Short-time Fourier transform of the pointwise product of two functions with application to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Nuno Costa Dias*

João Nuno Prata[†]

Nenad Teofanov[‡]

February 22, 2022

Abstract

We show that the short-time Fourier transform of the pointwise product of two functions f and h can be written as a suitable product of the short-time Fourier transforms of f and h. The same result is then shown to be valid for the Wigner wave-packet transform. We study the main properties of the new products. Furthermore, we use these products to derive integro-differential equations on the time-frequency space equivalent to, and generalizing, the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We also obtain the Weyl-Wigner-Moyal equation satisfied by the Wigner-Ville function associated with the solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The new equation resembles the Boltzmann equation.

1 Introduction

Motivated by applications in mathematical physics we propose phase-space analogues of the convolution identity for the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{f \cdot h} = \widehat{f} \star \widehat{h} , \qquad (1.1)$$

where $f, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, \cdot is the pointwise product of functions, \star is the convolution, and $\hat{\cdot}$ denotes the Fourier transform. In our study, the Fourier transform is replaced by the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) and the Wigner wave-packet transform, while the convolution is replaced by the Gabor product \natural_q and the Wigner product \natural_q , respectively.

Given a fixed window $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ (see Definition 10), the STFT (see (2.8)) which maps $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $f \mapsto (V_g f)(x, \omega)$, gives some

^{*}ncdias@meo.pt

[†]joao.prata@mail.telepac.pt

[‡]nenad.teofanov@dmi.uns.ac.rs

joint time-frequency description of a signal f. An inequality due to Lieb [39] permits the extension of the STFT to other function spaces. Let $r \ge 2$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $r' \le p \le r$, then there exists a constant C > 0 (which depends on the window g), such that

$$\|V_g f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \le C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} .$$
(1.2)

In particular, for p = r = 2, we have the equality

$$\|V_g f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} , \qquad (1.3)$$

which is a consequence of the orthogonality relations (see Subsection 2.1).

By analogy with the Fourier transform, we introduce the product $\natural_g : S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, such that

$$V_g(f \cdot h) = (V_g f) \,\natural_g \left(V_g h \right) \,, \tag{1.4}$$

and then try to extend it continuously to some function spaces $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $\mathcal{F}_2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, so that $\natural_g : \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \to \mathcal{F}_0$, with:

$$\|F_1 \natural_g F_2\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} \le C \|F_1\|_{\mathcal{F}_1} \|F_2\|_{\mathcal{F}_2}.$$
(1.5)

It is clear that, in this analogy, (1.2) plays the rôle of the Hausdorff-Young inequality

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{p'}} \le \|f\|_{L^p}$$

when $p \in [1,2]$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$; equation (1.3) plays the rôle of Parseval's Theorem; equation (1.4) plays the rôle of the convolution identity (1.1); and (1.5) plays the rôle of the Young convolution inequality (Theorem 1).

The product \natural_g is introduced in Definition 12 and the identity (1.4) is proved in Theorem 14. Various extensions of the form (1.5) are discussed in Subsection 2.5 (Theorems 29, 32 and Conjecture 31). Modulation spaces, introduced by H.G. Feichtinger [19, 20] will play a crucial rôle in our derivations.

Similar considerations hold for the Wigner wave-packet transform and the corresponding product \sharp_q .

Before giving a brief summary of the paper, let us explain the main motivation which comes from mathematical physics. We want to derive a phase space version of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE). Equations of this form appear in many different situations. It may be a classical field equation with applications to optics and water waves (with its soliton solutions) [8]. NLSE's are used for modeling the propagation of deep-water wavetrains. Their doubly localized breather solutions can be connected to the sudden formation of extreme waves known as rogue or freak waves [58]. But it may also be considered as a nonlinear modification of the quantum mechanical Schrödinger equation. The nonlinearity is assumed to be of small magnitude in order not to violate the superposition principle in a dramatic way, and its purpose is, generally speaking, to induce the collapse of the wave function. A famous example is for instance the Schrödinger-Newton equation proposed by Roger Penrose [44] and Lajos Diosi [17], where the nonlinearity is derived from Gauss's law for gravity. In this case, one would have a gravity induced collapse of the wave function.

The cubic NLSE is also used in Bose-Einstein condensate theory [37]. Upon second quantization, one obtains a Bose gas of particles interacting through binary delta function interactions [38]. In one spatial dimension, this system is integrable and displays an infinite hierarchy of involutive integrals of motion.

In this work we will focus on the cubic NLSE. We shall try to rewrite equivalent equations in the corresponding phase-space. We believe that this may not be only of academic interest, but also of practical usefulness. Let us list some interesting characteristics of phase-space representations: (i) Position and momentum (resp. time and frequency) appear on equal footing. (ii) In the case of the Weyl-Wigner formulation this leads to a beautiful symplectic/metaplectic covariance structure [24, 28, 29]. (iii) Semiclassical (or high-frequency) limits are frequently addressed in this framework [9, 10, 11, 27]. (iv) Another reason for considering phase-space representations comes from recent work that suggests that this seems to be a suitable framework for addressing hybrid quantum-classical systems appearing in molecular collision theory [5, 6]. (v) More specifically, the Weyl-Wigner formulation for the NLSE leads to an equation which is strongly reminiscent of kinetic equations such as Boltzmann's equation. One is then in a position to apply analytical as well as numerical techniques developed in the context of kinetic theory to the NLSE. (vi) The Weyl-Wigner representation of the NLSE (and also the other phase-space representations) is equivalent to the standard NLSE if one plugs in as the initial datum the Wigner function associated with the initial wave function. However, the initial value problem in phase-space may be well-posed for more general initial distributions. This means that the phase-space formulation "contains" the solutions of the standard NLSE, but it is much richer, as it admits other solutions. This has been the *rationale* for a series of papers by the authors [14, 15, 16], where the phase-space extensions are explored in several different contexts. We refer to [3, Chapter 7] for an overview of results related to well-posedness of the NLSE in the framework of modulation spaces, see also the recent contributions [42, 43].

The paper is essentially divided in two parts. The first part (Section 2) is self-contained and certainly interesting strictly from the point of view of harmonic analysis. Its aim is to derive the properties and extensions of products \natural_g and \natural_g mentioned above. To that end we employ the powerful machinery of modulation spaces which are introduced in Subsection 2.4.

Apart from the well-known facts, we consider the range of the STFT and prove a representation and a density theorem (Theorems 26 and 27). We proceed with possible extensions of the products \natural_g and \natural_g in Subsection 2.5. By using different techniques we obtain partially overlapping results (Theorems 29 and 32), which we compare in Remark 33. Finally, we determine an involution suitable for the products \natural_g and \natural_g in Subsection 2.6.

In the second part of the paper (Section 3), we derive three different representations of the cubic NLSE in phase-space. The first two are obtained via two windowed transforms: the STFT and the Wigner wavepacket transform. The main advantages of the STFT are: (i) it is the phase-space representation closest to the Fourier transform, and (ii) there is an enormous amount of analytical and numerical techniques readily available (see e.g. [32]). The draw-back of the STFT as compared with the windowed wave-packet transform is the fact that the latter transform is symplectic/metaplectic covariant [28, 29, 60]. The third representation is the Wigner transform. The resulting equation is akin to the Boltzmann equation: it has a bilinear "collision" term. However, this term is nonlocal in the spatial variable (unlike the Boltzmann equation).

Notation

We denote by $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a "time" (or position) variable and by $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a "frequency" (or momentum) variable, and write $|x| = (x_1^2 + \cdots x_d^2)^{1/2}$. Functions on \mathbb{R}^d_x are denoted f, g, h, \ldots , and for those on $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \simeq \mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^d_\omega$ we shall use capital letters F, G, H, \ldots . For a given function f its complex conjugate, reflection, and involution are respectively given by

$$\overline{f}(x) = \overline{f(x)}, \quad \mathcal{I}f(x) = f(-x), \quad \text{and} \quad f^{\dagger}(x) = \overline{f(-x)}.$$
 (1.6)

The Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2i\pi x \cdot \omega} dx, \qquad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(1.7)

extends to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by standard approximation procedure. $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of smooth compactly supported functions, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the Schwartz space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions and its dual $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of tempered distributions. By $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the Gelfand-Shilov space of smooth functions given by:

$$f \in \mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Leftrightarrow \|f(x)e^{h \cdot |x|}\|_{L^{\infty}} < \infty \text{ and } \|\hat{f}(\omega)e^{h \cdot |\omega|}\|_{L^{\infty}} < \infty, \quad \forall h > 0.$$
(1.8)

Any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be extended to the complex domain as holomorphic functions in a strip, [26]. The dual space of $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ will be denoted by $(\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We shall use the notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the duality bracket between a space of distributions $\mathcal{A}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and its test function space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ denotes the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \overline{\cdot} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. The norm on the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is denoted by $||\cdot||_{L^p}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Sometimes, if we need to emphasize the dimension, we write $||\cdot||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, consist of all $F \in (\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$||F||_{L^{p,q}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(x,\omega)|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$
(1.9)

If $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$, then the sup-norm is used.

If there exists C > 0 such that $a \leq Cb$ for some quantities a and b, then we shall write $a \preceq b$. If $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$, then we write $a \asymp b$.

The most important operators in time-frequency analysis are the translation (or time-shift) operator

$$T_x f(y) = f(y - x),$$
 (1.10)

and the modulation (or frequency-shift) operator

$$M_{\omega}f(x) = e^{2\pi i\omega \cdot x} f(x), \qquad (1.11)$$

which act unitarily on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and satisfy the commutation relation

$$T_x M_\omega = e^{-2i\pi x \cdot \omega} M_\omega T_x. \tag{1.12}$$

We also recall the (unitary) dilation operator:

$$D_s f(x) = s^{-d/2} f(s^{-1}x), \qquad s > 0.$$
 (1.13)

2 Time-frequency analysis

In this section we start by recapitulating basic properties of the STFT, the Wigner wave-packet transform and the Wigner transform. Then we present two products \natural_g and \sharp_g in phase-space which generalize the convolution, and discuss their properties. To extend those products we employ Feichtinger's modulation spaces which we recall in Subsection 2.4. There we also consider the range of the STFT to some extent. Different extensions of the products are discussed in Subsection 2.5, and their algebraic properties are treated in Subsection 2.6.

The product and the convolution product are well defined under the conditions of the following theorem (cf. [1, 2, 7]).

Theorem 1 Let $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, then $f \cdot g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the Hölder inequality holds:

$$||fg||_{L^1} \le ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{L^{p'}},$$

If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$, then $f \star g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the Young inequality holds:

$$||f \star g||_{L^r} \precsim ||f||_{L^p} ||g||_{L^q}.$$
 (2.1)

Here \star denotes the convolution product of f and g:

$$(f \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)g(y)dy = \langle T_x \mathcal{I}f, \overline{g} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$
 (2.2)

The Fourier transform of the convolution is tantamount to point-wise multiplication.

Theorem 2 Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$(f \star g) = \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}. \tag{2.3}$$

If, additionally $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$(f \cdot g) = \widehat{f} \star \widehat{g}. \tag{2.4}$$

The following identities, which follow from the definitions above, will also be useful.

Proposition 3 Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the following identities are valid

$$(\overline{f})^{\hat{}} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}\widehat{f}, \quad (T_x f)^{\hat{}} = M_{-x}\widehat{f}, \quad (M_\omega f)^{\hat{}} = T_\omega\widehat{f}, \quad (D_s f)^{\hat{}} = D_{\frac{1}{s}}\widehat{f}, \quad (2.5)$$

for every $x, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and s > 0.

2.1 Windowed transforms

In this work we shall consider two time-frequency (phase-space) windowed representations of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$: the Wigner wave-packet transform and the short-time Fourier transform.

Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The cross-Wigner transform is given by:

$$W(f,g)(x,\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right) \overline{g\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right)} e^{-2\pi i \omega \cdot y} dy.$$
(2.6)

If $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a fixed window then the Wigner wave-packet transform is defined by [28, 41]:

$$f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \mapsto W_{g}f(x,\omega) := 2^{-d}W(f,g)\left(\frac{x}{2},\frac{\omega}{2}\right) =$$
$$= 2^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\overline{g\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)}e^{-\pi i\omega \cdot y}dy.$$
(2.7)

Likewise, the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of f with respect to the window g is defined by

$$V_g f(x,\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t) \overline{g(t-x)} e^{-2\pi i \omega \cdot t} dt = \langle f, M_\omega T_x g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$
 (2.8)

If $g \in \mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, then V_g restricts to a continuous mapping from $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, cf. [55].

The Wigner wave-packet transform and the STFT are related by:

$$W_q f(x,\omega) = e^{i\pi x \cdot \omega} V_{\mathcal{I}q} f(x,\omega).$$
(2.9)

We note in passing that the STFT of f and the Wigner wave-packet transform with respect to the window g can also be expressed in terms of the Fourier transforms \hat{f}, \hat{g} as

$$V_g f(x,\omega) = e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \omega} V_{\widehat{g}} \widehat{f}(\omega, -x)$$
(2.10)

and

$$W_g f(x,\omega) = W_{\widehat{q}} \widehat{f}(\omega, -x)$$

Formula (2.10) is also known as the Fundamental Identity of Time-Frequency Analysis, [12, 32].

One of the most remarkable facts about the STFT and the Wigner wavepacket transform are the following orthogonality relations (Parseval's identity) and Moyal's formula, respectively. Proofs can be found in e.g. [12, 32].

Theorem 4 (Orthogonality relations for STFT). Let $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$; then $V_{g_j}f_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for j = 1, 2, and

$$\langle V_{g_1}f_1, V_{g_2}f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$
 (2.11)

Theorem 5 (Moyal's identity). For $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\langle W_{g_1} f_1, W_{g_2} f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \langle W(f_1, g_1), W(f_2, g_2) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} =$$

$$= \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

$$(2.12)$$

The STFT has the following behavior under time-frequency shifts, reflections and complex-conjugation.

Proposition 6 Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$V_g(T_u M_\eta f)(x,\omega) = e^{-2i\pi u \cdot \omega} V_g f(x-u,\omega-\eta), \qquad (2.13)$$

 $V_g\left(\mathcal{I}f\right)(x,\omega) = V_{\mathcal{I}g}f(-x,-\omega),$

and

$$\overline{V_g f(x,\omega)} = V_{\overline{g}} \overline{f}(x,-\omega).$$
(2.14)

Likewise, using (2.9), we have for the Wigner wave-packet transform:

Proposition 7 Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$W_g \left(T_u M_\eta f \right) (x, \omega) = e^{i\pi (x \cdot \eta - u \cdot \eta - u \cdot \omega)} W_g f(x - u, \omega - \eta),$$
$$W_g \left(\mathcal{I}f \right) (x, \omega) = W_{\mathcal{I}g} f(-x, -\omega),$$

and

$$\overline{W_g f(x,\omega)} = W_{\overline{g}} \overline{f}(x,-\omega).$$
(2.15)

Remark 8 The cross-Wigner transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined in [29, 30] by means of the Grossmann-Royer operator

$$(R(x,\omega)f)(t) = e^{4\pi i\omega \cdot (t-x)}f(2x-t) = e^{-4\pi i\omega \cdot x} (M_{2\omega}T_{2x}\mathcal{I}f)(t), \quad x,\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Such operators originate from the problem of physical interpretation of the Wigner transform W(f, f), [31, 46]. The related Grossmann-Royer transform $R_g f(x, \omega) = \langle R(x, \omega) f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ considered in [51] is essentially the cross-Wigner transform: $W(f, g)(x, \omega) = 2^d R_g f(x, \omega)$. We also mention that $W(f, g)(x, \omega) = \widehat{A(f, g)}(x, \omega)$, where

$$A(f,g)(x,\omega) = \int e^{-2\pi i\omega t} f(t+\frac{x}{2}) \overline{g(t-\frac{x}{2})} dt, \quad x,\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

is the cross-ambiguity function of f and g, see [30].

2.2 The Wigner transform

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The Wigner transform of f is given by Wf = W(f, f):

$$f \mapsto Wf(x,\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right) \overline{f\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right)} e^{-2i\pi\omega \cdot y} dy.$$

One calls Wf the Wigner or Wigner-Ville function of f.

The Wigner function is akin to a joint probability density for position and momentum. Indeed, it is a real valued and normalized function (if $||f||_{L^2} = 1$):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Wf(x,\omega) dx d\omega = ||f||_{L^2}^2 = 1,$$

and its marginal distributions are *bona fide* probability densities for position and momentum:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Wf(x,\omega)d\omega = |f(x)|^2 \ge 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Wf(x,\omega)dx = |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 \ge 0, \ \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(2.16)

provided $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Moreover, one can compute all the probabilities according to the rules of quantum mechanics from the knowledge of the Wigner function. Finally, for any observable A (a self-adjoint operator acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$) with Weyl symbol $a(x, \omega)$, the expectation value is evaluated according to the following suggestive formula:

$$E(A) = \langle Af, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} a(x, \omega) W f(x, \omega) dx d\omega.$$
(2.17)

However, the Wigner function fails to be a full-fledged probability density, as it may take on negative values. These "negative probabilities" are a manifestation of quantum interference. Indeed, uncertainty principles preclude a simultaneous sharp localization of position and momentum, which is presupposed of a joint probability density. Non-negative Wigner functions are not excluded, but, as stated by Hudson's Theorem (see [34, 35, 54]), they constitute a very restrictive class:

Theorem 9 (Hudson) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the corresponding Wigner function Wf is everywhere non-negative if and only if f is a generalized Gaussian.

It is also noteworthy that Wigner functions are square-integrable (cf.(2.12)):

$$||Wf||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |Wf(x,\omega)|^{2} dx d\omega = ||f||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{4}.$$

One calls $||Wf||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$ the purity of the state f.

Before we proceed let us state the following useful identity which is easily obtained from (2.6) by the Fourier inversion formula:

$$f\left(x+\frac{y}{2}\right)\overline{f\left(x-\frac{y}{2}\right)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Wf(x,\omega)e^{2i\pi\omega\cdot y}d\omega.$$
(2.18)

2.3 The products

In this subsection we introduce two products related to time-frequency representations. We first introduce the Feichtinger algebra $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the natural framework for the definition of the Gabor product, and consider different extensions in Subsection 2.5.

Definition 10 Let there be given $g \in S^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. The Feichtinger algebra $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|V_g f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} < \infty.$$
 (2.19)

It can be proved that $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{S_{0},g} = \|V_{g}f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$
(2.20)

The Feichtinger algebra enjoys numerous properties useful for applications in time-frequency analysis, cf. the recent survey [36] and references given there. Here we focus on the most important properties of $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 11 (Basic properties of S_0) Let $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ be given. Then the following is true:

- i) S₀(R^d) is the smallest Banach space invariant under translations and modulations, and it is continuously embedded as a dense subspace in L²(R^d).
- ii) If $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then f is continuous, and $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- iii) If $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then its complex conjugation \overline{f} , reflection $\mathcal{I}f$, and involution f^{\dagger} are also in $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$||f||_{S_0,g} = ||\overline{f}||_{S_0,g} = ||\mathcal{I}f||_{S_0,g} = ||f^{\dagger}||_{S_0,g}.$$

- iv) If $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\hat{f} \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $||f||_{S_0,g} = ||\hat{f}||_{S_0,g}$. Thus, the Fourier transform is an isometry of $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- v) For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have that $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $V_h f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for some (and then all) $h \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, and each such h defines an equivalent norm on $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via $\|f\|_{S_0,h} = \|V_h f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$.
- vi) $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $V_f f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.
- vii) $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is closed under pointwise multiplication and convolution: if $f_1, f_2 \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f_1 \cdot f_2, f_1 \star f_2 \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Specifically, for $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1 \cdot f_2\|_{S_{0,g}} &\leq \|\hat{g}\|_{\infty}^{-1} \|f_1\|_{S_{0,g}} \|f_2\|_{S_{0,g}}, \\ \|f_1 \star f_2\|_{S_{0,g}} &\leq \|g\|_{\infty}^{-1} \|f_1\|_{S_{0,g}} \|f_2\|_{S_{0,g}}. \end{aligned}$$
(2.21)

- viii) The tensor product $\otimes : S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \times S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \to S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a bounded bilinear operator.
 - ix) $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ enjoys the projective tensor factorization property: $S_0(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) = S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \hat{\otimes} S_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ i.e. the tensor products of function from $S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ respectively, given by

$$f^1 \otimes f^2(x,y) = f^1(x) \cdot f^2(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

can be used to build any $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ by forming absolutely convergent sums, i.e. as

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k^1 \otimes f_k^2(x, y) \quad with \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k^1\|_{S_0(\mathbb{R}^n), g^1} \|f_k^2\|_{S_0(\mathbb{R}^m), g^2} < \infty,$$

where $g^1 \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}, g^2 \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^m) \setminus \{0\}$, and the corresponding infimum norm provides an equivalent norm.

Proof. We omit the proof, and refer to the following sources. i) – iv) can be found in [19], see also [23, Theorem 3.2.3] and [22, Lemma 14]. For v) – vi) we refer to [36, Corollary 5.5] and [23, Lemma 3.2.5]. vii) is [32, Proposition 12.1.7], [36, Corollary 4.14], or [22, Lemma 15], see also [30, Sect. 7.1.3]. Finally, viii) and ix) are proved in [19, Theorem 7], see also [36, Section 9].

Since different windows $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ give rise to an equivalent norm in $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Lemma 11 v, from now on instead of $||f||_{S_0,g}$ we shall write $||f||_{S_0}$.

Definition 12 Let $F, H \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. The Gabor product \natural_g is defined as

$$(F\natural_{g}H)(x,\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega'+\omega''-\omega)} F(x',\omega')H(x',\omega'')e^{2i\pi x\cdot(\omega'+\omega''-\omega)}dx'd\omega'd\omega''.$$
(2.22)

Lemma 13 Let $F, H \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. The Gabor product $F \natural_g H$ given by (2.22) is a well-defined product and $\natural_g : S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a continuous mapping.

Proof. We first rewrite (2.22) in a more convenient form. The change of variables $\omega'' \to \xi = \omega' + \omega''$ gives

$$\left(F\natural_{g}H\right)\left(x,\omega\right) =$$

$$= e^{-2i\pi\omega\cdot x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi-\omega)} e^{2i\pi x\cdot\xi} F(x',\omega') H(x',\xi-\omega') dx' d\omega' d\xi.$$

Let

$$\widehat{A}_{F,H}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x',\omega') H(x',\xi-\omega') dx' d\omega' = \langle F, \overline{\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}H} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}, \quad (2.23)$$

where the operator

$$\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\xi}H\right)(x,\omega) = H(x,\xi-\omega) \tag{2.24}$$

amounts to a reflection and a translation with respect to the second variable. By Fubini's Theorem, we get

$$(F\natural_{g}H)(x,\omega) = e^{-2i\pi\omega\cdot x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \overline{\widehat{g}(\xi-\omega)} e^{2i\pi x\cdot\xi} \widehat{A}_{F,H}(\xi) d\xi =$$

$$= e^{-2i\pi\omega\cdot x} V_{\widehat{g}} \widehat{A}_{F,H}(\omega,-x) = V_{g} A_{F,H}(x,\omega),$$

(2.25)

where we used (2.8) and (2.10).

Let us prove that $\widehat{A}_{F,H} \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

First assume that F and G are "simple tensors", $F(x,\omega) = f_1(x)f_2(\omega)$, and $H(x,\omega) = h_1(x)h_2(\omega)$, with $f_1, f_2, h_1, h_2 \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then by Lemma 11 *vii*) it follows that $g_1 := f_1 \cdot h_1 \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $g_2 := f_2 \star h_2 \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then (2.23) becomes

$$\widehat{A}_{F,H}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_1(x') dx' \cdot g_2(\xi),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{A}_{F,H}(\xi)\|_{S_0} &= \|(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_1(x')dx')g_2\|_{S_0} \leq \\ &\leq \|g_1\|_{L^1}\|g_2\|_{S_0} \leq \|g_1\|_{S_0}\|g_2\|_{S_0} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$
(2.26)

where we used Lemma 11 ii).

Similar arguments, together with Lemma 11 ix) can be used to prove that $\widehat{A}_{F,H} \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when F and H are represented as infinite sums of simple tensors instead.

Now $A_{F,H} \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ since $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is Fourier transform invariant by Lemma 11 *iv*), and finally $F \natural_g H \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ follows from Lemma 11 *v*). The proof is finished.

Next we prove the main result concerning the Gabor product.

Theorem 14 Let $f, h \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $g_1, g_2, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the following identity holds

$$\langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} V_g(f \cdot h) = V_{g_1}(f) \natural_g V_{\overline{g_2}}(h), \qquad (2.27)$$

and $V_g(f \cdot h) \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Proof. That $V_g(f \cdot h) \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ follows from Lemma 11 *vii*) and *v*). It remains to prove (2.27).

By (2.25) we have

$$(F\natural_g H)(x,\omega) = e^{-2i\pi\omega \cdot x} V_{\widehat{g}}\widehat{A}_{F,H}(\omega, -x),$$

where $\widehat{A}_{F,H}$ is given by (2.23). From (2.10) and (2.4) we have

$$V_g(f \cdot h)(x,\omega) = e^{-2i\pi x \cdot \omega} V_{\widehat{g}}\left(\widehat{(f \cdot h)}\right)(\omega, -x) = e^{-2i\pi x \cdot \omega} V_{\widehat{g}}\left(\widehat{f} \star \widehat{h}\right)(\omega, -x).$$

By comparison with (2.25) it remains to prove that

$$\langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left(\widehat{f} \star \widehat{h} \right) (\xi) = \widehat{A}_{F,H}(\xi),$$
 (2.28)

for $F = V_{g_1} f$ and $H = V_{\overline{g_2}} h$. From (2.2), (2.5), Parseval's identity and the orthogonality relations (2.11), we obtain

$$\langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left(\widehat{f} \star \widehat{h} \right) (\xi) = \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle \widehat{f}, \overline{T_{\xi} \mathcal{I} \widehat{h}} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} =$$

$$= \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle \widehat{f}, T_{\xi} \left(\widehat{h} \right)^{\widehat{}} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle \widehat{f}, \left(M_{\xi} \widehat{h} \right)^{\widehat{}} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} =$$

$$= \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle f, M_{\xi} \overline{h} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \langle V_{g_1} f, V_{g_2} \left(M_{\xi} \overline{h} \right) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

$$(2.29)$$

From (2.13) and (2.14) we have

$$V_{g_2}\left(M_{\xi}\overline{h}\right)(x,\omega) = V_{g_2}\overline{h}(x,\omega-\xi) =$$

$$= \overline{\left(V_{\overline{g_2}}h\right)(x,\xi-\omega)} = \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}\left(\overline{V_{\overline{g_2}}h}\right)(x,\omega).$$
(2.30)

Finally, if we write $F = V_{g_1} f$ and $H = V_{\overline{g_2}} h$ and substitute (2.30) in (2.29) we recover (2.28).

We have a straightforward corollary as follows.

Corollary 15 Let $f, h \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $g_1, g_2, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $g_1 = g_2 = g$, with g real and $||g||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$. Then

$$V_g(f \cdot h) = V_g(f) \natural_g V_g(h). \tag{2.31}$$

Remark 16 We note that in Theorem 14 and its Corollary 15 one could take h from the space of multipliers of S_0 , i.e. the Wiener amalgam space $W(\mathcal{F}L^1, l^{\infty})$. However, we do not need this generality in the sequel.

Next we define the product related to the Wigner wave-packet transform.

Definition 17 Let $F, H \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. We define the Wigner product as follows

$$(F\sharp_{g}H)(x,\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega - \omega' - \omega'')} \times \\ \times F(x',\omega')H(x',\omega'')e^{i\pi[x\cdot\omega - x'\cdot(\omega' + \omega'')]}e^{2i\pi x\cdot(\omega' + \omega'' - \omega)}dx'd\omega'd\omega''.$$

$$(2.32)$$

By (2.9) and a comparison of the products \sharp_g and \sharp_g we conclude that $F\sharp_g H$ is a well defined map $\sharp_g : S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Moreover, the following is true.

Theorem 18 Let $f, h \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $g_1, g_2, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} W_g(f \cdot h) = W_{g_1}(f) \sharp_g W_{\overline{g_2}}(h).$$
 (2.33)

Proof. The result is a simple consequence of Theorem 14 and (2.9). Again, an interesting particular case is

Corollary 19 Let the conditions of Theorem 18 hold with $g_1 = g_2 = g$, where g is a real valued function such that $||g||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$. Then we have

$$W_g(f \cdot h) = W_g(f) \sharp_g W_g(h).$$

Remark 20 Let $f, h \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $g_1, g_2, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. By using (1.13), (2.7), and (2.33) we obtain the following formula for the cross-Wigner transform of the product $f \cdot h$:

$$W(f \cdot h, g)(x, \omega) = \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{-1} D_{\frac{1}{2}}[D_2 W(f, g_1) \sharp_g D_2 W(h, \overline{g_2})](x, \omega),$$

and likewise, for the Grossmann-Royer transform we obtain

$$R_g(fh)(x,\omega) = 2^d \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{-1} D_{\frac{1}{2}}[D_2 R_{g_1}(f) \sharp_g D_2 R_{\overline{g_2}}(h)](x,\omega).$$

Let us briefly state the main properties of the windowed products. We define the operators that implement modulations and translations of functions on \mathbb{R}^{2d} as

$$(T_{(u,\eta)}F)(x,\omega) = F(x-u,\omega-\eta), \quad (M_{(\eta,u)}F)(x,\omega) = e^{2i\pi(x\cdot\eta+\omega\cdot u)}F(x,\omega).$$
(2.34)

Proposition 21 The Gabor and the Wigner products are bilinear, commutative and associative on $S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Moreover, they have the following behavior under time-frequency shifts (the covariance property):

$$\left(M_{(\eta,-u)}T_{(v,\rho)}F\right)\natural_{g}\left(M_{(-\eta,-u)}T_{(v,\sigma)}H\right) = M_{(0,-u)}T_{(u,\rho+\sigma)}\left(F\natural_{g}H\right), \quad (2.35)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{(\eta,-u)}T_{(v,\rho)}F \end{pmatrix} \sharp_g \left(M_{(\beta,-u)}T_{(v,\sigma)}H \right) = \\ = M_{(-\rho,-u-v)}T_{\left(u+\frac{v}{2},\rho+\sigma\right)}M_{\left(0,\frac{v}{2}\right)}\left(F\natural_g H\right),$$

where β is such that $2\eta + 2\beta = \rho + \sigma$, $F, H \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. The first statements are trivial. Let us prove (2.35). From (2.22) and (2.34) we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{(\eta,-u)}T_{(v,\rho)}F \end{pmatrix} \natural_g \left(M_{(-\eta,-u)}T_{(v,\sigma)}H \right)(x,\omega) =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega'+\omega''-\omega)}e^{2i\pi(\eta\cdot x'-u\cdot\omega')}F(x'-v,\omega'-\rho) \times$$

$$\times e^{2i\pi(-\eta\cdot x'-u\cdot\omega'')}H(x'-v,\omega''-\sigma)e^{2i\pi x\cdot(\omega'+\omega''-\omega)}dx'd\omega'd\omega''$$

After performing the change of variables $x_1 = x' - v$, $\omega_1 = \omega' - \rho$, $\omega_2 = \omega'' - \sigma$, and simplifying expressions we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \left(M_{(\eta,-u)}T_{(v,\rho)}F\right)\natural_g\left(M_{(-\eta,-u)}T_{(v,\sigma)}H\right)(x,\omega) = \\ &= e^{-2i\pi u\cdot\omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}}\overline{\widehat{g}\left(\omega_1+\omega_2-(\omega-\rho-\sigma)\right)}F(x_1,\omega_1)\times \\ & \times H(x_1,\omega_2)e^{2i\pi(x-u)\cdot(\omega_1+\omega_2-(\omega-\rho-\sigma))}dx_1d\omega_1d\omega_2 = \\ &= e^{-2i\pi u\cdot\omega}\left(F\natural_gH\right)(x-u,\omega-\rho-\sigma), \end{split}$$

which terminates the proof.

The proof for the product \sharp_g follows in a similar fashion.

2.4 Modulation spaces

The appropriate functional analysis framework for the study of the STFT is given by modulation spaces introduced in [20]. Their role in time-frequency analysis is explained in [32]. We refer to the recent monographs [3, 12] where their use in microlocal analysis, theory of pseudo-differential and Fourier integral operators, and Schrödinger equations is highlighted. Since we are interested in weighted modulation spaces, we start with a brief review of weight functions.

A weight in \mathbb{R}^d is a positive function $w_0 \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $1/w_0 \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. An even weight w_0 is submultiplicative if

$$w_0(x+y) \le w_0(x)w_0(y). \tag{2.36}$$

A weight w_0 on \mathbb{R}^d is *moderate* if there is a submultiplicative weight v on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$w_0(x+y) \precsim v(x)w_0(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (2.37)

In such case we say that w_0 is v-moderate.

The set of all moderate weights on \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by $\mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Notice that if $w \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then there is a constant r > 0 such that

$$w(x+y) \preceq w(x)e^{r|y|}, \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{2.38}$$

(see e.g. [33, Lemma 4.2]), so that \mathscr{P}_E contains weights of at most exponential growth. In particular, the weights of *polynomial type*, i.e. weights moderate with respect to some polynomial, belong to \mathscr{P}_E . This type of weights is sufficient when considering tempered distributions. However, the space of tempered ultra-distributions $(\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is convenient choice when dealing with objects of an exponential type growth. We refer to [33] for a survey on the role of different properties of weight functions in time-frequency analysis.

If $w \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ then the weighted mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces $L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $1 \leq p,q \leq \infty$, consist of all $F \in (\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $Fw \in L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, and $||F||_{L^{p,q}_w} := ||Fw||_{L^{p,q}}$. If $w_{t,s} \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a polynomial type weight of the form

$$w_{t,s}(x,\omega) = \langle x \rangle^t \langle \omega \rangle^s = (1+|x|^2)^{t/2} (1+|\omega|^2)^{s/2}, \qquad (2.39)$$

for some $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, then we use the abbreviated notation $L_{t,s}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Note that $w_{t,s}$ is not submultiplicative when t, s > 0, but it is equivalent to the submultiplicative weight $(1 + |x|)^t (1 + |\omega|)^s$, i.e.

$$w_{t,s} \simeq (1+|x|)^t (1+|\omega|)^s$$

and $L_{t,s}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = L_{(1+|x|)^t(1+|\omega|)^s}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. In many cases it is also convenient to use the rotation invariant weights of the form

$$w(x,\omega) = \langle (x,\omega) \rangle^s = (1+|x|^2+|\omega|^2)^{s/2}, \qquad s \ge 0.$$

Next we introduce modulation spaces.

Definition 22 Let $g \in S^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, $w \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ be fixed. The modulation space $M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in (S^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$||f||_{M_w^{p,q}} := ||V_g f||_{L_w^{p,q}} < \infty.$$
(2.40)

For convenience we set $M_w^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) = M_w^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $M_1^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) = M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) = M_{t,s}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if the weight $w = w_{t,s}$ is given by (2.39).

It can be proved that modulation spaces are Banach spaces with the norm given by (2.40), and if w is v-moderate then Definition 22 is independent of the choice of windows $g \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as different windows lead to equivalent norms [20, 32, 53]. Moreover, if $p_1 \leq p_2$, $q_1 \leq q_2$ and $w_2 \preceq w_1$, $w_1, w_2 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, then the following continuous embeddings hold

$$\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M^{p_1,q_1}_{w_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}_{w_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$
(2.41)

If, in addition $p_1, q_1 < \infty$, then $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $M^{p_1, q_1}_{w_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We also recall that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $M^{p,q}_{s,s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $s \ge 0$ and $1 \le p, q < \infty$. Moreover, for $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigcap_{s \ge 0} M^{p,q}_{s,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{s \ge 0} M^{p,q}_{-s,-s}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Therefore, for the tempered distributions framework, it is sufficient to consider weights of polynomial growth. More general weights $w \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ are used in the study of objects of (sub)exponential growth/decay at infinity, cf. [55]. For example, the Navier-Stokes equation is considered in the context of modulation spaces with exponentially decaying weights in a recent contribution [21]. To emphasize situations when $M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contain ultradistributions, they are sometimes called ultra-modulation spaces, see [49, 50]. **Example 23** When p = q = w = 1, $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, the Feichtinger algebra is the most prominent example of a modulation space. We will also use the weighted Feichtinger algebra $M_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $w \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, in Subsection 2.5. In particular, $M_{0,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ will be used in Section 3. We will also use the following fact. If $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and if w is a v-moderate weight, then by (2.41) and the density of $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and in $L_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ it follows that $M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and in $L_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Example 24 Familiar examples arise when p = q = 2. Then $M_{0,0}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = M^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and it can be shown that

$$M_{0,s}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \qquad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ is the Sobolev space (also known as the Bessel potential space) of distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ such that

$$||f||_{H^s}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\omega|^2)^s |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 d\omega < \infty,$$

cf. [32, Proposition 11.3.1]. Furthermore, if $v_s(x,\omega) = (1+|x|^2+|\omega|^2)^{s/2}$, then $M^2_{v_s}(\mathbb{R}^d) = Q_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where Q_s denotes the Shubin-Sobolev space, [4, Lemma 2.3]. The spaces Q_s were introduced in [47] to study non-local effects of pseudodifferential operators.

We proceed with several properties and remarks which will be useful when extending Gabor and Wigner products in Subsection 2.5.

By [32, Proposition 11.3.2], we have

$$I_{M_w^{p,q}} = \langle \phi, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{-1} V_{\phi}^* V_g , \qquad (2.42)$$

for all windows $\phi, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle \phi, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \neq 0$. Here V_{ϕ}^* denotes the adjoint of V_{ϕ} , defined as

$$V_{\phi}^*F = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x,\omega) M_{\omega} T_x \phi dx d\omega , \qquad (2.43)$$

for some $F \in L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. This integral is to be interpreted weakly as:

 $\langle V_{\phi}^*F, f \rangle = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x,\omega) \langle M_{\omega}T_x\phi, f \rangle dxd\omega$

$$= \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x,\omega) \overline{V_{\phi}f(x,\omega)} dx d\omega ,$$

cf. [32, Definition 11.3.2]. Moreover, if w is a v-moderate weight, then

$$\|V_{\phi}^*F\|_{M_w^{p,q}} \precsim \|V_g\phi\|_{L_v^1} \|F\|_{L_w^{p,q}} , \qquad (2.44)$$

where $\phi \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, and $g_0 \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ is some reference fixed window.

Remark 25 Let $V_g(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$ denote the range of $V_g(f)$ for a fixed window $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and all $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Notice that $V_g(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subsetneq S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. In general, $V_{g_1}(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)) \neq V_{g_2}(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$ when $g_1 \neq g_2$. This can be easily seen from the orthogonality relation, Theorem 4. Namely, if $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} =$ 0, then $\langle V_{g_1}(f_1), V_{g_2}(f_2) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = 0$, for all $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In addition, $V_{g_1}\left(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\right) = V_{g_2}\left(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\right) \text{ if and only if } g_1 = \alpha g_2, \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$

Next we consider the range of the STFT.

Theorem 26 Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $w \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a v-moderate weight, and let $\mathscr{G} = \{g_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset M^1_v(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{G},w}^{(N),p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ denote the closure of the set

$$V_{\mathscr{G}}^{(N)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n) : f_n \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\}$$
(2.45)

with respect to the $L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ norm. In particular, if N = 1, we write simply $\mathcal{V}_{g,\omega}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for the closure of $\{V_g(f) : f \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$. Then every element of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{G},w}^{(N),p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ can be written in the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n) , \qquad (2.46)$$

for some $f_n \in M^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{G},w}^{(N),p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Then there exists a sequence

$$H_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n^{(k)}) , \ f_n^{(k)} \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d) , \qquad (2.47)$$

such that

$$||H_k - F||_{L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \to 0$$
, as $k \to \infty$. (2.48)

Since (H_k) is a Cauchy sequence, we also have:

$$||H_k - H_l||_{L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \to 0$$
, as $k, l \to \infty$. (2.49)

On the other hand, if $g_0 \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a fixed window, then for each fixed

 $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, using (2.42) and (2.44) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{k} - H_{l}\|_{L_{w}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &= \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_{n}}(f_{n}^{(k)}) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_{n}}(f_{n}^{(l)})\|_{L_{w}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \\ &= \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_{n}}\left(f_{n}^{(k)} - f_{n}^{(l)}\right)\|_{L_{w}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{\|V_{g_{0}}g_{m}\|_{L_{v}^{1}}}\|V_{g_{m}}^{*}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_{n}}\left(f_{n}^{(k)} - f_{n}^{(l)}\right)\right)\|_{M_{w}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\|V_{g_{0}}g_{m}\|_{L_{v}^{1}}}\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_{m}}^{*}V_{g_{n}}\left(f_{n}^{(k)} - f_{n}^{(l)}\right)\|_{M_{w}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\|V_{g_{0}}g_{m}\|_{L_{v}^{1}}}\|f_{m}^{(k)} - f_{m}^{(l)}\|_{M_{w}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} . \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.50)$$

Thus, $(f_m^{(k)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in $M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is complete, there exists $f_m \in M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that

$$\|f_m^{(k)} - f_m\|_{M^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0$$
, as $k \to \infty$. (2.51)

Finally, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|f_m^{(k)} - f_m\|_{M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \frac{\epsilon}{N} , \qquad (2.52)$$

whenever $k \geq K$.

It follows that:

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n^{(k)}) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n)\|_{L^{p,q}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \\ = \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}\left(f_n^{(k)} - f_n\right)\|_{L^{p,q}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$$
(2.53)

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|V_{g_n} \left(f_n^{(k)} - f_n \right) \|_{L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \asymp N \|f_n^{(k)} - f_n\|_{M^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} < \epsilon .$$

This means that $\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n^{(k)}) \to \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n)$, as $k \to \infty$, and hence $F = \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n)$.

We end this subsection by proving a density theorem, which might be considered folklore, but the authors couldn't find its published version elsewhere.

Theorem 27 Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, let $w \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a v-moderate weight, and let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the closure of all linear combinations of $(V_{f_n}(f_m))_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $L_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Proof. Let us assume first that $F \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, and consider an arbitrary $G \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Define

$$a_{nm} = \langle F, V_{f_n}(f_m) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \langle V_{f_n}^* F, f_m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} , \qquad (2.54)$$

where $V_{f_n}^*$ denotes the adjoint of the STFT, (2.43).

Recall that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality bracket $(L_w^{p,q})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times L_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to \mathbb{C}$, whereas $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$ is the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We have:

$$\left| \langle G, \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} a_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m) - F \rangle \right| = \left| \langle G, \overline{\sum_{n,m=1}^{N} a_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m) - F} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \right|$$

$$\leq \|G\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \|\sum_{n,m=1}^{N} a_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m) - F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} .$$

(2.55)

Now, since $(V_{f_n}(f_m))_{n,m}$ is obviously an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, it follows that F can be expanded in that basis with the coefficients a_{nm} given by (2.54). Then (2.55) implies that

$$| < G, \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} a_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m) - F > | \to 0 ,$$

as $N \to \infty$, for all $G \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since $M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is dense in $(L_w^{p,q})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{N} a_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m) \rightharpoonup F ,$$

where \rightarrow denotes the weak convergence in $L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

By Mazur's Lemma (cf. [18, 40]), there exists a function $\mathcal{N} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence of sets of real numbers $\{\alpha(N)_k, k = N, \dots, \mathcal{N}(N)\}$, with $\alpha(N)_k \ge 0$ and $\sum_{k=N}^{\mathcal{N}(N)} \alpha(N)_k = 1$, such that the sequence

$$H_N = \sum_{k=N}^{\mathcal{N}(N)} \alpha(N)_k \sum_{n,m=1}^k a_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m) = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\mathcal{N}(N)} b_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m) ,$$

converges strongly to F in $L^{p,q}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Here (b_{nm}) denotes a new set of complex coefficients which, for $1 \leq n, m \leq \mathcal{N}(N)$, can be expressed as a linear combinations of the coefficients $\{a_{nm}, 1 \leq n, m \leq \mathcal{N}(N)\}$.

Finally, choose an arbitrary $F \in L_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since $M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is dense in $L_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $F_0 \in M_v^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $||F - F_0||_{L_w^{p,q}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. From the previous result, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a set of complex numbers $(b_{nm})_{nm}$ such that $||F_0 - \sum_{n,m=1}^N b_{nm}V_{f_n}(f_m)||_{L_w^{p,q}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and it finally follows that

$$\|F - \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} b_{nm} V_{f_n}(f_m)\|_{L^{p,q}_w} < \epsilon,$$

which proves the result. \blacksquare

2.5Extension of the products

To extend the products from Subsection 2.3 we use multiplication properties for modulation spaces. Initial general results from [20] based on the Fourier transforms of convolutions in Wiener-amalgam spaces, were thereafter reconsidered by different authors, [3, 12, 52, 57, 59]. Here we use a recent result from [56] since it contains quite general and simple conditions on the weight functions.

In the sequel we shall use the Young functional given by

$$R(p) = 1 + \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2},$$
(2.56)

for $p = (p_0, p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^3$. The common conditions for the Hölder and the Young inequalities are then given by R(p) = 1, and R(p) = 0, respectively.

To extend the Gabor product we first recall a result on pointwise products in modulation spaces related to general weights $w_0, w_1, w_2 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ which satisfy the condition

$$w_0(x,\omega_1+\omega_2) \preceq w_1(x,\omega_1)w_2(x,\omega_2).$$
 (2.57)

Theorem 28 [56, Theorem 3.2] Let there be given $p_i, q_i \in [1, \infty], j =$ 0,1,2, such that $R(p) \leq 1$ and $R(q) \leq 0$, and let $w_0, w_1, w_2 \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ satisfy (2.57). Then the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 \cdot f_2$ on $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ extends to a continuous map from $M_{w_1}^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times M_{w_2}^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $M_{w_0}^{p_0,q_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The extension is unique when $p_j, q_j < \infty, j = 1, 2$.

If the conditions in Theorem 28 hold, then its result can be restated as follows:

$$||f_1 \cdot f_2||_{M^{p_0,q_0}_{w_0}} \precsim ||f_1||_{M^{p_1,q_1}_{w_1}} ||f_2||_{M^{p_2,q_2}_{w_2}}.$$

Note that once the cases R(p) = 1 and R(q) = 0 are proved, then the more general conditions $R(p) \leq 1$ and $R(q) \leq 0$ are provided by the embeddings in 2.41. We also refer to [12, Proposition 2.4.23] for the related result which follows from Theorem 28 since the involved weights satisfy 2.57.

Next we consider an extension of the Gabor product related to $\mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{G},w}^{(N),p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Recall that, by Theorem 26 all elements of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{G},w}^{(N),p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ are of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n)$, with $f_n \in M_w^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Theorem 29 Let there be given $N, M \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq p_j, q_j < \infty, j = 0, 1, 2$, such that $R(p) \leq 1$ and $R(q) \leq 0$. Furthermore, let $w_j \in \mathscr{P}_E(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be v_j -moderate and such that (2.57) holds, let $g \in M^1_{v_0}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, and let $\mathscr{G} = \{g_j\} \subset M^1_v(\mathbb{R}^d), v = \max\{v_1, v_2\}$, be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and denote by $\overline{\mathscr{G}} = \{\overline{g}_i\}$ the orthonormal basis of the complex-conjugates.

Then the Gabor product \natural_g extends from $V_{\mathscr{G}}^{(N)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times V_{\overline{\mathscr{G}}}^{(M)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ to a continuous map from $\mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{G},w_1}^{(N),p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times \mathcal{V}_{\overline{\mathscr{G}},w_2}^{(M),p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ to $\mathcal{V}_{g,w_0}^{p_0,q_0}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, and

$$||F_1\natural_g F_2||_{L^{p_0,q_0}_{w_0}} \precsim ||F_1||_{L^{p_1,q_1}_{w_1}} ||F_2||_{L^{p_2,q_2}_{w_2}} , \qquad (2.58)$$

for all $F_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathscr{G},w_1}^{(N),p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), F_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{\overline{\mathscr{G}},w_2}^{(M),p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$

We remark that the hidden constants appearing in (2.58) may depend on d, the indices p_0, q_0, \dots , the weights $w_0, w_1, \dots, s_0, t_0, \dots$ and on the elements g_1, \dots, g_K ($K = \max\{N, M\}$) of the basis, but not on F_1 and F_2 . **Proof.** We aim to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n) \natural_g \sum_{m=1}^{N} V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m) \|_{L^{p_0,q_0}_{w_0}} \precsim \\ \lesssim \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n) \|_{L^{p_1,q_1}_{w_1}} \|\sum_{m=1}^{N} V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m) \|_{L^{p_2,q_2}_{w_2}} , \end{aligned}$$
(2.59)

where the weights and the Lebesgue parameters satisfy the conditions of Theorem 28.

Note that we can assume N = M. If, say N > M, then we can write: $\sum_{m=1}^{M} V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m)$, with $h_m = 0$, for $m = M + 1, \dots, N$. Since $(g_n)_n$ is an orthonormal basis, we have from (2.27):

$$V_{g_n}(f_n) \natural_g V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m) = \delta_{n,m} V_g(f_n \cdot h_n) , \qquad (2.60)$$

where $\delta_{n,m}$ is the Kronecker delta. Consequently:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n) \natural_g \sum_{m=1}^{N} V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m) = \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n) \natural_g V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m) =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} V_g(f_n \cdot h_n) = V_g \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n \cdot h_n \right) .$$
(2.61)

We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} V_{g_n}(f_n) \natural_g \sum_{m=1}^{N} V_{\overline{g_m}}(h_m) \|_{L^{p_0,q_0}_{w_0}} = \\ &= \|V_g \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n \cdot h_n\right) \|_{L^{p_0,q_0}_{w_0}} = \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n \cdot h_n \|_{M^{p_0,q_0}_{w_0}} \end{aligned}$$
(2.62)

To make the proof clearer, let us start with the case N = 2. We can write $f_1 \cdot h_1 + f_2 \cdot h_2$ as

$$f_1 \cdot h_1 + f_2 \cdot h_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left((f_1 + f_2) \cdot (h_1 + h_2) + (f_1 - f_2) \cdot (h_1 - h_2) \right) . \quad (2.63)$$

However, in order to consider a generalization to the case N > 2 it is better to consider the following more redundant decomposition:

$$f_1 \cdot h_1 + f_2 \cdot h_2 = \frac{1}{2^2} \left((f_1 + f_2) \cdot (h_1 + h_2) + (f_1 - f_2) \cdot (h_1 - h_2) + (-f_1 + f_2) \cdot (-h_1 + h_2) + (-f_1 - f_2) \cdot (-h_1 - h_2) \right) ,$$
(2.64)

For $N \ge 2$, we can write:

$$f_1 \cdot h_1 + \dots + f_N \cdot h_N = \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N = \pm} (\sigma_1 f_1 + \dots + \sigma_N f_N) \cdot (\sigma_1 h_1 + \dots + \sigma_N h_N) =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{[\Sigma]} \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j f_j \sum_{m=1}^N \sigma_m h_m ,$$
(2.65)

where we used the compact notation $\sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N = \pm} = \sum_{[\Sigma]}$. It follows from the triangle inequality, Theorem 28 and (2.42), that

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n} \cdot h_{n}\|_{M_{w_{0}}^{p_{0},q_{0}}} &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{N}} \|\sum_{[\Sigma]} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} f_{j}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sigma_{m} h_{m}\right)\|_{M_{w_{0}}^{p_{0},q_{0}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{N}} \sum_{[\Sigma]} \|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} f_{j}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sigma_{m} h_{m}\right)\|_{M_{w_{0}}^{p_{0},q_{0}}} \\ &\asymp \frac{1}{2^{N}} \sum_{[\Sigma]} \|\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j} f_{j}\|_{M_{w_{1}}^{p_{1},q_{1}}} \|\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sigma_{m} h_{m}\|_{M_{w_{2}}^{p_{2},q_{2}}} \\ &\asymp \frac{1}{2^{N}} \sum_{[\Sigma]} \|\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\sigma_{j}}{\langle \gamma_{[\Sigma]}, g_{j} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}} V_{\gamma_{[\Sigma]}}^{*} V_{g_{j}}(f_{j})\|_{M_{w_{1}}^{p_{1},q_{1}}} \times \\ &\times \|\sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\sigma_{m}}{\langle \eta_{[\Sigma]}, \overline{g_{m}} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}} V_{\eta_{[\Sigma]}}^{*} V_{\overline{g_{m}}}(h_{m})\|_{M_{w_{2}}^{p_{2},q_{2}}} \end{split}$$

The windows $\gamma_{[\Sigma]},~\eta_{[\Sigma]}\in M^1_v$ can be taken are arbitrary, besides the obvious conditions

 $\langle \gamma_{[\Sigma]}, g_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \neq 0, \quad \langle \eta_{[\Sigma]}, \overline{g_j} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \neq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$

By choosing

$$\gamma_{[\Sigma]} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j g_j \text{ and } \eta_{[\Sigma]} = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sigma_m \overline{g_m},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\sigma_{j}}{\langle \gamma_{[\Sigma]}, g_{j} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}} = \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\langle \gamma_{[\Sigma]}, g_{1} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}}, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, N,$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{m}}{\langle \eta_{[\Sigma]}, \overline{g_{m}} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}} = \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\langle \eta_{[\Sigma]}, \overline{g_{1}} \rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}}, \quad \forall m = 1, \cdots, N$$
(2.67)

From (2.66), (2.67) and (2.44), it follows that:

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n \cdot h_n\|_{M^{p_0,q_0}_{w_0}}$$

$$\stackrel{\prec}{\preceq} \frac{1}{2^{N}} \sum_{[\Sigma]} \frac{\|V_{g}\gamma_{[\Sigma]}\|_{L^{1}_{v}} \|V_{g}\eta_{[\Sigma]}\|_{L^{1}_{v}}}{\left|\langle\gamma_{[\Sigma]},g_{1}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \langle\eta_{[\Sigma]},\overline{g_{1}}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}\right|} \|\sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{g_{j}}f_{j}\|_{L^{p_{1},q_{1}}_{w_{1}}} \|\sum_{m=1}^{N} V_{\overline{g_{m}}}h_{m}\|_{L^{p_{2},q_{2}}_{w_{2}}}$$

$$(2.68)$$

Finally, from (2.62) and (2.68), we obtain (2.59), which proves the theorem. \blacksquare

Remark 30 The condition $R(q) \leq 0$ in Theorem 28 can be relaxed into $R(q) \leq 1/2$ when the involved weights are of a polynomial growth. Then we may use [57, Theorem 2.4 (2)] to obtain extensions of the Gabor product similar to the ones given in Theorem 28. We omit the details, since the conditions on the involved weights then become quite technical, cf. [57].

Theorem 29 holds for arbitrary $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the density theorem (Theorem 27), we may be tempted to take the limit $N, M \to \infty$ and thus extend the results to the entire mixed-norm spaces. However, with the technique used in the proof of Theorem 29, the upper bound constants may grow unboundedly as $N, M \to \infty$. Nevertheless, we are convinced that the result can be extended through some other method, and we state it as a conjecture.

Conjecture 31 Let the assumptions on the Lebesgue parameters and weight functions from Theorem 29 hold true. Then the inequality (2.58) holds for all $F_1 \in L_{w_1}^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), F_2 \in L_{w_2}^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$

Although we were unable to prove the conjecture in its full generality, we can nevertheless prove that one can extend the product continuously to specific mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces (see Theorem 32).

For these extensions we use further properties of modulation spaces. Firstly, we use embedding relations between Fourier–Lebesgue and modulations spaces:

 $\mathcal{F}L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \hookrightarrow M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \quad 1 \le q \le \infty, \quad p \ge \max\{q, q'\}.$ (2.69)

Recall, $f \in \mathcal{F}L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $\hat{f} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$||f||_{\mathcal{F}L^q} = ||f||_{L^q}.$$

For the embeddings (2.69) we refer to [13, Corollary 1.1] and [52, Proposition 1.7]. We also note that Fourier–Lebesgue and modulation spaces are locally the same, see [45, Corollary 2].

Apart from these embeddings we also use the fact that the map $f \mapsto \langle D \rangle^{s_0} f$ is a homeomorphism from $M_{t,s+s_0}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $M_{t,s}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p,q \leq \infty$, $t,s,s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, see e.g. [12, Theorem 2.3.14] and [53, Corollary 2.3]. Here $D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \partial$ is the operator of differentiation, and $\langle D \rangle^{s_0} f = \mathcal{F} \langle \cdot \rangle^{s_0} \hat{f}$ is the Fourier multiplier, $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 32 Let there be given $1 \leq p, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$, and let p' and q_0 be determined by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and R(q) = 0. Then the following holds:

1. If $r \geq \max\{q_0, q'_0\}$, and $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, then the Gabor product \natural_g extends from $S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ to a continuous map from $L^{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times L^{p',q_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ to $L^{r,q_0}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, and

$$||F\natural_g H||_{L^{r,q_0}} \precsim ||F||_{L^{p,q_1}} ||H||_{L^{p',q_2}}.$$

2. If $r \ge \max\{q_0, q'_0\}$, $t_1 + t_2 \ge 0$, $s_1 + s_2 \ge 0$, $\min\{s_1, s_2\} \ge s$, and $g \in M^1_{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, then the Gabor product \natural_g extends from $M^1_{t_1,s_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times M^1_{t_2,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ to a continuous map from $L^{p,q_1}_{t_1,s_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times L^{p',q_2}_{t_2,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, and

$$||F\natural_{g}H||_{L^{r,q_{0}}_{0,s}} \precsim ||F||_{L^{p,q_{1}}_{t_{1},s_{1}}} ||H||_{L^{p',q_{2}}_{t_{2},s_{2}}}$$

Note that 1. follows from 2. when $t_1 = t_2 = s_1 = s_2 = s = 0$. Therefore, it is enough to show 2.. However, to emphasize an extra argument used in the weighted case, we give a detailed proof as follows.

Proof. 1. We first note that $\widehat{A}_{F,H} \in L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\widehat{A}_{F,H}$ is given by (2.23). This follows from the applications of Hölder's inequality with respect to the first variable, and Young's inequality with respect to the second variable:

$$\begin{split} \|\widehat{A}_{F,H}(\xi)\|_{L^{q_{0}}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x',\omega')H(x',\xi-\omega')dx'd\omega'|^{q_{0}}d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} \leq \\ &\leq (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |F(x',\omega')H(x',\xi-\omega')|dx'd\omega')^{q_{0}}d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} \leq \\ &\leq (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \|F(\cdot,\omega')\|_{L^{p}} \|H(\cdot,\xi-\omega')\|_{L^{p'}}d\omega')^{q_{0}}d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} = \\ &= (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \tilde{F}(\omega')\tilde{H}(\xi-\omega')d\omega')^{q_{0}}d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} = \\ &= (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |(\tilde{F}*\tilde{H})(\xi)|^{q_{0}}d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} \precsim \\ &\precsim \|\tilde{F}\|_{L^{q_{1}}} \|\tilde{H}\|_{L^{q_{2}}} = \|F\|_{L^{p,q_{1}}} \|H\|_{L^{p',q_{2}}}, \end{split}$$

where $\tilde{F}(\omega') = \|F(\cdot, \omega')\|_{L^p}$ and $\tilde{H}(\omega') = \|H(\cdot, \omega')\|_{L^{p'}}$. By the assumptions it follows that $\tilde{F}(\omega') \in L^{q_1}$, $\tilde{H}(\omega') \in L^{q_2}$, so the last inequality in (2.70) follows from the Young inequality.

Therefore, $A_{F,H} \in \mathcal{F}L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and by (2.69) it follows that $A_{F,H} \in M^{r,q_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $r \geq \max\{q_0,q'_0\}$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||F\natural_{g}H||_{L^{r,q_{0}}} &= ||V_{g}A_{F,H}||_{L^{r,q_{0}}} = ||A_{F,H}||_{M^{r,q_{0}}} \lesssim \\ &\lesssim ||A_{F,H}||_{\mathcal{F}L^{q_{0}}} = ||\hat{A}||_{L^{q_{0}}} \lesssim ||F||_{L^{p,q_{1}}} ||H||_{L^{p',q_{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

2. By the homeomorphism $A_{F,H} \mapsto \langle D \rangle^s A_{F,H}$ from $M_{0,s}^{r,q}$ to $M_{0,0}^{r,q}$ we have

$$\|A_{F,H}\|_{M^{r,q}_{0,s}} = \|\langle D \rangle^s A_{F,H}\|_{M^{r,q}_{0,0}} = \|\langle D \rangle^s A_{F,H}\|_{M^{r,q}},$$

and the embedding $\mathcal{F}L^q(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M^{r,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $r \ge \max\{q, q'\}$, gives

$$\|A_{F,H}\|_{M^{r,q_0}_{0,s}} = \|\langle D \rangle^s A_{F,H}\|_{M^{r,q_0}} \precsim \|\langle D \rangle^s A_{F,H}\|_{\mathcal{F}L^{q_0}} = \|\langle \widehat{D} \rangle^s \widehat{A}_{F,H}\|_{L^{q_0}} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{A}_{F,H}\|_{L^{q_0}} = \|\widehat{A}_{F,H}\|_{L^{q_0}_{s}},$$

so that

$$||A_{F,H}||_{M_{0,0}^{r,q_0}} \precsim ||\hat{A}_{F,H}||_{L_s^{q_0}}$$

Now we proceed as in 1.,

$$\begin{split} \|F\natural_{g}H\|_{L^{r,q_{0}}_{0,s}} &= \|A_{F,H}\|_{M^{r,q_{0}}_{0,s}} \precsim \|\hat{A}_{F,H}\|_{L^{q_{0}}_{s}} \leq \\ &\leq (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |F(x',\omega')H(x',\xi-\omega')| \langle x' \rangle^{t_{1}+t_{2}} dx' d\omega')^{q_{0}} \langle \xi \rangle^{s} d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} \leq \\ &\leq (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \|F(\cdot,\omega')\|_{L^{p}_{t_{1}}} \|H(\cdot,\xi-\omega')\|_{L^{p'}_{t_{2}}} d\omega')^{q_{0}} \langle \xi \rangle^{s} d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} = \\ &= (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |(\tilde{F}*\tilde{H})(\xi)|^{q_{0}} \langle \xi \rangle^{s} d\xi)^{1/q_{0}} \precsim \\ &\gtrsim \|\tilde{F}\|_{L^{q_{1}}_{s_{1}}} \|\tilde{H}\|_{L^{q_{2}}_{s_{2}}} = \|F\|_{L^{p,q_{1}}_{t_{1},s_{1}}} \|H\|_{L^{p',q_{2}}_{t_{2},s_{2}}}, \end{split}$$

where $\tilde{F}(\omega') = \|F(\cdot, \omega')\|_{L^p_{t_1}}$ and $\tilde{H}(\omega') = \|H(\cdot, \omega')\|_{L^{p'}_{t_2}}$, $t_1 + t_2 \ge 0$, and we used the Young inequality for weighted Lebesgue spaces

$$L_{s_1}^{q_1} * L_{s_2}^{q_2} \subseteq L_s^{q_0}$$

when R(q) = 0, $s_1 + s_2 \ge 0$, and $\min\{s_1, s_2\} \ge s$, see e.g. (0.1)–(0.3) in [57] (and also [57, Theorem 2.2] for a more general situation).

Remark 33 The results of Theorems 29 and 32 are different, although partially overlapping. Let us briefly comment on unweighted case for simplicity. If in Theorem 32 we assume that $F = V_{g_1}f$ and $H = V_{g_2}h$ for some $f, h \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and if $g_1, g_2, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, then by Theorem 14 it follows that

$$F\natural_g H = V_{g_1}(f)\natural_g V_{\overline{g_2}}(h) = \langle g_2, g_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} V_g(f \cdot h),$$

and Theorem 32 can be viewed as a special case of Theorem 29, when $1 \leq p, q_1, q_2 < \infty$.

On the other hand, if the assumptions of Theorem 32 are fulfilled and if $g_1, g_2, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$, then the extension of the Gabor product holds also when $F \in L^{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \setminus \mathcal{V}_{g_1}^{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $H \in L^{p',q_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \setminus \mathcal{V}_{g_2}^{p',q_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ which is not covered by Theorem 29. Moreover, unlike Theorem 29, Theorem 32 holds even if (some of) the involved Lebesgue exponents are infinite.

Remark 34 In view of (2.9), both Theorem 29 and Theorem 32 hold when the Gabor product is replaced by the Wigner product.

2.6 Algebras

Under the conditions of Corollary 15, the product (2.31) can be used to define algebras of functions in \mathbb{R}^{2d} from algebras in \mathbb{R}^d . Here are two examples. **Example 35** The space $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of test functions is an algebra under pointwise multiplication. Let $V_g(S(\mathbb{R}^d))$ denote its range under the action of the STFT V_g . Then $V_g(S(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is an algebra with the product \natural_g given by (2.31). The same is true if $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is replaced by $S^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Example 36 Another interesting example is Feichtinger's algebra $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Recall that by Lemma 11 we have

$$S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{F}\left(L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\right) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$
(2.72)

Let then $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\overline{g} = g$ and put $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = V_g(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. In view of Theorem 14 (where (2.72) is used) we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is an algebra with respect to the product \natural_g .

On the other hand, if g is in addition an even function, then $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is an algebra with respect to the product:

$$(F \diamondsuit_g H) (x, \omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \overline{g(x' + x'' - x)} F(x', \omega') \times$$
$$\times H(x'', \omega') e^{2i\pi(\omega' - \omega) \cdot (x' + x'')} dx' dx'' d\omega'.$$

This product is defined for e.g $F, H \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and it extends to appropriate mixed-norm spaces as in Subsection 2.5.

Indeed, we have for $||g||_{L^2} = 1$:

$$\begin{split} V_g(f\star h)(x,\omega) &= e^{-2i\pi x\cdot\omega}V_{\widehat{g}}\left((f\star h)\right)(\omega,-x) = \\ &= e^{-2i\pi x\cdot\omega}V_{\widehat{g}}\left(\widehat{f}\cdot\widehat{h}\right)(\omega,-x) = \\ &= e^{-2i\pi x\cdot\omega}\left(V_{\widehat{g}}\widehat{f}\natural_{\widehat{g}}V_{\overline{\widehat{g}}}\widehat{h}\right)(\omega,-x) = \\ &= e^{-2i\pi x\cdot\omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}}\overline{g(x'+x''-x)}V_{\widehat{g}}\widehat{f}(\omega',-x')\times \\ &\times V_{\overline{\widehat{g}}}\widehat{h}(\omega',-x'')e^{-2i\pi\omega\cdot(x'+x''-x)}dx'dx''d\omega' = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}}\overline{g(x'+x''-x)}V_gf(x',\omega')\times \\ &\times V_{I\overline{g}}h(x'',\omega')e^{2i\pi(\omega'-\omega)\cdot(x'+x'')}dx'dx''d\omega' = \\ &= (V_gf \diamondsuit_g V_{I\overline{g}}h)(x,\omega). \end{split}$$

Consequently, $V_g(f \star h) = V_g f \diamondsuit_g V_g h$ if $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is real and even.

From this algebraic point of view, it is useful to determine an involution suitable for the products \natural_g and \natural_g (see [25, Section 1.1]). First we note that

the complex conjugate of $F \in (\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is given by

$$<\overline{F},\Phi>:=\overline{},\qquad\Phi\in\mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

and the action of \mathcal{Z}_{ξ} (see (2.24)) on $F \in (\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is given by

$$< \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}F, \Phi > := < F, \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}\Phi >, \qquad \Phi \in \mathcal{S}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Definition 37 Let $F \in (\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We define the operation

$$F(x,\omega)^* = \overline{F(x,-\omega)} = \overline{(\mathcal{Z}_0 F)(x,\omega)}, \qquad (2.73)$$

where \mathcal{Z}_{ξ} is the operator given by (2.24).

Proposition 38 Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be an algebra with respect to the product \natural_g or \natural_g with $\overline{g} = g$ and $||g||_{L^2} = 1$. The operation * given by (2.73) is an involution in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Proof. Clearly,

$$(F+G)^* = F^* + G^*, \qquad (\alpha F)^* = \overline{\alpha} F^*, \qquad (F^*)^* = F$$

for all $F \in (\mathcal{S}^{(1)})'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Finally, let $F, H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Then

$$(F\natural_{g}H(x,\omega))^{*} = \overline{F\natural_{g}H}(x,-\omega) =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \widehat{g}(\omega'+\omega''+\omega)\overline{F(x',\omega')} \ \overline{H(x',\omega'')}e^{-2\pi ix\cdot(\omega'+\omega''+\omega)}dx'd\omega'd\omega'' =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \widehat{g}(-\omega'-\omega''+\omega)\overline{H(x',-\omega')} \ \overline{F(x',-\omega'')}e^{-2\pi ix\cdot(-\omega'-\omega''+\omega)}dx'd\omega'd\omega''$$

where we performed the substitutions $\omega' \to -\omega''$ and $\omega'' \to -\omega'$ in the last step. Since, $\overline{g} = g$, we conclude that $\widehat{g}(-\omega) = \overline{\widehat{g}(\omega)}$ and so

$$(F\natural_g H)^* = H^*\natural_g F^*$$

and the result follows.

The proof for the Wigner product \sharp_q is similar.

Definition 37 is obviously motivated by the fact that (cf.(2.14))

$$V_g\overline{f}(x,\omega) = \overline{V_{\overline{g}}f(x,-\omega)} = \overline{V_gf(x,-\omega)} = V_gf(x,\omega)^*,$$

if $\overline{g} = g$. Similarly from (2.15) $W_g \overline{f}(x, \omega) = W_g f(x, \omega)^*$.

3 Phase-space representations of the NLSE

In this section we derive three different representations of the cubic NLSE in phase-space. The first two are obtained via windowed transforms from Section 2, and the third representation is given by using the Wigner transform. The resulting equation resembles the Boltzmann equation.

Let I be some open interval in \mathbb{R} . For some normed space X with norm $|| \cdot ||_X$, we denote by C(I, X) the set of continuous functions from I to X.

The Laplacian is given by $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$. Finally, let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \times I \to \mathbb{C}$. The elliptic NLSE is given by

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + \Delta\psi + \lambda|\psi|^{2\sigma}\psi = 0$$
(3.1)

for an attracting $(\lambda = +1)$ or repulsive $(\lambda = -1)$ power-law nonlinearity. It is subject to the initial condition:

$$\psi(x,0) = \varphi(x).$$

We then have the following theorem for the existence of solutions in Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [48] (cf. Example 24 for the definition of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

Theorem 39 For $0 \leq \sigma < \frac{2}{d-2}$ (no conditions on σ when d = 1 or d = 2) and an initial condition $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists, locally in time, a unique maximal solution ψ in $C((-T^*, T^*), H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where maximal means that if $T^* < \infty$, then $||\psi||_{H^1} \to \infty$ as t approaches T^* . In addition, ψ satisfies the probability and energy conservation laws:

$$\mathcal{P}\left[\psi\right] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(x,t)|^2 dx = \mathcal{P}\left[\varphi\right]$$
$$H\left[\psi\right] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\nabla\psi(x,t)|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma+1} |\psi(x,t)|^{2\sigma+2} \right) dx = H\left[\varphi\right]$$

and depends continuously on the initial condition $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Moreover, if the initial condition φ belongs to the space

$$\Sigma = \left\{ f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : |xf(x)| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\}$$

of functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite variance, the above maximal solution belongs to $C((-T^*, T^*), \Sigma)$. The variance

$$V(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 |\psi(x,t)|^2 dx$$

belongs to $C^2(-T^*,T^*)$ and satisfies the identity:

$$\frac{d^2V}{dt^2} = 8H - 4\lambda \frac{d\sigma - 2}{\sigma + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(x, t)|^{2\sigma + 2} dx.$$

We will henceforth focus on the cubic equation ($\sigma = 1$).

3.1 The STFT and the windowed Wigner representations

Using the following intertwining relations

$$V_g(x_j\psi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_j}V_g(\psi), \qquad V_g\left(-i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_j}\right) = \left(2\pi\omega_j - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)V_g\psi,$$

 $j = 1, \dots, d$, we obtain upon application of the STFT V_g to (3.1) with $\sigma = 1$:

$$i\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(2\pi\omega_j - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 F + \lambda F^* \natural_g F \natural_g F = 0,$$

where $F(x, \omega, t) = V_g(\psi)(x, \omega, t)$, F^* is given by (2.73), g is real and \natural_g is as in (2.22). Here,

$$V_g(\psi)(x,\omega,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y,t) \overline{g(y-x)} e^{-2\pi i \omega \cdot y} dy, \qquad x,\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly, the intertwining relations for the Wigner wave-packet transform are given by the so-called Bopp operators:

$$W_g(x_j\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_j + \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_j} \right) W_g\psi,$$
$$W_g\left(\frac{1}{2i\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\psi \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_j - \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) W_g\psi, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, d$$

This then leads to the following phase-space representation of the cubic NLSE:

$$i\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(\pi\omega_j - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 F + \lambda F^* \sharp_g F \sharp_g F = 0,$$

where this time $F = W_g \psi$, g is real and \sharp_g is given by (2.32).

3.2 The Wigner-Moyal representation

Our final goal is to derive the Wigner-Moyal equation for the associated Wigner function $W\psi$. The kinetic part $\Delta\psi$ is known to lead to a diffusive term of the form $4\pi\omega\cdot\nabla_x W\psi(x,\omega)$.

Next, we consider the interaction term:

$$i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2i\pi\omega \cdot y} \left\{ \psi \left(x + \frac{y}{2} \right) \left| \psi \left(x - \frac{y}{2} \right) \right|^2 \overline{\psi \left(x - \frac{y}{2} \right)} \right.$$
$$\left. -\overline{\psi \left(x - \frac{y}{2} \right)} \left| \psi \left(x + \frac{y}{2} \right) \right|^2 \psi \left(x + \frac{y}{2} \right) \right\} dy =$$
$$= i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2i\pi\omega \cdot y} \psi \left(x + \frac{y}{2} \right) \overline{\psi \left(x - \frac{y}{2} \right)}$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ W\psi \left(x - \frac{y}{2}, \omega'' \right) - W\psi \left(x + \frac{y}{2}, \omega'' \right) d\omega'' \right\} dy$$

where we used (2.16).

Gathering all the results and using (2.18), we finally obtain:

$$\frac{\partial W\psi}{\partial t} = -4\pi\omega \cdot \nabla_x W\psi + \mathcal{Q}\left(W\psi, W\psi\right),$$

where the "collision" term is given by:

$$\mathcal{Q}(F,G)(x,\omega) := 2^{d}i\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} e^{-4i\pi y \cdot (\omega-\omega')} F(x,\omega') \times$$
$$\times \{G(x+y,\omega'') - G(x-y,\omega'')\} dyd\omega'd\omega'' =$$
$$= 2^{d+1}\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \sin\left[4\pi(\omega-\omega') \cdot (y-x)\right] F(x,\omega')G(y,\omega'')dyd\omega'd\omega''$$

We have thus far been somewhat informal in the derivation of the collision term. We may assume for the time being that $F, G \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. To extend this definition, we shall assume that

$$F(x,\cdot) = F_x(\cdot) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \qquad (3.2)$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p \ge 1$, and

$$g_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x,\omega) d\omega \in \mathcal{F}L^q(\mathbb{R}^d), \qquad q \ge 1.$$
(3.3)

By a straightforward computation, we can then show that

$$\mathcal{Q}(F,G)(x,\omega) = 2^{d/2} i\lambda \left[\left(F_x \star D_{\frac{1}{2}} M_x \widehat{g}_1 \right)(\omega) - \left(F_x \star \mathcal{I} D_{\frac{1}{2}} M_x \widehat{g}_1 \right)(\omega) \right],$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Consequently, by Young's Theorem (Theorem 1), we conclude that under the conditions (3.2,3.3), we have:

$$\mathcal{Q}(F,G)(x,\cdot) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and r such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$. The derived equation leads to several conservation laws. We illustrate here the conservation of the normalization. Quantum mechanically this corresponds to the conservation of probability. We remark that if $\psi \in$ $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $W\psi \in L^{1,1}_{0,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We start with the following Lemma.

Lemma 40 Suppose that $F, G \in L_{0,2}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and (3.3) holds for q = 1. Then the following identity holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{Q}(F,G)(x,\omega) d\omega = 0, \qquad (3.4)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. Since $F \in L_{0,2}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we conclude that $F_x(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. From the previous analysis it follows that $\mathcal{Q}(F,G)(x,\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By Fubini's Theorem, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{Q}(F,G)(x,\omega) d\omega = 0,$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which proves (i).

Let us now comment on the conservation laws for the equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + 4\pi\omega \cdot \nabla_x F = \mathcal{Q}(F, F). \tag{3.5}$$

We emphasize again the strong formal similarity between this equation and Boltzmann's equation.

Under the conditions of Lemma 40 for F, we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x,\omega,t) dx d\omega =$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (-4\pi\omega \cdot \nabla_x F(x,\omega,t) + \mathcal{Q}(F,F)(x,\omega,t) dx d\omega.$$

The first term vanishes as it is the integral of a total derivative. The second term also vanishes in view of identity (3.4).

It may be shown that under suitable regularity conditions, we have conservation of energy:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left(\omega^2 - \frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, \omega', t) d\omega' \right) F(x, \omega, t) dx d\omega = 0.$$

We conclude with a brief comment on the solutions of this equation. Suppose we choose as the initial distribution the Wigner function $F(x, \omega, 0) = W\varphi(x, \omega)$ associated with some wave function $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as in Theorem 39. Then the solution of (3.5) is given by $W\psi(x, \omega, t)$, where $\psi(x, t)$ is the solution of the cubic NLSE (for $t \in I$). In a future work, we shall study the existence of solutions of (3.5) for initial distributions which are not Wigner functions.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to H. G. Feichtinger for valuable comments, suggestions and discussions which helped us in improving the first version of the manuscript.

The work of N. Teofanov is partially supported by projects TIFREFUS Project DS 15, and MPNTR of Serbia Grant No. Grant No. 451–03– 68/2022–14/200125.

References

- R.A. Adams, J.J.F. Fournier. Sobolev Spaces, Second Edition, Academic Press (2003).
- [2] W. Beckner. Inequalities in Fourier analysis. Ann. of Math. 102 (1975) 159-182.
- [3] Á. Bényi, K. Okoudjou. Modulation Spaces With Applications to Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations, Birkhäuser, Basel (2020).
- [4] P. Boggiatto, E. Cordero, K. Gröchenig. Generalized anti-Wick operators with symbols in distributional Sobolev spaces. Integral Equations Operator Theory, 48 (2004), 427–442.
- [5] D.I. Bondar, R. Cabrera, D.V. Zhdanov, H.A. Rabitz. Wigner phase space distribution as a wave function. Phys. Rev. A 88 (2013) 052108.
- [6] D.I. Bondar, R. Cabrera, R.R. Lompay, M.Y. Yvanov, H.A. Rabitz. Operational dynamic modeling transcending quantum and classical mechanics. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 190403.
- [7] H.J. Brascamp, E.H. Lieb: Best constants in Young's inequality, its converse, and its generalization to more than three functions. Adv. Math. 20 (1976) 151–173.
- [8] R. Carles, E. Dumas, C. Sparber. Multiphase weakly nonlinear geometric optics for Schrödinger equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42 (2010) 489–518.
- [9] R. Carles. WKB analysis for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential. Commun. Math. Phys. 269 (2007) 195–221.
- [10] R. Carles. Remarques sur les mesures de Wigner. Compt. R. Acad. Sci. 332 (2001) 981–984.
- [11] R. Carles, C. Fermanian-Kammerer, N.J. Mauser, H.P. Stimming. On the time evolution of Wigner measures for Schrödinger equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 8 (2009) 559–585.
- [12] E. Cordero, L. Rodino. Time-Frequency Analysis of Operators, Studies in Mathematics, 75, De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston (2020).
- [13] J. Cunanan, M. Kobayashi, M. Sugimoto. Inclusion relations between L^p -Sobolev and Wiener amalgam spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015) 239–254.

- [14] N.C. Dias, M. de Gosson, F. Luef, J.N. Prata. Quantum mechanics in phase space: The Schrödinger and the Moyal representations. J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 3 (2012) 367–398.
- [15] N.C. Dias, M. de Gosson, F. Luef, J.N. Prata. A Pseudo-Differential Calculus on Non-Standard Symplectic Space; Spectral and Regularity Results in Modulation Spaces. J. Math. Pur. Appl. 96 (2011) 423-445.
- [16] N.C. Dias, M. de Gosson, J.N. Prata: Dimensional extension of pseudodifferential operators: Properties and spectral results. J. Func. Anal. 266 (2014) 3772–3796.
- [17] L. Diosi. Gravitation and quantum-mechanical localization of macroobjects. Physics Letters A 105 (1984) 199-202.
- [18] I. Ekeland, R. Témam. Convex analysis and variational problems. Classics in Applied Mathematics, SIAM (1999).
- [19] H.G. Feichtinger. On a new Segal algebra. Monatsh. Math. 92 (1981) 269–289.
- [20] H.G. Feichtinger. Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups, Technical Report, University Vienna, 1983. and also in M. Krishna, R. Radha, S. Thangavelu (eds.), Wavelets and Their Applications, Allied Publishers, 99–140 (2003).
- [21] H.G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig, K. Li, B. Wang. Navier-Stokes equation in super-critical spaces $E_{p,q}^s$, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire 38 (2021) 139–173.
- [22] H.G. Feichtinger, M.S. Jakobsen. Distribution theory by Riemann integrals, in: Mathematical modelling, optimization, analytic and numerical solutions (P. Manchanda, R. Lozi, Siddiqi A. eds.) Springer, Singapore (2020), 33–76.
- [23] H.G. Feichtinger, G. Zimmermann. A Banach space of test functions for Gabor analysis, in: Feichtinger H.G., Strohmer T. (eds) Gabor Analysis and Algorithms, 123–170. Birkhäuser, Boston (1998).
- [24] G.B. Folland. Harmonic Analysis in Phase space, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1989).
- [25] G.B. Folland. A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis. CRC Press (1995).
- [26] I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov. Generalized Functions II, Academic Press, New York (1968), reprinted by AMS (2016).

- [27] D. Giulini, *et. al.* Decoherence and the appearance of a classical world in quantum theory. Springer (1996).
- [28] M. de Gosson. Symplectic Geometry and Quantum Mechanics. Birkhäuser, Basel (2006).
- [29] M. de Gosson. Symplectic Methods in Harmonic Analysis and in Mathematical Physics. Birkhäuser, Springer Basel (2011).
- [30] M. de Gosson. The Wigner Transform. World Scientific, Singapore (2017).
- [31] A. Grossmann. Parity operator and quantization of delta-functions, Comm. Math. Phys. 48 (1976), 191–194.
- [32] K. Gröchenig. Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001).
- [33] K. Gröchenig. Weight functions in time-frequency analysis, in Pseudodifferential Operators: Partial Differential Equations and Time-Frequency Analysis (L. Rodino, M. W. Wong (Eds)), Fields Institute Communications, American Mathematical Society, 52, 343–366 (2007).
- [34] R. L. Hudson, When is the Wigner quasi-probability density nonnegative?, Rep. Math. Phys., 6 (1974), 249–252.
- [35] A. J. E. M. Janssen, A note on Hudson's theorem about functions with nonnegative Wigner distributions, Siam. J. Math. Anal., 15 (1984), 170–176.
- [36] M.S. Jakobsen. On a (no longer) New Segal Algebra: A Review of the Feichtinger Algebra. J Fourier Anal. Appl. 24 (2018), 1579–1660.
- [37] P.G. Kevrekidis, D.J. Frantzeskakis, R. Carretero-Gonzalez (eds.). Emergent nonlinear phenomena in Bose-Einstein condensation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2008).
- [38] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov, A.G. Izergin. Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions. Cambridge (1997).
- [39] E.H. Lieb. Integral bounds for radar ambiguity functions and Wigner distributions. J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990), 594–599.
- [40] S. Mazur. Über konvexe Mengen in linearen normierten Räumen. Studia Math. 4 (1933), 70–84.
- [41] V. Nazaikiinskii, B.-W. Schulze, B. Sternin. Quantization methods in differential equations. Differential and integral equations and their applications. Taylor, Francis (2002).

- [42] T. Oh, Y. Wang. Global well-posedness of the one-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation in almost critical spaces, Journal of Differential Equations, 269 (2020), 612–640.
- [43] T. Oh, Y. Wang. On global well-posedness of the modified KdV equation in modulation spaces. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems, 41 (2021), 2971–2992.
- [44] R. Penrose. On gravity's role in quantum state reduction. General Relativity and Gravitation 28 (1996), 581–600.
- [45] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft. Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces: part II. Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications 1 (2010), 341–376.
- [46] A. Royer. Wigner function as the expectation value of a parity operator. Phys. Rev. A, 15 (1977), 449–450.
- [47] M.A. Shubin. Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition (2001).
- [48] C. Sulem, P.L. Sulem: The nonlinear Schrödinger equation: selffocusing and wave collapse. Springer (1999).
- [49] N. Teofanov. Ultradistributions and time-frequency analysis. In: Pseudo-Differential Operators and Related Topics (P. Boggiatto et al. eds.). Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 164, 173–191, Birkhäuser, Verlag (2006).
- [50] N. Teofanov. Modulation spaces, Gelfand-Shilov spaces and pseudodifferential operators. Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process., Int. J., 5 (2006) 225–242.
- [51] N. Teofanov, The Grossmann-Royer transform, Gelfand-Shilov spaces, and continuity properties of localization operators on modulation spaces. In: Rodino L., Toft J. (eds) Mathematical Analysis and Applications-Plenary Lectures. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 262, 161–207. Springer, Cham (2018).
- [52] J. Toft. Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I. J. Funct. Anal. 207 (2004), 399–429.
- [53] J. Toft. Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, II. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 26 (2004), 73–106.
- [54] J. Toft. Hudson's Theorem and Rank One Operators in Weyl Calculus, in: Pseudo-Differential Operators and Related Topics, Birkhäuser, Basel (2006), 153–159.

- [55] J. Toft. The Bargmann transform on modulation and Gelfand-Shilov spaces, with applications to Toeplitz and pseudo-differential operators. J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 3 (2012), 145–227.
- [56] J. Toft. Step multipliers, Fourier step multipliers and multiplications on quasi-Banach modulation spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 282 (2022) 109343.
- [57] J. Toft, K. Johansson, S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov. Sharp convolution and multiplication estimates in weighted spaces. Analysis and Applications, 13 (2015), 457–480.
- [58] N.K. Vitanov, A. Chabchoub, N. Hoffmann. Deep-water waves: On the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and its solutions. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics 43 (2013) 43–54.
- [59] B. Wang, L. Zhao, B. Guo. Isometric decomposition operators, function spaces $E_{p,q}^{\lambda}$ and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. J. Funct. Anal. 233 (2006), 1–39.
- [60] M.W. Wong. Weyl Transforms. Springer (1998).

Author's addresses:

 Nuno Costa Dias and João Nuno Prata: Grupo de Física Matemática, Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, Edifício C6, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal and Escola Superior Náutica Infante D. Henrique. Av. Eng. Bonneville Franco, 2770-058 Paço d'Arcos, Portugal.

• Nenad Teofanov: Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Trg D. Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia.