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Abstract

Reversible data hiding in encrypted images is an effective technique for data hiding and preserving image privacy. In this paper, we propose a novel schema based on polynomial arithmetic, which achieves a high embedding capacity with the perfect recovery of the original image. An efficient two-layer symmetric encryption method is applied to protect the privacy of the original image. One polynomial is generated by the encryption key and a group of the encrypted pixel, and the secret data is mapped into another polynomial. Through the arithmetic of these two polynomials, the purpose of this work is achieved. Furthermore, pixel value mapping is designed to reduce the size of auxiliary data, which can further improve embedding capacity. Experimental results demonstrate that our solution has a stable and good performance on various images. Compared with some state-of-the-art methods, the proposed method can get better decrypted image quality with a large embedding capacity.
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1. Introduction

Reversible data hiding (RDH) is a technology that enables the exact recovery of the original image upon extraction of the embedded information. Due to the feature of lossless or invertible data hiding, it has gradually become a very active research area in the field of data hiding. The feature is desirable when
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highly sensitive data is embedding into an image, e.g., in military, medical, and legal imaging applications. The first RDH technique [1] was proposed by Barton in 2000 to address this concern. To date, various methods have been presented to achieve data hiding in images, in which difference expansion [2] and histogram shift [3] have far-reaching influence. Difference expansion is proposed by Tian in [2], the secret message is embedded by expanding the difference between adjacent pixels. In the histogram shifting method [3], the histogram of the original image is first generated, then it embeds messages by slightly modifying the pixel grayscale values of zero and the minimum point of the histogram. Subsequently, some schemes [4, 5, 6, 7] are introduced to improve the payload and reconstructed image quality based on them. Furthermore, to get better performance, methods such as lossless compression [8] and prediction error [9, 10] have been presented later.

As a great growth of cloud services and people’s increasing attention for privacy protection, reversible data hiding in encrypted image (RDHEI) has drawn much interest from the research community. It can not only extract the secret message and recover the original image without error but also preserves the privacy of the original image. This concept was first proposed by Zhang [11] in 2011, there are three roles in the scenario, the image provider uses the encryption key to encrypt the image to protect the privacy of original images; a data hider embeds data by using the data hiding key without knowing the original image content; when having both above keys, the receiver is able to obtain the secret message and origin image correctly. It is helpful in some situations involving data privacy. For example, in a cloud computing scenario, the user uploads an encrypted image, and an inferior assistant hopes to embed some message without access to the origin content.

Shi et al. [12] classify the existing RDHEI methods into two categories: vacating room before encryption (VRBE) and vacating room after encryption (VRAE). In VRBE methods [13, 14, 15], an extra preprocessing is performed before encryption to vacate space for data embedding. Ma et al. [16] apply a traditional RDH method of histogram shifting to reserve room before encryp-
tion. The LSB of certain pixels is embedded into other pixels, which produces a pre-processed image. By substituting the LSB values of these vacated pixels in the encrypted image, the secret message can be embedded. The method can provide a larger payload (0.5 bpp) than previous methods with PSNR = 40 dB. In [14], based on the prediction technique, some pixels are estimated through the rest pixels before encryption. Instead of embedding data in encrypted images directly, the secret message can be embedded by modifying the prediction errors. Cao et al. [15] use a sparse coding technique to vacate a large space by exploiting the correlation between neighbor pixels. The leading residual errors produced by sparse coding are encoded and then embedded into the original image. More secret data can be embedded into the vacated room in the encrypted image. In [16], a new schema is proposed by designing double linear regression prediction model, which further improves the prediction accuracy and provides a large embedding capacity. The secret message is embedded by referring to difference between current pixel and its predicted value. And they construct a prediction error map to record error positions for lossless recovering the original image.

For VRAE methods, the image provider directly encrypts the origin image, then the secret bits are embedded into the encrypted image. The first VRAE method [11] is proposed by Zhang, the original image is encrypted by a stream cipher, then the data hider divides the encrypted image into blocks and embeds secret bits by modifying a small proportion of encrypted data. The receiver can extract secret messages and recover the origin image perfectly by using the spatial correlation in natural images. Zhang [17] proposes a novel separable method, the exclusive-or operation is applied to encrypt the origin image, a sparse space to accommodate the secret data is created by compressing the least significant bits of the encrypted image. Qian and Zhang [18] propose a novel method using distributed source coding, after the image provider encrypt the image by using a stream cipher, some selected bits taken from the encrypted image are compressed to make space for secret messages.

Chen et al. [19] are inspired by the factor of key setting, they divide RD-
HEI into three categories: share independent secret keys (SIK), shared one key (SOK), and share no secret keys (SNK). Then, they proposed a novel SOK scheme by using multi-secret sharing, which overcomes the shortcoming of spending much space cost in Wu et al. [20]. To achieve message embedding, a new technique to operate addition homomorphism in multi-secret sharing (called OAMSS) is presented. It can also get a high payload (close to 0.5 bpp). However, extra public identities needed to be sent to the data hider and receiver, which may lead to reduce the efficiency of their method.

In existing RDHEI methods, most of them only provide a high embedding capacity while fail to recover the original image perfectly. In [13], the method has a high payload (0.5 bpp), but the original image cannot be reconstructed free of error (PSNR=40 dB). For most traditional reversible data hiding methods, the correlation between the neighboring pixels is applied to hide secret messages. However, it is difficult to embed messages into the encrypted image, since the correlation between a pixel and its adjacent neighbors is disappeared after encrypted. It is a challenge for RDHEI to achieve a high embedding capacity while the original image is recovered perfectly.

Facing the challenge, we propose a novel schema based on polynomial arithmetic. Our work is dedicated to finding a good solution not only in the recovery of the original image, but also in the embedding capacity. For the encryption of images, we design an efficient symmetric encryption method with support for correct data extraction and perfect image recovery in the RDHEI. The cipher of pixels in an image is generated via a univariate polynomial math expression $f(x)$, in which the coefficients of $f(x)$ are associated with both pixels and the encryption key, and the degree of $f(x)$ is the number of the pixels. The secret data is also mapped into another polynomial math expression $g(x)$. These expressions support polynomial addition, subtraction, and modulo operations to achieve data extraction and image recovery.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The scheme of the proposed method is elaborated in Section 2. Abundant experimental results with the comparison and analysis are presented in Section 3. Finally, the conclusion is
2. Proposed Scheme

In the section, based on polynomial arithmetic, a novel solution is proposed to hide secret bits in pixels of origin image with low computational complexity and a high payload (the maximal payload could be 0.662 bpp for Lena). The overview of this process is shown in Fig. 1. There are three entities: an image provider, a data hider and a receiver. The two pixels of original image are chosen, and then are encrypted with the key $k_e$ by the provider as a polynomial $f(x)$. Similarly, the hider using its key $k_w$ can encode two secret bits as a polynomial $g(x)$ with the same degree. Through the transaction of $f(x)$’s coefficients, the encryption pixels are sent to the hider. Upon receiving the encrypted pixels, the hider computes $H(x) = f(x) + g(x)$. Note that the encrypted pixels hiding secret bits are coefficients of $H(x)$. Based on coefficients of $H(x)$ and the keys $k_e$ and $k_w$, the receiver can extract the secret bits and recover pixels of the original image perfectly.

Fig. 1. Overview of the proposed schema
2.1. Image Encryption

Let $F$ be a prime number. Assume that $k_e$ is an encryption key and $k_w$ is a data hiding key, where the former is shared between the provider and the receiver, the latter is shared between the hider and the receiver, $k_e \in (1, F-1)$.

Without loss of generality, assume the original image is of size $M \times N$. In the first layer encryption, all pixels of the image are encrypted as

$$p_{i,j} = (p_{i,j} + k_{i,j}) \mod F$$

Where $0 \leq i < M$, $0 \leq j < N$, and $k_{i,j}$ are generated by the encryption key using a secure standard stream cipher, $k_{i,j} \in [0, F)$.

Given a data list $(p_2, p_1)$ of two elements, where pixels $p_i(i = 1, 2)$ are selected from the above encrypted image. Then, a polynomial $f(x)$ is constructed as follows

$$f(x) = p_2(x + k_e)^2 + p_1(x + k_e) \mod F$$

$$= a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0 \mod F,$$

and the coefficient of function $f$ will be sent as the encrypted data. That is,

$$E_{k_e}(p_2, p_1) = (a_2, a_1, a_0) \triangleq \vec{a}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Side information. Since all pixels are encrypted by modular arithmetic with $F$, thus for a pixel having grayscale value great than or equal to $F$ can’t be restored. In order to lossless recovery of the image, a location map(LM) is constructed. It indicates whether a pixel $p_{i,j} \geq F$ before encrypted.

$$LM_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p_{i,j} \geq F \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The obtained location map (LM) will be losslessly compressed by arithmetic encoding or run-length coding. Denote the compressed LM as CLM. For blind extraction and image recovery, we send CLM as the side information. For all pixels, some of them are used to embed the side information, and the rest part to embed secret message. The strategy of sending side information is shown as
(1) Records the origin LSB value of the first L pixels in encrypted image, denote as $\beta$, than combine CLM and $\beta$ together into one binary bitstream $\gamma$.

\[ L = \log_2 M \times N \]

\[ \gamma = CLM \cup \beta \]

(2) Replace the LSB of the first L pixels in encrypted image to save the length of $\gamma$ (denote as $len_\gamma$).

(3) Ship L pixels, $\gamma$ will be embedded into the rest pixels by using our data embedding procedure.

### 2.2. Data Embedding

In data embedding phase, $len_\gamma$ is decomposed from the first L pixels, then the boundary between first part and rest part can be obtained. The secret message will be embedded into second part of encrypted image without knowing the encryption key $k_e$. When having the encrypted image $\vec{a}$, the data hider first uses the $k_w$ to encrypt secret message by a standard symmetric encryption (AES, DES and so on), then embed the encrypted secret bits $(s_2, s_1)$ into $\vec{a}$. Specifically, a polynomial $g(x)$ is generated as follows,

\[ g(x) = s_2 x^2 + s_1 x (mod F). \]  

(5)

Then, the encrypted image embedded above secret bits is represented as

\[ H(x) = f(x) + g(x) \]

\[ = (a_2 + s_2) x^2 + (a_1 + s_1) x + a_0 (mod F) \]

\[ \triangleq c_i x^2 + c_1 x + c_0 (mod F). \]  

(6)

Note that $\vec{a} = (a_2, a_1, a_0)$. That is,

\[ E_{k_w}(s_2, s_1, \vec{a}) = (c_2, c_1, c_0) \triangleq \vec{c}. \]  

(7)
2.3. Data extraction and image recovery

Upon receiving the encrypted image embedded secret bits, the receiver extracts the secret bits and then recovers the original image. Specifically, the receiver works as follows,

1. Getting three pixels \((c_2, c_1, c_0)\) from the encrypted image embedded secret bits, she/he constructs a polynomial

\[
H(x) = c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0 (\text{mod } F).
\]

2. Compute the value \(H(-k_e)\). Note that \(f(-k_e) = 0\) and \(H(-k_e) = g(-k_e)\).

3. Let \(k = F - k_e\).

4. It calculates the inverse element of \(k\) on \(F\) as \(k' = \text{inv}(k, F)\). The function \(\text{inv}(x, F)\) is constructed by using the “Extended Euclidean algorithm”.

5. The secret bits are extracted as follows

\[
s_1 = [H(-k_e) * k'](\text{mod } F)(\text{mod } k)
\]

\[
s_2 = [H(-k_e) - s_1 * k] * (k')^2(\text{mod } F)(\text{mod } k)
\]

6. Based on the extracted secret bits, the polynomial \(g(x)\) is obtained as

\[
g(x) = s_2 x^2 + s_1 x (\text{mod } F).
\]

7. For \(i = 0, 1, 2\), let \(a_i = (c_i - s_i) \text{mod } F\). Then, \(f(x)\) can be reconstructed as

\[
f(x) = a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 (\text{mod } F).
\]

8. By using \(k_e\) and \((a_2, a_1, a_0)\), the receiver can recover origin pre-process pixels, \(p_2, p_1\), as follows,

\[
p_2 = a_2
\]

\[
p_1 = a_1 - p_2 * C_2^1 * k_e (\text{mod } F)
\]

9. From the extracted secret bits, \(CLM, \beta\) and real secret message could be decomposed.

10. Decrypting secret message by using \(k_w\).
(11) With the origin LSB $\beta$, the first $L$ pixels can be recovered by replacing their LSB value.

(12) Decompressing LM from CLM. For every pixel $p_{i,j}$ in recovered image, $key_{i,j}$ is firstly generated, original pixels could be restored as,

$$p_{i,j} = (p_{i,j} - key_{i,j}) \mod F.$$ 

If $p_{i,j} < 0$, let

$$p_{i,j} = p_{i,j} + F.$$ 

Then for each pixel $p_{i,j}$ in location map, let

$$p_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
  p_{i,j} + F & \text{if } LM_{i,j} = 1 \\
  p_{i,j} & \text{if } LM_{i,j} = 0 
\end{cases}$$

Finally, the original image can be recovered free of error.

**Example 1.** Fig. 2 shows the sketch of this example. For an 8-bit image, we choose 251 as $F$ in the proposed method. Given two pixels $(35,132)$ and $key = 12$, the provider firstly generates $key = 30$ by $k_e$. The original pixels are encrypted as $(65,162)$ by addition and modular operations. Then the provider produces a polynomial $f(x) = 65x^2 + 1722x + 113045 \mod 251$. The encrypted pixels can be obtained by modular operation, set the output $(65,216,9)$.

In the embedding data phase, with the secret message $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 1$ that are encrypted by $k_w$, the hider also generates a polynomial $g(x) = x^2 + 0x + 0 \mod 251$, and gets the output $(1,30,225)$ by the modular operation. Finally, due to the additive modular arithmetic, the encrypted pixels containing a secret message $(66,216,9)$ are obtained by adding $(65,216,9)$ and $(1,0,0)$.

When receiving the encrypted pixels, the receiver firstly generates a polynomial $H$. Let $x = -k_e$, and get $H(-12) = 144$. Then receiver uses $k_e$ to extract the hidden message $s_1 = 0$ and $s_2 = 1$ by “Extended Euclidean Algorithm”. With the extracted message, the receiver reconstructs the polynomial $f$. From the coefficient, the origin pixels $(35,132)$ could be recovered with key=30.
2.4. Key generation

Since the proposed schema based on modular operation, for perfectly extracting message and reconstructing image, $k_e$ should satisfy,

$$1 < k_e < F - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

Due to the above constraint, only a small amount of secret keys is available. To improve the security of the secret key, we can divide the image into blocks. For each group apply a different key to encrypt pixels. The keys can be generated by

$$k'_e = (k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n)$$
\[ k_i = L_{f8}(SHA(k_e \parallel ID)) \mod (F - 3) + 2, 1 \leq i \leq n, \]  

where ID is the position of block in the image, and \( k_i \) is generated by using 256-bit SHA3 (denoted as SHA). We concatenate the \( k_e \) and ID together, then pad it to 256-bit as the input of SHA3. Finally, the most significant eight bits of SHA’s output represented as binary is selected as the random key.

2.5. Pixel value mapping

The finally embedded data consists of the real secret message and a side information \( \gamma \), thus large side information would lead to the reduction of the payload. To improve the embedding rate, we present a technique called pixel value mapping to reduce the size of \( \gamma \). For an 8-bit image, let \( F = 251 \). When constructing the location map, if there are too many pixel values greater than or equal to 251, a little secret message can be embedded in that the compressed CLM will still be large. Consequently, we could mapping these pixels to the five lowest frequent pixel values as follows,

1. Let \( Q \) be a set of pixel values \((251, 252, 253, 254, 255)\).
2. Count the five pixels with the least frequency in the original image, denote as \( R \).
3. Before encryption, for pixel values \( p \in Q \) and \( p \notin R \), \( q \notin Q \) and \( q \in R \). Mapping each \( p \) to and from \( q \).
4. When generating the side information, \( R \) converted to a 40-bit binary sequence is appended to the end of \( \gamma \).
5. In the image recovery phase, \( R \) can be decomposed from the extracted side information. Then the recovery of original pixel values is accomplished by the reverse mapping.

3. Experimental results

In this section, based on the embedding capacity (payload) and reconstructed image quality, we evaluate the performance of the proposed method. The
method is tested on the uncompressed $512 \times 512$ gray-scale images chosen from the USC-SIPI image database\cite{21}. To validate the proposed scheme, experiments are done with a variety of standard test gray-scale images. Some are presented in Fig. 3 namely Lena, Boat, Baboon, and Airplane. The comparisons between the existing methods and ours are shown in Fig. 4 and 5 according to the embedding rate (EC) and the peak-signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) of images. Here, EC is adopted to evaluate the embedding capacity, and it calculated as

$$EC = \frac{\text{Total embedded bits}}{\text{Total number of pixels in a cover image}}.$$ (10)

Again, the peak-signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) is applied to evaluate the reconstructed image quality in comparison to the original image, which is computed as follows.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - p_i')^2$$

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{255^2}{MSE}.$$ (11)

where $n$ is the total number of pixels, $p_i$ and $p_i'$ denote the pixel values of origin and recovered images, respectively. Finally, we would compare our schema with some related works, and discuss their efficiency.

The proposed method can perfectly recover the original images from the corresponding encrypted images as shown in Fig. 3. In our experiments, 200000-bits is embedded into four randomly selected images. The original image is shown at the left of the figure. After encryption and data embedding, an encrypted image with the secret message shown in the middle of the figure is generated with the 8 encrypted bits. The rest is the decrypted image. The comparison shows the pixel values of the recovered image is the same as that of the original image ($PSNR \rightarrow +\infty$), which indicates the images could be perfectly recovered. The corresponding experimental results are shown in Table 1.

Moreover, we make a performance testing by a random selection of 1000 grayscale images with size $512 \times 512$ on BOWS-2 database\cite{22}. The test results for these images are shown in Table 2. For embedding capacity, the best case
Fig. 3. Test images with $512 \times 512$ pixels. Left: Original-image. Middle: Encrypted with secret message, Right: After Decryption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>LM</th>
<th>CLM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>0.6625</td>
<td>+∞</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>1606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>0.6621</td>
<td>+∞</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>1774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baboon</td>
<td>0.6625</td>
<td>+∞</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>1606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airplane</td>
<td>0.6625</td>
<td>+∞</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>1606</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS OF 1000 IMAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Best case</th>
<th>Worst case</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC (bpp)</td>
<td>0.6625</td>
<td>0.5956</td>
<td>0.6609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSNR (dB)</td>
<td>+∞</td>
<td>+∞</td>
<td>+∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSIM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is 0.6625 bpp, and 0.5956 bpp in the worst case. It is clear that the worst EC remains high. And the average EC can reach 0.6609 bpp, which is really close to the best case. Certainly, each original image could be reconstructed free of error (satisfy PSNR→+∞ and SSIM=1). From the above analysis, it indicates that the proposed method has stable and good performance.

We now compare the performance of our approach with some state-of-the-art design [11, 13, 15, 16, 17]. Our experiments are based upon the two typical images of Lena and Baboon. Then, their embedding capacity and PSNR are estimated through (10) and (11). The results are summarized in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 respectively. As expected, across all six designs and in terms of two criteria considered here, the proposed approach exhibits the best PSNR. When the embedding capacity increases, our approach has a stable performance on PSNR compare to others. Thus, when the receiver having the encryption key and without the data hiding key, our approach is the only schema that can perfectly recover both Lena and Baboon image (PSNR→+∞). As for EC, our method can reach 0.6625 bpp for both Lean and Baboon. It is clear that our method can obtain a higher payload than other related methods, except for Cao et al [15] and Li et al [16]. These show that our approach provides a good trade-off between the embedding capacity and PSNR.

Besides, to measure the efficiency of the proposed schema, we make a comparison with other schemas on data expansion. The result is given in Table 3. Data expansion indicates the encrypted image is bigger than the original image. We denote the number of origin pixels as $N$. There are some methods [17, 23] using stream cipher encryption to encrypt images, which adopt exclusive-or op-
Fig. 4. Performance comparison on Lena

Fig. 5. Performance comparison on Baboon
Table 3: COMPARISONS OF DATA EXPANSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Encryption method</th>
<th>Encryption space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[16,23]</td>
<td>Stream cipher</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[24,25]</td>
<td>Paillier encryption</td>
<td>$\leq 128N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>Secret sharing</td>
<td>$\leq 2N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Secret sharing</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>Polynomial arithmetic</td>
<td>$1.5N$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

peration to encrypt images. It obviously does not cause data expansion. For those methods [24, 25] using Paillier homomorphic encryption, a 512-bits or 1024-bits secret key is usually required to encrypt 8-bits pixels. After encrypted by the Paillier encryption, the origin 8-bits pixel is at least expanded to 1024-bits, thus the encrypted pixel is further expanded. The rest schemas [19, 20] apply secret sharing to encrypt images, method [20] would expand the original image into two or more times. In method [19], they improve secret sharing into multi-secret sharing to prevent data expansion. As for our approach based on polynomial arithmetic, two pixels would be encrypted into three pixels, so the encrypted image expands 1.5 times.

4. Conclusion

In this work, a novel method of reversible data hiding in encrypted images based on polynomial arithmetic is presented, which achieves a good balance between embedding capacity and reconstructed image quality. Since the correlation of adjacent pixels is disappear after encryption, thus various methods have been proposed is trying to preserve the feature. However, we propose a new method to avoid utilizing the correlation of adjacent pixels in nature images. Through arithmetic of the two polynomials, a high capacity can be obtained while the reconstructed image is the same as the original image. And pixel value mapping is introduced to reduce the size of the location map, which
further improves embedding capacity.
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