1-\alpha}$ via a sum-of-exponentials approximation efficiently on the interval $[\delta, T]$, where the induced error is related to the approximation form by the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let $0<\delta<T$, and let $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ be the desired precision, there exist $N_{A}=O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\log \frac{T}{\delta}\right)^{2}\right)$ which denotes the total number of modes, and positive real numbers $\tilde{s}_{i}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i}\left(i=1, \cdots, N_{A}\right)$ such that for $0<\delta \leq t \leq T$ and $\alpha>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} t}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tilde{s}_{i}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i}$ are the nodes and weights derived from the hybrid use of the GaussLegendre quadrature and the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature, for details see Lemma 6 and Lemma 7

In the discrete scheme of FIDR, $\delta \leq \Delta t$, the time step, $\varepsilon_{0}$ in this theorem is one part of the global error in theorem (3). We give the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 3 and show that $\varepsilon_{0}$ reduces when $\alpha$ gets smaller in Corollary 1

Combining (13), (19) and (20) together, we can derive an alternative mode representation of the history part. The time evolution of those modes can also be effectively realized by its current value as well as $u\left(t_{k}\right)$ from $k=n-1$ and $k=n-2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(t_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \frac{1}{\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)^{\alpha}} \partial_{\tau} u(\tau) d \tau+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \frac{1}{\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)^{\alpha}} \partial_{\tau} u(\tau) d \tau \\
& \approx \frac{u\left(t_{n}\right)-u\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} \tilde{\psi}\left(t_{n}, \tilde{s}_{i}\right)\right), \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\psi}\left(t_{n}, \tilde{s}_{i}\right) & =\int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)} \partial_{\tau} u(\tau) d \tau \\
& =e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \int_{0}^{t_{n-2}} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i}\left(t_{n-1}-\tau\right)} \partial_{\tau} u(\tau) d \tau+\int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_{n-1}} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)} \partial_{\tau} u(\tau) d \tau \\
& =e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \tilde{\psi}\left(t_{n-1}, \tilde{s}_{i}\right)+\int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_{n-1}} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)} \partial_{\tau} u(\tau) d \tau  \tag{22}\\
& \approx e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \tilde{\psi}\left(t_{n-1}, \tilde{s_{i}}\right)+\frac{u\left(t_{n-1}\right)-u\left(t_{n-2}\right)}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_{n-1}} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i}\left(t_{n}-\tau\right)} d \tau \\
& =e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \tilde{\psi}\left(t_{n-1}, \tilde{s}_{i}\right)+\frac{\left(u\left(t_{n-1}\right)-u\left(t_{n-2}\right)\right)\left(1-e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}}{\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} .
\end{align*}
$$

The complete scheme FIDR is given as follows.
Scheme 2 (Scheme FIDR) We define the discrete scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}:=\frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1}}{\Delta t_{n}^{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} \tilde{\Psi}_{i}^{n}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Psi}_{i}^{n}:=e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \tilde{\Psi}_{i}^{n-1}+\frac{\left(u^{n-1}-u^{n-2}\right)\left(1-e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}}{\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is a heuristic explanation for performance differences of these two algorithms in the small $\alpha$ regime. In FIR, they do the integral by parts on the history part first and use sum-of-exponential approximation to evaluate the primal function $u(x)$ times $(-1-\alpha)$ th-degree term $\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{-1-\alpha}$, see (6). $\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{-1-\alpha}$ has advantage that it converges to 0 rapidly when $t_{n}$ (or $T$, as the same) goes to infinity, but it also blows up fast when $s \rightarrow t_{n}$. In FIDR, we use the sum-of-exponential approximation directly to evaluate the differential function $u^{\prime}(x)$ times $-\alpha$ th-degree term $\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{-\alpha}$, see (10). Since $0<\alpha \ll 1,\left(t_{n}-s\right)^{-\alpha}$ rises slowly when $s \rightarrow t_{n}$, and the sum-of-exponential approximation works better compared with FIR.

We remark that Scheme 2 is a full numerical scheme of the Caputo derivative, which is compatible with the generic initial value problem, or initial boundary value
problem. For the rest of the paper, we take the reaction diffusion equation as the study subject, and the extension to other systems are natural although there might be additional challenges for specific models.

We consider the initial value problem of the linear fractional diffusion equation, see [12] and [16]. Denote $\Omega=\left(x_{l}, x_{r}\right)$ and introduce the nonreflecting boundary condition derived in [12], we have

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)+f(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t>0, \\
u(x, 0)=x_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{s}(x, s)}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} d s:={ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x, t), & x=x_{l}  \tag{25}\\
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{s}(x, s)}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} d s:=-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x, t), & x=x_{r} .
\end{array}
$$

According to [12], the finite difference scheme for the problem (25) be written in the following form. For two given positive integers $N_{T}$ and $N_{S}$, let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{N_{T}}$ be a equidistant partition of $[0, T]$ with $t_{n}=n \Delta t$ and $\Delta t=T / N_{T}$, and let $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{N_{S}}$ be a partition of $\left(x_{l}, x_{r}\right)$ with $x_{i}=x_{l}+i h$ and $h=\left(x_{r}-x_{l}\right) / N_{S}$. Denote $u_{i}^{n}=u\left(x_{i}, t_{n}\right), f_{i}^{n}=f\left(x_{i}, t_{n}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{x} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}=\frac{u_{i+1}^{n}-u_{i}^{n}}{h} \\
& \delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n}=\frac{\delta_{x} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{n}-\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}}{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{i}^{n}=\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n}+f_{i}^{n}, & 1 \leq i \leq N_{S}-1,1 \leq n \leq N_{T}, \\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{0}^{n}=\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{n}\right]+f_{0}^{n} & \\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{N_{S}}^{n}=\frac{2}{h}\left[-\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{n}\right]+f_{N_{S}}^{n}, & 0 \leq i \leq N_{S}  \tag{26}\\
u_{i}^{0}=u_{0}\left(x_{i}\right), &
\end{array}
$$

For FIR and FIDR, $\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u$ in (26) is the discrete scheme defined in (17) and (23), respectively.

The thorough numerical analysis for this problem will be carried out in Section 4 where we will give the error estimates of for these two schemes. We list the main results here.

Theorem 2 Suppose $u(x, t) \in C_{x, t}^{4,2}\left(\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right] \times[0, T]\right)$ is the solution of problem (25). For two given positive integers $N_{T}$ and $N_{S}$, let $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{N_{T}}$ be a equidistant partition of $[0, T]$ with $t_{k}=k \Delta t$ and $\Delta t:=T / N_{T}$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{j=0}^{N_{S}}$ be a equidistant partition of $\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right]$ with $x_{i}=x_{l}+$ ih and $h=\left(x_{r}-x_{l}\right) / N_{S}$. Let $\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq N_{S}, 0 \leq k \leq N_{T}\right\}$ be the numerical solutions of problem (25) obtained by the difference scheme (26) and the
scheme FIR (16). If we denote the global error by $e_{i}^{k}=u_{i}^{k}-u\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)$, then there exists a positive constant $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\text {global }}:=\sqrt{\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|e^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}} \leq c_{2}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N_{T}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\varepsilon$ is the error of the sum-of-exponential approximation in (15).
We remark that the global error analysis of FIR was presented in [16]. However, [16] did not discuss the effect of $\alpha$ on the global error. We show that when $\alpha$ is small, we can soften the restrict on $\varepsilon$ on the right hand of (27).

Theorem 3 Suppose $u(x, t) \in C_{x, t}^{4,2}\left(\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right] \times[0, T]\right)$ is the solution of problem (25). For two given positive integers $N_{T}$ and $N_{S}$, let $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{N_{T}}$ be a equidistant partition of $[0, T]$ with $t_{k}=k \Delta t$ and $\Delta t:=T / N_{T}$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{j=0}^{N_{S}}$ be a equidistant partition of $\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right]$ with $x_{i}=x_{l}+$ ih and $h=\left(x_{r}-x_{l}\right) / N_{S}$. Let $\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq N_{S}, 0 \leq k \leq N_{T}\right\}$ be the numerical solutions of problem (25) obtained by the difference scheme (26) and the scheme FIDR (21). If we denote the global error by $e_{i}^{k}=u_{i}^{k}-u\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)$, then there exists a positive constant $\tilde{c}_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{g l o b a l}:=\sqrt{\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|e^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}} \leq \tilde{c}_{2}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the error of the sum-of-exponential approximation in (20).
We emphasize that the result in Theorem 3 is better than Theorem 4.2 in [26]. when $\alpha$ is small. The error bound in [26] tends to $O(1)$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. In (28) above, when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the error bound tends to $O\left(\Delta t^{2}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)$, and it is further shown in Corollary 1 of Section 3 that $\varepsilon_{0}$ in (28) reduces as $\alpha$ gets smaller.

Although the error bound for the scheme FIDR does not explicitly show its dependence on $\alpha$, we show by analyzing the sum-of-exponential approximation and extensive numerical experiments the the scheme FIDR in fact leads to improved accuracy in the small $\alpha$ regime. Theorem 2 and 3 are proved in Section 4 with detailed characterization of the two constants $c_{2}$ and $\tilde{c}_{2}$.

## 3 Sum-of-exponential Approximation

It is worth noting that the main difference between the scheme FIDR and FIR is they apply the sum-of-exponential approximations to different forms. In this section we give the proofs of sum-of-exponential estimates (15) and (20). We also validate such properties with systematic numerical tests in Section 5.
3.1 Sum-of-exponentials in FIR

In this part, we prove the estimate (15) and explain why this sum-of-exponential does not work well when time step $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. Proofs of the lemmas in this subsection were already given in [16], and the results are listed below for the comparison with the counterparts in FIDR.

We sketch the proof of the estimate (15) as follows. First we transform $1 / t^{\beta}$ into an integral form by Lemma 1 . Then we split the integral interval into $\left[0,2^{-m}\right]$, $\left[2^{-m}, 2^{-m+1}\right], \cdots,\left[2^{n-1}, 2^{n}\right],\left[2^{n}, \infty\right]$. Lemma 2 shows that integral on $\left[2^{n}, \infty\right]$ can be ignored when $n$ is large enough. And finally, integrals on $\left[0,2^{-m}\right],\left[2^{i}, 2^{i+1}\right](i=$ $-m, \cdots, n-1$ ) can be approximated by sum-of-exponentials based on Lemma 4 and (3) respectively.

We start with the following integral representation of the power function.

Lemma 1 For any $\beta>0, t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t^{\beta}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t s} s^{\beta-1} d s \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (29) can be viewed as a representation of $t^{-\beta}$ using an infinitely many (continuous) exponentials. In order to obtain an efficient sum-of-exponentials approximation, we first truncate the integral to a finite interval, then subdivide the finite interval into a set of dyadic intervals and discretize the integral on each dyadic interval with proper quadratures.

We now assume $1<\beta<2$, which is the case we are concerned with in (15).

Lemma 2 For $0<\delta \leq t, 1<\beta<2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{p}^{\infty} e^{-t s} s^{\beta-1} d s\right| \leq e^{-\delta p} 2^{\beta-1}\left(\frac{p^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta)}+\frac{1}{\delta^{\beta}}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 shows that when $p$ is fixed, the smaller $t$ is, the larger the truncation error will be, which may lead to an accuracy issue in numerical experimentation. In this lemma, a prescribed lower bound $\delta$ of $t$ gives the upper bound of truncation error. However, in Scheme 1 , the lower bound $\delta$ is the time step $\Delta t$. Thus the truncation error will become larger when the time step decreases. To illustrate the error, we present the number values of the left term of (30) when $t$ is small in Table 1 below, which shows how the truncation error blows up when $t$ decreases. ( 0 in Table 1 means the numerical value is less than $10^{-15}$.) We shall see that the global error blows up at the similar time steps in Figure 2,3 and 4 of Section 5

|  | $p=2^{5}$ | $p=2^{10}$ | $p=2^{15}$ | $p=2^{20}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t=2^{-5}$ | $1.859 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $8.546 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 0 | 0 |
| $t=2^{-6}$ | $6.339 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $1.523 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0 | 0 |
| $t=2^{-7}$ | $1.699 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $9.129 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 0 | 0 |
| $t=2^{-8}$ | $4.052 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $1.006 \mathrm{e}+01$ | 0 | 0 |
| $t=2^{-9}$ | $9.136 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $1.511 \mathrm{e}+02$ | 0 | 0 |
| $t=2^{-10}$ | $2.005 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $8.414 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $3.867 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 0 |

Table 1 The numerical values of the left term of with different $t$ and $p$, here $\beta=1.1$.

The next two lemmas show how to choose the weights and nodes. But we need to be careful that the weights in (31) and (32) are not the weights we need in (15).
Lemma 3 Consider a dyadic interval $[a, b]=\left[2^{j}, 2^{j+1}\right]$ and let $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ and $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{n}$ be the nodes and weights for n-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature on the interval. Then for $\beta \in(1,2), t>0$ and $n>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} e^{-t s} s^{\beta-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{k} s_{k}^{\beta-1} e^{-s_{k} t}\right| \leq 2^{\beta-\frac{3}{2}} \pi a^{\beta}\left(\frac{e^{1 / e}}{4}\right)^{2 n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 Let $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ and $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{n}(n \geq 2)$ be the nodes and weights for $n$-point Gauss-Jacobi quadrature with the weight function $s^{\beta-1}$ on the interval. Then for $0<t<T, \beta \in(1,2)$ and $n>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{a} e^{-t s} s^{\beta-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{k} e^{-s_{k} t}\right|<2 \sqrt{\pi} a^{\beta} n^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{e}{8}\right)^{2 n}\left(\frac{a T}{n}\right)^{2 n} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, combining Lemma 1, 2, 3and 4 , we can get (15). An upper bound of $\varepsilon$ in (15) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & \leq e^{-\delta 2^{n}} 2^{\beta-1}\left(\frac{2^{\beta n}}{\Gamma(\beta)}+\frac{1}{\delta^{\beta}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\left[2 \sqrt{\pi} 2^{-\beta m_{n}} n_{1}^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{e}{8}\right)^{2 n_{1}}\left(\frac{2^{-m} T}{n_{1}}\right)^{2 n_{1}}+2^{\beta-\frac{3}{2}} \pi 2^{\beta n}\left(\frac{e^{1 / e}}{4}\right)^{2 n_{2}}\right] \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{1}, n_{2}$ is the number of nodes in lemma 4, 3, respectively.

### 3.2 Sum-of-exponentials in FIDR

Here we give the proof of Theorem 1 For convinience, we rewrite the theorem here:
Let $0<\delta<T$, and let $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ be the desired precision, there exist $N_{A}=O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\log \frac{T}{\delta}\right)^{2}$ ) which denotes the total number of modes, and positive real numbers $\tilde{s}_{i}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i}\left(i=1, \cdots, N_{A}\right)$ such that for $0<\delta \leq t \leq T$ and $\alpha>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} t}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tilde{s}_{i}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i}$ are the nodes and weights derived from the hybrid use of the GaussLegendre quadrature and the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature, for details see Lemma 6 and Lemma 7

Recall that by Lemma we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5 For any $t \geq \delta>0, \alpha>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{p}^{\infty} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s\right| \leq \frac{e^{-\delta p}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \delta p^{1-\alpha}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By direct calculations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{p}^{\infty} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s\right| & =\left|\frac{e^{-t p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t s}(s+p)^{\alpha-1} d s\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{e^{-t p}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t s} p^{\alpha-1} d s\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{e^{-t p} p^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) t}\right| \leq \frac{e^{-\delta p}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \delta p^{1-\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Compare Lemma 5 and Lemma 2] we conclude that when $\delta$ is small, $\varepsilon_{0}=O\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ in FIDR while $\varepsilon=O\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\beta}}\right)$. Cause $\beta>1$, sum-of-exponentials in FIDR will get better result if $\delta$ is extremely small. As we mentioned in theorem $1 \quad \delta \leq \Delta t$. Thus the scheme of FIDR works better when the time step $\Delta t$ is small, which is shown in the numerical experiments in Section 5.

Lemma 6 Consider a dyadic interval $[a, b]=\left[2^{j}, 2^{j+1}\right]$ and let $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ and $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}$ be the nodes and weights for n-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature on the interval. Then for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $n>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} s_{k}^{\alpha-1} e^{-s_{k} t}\right|<2 \sqrt{2} \pi a^{\alpha}\left(\frac{e^{1 / e}}{4}\right)^{2 n} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Based on formula (3.5.27) in [22], the standard estimate for n-point GaussLegendre quadrature yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} s_{k}^{\alpha-1} e^{-s_{k} t}\right|=\frac{(b-a)^{2 n+1}}{2 n+1} \frac{(n!)^{4}}{[(2 n)!]^{4}}\left|g^{(2 n)}(s)\right|, \quad s \in(a, b), \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(s)=e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-1}$.
Applying Stirling's approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2 \pi} n^{n+1 / 2} e^{-n}<n!<2 \sqrt{\pi} n^{n+1 / 2} e^{-n} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g^{(2 n)}(s)\right| & =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k}\left(D_{s}^{2 n-k} e^{-s t}\right)\left(D_{s}^{k} s^{\alpha-1}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k}(-t)^{2 n-k} e^{-s t} P_{\alpha-1}^{k} s^{\alpha-k-1}\right|  \tag{40}\\
& =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k} P_{k-\alpha}^{k} t^{2 n-k} e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-k-1}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k} P_{k-\alpha}^{k} t^{2 n-k} e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-k-1}\right| & \leq\left|e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k} k!t^{2 n-k} s^{-k}\right| \\
& \leq\left|e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k}\left(2 \sqrt{\pi} k^{k+1 / 2} e^{-k}\right) t^{2 n-k} s^{-k}\right| \\
& \leq\left|e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k}\left(2 \sqrt{\pi}(2 n)^{k+1 / 2} e^{-k}\right) t^{2 n-k} s^{-k}\right| \\
& =\left|2 \sqrt{2 n \pi} e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-1}\left(t+\frac{2 n}{e s}\right)^{2 n}\right| \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{(2 n)}(s)\right| \leq\left|2 \sqrt{2 n \pi} e^{-s t} s^{\alpha-1}\left(t+\frac{2 n}{e s}\right)^{2 n}\right| \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile, based on Stirling's approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(n!)^{4}}{[(2 n)!]^{3}}<2 \sqrt{\pi}\left(\frac{e}{8}\right)^{2 n} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n^{2 n}} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking (42), (43) into (38), and recall that $b=2 a$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} s_{k}^{\alpha-1} e^{-s_{k} t}\right| & \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2 n+1}}{2 n+1} \frac{(n!)^{4}}{[(2 n)!]^{3}} \max _{a<s<b}\left|g^{(2 n)}(s)\right| \\
& <\frac{a^{2 n+1} 4 \sqrt{2} \pi n}{2 n+1} e^{-a t} a^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{e t}{8 n}+\frac{1}{4 a}\right)^{2 n}  \tag{44}\\
& =\frac{4 \sqrt{2} \pi n}{2 n+1} a^{\alpha} e^{-a t}\left(\frac{e a t}{8 n}+\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2 n}
\end{align*}
$$

And we have

$$
\max _{x>0} e^{-x}\left(\frac{e x}{8 n}+\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2 n}=\left(\frac{e^{1 / e}}{4}\right)^{2 n}, \quad n \geq 2
$$

(44) becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} s_{k}^{\alpha-1} e^{-s_{k} t}\right|<2 \sqrt{2} \pi a^{\alpha}\left(\frac{e^{1 / e}}{4}\right)^{2 n} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7 let $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ and $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}$ be the nodes and weights for n-point GaussJacobi quadrature with the weight function $s^{\alpha-1}$ on the interval. Then for $0<t<$ $T, \alpha \in(0,1)$ and $n>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{a} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} e^{-s_{k} t}\right|<\frac{4 \sqrt{\pi} a^{\alpha}}{e^{2}} \frac{(2 n-1) n^{3 / 2}}{(2 n+\alpha)}\left[\frac{a e n T}{2(2 n-1)^{2}}\right]^{2 n} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Based on formula 3.5.26 in [22], the standard estimate for n-point GaussJacobi quadrature yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{a} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} e^{-s_{k} t}\right|=\frac{a^{2 n+\alpha}}{2 n+\alpha} \frac{(n!)^{2}[\Gamma(n+\alpha)]^{2}}{(2 n!)[\Gamma(2 n+\alpha)]^{2}}\left|D_{s}^{2 n} e^{-s t}\right|, \quad s \in(0, a) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have $\Gamma(n+\alpha)<\Gamma(n+1)=n!, \Gamma(2 n+\alpha)>\Gamma(2 n)=(2 n-1)!$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{a} e^{-t s} s^{\alpha-1} d s-\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{k} e^{-s_{k} t}\right| & <\frac{a^{2 n+\alpha}}{2 n+\alpha} \frac{(n!)^{2}(n!)^{2}}{(2 n!)[(2 n-1)!]^{2}} t^{2 n} e^{-s t} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2 n+\alpha}}{2 n+\alpha} \frac{4 \sqrt{\pi}(2 n-1) n^{3 / 2}}{e^{2}}\left[\frac{e n}{2(2 n-1)^{2}}\right]^{2 n} t^{2 n} e^{-s t} \\
& \leq \frac{4 \sqrt{\pi} a^{\alpha}}{e^{2}} \frac{(2 n-1) n^{3 / 2}}{(2 n+\alpha)}\left[\frac{a e n T}{2(2 n-1)^{2}}\right]^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we collect the results of Lemma 5, 6 and 7 and get Theorem 1 .
We also give a upper bound of $\varepsilon_{0}$ in (20).
Theorem 4 Consider the sum-of-exponential approximation of FIDR defined in Theorem $\square$ If we transform the fraction $1 / t^{\alpha}$ into integral with Lemma $\square$ split the integral interval into $\left[0,2^{-m}\right],\left[2^{-m}, 2^{-m+1}\right], \cdots,\left[2^{n-1}, 2^{n}\right],\left[2^{n}, \infty\right]$, apply GaussJacobi quadrature in $\left[0,2^{-m}\right]$ with $n_{1}$ nodes, apply Gauss-Legendre quadrature in $\left[2^{-m}, 2^{-m+1}\right], \cdots,\left[2^{n-1}, 2^{n}\right]$ with $n_{2}$ nodes, and drop the interval $\left[2^{n}, \infty\right]$, then the upper bound of $\varepsilon_{0}$ is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{0} \leq & \frac{e^{-\delta 2^{n}}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \delta 2^{(1-\alpha) n}} \\
& +\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\frac{4 \sqrt{\pi} 2^{-\alpha m}}{e^{2}} \frac{\left(2 n_{1}-1\right) n_{1}^{3 / 2}}{\left(2 n_{1}+\alpha\right)}\left[\frac{2^{-m} e n_{1} T}{2\left(2 n_{1}-1\right)^{2}}\right]^{2 n_{1}}+2 \sqrt{2} \pi 2^{\alpha n}\left(\frac{e^{1 / e}}{4}\right)^{2 n_{2}}\right] \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{1}, n_{2}$ is the number of nodes in Lemma 7 6 respectively. The total number of modes $N_{A}$ the sum-of-exponential approximation of FIDR is $N_{A}=n_{1}+(m+n) n_{2}$.

Now we can see the difference of two sum-of-exponential approximations by comparing the two upper bounds of the errors, i.e. (33) and (48). When $T=O(1)$ and $\delta=O(\Delta t)$ is very small, the error bound is dominated by the first term. In this scenario, the error bound (48) is smaller because the first term on the right side of (48) is smaller.

If $\delta=O(\Delta t)$ is not too small, or $\delta$ is small but we choose sufficient large $n$ so that $\frac{e^{-\delta 2^{n}}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \delta 2^{(1-\alpha)^{n}}}$ is acceptable. Then the error bound in (48) is dominated by the last term $2 \sqrt{2} \pi 2^{\alpha n}\left(\frac{e^{1 / e}}{4}\right)^{2 n_{2}}$, since $2^{\alpha n}$ grows exponentially when $n$ becomes larger. In that case, a small $\alpha$ can make the error bound much smaller, because the last term decreases exponentially when $\alpha$ decreases. We summarize the reasoning above as follows.

Corollary 1 Consider the sum-of-exponential approximation of FIDR in Theorem 4 If $T=O(1)$ and $m \ll n$, then the upper bound of $\varepsilon_{0}$ (which is the right side of (48)) reduces when $\alpha$ gets smaller.

However, due to the complicated expression of the upper bound, there is no obvious way to give more specific description of the decreasing behavior as $\alpha$ tends to 0 . We shall numerically investigate the dependence of $\varepsilon_{0}$ on $\alpha$ in Section5.1 and show that the sum-of-exponential approximation of FIDR leads to a better accuracy when compared with its counterpart of FIR.

## 4 Stability and error analysis

In this section, we show the stability and error analysis of Scheme 1 and Scheme 2 in Section 4.1 and Section 4.2 respectively, for the the initial value problem of the linear fractional diffusion equation (25). We remark that the results in Section 4.1 can be viewed as the improved estimates of those in [16], because they do not quantify the relationship between the global error and $\alpha$.
4.1 Stability and error analysis of FIR

Consider the initial-boundary value problem (25). We recall the full scheme (17) and (26) here for convenience.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{i}^{n}=\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n}+f_{i}^{n}, & 1 \leq i \leq N_{S}-1,1 \leq n \leq N_{T}, \\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{0}^{n}=\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{n}\right]+f_{0}^{n}, &  \tag{49}\\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{N_{S}}^{n}=\frac{2}{h}\left[-\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{n}\right]+f_{N_{S}}^{n}, & 0 \leq i \leq N_{S} \\
u_{i}^{0}=u_{0}\left(x_{i}\right), &
\end{array}
$$

Here $\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}$ is defined in (17), we can write it in following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}=\frac{\Delta t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\frac{u^{n}}{1-\alpha}-\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}+a_{0}\right) u^{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad-\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}\left(a_{n-l-1}+b_{n-l-2}\right) u^{l}-\left(b_{n-2}+\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right) u^{0}\right) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
a_{n}=\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{j} e^{-n s_{j} \Delta t} \lambda_{j}^{1}, & b_{n}=\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{j} e^{-n s_{j} \Delta t} \lambda_{j}^{2}, \\
\lambda_{j}^{1}=\frac{e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}}{s_{j}^{2} \Delta t}\left(e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}-1+s_{j} \Delta t\right), & \lambda_{j}^{2}=\frac{e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}}{s_{j}^{2} \Delta t}\left(1-e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}-e^{-s_{j} \Delta t} s_{j} \Delta t\right) .
\end{array}
$$

To estimate the global error, we first carry out a prior estimate in Theorem5, then we use the prior estimate to get the upper bound of the local error. Finally we obtain the global error by summing up the local error.
Theorem 5 (prior estimate) Suppose $\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq N_{S}, 0 \leq k \leq N_{T}\right\}$ is the solution of the finite difference scheme (26). Then for any $1 \leq n \leq N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq & \frac{2\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \mu}\right)}{L \mu}\left(\rho\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\kappa\left[\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{0}\right)^{2}\right]\right.  \tag{51}\\
& \left.+\frac{\Delta t}{8 v} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Delta t}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{n} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\rho=\frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}-\alpha(1-\alpha) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}, & \mu=\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \\
\kappa=\frac{t_{n}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma\left(2-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}, & v=\frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

Proof Multiplying $h u_{i}^{k}$ on both sides of the first equation of (26), and summing up for $i$ from 1 to $N_{S}-1$, we have

$$
h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}-h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}=h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}
$$

Multiplying $\frac{h}{2} u_{0}^{k}$ and $\frac{h}{2} u_{N_{S}}^{k}$ on both sides of the second equation and the third equation of (26), respectively, then adding the results with the above identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}, u^{k}\right)+\left[-\left(\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}-h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}+\left(\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right] \\
& \quad+\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Observing the summation by parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}-h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}+\left(\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \\
= & -\left(\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}+\left(\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right)\left(u_{i}^{k}-u_{i-1}^{k}\right)  \tag{53}\\
= & h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right)^{2}=\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (53) into (52), and multiplying $\Delta t$ on both sides of the resulting identity, and summing up for k from 1 to n ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}, u^{k}\right)+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \\
= & \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right] . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we need the lemma below.

Lemma 8 For any mesh functions $g=\left\{g^{k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ defined on $\Omega_{t}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} g^{k}\right) g^{k} \geq \frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(g^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}-\alpha(1-\alpha) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left(g^{0}\right)^{2} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give the proof of this lemma in the appendix.
With this lemma, we get
$\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}, u^{k}\right) \geq \frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}-\frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}-\alpha(1-\alpha) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}$,
$\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k} \geq \frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{t_{n}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma\left(2-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}$,
$\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \geq \frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{t_{n}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma\left(2-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(u_{N_{S}}^{0}\right)^{2}$.

Substituting these equations into (54), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\quad \frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n} \right\rvert\, \delta_{x} u^{k} \|^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}-\alpha(1-\alpha) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{t_{n}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma\left(2-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left[\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right] . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \\
& \leq \frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left[\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{8\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}\right)}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left[\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{4 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(u_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}+\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left[\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{8\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}\right)}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{4 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The substitution of this equation into (56) produces

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mu}{4} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \rho\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\kappa\left[\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\
+\frac{\Delta t}{8 v} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Delta t}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{n} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2} . \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we need to use the following lemma to bound the global error.

Lemma 9 For any mesh function $u$ defined on $S_{h}=\left\{u \mid u=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{N_{S}}\right)\right\}$, the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq \theta\left\|\delta_{x} u\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{\theta}+\frac{1}{L}\right)\|u\|^{2}, \quad \forall \theta>0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the length of the computational domain and here, $L=x_{r}-x_{l}$.

Taking $\theta>0$ such that $\frac{1 / \theta+1 / L}{\theta}=\frac{\mu}{4}$ (i.e. $\theta=2\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \mu}\right) /(L \mu)$ ), and following from Lemma 9 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq \frac{2\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \mu}\right)}{L \mu}\left(\frac{\mu}{4} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (59) and (57), we obtain the inequality (51)
The priori estimate leads to the stability of the FIR scheme. Now we present an error analysis of the scheme.

Theorem 6 (Error Analysis) Suppose $u(x, t) \in C_{x, t}^{4,2}\left(\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right] \times[0, T]\right)$ and $\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq i \leq\right.$ $\left.N_{S}, 0 \leq k \leq N_{T}\right\}$ are solutions of the problem (25) and the difference scheme (17) and (26), respectively. Let $e_{i}^{k}=u_{i}^{k}-u\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)$. Then there exists a positive constant $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\text {global }}:=\sqrt{\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|e^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}} \leq c_{2}\left(h^{2}+\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+\alpha \varepsilon\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N_{T} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}^{2}=\frac{4 c_{1}^{2} T\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \mu}\right)}{L \mu}\left(\frac{1}{v}+\frac{L}{\mu}\right)$ with $c_{1}$ is a positive constant, and $\mu, v$ are defined in theorem 5

Proof We observe that the error $e_{i}^{k}$ satisfies the following FD scheme:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} e_{i}^{k} & =\delta_{x}^{2} e_{i}^{k}+T_{i}^{k}, & 1 \leq i \leq N_{S}-1,1 \leq k \leq N_{T}, \\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} e_{0}^{k} & =\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} e_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e_{0}^{k}\right]+T_{0}^{k}, & & \\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} e_{N_{S}}^{k} & =\frac{2}{h}\left[-\delta_{x} e_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e_{N_{S}}^{k}\right]+T_{N_{S}}^{k}, & & 0 \leq i \leq N_{S}, \tag{61}
\end{array}
$$

where the truncation terms $T^{k}$ at the interior and boundary points are given by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{i}^{k}= & -\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} U_{i}^{k}\right]+\left[u_{x x}\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)-\delta_{x}^{2} U_{i}^{k}\right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_{S}-1,1 \leq k \leq N_{T}, \\
T_{0}^{k}= & \left\{u_{x x}\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)-\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} U_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-u_{x}\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{h}\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} U_{0}^{k}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} U_{0}^{k}\right], \\
T_{N_{S}}^{k}= & \left\{u_{x x}\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)+\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} U_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-u_{x}\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{h}\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} U_{N_{S}}^{k}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} U_{N_{S}}^{k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can show that the truncation terms $T^{k}$ satisfy the following error bounds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|T_{i}^{k}\right| \leq c_{1}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right) \\
& \left|T_{0}^{k}\right| \leq c_{1}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h+\frac{\Delta t^{2-\alpha / 2}}{h}+\frac{\alpha \varepsilon}{h}\right)  \tag{62}\\
& \left|T_{N S}^{k}\right| \leq c_{1}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h+\frac{\Delta t^{2-\alpha / 2}}{h}+\frac{\alpha \varepsilon}{h}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $c_{1}$ some positive constant. Thus, for $h \leq 1$ and $\Delta t \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4 v}\left[\left(h T_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h T_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{2}{\mu} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(T_{i}^{k}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2 v}\left(h \Delta t^{2-\alpha}+\Delta t^{2-\frac{\alpha}{2}}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right)^{2}+\frac{2 c_{1}^{2} L}{\mu}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right)^{2}  \tag{63}\\
\leq & \frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{v}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right)^{2}+\frac{2 c_{1}^{2} L}{\mu}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right)^{2} \\
= & \left(\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{v}+\frac{2 c_{1}^{2} L}{\mu}\right)\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

A direct application of theorem 5 to (61) produces

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|e^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} & \leq \frac{\Delta t\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \mu}\right)}{L \mu} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{4 v}\left[\left(h T_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h T_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{2}{\mu} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(T_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{T\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \mu}\right)}{L \mu}\left(\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{v}+\frac{2 c_{1}^{2} L}{\mu}\right)\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right)^{2} \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally we obtain (60)

### 4.2 Stability and error analysis of FIDR

In order to estimate the global error of the FIDR scheme applying to the linear fraction diffusion problem, we can carry out a similar analysis routine as in the previous section. However, the consistency of the FIDR approximation to the Caputo derivative is lacking prior to this work. We show in the following that the consistency error of the FIDR approximation can be bounded with the consistency error of the L1approximation, which is stated in Theorem 8 Thus, the rest of the error analysis follows naturally.

The following theorem, which can be found in [29, Lemma 4.1], establishes an error bound for the L1-approximation.
Theorem 7 (see [29]) Suppose that $u(t) \in C^{2}\left[0, t_{n}\right],{ }_{0}^{C} \mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha}$ is the L1 approximation of Caputo derivative, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{n} u:=\left.{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)\right|_{t=t_{n}}-{ }_{0}^{C} \mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R^{n} u\right| \leq \frac{\Delta t^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{12}+\frac{2^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}-\left(1+2^{-\alpha}\right)\right) \max _{0 \leq t \leq t_{n}}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem provides an error bound for our approximation.
Theorem 8 Suppose that $u(t) \in C^{2}\left[0, t_{n}\right], \mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha}$ is the approximation in (23), and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{F 2} R^{n} u:=\left.{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)\right|_{t=t_{n}}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|{ }^{F 2} R^{n} u\right| \leq \frac{\Delta t^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{12}+\frac{2^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}-\left(1+2^{-\alpha}\right)\right) \max _{0 \leq t \leq t_{n}}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \\
&  \tag{68}\\
& +\frac{\varepsilon_{0} t_{n-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \max _{0 \leq t \leq t_{n-1}}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Proof the only difference between our approximation $\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}$ and the L1-approximation ${ }_{0}^{C} \mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}$ is that the convolution kernel admits an absolute error bounded by $\varepsilon_{0}$ in its sum-of-exponentials approximation (20), thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}-{ }_{0}^{C} \mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}}\left|\Pi_{1, l} u(s)\right| d s \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi_{1, l} u(s)$ is the approximation of $u^{\prime}(s), s \in\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right]$ used in L1 approximation and our approximation,

$$
\Pi_{1, l} u(s)=\frac{u^{l}-u^{l-1}}{\Delta t} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}}\left|\Pi_{1, l} u(s)\right| d s \leq \max _{0 \leq t \leq t_{n-1}}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| t_{n-1} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

take it into (69), and the triangle inequality leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|{ }^{F 2} R^{n} u\right| \leq & \left|R^{n} u\right|+\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}}\left|\Pi_{1, l} u(s)\right| d s \\
\leq & \frac{\Delta t^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{12}+\frac{2^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}-\left(1+2^{-\alpha}\right)\right) \max _{0 \leq t \leq t_{n}}\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|  \tag{71}\\
& +\frac{\varepsilon_{0} t_{n-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \max _{0 \leq t \leq t_{n-1}}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain the theorem.

To calculate the global error, we first need the expanded form of $\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}= & \frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1}}{\Delta t^{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} \tilde{\psi}\left(t_{n}, \tilde{s}_{i}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{u^{n}-u^{n-1}}{\Delta t^{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i}\right. \\
= & \left.\quad\left(e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \psi\left(t_{n-1}, \tilde{s}_{i}\right)+\frac{\left(u^{n-1}-u^{n-2}\right)\left(1-e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[a_{1} u^{n-1}+\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\left(a_{l}-a_{l-1}\right) u^{n-l}-a_{n-1} u^{0}\right]  \tag{72}\\
= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{u^{n}}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(a_{1}-\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}\right) u^{n-1}+\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\left(a_{l}-a_{l-1}\right) u^{n-l}-a_{n-1} u^{0}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
a_{l}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \frac{\tilde{w}_{i}\left(1-e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) e^{-l \tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}}{\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} .
$$

To do the prior estimate, first we need to prove the following lemma.
Lemma 10 For any mesh functions $u=\left\{u^{k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ defined on $\Omega_{t}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}\right) u^{k} \geq \frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(u^{0}\right)^{2} . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof cause $\tilde{s_{i}}>0$, we have $a_{l}-a_{l-1}<0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \frac{\tilde{w}_{i}\left(1-e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}}{\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) \max _{x>0} \frac{1-e^{-x}}{x} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t^{\alpha}}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \max _{x>0} \frac{1-e^{-x}}{x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Delta t^{\alpha}}+\varepsilon_{0} \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, with Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}\right) u^{n}= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{\left(u^{n}\right)^{2}}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+\left(a_{1}-\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}\right) u^{n} u^{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\left(a_{l}-a_{l-1}\right) u^{n} u^{n-l}-a_{n-1} u^{n} u^{0}\right] \\
\geq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}-a_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\left(a_{l-1}-a_{l}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2} a_{n-1}\right]\left(u^{n}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}-a_{1}\right)\left(u^{n-1}\right)^{2} \\
= & \left.\frac{1}{\Gamma} \sum_{l=2}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\left(a_{l-1}-a_{l}\right)\left(u^{n-l}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} a_{n-1}\left(u^{0}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=2}^{n-1}\left(a_{l-1}-a_{l}\right)\left(u^{n-l}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} a_{n-1}\left(u^{0}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing the above inequality from 1 to n , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}\right) u^{k} \geq & \frac{\Delta t}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}\left(u^{n}\right)^{2}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} a_{l}\left(u^{n-l}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} a_{l}\right)\left(u^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\geq & \frac{\Delta t}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u^{k}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} a_{l}\right)\left(u^{0}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n-1} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \frac{\tilde{w}_{i}\left(1-e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) e^{-(n-1) \tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}}{\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \\
& \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} e^{-n \tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) \min _{x>0} \frac{e^{x}-1}{x} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \min _{x>0} \frac{e^{x}-1}{x} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\varepsilon_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} a_{l} & =\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{w}_{i}\left(1-e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) e^{-l \tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}}{\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \\
& =\frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \frac{\tilde{w}_{i}\left(e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}-e^{-n \tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right)}{\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} \Delta t}\right) \max _{x>0} \frac{1-e^{-(n-1) x}}{x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}+(n-1) \frac{1}{\Delta t^{\alpha}} \\
& =\frac{1+(1-\alpha)(n-1)}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute these equations into (74), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}\right) u^{k} \geq & \frac{\Delta t}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{t_{n}^{\alpha}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u^{k}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1+(1-\alpha)(n-1)}{(1-\alpha) \Delta t^{\alpha}}\right)\left(u^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(u^{0}\right)^{2} . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

And the lemma is proved.
With this lemma, we can do the prior estimate and calculate the global error like FIR.
Consider the diffusion PDE problem

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)+f(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t>0, \\
u(x, 0)=x_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{s}(x, s)}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} d s:={ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x, t), & x=x_{l}, \\
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{s}(x, s)}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} d s:=-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x, t), & x=x_{r} .
\end{array}
$$

The finite difference scheme

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{i}^{n}=\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{n}+f_{i}^{n}, & 1 \leq i \leq N_{S}-1,1 \leq n \leq N_{T}, \\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{0}^{n}=\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{n}\right]+f_{0}^{n} &  \tag{77}\\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{N_{S}}^{n}=\frac{2}{h}\left[-\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{n}\right]+f_{N_{S}}^{n}, & 0 \leq i \leq N_{S} \\
u_{i}^{0}=u_{0}\left(x_{i}\right), &
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 9 (Prior Estimate) Suppose $\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq N_{T}, 0 \leq i \leq N_{S}\right\}$ is the solution of the finite difference scheme (77). Then for any $1 \leq n \leq N_{T}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq & \frac{2\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \tilde{\mu}}\right)}{L \tilde{\mu}}\left(\tilde{\rho}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\tilde{\kappa}\left[\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{0}\right)^{2}\right]\right.  \tag{78}\\
& \left.+\frac{\Delta t}{8 \tilde{v}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Delta t}{\tilde{\mu}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\tilde{\rho}=\frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad \tilde{\kappa}=\frac{\Delta t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}, \\
\tilde{\mu}=\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, & \tilde{v}=\frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

Proof Multiplying $h u_{i}^{k}$ on both sides of the first equation of (77), and summing up for $i$ from 1 to $N_{S}-1$, we have

$$
h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}-h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}=h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}
$$

Multiplying $\frac{h}{2} u_{0}^{k}$ and $\frac{h}{2} u_{N_{S}}^{k}$ on both sides of the second equation and the third equation of (77), respectively, then adding the results with the above identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}, u^{k}\right)+\left[-\left(\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}-h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}+\left(\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right]  \tag{79}\\
& \quad+\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Observing the summation by parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}-h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x}^{2} u_{i}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}+\left(\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \\
= & -\left(\delta_{x} u_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{i}^{k}+\left(\delta_{x} u_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}  \tag{80}\\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right)\left(u_{i}^{k}-u_{i-1}^{k}\right) \\
= & h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}}\left(\delta_{x} u_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right)^{2}=\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (80) into (79), and multiplying $\Delta t$ on both sides of the resulting identity, and summing up for k from 1 to n ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}, u^{k}\right)+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \\
= & \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right] \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

From (73), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{k}, u^{k}\right) \geq \frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}-\frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2} \\
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k} \geq \frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{\Delta t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2} \\
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \geq \frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{\Delta t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(u_{N_{S}}^{0}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Substitute these equation into (81),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{\Delta t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left[\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right] \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right) u_{0}^{k}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} f_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right) u_{N_{S}}^{k} \\
& \leq \\
& \frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left[\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{8\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left[\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}}{4 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(u_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}+\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left[\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{8\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}}{4 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then substitute this equation into (82),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{\Delta t\left(t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{4 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\alpha}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{\Delta t^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1}+t_{n-1} \Delta t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{2 \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left[\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{\Delta t \Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{8\left(t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Delta t \Gamma(1-\alpha)}{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{4} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq \tilde{\rho}\left\|u^{0}\right\|^{2}+\tilde{\kappa}\left[\left(u_{0}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{\Delta t}{8 \tilde{v}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\left(h f_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h f_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{\Delta t}{\tilde{\mu}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(f_{i}^{k}\right)^{2} . \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Again we use Lemma 9 Taking $\theta>0$ such that $\frac{1 / \theta+1 / L}{\theta}=\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{4}$
(i.e. $\theta=2\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \tilde{\mu}}\right) /(L \tilde{\mu})$ ), and following from Lemma 9 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq \frac{2\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \tilde{\mu}}\right)}{L \tilde{\mu}}\left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{4} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\delta_{x} u^{k}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (84) and 83), we obtain the theorem.

Theorem 10 (Error Analysis) Suppose $u(x, t) \in C_{x, t}^{4,2}\left(\left[x_{l}, x_{r}\right] \times[0, T]\right)$ and $\left\{u_{i}^{k} \mid 0 \leq\right.$ $\left.i \leq N_{S}, 0 \leq k \leq N_{T}\right\}$ are solutions of the problem and the difference scheme (26), respectively. Let $e_{i}^{k}=u_{i}^{k}-u\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)$. Then there exists a positive constant $\tilde{c}_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{g l o b a l}:=\sqrt{\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|e^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}} \leq \tilde{c}_{2}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{c}_{2}^{2}=\frac{4 \tilde{c}_{1}^{2} T\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \tilde{\mu}}\right)}{L \tilde{\mu}}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{v}}+\frac{L}{\tilde{\mu}}\right)
$$

Proof We observe that the error $e^{k}$ satisfies the following scheme:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} e_{i}^{k} & =\delta_{x}^{2} e_{i}^{k}+T_{i}^{k}, & 1 \leq i \leq N_{S}-1,1 \leq k \leq N_{T}, \\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} e_{0}^{k} & =\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} e_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e_{0}^{k}\right]+T_{0}^{k}, & &  \tag{86}\\
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} e_{N_{S}}^{k} & =\frac{2}{h}\left[-\delta_{x} e_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e_{N_{S}}^{k}\right]+T_{N_{S}}^{k}, & & 0 \leq i \leq N_{S},
\end{array}
$$

where the truncation terms $\tilde{T}^{k}$ at the interior and boundary points are given by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{i}^{k}= & -\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} U_{i}^{k}\right]+\left[u_{x x}\left(x_{i}, t_{k}\right)-\delta_{x}^{2} U_{i}^{k}\right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_{S}-1,1 \leq k \leq N_{T} \\
T_{0}^{k}= & \left\{u_{x x}\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)-\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} U_{\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-u_{x}\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{h}\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} U_{0}^{k}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(x_{0}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} U_{0}^{k}\right] \\
T_{N_{S}}^{k}= & \left\{u_{x x}\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)+\frac{2}{h}\left[\delta_{x} U_{N_{S}-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-u_{x}\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{h}\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} U_{N_{S}}^{k}\right]\right\} \\
& -\left[{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)-\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} U_{N_{S}}^{k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can show that the truncation terms $T^{k}$ satisfy the following error bounds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|T_{i}^{k}\right| \leq \tilde{c}_{1}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \\
& \left|T_{0}^{k}\right| \leq \tilde{c}_{1}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h+\frac{\Delta t^{2-\alpha / 2}}{h}+\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{h}\right)  \tag{87}\\
& \left|T_{N_{S}}^{k}\right| \leq \tilde{c}_{1}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h+\frac{\Delta t^{2-\alpha / 2}}{h}+\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{h}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $\tilde{c}_{1}$ some positive constant. Thus, for $h \leq 1$ and $\Delta t \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4 \tilde{v}}\left[\left(h T_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h T_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{2}{\tilde{\mu}} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(T_{i}^{k}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{\tilde{c}_{1}^{2}}{2 \tilde{v}}\left(h \Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\Delta t^{2-\alpha / 2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 \tilde{c}_{1}^{2} L}{\mu}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2}  \tag{88}\\
\leq & \frac{2 \tilde{c}_{1}^{2}}{\tilde{v}}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 \tilde{c}_{1}^{2} L}{\tilde{\mu}}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & 4 \tilde{c}_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{v}}+\frac{L}{\tilde{\mu}}\right)\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Theorem 9 in (86),

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|e^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} & \leq \frac{\Delta t\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \tilde{\mu}}\right)}{L \tilde{\mu}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{4 \tilde{v}}\left[\left(h T_{0}^{k}\right)^{2}+\left(h T_{N_{S}}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{2}{\tilde{\mu}} h \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}-1}\left(T_{i}^{k}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{4 \tilde{c}_{1}^{2} T\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \tilde{\mu}}\right)}{L \tilde{\mu}}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{v}}+\frac{L}{\tilde{\mu}}\right)\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

and the theorem is proved.

## 5 Comparation and numerical results

This section presents numerical experiments. In Section 5.1, we compare the approximation accuracy of the sum-of-exponentials in FIR and FIDR, which has been analysed in Section 3. Then we carry out the numerical experiments to test the convergence rates of global error $\varepsilon_{\text {global }}$ of FIR (60) and FIDR (85) in Section 5.2. Besides, we numerically investigate the trade-off between the number of modes $N_{\text {exp }}, N_{A}$ and the global error in the small $\alpha$ regime. All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

### 5.1 Comparison of the errors in sum-of-exponentials

From Theorem6 and Theorem 10, we learn that the global error of FIR $\varepsilon_{\text {FIR }}$ and the global error of FIDR $\varepsilon_{\text {FIDR }}$ satisfy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{FIR}} \leq c_{2}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon\right), \quad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{FIDR}} \leq \tilde{c}_{2}\left(\Delta t^{2-\alpha}+h^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}\right), \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$c_{2}^{2}=\frac{4 c_{1}^{2} T\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \mu}\right)}{L \mu}\left(\frac{1}{v}+\frac{L}{\mu}\right), \quad \tilde{c}_{2}^{2}=\frac{4 \tilde{c}_{1}^{2} T\left(1+\sqrt{1+L^{2} \tilde{\mu}}\right)}{L \tilde{\mu}}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{v}}+\frac{L}{\tilde{\mu}}\right)$,
and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu=\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, & \tilde{\mu}=\frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-\varepsilon_{0}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad v=\frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}, \\
\tilde{v}=\frac{t_{n}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\varepsilon_{0}}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}, & c_{1} / \tilde{c}_{1}=O(1) .
\end{array}
$$

For $T=O(1)$ and $\Delta t$ and $h$ are sufficiently small, the errors are dominated by $\alpha \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$ respectively.

In FIR and FIDR, we set $N_{\text {exp }}=25\left(a=3, b=10, n_{1}=4, n_{2}=3\right)$. Meanwhile, we set $\alpha=0.1$, and in the following figure we can find that the global error of these two scheme are close. Here we compute the error of the sum-of-exponentials approximation.
For FIR and FIDR, define the error of sum-of-exponential approximations respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(t)=\left|\frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} w_{i} e^{-s_{i} t}\right|, \quad \varepsilon_{0}(t)=\left|\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \tilde{w}_{i} e^{-\tilde{s}_{i} t}\right| \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$ in (90) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\max _{0 \leq t \leq T} \varepsilon(t), \quad \varepsilon_{0}=\max _{0 \leq t \leq T} \varepsilon_{0}(t) . \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1 Error of sum-of-exponentials approximation. The total number of nodes $N_{\text {exp }}=25$ is fixed. Xlabel denotes the time and Y-label denotes the error. The errors of FIR and FIDR are $\alpha \varepsilon(t)$ and $\varepsilon_{0}(t)$, respectively, where $\varepsilon(t)$ and $\varepsilon_{0}(t)$ are defined in 91 .

This figure shows that when $t<1$, the error of sum-of-exponentials approximation works in FIDR is smaller than in FIR. However, when $t$ becomes larger, the error in FIR and in FIDR are similar. When $T=O(1)$, the error bound of diffusive approximation is smaller than the error bound of fast evaluation. This result is also supported by the numerical experiments in the following section.

### 5.2 Numerical experiments

In this part first we set an linear fractional diffusion equation (93), (94) as the test function, which has been used as the test function in [16]. Problem (93) has exact solution (95), so we can calculate the global error and compare the efficiency between FIR and FIDR. We also test an nonlinear equation (97), but there is no exact solution this time. We instead present the convergence rate of the related error of FIDR.

We first consider the following initial value problem of the linear fractional diffusion equation (25), which is presented in Section 3.3 in [16].

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(x, t) & =u_{x x}(x, t)+f(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t>0, \\
u(x, 0) & =x_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} & =\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{s}(x, s)}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} d s:={ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x, t), & x=x_{l} \\
\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} & =-\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{s}(x, s)}{(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} d s:=-{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x, t), & & x=x_{r} .
\end{array}
$$

Take the computational domain $\Omega=[0, \pi]$, and set

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x, t)= \Gamma(4+\alpha) x^{4}(\pi-x)^{4} \exp (-x) t^{3} / 6-x^{2}(\pi-x)^{2}\left\{t^{3+\alpha} \exp (-x)\right. \\
& {\left[x^{2}\left(56-16 x+x^{2}\right)-2 \pi x\left(28-12 x+x^{2}\right)+\pi^{2}\left(12-8 x+x^{2}\right)\right] } \\
&\left.+4\left(3 \pi^{2}-14 \pi x+14 x^{2}\right)\right\},  \tag{94}\\
& u_{0}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x^{4}(\pi-x)^{4}, & x \in \Omega, \\
0, & x \notin \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

This problem has the exact solution given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=x^{4}(\pi-x)^{4}\left[\exp (-x) t^{3+\alpha}+1\right], \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T] . \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we present the numerical results with different $\alpha$. The related error shown in figures below is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\text {related }}:=\frac{\varepsilon_{\text {global }}}{\sqrt{\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}}} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the real solution in (95), $u^{k}=\left(u\left(x_{1}, t_{k}\right), u\left(x_{2}, t_{k}\right), \cdots, u\left(x_{N_{S}}, t_{k}\right)\right), \varepsilon_{\text {global }}$ is the global error defined in (85)


Fig. 2 The numerical experiment of problem 93) when $\alpha=0.1$. The plot of related error (defined in (96)) versus $\Delta t, h=10^{-3}, T=1$ is fixed. $N_{\text {exp }}$ is the number of nodes in sum-of-exponentials. We use GL approximation as a comparative scheme. We give the dotted line (black line) to show that FIDR converges in linear rate when $t$ is not too small (which is same in Figure 3. 4 below).


Fig. 3 The numerical experiment of problem (93) when $\alpha=0.5$. The plot of related error (defined in (96)) versus $\Delta t, h=10^{-3}, T=1$ is fixed. $N_{\exp }$ is the number of nodes in sum-of-exponentials.


Fig. 4 The numerical experiment of problem (93) when $\alpha=0.7$. The plot of related error (defined in (96)) versus $\Delta t, h=10^{-3}, T=1$ is fixed. $N_{\exp }$ is the number of nodes in sum-of-exponentials.

Figure 2, 3, 4 show that the global error of FIDR is smaller than FIR and GL approximation when $N_{\exp }=25$ (or $N_{\exp }=9$ ) is same for the three schemes when $\alpha=0.1,0.5,0.7$. Recall that $N_{\text {exp }}$ is the storage cost of the scheme. For the same scheme, the global error decreases when $N_{\text {exp }}$ grows. Furthermore, when $\Delta t$ isn't too small, in these experiments which means $\Delta t>10^{-2}$ when $N_{\text {exp }}=25$ and $\Delta t>$ $10^{-1}$ when $N_{\text {exp }}=9$, FIDR converges in linear rate (compare with the dotted line). Here we set Grünwald-Letnikov and central-difference approximations (also called GL approximation) as a comparison scheme. GL approximation is widely used in engineering [7]. It is absolute stable but need more storage. We give the proof of the stability of GL approximation in the appendix.

Remark that when $\alpha=0.7, Q \approx-0.73$ which is defined by (7) in viscoelastic models. This is a challenging case for practical simulation in engineering with such a small $Q$, but FIDR can still compute it well with little storage cost.

The table below shows the related error and simulation time of FIDR and FIR.

| $\Delta t$ | time of FIDR | time of FIR | error of FIDR | error of FIR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.76 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.43 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.94 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.86 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| $5 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $5.26 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.73 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.94 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $5.03 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| $1 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.32 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $2.39 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $4.68 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $9.89 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| $5 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.60 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $4.59 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $2.82 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.26 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| $1 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.33 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $2.32 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $5.83 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.43 \mathrm{e}-02$ |

Table 2 The related error and simulation time of FIDR and FIR when solving differential equation (93), (94). Where $\alpha=0.1, h=10^{-3}, N_{\exp }=25, T=1$. The related error is defined in (96)

Table 2 shows that FIR and FIDR cost similar simulation time when $N_{\text {exp }}, h, \Delta t$ are the same. But FIDR achieves smaller global error. From Table 2 we can see that when $\alpha=0.1$ is small, both of FIR and FIDR can get accurate result with small
storage cost. Furthermore, here $T=O(1)$, and the error of FIDR is smaller than the error of FIR, especially when $\Delta t<10^{-2}$.

We also calculate nonlinear diffusion PDE.

$$
\begin{align*}
f(u) & =-u(1-u) \\
u(x, 0) & =\exp \left(-10(x-0.5)^{2}\right)+\exp \left(-10(x+0.5)^{2}\right) \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

However, there isn't exact solution of this nonlinear PDE, so it is hard to compare the result of different schemes. We use this problem to test the self convergence of FIDR. the reference solution is computed over very small mesh sizes $h=\Delta t=10^{-4}$.


Fig. 5 The plot of related error (defined in (96) when solving (97) versus $\Delta t . h=10^{-3}$ is fixed. Different lines represent results with different $\alpha$ and $N_{\text {exp }}$.

Figure 5 shows FIDR still converges in linear rate in nonlinear differential equation.

In the end of this section, we remark that in all the numerical experiments we have done, we only use few nodes in the sum-of-exponentials approximation, which make the global error of FIR blow up when $\Delta t$ is small. However, if the number of nodes $N_{\text {exp }}$ is large enough, the global error of FIR will stay small even when $\Delta t$ is small. To show this, we list the error of FIR with different $N_{\text {exp }}$ in the following table.

|  | FIDR $\left(N_{\exp }=25\right)$ | FIDR $\left(N_{\exp }=40\right)$ | FIR $\left(N_{\exp }=25\right)$ | FIR $\left(N_{\exp }=40\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha=0.1$ | $5.83 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.39 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.43 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.04 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| $\alpha=0.5$ | $1.97 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $5.23 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $5.49 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.13 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| $\alpha=0.7$ | $5.56 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.63 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $7.93 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $6.91 \mathrm{e}-04$ |

Table 3 the related error for different $\alpha$ of FIDR and FIR, where $\Delta t=10^{-3}$ is fixed.

Table 3 shows that when $N_{\exp }$ is large enough (here $N_{\exp } \geq 40$ ), the blowing up of the error of FIR does not appear, which is the case considered in [16]. However, the global error of FIR is still larger than the global error of FIDR when $N_{\exp }$ is the same.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, the relationship between the global error of FIR [16], FIDR [26] and $\alpha$ in the Caputo derivative is studied for the first time. We prove that the global error of FIR and FIDR is reduced when $\alpha$ gets smaller, thus we can reduce the modes considerably in sum-of-exponential approximation when $\alpha$ is small, then the storage and the computational cost will decrease. This result successfully fits the discovery in engineering work [34-36].

Furthermore, we discover that the global error of FIDR is smaller than the global error of FIR in all of the numerical experiments when the number of modes $N_{\text {exp }}, N_{A}$ are the same, especially when $\alpha$ is small. The stability of FIDR is proved and we give a more accurate upper bound of the global error of FIDR compared with the analysis in [26]. The numerical experiments about FIR and FIDR completely confirming the theoretical results carried out in this paper.

In future work, we will focus on the high order scheme based on scheme FIDR. Current FIDR is a first order scheme, since we approximate the derivative $u^{\prime}(\tau)$ with first order method. We plan to approximate $u^{\prime}(\tau)$ with higher-order scheme for Caputo fractional derivative. It is also meaningful to explore more advanced technique to efficiently calculate the Caputo derivatives with large $\alpha$, thus leading to a system with strong attenuation and dispersion.
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## A The Proof of Lemma 8

Lemma 8 For any mesh functions $g=\left\{g^{k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}$ defined on $\Omega_{t}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} g^{k}\right) g^{k} \geq \frac{t_{n}^{-\alpha}-2 \alpha \varepsilon t_{n-1}}{2 \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(g^{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{t_{n}^{1-\alpha}-\alpha(1-\alpha) \varepsilon t_{n-1} \Delta t}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\left(g^{0}\right)^{2} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the definition of $\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} u^{n}= & \frac{\Delta t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\frac{u^{n}}{1-\alpha}-\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}+a_{0}\right) u^{n-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\sum_{l=1}^{n-2}\left(a_{n-l-1}+b_{n-l-2}\right) u^{l}-\left(b_{n-2}+\frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}\right) u^{0}\right) \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
a_{n}=\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{j} e^{-n s_{j} \Delta t} \lambda_{j}^{1}, & b_{n}=\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{j} e^{-n s_{j} \Delta t} \lambda_{j}^{2} \\
\lambda_{j}^{1}=\frac{e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}}{s_{j}^{2} \Delta t}\left(e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}-1+s_{j} \Delta t\right), & \lambda_{j}^{2}=\frac{e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}}{s_{j}^{2} \Delta t}\left(1-e^{-s_{j} \Delta t}-e^{-s_{j} \Delta t} s_{j} \Delta t\right)
\end{array}
$$

proof: Applying the definition (99) of the fast evaluation scheme and the CauchySchwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} g^{k}\right) g^{k}= & \frac{\Delta t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\frac{\left(g^{k}\right)^{2}}{1-\alpha}-\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}+a_{0}\right) g^{k-1} g^{k}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\sum_{l=1}^{k-2}\left(a_{k-l-1}+b_{k-l-2}\right) g^{l} g^{k}-\left(b_{k-2}+\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right) g^{0} g^{k}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{\Delta t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)-\frac{1}{2 k^{\alpha}}\right)\left(g^{k}\right)^{2}\right.  \tag{100}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}+a_{0}\right)\left(g^{k-1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{k-2}\left(a_{k-l-1}+b_{k-l-2}\right)\left(g^{l}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{k-2}+\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right)\left(g^{0}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Summing the above inequality from $\mathrm{k}=1$ to n , we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{t}^{\alpha} g^{k}\right) g^{k} \geq & \frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)-\frac{1}{2 k^{\alpha}}\right)\left(g^{k}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}+a_{0}\right)\left(g^{k-1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{k-2}\left(a_{k-l-1}+b_{k-l-2}\right)\left(g^{l}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(b_{k-2}+\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right)\left(g^{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}\left(g^{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}\left(g^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{\Delta t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(C_{k}\left(g^{k}\right)^{2}\right)-C_{0}\left(g^{0}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $C_{k}(k=0,1, \cdots, n)$ are given by the formula

$$
C_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(b_{k-2}+\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right), & k=0,  \tag{102}\\
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)+\frac{1}{2} b_{n-2}, & k=1, \\
1-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)-\frac{1}{2 k^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1-1}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)+\frac{1}{2} b_{n-k-1}, & 2 \leq k \leq n, \\
\frac{2-\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)-\frac{1}{2 k^{\alpha}}, & k=n
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}-\varepsilon \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{j} e^{-s_{j} t} \leq \frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}+\varepsilon \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also straightforward to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)=\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} \int_{\Delta t}^{k \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text {exp }}} \omega_{j} e^{-s_{j} t} d t \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (103) and (104), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right)-\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} t_{k-1} \varepsilon \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right) \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right)+\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} t_{k-1} \varepsilon \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (105) into (102) yields the following estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0} \leq \frac{n^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)}+\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} t_{n-1} \varepsilon, \\
& C_{1} \geq \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 n^{\alpha}}-\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} t_{n-1} \varepsilon,  \tag{106}\\
& C_{k} \geq \frac{1}{2 n^{\alpha}}-\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} t_{n-1} \varepsilon, \\
& C_{n} \geq \frac{2-\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}-\sum_{l=0}^{n-2}\left(a_{l}+b_{l}\right)-\frac{1}{2 n^{\alpha}} \geq \frac{1}{2 n^{\alpha}}-\alpha \Delta t^{\alpha} t_{n-1} \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (106) and 101), we obtain the Lemma.

## B Stability of GL approximation

Recall the GL approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{0}^{G L} \mathbb{D}_{t}^{p} u(t) & =\Delta t^{-p} \sum_{m=0}^{t / \Delta t}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} u(t-m \Delta t)  \tag{107}\\
& =\Delta t^{-p} \sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} U^{n-m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(k) m}=\lambda_{k}^{m} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the particular solution in fourier wave pattern. Then (107) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t^{-p} \sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m}=0 \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m}=0
$$

Our purpose is to find whether there exists a solution $\lambda$ satisfies $|\lambda|>1$. If $p$ is a positive integer, when $n \geq p$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right)^{p} & =0, \\
\lambda_{k} & =1,
\end{aligned}
$$

if $0<p<1$ is not an integer. Using the known property of the binomial coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
&\binom{p}{m}=\binom{p-1}{m}+\binom{p-1}{m-1}  \tag{110}\\
& 0=\sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m} \\
&=\sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p-1}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m}-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m}\binom{p-1}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m-1}  \tag{111}\\
&=\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m}\binom{p-1}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m-1}\right)\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right)+(-1)^{n}\binom{p-1}{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p, n}\left(\lambda_{k}\right):=\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{m}\binom{p-1}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m-1}\right)\left(\lambda_{k}-1\right)+(-1)^{n}\binom{p-1}{n} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Absolute stability: Consider the following ODE model

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{p} u(t)=c u(t)+f(t) . \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

The backward Euler method for this ODE is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{G L} \mathbb{D}_{t}^{p} U^{n}=c U^{n} . \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(c) \leq 0$.
Applying (107) and (108) to consider the absolute stability.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta t^{-p} \sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m}-c \lambda_{k}^{n} & =0, \\
\left(1-\Delta t^{p} c\right) \lambda_{k}^{n}+\sum_{m=1}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m} & =0 . \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p \in(0,1)$, we have $(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m}<0$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$. Recall that

$$
F_{p, n}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m}=\lambda_{k}^{n}+\sum_{m=1}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \lambda_{k}^{n-m},
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left|(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m}\right| & =-\sum_{m=1}^{n}(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m} \\
& =1-F_{p, n}(1)  \tag{116}\\
& =1-(-1)^{n}\binom{p-1}{n},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used equation (111).
Define $z=\Delta t^{p} c$, then $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0,|1-z| \geq 1$. If we assume $\lambda_{0}$ with $\left|\lambda_{0}\right| \geq 1$ is a root to 115 , then for $m<n$, we have $\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{m} \leq\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{n}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
|1-z|\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{n} & \leq \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left|(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m}\right|\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{n-m} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left|(-1)^{m}\binom{p}{m}\right|\right)\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{n}  \tag{117}\\
& =\left(1-(-1)^{n}\binom{p-1}{n}\right)\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{n} \\
& <\left|\lambda_{0}\right|^{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

which is a contradiction. So every solution $\lambda_{k}$ of (115) saftisfies $\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<1$, which mean that GL approximation is A-stable and zero stable.
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