| 1 | PERIODONTITIS AND PREECLAMPSIA IN PREGNANCY: A SYSTEMATIC |
|----|---|
| 2 | REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS |
| 3 | Quynh-Anh LE ¹ , Rahena AKHTER ² , Kimberly Mathieu COULTON ² , Ngoc Truong |
| 4 | Nhu VO ³ , Le Thi Yen DUONG ⁴ , Hoang Viet NONG ⁴ , Albert YAACOUB ⁵ , George |
| 5 | CONDOUS ⁶ , Joerg EBERHARD ⁷ , Ralph NANAN ⁸ |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | ¹ PhD candidate, DDS, School of Dentistry and the Charles Perkins Center, Faculty of |
| 10 | Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. |
| 11 | ² PhD, School of Dentistry and the Charles Perkins Center, Faculty of Medicine and Health, |
| 12 | The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. |
| 13 | ³ Associate Professor, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Odonto-Stomatology, |
| 14 | Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam. |
| 15 | ⁴ DDS, School of Odonto-Stomatology, Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam. |
| 16 | ⁵ MPH, Nepean Centre for Oral Health, Nepean hospital, Kingswood, New South Wales, |
| 17 | Australia. |
| 18 | ⁶ Associate Professor, Acute Gynaecology, Early Pregnancy, and Advanced Endoscopy |
| 19 | Surgery Unit, Nepean Hospital, Sydney Medical School Nepean, University of Sydney, |
| 20 | Sydney, Australia. |

| 21 | ⁷ Professor, School of Den | tistry and the Charles Perkins Center, Faculty of Medicine and |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|
| 22 | Health, The University of | Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. |
| 23 | ⁸ Professor, Sydney Medic | al School Nepean and Charles Perkins Center Nepean, The |
| 24 | University of Sydney, Syd | lney, New South Wales, Australia. |
| | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | CONFLICT OF INTER | EST |
| 32 | The authors report no con | flict of interest. |
| 33 | | |
| 34 | | |
| 35 | | |
| | * Corresponding author | Dr Quynh-Anh Le |
| | Address | School of Dentistry, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The |
| | | University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. |
| | Telephone | +61415076584 |
| | Email address | Qule7436@uni.sydney.edu.au |
| 36 | | |
| 37 | | |
| 38 | Total word count of abstra | act: 231 |
| 39 | Total word count of main | text: 6192 |

40 ABSTRACT

41 Objectives: A conflicting body of evidence suggests localized periodontal inflammation to
42 spread systemically during pregnancy inducing adverse pregnancy outcomes. This systematic
43 review and meta-analysis aims to specifically evaluate the relationship between periodontitis
44 and preeclampsia.

45 Data sources: Electronic searches were carried out in Medline, Pubmed, Embase, LiLacs,
46 Cochrane Controlled Clinical Trial Register, CINAHL, ClinicalTrials.gov and Google

47 Scholar with no restrictions on year of publication.

48 Study eligibility criteria: We identified and selected observational case-control and cohort

49 studies that analyzed the association between periodontal disease and preeclampsia.

50 Study appraisal and synthesis methods: This meta-analysis was conducted according to

51 PRISMA guidelines and MOOSE checklist. Pooled odds ratios, mean difference, and 95%

52 confidence intervals were calculated using the random effect model. Heterogeneity was

53 tested with Cochran's Q statistic.

54 **Results:** Thirty studies including six cohort and twenty-four case-control studies were

selected. Periodontitis was significantly associated with increased risk for preeclampsia (OR

56 3.18, 95% CI 2.26 - 4.48, p < 0.00001), especially in a subgroup analysis including cohort

studies (OR 4.19, 95% CI 2.23 – 7.87, p < 0.00001). The association was even stronger in a

subgroup analysis with lower middle-income countries (OR 6.70, 95% CI 2.61 – 17.19, p <
0.0001).

60 Conclusion: Periodontitis appears as a significant risk factor for preeclampsia, which might
61 be even more pronounced in lower middle-income countries. Future studies to investigate if
62 maternal amelioration of periodontitis prevents preeclampsia might be warranted.

63 Keywords: Periodontitis, periodontal disease, preeclampsia, pre-eclampsia, hypertension,

64 pregnancy outcome.

65 Abbreviations and Acronyms:

- 66 PD: pocket depth
- 67 CAL: clinical attachment loss

69 INTRODUCTION

| 70 | Preeclampsia is the onset of pregnancy-related hypertensive disorder and proteinuria arising |
|----|--|
| 71 | most commonly after 20 weeks of gestation, which could lead to eclampsia and induce |
| 72 | maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. The prevalence of preeclampsia is between |
| 73 | 2% to 8% of all pregnancies worldwide. ¹ Preeclampsia affected pregnancies had a higher risk |
| 74 | of poor maternal outcomes including cerebrovascular bleeding, HELLP syndrome, |
| 75 | eclampsia, poorer outcomes of their offspring including premature birth, intrauterine growth |
| 76 | restriction and the complications may manifest over years postpartum. ^{2, 3} |
| 77 | |
| 78 | Contributing to USD 6.4 billion short-term estimated costs for preeclamptic pregnancies in |
| 79 | US healthcare system, USD1.03 billion were spent on maternal healthcare and USD1.15 |
| 80 | billion were expended for infants born to these mothers while the remaining expenses were |
| 81 | for peripartum and postpartum care ⁴ . |
| 82 | |
| 83 | Herein, it is of utmost importance to manage risk factors of preeclampsia to improve maternal |
| 84 | and perinatal outcomes as well as lessen the burden on the health economic aspects. |
| 85 | |
| 86 | Depending on geographical regions approximately 14.2% and 54.8% of pregnant women |
| 87 | suffer from periodontal disease. ⁵⁻⁷ Especially, periodontitis, a more severe type of periodontal |
| 88 | diseases affecting 11% of the pregnant women, can cause the destruction of periodontal |
| 89 | tissue and cause systemic dissemination of bacteria and other inflammatory mediators. ^{8,9} |
| 90 | Systemic inflammatory processes triggered by focal periodontal infections have been |
| 91 | attributed to cardiovascular, cerebrovascular diseases and respiratory diseases. ¹⁰ Periodontitis |
| 92 | has independently been linked to several pregnancy complications such as preterm birth, low |
| 93 | |
| | birth weight and gestational diabetes. ^{11, 12} |

| 95 | Socioeconomic status is a recognized factor associated with medical outcomes, including |
|-----|--|
| 96 | pregnancy outcomes. ¹³ Women with lower socioeconomic status are at a higher risk of |
| 97 | pregnancy complications such as gestational diabetes, preterm delivery and preeclampsia. ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ |
| 98 | Women with high socioeconomic status have a statistically significant reduced risk of |
| 99 | preeclampsia with an odds ratio of 0.899 (95% CI, $0.862 - 0.937$, p< 0.001) compared to |
| 100 | women with lower socioeconomic statuses. ¹⁷ At the same time, the proportion of |
| 101 | periodontitis in pregnancy is linked to low socioeconomic status with 42.6%, compared to |
| 102 | high socioeconomic status with 15.0%. |
| 103 | |
| 104 | Two previous meta-analyses both published in 2013 reported positive associations between |
| 105 | preeclampsia and periodontitis with OR 2.17, 95% CI 1.38-3.41, p=0008 and OR of 2.79, |
| 106 | 95% CI 2.01-3.01, p<0.0001, but did not consider socioeconomic factors. ^{18,19} Since then |
| 107 | further case-control and cohort studies have been published on this research topic. ^{20,21,22} |
| 108 | Nonetheless, the causal relationship between periodontal disease and preeclampsia remains |
| 109 | unclear ^{23,24} In this review, we included all available new studies, to re-evaluate the potential |
| 110 | association between periodontitis and preeclampsia and also to take socioeconomic factors |
| 111 | into consideration. ²⁵ |
| 112 | |
| 113 | |
| 114 | METHODS |
| 115 | |
| 116 | Eligibility criteria |
| 117 | The studies were screened according to the following inclusion criteria: (1) case-control, |
| 118 | prospective cohort study, (2) studies analysing the association between periodontal disease |
| | |

and preeclampsia, (3) study population was pregnant women without systemic diseases, and
(4) data was presented in such a way where Odds Ratio and 95% Confidence Interval could
be calculated. Studies were excluded if they did not report adequate data or outcome of
interest.

123 Information sources

We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis
(PRISMA) guidelines with the checklist of 27 items to conduct our study.²⁶ We also adopted
the MOOSE checklist for Meta-analysis of Observational studies.²⁷ A systematic search of
the electronic database including Medline (from 1950), Pubmed (from 1946), Embase (from
1949), Lilacs, Cochrane Controlled Clinical Trial Register, CINAHL, ClinicalTrials.gov and
Google Scholar (from 1990) to identify relevant articles .

130 Search strategy

We used the following search terms: periodontitis, periodontal disease, preeclampsia, preeclampsia, pregnancy outcomes, pregnancy complications, hypertension. The combinations of search terms were used to explore above databases. The search strategy was peer reviewed by two independent reviewers (QA and LD). The reference lists of relevant articles were also scanned for appropriate studies. No language restrictions were adopted in either the search or study selection. No search for unpublished literature was carried out. Authors were contacted for translation and information.

138

139 Study selection

140 Two independent reviewers (LD and HN) reviewed the titles, abstracts and methods of

141 retrieved results to assess for the eligibility criteria. When there was a disagreement in a

selection process between reviewers, consensus with the third reviewer (QA) was obtained.

144 Data extraction

145 Data extraction was carried out using a standardized extraction form, collecting information

146 on the first author's name, publication year, study design, number of cases, number of

147 controls, total sample size, country, national income (according to World Bank

148 classification²⁸), mean age, the risk of estimates or data used to calculate the risk estimates,

149 Cis or data used to generate CI. The researchers cross-checked all extracted data and

150 discussed if there were disagreements.

151

152 Assessment of risk of bias

Risk of bias was executed using the Newcastle Ottawa Scale by two reviewers (QA and LD)²⁹ with disagreements resolved by consensus attainment between reviewers. This Scale has three components including Selection, Comparability and Outcome/Exposure assessment with maximum overall score of nine. Studies were rated as low risk of bias if they received nine score, moderate risk of bias if they received seven or eight score and high risk of bias if they received less than seven scores.

159

160 Data synthesis

Data were imported in a statistical software (RevMan, Version 5, 2008, The Nordic Cochrane 161 Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark). Pooled Odds Ratios, mean 162 difference, and 95% Confidence Intervals were calculated for the association between 163 periodontitis and preeclampsia using a random effects model. The pooled effect was 164 165 considered significant if p-value was less than 0.05. Forest plots for primary analysis and subgroup analysis show the raw data, Odds Ratio and CIs, Means and SDs for the chosen 166 effect, heterogeneity statistic (I²), total number of participants per group, overall Odds Ratio 167 and Mean difference. 168

definition of periodontitis (defined by pocket depth (PD) and/or clinical attachment loss ³⁰), 170 171 mean CAL, mean PD, national income (high-income or middle-income or low-income 172 countries). Heterogeneity was tested with Cochran's Q statistic, with P<0.10 indicating heterogeneity, 173 and quantified the degree of heterogeneity using the I² statistic, which represents the 174 175 percentage of the total variability across studies which is due to heterogeneity. I² values of 25, 50 and 75% corresponded to low, moderate and high degrees of heterogeneity 176 respectively³¹. We quantified publication bias using the Egger's regression model with the 177 effect of bias assessed using the fail-safe number method.³² The fail-safe number was the 178 number of studies that we would need to have missed for our observed result to be nullified 179 180 to statistical non-significance at the p<0.05 level. Publication bias is generally regarded as a concern if the fail-safe number is less than 5n+10, with n being the number of studies 181

Subgroup analysis was carried out according to the study design (case-control or cohort),

included in the meta-analysis.³³ Publication bias was assessed using Stata (16.1, StataCorp

183 LLC, College Station, TX).

184

169

185 **RESULTS**

186

187 Study selection

188 A total of 3450 articles were found through the manual and electronic searches. After

duplicates' removal, we screened 110 records for relevance. There were 67 papers excluded

190 on a basis of evaluation of the title and abstract, leaving 43 articles to be assessed for

191 eligibility. Of these, 30 articles were included in the quantitative analysis. A PRISMA flow

diagram is provided in Figure 1. There were 9650 participants included in this systematic

193 review and meta-analysis.

194

195 Study characteristics

Table 1 depicts the characteristics of the included studies. There were six cohort studies^{21, 34-} 196 ³⁸, whilst the remaining studies were case - control^{20, 22, 30, 39-59}. The definitions of 197 periodontitis varied among these studies, while the definition of preeclampsia is presented 198 comparatively consistent as blood pressure \geq 140/90 mmHg and proteinuria during second 199 200 trimester of gestation. The oral examination was conducted at different timepoints among studies, during pregnancy^{21, 34-39, 41, 47, 55, 56, 59}, within 24 to 48 hours prior to delivery^{40, 43, 52, 54} 201 or after delivery^{22, 30, 37, 42, 44-46, 48-51, 53, 57, 58} The sample size ranged from 40 participants ²⁰ to 202 1240 subjects ⁵³. There were seven studies with no evidence of an association between 203 periodontitis and preeclampsia^{22, 30, 35, 39, 45, 46, 50, 55, 56}, while the remaining studies reported a 204 205 positive association.

206

207 Risk of bias of included studies

Newcastle Ottawa Scale was used to evaluate the quality of evidence of these reports. Two reviewers marked the scores for each paper based on the tool provided by the Scale. Nine studies ^{21, 37, 38, 48, 51, 54-57} obtained the maximum score in Selection outcome while fourteen studies were marked with maximum score in the Comparability outcome and none of the studies could achieve ultimately 3 marks in the Exposure outcome. Table 2 describes the evaluation of risk of bias for this review.

214

215 Synthesis of results

216 The results of the meta-analysis showed that periodontitis was associated with increased risk

217 for preeclampsia (OR 3.18, 95% CI 2.26 – 4.48, p<0.00001; Figure 2). The heterogeneity

218 was high ($I^2=81\%$, p<0.00001) revealing a significant variation among studies.

219 Subgroup analysis.

- 220 According to the study type, the results revealed the increased risk of preeclampsia in
- periodontitis patients in the cohort studies (OR 4.19, 95% CI 2.23 7.87, p < 0.00001; Figure
- 222 3) and in case-control studies (OR 2.96, 95% CI 2.00 4.39, p<0.00001; Figure 4).
- Heterogeneity was moderate for cohort ($I^2 = 55\%$, p = 0.05) but high for case-control ($I^2 =$
- 224 83%, p < 0.00001).
- 225 When analyzing according to the national income, increased risk of preeclampsia were found
- in periodontitis group in high-income countries (OR 2.67, 95% CI 1.59 4.49, p = 0.0002;
- 227 Figure 5), upper middle-income countries (OR 2.40, 95% CI 1.73 3.31, p < 0.00001; Figure
- 6) and especially lower-middle income countries (OR 6.7, 95% CI 2.61 17.19, p < 0.0001;
- Figure 7). Heterogeneity in the group of high-income, upper middle-income and lower
- 230 middle-income countries were moderate ($I^2 = 59\%$, p = 0.006; $I^2 = 64\%$, p = 0.003; $I^2 = 86\%$, 231 p<0.00001, respectively).
- 232 When the results were analyzed according to the definition of periodontitis, an increased risk
- of preeclampsia was observed in all subgroups, including PD only (OR 3.13, 95% CI 1.51 –
- 234 6.50, p = 0.002), CAL and PD (OR 3.30, 95% CI 2.02 5.41, p<0.00001), CAL alone (OR
- 235 2.74, 95% CI 1.50 5.01, p = 0.001). Heterogeneity was moderate for the subgroups in
- which periodontitis was defined by PD alone ($I^2 = 59\%$, p = 0.03) and CAL alone ($I^2 = 66\%$,
- p = 0.01), while significantly high heterogeneity was found in the subgroup which
- periodontitis was defined by CAL and PD ($I^2 = 86\%$, p<0.00001).
- 239 When analyzing the periodontal condition between both groups, mean CAL was statistically
- higher in the preeclamptic patients than in the healthy group (MD = 0.62, 95% CI 0.27 –
- 241 0.98, p=0.0006). Likewise, the preeclamptic group had a statistically higher mean PD
- compared to healthy group (MD = 0.79, 95% CI -0.47 1.11, p < 0.00001). The heterogeneity
- 243 was 98% in both subgroup analysis, $(I^2 = 98\%, p < 0.00001)$.

Publication bias

The funnel plot for the association between periodontitis and preeclampsia revealed thesymmetry (Figure 13). There was no publication bias.

DISCUSSION:

The aim of this meta-analysis was to re-evaluate the potential association between
preeclampsia and periodontitis. The results confirm that periodontitis is a risk factor for
preeclampsia, which was similar to the finding of a meta-analysis in 2013 by Sgolastra et al¹⁸.
Our review has fifteen additional studies with three more cohort studies considerably
increasing the sample size and hence generating more robust effect sizes and significance
levels.

By stratifying according to study designs, periodontitis and preeclampsia showed significant associations in both case-control and cohort studies, whereas Sgolastra et al¹⁸ could not report the statistical significance in the subgroup analysis of cohort studies (OR 2.2, 95% CI 0.66 -7.36, p=0.2). As a review of cohort studies provides higher level of evidence compared to case-control studies⁶⁰, our data considerably strengthens the evidence of a positive association between preeclampsia and periodontitis. Moreover, the heterogeneity in an analysis of cohort studies in our study (I²=55%, p=0.05) was substantially lower than in a study by Sgolastra et al (I²=89%, p=0.0001), again strengthening the reliability of our results.

When analyzed according to the definition of periodontitis, three subgroup analysis with 268 studies defining periodontitis by PD alone, CAL and PD and CAL alone showed statistically 269 270 significant differences, whereas the previous meta-analysis showed only significance with a subgroup analyzing periodontitis by CAL and PD. This could be explained by the number of 271 studies included in our review was more than in the previous analysis, thus, providing a more 272 273 comprehensive finding. However, according to the most recent case definition developed by 274 the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention in partnership with the American Academy of Periodontology, the diagnostic criteria of periodontitis is at least 2 interproximal sites with 275 276 the minimum of attachment loss of 3 mm and at least 2 interproximal sites with the minimum pocket depth of 4 mm (not on the same tooth) or one site with pocket depth \geq 5 mm.⁶¹ 277 Moreover, pregnant women who were preeclamptic had higher mean CAL and PD, however, 278 279 the heterogeneity in both subgroup analysis was high, indicating significant variations among these studies in each subgroup. This could result from the difference in the periodontal probes 280 281 used in the dental examination in each study.

Several mechanisms have been proposed for the link between periodontitis and preeclampsia. 282 Higher levels of some periodontal pathogens such as *P.gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum* were 283 found in placenta of patients with preeclampsia. ⁶²Moreover, inflammatory responses 284 including the shifting of Th2 toward Th1, increasing oxidative stress, anti-angiogenic 285 proteins, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 and complement C5a could potentially 286 enhance the development of preeclampsia. ⁶³ Ananth et al. has reported the association 287 between intrauterine growth restriction and maternal periodontitis. ⁶⁴Since severe and early 288 onset preeclampsia were associated significantly with fetal growth restriction, this could 289 290 contribute to the mechanism underlying the association between preeclampsia and periodontitis.⁶⁵ Furthermore, the mechanisms might be a reflection of dietary patterns. 291 292 Recently, some evidence has indicated that pathogenesis of preeclampsia involves maternal

13

gut microbiota, specifically, high-fiber diet which promote short chain fatty acid production
and are associated with reduced risk of preeclampsia.⁶⁶ Similarly, high-fiber foods such as
fruit and grains have been linked to the reduction of the progression of periodontal disease,
suggesting the role of dietary intake in the potential relationship between preeclampsia and
periodontal disease. ^{66, 67} However, future studies are required to elucidate these hypotheses.

298

299 When analyzed according to national income, this review revealed the significant difference 300 in the subgroup analysis of high-income and upper middle-income countries (OR=2.67 and 301 OR=2.40, respectively). Moreover, the subgroup analysis with lower middle-income countries, which generated Odds ratio of 6.70, indicated the considerable significance in the 302 relationship between periodontitis and preeclampsia in this specific country group. Lower 303 304 middle-income countries have poorer oral health condition. ⁶⁸ Moreover, they have lower access to oral health care services (35%) to compare with upper middle-income (60-75%) and 305 high-income countries (82%).⁶⁹ Therefore, it is notably important to improve the access to 306 oral health services in pregnant women to lessen the risk of having preeclampsia. 307 308

We used Newcastle Ottawa Scale to evaluate the risk of bias and found twenty studies with
moderate risk of bias and ten remaining studies with high risk of bias. Furthermore, there was
no publication bias.

312

The strength of this systematic review and meta-analysis includes the large sample size of 9650 subjects. Six cohort studies comprising 2840 subjects were analyzed and revealed the statistically significant difference. Because cohort approach is the best methodical design in epidemiology, this finding provided the reliable evidence for the association between periodontitis and preeclampsia. Furthermore, by stratifying into subgroup analysis of national

income, our review has pointed out the association between these two diseases differed 318 according to economical inequalities, thus, providing recommendation for health policy 319 320 improvement. Pregnant women in low socioeconomical areas should be given access to oral healthcare services and encouraged to have their periodontal health checked and treated 321 during pregnancy to potentially lower the risk of preeclampsia as well as other pregnancy 322 323 complications. Jeffcoat et al reported non-surgical periodontal therapy could significantly reduce the medical costs for pregnant women by 73.7%. ⁷⁰ There were also some limitations. 324 Firstly, the heterogeneity of the overall analysis for the association between periodontitis and 325 326 preeclampsia was high, pointing out the variations among studies included. This could be due to the synthesis of cohort and case-control studies in our review. Secondly, the general 327 consensus in the definition and diagnosis of periodontitis was not clear enough which could 328 329 influence the results of our meta-analysis. Therefore, future studies could take these into consideration and confirm our results. 330

331

332 CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

333

This meta-analysis not only confirms previous findings of an association between
periodontitis and preeclampsia but also shows larger effect size overall and specifically for
lower-middle income countries in comparison to high and upper-middle income countries.
Our results warrant future studies to investigate the mechanisms of this association and
whether targeted interventions to prevent or treat periodontitis preconception or during
pregnancy can lead to better pregnancy outcomes.

340

341 FUNDING

342 This research did not receive any funding support from any parts.

343 **REFERENCE**

344 Duley L. The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Semin Perinatol. 1. 345 2009;33(3):130-7. 346 Hung T-H, Hsieh Ts-Ta, Chen S-F. Risk of abnormal fetal growth in women with early-2. 347 and late-onset preeclampsia. Pregnancy hypertension. 2018;12:201-6. 348 Turbeville HR, Sasser JM. Preeclampsia beyond pregnancy: long-term consequences for 3. 349 mother and child. American journal of physiology Renal physiology. 2020;318(6):F1315-F26. 350 4. Stevens W, Shih T, Incerti D, Ton TGN, Lee HC, Peneva D, et al. Short-term costs of 351 preeclampsia to the United States health care system. American Journal of Obstetrics & 352 Gynecology. 2017;217(3):237-48.e16. 353 5. Gesase N, Miranda-Rius J, Brunet-Llobet L, Lahor-Soler E, Mahande MJ, Masenga G. The 354 association between periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes in Northern 355 Tanzania: a cross-sectional study. African health sciences. 2018;18(3):601-11. 356 Govindasamy R, Dhanasekaran M, Varghese S, Balaji V, Karthikeyan B, Christopher A. 6. 357 Maternal risk factors and periodontal disease: A cross-sectional study among postpartum mothers in Tamil Nadu. Journal of Pharmacy And Bioallied Sciences. 2017;9(5):50-4. 358 359 7. Alchalabi HA, Al Habashneh R, Jabali OA, Khader YS. Association between periodontal 360 disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a cohort of pregnant women in Jordan. Clin Exp 361 Obstet Gynecol. 2013;40(3):399-402. Piscoya MD, Ximenes RA, Silva GM, Jamelli SR, Coutinho SB. Periodontitis-associated risk 362 8. factors in pregnant women. Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil). 2012;67(1):27-33. 363 Bui FQ, Almeida-da-Silva CLC, Huynh B, Trinh A, Liu J, Woodward J, et al. Association 364 9. 365 between periodontal pathogens and systemic disease. Biomedical Journal. 2019;42(1):27-35. 366 10. Winning L, Linden GJ. Periodontitis and systemic disease. BDJ Team. 2015;2(10):15163. 367 Corbella S, Taschieri S, Francetti L, De Siena F, Del Fabbro M. Periodontal disease as a 11. 368 risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case-369 control studies. Odontology. 2012;100(2):232-40. 370 12. Abariga SA, Whitcomb BW. Periodontitis and gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic 371 review and meta-analysis of observational studies. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2016:16(344). 372 Kivimäki M, Batty GD, Pentti J, Shipley MJ, Sipilä PN, Nyberg ST, et al. Association 13. 373 between socioeconomic status and the development of mental and physical health conditions in 374 adulthood: a multi-cohort study. The Lancet Public Health. 2020;5(3):e140-e9. 375 Bo S, Menato G, Bardelli C, Lezo A, Signorile A, Repetti E, et al. Low socioeconomic status 14. 376 as a risk factor for gestational diabetes. Diabetes Metab. 2002;28(2):139-40. 377 Silva LM, Coolman M, Steegers EAP, Jaddoe VWV, Moll HA, Hofman A, et al. Low 15. 378 socioeconomic status is a risk factor for preeclampsia: the Generation R Study. Journal of 379 Hypertension. 2008;26(6). 16. 380 Peacock JL, Bland JM, Anderson HR. Preterm delivery: effects of socioeconomic factors, 381 psychological stress, smoking, alcohol, and caffeine. Bmj. 1995;311(7004):531-5. 382 Ross KM, Dunkel Schetter C, McLemore MR, Chambers BD, Paynter RA, Baer R, et al. 17. 383 Socioeconomic Status, Preeclampsia Risk and Gestational Length in Black and White Women. J 384 Racial Ethn Health Disparities. 2019;6(6):1182-91. Sgolastra F, Petrucci A, Severino M, Gatto R, Monaco A. Relationship between 385 18. 386 periodontitis and pre-eclampsia: a meta-analysis. PloS one. 2013;8(8):e71387. 387 Wei BJ, Chen YJ, Yu L, Wu B. Periodontal disease and risk of preeclampsia: a meta-19. 388 analysis of observational studies. PLoS One. 2013;8(8):e70901. Varshney S, Gautam A. Poor periodontal health as a risk factor for development of pre-389 20. eclampsia in pregnant women. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2014;18(3):321-5. 390 391 Soucy-Giguère L, Tétu A, Gauthier S, Morand M, Chandad F, Giguère Y, et al. Periodontal 21. 392 Disease and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: A Prospective Study in a Low-Risk Population. 393 Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology Canada : JOGC = Journal d'obstetrique et gynecologie du 394 Canada : JOGC. 2016;38(4):346-50.

395 22. Lafaurie GI, Gómez LA, Montenegro DA, De Avila J, Tamayo MC, Lancheros MC, et al. 396 Periodontal condition is associated with adverse perinatal outcomes and premature rupture 397 membranes in low income pregnant women in Bogota, Colombia: a case control study. The 398 journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine : the official journal of the European Association 399 of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International 400 Society of Perinatal Obstetricians. 2018;33(1):16-23. 401 Lavigne SE, Forrest JL. An umbrella review of systematic reviews of the evidence of a 23.

Lavigne SE, Forrest JL. An umbrella review of systematic reviews of the evidence of a
 causal relationship between periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes: A position
 paper from the Canadian Dental Hygienists Association. Can J Dent Hyg. 2020;54(2):92-100.

404 24. Kunnen A, van Doormaal JJ, Abbas F, Aarnoudse JG, van Pampus MG, Faas MM.
405 Periodontal disease and pre-eclampsia: a systematic review. J Clin Periodontol.

406 2010;37(12):1075-87.

407 25. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2010. Socioeconomic variation in
408 periodontitis among Australian adults 2004–06. Research report series no. 50. Cat. no. DEN
409 207. Canberra: AIHW.

410 26. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
411 Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009;62:1006-12.

412 27. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, et al. Meta-analysis of
413 observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational
414 Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. Jama. 2000;283(15):2008-12.

415 28. Country Income Groups (World Bank Classification) [Internet]. 2011. Available from:

416 <u>http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications/country-and-lending-groups</u>.

417 29. Lo CK, Mertz D, Loeb M. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale: comparing reviewers' to authors'
418 assessments. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2014;14(45).

30. Taghzouti N, Xiong X, Gornitsky M, Chandad F, Voyer R, Gagnon G, et al. Periodontal
Disease is Not Associated With Preeclampsia in Canadian Pregnant Women. Journal of
periodontology. 2012;83(7):871-7.

422 31. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. . Stat Med.
423 2002;21:1539-58.

424 32. Egger M, Davey SG, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple,
425 graphical test. Br Med J. 1997;315:629-34.

426 33. Orwin R. A fail-safe N for effect size in meta-analysis. . J Educ Stat. 1983;8:157-9.

427 34. Boggess KA, Lieff S, Murtha AP, Moss K, Beck J, Offenbacher S. Maternal periodontal
428 disease is associated with an increased risk for preeclampsia. Obstetrics and gynecology.
429 2003;101(2):227-31.

430 35. Horton AL, Boggess KA, Moss KL, Beck J, Offenbacher S. Periodontal disease, oxidative
431 stress, and risk for preeclampsia. Journal of periodontology. 2010;81(2):199-204.

432 36. Kumar A, Basra M, Begum N, Rani V, Prasad S, Lamba AK, et al. Association of maternal
433 periodontal health with adverse pregnancy outcome. The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology
434 research. 2013;39(1):40-5.

435 37. Ha JE, Jun JK, Ko HJ, Paik DI, Bae KH. Association between periodontitis and

436 preeclampsia in never-smokers: a prospective study. Journal of clinical periodontology.
437 2014;41(9):869-74.

- 438 38. Lee HJ, Ha JE, Bae KH. Synergistic effect of maternal obesity and periodontitis on
 439 preterm birth in women with pre-eclampsia: a prospective study. Journal of clinical
 440 periodontelogy 2016;42(8):646 51
- 440 periodontology. 2016;43(8):646-51.
- 441 39. Chaparro A, Sanz A, Quintero A, Inostroza C, Ramirez V, Carrion F, et al. Increased

inflammatory biomarkers in early pregnancy is associated with the development of pre-

eclampsia in patients with periodontitis: a case control study. Journal of periodontal research.
2013;48(3):302-7.

445 40. Canakci V, Canakci CF, Canakci H, Canakci E, Cicek Y, Ingec M, et al. Periodontal disease
446 as a risk factor for pre-eclampsia: a case control study. The Australian & New Zealand journal of
447 obstetrics & gynaecology. 2004;44(6):568-73.

448 41. Contreras A, Herrera JA, Soto JE, Arce RM, Jaramillo A, Botero JE. Periodontitis Is 449 Associated With Preeclampsia in Pregnant Women. Journal of Periodontology. 2006;77(2). 450 42. Cota LO, Guimarães AN, Costa JE, Lorentz TC, Costa FO. Association between maternal 451 periodontitis and an increased risk of preeclampsia. Journal of periodontology. 452 2006;77(12):2063-9. 453 Canakci V, Canakci CF, Yildirim A, Ingec M, Eltas A, Erturk A. Periodontal disease 43. 454 increases the risk of severe pre-eclampsia among pregnant women. J Clin Periodontol. 455 2007;34:639-45. Siqueira FM, Cota LO, Costa JE, Haddad JP, Lana AM, Costa FO. Maternal periodontitis as 456 44. 457 a potential risk variable for preeclampsia: a case-control study. Journal of periodontology. 458 2008;79(2):207-15. 459 45. Lohsoonthorn V, Kungsadalpipob K, Chanchareonsook P, Limpongsanurak S, 460 Vanichjakvong O, Sutdhibhisal S, et al. Maternal periodontal disease and risk of preeclampsia: a 461 case-control study. American journal of hypertension. 2009;22(4):457-63. 462 Shetty M, Shetty PK, Ramesh A, Thomas B, Prabhu S, Rao A. Periodontal disease in 46. 463 pregnancy is a risk factor for preeclampsia. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica. 464 2009;89(5):718-21. Politano GT, Passini R, Nomura ML, Velloso L, Morari J, Couto E. Correlation between 465 47. 466 periodontal disease, inflammatory alterations and pre-eclampsia. Journal of Dental Research. 467 2011;46:505-11. 468 48. Ha JE, Oh KJ, Yang HJ, Jun JK, Jin BH, Paik DJ, et al. Oral Health Behaviors, Periodontal 469 Disease, and Pathogens in Preeclampsia: A Case-Control Study in Korea. Journal of 470 periodontology. 2011;82(12):1685-92. 471 49. Sayar F, Sadat Hoseini M, Abbaspour S. Effect of Periodontal Disease on Preeclampsia. 472 Iranian J Publ Health. 2011;40(3):122-7. 473 50. Hirano E, Sugita N, Kikuchi A, Shimada Y, Sasahara J, Iwanaga R, et al. The association of 474 Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans with preeclampsia in a subset of Japanese pregnant 475 women. J Clin Periodontol. 2012;39:229-38. 476 Moura da Silva G, Coutinho SB, Piscoya MD, Ximenes RA, Jamelli SR. Periodontitis as a 51. 477 Risk Factor for Preeclampsia. Journal of periodontology. 2012;83(11):1388-96. 478 Pralhad S, Thomas B, Kushtagi P. Periodontal Disease and Pregnancy Hypertension: A 52. 479 Clinical Correlation. Journal of periodontology. 2013;84(8):1118-25. 480 Desai K, Desai P, Duseja S, Kumar S, Mahendra J, Duseja S. Significance of maternal 53. periodontal health in preeclampsia. Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community 481 482 Dentistry. 2015;5(2):103-7. Jaiman G, Nayak PA, Sharma S, Nagpal K. Maternal periodontal disease and preeclampsia 483 54. 484 in Jaipur population. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2018;22(1):50-4. 485 Pattanashetti JI, Nagathan VM, Rao SM. Evaluation of Periodontitis as a Risk for Preterm 55. 486 Birth among Preeclamptic and Non-Preeclamptic Pregnant Women - A Case Control Study. . 487 Journal of clinical and diagnostic research : JCDR. 2013;7(8):1776-8. 488 56. Khalighinejad N, Aminoshariae A, Kulild JC, Mickel A. Apical Periodontitis, a Predictor 489 Variable for Preeclampsia: A Case-control Study. Journal of endodontics. 2017;43(10):1611-4. 490 Khader YS, Jibreal M, Al-Omiri M, Amarin Z. Lack of association between periodontal 57. 491 parameters and preeclampsia. Journal of periodontology. 2006;77(10):1681–7. 492 58. Yaghini J, Mostajeran F, Afshari E, Naghsh N. Is periodontal disease related to 493 preeclampsia? Dental research journal. 2012;9(6):770-3. 494 Kunnen A, Blaauw J, van Doormaal JJ, van Pampus MG, van der Schans CP, Aarnoudse JG, 59. 495 et al. Women with a recent history of early-onset pre-eclampsia have a worse periodontal 496 condition. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2007;34(3):202-7. 497 Guyatt GH, Haynes RB, Jaeschke RZ, Cook DJ. Users' guides to the medical literature: 60. 498 XXV. evidence-based medicine: principles for applying the users' guides to patient care. Jama. 499 2000;284:1290-6.

500 61. Eke PI, Page RC, Wei L, Thornton-Evans G, Genco RJ. Update of the case definitions for 501 population-based surveillance of periodontitis. J Periodontol. 2012;83(12):1449-54.

- 502 62. Barak S, Oettinger-Barak O, Machtei EE, Sprecher H, Ohel G. Evidence of periopathogenic
 503 microorganisms in placentas of women with preeclampsia. J Periodontol. 2007;78(4):670-6.
- 504 63. Nourollahpour Shiadeh M, Behboodi Moghadam Z, Adam I, Saber V, Bagheri M, Rostami
 505 A. Human infectious diseases and risk of preeclampsia: an updated review of the literature.
 506 Infection. 2017;45(5):589-600.
- 507 64. Ananth CV, Andrews HF, Papapanou PN, Ward AM, Bruzelius E, Conicella ML, et al.
- History of periodontal treatment and risk for intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). BMC Oral
 Health. 2018;18(1):161.
- 510 65. Odegård RA, Vatten LJ, Nilsen ST, Salvesen KA, Austgulen R. Preeclampsia and fetal 511 growth. Obstet Gynecol. 2000;96(6):950-5.
- 512 66. Hu M, Eviston D, Hsu P, Mariño E, Chidgey A, Santner-Nanan B, et al. Decreased maternal
 513 serum acetate and impaired fetal thymic and regulatory T cell development in preeclampsia.
- 514 Nature Communications. 2019;10(1):3031.
- 515 67. Schwartz N, Kaye EK, Nunn ME, Spiro A, 3rd, Garcia RI. High-fiber foods reduce
 516 periodontal disease progression in men aged 65 and older: the Veterans Affairs normative aging
 517 study/Dental Longitudinal Study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2012;60(4):676518 83.
- 519 68. Watt R, Sheiham A. Inequalities in oral health: a review of the evidence and
 520 recommendations for action. Br Dent J 1999;187:6-12.
- 521 69. Hosseinpoor AR, Itani L, Petersen PE. Socio-economic inequality in oral healthcare
 522 coverage: results from the World Health Survey. J Dent Res. 2012;91(3):275-81.
- 523 70. Jeffcoat MK, Jeffcoat RL, Gladowski PA, Bramson JB, Blum JJ. Impact of Periodontal
- 524 Therapy on General Health: Evidence from Insurance Data for Five Systemic Conditions.
- 525 American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2014;47(2):166-74.
- 526

Table 1. Descriptions of included studies

| No | Reference | Country | Design | Participants | Definition of PE | Definition of PD | Examination | Finding | OR (95% CI) |
|----|----------------------|---------|--------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | | | and age | | | Time | (conclusion) | |
| 1 | Boggess et al. | US | Cohort | 39 cases | $BP \ge 140/90 \text{ on } 2$ | $PD \ge 4$ and $CAL \ge 3mm$ | At the first or | Women were at | Severe |
| | ³⁴ (2003) | | | 763 controls | separate | without BOP. | second | higher risk for | periodontal |
| | | | | | occasions, and \geq | | prenatal visit | preeclampsia if | disease: 2.4 |
| | | | | | 1+ proteinuria on | Mild: $PD \ge 4 \text{ mm or BOP on}$ | and then | they had severe | (1.1-5.3) |
| | | | | | catheterized | 1-15 teeth | repeated | periodontal | |
| | | | | | urine specimen | | within 48 | disease at | Periodontal |
| | | | | | | Severe: $PD \ge 4 \text{ mm on} > 15$ | hours | delivery or if | disease |
| | | | | | | teeth | antepartum. | they had | progression: 2.1 |
| | | | | | | | | periodontal | (1.0-4.4) |
| | | | | | | Disease progression: ≥ 4 sites | Enrolled at < | disease | |
| | | | | | | that increased $\geq 2mm$ in PD, | 26 weeks | progression | |
| | | | | | | resulting in $\ge 4 \text{ mm}$ in PD | 'gestation and | during | |
| | | | | | | | followed until | pregnancy | |
| | | | | | | | delivery | | |

| 2 | Canakci et al. | Turkey | Case- | 41 cases ,41 | $BP \ge 140/90$ | \geq 4 teeth with \geq 1 sites with | within 48 h | multiple logistic | 3.47 (1.07– |
|---|----------------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----------------------|---|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | ⁴⁰ (2004) | | control | controls | mmHg and | $PD \ge 4mm$ and $BOP+$ and | prior to | regression results | 11.95) |
| | | | | | proteinuria ≥ | $CAL \ge 3mm$ at the same site | delivery | showed that pre- | |
| | | | | | 300mg/24h or 2+ | | | eclamptic | |
| | | | | | proteinuria on | | | patients were | |
| | | | | | dip sticks, on 2 | | | 3.47 times more | |
| | | | | | occasions $\geq 6h$ | | | likely to have | |
| | | | | | apart if 24h urine | | | periodontal | |
| | | | | | specimen is | | | disease than | |
| | | | | | unavailable | | | normotensive | |
| | | | | | | | | patients | |
| 3 | Contreras et | Colombia | Case- | 130 case, 243 | 2+ proteinuria, | \geq 4 sites showed PD \geq 4 mm), | between 26 to | Chronic | 3.0 (1.91 - 4.87) |
| | al. ⁴¹ | | control | controls | confirmed by \geq | CAL \geq 4 mm, and bleeding on | 36 weeks of | periodontal | |
| | 2006 | | | | 0.3 g | probing. | pregnancy | disease was | |
| | | | | | proteinuria/24 | | | significantly | |
| | | | | | hours and | Incipient: CAL from 4 to 5 | | associated with | |
| | | | | | hypertension (\geq | | | preeclampsia in | |
| | | | | | 140/ 90 mmHg) | Moderate/severe: $CAL \ge 6$ | | pregnant women | |
| | | | | | | mm | | | |

| 4 | Cota et al. 42 | Brazil | Case- | 109 cases, | BP >140/90 mm | \geq 4 teeth with \geq 1 sites with a | Within 48 | Maternal | 1.88 (1.1 - 3.0) |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------------|---|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | 2006 | | control | 479 controls | Hg and \geq | $PD \ge 4 \text{ mm}$ and $CAL \ge 3 \text{mm}$ | hours of | periodontitis was | |
| | | | | | 1+proteinuria | at the same site | delivery | determined to be | |
| | | | | | after 20 weeks of | | | associated with | |
| | | | | | gestation | | | an increased risk | |
| | | | | | | | | of preeclampsia | |
| 5 | Khader et al. ⁵⁷ | Jordan | Case- | 115 cases | Preeclampsia | Not mentioned | Within 24 | This study did | |
| | 2006 | | control | 230 controls | was defined as | | hours after | not support the | |
| | | | | | the development | | delivery | association | |
| | | | | | of blood pressure | | | between | |
| | | | | | of ‡140/90 | | | periodontal | |
| | | | | | mmHg after | | | parameters and | |
| | | | | | 20 weeks of | | | preeclampsia | |
| | | | | | gestation, | | | | |
| | | | | | combined with | | | | |
| | | | | | proteinurea of | | | | |
| | | | | | at least 1+ on a | | | | |
| | | | | | midstream urine | | | | |
| | | | | | specimen or on a | | | | |

| | | | | | catheter | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | | | specimen, | | | | |
| | | | | | provided urinary | | | | |
| | | | | | tract infection | | | | |
| | | | | | was not the | | | | |
| | | | | | contributing | | | | |
| | | | | | factor to the | | | | |
| | | | | | proteinuria in | | | | |
| | | | | | women who | | | | |
| | | | | | were known to | | | | |
| | | | | | be normotensive | | | | |
| | | | | | and | | | | |
| | | | | | nonproteinuric | | | | |
| | | | | | before pregnancy | | | | |
| | | | | | or in early | | | | |
| | | | | | pregnancy | | | | |
| 6 | Kunnen et | Netherlands | Case- | 17 cases, 35 | DBP≥90mmHg | $PD \ge 4mm$ | before 34 | These results | 7.9 (1.9–32.8) |
| | al. ⁵⁹ | | control | controls | on 2 occasions | | weeks of | indicate that | |
| | 2007 | | | | and proteinuria \geq | | pregnancy | Caucasian | |

| | | | | | 30mg/dl (or 1+ | Mild PD: BOP and PD \geq | | women with a | |
|---|-------------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | on a urine dip | 4mm on 1–15 sites | | recent history of | |
| | | | | | stick) on ≥ 2 | | | early-onset pre- | |
| | | | | | random | Severe: BOP and $PD \ge 4mm$ | | eclampsia have a | |
| | | | | | specimens | on > 15 sites | | worse | |
| | | | | | collected ≥4h | | | periodontal | |
| | | | | | apart. | | | condition, as | |
| | | | | | | | | compared with | |
| | | | | | | | | women with | |
| | | | | | | | | uncomplicated | |
| | | | | | | | | deliveries | |
| 7 | Canakci et | Turkey | Case- | 20 Mild PE, | DBP ≥ 90mmHg | Mild: BOP and \geq 4mm PD on | within 48h | The results of | Severe PD: 3.78 |
| | al. ⁴³ | | control | 18 Severe | and | 1–15 sites | preceding | multivariate | (1.77–12.74) |
| | 2007 | | | PE, 21 | proteinuria(300 | Severe: : BOP and \geq 4mm PD | delivery | logistic | |
| | | | | Controls | mg/24h urine | on ≥15sites | | regression | |
| | | | | | sample) and the | | | showed a highly | |
| | | | | | presence of | | | significant | |
| | | | | | edema | | | association | |
| | | | | | | | | between mild to | |

| | | |
|------|------------------------|---------------|
| | Mild: BP \geq | severe pre- |
| | 140/90mmHg on | eclampsia and |
| | \geq 2 occasions 6h | severe |
| | apart, with or | periodontal |
| | without | disease |
| | proteinuria | |
| | | |
| | Severe: SBP≥ | |
| | 160 or DBP \geq | |
| | 110mmHg on 2 | |
| | occasions ≥6h | |
| | apart and | |
| | proteinuria≥ | |
| | 5g/24h urine | |
| | sample or ≥ 31 on | |
| | dip stick in ≥ 2 | |
| | random clean- | |
| | catch samples \geq | |
| | 4h apart | |
| | | |

| 8 | Siqueira et | Brazil | Case- | 164 cases, | BP > 90mmHg | \geq 4mm and CAL \geq 3mm at the | within 48 | Maternal | 1.52 (1.01 - |
|---|----------------------|----------|---------|---------------|------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | al. ⁴⁴ | | control | 1042 controls | on 2 occasions | same site in \geq 4 teeth | hours of | periodontitis is a | 2.29) |
| | 2008 | | | | after 20 GW and | | delivery | risk factor | |
| | | | | | \geq 1+ proteinuria | | | associated with | |
| | | | | | | | | preeclampsia | |
| 9 | Lohsoonthorn | Thailand | Case- | 150 cases, | BP≥ | Mild: ≥1 teeth with | within 48 h | This study | Severe PD: 0.92 |
| | et al. ⁴⁵ | | control | 150 controls | 140/90mmHg | interproximal sites showing | after delivery | provides no | (0.26–3.28) |
| | 2009 | | | | and proteinuria \geq | \geq 4 mm CAL and \geq 4 mm PD | | convincing | |
| | | | | | 30mg/dl (or 1+ | | | evidence that | |
| | | | | | on a urine dip | Moderate: ≥2 nonadjacent | | periodontal | |
| | | | | | stick) on ≥ 2 | teeth with interproximal sites | | disease is | |
| | | | | | random | showing \geq 5 mm CAL and \geq 4 | | associated with | |
| | | | | | specimens | mm PD | | preeclampsia | |
| | | | | | collected \geq 4h | | | risk among Thai | |
| | | | | | apart. | Severe: ≥2 nonadjacent teeth | | women | |
| | | | | | | with interproximal sites | | | |
| | | | | | | showing $\geq 6 \text{ mm CAL}$ and ≥ 4 | | | |
| | | | | | | mm PD | | | |

| 10 | Horton et al. ³⁵ | US | Cohort | 34 cases | BP >140/90 | Mild: <15 sites with the | <26 weeks of | Among women | 2.08 |
|----|-----------------------------|-------|---------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | | | (pree- | mmHg and $\geq 1+$ | presence of one or more | gestation | with periodontal | (0.65 - 6.60) |
| | | | | clampsia) | proteinuria on a | pockets | | disease, the | |
| | | | | 757 controls | catheterized | ≥4 mm or one or more | | presence of 8- | |
| | | | | (non pree- | urine specimen | pockets with bleeding. | | isoprostane \geq | |
| | | | | clampsia) | | | | 75th percentile | |
| | | | | | | Moderate/severe: ≥15 sites | | did not | |
| | | | | | | demonstrated a probing depth | | significantly | |
| | | | | | | ≥4 mm | | increase the odds | |
| | | | | | | | | for the | |
| | | | | | | | | development of | |
| | | | | | | | | preeclampsia | |
| 11 | Shetty et al. ⁴⁶ | India | Case- | 30 cases | BP > 140/90 | CAL of \geq 3 mm and a PD of \geq | within 48 | periodontitis | Enrolment:5.78 |
| | 2009 | | control | 100 controls | mmHg on more | 4 mm. | hours of | both at | (2.41– |
| | | | | | than 2 occasions | (The teeth examined were 16, | delivery | enrolment as | 13.89) |
| | | | | | 4 hours apart and | 22, 24, 36, 42, and 44) | | well as within 48 | Delivery: 20.15 |
| | | | | | 1+ or more | | | hours of delivery | (4.55–89.29) |
| | | | | | proteinuria by | | | may be | |
| | | | | | Dipstick on a | | | associated with | |

| | | | | | random urine | | | an increased risk | |
|----|-------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | sample | | | of preeclampsia. | |
| 12 | Politano et | Brazil | Case- | 58 cases | increase in | two or more sites | after 20 wk of | periodontal | 3.73 |
| | al. ⁴⁷ | | control | 58 controls | systolic arterial | showed pocket formation (\geq | gestation | disease may | (1.32–10.58) |
| | 2011 | | | | pressure (≥ | 4 mm), | | increase the risk | |
| | | | | | 140 mmHg) | clinical attachment level (\geq | | of pre-eclampsia | |
| | | | | | and/or diastolic | 4 mm) and | | | |
| | | | | | pressure (≥ | bleeding on probing | | | |
| | | | | | 90mmHg) and | | | | |
| | | | | | proteinuria (≥ | | | | |
| | | | | | 300 mg/24 h), | | | | |
| | | | | | after 20 wk of | | | | |
| | | | | | gestation | | | | |
| 13 | Ha et al. ⁴⁸ | Korea | Case- | 16 cases | BP >140/90 mm | Localized periodontitis: | 5 days after | preeclampsia | Localized |
| | 2011 | | control | 48 controls | Hg on two | periodontal clinical | delivery | could be | periodontitis: |
| | | | | | separate | attachment loss ≥ 3.5 mm on | | associated with | 4.79 |
| | | | | | occasions and \geq | two or three sites not on the | | the maternal | (1.02 - |
| | | | | | 1+ proteinuria on | same tooth. | | periodontal | 29.72) |
| | | | | | | | | condition and | |

| | | | | | a random sample | Generalized periodontitis: | | interdental | |
|----|----------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | of urine | $CAL \ge 3.5 \text{ mm on} \ge 4 \text{ sites}$ | | cleaning | Generalized |
| | | | | | | not on the same tooth | | | periodontitis: |
| | | | | | | | | | 6.60 |
| | | | | | | | | | (1.25 - |
| | | | | | | | | | 41.61) |
| 14 | Sayar et al. ⁴⁹ | Iran | Case- | 105 cases | blood pressure | No definition specified | 48 hours after | Preeclamptic | 4.1 |
| | 2011 | | control | 105 controls | ≥140/90mmHg | (results based on periodontal | child delivery. | cases | (1.5-11.5) |
| | | | | | and proteinuria | parameters) | | significantly had | |
| | | | | | +1 | | | higher | |
| | | | | | | Mild: CAL $\leq 2mm$ | | attachment loss | |
| | | | | | | | | and gingival | |
| | | | | | | Moderate to Severe: $CAL \ge 3$ | | recession than | |
| | | | | | | mm | | the control group | |
| 15 | Taghzouti et | Canada | Case- | 92 cases | BP ≥140/90 mm | periodontitis is defined as ≥4 | within 48 | This study does | 1.13 (0.59 - |
| | al. ³⁰ | | control | 245 controls | Hg on two | sites exhibiting PD≥5 mm | hours after | not support the | 2.17) |
| | 2012 | | | | occasions ≥4 | and CAL \geq 3 mm at the same | delivery | hypothesis of an | |
| | | | | | hours apart after | sites. | | association | |
| | | | | | 20 weeks of | | | between | |

| | | | | | gestation, and 0.3 | | | periodontal | |
|----|-------------------|-------|---------|-------------|-------------------------|---|----------------|------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | g proteinuria on a | | | disease and | |
| | | | | | 24-hour urine | | | preeclampsia | |
| | | | | | collection, or ≥ 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | on a dipstick | | | | |
| 16 | Chaparro et | Chile | Case- | 11 cases | During the | $PD \ge 4 \text{ mm} \text{ and } CAL \ge 3 \text{ mm}$ | Blood samples | Preeclamptic | 1.36 (0.252– |
| | al. ³⁹ | | control | 43 controls | second and third | at the same site of \geq 4 teeth, | were collected | women shows | 7.372) |
| | 2013 | | | | trimester of | inflammation | at enrolment | increased levels | |
| | | | | | pregnancy, BP > | and bleeding on probing | | of IL-6 in GCF | |
| | | | | | 140/90 and | (BOP) | Gingival | and CRP in | |
| | | | | | proteinuria, | | crevicular | plasma during | |
| | | | | | which was | | fluid samples | early pregnancy. | |
| | | | | | considered to be | | were collected | Periodontal | |
| | | | | | present when one | | between 11- | disease could | |
| | | | | | 24-h urine | | 14 wk | contribute to | |
| | | | | | collection | | | systemic | |
| | | | | | showed a total | | | inflammation in | |
| | | | | | protein excretion | | | early pregnancy | |
| | | | | | \geq 300 mg. | | | via a local | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | increase of IL-6 | |
|----|-----------------------------|-------|---------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | and the systemic | |
| | | | | | | | | elevation of | |
| | | | | | | | | CRP. Therefore, | |
| | | | | | | | | both | |
| | | | | | | | | inflammatory | |
| | | | | | | | | markers could be | |
| | | | | | | | | involved in the | |
| | | | | | | | | relationship | |
| | | | | | | | | between | |
| | | | | | | | | periodontal | |
| | | | | | | | | disease and pre- | |
| | | | | | | | | eclampsia. | |
| 17 | Hirano et al. ⁵⁰ | Japan | Case- | 18 cases | hypertension | having over 60% of sites with | 5 days after | No statistically | |
| | 2012 | | control | 109 controls | (systolic blood | $CAL \ge 3 mm$ | labor | significant | |
| | | | | | pressure > 140 | | | association | |
| | | | | | mmHg and/or | | | between any of | 1.7 |
| | | | | | diastolic blood | | | the periodontal | (1.1 - 2.7) |
| | | | | | pressure > 90 | | | clinical | |

| | | | | | mmHg) with | | | parameters or the | |
|----|----------------------------|-------|--------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | proteinuria (300 | | | presence of | |
| | | | | | mg/day) | | | periodontitis and | |
| | | | | | occurring after | | | preeclampsia. | |
| | | | | | the 20th week of | | | | |
| | | | | | gestation, but | | | | |
| | | | | | being resolved | | | | |
| | | | | | by the 12th | | | | |
| | | | | | postpartum week | | | | |
| 18 | Kumar et al. ³⁶ | India | Cohort | 35 cases | systolic blood | clinical attachment loss and | 14–20 weeks | Maternal | 7.48 |
| | 2012 | | | 305 controls | pressure 140 mm | probing depth $4 \ge mm$ in one | period of | periodontitis is | (2.72–22.42) |
| | | | | | of mercury and | or more sites were diagnosed | gestation | associated with | |
| | | | | | diastolic blood | as those with periodontitis | | an increased risk | |
| | | | | | pressure 90 mm | | | of pre-eclampsia | |
| | | | | | of mercury at | | | | |
| | | | | | two occasions at | | | | |
| | | | | | least 4 h apart | | | | |
| | | | | | after 20 weeks of | | | | |
| | | | | | gestation in a | | | | |

| 19 | Da Silva et | Brazil | Case- | 284 cases | woman with previously normal blood pressure along with development of proteinuria a systolic blood | ≥4 teeth with ≥1 sites with a | within 48 | periodontitis was | 8.60 |
|----|------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|--|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | al. ¹⁵ 2012 | | control | 290 controls | pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or a diastolic pressure ≥ 90 mmHg and proteinuria ≥ 300 mg/24 hours or 2+ on dipsticks, developed after week 20 of gestation in previously | PD ≥4 mm and AL ≥3 mm in the same site | hours of childbirth | a risk factor for preeclampsia | (3.92 - 18.88) |

| | | | | | normotensive | | | | |
|----|-------------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | females | | | | |
| 20 | Pralhad et | India | Case- | 100 cases | The resting blood | Any of the following is | within 72 | Periodontal | 5.5 |
| | al. ⁵² | | control | 100 controls | pressure was | present: | hours of their | disease is more | (2.7 -11.4) |
| | 2012 | | | | ≥140/90 mmHg | 1) OHI >3 69; | hospital | prevalent in | |
| | | | | | after 20 weeks of | 2) GI >1 (moderate-to-severe | admission for | females with | |
| | | | | | gestation with or | gingival inflammation); | delivery | pregnancy | |
| | | | | | without | 3) mean PD >4 mm; and | | hypertension | |
| | | | | | associated | 4) CAL >3 mm | | | |
| | | | | | proteinuria | | | | |
| 21 | Yaghini et | Iran | Case- | 26 cases | blood pressure | Not mentioned | 48 hours after | Maternal | |
| | al. ⁵⁸ | | control | 25 controls | >140/90 mmHg | | delivery | periodontal | |
| | 2012 | | | | and $>$ or $= 1+$ | | | disease during | |
| | | | | | proteinuria on a | | | pregnancy is not | |
| | | | | | catheterized | | | associated with | |
| | | | | | urine specimen. | | | preeclampsia. | |
| 22 | Pattanashetti | India | Case- | 100 cases | Pregnancy | - Mild periodontal | Sixth month | Pregnant women | Periodontitis in |
| | et al. 55 | | control | 100 controls | induced | disease: One or more | of pregnancy | with | the case group |
| | 2013 | | | | hypertension | sites with probing | and within 48 | preeclampsia are | was 72%, in |

| reater risk for control | group |
|-------------------------|--|
| | |
| erm delivery was 62% | <i>′</i> 0, |
| eriodontal p<0.001 | |
| ase is present | |
| ng | |
| gnancy or | |
| gress during | |
| gnancy and | |
| the rate of | |
| erm delivery | |
| ore in | |
| eclamptic | |
| nen having | |
| lerate to | |
| ere | |
| odontal | |
| ase. | |
| | |
| | |
| | ase is present ng nancy or gress during nancy and the rate of erm delivery ore in clamptic hen having erate to re bodontal |

| | | over the ankles | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | | after 12 hours of | | |
| | | bed rest or rapid | | |
| | | gain in weight of | | |
| | | more than 1 lb | | |
| | | per week or more | | |
| | | than 5 lb a month | | |
| | | in the later month | | |
| | | of pregnancy | | |
| | | may be the | | |
| | | easiest evidence | | |
| | | of preeclampsia. | | |
| | | Proteinuria – | | |
| | | Presence of | | |
| | | protein in 24 | | |
| | | hours urine with | | |
| | | more than 1gm | | |
| | | per litre in 2 or | | |
| | | more midstream | | |
| | | | | |

| 23 | Ha et al. ³⁷ | Korea | Cohort | 13 cases | specimens obtained 6 hours apart in the absence of urinary tract infection is considered significant. BP > 140/90 | $CAL \ge 4.0 \text{ mm on two or}$ | 21–24 weeks | Periodontitis | 4.51 (1.13– |
|----|---------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 23 | Ha et al. ³⁷ 2014 | Korea | Cohort | 13 cases 270 controls | BP > 140/90 mmHg on two separate occasions, and at least 1+ proteinuria on a random urine screen after the 20th week of pregnancy | CAL ≥ 4.0 mm on two or more sites on different teeth. | 21–24 weeks of gestation | Periodontitis increased the risk of preeclampsia among never- smokers | 4.51 (1.13– 17.96) |

| 24 | Varshney et | India | Case- | 20 cases | BP ≥140/90 mm | $PD \ge 4 \text{ mm} \text{ and } CAL \ge 3 \text{ mm}$ | within the 48 | Maternal clinical | 4.33 |
|----|----------------------------|-------|---------|---------------|-----------------|---|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | al. ²⁰ | | control | 20 controls | of Hg on two | at the same site on at least 4 | h after | periodontal | (1.15-16.32) |
| | 2014 | | | | separate | different non-neighboring | delivery | disease at | |
| | | | | | occasions after | teeth | | delivery is | |
| | | | | | 20 week of | | | associated with | |
| | | | | | gestation and | | | an increased risk | |
| | | | | | ≥1+ proteinuria | | | for the | |
| | | | | | | | | development of | |
| | | | | | | | | pre-eclampsia, | |
| | | | | | | | | independent of | |
| | | | | | | | | the effects of | |
| | | | | | | | | maternal age, | |
| | | | | | | | | race, smoking, | |
| | | | | | | | | gestational age at | |
| | | | | | | | | delivery | |
| 25 | Desai et al. ⁵³ | India | Case- | 120 cases | Blood pressure | PD \geq 4 mm and CAL \geq 3 mm | Periodontal | Maternal clinical | 19.898 (7.80- |
| | 2015 | | control | 1120 controls | ≥140/90 mm Hg | at the same site in at least four | examination | periodontal | 48.94) |
| | | | | | on two separate | teeth. | was | disease at | |
| | | | | | occasions after | | | delivery is | |

| | | | | | week 20 of | | performed 48h | associated with | |
|----|-------------------|--------|--------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | gestation. | | after delivery | an increased risk | |
| | | | | | | | | for the | |
| | | | | | | | | development of | |
| | | | | | | | | pre-eclampsia, | |
| | | | | | | | | independent of | |
| | | | | | | | | the effects of | |
| | | | | | | | | maternal age, | |
| | | | | | | | | race, smoking, | |
| | | | | | | | | gestational age at | |
| | | | | | | | | delivery | |
| 26 | Soucy- | Canada | Cohort | 11 cases | Not mentioned | the presence of at least one | in the seven | Pregnant women | RR 5.89 (1.24- |
| | Giguere et | | | 237 controls | | site with probing depths ≥ 4 | days | with periodontal | 28.05) |
| | al. ²¹ | | | | | mm and $\geq 10\%$ bleeding on | following | disease were | |
| | 2015 | | | | | probing | amniocentesis. | more likely to | |
| | | | | | | | | develop | |
| | | | | | | | | preeclampsia | |

| 27 | Lee et al. ³⁸ | Korea | Cohort | 15 cases | BP > 140/90 | two or more inter-proximal | at 21–24 | The association | 15.94 (3.31– |
|----|--------------------------|-------|---------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|
| | 2016 | | | 313 controls | mmHg on two | sites with CAL \geq 4 mm that | weeks of | was much | 76.71) |
| | | | | | separate | were not on the same tooth | gestation | stronger in | |
| | | | | | occasions with at | | | women with both | |
| | | | | | least 1+ | | | obesity and | |
| | | | | | proteinuria on a | | | periodontitis | |
| | | | | | random urine | | | | |
| | | | | | screen after the | | | | |
| | | | | | 20th week of | | | | |
| | | | | | pregnancy | | | | |
| 28 | Khalighinejad | USA | Case- | 50 cases | A systolic blood | The presence of 4 or more | Before 26 | Apical | 2.23 (1.92-6.88) |
| | et al. ⁵⁶ | | control | 50 controls | pressure ≥ 140 | teeth with 1 or more sites | weeks of | periodontitis was | |
| | 2017 | | | | mm HG or a | with PD \geq 4 mm and with | gestation | significantly | |
| | | | | | diastolic pressure | clinical attachment loss \geq | | more prevalent | |
| | | | | | \geq 90 mm HG and | 3mm at the same site | | in the | |
| | | | | | proteinuria > 300 | | | experimental | |
| | | | | | mg/24h | | | group. | |
| | | | | | developed after | | | | |

| | | | | | the 20 th week of | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|----------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | gestation | | | | |
| 29 | Lafaurie et | Colombia | Case- | 76 cases | not mentioned | the patients were classified | during the | Periodontal | 5.46 (1.84- |
| | al. ²² | | control | 304 controls | | according to the presence of | first week | pockets presence | 16.1) |
| | 2018 | | | | | periodontal pockets (code 3: | after birth | was not | |
| | | | | | | periodontal pockets of 4-5mm | | associated with | |
| | | | | | | or code 4: periodontal pockets | | preeclampsia. | |
| | | | | | | >5mm) | | | |
| 30 | Jaiman et al. ⁵⁴ | India | Case- | 15 cases | preeclampsia as | According to the criteria of | 24 hours | The preeclamptic | Periodontitis in |
| | 2018 | | control | 15 controls | the appearance of | Löe and Silness. | before | women were | case group was |
| | | | | | a diastolic blood | | delivery | associated with | 93.3% and in |
| | | | | | pressure ≥90 | | | significantly | control group |
| | | | | | mmHg mercury | | | higher | was 33.3% |
| | | | | | measured at two | | | periodontitis and | (p<0.05) |
| | | | | | different | | | lower fetal birth | |
| | | | | | occasions at least | | | weight than | |
| | | | | | 4 h apart in | | | normotensive | |
| | | | | | combination with | | | women | |
| | | | | | proteinuria (≥300 | | | | |

| | | | | mg/24 h or +1 | | | | |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | dipstick) | | | | |
| | | | | developing after | | | | |
| | | | | a gestational age | | | | |
| | | | | of 20 weeks in a | | | | |
| | | | | previously | | | | |
| | | | | normotensive | | | | |
| | | | | woman | | | | |
| BP: | blood pressure; DBP | : diastolic blood pre | ssure; SBP: systoli | c blood pressure; GW | V: gestational week; PD: pocket d | epth; CAL: clinic | al attachment loss; (| OHI: oral hygiene |
| inde | x; GI: gingival index | ; BOP: bleeding on | probing; GCF: ging | gival crevicular fluid | - | | | |

| Study, year | Selection | Comparability | Exposure | Risk of bias |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|----------|--------------|
| | (Max 4*) | (Max 2*) | (Max 3*) | |
| Boggess 2003 | *** | * | ** | High |
| Canakci 2004 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Contreras 2006 | *** | * | ** | High |
| Cota 2006 | *** | * | ** | High |
| Khader 2006 | **** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Kunnen 2007 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Canakci 2007 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Siqueira 2008 | *** | * | ** | High |
| Lohsoonthorn 2009 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Horton 2010 | *** | * | ** | High |
| Shetty 2010 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Politano 2011 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Ha 2011 | **** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Sayar 2011 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Taghzouti 2012 | *** | ** | ** | Moderate |
| Chaparro 2012 | *** | * | ** | High |

Table 2. Risk of bias in included studies based on Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

| *** | * | ** | High | |
|------|---|---|--|---|
| *** | * | ** | High | |
| **** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| *** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| *** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| **** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| **** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| *** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| *** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| **** | ** | *** | Low | |
| **** | * | ** | Moderate | |
| **** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| *** | * | ** | High | |
| **** | ** | ** | Moderate | |
| | *** **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** * | *** * *** * *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** *** ** **** ** **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * **** * *** * *** * *** * * * * * * | *** * ** *** * ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** **** ** ** **** ** ** **** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** **** ** ** **** ** ** **** ** ** **** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** ** ** *** | **** * ** High **** ** ** Moderate *** ** ** Moderate *** ** ** Moderate *** ** ** Moderate **** * ** Moderate **** ** ** Moderate **** ** ** Moderate **** ** Modera |

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection

Figure 2. Forest plot for the association between periodontitis and preeclampsia.

Figure 3. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the type of study design (cohort study).

Figure 4. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the type of study design (case-control study)

Figure 5. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the national income (high income countries)

Figure 6. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the national income (upper middle-income countries)

Figure 7. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the national income (lower middle- income countries)

Figure 8. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the definition of periodontitis (PD alone)

Figure 9. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the definition of periodontitis (PD and CAL)

Figure 10. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the definition of periodontitis (CAL alone)

Figure 11. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis of mean CAL between preeclamptic and healthy groups.

Figure 12. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis of mean PD between preeclamptic and healthy groups.

Figure 13. Funnel plot for the association between periodontitis and preeclampsia.




Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection

| vents 34 21 23 9 83 81 54 90 6 9 15 6 | 621 41 38 11 130 109 284 120 16 | Events 5 11 7 33 89 295 8 164 | Total 229 41 21 43 243 479 290 | Weight 3.7% 3.3% 2.3% 4.7% 4.7% | M-H, Random, 95% Cl 2.59 [1.00, 6.72] 2.86 [1.14, 7.21] 3.07 [1.00, 9.36] 1.36 [0.25, 7.37] 3.06 [1.96, 4.76] | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 21 23 9 83 81 54 90 6 9 15 | 41 38 11 130 109 284 120 16 | 11 7 33 89 295 8 | 41 21 43 243 479 | 3.7% 3.3% 2.3% 4.7% | 2.86 [1.14, 7.21] 3.07 [1.00, 9.36] 1.36 [0.25, 7.37] | |
| 23 9 83 81 54 90 6 9 15 | 38 11 130 109 284 120 16 | 7 33 89 295 8 | 21 43 243 479 | 3.3% 2.3% 4.7% | 3.07 [1.00, 9.36] 1.36 [0.25, 7.37] | |
| 9 83 81 54 90 6 9 15 | 11 130 109 284 120 16 | 33 89 295 8 | 43 243 479 | 2.3% 4.7% | 1.36 [0.25, 7.37] | |
| 83 81 54 90 6 9 15 | 130 109 284 120 16 | 89 295 8 | 243 479 | 4.7% | | |
| 81 54 90 6 9 15 | 109 284 120 16 | 295 8 | 479 | | 3.06 [1.96, 4.76] | |
| 54 90 6 9 15 | 284 120 16 | 8 | | 4.7% | | |
| 90 6 9 15 | 120 16 | | 290 | | 1.80 [1.13, 2.88] | |
| 6 9 15 | 16 | 164 | 2.30 | 4.1% | 8.28 [3.86, 17.74] | |
| 9 15 | | | 1120 | 4.7% | 17.49 [11.20, 27.29] | |
| 15 | | 5 | 48 | 2.8% | 5.16 [1.31, 20.34] | ——— |
| | 13 | 58 | 270 | 3.1% | 8.22 [2.44, 27.66] | |
| 6 | 18 | 94 | 109 | 2.9% | 0.80 [0.21, 3.09] | |
| б | 34 | 108 | 757 | 3.8% | 1.29 [0.52, 3.18] | |
| 14 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 1.6% | 28.00 [2.82, 277.96] | |
| 33 | 50 | 24 | 50 | 4.0% | 2.10 [0.94, 4.71] | ↓ → |
| 18 | 35 | 43 | 305 | 4.2% | 6.45 [3.09, 13.48] | |
| 14 | 17 | 13 | 35 | 2.7% | 7.90 [1.90, 32.77] | |
| 17 | 76 | 51 | 304 | 4.4% | 1.43 [0.77, 2.65] | |
| 10 | 15 | 66 | 313 | 3.3% | 7.48 [2.47, 22.65] | |
| 42 | 150 | 38 | 150 | 4.6% | 1.15 [0.69, 1.91] | _ _ |
| 72 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 4.5% | 1.58 [0.87, 2.86] | + |
| 29 | 58 | 17 | 58 | 4.1% | 2.41 [1.12, 5.18] | - |
| 96 | 100 | 79 | 100 | 3.3% | 6.38 [2.10, 19.36] | |
| 100 | 105 | 87 | 105 | 3.5% | 4.14 [1.47, 11.61] | |
| 30 | 30 | 86 | 100 | 1.1% | 10.23 [0.59, 176.64] | |
| 93 | 164 | 406 | 1042 | 4.9% | 2.05 [1.47, 2.86] | |
| 9 | 11 | 108 | 237 | 2.5% | 5.38 [1.14, 25.41] | |
| 75 | 92 | 198 | 245 | 4.4% | 1.05 [0.57, 1.94] | _ _ |
| 13 | 20 | б | 20 | 2.9% | 4.33 [1.15, 16.32] | |
| | 2473 | | 6829 | 100.0% | 3.18 [2.26, 4.48] | ◆ |
| 1096 | | 2166 | | | | |
| 9; Chi ² | | | 27 (P | < 0.0000 | 1); $ ^2 = 81\%$ | 0.005 0.1 1 10 200 |
| 1 | 42 72 29 96 100 93 93 9 75 13 1096 9; Chi ² | 42 150 72 100 29 58 96 100 100 105 30 30 93 164 9 11 75 92 13 20 2473 1096 | 42 150 38 72 100 62 29 58 17 96 100 79 100 105 87 30 30 86 93 164 406 9 11 108 75 92 198 13 20 6 2473 1096 2166 9; Chi ² = 139.03, df = 139.03, df = | 42 150 38 150 72 100 62 100 29 58 17 58 96 100 79 100 100 105 87 105 30 30 86 100 93 164 406 1042 9 11 108 237 75 92 198 245 13 20 6 20 2473 6829 1096 2166 9 9; Chi ² = 139.03, df = 27 (P - | 42 150 38 150 4.6% 72 100 62 100 4.5% 29 58 17 58 4.1% 96 100 79 100 3.3% 100 105 87 105 3.5% 30 30 86 100 1.1% 93 164 406 1042 4.9% 9 11 108 237 2.5% 75 92 198 245 4.4% 13 20 6 20 2.9% 2473 6829 100.0% 10096 2166 | 42 150 38 150 4.6% 1.15 [0.69, 1.91] 72 100 62 100 4.5% 1.58 [0.87, 2.86] 29 58 17 58 4.1% 2.41 [1.12, 5.18] 96 100 79 100 3.3% 6.38 [2.10, 19.36] 100 105 87 105 3.5% 4.14 [1.47, 11.61] 30 30 86 100 1.1% 10.23 [0.59, 176.64] 93 164 406 1042 4.9% 2.05 [1.47, 2.86] 9 11 108 237 2.5% 5.38 [1.14, 25.41] 75 92 198 245 4.4% 1.05 [0.57, 1.94] 13 20 6 20 2.9% 4.33 [1.15, 16.32] |

Figure 2. Forest plot for the association between periodontitis and preeclampsia.




Figure 3. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the type of study design (cohort study)

| | preeclar | npsia | healt | hy | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
| Canakci 2004 | 21 | 41 | 11 | 41 | 4.7% | 2.86 [1.14, 7.21] | |
| Canakci 2007 | 23 | 38 | 7 | 21 | 4.2% | 3.07 [1.00, 9.36] | |
| Chaparro 2012 | 9 | 11 | 33 | 43 | 2.9% | 1.36 [0.25, 7.37] | |
| Contreras 2006 | 83 | 130 | 89 | 243 | 5.9% | 3.06 [1.96, 4.76] | |
| Cota 2006 | 81 | 109 | 295 | 479 | 5.9% | 1.80 [1.13, 2.88] | |
| da Silva 2012 | 54 | 284 | 8 | 290 | 5.2% | 8.28 [3.86, 17.74] | |
| Desai 2015 | 90 | 120 | 164 | 1120 | 5.9% | 17.49 [11.20, 27.29] | |
| Ha 2011 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 48 | 3.6% | 5.16 [1.31, 20.34] | |
| Hirano 2012 | 15 | 18 | 94 | 109 | 3.6% | 0.80 [0.21, 3.09] | |
| Jaiman 2018 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 2.0% | 28.00 [2.82, 277.96] | |
| Khalighinejad 2017 | 33 | 50 | 24 | 50 | 5.0% | 2.10 [0.94, 4.71] | ↓ • • • |
| Kunnen 2007 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 35 | 3.5% | 7.90 [1.90, 32.77] | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Lafaurie 2018 | 17 | 76 | 51 | 304 | 5.5% | 1.43 [0.77, 2.65] | |
| Lohsoonthorn 2009 | 42 | 150 | 38 | 150 | 5.8% | 1.15 [0.69, 1.91] | |
| Pattanashetti 2013 | 72 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 5.6% | 1.58 [0.87, 2.86] | + - - |
| Politano 2011 | 29 | 58 | 17 | 58 | 5.1% | 2.41 [1.12, 5.18] | |
| Pralhad 2012 | 96 | 100 | 79 | 100 | 4.2% | 6.38 [2.10, 19.36] | |
| Sayar 2011 | 100 | 105 | 87 | 105 | 4.4% | 4.14 [1.47, 11.61] | — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — |
| Shetty 2010 | 30 | 30 | 86 | 100 | 1.5% | 10.23 [0.59, 176.64] | |
| Siqueira 2008 | 93 | 164 | 406 | 1042 | 6.1% | 2.05 [1.47, 2.86] | - |
| Taghzouti 2012 | 75 | 92 | 198 | 245 | 5.5% | 1.05 [0.57, 1.94] | _ _ |
| Varshney 2014 | 13 | 20 | б | 20 | 3.7% | 4.33 [1.15, 16.32] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 1744 | | 4718 | 100.0% | 2.96 [2.00, 4.39] | • |
| Total events | 1010 | | 1778 | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | = 0.63; Ch | $i^2 = 12^4$ | 1.46, df = | = 21 (P | < 0.000 | 01); I ² = 83% | 0.005 0.1 1 10 200 |
| Test for overall effect | | | | | | | Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] |
| | | | | | | | ravours (nearing) ravours (preeclampsia) |

Figure 4. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the type of study design (case-control study)

| | preeclar | npsia | healt | hy | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------|---------|---------|---------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
| Boggess 2003 | 34 | 621 | 5 | 229 | 10.6% | 2.59 [1.00, 6.72] | |
| Chaparro 2012 | 9 | 11 | 33 | 43 | 6.0% | 1.36 [0.25, 7.37] | |
| Ha 2011 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 48 | 7.6% | 5.16 [1.31, 20.34] | |
| Ha 2014 | 9 | 13 | 58 | 270 | 8.6% | 8.22 [2.44, 27.66] | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Hirano 2012 | 15 | 18 | 94 | 109 | 7.7% | 0.80 [0.21, 3.09] | |
| Horton 2010 | 6 | 34 | 108 | 757 | 10.9% | 1.29 [0.52, 3.18] | |
| Khalighinejad 2017 | 33 | 50 | 24 | 50 | 11.8% | 2.10 [0.94, 4.71] | — |
| Kunnen 2007 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 35 | 7.3% | 7.90 [1.90, 32.77] | |
| Lee 2016 | 10 | 15 | 66 | 313 | 9.4% | 7.48 [2.47, 22.65] | |
| Soucy-Giguere 2015 | 9 | 11 | 108 | 237 | 6.6% | 5.38 [1.14, 25.41] | |
| Taghzouti 2012 | 75 | 92 | 198 | 245 | 13.3% | 1.05 [0.57, 1.94] | _ |
| Total (95% CI) | | 898 | | 2336 | 100.0% | 2.67 [1.59, 4.49] | ◆ |
| Total events | 220 | | 712 | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 0.42; Chi ² | $^{2} = 24.6$ | 52, df = 1 | 10 (P = | 0.006); | $ ^2 = 5.9\%$ | 0.005 0.1 1 10 200 |
| Test for overall effect: | | | • | | | | 0.005 0.1 1 10 200 Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] |

Figure 5. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the national income (high income countries)

| | preeclar | npsia | healt | hy | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------|--------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
| Canakci 2004 | 21 | 41 | 11 | 41 | 7.2% | 2.86 [1.14, 7.21] | |
| Canakci 2007 | 23 | 38 | 7 | 21 | 5.7% | 3.07 [1.00, 9.36] | |
| Contreras 2006 | 83 | 130 | 89 | 243 | 13.1% | 3.06 [1.96, 4.76] | |
| Cota 2006 | 81 | 109 | 295 | 479 | 12.7% | 1.80 [1.13, 2.88] | |
| da Silva 2012 | 54 | 284 | 8 | 290 | 8.8% | 8.28 [3.86, 17.74] | |
| Lafaurie 2018 | 17 | 76 | 51 | 304 | 10.6% | 1.43 [0.77, 2.65] | |
| Lohsoonthor 2009 | 42 | 150 | 38 | 150 | 12.1% | 1.15 [0.69, 1.91] | _ - |
| Politano 2011 | 29 | 58 | 17 | 58 | 8.8% | 2.41 [1.12, 5.18] | |
| Sayar 2011 | 100 | 105 | 87 | 105 | 6.3% | 4.14 [1.47, 11.61] | |
| Siqueira 2008 | 93 | 164 | 406 | 1042 | 14.7% | 2.05 [1.47, 2.86] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 1155 | | 2733 | 100.0% | 2.40 [1.73, 3.31] | • |
| Total events | 543 | | 1009 | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | = 0.16; Ch | i ² = 24. | 97, df = | 9 (P = | 0.003); l ^a | ² = 64% | |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 5.29 | (P < 0.) | 00001) | | ., | | 0.02 0.1 1 10 50 Favours (healthy) Favours (preeclampsia) |

Figure 6. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the national income (upper middle-income countries)

| | preeclampsia healthy | | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
| Desai 2015 | 90 | 120 | 164 | 1120 | 18.8% | 17.49 [11.20, 27.29] | |
| Jaiman 2018 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 9.1% | 28.00 [2.82, 277.96] | |
| Kumar 2012 | 18 | 35 | 43 | 305 | 17.5% | 6.45 [3.09, 13.48] | |
| Pattanashetti 2013 | 72 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 18.2% | 1.58 [0.87, 2.86] | ⊢ ∎−− |
| Pralhad 2012 | 96 | 100 | 79 | 100 | 15.4% | 6.38 [2.10, 19.36] | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Shetty 2010 | 30 | 30 | 86 | 100 | 7.0% | 10.23 [0.59, 176.64] | _ |
| Varshney 2014 | 13 | 20 | б | 20 | 14.1% | 4.33 [1.15, 16.32] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 420 | | 1760 | 100.0% | 6.70 [2.61, 17.19] | |
| Total events | 333 | | 445 | | | | |
| Heterogeneity. Tau ² = | = 1.18; Ch | $i^2 = 42.1$ | 63, df = | б(Р < | 0.00001 |); I ² = 86% | |
| Test for overall effect | | | | | | • | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] |

Figure 7. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the national income (lower middle- income countries)

| | preeclar | npsia | healt | hy | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|--|--|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | | M-H, Random, 95% CI | |
| Canakci 2007 | 23 | 38 | 7 | 21 | 18.1% | 3.07 [1.00, 9.36] | | | |
| Horton 2010 | 6 | 34 | 108 | 757 | 21.1% | 1.29 [0.52, 3.18] | | | |
| Jaiman 2018 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 7.6% | 28.00 [2.82, 277.96] | | | |
| Kunnen 2007 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 35 | 14.3% | 7.90 [1.90, 32.77] | | | |
| Pattanashetti 2013 | 72 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 25.9% | 1.58 [0.87, 2.86] | | + | |
| Soucy-Giguere 2015 | 9 | 11 | 108 | 237 | 13.0% | 5.38 [1.14, 25.41] | | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 215 | | 1165 | 100.0% | 3.13 [1.51, 6.50] | | - | |
| Total events | 138 | | 303 | | | | | | |
| Heterogeneity. Tau ² = | 0.44; Chi | ² = 12.1 | L2, df = ! | 5 (P = 0 | 0.03); I ² = | = 59% | 0.01 | 0.1 1 10 100 | |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 3.06 | (P = 0.0) | 02) | | | | 0.01 | Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] | |

Figure 8. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the definition of periodontitis (PD alone)

| | preeclampsia | | healt | hy | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
| Boggess 2003 | 34 | 621 | 5 | 229 | 6.2% | 2.59 [1.00, 6.72] | |
| Canakci 2004 | 21 | 41 | 11 | 41 | 6.3% | 2.86 [1.14, 7.21] | |
| Chaparro 2012 | 9 | 11 | 33 | 43 | 4.2% | 1.36 [0.25, 7.37] | - |
| Contreras 2006 | 83 | 130 | 89 | 243 | 7.6% | 3.06 [1.96, 4.76] | _ |
| Cota 2006 | 81 | 109 | 295 | 479 | 7.5% | 1.80 [1.13, 2.88] | _ |
| da Silva 2012 | 54 | 284 | 8 | 290 | 6.8% | 8.28 [3.86, 17.74] | |
| Desai 2015 | 90 | 120 | 164 | 1120 | 7.6% | 17.49 [11.20, 27.29] | |
| Khalighineja 2017 | 33 | 50 | 24 | 50 | 6.6% | 2.10 [0.94, 4.71] | |
| Kumar 2012 | 18 | 35 | 43 | 305 | 6.8% | 6.45 [3.09, 13.48] | |
| Lohsoonthor 2009 | 42 | 150 | 38 | 150 | 7.4% | 1.15 [0.69, 1.91] | |
| Politano 2011 | 29 | 58 | 17 | 58 | 6.8% | 2.41 [1.12, 5.18] | |
| Pralhad 2012 | 96 | 100 | 79 | 100 | 5.7% | | |
| Sayar 2011 | 100 | 105 | 87 | 105 | 6.0% | • • • | |
| Shetty 2010 | 30 | 30 | 86 | 100 | 2.2% | • • • | |
| Taghzouti 2012 | 75 | 92 | 198 | 245 | 7.2% | | _ _ |
| Varshney 2014 | 13 | 20 | б | 20 | 5.1% | • • • | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 1956 | | 3578 | 100.0% | 3.30 [2.02, 5.41] | • |
| Total events | 808 | | 1183 | | | | - |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | = 0.78; Ch | $i^2 = 10^4$ | .48. df = | = 15 (P | < 0.000 | 01); $ ^2 = 86\%$ | 0.005 0.1 1 10 200 |
| Test for overall effect | | | • | | | | |
| | | ę | | | | | Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] |

Figure 9. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the definition of periodontitis (PD and CAL)

| | preeclampsia healthy | | | hy | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
| Ha 2011 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 48 | 11.6% | 5.16 [1.31, 20.34] | |
| Ha 2014 | 9 | 13 | 58 | 270 | 13.3% | 8.22 [2.44, 27.66] | |
| Hirano 2012 | 15 | 18 | 94 | 109 | 11.8% | 0.80 [0.21, 3.09] | |
| Lafaurie 2018 | 17 | 76 | 51 | 304 | 22.2% | 1.43 [0.77, 2.65] | - + |
| Lee 2016 | 10 | 15 | 66 | 313 | 14.6% | 7.48 [2.47, 22.65] | |
| Siqueira 2008 | 93 | 164 | 406 | 1042 | 26.6% | 2.05 [1.47, 2.86] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 302 | | 2086 | 100.0% | 2.74 [1.50, 5.01] | • |
| Total events | 150 | | 680 | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | = 0.33; Ch | $i^2 = 14.$ | 92, df = | 5 (P = | 0.01); I ² | = 66% | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 |
| Test for overall effect | : Z = 3.28 | (P = 0.) | 001) | | | | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] |

Figure 10. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis according to the definition of periodontitis (CAL alone)

| | preeclampsia healthy | | | | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|---|--------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| Canakci 2004 | 3.25 | 0.49 | 41 | 2.51 | 0.45 | 41 | 9.2% | 0.74 [0.54, 0.94] | + |
| Contreras 2006 | 4 | 0.1 | 130 | 3 | 0.08 | 243 | 9.5% | 1.00 [0.98, 1.02] | · · · |
| Desal 2015 | 3.9 | 1 | 120 | 1.9 | 0.78 | 1120 | 9.3% | 2.00 [1.82, 2.18] | + |
| Hirano 2012 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 16 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 109 | 9.1% | -0.10 [-0.34, 0.14] | -+- |
| Jaiman 2018 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 15 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 15 | 8.9% | 0.80 [0.50, 1.10] | |
| Khader 2006 | 2.77 | 0.57 | 115 | 2.65 | 0.41 | 230 | 9.4% | 0.12 [0.00, 0.24] | • |
| Kunnen 2007 | 1.36 | 0.63 | 17 | 1.1 | 0.45 | 35 | 6.6% | 0.28 [-0.05, 0.61] | ↓ |
| Praihad 2012 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 100 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 100 | 8.9% | 1.30 [1.01, 1.59] | |
| Sayar 2011 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 105 | 1.26 | 1.14 | 105 | 8.9% | 0.44 [0.14, 0.74] | |
| Varshney 2014 | 4 | 0.512 | 20 | 3.57 | 0.49 | 20 | 8.9% | 0.43 [0.12, 0.74] | |
| Yaghini 2012 | 0.2 | 0.26 | 26 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 25 | 9.2% | -0.16 [-0.37, 0.05] | |
| Total (95% CI) | | | 707 | | | 2043 | 100.0% | 0.62 [0.27, 0.98] | ◆ |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 0.35; 0 | Chl ² = 5 | 71.39, | df = 10 |) (P < | 0.0000 | (1); ^p = 9 | 6% | |
| Test for overall effects | : Z = 3.4 | 14 (P =) | 0.0006 | -4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] | | | | | |

Figure 11. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis of mean CAL between preeclamptic and healthy groups.

| | Pree | clamp | sia | H | ealthy | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|---|--------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI | I IV, Random, 95% CI |
| Canaket 2004 | 2.99 | 0.38 | 41 | 2.34 | 0.31 | 41 | 10.4% | 0.65 [0.50, 0.80] |] |
| Contreras 2006 | 4.1 | 0.09 | 130 | 3.2 | 0.06 | 243 | 10.6% | 0.90 [0.88, 0.92] |] • |
| Desal 2015 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 120 | 1.8 | 0.74 | 1120 | 10.3% | 1.80 [1.63, 1.97] |] – – |
| Hirano 2012 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 18 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 109 | 10.0% | 0.00 [-0.24, 0.24] |] + |
| Jaiman 2018 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 15 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 15 | 8.9% | 1.00 [0.56, 1.44] |] — |
| Khader 2006 | 2.94 | 0.96 | 115 | 2.88 | 0.75 | 230 | 10.2% | 0.06 [-0.14, 0.26] |] + |
| Kunnen 2007 | 2.94 | 0.37 | 17 | 2.57 | 0.31 | 35 | 10.2% | 0.37 [0.17, 0.57] |] – – |
| Pralhad 2012 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 100 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 100 | 9.2% | 2.20 [1.81, 2.59] |] — |
| Sayar 2011 | 2.41 | 0.39 | 105 | 2.29 | 0.69 | 105 | 10.4% | 0.12 [-0.03, 0.27] |] |
| Varshney 2014 | 2 | 0.53 | 20 | 1.05 | 0.22 | 20 | 10.0% | 0.95 [0.70, 1.20] |] |
| Total (95% CI) | | | 681 | | | 2018 | 100.0% | 0.79 [0.47, 1.11] | . ◆ |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | : 0.25; Cl | hi² = 41 | 10.85, d | lf = 9 (P | < 0.00 | 0001); I | ²= 98% | | |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 4.86 | (P < 0 | .00001 |) | | | -4 -2 U 2 4 Favours [healthy] Favours [preeclampsia] | | |
| | | | | - | | | | | Favours [riearriy] Favours [preeclampsia] |

Figure 12. Forest plot for the subgroup analysis of mean PD between preeclamptic and healthy groups.

ealthy groups.




Figure 13. Funnel plot for the association between periodontitis and preeclampsia.