

ASYMPTOTICS OF SUMMANDS I: SQUARE INTEGRABLE INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES

ALADJI BABACAR NIANG, GANE SAMB LO, AND MOUMOUNI DIALLO

ABSTRACT. This paper is part of series on self-contained papers in which a large part, if not the full extent, of the asymptotic limit theory of summands of independent random variables is exposed. Each paper of the series may be taken as review exposition but specially as a complete exposition expect a few exterior resources. For graduate students and for researchers (beginners or advanced), any paper of the series should be considered as a basis for constructing new results. The contents are taken from advanced books but the organization and the proofs use more recent tools, are given in more details and do not systematically follow previous one. Sometimes, theorems are completed and innovated.

[†] Aladji Babacar Niang

LERSTAD, Gaston Berger University, Saint-Louis, Sénégal.

Email: niang.aladji-babacar@ugb.edu.sn, aladjibacar93@gmail.com

^{††} Gane Samb Lo.

LERSTAD, Gaston Berger University, Saint-Louis, Sénégal (main affiliation).

LSTA, Pierre and Marie Curie University, Paris VI, France.

AUST - African University of Sciences and Technology, Abuja, Nigeria

gane-samb.lo@edu.ugb.sn, gslo@aust.edu.ng, ganesamblo@ganesamblo.net

Permanent address : 1178 Evanston Dr NW T3P 0J9, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

^{†††} Dr Moumouni Diallo

Université des Sciences Sociale et de Gestion de Bamako (USSGB)

Faculté des Sciences Économiques et de Gestion (FSEG)

Email: moudiallo1@gmail.com

Keywords. central limit theorem; pre-weak and weak limits; summands of arrays of real-valued random variable; class of admissible weak limits in the clt for independent random variables; infinitely divisible or decomposable laws; Lévy, Lyndeberg and Lyapounov criterai; Lynderberg-type condition; product of Poisson type characteristic functions.

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60F05; 60E07

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. The Bounded Variance Hypothesis (<i>BVH</i>) and the Uniformly Asymptotic Negligibility (<i>UAN</i>)	5
3. Class of infinitely divisible (or decomposable) laws on \mathbb{R}	7
3.1. Definitions and examples	7
3.2. Properties	10
3.3. The three pillars of that theory	13
4. The weak convergence theorem of summands under the <i>BVH</i> and the <i>UAN</i> Condition	19
4.1. The Central limit theorem for centered, independent and square integrable random variables	19
4.2. The Central limit theorem for non-centered, independent and square integrable random variables	23
5. Characterizations of two important examples	26
5.1. Gaussian limit	26
5.2. Poisson limit	30
6. Conclusion	35
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

The largest part of the asymptotic theory of partial sums of random variables concentrated on independent random variables over at least two centuries. Almost all the greatest scientists in probability Theory (Lévy, Kolmogorov, Lyapounov, Lynderberg, Gnedenko, Feller, etc.) engaged themselves in such an enterprise. Besides, a very large part of the current theory on dependent sequence of random variables is based on transformations of independence structures, for example on notions of *nearness* of the dependence to independence (weak dependence, ϕ -mixing, associated sequence, independent increments, etc.).

So it is important to have the deepest knowledge of that past. In [Lo \(2018b\)](#), we introduced some important elements of that theory (Central limit theorems, laws of the large numbers, law of the iterated logarithm, zero-one laws, etc.).

We are beginning a series on self-contained papers in which a large part of the *central limit theorem*, if not the full extent, of the asymptotics of summands of independent random variables will be exposed. Each paper of the series may be taken as review exposition but specially as a complete exposition expect a few external resources. For graduate students and for researchers (beginners or advanced), any paper of the series should be considered as a basis for constructing new results. The contents are taken from advanced books but the organization and the proofs use more recent tools, are given in more details and do not systematically follow previous ones. Sometimes, theorems are completed and innovated.

In this first paper of the series, we focus on the full characterization of the *CLT* problem of independent summands for square integrable random variables. The main material is extracted from [Loève \(1997\)](#) as a general guide. But we use arguments from our previous works ([Lo \(2017b\)](#), [Lo \(2018a\)](#), [Lo \(2018b\)](#), [Lo et al. \(2016\)](#), etc.) to have unified and a self-contained [Lo \(2018b\)](#). In particular, the text on the weak convergence of bounded measures and its expression on \mathbb{R}^k ($k \geq 1$) provides tools to make the conclusions in [Loève \(1997\)](#) more clear, as we expect at least.

Papers of this series focus on complete mathematical texts rather than on a historical review of contributions of different authors. We refer to [Loève](#)

(1997) for that aspect.

Since the set of weak limits of independent summands for square integrable coincide with the set of infinitely decomposable laws, this paper will deal with the most important properties of such probability laws to the extent needed here. More developments, including the study of stable laws, will be given in the other papers of the series.

Let us introduce the problem, after we provide some notations. below, all sequences and all arrays of random variables have all their elements defined on a same probability space. So, we set a generic probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.

Following [Loève \(1997\)](#), we transform the study of sums of independent and centered random variables

$$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

(with the notation $\sigma_i^2 = \mathbb{E}X_i^2$, $i \geq 1$, if they exist), by summands

$$S_n = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k_n} X_{k,n}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where for each $n \geq 1$, the family $\{X_{k,n}, 1 \leq k \leq k_n = k(n)\}$ is a family of independent and centered random variables such that $F_{k,n}$ stands for the *cdf* of $X_{k,n}$ and $\sigma_{k,n}^2 = \mathbb{E}X_{k,n}^2$, $1 \leq k \leq k_n$. We suppose also that $k_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Notations. The notation already given and completed by the notation $f_{k,n}$ for the characteristic function of $X_{k,n}$, are fixed for once.

In the case of simple summands, we have for each $n \geq 1$, $k_n = n$ and $X_{k,n} = X_k$ for $k \in [1, n]$. Here, the rows $(X_{k,n})_{1 \leq k \leq k_n}$ are such that each of them is obtained by adding one element to the predecessor. But, in the general case, no relation between families $\mathcal{E}_n = \{X_{k,n}, 1 \leq k \leq k(n)\}$ is required. Also, in the case of the simple sequence $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$, the studied array for each $n \geq 1$, is $\{X_1/s_n, \dots, X_n/s_n\}$ where $s_n^2 = \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$. Here, we are going to investigate the general problem of finding all the possible weak limits do S_n . Without restrictions, we this may lead to trivial results. So we have to fix a general frame in which the study will be done. In

doing, the best way seems to go back to the complete theory of Feller-Levy-Lynderberg and there, discover the following two fundamental hypotheses.

2. THE BOUNDED VARIANCE HYPOTHESIS (BVH) AND THE UNIFORMLY ASYMPTOTIC NEGLIGIBILITY (UAN)

Given a sequence $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of independent, centered and square-integrable random variables, we set $\sigma_k^2 = \mathbb{E}X_k^2$, $k \geq 1$, for $n \geq 1$

$$s_n^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2, \quad t_n^2 = \max\{\sigma_k^2, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = t_n^2/s_n^2,$$

$k(n) = n$ for each $n \geq 1$ and for each $n \geq 1$

$$\{X_{k,n}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq k(n)\} =: \left\{ \frac{X_k}{s_n}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq k(n) \right\}$$

and

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} X_{k,n}.$$

The Feller-Levy-Lynderberg (F2L) theorem (see [Loève \(1997\)](#), or [Lo \(2018b\)](#), Chapter 7, Section 2, Part B) ensures that:

$$(2.1) \quad S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad [WC] \quad \text{and} \quad B_n \rightarrow 0 \quad [NG]$$

if and only if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad L_n(\varepsilon) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{|X_{k,n}| \geq \varepsilon} X_{k,n}^2 d\mathbb{P} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us see how behave the two following important quantities in that frame :

$$(2.3) \quad U(n, \varepsilon) = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \mathbb{P}(|X_{k,n}| \geq \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad MV(n) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \mathbb{V}ar(X_{k,n}).$$

We have, by Markov inequality,

$$(2.4) \quad U(n, \varepsilon) \leq \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\mathbb{E}X_{k,n}^2}{\varepsilon^2 s_n^2} = \varepsilon^{-2} B_n,$$

and $MV(n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and for $c = 1$

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} MV(n) = c < +\infty.$$

The theory we are going to develop in a more general case needs the properties we just introduced with specific names.

Definition. Under the notation given above, we say that :

(i) the **Bounded Variance Hypothesis (BVH)** holds if and only if

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} MV(n) = c < +\infty;$$

(ii) the **Variance Convergence Hypothesis (VCH)** holds if and only if

$$MV(n) \rightarrow c \in]0, +\infty[;$$

(iii) the **Uniformly Asymptotic Negligibility (UAN)** holds if and only if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$U(n, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0.$$

We express the *F2L* theorem as follows: If

(a) the *(UAN)* condition holds;

(b) the *(BVH)* holds, then

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ if and only if } L_n(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

In this particular case, the characteristic function of the weak law $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

$$\psi_\infty(u) = \exp(-u^2/2)$$

is such that, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p > 0$, $\psi_\infty^{1/p}$ defined by

$$\psi_\infty^{1/p}(u) = \exp(-p^{-1}u^2/2)$$

is still a characteristic function, actually of a $\mathcal{N}(0, p^{-1})$ law. Let us denote by \mathcal{C}_f the class of all characteristic functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on \mathbb{R} .

Now we may set our general task to be done: (*Task*). Given the *UAN* and the *BVH* conditions, what is the class of all possible limits Z_∞ of characteristic function ψ_∞ . By the particular case of *F2L* theorem, we may think that the searched class can be

$$\mathcal{C}_{fid} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{C}_f : (\forall p > 1), \psi^{1/p} \in \mathcal{C}_f\}.$$

We define \mathcal{C}_{fid} as the class of infinitely divisible characteristic functions. At least, in the current version of the central limit theorem, the Gaussian weak limit is in \mathcal{C}_{fid} .

We are going to see that the suggestion in the description of the *task* is effectively the global solution.

In the sequel, we will devote Section 3 on infinitely divisible (or decomposable) laws. In Section 4, we finish the *task* we have given to ourselves under the *UAN* Condition and the *BVC*. Finally in 5, rediscover the characterization of the *CLT* to a Gaussian law and that of the *CLT* to a Poisson law. In the next element of the series, we proceed to a general theory with non-necessarily square-integrable random variables.

3. CLASS OF INFINITELY DIVISIBLE (OR DECOMPOSABLE) LAWS ON \mathbb{R}

3.1. Definitions and examples.

The basic definition is the following.

Definition 1. A characteristic function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_f$ is *infinitely decomposable* (*idecomp*), denoted by $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_{fid}$ if and only if for all positive integer p , $\psi^{1/p}$ is still a characteristic function.

Let us explain the notion of *idecomp* in terms of random variables. Suppose that $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_f$, $p \geq 1$ and $\psi_p = \psi^{1/p}$. Suppose that ψ_p is the characteristic function (*ch.f*) of a probability measure \mathbb{P}_p . By the Kolmogorov theorem, it

is possible to construct a probability space $(\Omega_p, \mathcal{A}_p, \mathbb{P}_p)$ holding independent real-valued random variables $Z_p, Z_{1,p}, \dots, Z_{p,p}$ having all the *ch.f* ψ_p , i.e.,

$$\psi_p(u) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_p} \exp(iuZ_p) = \int \exp(iux) d\mathbb{P}_p.$$

It is clear that ψ_p^p is the *ch.f* of $S_p = Z_{1,p} + \dots + Z_{p,p}$. As well, ψ is the *ch.f* of a probability measure \mathbb{P}_ψ on \mathbb{R} and let us denote by Z_ψ a random variable with \mathbb{P}_ψ as probability law.

We easily see that we may extend the definition as follows. In the definition below, we use the notion of *idecomp* probability law at the place of *idecomp characteristic function* or *idecom random variable*.

Definition 2. Let Z be a real-valued random variable with probability law \mathbb{P}_Z and *ch.f* ψ_Z . \mathbb{P}_Z is *idecom* (equivalently ψ_Z is *idecomp* or Z is *idecomp*) if and only if one of the following assertions holds:

- (i) For all $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\psi_Z^{1/p}$ is a *ch.f*.
- (ii) For all $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a *ch.f* ψ_p such that $\psi_Z = \psi_p^p$.
- (iii) For all $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a probability \mathbb{P}_p on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\mathbb{P}_Z = \mathbb{P}_p^{\otimes p},$$

that is, \mathbb{P}_Z is the convolution product of \mathbb{P}_p by itself p times.

- (iv) For all $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a sequence $Z_{1,p}, \dots, Z_{p,p}$ of independent and identically distributed real-valued random variables such that

$$Z =_d Z_{1,p} + \dots + Z_{p,p}.$$

Examples. Let us give some quick examples.

Example 1. (Degenerate random variable). Let $Z = a$, p.s of *ch.f*

$$\psi_Z(t) = e^{iat}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $p \geq 1$, $\psi_Z(t)^{1/p} = e^{i(a/p)t}$, which is the *cha.f* of the degenerate r.v. $Z_p = a/p$.

Example 2. (Gaussian random variables). Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(m, \sigma^2)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with *cha.f*

$$\psi_Z(t) = \exp(imt - \sigma^2 t^2/2), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\psi_Z(t)^{1/p} = \exp(i(m/p)t - (\sigma/\sqrt{p})^2 t^2/2), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is the *cha.f* of a $\mathcal{N}(m/p, \sigma^2/p)$ r.v.

Example 3. (Translated Poisson random variables). Let $Z \sim \mathcal{P}(a, \lambda) \equiv +\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with *cha.f*

$$\psi_Z(t) = \exp(iat + \lambda(e^{it} - 1)).$$

For $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\psi_Z(t)^{1/p} = \exp(i(a/p)t + (\lambda/p)(e^{it} - 1)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is the *cha.f* of a $\mathcal{P}(a/p, \lambda/p)$ r.v.

Example 4. (Gamma random variables). Let $Z \sim \gamma(a, b)$, $a > 0$, $b > 0$, with *cha.f*

$$\psi_Z(t) = (1 - it/b)^{-a}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\psi_Z(t)^{1/p} = (1 - it/b)^{-(a/p)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is the *cha.f* of a $\gamma(a/p, b)$ r.v.

Example 5. (Cauchy random variables). Let $Z \sim Ca(a, b)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$ with *cha.f*

$$\psi_Z(t) = \exp(iua - b|t|), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\psi_Z(t)^{1/p} = \exp(iu(a/p) - (b/p)|t|), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is the *cha.f* of a $Ca(a/p, b/p)$ r.v.

Now, let us focus on properties of such laws.

3.2. Properties.

Property 1. If ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two *idecomp cha.f*, then $\psi = \psi_1\psi_2$ is an *idecomp cha.f*.

Proof. Suppose that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two *idecomp cha.f* and let $p \geq 1$. Thus $\psi^{1/p} = \psi_1^{1/p}\psi_2^{1/p}$ is the *cha.f* of the convolution product of the probability measures associated to the *cha.f* $\psi_i^{1/p}$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$).

Property 2. If ψ is an *idecomp cha.f*, the conjugate $\bar{\psi}$ is also an *idecomp cha.f* and the complex square norm $\|\psi\|^2$ is an *idecomp cha.f*.

Proof. Let ψ be the *cha.f* of X , i.e., $\psi(t) = \psi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{itX})$, it is clear that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-itX}) = \mathbb{E}(\overline{e^{itX}}) = \overline{\mathbb{E}(e^{itX})} = \bar{\psi}(t).$$

This and $\mathbb{E}(e^{-itX}) = \psi_{-X}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ show that ψ is a *cha.f*. It is also direct to see that X and $-X$ are **idecomp** or non-idecomp at the same time or not. Finally by Property 1, $\|\psi\|^2 = \psi\bar{\psi}$ is *idecomp* if ψ is.

Property 3. If ψ is an **idecomp cha.f**, then $\psi^{1/n}$ converges to 1 everywhere, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose that ψ is an *idecomp cha.f*. Let us denote, for all $n \geq 1$, $\psi_n = \psi^{1/n}$, that is a *cha.f*. But $\|\psi\| \leq 1$ and $\|\psi_n\|^2 = \|\psi\|^{2/n}$ converges to g with $g = 0$ on $\psi = 0$ and $g = 1$ on $\psi \neq 0$. Let us show that ψ cannot take the null value. Indeed ψ is continuous (at zero in particular) and $\psi(0) = 1$. So $\psi > 1/2$ on an interval $] -r, r[$, $r > 0$ and next $g = 1$ on $] -r, r[$. But the function $h \equiv 1$ is the *cha.f* of the random variable $Z = 0$. By Proposition in Billingsley (1968) (see page 388), we get that $g = h$ and then $g = 1$

everywhere, so $\|\psi_n\|^2 \rightarrow 1$. This ensures that ψ does not take the null value. Finally, we get rid of the norm by

$$\psi^{1/n} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{n} \log \psi\right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Property 4. Let $(\psi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of *idecomp cha.f*'s such that $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ and ψ is continuous at zero. Then ψ is an *idecomp cha.f*.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_{fid} \ni \psi_p \rightarrow \psi$ and ψ is continuous at zero. For any fixed $q \geq 1$, $|\psi_p|^{2/q} \rightarrow |\psi|^{2/q}$. Since the $|\psi_p|^{2/q}$, are *cha.f* and $|\psi|^{2/q}$ is continuous at zero, it comes that $|\psi|^{2/q}$ is a *cha.f* for any $q \geq 1$. So $|\psi|^2$ is an *idecomp cha.f* and by property 3, ψ is nowhere zero and next

$$\psi_p^{1/q} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} \log \psi_p\right) \rightarrow \exp\left(\frac{1}{q} \log \psi\right) = \psi^{1/q}$$

is a *cha.f* by the Levy continuity theorem.

Property 5. An *cha.f* ψ is *idecomp* if and only if it is limit of a sequence of products Poisson type *cha.f*.

Proof. If ψ is a limit of a sequence of products Poisson type *cha.f*, it is *idecomp* by Property 4, since products of Poisson type *cha.f* are *idecomp cha.f*.

Conversely, let us be given an *idecomp cha.f* ψ . Since ψ is non-where equal to zero (Property 3), we have

$$\log \psi = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} p(\psi^{1/p} - 1).$$

For $p \geq 1$, let us denote by F_p the *cdf* associated with the *cha.f* $\psi_p = \psi^{1/p}$. So we have

$$\Psi_p(t) = p(f^{1/p} - 1) = \int p(e^{itx} - 1) dF_p(x).$$

Since the function $\hookrightarrow p(e^{itx} - 1)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} , it is locally integrable and λ_{F_p} is a finite measure, we may apply Lebesgue Dominated theorem and we can conclude that for any fixed $p \geq 1$,

$$\Psi_p(t) = p(f^{1/p} - 1) = \lim_{0 < a \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-a}^a p(e^{itx} - 1) dF_p(x) =: \lim_{0 < a \rightarrow +\infty} \Psi_{p,a}.$$

By continuity of the integrand, the integral $\Psi_{p,a}$ is limit of Riemann-Stieltjes, which are of the form

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k(p,a)} pb_{j,p} (e^{ic_{j,p}u} - 1),$$

which are sums of logarithms of Poisson type *cha.f*. Hence $\exp(\Psi_{p,a})$ are *cha.f* and next $\exp(\Psi_p)$ is a *cha.f* as limit of the sequence $\exp(\Psi_{p,a})$.

Finally ψ is limit of *cha.f* of the form $\exp(\Psi_p)$, which is a sequence of products of Poisson type *cha.f*.

Property 6. A *cha.f* is *idecomp* if and only if it is limit of a sequence of products of *cha.f* of Poisson type laws.

Proof Let ψ be a *cha.f*. Let ψ_p a product of *cha.f* of type Poisson laws

$$\psi_p(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k(p)} \exp(ia_{j,p}t + b_{j,p}(e^{ic_{j,p}t} - 1)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the $a_{j,p}$'s and $c_{j,p}$'s are real numbers and the $b_{j,p}$'s positive numbers. We have, for p fixed and for $q \geq 1$

$$\psi_p(t)^{1/q} = \exp \left(i \left\{ \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=1}^{k(p)} a_{j,p} \right\} t + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=1}^{k(p)} b_{j,p} (e^{ic_{j,p}t} - 1) \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is still a product of *cha.f*'s of type Poisson type laws and is a *cha.f*. If $\psi_p \rightarrow \psi$, thus by Property 4, ψ is *idecomp*.

We will need more facts on **cha.f**'s that we will introduce when needed,

We begin by studying the case of bounded variances. First, we deal with three important results that constitute the pillars of the current theory.

3.3. The three pillars of that theory. In this subsection, we assume that both the *UAN* and the *BVH* hold.

Lemma 1. (*Comparison Lemma*) *The complex function $\log f_{k,n}$ is well-defined and for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \{\log f_{k,n}(u) - (f_{k,n}(u) - 1)\} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed and $n \geq 1$. Then for any $k \in \{1, \dots, k(n)\}$, we have the one order expansion

$$f_{k,n}(u) = 1 + \theta_{k,n} u^2 \sigma_{k,n}^2 / 2,$$

with $|\theta_{k,n}| < 1$ and $|\circ|$ stands for the norm in \mathbb{C} or the absolute value when applied to real numbers. In all this chapter, numbers of the form θ_\circ , possibly written with primes or double primes, are only required to have norms less than one and their values are not important. So, we get

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} |f_{k,n}(u) - 1| \leq \frac{u^2 B_n}{2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Next for $v_{k,n} = \theta_{k,n} u^2 \sigma_{k,n}^2 / 2$, we surely have that $\max_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} |v_{k,n}| \leq (u^2 B_n) / 2$ goes to zero. We also have for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log f_{k,n}(u) &= \log(1 + (f_{k,n}(u) - 1)) = \log(1 + v_{k,n}) = v_{k,n} + \theta'_{k,n} v_{k,n}^2 \\ &= (f_{k,n}(u) - 1) + \theta'_{k,n} v_{k,n}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \{ \log f_{k,n}(u) - (f_{k,n}(u) - 1) \} \right| &\leq \sum_1^{k(n)} |\theta'_{k,n}| v_{k,n}^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \frac{u^4}{4} |\theta_{k,n}|^2 \sigma_{k,n}^4 \quad (L3) \\
&\leq \frac{u^4 B_n}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2 \quad (L4) \\
&\leq \frac{cu^4 B_n}{4} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is over. \square

Now, let us use new expressions of the results in Lemma 1. Since the variables $X_{k,n}$ are centered, we have

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq k(n), \int X_{k,n} d\mathbb{P} = \int x dF_{k,n}(x) = 0 \text{ and } \int x^2 dF_{k,n}(x) = \sigma_{k,n}^2.$$

Let us set, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\psi_n(u) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} (f_{k,n}(u) - 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int (e^{iux} - 1) dF_{k,n}(x), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By using the remark that $\mathbb{E}X_{k,n} = 0$, i.e. $\int x dF_{k,n}(x) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_n(u) &= \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int (e^{iux} - 1 - iux) dF_{k,n}(x) \\
&= \int (e^{iux} - 1 - iux) \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} dF_{k,n}(x) \\
&= \int \frac{1}{x^2} (e^{iux} - 1 - iux) x^2 \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} dF_{k,n}(x), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

But, by putting

$$dK_n(x) = x^2 \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} dF_{k,n}(x),$$

we get

$$\psi_n(u) = \int \frac{1}{x^2} (e^{iux} - 1 - iux) dK_n(x).$$

Finally, Lemma 1 can be expressed as

Lemma 2.

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \log \left(\prod_{k=1}^{k(n)} f_{k,n}(u) \right) - \psi_n(u) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where

$$dK_n(x) = x^2 \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} dF_{k,n}(x)$$

and

$$\psi_n(u) = \int \frac{1}{x^2} (e^{iux} - 1 - iux) dK_n(x).$$

This lemma becomes the second pillar. The third is the following

Lemma 3. *For any $n \geq 1$, $\exp(\Psi_n)$ is an idecomp cha.f and is the cha.f of a centered random variable of variance*

$$\int dK_n(x) = s_n^2.$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed. We have

$$\Psi_n(u) = \int g(u, x) dK_n(x) \text{ with } g(u, x) = \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly g is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$ (with $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$) and for u fixed, $g(u, 0)$ is the extension of $g(u, x)$ by limit, since an expansion at zero gives

$$g(u, x) = \frac{1 + iux - u^2x^2/2 - 1 - iux + O(x^3)}{x^2} \rightarrow -u^2/2 \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

So, for u fixed, $x \mapsto g(u, x)$ is continuous everywhere. Moreover we have

$$(3.2) \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^*, |g(u, x)| \leq \frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{|u|}{|x|}$$

and

$$\int x^{-2} dK_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int dF_{k,n}(x) = k(n),$$

and, by using $(|x| \leq 1 + x^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |x|^{-1} dK_n(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int \frac{x^2}{|x|} dF_{k,n}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int |x| dF_{k,n}(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int (1 + x^2) dF_{k,n}(x) \\ &= k(n) + s_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $g(u, x)$ is bounded by $g_0(x) = 2x^{-2} + |ux^{-1}|$ which is K_n -integrable. So by the dominated convergence theorem, Ψ_n is continuous at zero. Also, as an improper Riemann-Stieltjes integral, for $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, we can find a number $A > 0$ such that for $a \geq A$

$$|\psi_n(u) - \Psi_{n,a}(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ with } \Psi_{n,a}(u) = \int_{-a}^a g(u, x) dK_n(x).$$

Now, since $\Psi_{n,a}(u)$ is continuous, it a limit of a sequence of of Riemann-Stieltjes sums: there exists a partition of $[-a, a]$

$$-a = x_{0,p} < \cdots < x_{j-1,p} < x_{j,p} < \cdots < x_{\ell(p),p} = a$$

and a sequence of points $c_{j,p} \in (x_{j,p}, x_{j+1,p})$, $0 \leq j \leq \ell(p) - 1$,

$$S_p(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell(p)-1} \{K_n(x_{j+1,p}) - K_n(x_{j,p})\} g(u, c_{j,p}) \rightarrow \Psi_{n,a}(u),$$

as $\max\{x_{j+1,p} - x_{j,p}, 1 \leq j \leq \ell(p) - 1\} \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$. We may choose all the $c_{j,p}$ not null from the interior of $(x_{j,p}, x_{j+1,p})$ ($x_{j,p} < x_{j+1,p}$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(u) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell(p)-1} \frac{\lambda_{K_n}([x_{j,p}, x_{j+1,p}])}{c_{j,p}^2} \left(e^{ic_{j,p}u} - 1 - ic_{j,p}u \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell(p)-1} -i \frac{\lambda_{K_n}([x_{j,p}, x_{j+1,p}])}{c_{j,p}^2} c_{j,p}u + \frac{\lambda_{K_n}([x_{j,p}, x_{j+1,p}])}{c_{j,p}^2} \left(e^{ic_{j,p}u} - 1 \right) \\ &=: \sum_{j=0}^{\ell(p)-1} -i\mu_{j,p}u + \lambda_{j,p} \left(e^{ic_{j,p}u} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\lambda_{j,p} = \frac{\lambda_{K_n}([x_{j,p}, x_{j+1,p}])}{c_{j,p}^2} \text{ and } \mu_{j,p} = \frac{\lambda_{K_n}([x_{j,p}, x_{j+1,p}])}{c_{j,p}^2} c_{j,p}.$$

We clearly see that $\exp(S_p)$ is the product of Poisson type *cha.f* converging to $\exp(\Psi_n)$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$ and $a \rightarrow +\infty$. But we also have that $\exp(\Psi_n)$ is continuous. So by the Lévy continuity theorem, $\exp(\Psi_n)$ is a *cha.f* and it is *idecomp* by Property 4 (see page 12).

Let us study the differentiability of Ψ_n . We have

$$\left| \frac{\partial g(u, x)}{\partial x} \right| = \left| \frac{ix(e^{iux} - 1)}{x^2} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|x|} \in \mathcal{L}^1(K_n),$$

and hence

$$\Psi'_n(u) = \int \frac{ix(e^{iux} - 1)}{x^2} dK_n(x) \text{ and } \Psi'_n(0) = 0.$$

Also

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 g(u, x)}{\partial^2 x} \right| = \left| \frac{-x^2 e^{iux}}{x^2} \right| = 1 \in \mathcal{L}^1(K_n),$$

and hence

$$\Psi_n''(u) = - \int e^{iux} dK_n(x) \text{ and } \Psi_n''(0) = -s_n^2.$$

Finally, let Z_n be a *r.v.* with *ch.f.* $\exp(\Psi_n)$. The first and second derivatives of $\exp(\Psi_n)$ are

$$\Psi_n'(u) \exp(\Psi_n(u)) \text{ and } \{ \Psi_n''(u) \exp(\Psi_n(u)) + (\Psi_n'(u))^2 \exp(\Psi_n(u)) \}$$

taking the values

$$\Psi_n'(0) \exp(\Psi_n(0)) = 0 \text{ and } \{ \Psi_n''(0) \exp(\Psi_n(0)) + (\Psi_n'(0))^2 \exp(\Psi_n(0)) \} = -s_n^2.$$

We conclude that $\mathbb{E}Z_n = 0$ and $\mathbb{V}ar(Z_n) = s_n^2$. The relation

$$\Psi_n''(u) = - \int e^{iux} dK_n(x) \quad (C)$$

shows that $\Psi_n''(u)$ characterizes K_n and vice-versa. Now, for two functions Ψ_n and Φ_n such that $\Psi_n'' = \Phi_n''$ with $\Psi_n(0) = \Phi_n(0) = 0$ and $\Psi_n'(0) = \Phi_n'(0) = 0$, we have

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi_n'(u) = \Phi_n'(u) + d_1,$$

and by applying this for $u = 0$, we get $d_1 = 0$. Next, we have

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi_n(u) = \Phi_n(u) + d_2,$$

and by applying this for $u = 0$, we get $d_2 = 0$. So $\Psi = \Phi$ and we have the following fact.

Fact 1. K_n characterizes Ψ_n and vice-versa. ■

4. THE WEAK CONVERGENCE THEOREM OF SUMMANDS UNDER THE *BVH* AND THE *UAN* CONDITION

4.1. The Central limit theorem for centered, independent and square integrable random variables.

We are going to conclude the discussion above to find solutions of the *CLT* problem under the *BVH* and the *UAN* Condition. We will have two studies from which of them we draw a final conclusion.

Study (A). From Lemma 2 and from the notations above, we have

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \Psi_{S_n}(t) - \exp(\Psi_n(t)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

But $\exp(\Psi_n(\circ))$ is an *idecomp chaf* for any $n \geq 1$ and is linked to

$$(4.1) \quad \Psi_n(u) = \int g(u, x) d\lambda_{K_n}(x) \text{ with } g(u, x) = \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where λ_{K_n} is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated with the *df* K_n . Now, we are using the weak convergence theory of bounded measures on \mathbb{R} as exposed Chapter 6 in [Lo et al. \(2016\)](#).

Direct part. Let us suppose that λ_{K_n} pre-weakly converges to some *df* λ_K , i.e., (for $C(K)$ standing for the set continuity points of K),

$$\forall x \in C(K), \quad K_n(x) \rightarrow K(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By Part (i) of Proposition 37 in Chapter 6 in [Lo et al. \(2016\)](#), we have

$$\lambda_K(\mathbb{R}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{K_n}(\mathbb{R}) \leq c,$$

since, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_{K_n}(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int x^2 dF_{k,n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mathbb{V}ar(X_{k,n}) \leq c$$

from the *BVH*. Hence the pre-weak limit λ_K is a bounded measure. Now we apply the integral Helly-Bray theorem as in Theorem 30 in Chapter 6 in [Lo et al. \(2016\)](#) to (4.1) (See above). By (3.2), for any fixed real number

u , the function $g(u, x)$ (in s) in (4.1) is continuous and satisfies $g(\pm\infty) = 0$. So by the cited Helly-Bray integral theorem, we have

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \Psi_n(u) \rightarrow \Psi_K(u) = \int g(u, x) d\lambda_K(x) =: \int \frac{e^{ix} - 1 - iux}{x^2} d\lambda_K(x).$$

Now, from the expression of $\Psi_K(u)$ and from (3.2), we see that $\Psi_K(u)$ is a parametrized (in u) integral and by the dominated convergence theorem, $\Psi_K(u)$ is continuous and $\Psi_K(0) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \exp(\Psi_n(u)) \rightarrow \exp(\Psi_K(u)) =: f_K(u).$$

Since $f_K(\circ)$ is continuous at *zero* and $f_K(0) = 1$, we get by the Lévy continuity theorem (See Theorem 11 in Chapter 3 in Lo et al. (2016)), we conclude that f_K is *cha.f* and by designating by \mathcal{K}_K the probability law associated to the *cha.f* f_K , we have

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_K.$$

By Property 4 (see page 11 above), \mathcal{K} is an *idecomp* probability law, following the fact that each $\exp(\Psi_n(\circ))$, $n \geq 1$, is an *idecomp cha.f*.

Indirect Part. Suppose that for some *df* K_0 ,

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_{K_0},$$

where \mathcal{K}_{K_0} is the probability law associated to K_0 . We are going to use a Prohorov's type argument. By the asymptotic tightness theorem (See Theorem 29 in Lo et al. (2016)), any sub-sequence $(\lambda_{K_{n_j}})_{j \geq 1}$ of $(\lambda_{K_n})_{n \geq 1}$ contains a sub-sequence $(\lambda_{K_{n_{j_\ell}}})_{\ell \geq 1}$ pre-weakly converging to some λ_{K^*} . By the direct part,

$$S_{n_{j_\ell}} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_{K^*},$$

where \mathcal{K}_{K^*} is associated to a *cha.f* $f_{K^*} = \exp(\Psi_{K^*})$, with

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \exp(\Psi_{n_{j_\ell}}(u)) \rightarrow \exp(\Psi_{K^*}(u)) =: f_{K^*}(u)$$

and

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \Psi_{K^*}(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} d\lambda_{K^*}(x).$$

By uniqueness of the weak limit, $\mathcal{K}_{K^*} =_d \mathcal{K}_{K_0}$. Then each sub-sequence of $(K_n)_{n \geq 1}$ contains a sub-sequence converging to K_0 . We conclude that by Prohorov theorem

$$K_n \rightsquigarrow_{pre} K_0.$$

In both parts, $\mathbb{V}ar(K) < +\infty$ and by Fact 1 applied to K , we may conclude that K and Ψ_K characterizes one the other.

We conclude as follows.

Theorem 1. *Under the BVH and the UAN Condition for summands of independent, centered and square integrable real valued random variables, we have:*

(a) *If*

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K},$$

where \mathcal{K} is a probability law, then \mathcal{K} is idecomp.

(b) *For any idecomp probability law \mathcal{K} of a centered and square integrable random variable Z , for which for any $n \geq 1$, there exists $X_{1,n}, \dots, X_{n,n}$ independent and of same law (they are necessarily centered and square integrable) such that*

$$Z = X_{1,n} + \dots + X_{n,n} =: S_n.$$

Then clearly, \mathcal{K} is a weak limit of summands of independent, centered and square integrable real valued random variables under the BVH and the UAN Condition.

(3) *We have, under the BVH and the UAN Condition,*

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_K,$$

for some df K , if and only if (using the notation stated above)

$$K_n \rightsquigarrow_{pre} K.$$

Moreover

$$\Psi_K(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK$$

and K characterize each other, and $\exp(\Psi_K(\circ))$ is the characteristic function of \mathcal{K}_K .

Study (B). Here, we suppose that the *VCH* and the *UAN* Condition hold. We begin by remarking that the Comparison Lemma 1 holds since formula (3.1) (page 14) holds with the use of the *VCH* in Line (L4).

Direct part. Let $K_n \rightsquigarrow K$. In particular $K_n \rightsquigarrow_{pre} K$. By the direct part of *Study (A)*, we still have

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda_K(\mathbb{R}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{K_n}(\mathbb{R}) = c,$$

and

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_K.$$

Actually, by weak convergence, we exactly have

$$\lambda_K(\mathbb{R}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{K_n}(\mathbb{R}) = c,$$

but this not play any role for the direct part.

Indirect part. Let

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_K,$$

for some $d_f K$. By the indirect part of *Study (A)*, we still have

$$K_n \rightarrow_{pre} K.$$

Now if, for $Z \sim \mathcal{K}_K$ such that $\mathbb{V}ar(Z) = c$, we have that $\lambda_K(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{V}ar(Z)$ and then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{K_n}(\mathbb{R}) = \lambda_K(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } K_n \rightarrow_{pre} K.$$

By Proposition 37 in Chapter 6 in [Lo et al. \(2016\)](#), we conclude that $K_n \rightsquigarrow K$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

We conclude as follows.

Theorem 2. *Under the VCH and the UAN Condition for summands of independent, centered and square integrable real valued random variables, we have the following characterization. If \mathcal{K}_K is associated with a random variable Z such that $\mathbb{V}ar(Z) = c$, where c is the limit in the VCH, then we have*

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_K,$$

if and only if

$$K_n \rightsquigarrow K.$$

4.2. The Central limit theorem for non-centered, independent and square integrable random variables.

Let us re-conduct all the notations in Subsection 4.1. Let us denote

$$\left(\forall n \geq 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq k(n), \mathbb{E}X_{k,n} = a_{k,n} \right) \text{ and } \left(\forall n \geq 1, \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} a_{k,n} = a_n \right)$$

Let us write

$$\forall n \geq 1, S_n = (S_n - a_n) + a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} (X_{k,n} - a_{k,n}) + a_n =: S_n^* + a_n.$$

Let us denote by $F_{k,n}^*$ the cdf of $(X_{k,n} - a_{k,n})$ for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq k(n)$,

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \Psi_{K^*}(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK^*(x)$$

and

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi_{K_n^*}(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK_n^*(x)$$

with

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad K_n^*(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x y^2 \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} dF_{k,n}^*(y).$$

Direct part. If $K_n^*(x) \rightsquigarrow_{pre} K^*$ and $a_n \rightarrow a$, then

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_{K^*} + a = \mathcal{K}_0.$$

Moreover, the *ch.f* of \mathcal{K}_{K^*} is $\exp(\Psi_{K^*}(\circ))$ and next the *ch.f* of \mathcal{K}_0 is

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi(u) = \exp\left(iau + \Psi_{K^*}(u)\right).$$

Indirect part. Suppose that

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_0,$$

where \mathcal{K}_0 is associated with an *a.s* finite random variable Z . Then $b = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n$ is finite. Otherwise consider a sub-sequence $a_{n_\ell} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\ell \rightarrow +\infty$. So $S_{n_\ell} = S_{n_\ell}^* + a_{n_\ell}$ necessarily weakly converges to Z , where by Theorem 1, $S_{n_\ell}^* \rightsquigarrow Z^*$, of law \mathcal{K}_{K^*} and Z^* finite *a.s*, and hence Z is *a.s* infinite. Hence $b = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n$ if finite. Now, each sub-sequence of $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ contains a sub-sequence $(a_{n'})_{n' \geq 1}$ is converging to a finite. By the argument given above, $S_{n'}^*$ weakly converges to some \mathcal{K}_{K^*} . By prohorov's criteria, S_n^* weakly converges to \mathcal{K}_{K^*} and S_n weakly converges to $\mathcal{K}_{K^*} + a =_d \mathcal{K}_0$. The later inequality shows that all converging subsequences of $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converge to the same number a . Finally

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_{K^*} + a,$$

with $a_n \rightarrow a$. Let us summarize the discussions as follows.

Theorem 3. *Under the BVH and the UAN Condition for summands of independent and square integrable real valued random variables, we have the following characterization. Let us denote*

$$\left(\forall n \geq 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq k(n), \mathbb{E}X_{k,n} = a_{k,n} \right) \text{ and } \left(\forall n \geq 1, \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} a_{k,n} = a_n \right);$$

$$\forall n \geq 1, S_n = (S_n - a_n) + a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} (X_{k,n} - a_{k,n}) + a_n =: S_n^* + a_n;$$

$F_{k,n}^*$ the cdf of $(X_{k,n} - a_{k,n})$ for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq k(n)$;

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \Psi_{K^*}(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK^*(x)$$

and, finally,

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \Psi_{K_n^*}(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK_n^*(x)$$

with

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, K_n^*(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x y^2 \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} dF_n^*(y).$$

We have the following facts.

(i) If $K_n^* \rightsquigarrow_{pre} K^*$ and $a_n \rightarrow a$, then

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_{K^*} + a.$$

(ii) If

$$S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{K}_0$$

where \mathcal{K}_0 is associated to an a.s finite random variable Z , then the sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to a real number a and

$$\mathcal{K}_0 =_d \mathcal{K}_{K^*} + a$$

and

$$K_n^* \rightsquigarrow_{pre} K^*.$$

Moreover if the VCH holds at the place of the BVH and the variance of \mathcal{K}_{K^*} is equal to c , we have

$$K_n^* \rightsquigarrow K^*$$

in both parts (i) and (ii).

5. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF TWO IMPORTANT EXAMPLES

The two important limits of Gaussian law and Poisson law are very important. In stochastic analysis, these laws allow to represent some stochastic process into a discontinuous process (Poisson component) and a continuous process (Gaussian part).

5.1. Gaussian limit.

Let us suppose that the weak limit of the summands $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is the standard Gaussian law

$$\exp(\Psi_K(u)) = \exp(-u^2/2), \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

i.e.

$$(5.1) \quad \Psi_K(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK(x) = -\frac{u^2}{2}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But, for $\lambda_K = \delta_0$, that is, $K = 1_{[0, +\infty[}$, we have

$$\int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} d\delta_0(x) = \left[\frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} \right]_{x=0} = -\frac{u^2}{2}.$$

We are going to rediscover the Lévy-Lynderberg-Feller (L2F) theorem as stated in [Lo \(2018b\)](#) (See Theorem 20 in page ...).

Theorem 4. *Let $S_n = X_{1,n} + \cdots + X_{k(n),n}$ summands of centered and square integrable random variables as denoted above such that*

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \mathbb{V}ar(X_{k,n}) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2 = 1.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \geq 1$, let us denote the Lynderberg function as

$$(5.3) \quad g_n(\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(|x| \geq \varepsilon)} x^2 dF_{k,n}(x).$$

We have the following characterization:

$$(5.4) \quad S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \mathbb{V}ar(X_{k,n}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

if and only if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the following Lynderberg criterion holds:

$$(5.6) \quad g_n(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. Let us begin by linking the Lynderberg function as (5.3) with (5.5). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \mathbb{V}ar(X_{k,n}) &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int x^2 dF_{k,n}(x) \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int x^2 dF_{k,n}(x) \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(|x| \leq \varepsilon)} x^2 dF_{k,n}(x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(|x| > \varepsilon)} x^2 dF_{k,n}(x) \quad (L3) \\
 (5.7) \quad &= \varepsilon^2 + g_n(\varepsilon),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.2) in the first summation in Line (L3). By letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ first and next, by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get that the Lynderberg criterion implies (5.5). We have:

Fact 2. *The Lynderberg criterion (5.6) implies (5.5), which in turn implies the UAN hypothesis.*

Now, Let us prove both implications.

Direct implication. Suppose that (5.4) and (5.5) hold. So the BVH (by (5.2)) and the UAN Condition holds (by Fact 2). Actually the BVH (5.2) is also a VCH Conditions. So may apply both Theorems 1 and 2. By applying Theorem 1, we have

$$\forall x \in C(K), K_n(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{-\infty}^x y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 1_{(x \geq 0)}$$

since $1_{(x \geq 0)}$ is the df associated with δ_0 . Any $x > 0$ is in $C(K)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{-\infty}^x y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y > x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) &\rightarrow 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow 1 - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y > x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) &\rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\forall x > 0, g_{n,1}(x) := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y > x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Next, any $x < 0$ is in $C(K)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
K_n(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{-\infty}^x y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} x^2 \lambda_{F_{k,n}}(\{x\}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y < x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 0 \\
(5.8) \quad &\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y < x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

But, by Portmanteau Theorem (see Criterion (vi) of Theorem 2, page 47 in [Lo et al. \(2016\)](#)), $\lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) \rightarrow \lambda_K(\{x\})$ since $\partial\{x\} = \{x\}$ and hence $\lambda_K(\{x\}) = K(x) - K(x-0) = 0$ since $x \in C(K)$.

Hence

$$\forall x < 0, \quad g_{n,2}(x) := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y < x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 0.$$

By putting together the two last results, for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n(\varepsilon) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(|y| > \varepsilon)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y > \varepsilon)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y < -\varepsilon)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= g_{n,1}(\varepsilon) + g_{n,2}(-\varepsilon) \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of the indirect implication. Let (5.6) holds. So, by Fact 2, (5.5) holds and then the UAN is satisfied and the BVH is already satisfied as an hypothesis of the theorem. Still by Theorem 1, (5.4) holds whenever

$$(5.9) \quad \forall x \in C(K), \quad K_n(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{-\infty}^x y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \rightarrow 1_{(x \geq 0)}.$$

Let us prove (5.9), by exploiting (5.6). We have $C(F) = (x < 0) + (x > 0)$. For $x > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_n(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y \leq x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= 1 - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y > x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= 1 - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(|y| > x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= 1 - g_n(x) \\
&\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

For $x < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_n(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y \leq x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} x^2 \lambda_{F_{k,n}}(\{x\}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(y < x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} x^2 \lambda_{F_{k,n}}(\{x\}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(-y > -x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} x^2 \lambda_{F_{k,n}}(\{x\}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(|y| > -x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= \lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \int_{(|y| > -x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}(y) \\
&= \lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) + g_n(-x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by (5.6), $g_n(-x) \rightarrow 0$ and by using a similar technical in line (5.8), $\lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) \rightarrow 0$.

So (5.9) holds and we have proved (5.4) and (5.5). ■

5.2. Poisson limit.

The searched limit here is a translated Poisson law $\mathcal{P}(b, \lambda) = b + \mathbb{P}(\lambda)$, with $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 0$ of characteristic function

$$\exp(\Psi_K(u)) = \exp(ibu + \lambda(e^{iu} - 1)) = \exp(i(b + \lambda)u + \lambda(e^{iu} - 1 - iu)), \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

with

$$\Psi_K(u) = i(b + \lambda)u + \Psi_{K^*}(u), \quad \Psi_{K^*}(u) = \lambda(e^{iu} - 1 - iu),$$

where $\exp(\Psi_{K^*}(u))$ is the *char.f* of the centered Poisson law $\mathcal{P}^*(\lambda) = (\mathcal{P}(\lambda) - \lambda)$.

Let us state the characterization theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $S_n = X_{1,n} + \cdots + X_{k(n),n}$ be summands of independent and square integrable random variables. As above, let $a_{k,n} = \mathbb{E}X_{k,n}$ and let $F_{k,n}^*$ be the cdf of $X_{k,n} - a_{k,n}$. Let us introduce Lynderberg-type functions, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \geq 1$, as*

$$(5.10) \quad g_{n,pois}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{|x-1|>\varepsilon} x^2 dF_{k,n}^*(x).$$

Suppose that, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ with $\left(MVP(n) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2\right)$,

$$(5.11) \quad B_n = \max_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } MVP(n) \rightarrow \lambda.$$

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We have the following characterization.

$$(5.12) \quad S_n \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}(b, \lambda) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

if and only if,

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \mathbb{E}(X_{k,n}) = a_n \rightarrow a = b + \lambda \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the following Lynderberg Poisson-type criterion holds:

$$(5.14) \quad g_{n,pois}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. Based (5.11), the CVH and the UAN condition hold. We can apply Theorem 3. We study the limit of $\Psi_{K_n^*}(u)$, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$ to

$$\Psi_{K^*}(u) = \int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK^*(x) = \lambda(e^{iu} - 1 - iu).$$

Let $\lambda_{K^*} = \lambda\delta_1$, i.e., $K^*(x) = \lambda 1_{(x \geq 1)}$. Thus

$$\int \frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} dK^*(x) = \lambda \left[\frac{e^{iux} - 1 - iux}{x^2} \right]_{x=1} = \lambda(e^{iu} - 1 - iu), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof of the direct part. Suppose that (5.12) holds. Applying Theorem 3, where the probability law limit is associated with an a.s finite random variable, leads to

$$a_n \rightarrow b + \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad K_n^* \rightsquigarrow K^*.$$

So (5.13) holds. We also have that $K_n \rightsquigarrow K$ means:

$$\forall x \in C(K^*), \quad K_n^*(x) \rightarrow \lambda 1_{(x \geq 1)}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

since $C(K^*) = (x < 1) + (x > 1)$ and $\lambda_{K_n^*}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \lambda_{K^*}(\mathbb{R}) = \lambda$. For $x > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{y \leq x} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \rightarrow \lambda \\ \Leftrightarrow & \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(y > x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \rightarrow \lambda \\ \Leftrightarrow & \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{y > x} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \rightarrow \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $\int y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) = \text{Var}(X_{k,n} - a_{k,n}) = \sigma_{k,n}^2$ in the last line. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{y \leq x} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) &\rightarrow \lambda \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{y > x} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) &\rightarrow 0. \quad (L22) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon = x - 1 > 0$, (L22) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(y-1) > x-1} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \rightarrow 0,$$

which is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(|y-1| > x-1)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \rightarrow 0,$$

that is

$$g_{n,pois}(x-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Next, For $x < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{y \leq x} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k(n)} x^2 \lambda_{F_{k,n}}(\{x\}) + \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(y < x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{K_n^*}(\{x\}) + \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(1-y > 1-x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(|1-y| > 1-x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $\lambda_{K_n^*}(\{x\}) \rightarrow 0$ (as shown in line (5.8) above) in the last line. Hence

$$g_{n,pois}(1-x) \rightarrow 0.$$

By combining these results, we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$, by taking either $x-1 = \varepsilon$ (for $x > 1$) or $1-x = \varepsilon$ (for $x < 1$), we arrive at (5.14).

Proof of the indirect implication. Suppose that (5.13) and (5.14) are satisfied. Let us exploit (5.14). For $x > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(y \leq x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(y-1 > x-1)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2 - g_{n,pois}(x-1) \rightarrow \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

For $x < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(y \leq x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \\ &= \lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) + \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \int_{(|y-1| > 1-x)} y^2 dF_{k,n}^*(y) \\ &= \lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) + g_{n,pois}(1-x). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\lambda_{K_n}(\{x\}) \rightarrow 0$ is shown exactly as in the lines (5.8) above. Next $g_{n,pois}(1-x) \rightarrow 0$ is Assumption . Hence $K_n \rightsquigarrow_{pre} \lambda 1_{(0 \geq 1)}$. To complete the proof, we remark that for any $n \geq 1$, $K_n(-\infty) = 0$ and $K_n(+\infty) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2$. So

$$\lambda_{K_n}(\mathbb{R}) = K_n(+\infty) = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} \sigma_{k,n}^2 \rightarrow \lambda = \lambda_K(\mathbb{R}).$$

By Theorem 3, we conclude that (5.12) holds. ■

6. CONCLUSION

We hope that we have given a complete exposition of the theory of the weak limits of independent summands of square integrable random variables on \mathbb{R} . The next step will be a re-do of the same theory when the existence of the moments, even the first moment, is not required.

REFERENCES

- Billingsley, P.(1968). *Convergence of Probability measures*. John Wiley, New-York.
- Lo, G. S. (2017) *Measure Theory and Integration By and For the Learner*. SPAS Books Series. Saint-Louis, Senegal - Calgary, Canada. Doi : <http://dx.doi.org/10.16929/sbs/2016.0005>, ISBN : 978-2-9559183-5-7.
- Lo, G.S.(2016). *Weak Convergence (IA). Sequences of random vectors*. SPAS Books Series. Saint-Louis, Senegal - Calgary, Canada. Doi : 10.16929/sbs/2016.0001. Arxiv : 1610.05415. ISBN : 978-2-9559183-1-9
- Lo, G.S.(2016). *A Course on Elementary Probability Theory*. SPAS Editions. Saint-Louis, Calgary, Abuja. Doi : 10.16929/sbs/2016.0003.
- Lo, G.S.(2018). *Mathematical Foundation of Probability Theory*. SPAS Books Series. Saint-Louis, Senegal - Calgary, Canada. Doi : <http://dx.doi.org/10.16929/sbs/2016.0008>. Arxiv : arxiv.org/pdf/1808.01713
- Michel Loève (1997). *Probability Theory I*. Springer Verlag. Fourth Edition.