Reachability of Dimension-Bounded Linear Systems

Yiliang Li\textsuperscript{a}, Jun-e Feng\textsuperscript{a}, Jinjin Li\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a}School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, P.R. China
\textsuperscript{b}School of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou, Fujian 363000, P.R. China

Abstract
This paper investigates the reachability of dimension-bounded linear systems. First, the invariant time point $t^*$ and state dimensions of dimension-bounded linear systems are considered. Then two results about the $t$-step reachable subspace are presented according to properties of V-product and V-addition. In addition, an annihilator polynomial is used to discuss the relationship between the invariant space and the reachable subset after the invariant time point. Furthermore, an example is provided to show the relationship between reachable subspaces at times $t^* + i$ and $t^* + j$, $i \neq j$.
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1. Introduction

Cross-dimensional systems are also called dimension-varying systems or dimension free systems \cite{4}. Some mathematic models with different dimensions can be described as cross-dimensional systems, such as biological systems \cite{16}, electric power generators \cite{18}, vehicle clutch systems \cite{7}. Four phenomena appeared in the spacecraft formation \cite{20}, docking, undocking, departure and participation, are also engineering examples of cross-dimensional systems. Take participation as an example. Some new spacecrafts join in the...
formation in some modes, or the departed space crafts come back. In these cases, their states are treated as new ones and considered in the next mode. Thus, state dimensions increase in the next mode. As a classical way to handle dimension-varying systems, reference [23] used the switched system approach to model the whole flying process of spacecraft formation.

Hybrid systems, reflected the interaction of continuous- and discrete-time dynamics [14], were also used to study dimension-varying systems via using a given reset map [15]. From the evolution of hybrid systems, there are at least two subsystems in a hybrid system. When an event occurs, the hybrid system switches from the current discrete mode to a new discrete mode. Consider a discrete dynamic model which generates the sequence of modes as the switching signal defined in a switched system. Hybrid systems can be viewed as switched systems [17]. Thus, the most basic way to deal with dimension-varying systems is to switch. However, [7] gave some engineering examples to show that this method ignores the dynamics of the system during the dimension-varying process. Hence, the motivation of cross-dimensional systems is to investigate the transient process of dimension-varying systems and establish unif ed form models for such systems.

Before addressing cross-dimensional systems, the first problem we encountered is how to connect spaces with different dimensions together. To solve this problem, Prof. Cheng proposed some new concepts, which include semi-tensor product of matrices, M-addition of matrices, V-addition of vectors and V-product of matrices and vectors [3]. Based on these new concepts, cross-dimensional systems are modeled. As shown in [3], cross-dimensional systems are such systems, which can go cross spaces with different dimensions. After establishing cross-dimensional systems, the second problem is how to apply the system to handle the dynamics of the transient process of practical examples, most of which have invariant dimensions except the transient period. In the light of the proposed projection among spaces with different dimensions [6], reference [7] presented a technique to realize the dimension transient process, and provided an example to illustrate this design technique.

The reachability analysis of a dynamical system refers to compute such a set, which contains the entire state trajectories of the system starting from uncertain initial conditions and driven by uncertain inputs. Up to now, much existing literature concerns reachability analysis of dynamical systems, such as linear systems [6, 21, 23], singular systems [11], switched systems [2, 8, 12], hybrid systems [19] and so on. Besides, the reachability plays an important...
role in practical problems \cite{1, 13, 22, 24}. Since the reachability of dynamical systems occupies a significant position in both theoretical developments and practical applications, it is meaningful to study the reachability of cross-dimensional systems.

Because state dimensions of cross-dimensional systems vary with time, it is necessary to discuss state dimensions of cross-dimensional systems, when we take into account the reachability of cross-dimensional systems. Reference \cite{5} points out that cross-dimensional systems are classified into two cases: dimension-unbounded systems and dimension-bounded ones. For the former one, after a certain time, state dimensions not only increase with time but also go to infinity \cite{5}. Reference \cite{10} concerned the increase time and state dimensions of dimension-unbounded linear systems. For the later one, state dimensions are invariant after a certain time $t^*$, which is called the invariant time point. It means that the trajectory of the system enters to an invariant space. A recursive formula presented in \cite{5} was used to compute the dimension of state at each time. However, using this recursive formula, the dimension of state at time $t$ can be obtained, when dimensions of states before time $t$ are all calculated. Hence, giving an approach to compute state dimensions directly draws our attention. Reference \cite{26} considered state dimensions of dimension-bounded linear systems after time $t^*$. But the invariant time point $t^*$ and state dimensions before time $t^*$ are not studied.

In this paper, the reachability of dimension-bounded linear systems is considered by discussing state dimensions and reachable subsets. The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

1. The invariant time point $t^*$ and state dimensions of dimension-bounded linear systems before time $t^*$ are calculated.

2. Based on properties of V-product and V-addition, an approach to obtain the $t$-step reachable subspace is given. In order to determine whether a state belongs to the $t$-step reachable subspace, a necessary and sufficient condition is proposed.

3. An annihilator polynomial is used to discuss the relationship between the invariant space and the reachable subset after time $t^*$.

4. An example is provided to show the relationship between reachable subspaces at times $t^* + i$ and $t^* + j$, $i \neq j$.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, some mathematical results about V-product and V-addition are discussed. Section 3 concerns state dimensions and reachable subsets of dimension-bounded linear systems. Section 4 gives some concluding remarks.
Before ending this section, we provide a list of notations, which will be used throughout this paper.

- $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$ is the set of real matrices with $m \times n$ dimensions. Denote $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$.
- $\mathcal{V}_n$ is the set of real column vectors with $n$ dimensions. Denote $\mathcal{V} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n$.
- $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of all non-negative integers. $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of all real numbers.
- $lcm(m, n)$ represents the least common multiple of $m$ and $n$.
- $a \mid b$ ($a \nmid b$) means that integer $a$ is (is not) a divisor of integer $b$.
- $0$ is a null matrix. $\delta_i^n$ is the $i$th column of identity matrix $I_n$. $1_{m \times n} := [1_m, \ldots, 1_m] \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, where $1_m := [1, \ldots, 1]^T \in \mathcal{V}_m$.
- Given two matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}, B \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}$. The Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $A \otimes B$.
- $span\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_s\} = \{k_1\alpha_1 + k_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + k_s\alpha_s | \forall k_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, s\}$.

2. Mathematical results about V-product and V-addition

V-addition of vectors and V-product of matrices and vectors are main tools to address cross-dimensional systems. As new concepts, many related mathematical results are not studied. Although these results are similar to that of conventional vector addition and conventional product of matrices and vectors, it is still necessary to prove them carefully. To investigate the reachability of dimension-varying linear systems, this section discusses some properties of V-product and V-addition.

To begin with, definitions of V-product and V-addition are provided.

**Definition 2.1.** (1) Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}, x \in \mathcal{V}_r$, and $s = lcm(n, r)$. Then the vector(V-) product of $A$ and $x$, denoted by $\vec{x}$, is defined as

$$A\vec{x} := (A \otimes I_{s/n})(x \otimes 1_{s/r}).$$

(2) Let $x \in \mathcal{V}_n, y \in \mathcal{V}_r$ and $s = lcm(n, r)$. Then the vector(V-) addition $\vec{+} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ of $x$ and $y$ is defined as

$$x \vec{+} y := (x \otimes 1_{s/n}) + (y \otimes 1_{s/r}).$$
If \( n = r \), then \( A\vec{x} = Ax \) and \( x\vec{+}y = x+y \). That is, \( V \)-addition and linear mapping defined in (11) are generalizations of conventional vector addition and conventional linear mapping, respectively. The following lemmas were proved in reference [4].

**Lemma 2.1.** [4] Consider \( V \)-product \( \vec{\times} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \). It is linear with respect to the second variable, precisely, \( A\vec{\times}(ax\vec{+}by) = aA\vec{\times}x\vec{+}bA\vec{\times}y, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Lemma 2.2.** [4] For any two matrices \( A, B \in \mathcal{M} \) and any vector \( x \in \mathcal{V} \), it holds that \( (A \vec{\times} B)\vec{x} = A\vec{\times}(B\vec{x}) \). Moreover, we denote \( A^n\vec{x} = (A \vec{\times} \cdots \vec{\times} A)\vec{x} = A\vec{\times}(A\vec{\times} \cdots \vec{\times}(A\vec{\times} x)) \).

Based on Lemma 2.1, we provide the following proposition, which is useful when considering the \( t \)-step reachable subspace of dimension-bounded linear systems.

**Proposition 2.1.** Given a matrix \( A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n} \). Then \( R = \{ A\vec{x} | x \in \mathcal{V}_p \} \) is a linear space.

In this paper, annihilator polynomial is also applied to study reachability of dimension-bounded linear systems. Thus, some related results are proposed in the following.

**Definition 2.2.** [4] Given a matrix \( A \in \mathcal{M} \), a vector \( x \in \mathcal{V} \) and a polynomial

\[
q(z) = z^n + c_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1z + c_0. \tag{2}
\]

(1) \( q(z) \) is called an \( A \)-annihilator of \( x \), if

\[
q(A)\vec{x} := A^n\vec{x}\vec{+}c_{n-1}A^{n-1}\vec{x}\vec{+}\cdots\vec{+}c_1A\vec{x}\vec{+}c_0x = 0.
\]

(2) Assume \( q(z) \) is the \( A \)-annihilator of \( x \) with minimum degree, then \( q(z) \) is called the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( x \).

**Remark 2.1.** Consider polynomial [2]. The positive integer \( n \) is called the degree of polynomial [2]. Given a matrix \( A \) and a vector \( x \in \mathcal{V} \), combining with Definition 2.2 if \( q(z) \) is the \( A \)-annihilator of \( x \) with minimum degree, then \( q(z) \) is an \( A \)-annihilator of \( x \), and each polynomial with degree less than \( n \) is not an \( A \)-annihilator of \( x \).
Given a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times km}$. For each $x \in \mathcal{V}$, Corollary 256 of reference [4] points out that there exists at least one $A$-annihilator of $x$. Based on Example 260 of reference [4], we present a constructive proof of this result, which is shown in Proposition 2.2. To this end, the definition of invariant space is given.

**Definition 2.3.** [4] Given $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, vector space $\mathcal{V}_r$ is called an $A$-invariant space if $A\vec{x} \in \mathcal{V}_r$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{V}_r$.

Given a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times km}$. For each vector $x \in \mathcal{V}$, reference [4] proves that $x, A\vec{x}, \ldots, A^i\vec{x}, \ldots$ will enter an $A$-invariant space at finite steps. This point is important for the proof of Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 2.2.** Given a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times km}$. Then for each vector $x \in \mathcal{V}$, there exist an integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set of coefficients $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1}$ such that polynomial $q(z) = z^i + c_{i-1} z^{i-1} + \cdots + c_1 z + c_0$ is the minimal $A$-annihilator of $x$.

**Proof.** If $x = \vec{0}$, then the minimal $A$-annihilator of $x$ is $q(z) = 1$. Otherwise, the minimal $A$-annihilator of $x$ is obtained by the following steps.

Let $x_0 = x \in \mathcal{V}_r$ and $x_1 = A\vec{x} x_0 \in \mathcal{V}_r$. Then we calculate $y_0 = x_0 \otimes 1_{t_1/r_0}$ and $y_1 = x_1 \otimes 1_{t_1/r_1}$, where $t_1 = lcm(r_0, r_1)$. If there exist $c'_0, c'_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c'_1 \neq 0$ such that $c'_1 A\vec{x} x_0 \vec{c'_0} x_0 = c'_1 x_1 \vec{c'_0} x_0 = c'_1 y_1 + c'_0 y_0 = \vec{0}$, then the minimal $A$-annihilator of $x_0$ is $q(z) = z + c_0$, where $c_0 = \frac{c'_1}{c'_1}$. Otherwise, repeat this process until getting a set of coefficients $c'_0, c'_1, \ldots, c'_i, c'_j \neq 0$ satisfying $c'_i A\vec{x} x_0 \vec{c'_i} + c'_{i-1} A^{i-1} \vec{x} x_0 \vec{c'_i} + \cdots + c'_1 A\vec{x} x_0 \vec{c'_i} = \vec{0}$. Since $x_0, A\vec{x} x_0, \ldots, A^i\vec{x} x_0$ will enter an $A$-invariant space at finite steps, one sees that $\{c'_0, c'_1, \ldots, c'_i\}$ is a finite set. Therefore, the minimal $A$-annihilator of $x_0$ is $q(z) = z^i + c_{i-1} z^{i-1} + \cdots + c_1 z + c_0$, where $c_j = \frac{c'_j}{c'_1}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, i-1$.

From the proof of Proposition 2.2 it is not hard to find that for any $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$ and $x \neq y$, the annihilator polynomial of $x$ may not be equal to that of $y$. Hence, we define the annihilator polynomial of subset $U \subset \mathcal{V}$, which is the generalization of conventional annihilator polynomial.

**Definition 2.4.** Given a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times km}$, a subset $U \subset \mathcal{V}_r$ and a polynomial

$$q(z) = z^n + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1 z + c_0.$$
Then a that an q

is the minimal annihilator polynomial of polynomial. The following proposition proposes an approach to derive the minimal annihilator polynomial of U.

Given a matrix \( A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n} \). Assume \( q(z) \) is an A-annihilator of \( V_n \). Then \( q(z) \) is an A-annihilator of \( \delta_i^n \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), i.e., \( q(A)\delta_i^n = 0 \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Hence, \( 0 = [q(A)\delta_1^n, q(A)\delta_2^n, \ldots, q(A)\delta_n^n] = q(A)I_n = q(A) \). That is, \( q(z) \) is a conventional annihilator polynomial. Therefore, we conclude that an A-annihilator of \( V_n \) is the generalization of conventional annihilator polynomial. The following proposition proposes an approach to derive the minimal annihilator polynomial of \( V_n \).

**Proposition 2.3.** Given a matrix \( A \in \mathcal{M} \). Suppose \( q_j(z) \) is the minimum A-annihilator of \( \delta_i^n \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Then \( q(z) = \text{lcm}(q_1(z), q_2(z), \ldots, q_n(z)) \) is the minimum A-annihilator of \( V_n \).

**Proof.** Obviously, \( q(z) \) is an A-annihilator of \( \delta_i^n \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). For each \( x \in V_n \), denote \( x = k_1\delta_1^n + k_2\delta_2^n + \cdots + k_n\delta_n^n \). Then \( q(A)\vec{x} = q(A)\vec{k}(k_1\vec{\delta}_1^n + k_2\vec{\delta}_2^n + \cdots + k_n\vec{\delta}_n^n) = k_1q(A)\vec{\delta}_1^n + k_2q(A)\vec{\delta}_2^n + \cdots + k_nq(A)\vec{\delta}_n^n = 0 \). Hence, \( q(z) \) is an A-annihilator of \( x \). Due to the arbitrariness of \( x \), \( q(z) \) is an A-annihilator of \( V_n \).

Assume \( f(z) \) is the minimum A-annihilator of \( V_n \). It is obvious that \( f(z) \mid q(z) \). Since \( q_i(z) \) is the minimum A-annihilator of \( \delta_i^n \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), one can see that \( q_i(z) \mid f(z), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). That is, \( f(z) \) is a common multiple of \( q_i(z), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Thus, we have \( q(z) \mid f(z) \), which means that \( f(z) = aq(z), a \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0 \). From the proof above, we find that \( q(z) \) is the minimum A-annihilator of \( V_n \).

In fact, for each linear space \( U \), if \( U = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) and \( q_i(z) \) is the minimal A-annihilator of \( x_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), then \( q(z) = \text{lcm}(q_1(z), \ldots, q_n(z)) \) is the minimal A-annihilator of \( U \).

### 3. Reachability analysis

Given a matrix \( A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n} \), consider the corresponding cross-dimensional system

\[
x(t + 1) = Ax(t), x(0) = x_0.
\]  

(3)
The cross-dimensional system is called a time invariant discrete linear pseudo dynamical system \([5]\). Combined with Definition 2.3, dimension-bounded linear systems are defined.

**Definition 3.1.** \([5]\) Consider system (3), matrix \(A\) is called a dimension-bounded operator, if for any \(x(0) = x_0 \in \mathcal{V}_p\), there exist a \(t^* > 0\) and an \(r^*\), such that \(x(t) \in V_{r^*}, t \geq t^*\). Then \(V_{r^*}\) is called the invariant space of system (3). Additionally, system (3) is also called a dimension-bounded linear system.

The following lemma gives a necessary and sufficient condition about dimension-bounded operators.

**Lemma 3.1.** \([4]\) \(A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}\) is dimension-bounded if and only if \(m \mid n\).

For a dimension-bounded operator \(A\), it is reasonable that we assume \(A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times km}\). Then system (3) becomes a dimension-bounded linear system.

### 3.1. The discussion of state dimension

Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). Since state dimensions before the invariant time point \(t^*\) vary with time, it is necessary to discuss state dimensions of system (3), when we take into account the reachability of dimension-bounded linear systems.

**Example 3.1.** Consider a given dimension-bounded linear system \(x(t+1) = A\vec{x}x(t)\) with \(A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times km}\) and initial value \(x(0) \in \mathcal{V}_p\). The change of state dimension \(r(t)\) is shown in Table 1.

From Table 1 the following conclusions are derived.

1. State dimensions before the invariant time point \(t^*\) decrease with time.
2. One obtains \(m \mid r(t)\).
3. There may be a factor \(m_1\) of \(m\) such that \(mm_1 \mid r(t)\) holds.
4. Comparing \(r(t)\) with \(r(t-1), t \leq t^*\), it is easy to see that \(r(t-1) = k_1lr(t)\), where \(k_1 \mid k, l \in \mathbb{N}, l \neq 0\).
5. If \(k^ak_1 \mid r(t), k_1 \mid k, k_1 \mid m, a \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(k_1 = 1\), then \(k_1 \mid r(t+1), \cdots, k_1 \mid r(t+a), k_1 \mid r(t+a), k_1 \mid r(t+a)\).

According to Example 3.1, it is necessary to consider the factorization of \(k, m\) and \(p\) before computing state dimensions of system (3). Assume \(k = k_1^{i_1}k_2^{i_2} \cdots k_\omega^{i_\omega}, m = m_1^{j_1}m_2^{j_2} \cdots m_\omega^{j_\omega}\), where \(k_i, m_j, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega, j = \)
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>state dimension</th>
<th>( m = 10 )</th>
<th>( m = 6 )</th>
<th>( m = 48 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>68040</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( r(t) \) | \( 2^3 \times 3^5 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3 \times 5 \) | \( 2^2 \times 3^2 \times 5^2 \times 7 \) |
| \( r(1) \)  | \( 2^2 \times 3^4 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3^3 \times 5 \) | \( 2^4 \times 3^3 \times 5 \) |
| \( r(2) \)  | \( 2 \times 3^3 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3 \) | \( 2^4 \times 3^3 \) |
| \( r(3) \)  | \( 2 \times 3^2 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3 \) | \( 2^4 \times 3^3 \) |
| \( r(4) \)  | \( 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3 \) | \( 2^4 \times 3^3 \) |
| \( r(5) \)  | \( 2 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3 \) | \( 2^4 \times 3^3 \) |
| \( r(6) \)  | \( 2 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3 \) | \( 2^4 \times 3^3 \) |
| \( r(7) \)  | \( 2 \times 5 \times 7 \) | \( 2 \times 3 \) | \( 2^4 \times 3^3 \) |
| ...          | ...            | ...            | ...            |

1, 2, \ldots, \omega are prime numbers. Based on the following steps, \( p \) can be factorized.

1. Write \( p \) in form of \( p = k^\alpha p' \), where \( k \nmid p' \).

2. Write \( p' \) in form of \( p' = k^\beta_1 k^\beta_2 \cdots k^\beta_\omega p'' \), where \( k \nmid p'', i = 1, \ldots, \omega \).

Because \( k \nmid p' \), it is not hard to find that there is at least one positive integer \( l \) such that \( \beta_l < \mu_l \) holds.

3. Write \( p'' \) in form of \( p'' = m^\theta_1 m^\theta_2 \cdots m^\theta_\omega p_1 \), where \( m_i \nmid p_1, i = 1, \ldots, \omega \).

If there exists \( k_i = m_j \), then \( \theta_j = 0 \), i.e., \( m^\theta_j = 1 \). Hence, we assume \((m_i, k) = 1, i = 1, \ldots, \omega \).

In the light of the analysis above, Assumption 3.1 is presented.

**Assumption 3.1.** Assume \( k = k^\mu_1 k^\mu_2 \cdots k^\mu_\omega, m = m^\nu_1 m^\nu_2 \cdots m^\nu_\omega, \) where \( k_i, m_j, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega \) are prime numbers. Write \( p \) in form of

\[
p = k^\alpha k^\beta_1 k^\beta_2 \cdots k^\beta_\omega m^\theta_1 m^\theta_2 \cdots m^\theta_\omega p_1,
\]

where

- \( 0 < d \leq \omega, \beta_i < \mu_i, i \leq d; \)
- \( \beta_i = \tau_i \mu_i + \eta_i, \eta_i < \mu_i, i > d + 1, \tau_i \leq \tau_j, i < j; \)
- \( 0 < l \leq \omega; \nu_i > \theta_i, i \leq l; \nu_i \leq \theta_i, i > l; \)
- \( (p_1, km) = 1, (m_i, k) = 1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega; \)
- \( \{\mu_i, \nu_j, \alpha, \beta_i, \theta_j, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \omega \} \subset \mathbb{N}. \)

**Theorem 3.1.** For dimension-bounded linear system (3), under Assumption 3.1, state dimension \( r(t) \) can be computed directly:
(1) If \( t \leq \alpha \), then state dimension \( r(t) \) is
\[
    r(t) = mk^{\alpha - t} \prod_{i=1}^{\omega} k_i^{\beta_i} \prod_{j=l}^{\omega} m_j^{\theta_j - \nu_j} p_1.
\]

(2) Let \( \tau_d = 0 \). If \( \alpha + \tau_k < t \leq \alpha + \tau_{k+1}, k = d, d+1, \ldots, \varpi \), then state dimension \( r(t) \) is
\[
    r(t) = m \prod_{i=k+1}^{\omega} k_i^{(\tau_i + \alpha - t)\mu_i + \nu_i} \prod_{j=l}^{\omega} m_j^{\theta_j - \nu_j} p_1.
\]

(3) If \( t \geq \alpha + \tau_{\varpi} + 1 \), then state dimension \( r(t) \) is
\[
    r(t) = m \prod_{j=l}^{\omega} m_j^{\theta_j - \nu_j} p_1.
\]

Proof. The results can be derived by direct computations. \( \square \)

According to Theorem 3.1, the number of multiplication operations of state dimension \( r(t) \) is not more than \( \alpha + \varpi \beta + \omega \gamma + 3 \), where \( \beta = \max\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{\varpi}\} \) and \( \gamma = \max\{\theta_1 - \nu_1, \ldots, \theta_{\omega} - \nu_{\omega}\} \).

Consider system (3) with \( A \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 6} \). when \( x(0) \in \mathcal{V}_5 \), the invariant time point of system (3) is \( t^* = 1 \). While the invariant time point of system (3) is \( t^* = 2 \) if \( x(0) \in \mathcal{V}_{18} \). This example shows that the invariant time point \( t^* \) of system (3) depends on the dimension of initial value, which is also obtained via Theorem 3.1. Hence, we denote the invariant time point \( t^* \) as \( t^*(p) \) with \( p \) being the dimension of initial value.

Remark 3.1. By Theorem 3.1, the invariant time point \( t^* \) of system (3) can be calculated via formula \( t^*(p) = \alpha + \tau_{\varpi} + 1 \).

For a given vector space \( \mathcal{V}_r \), if there exists a time \( t \) such that \( x(t) \in \mathcal{V}_r \), then we called \( r \) a reachable dimension of system (3). According to Theorem 3.1, a method is given to judge whether \( r \) is a reachable dimension of system (3).

Corollary 3.1. Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). Given a vector space \( \mathcal{V}_r \).

(1) \( r = r^* = m \prod_{j=l}^{\omega} m_j^{\theta_j - \nu_j} p_1 \).
(2) \( \frac{r}{r^*} = k^{\alpha - t} \prod_{i=1}^{\omega} k_i^{\beta_i}, t \leq \alpha. \)

(3) \( \frac{r}{r^*} = \prod_{i=k+1}^{\omega} k_i^{(r_i + \alpha - t)\mu_i + \eta_i}, \alpha + \tau_k < t \leq \alpha + \tau_{k+1}, k = d, d + 1, \ldots, \omega. \)

If one of conditions above holds, then \( r \) is a reachable dimension of system (3).

3.2. Reachable subsets

On the basis of the results provided in Subsection 3.1, for a given initial space, all spaces with reachable dimensions can be obtained. Thus, we assume state \( x \) concerned in this subsection belongs to the space with a reachable dimension. The definition of reachability is proposed firstly.

**Definition 3.2.** Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). Given an initial space \( \mathcal{V}_p \).

(1) A state \( x \) is said to be \( t \)-step reachable, if there exists an initial value \( x(0) \in \mathcal{V}_p \) such that the trajectory of the system reaches \( x \) from \( x(0) \) at time \( t \).

(2) \( x \) is said to be reachable, if there exists an integer \( t \) such that \( x \) is \( t \)-step reachable.

(3) \( R \subset \mathcal{V} \) is called a reachable subset, if each state \( x \in R \) is reachable. Moreover, if \( R = \mathcal{V}_r \), then \( \mathcal{V}_r \) is called a reachable space.

Given a vector space \( \mathcal{V}_r \). Based on Corollary 3.1, one can judge whether \( r \) is a reachable dimension of system (3). If \( r \) is a reachable dimension of system (3), then is there an \( x \in \mathcal{V}_r \) such that \( x \) is not reachable? The answer is yes. The following example is employed to illustrate this point.

**Example 3.2.** Consider system (3), where \( x(0) \in \mathcal{V}_8 \) and \( A = 1_{2 \times 4} \). From Theorem 3.1, it is easy to see that \( x(1) \in \mathcal{V}_4 \) and \( x(t) \in \mathcal{V}_2, t \geq 2 \). Take \( x(1) = [2 - 1 2 - 1]^T \). Then we can check that the trajectory of the system reaches \( x(1) \) from \( x(0) = [2 - 1 0 1 1 - 1 - 1 0]^T \), i.e., \( x(1) \) is one-step reachable. Besides, take \( x(1) = [-1 2 1 - 2]^T \). Because \( x(1) = Ax(0) \) does not hold for any \( x(0) \in \mathcal{V}_8 \), \( x(1) \) is not reachable according to Definition 3.2. Therefore, \( \mathcal{V}_4 \) is not a reachable space.

Assume \( r \) is a reachable dimension of system (3). From the analysis above, there exists a subset \( R \subset \mathcal{V}_r \) such that each state \( x \in R \) is reachable, although \( \mathcal{V}_r \) is not a reachable space. If reachable subset \( R \) is a linear space,
then $R$ is called a reachable subspace. Proposition 2.1 shows that the $t$-step reachable subset of system (3) is a linear space. Based on Lemma 2.2, a method is provided to obtain the $t$-step reachable subspace of system (3).

**Theorem 3.2.** Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). Then the $t$-step reachable subspace of the system is $R_t = \text{span} \{ A^t \overrightarrow{\delta}_p^1, A^t \overrightarrow{\delta}_p^2, \ldots, A^t \overrightarrow{\delta}_p^p \}$.

To derive the $t$-step reachable subspace of dimension-bounded linear systems, up to $pt$ times matrix multiplications are required according to Theorem 3.2. For each matrix multiplication, the number of multiplication operations is not more than $\max\{2m^3k^{2t-1}, \frac{3a^2}{k^t}\}$, where $a = \text{lcm}(k^t m, p)$.

On the basis of Theorem 3.2, a necessary and sufficient condition about $x \in R_t$ is provided.

**Theorem 3.3.** Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). A state $x$ is the $t$-step reachable if and only if

$$\text{rank}(x, A^t \overrightarrow{\delta}_p^1, A^t \overrightarrow{\delta}_p^2, \ldots, A^t \overrightarrow{\delta}_p^p) = \text{dim } R_t,$$

where $\text{dim } R_t$ is the dimension of the $t$-step reachable subspace.

**Remark 3.2.** For a dimension-unbounded linear system, the results about the $t$-step reachable subspace also hold.

Suppose system (3) reaches the invariant space $\mathcal{V}_{r^*}$ at the invariant time point $t^*$. Then $\bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \subset \mathcal{V}_{r^*}$ is the reachable subset of system (3) after time $t^*$. What we concern is whether $\bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t$ equals $\mathcal{V}_{r^*}$. An annihilator polynomial is used to answer this question. To this end, an approach to obtain the minimum $A$-annihilator of $\bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t$ is given.

**Theorem 3.4.** Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). Suppose $q_i(z)$ is the minimum $A$-annihilator of $A^t \overrightarrow{\delta}_p^i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$. Then $q(z) = \text{lcm}(q_1(z), q_2(z), \ldots, q_p(z))$ is the minimum $A$-annihilator of $\bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t$.

**Proof.** The proof is obvious according to Proposition 2.3.

Based on Definition 2.4, a sufficient condition of $\bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \neq \mathcal{V}_{r^*}$ is proposed.

**Theorem 3.5.** Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). Suppose $q(z)$ is the minimum $A$-annihilator of $\bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \subset \mathcal{V}_{r^*}$. If $q(z)$ is not the minimum $A$-annihilator of $\mathcal{V}_{r^*}$, then $\bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \neq \mathcal{V}_{r^*}$.
Example 3.3. Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3), where \( V \not\subseteq \bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \) is not inclusion relation between reachable subspaces at times \( t^* \). Thus, \( x \notin \bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \) because \( q(z) \) is the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( \bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \). Therefore, \( \bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \notin V_{t^*} \). \( \square \)

From Theorem 3.5, a necessary condition about \( x \in \bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \) is presented.

**Corollary 3.2.** Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3). Suppose \( q(z) \) is the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( \bigcup_{t \geq t^*} R_t \subset V_{t^*} \). If a state \( x \) is reachable after time \( t^* \), then \( q(z) \) is the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( x \).

Before ending this section, an example is employed to show how to use the minimum annihilator polynomial to discuss reachability of dimension-bounded linear systems. Moreover, this example also illustrates that there is not inclusion relation between reachable subspaces at times \( t^* + i \) and \( t^* + j \), \( i \neq j \), and the intersection of \( R_{t^* + i} \) and \( R_{t^* + j} \) is not an empty set.

**Example 3.3.** Consider dimension-bounded linear system (3), where \( x(0) \in V_3 \) and \( A = [Col_1(I_2), Col_2(I_2), Col_1(I_3), 1_2] \), where \( Col_1(I_2) = [1 0]^T \) and \( Col_2(I_2) = [0 1]^T \). From subsection 3.1, one sees that the system reaches the invariant space \( V_6 \) after the invariant time point \( t^* = 1 \).

(1) We calculate the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( \bigcup_{t \geq 1} R_t \). Firstly, \( A^i \vec{k} \delta_3^i, i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \) can be computed. It is easy to see that \( \text{rank}(A^{i} \vec{k} \delta_3^i, A^2 \vec{k} \delta_3^2, A^3 \vec{k} \delta_3^3, A^4 \vec{k} \delta_3^4) = 3 \) and \( \text{rank}(A^{i} \vec{k} \delta_3^i, A^2 \vec{k} \delta_3^2, A^3 \vec{k} \delta_3^3, A^4 \vec{k} \delta_3^4) = 4, i = 1, 3 \). Moreover,

\[
\begin{align*}
A^5 \vec{k} \delta_3^1 &= 2A^4 \vec{k} \delta_3^1 + 2A^3 \vec{k} \delta_3^1 - 2A^2 \vec{k} \delta_3^1 - A \vec{k} \delta_3^1, \\
A^4 \vec{k} \delta_3^2 &= A^3 \vec{k} \delta_3^2 + 3A^2 \vec{k} \delta_3^2 + A \vec{k} \delta_3^2, \\
A^3 \vec{k} \delta_3^3 &= 2A^2 \vec{k} \delta_3^3 + 2A^2 \vec{k} \delta_3^3 - 2A^2 \vec{k} \delta_3^3 - A \vec{k} \delta_3^3.
\end{align*}
\]

Denote the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( A \vec{k} \delta_3^i \) by \( q_i(z) \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). Then we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1(z) &= z^4 - 2z^3 - 2z^2 + 2z + 1, \\
q_2(z) &= z^3 - z^2 - 3z - 1, \\
q_3(z) &= z^4 - 2z^3 - 2z^2 + 2z + 1.
\end{align*}
\]

According to Theorem 3.4, the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( \bigcup_{t \geq 1} R_t \) is \( q(z) = \text{lcm}(q_1(z), q_2(z), q_3(z)) = z^4 - 2z^3 - 2z^2 + 2z + 1 \). (2) From Proposition 2.3 we derive the minimum \( A \)-annihilator of \( V_6 \) is \( f(z) = z^6 - 2z^5 - 2z^4 + 2z^3 + z^2 \). Because \( f(z) = z^2 q(z) \), we have \( \bigcup_{t \geq 1} R_t \notin V_6 \).
(3) Take a subset $U = \text{span}\{A\vec{x}\delta_1^3, A\vec{x}\delta_2^3\}$. The minimum $A$-annihilator of $U$ is $q(z)$. Besides, $q(z)$ is also the minimum $A$-annihilator of $A\vec{x}\delta_3^3$. Since $\text{rank}(A\vec{x}\delta_1^3, A\vec{x}\delta_2^3, A\vec{x}\delta_3^3) = 3 > \dim U$, one knows that $A\vec{x}\delta_3^3 \not\in U$, which implies the condition that $q(z)$ is the minimum $A$-annihilator of $A\vec{x}\delta_3^3$ is not a sufficient condition of $A\vec{x}\delta_3^3 \in U$. Furthermore, for any $x \in \mathcal{V}_6$, the condition that $q(z)$ is the minimum $A$-annihilator of $x$ is not a necessary condition of $x \not\in U$. Since $\text{rank}(A\vec{x}\delta_1^3, A\vec{x}\delta_2^3, A\vec{x}\delta_3^3) = 3 > \dim U$, one knows that $A\vec{x}\delta_3^3 \not\in U$, which implies the condition that $q(z)$ is the minimum $A$-annihilator of $x$ is a necessary but not sufficient condition of $x \not\in U$.

(4) Take $y_1 = [2 2 3 2 1 1]^T$. Since $y_1 = A^2\vec{x}\delta_3^3$, we know that $y_1 \in R_2$. Besides, $y_1 = A\vec{x}\delta_1^3 + A\vec{x}\delta_3^3$. Then $y_1 \in R_1$, which means that $R_1 \cap R_2 \neq \emptyset$. Take $y_2 = [3 3 3 2 3 3]^T$ and $y_3 = [0 0 1 1 -1 -1]^T$. Because $y_2 = A^2\vec{x}\delta_3^3$ and $y_3 = A\vec{x}\delta_3^3 - A\vec{x}\delta_2^3$, one sees that $y_2 \in R_2$ and $y_3 \in R_1$. In addition, \( \text{rank}(y_2, A\vec{x}\delta_1^3, A\vec{x}\delta_2^3, A\vec{x}\delta_3^3) = 4 \) and \( \text{rank}(y_3, A^2\vec{x}\delta_1^3, A^2\vec{x}\delta_2^3, A^2\vec{x}\delta_3^3) = 4 \). Thus, $y_2 \not\in R_1$ and $y_3 \not\in R_2$. Based on the analysis above, we can conclude that $R_1 \not\subset R_2$ and $R_2 \not\subset R_1$.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, the invariant time point and state dimensions of dimension-bounded linear systems have been concerned. According to properties of $V$-product and $V$-addition, the $t$-step reachable subspace has been considered. In addition, a necessary and sufficient condition has been provided to determine whether a state belongs to the $t$-step reachable subspace. It is the first time that an annihilator polynomial is used to discuss the relationship between the invariant space and the reachable subset after the invariant time point $t^*$. Furthermore, an example has been given to show the relationship between reachable subspaces at times $t^* + i$ and $t^* + j$, $i \neq j$.

In the future work, reachability and controllability of dimension-bounded control systems will be considered. Based on the existing work, two problems should be solved. For a given vector space $\mathcal{V}_r$, are there a time $t$ and control inputs such that state dimension $r(t)$ of dimension-bounded control systems is $r$? For a given state $x \in \mathcal{V}_r$, are there a time $t$ and control inputs such that the trajectory of the corresponding dimension-bounded control system can reach $x(t) = 0$ from $x(0) = x$?
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