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Abstract—Relative localization is a prerequisite for the cooperation of aerial swarms. The vision-based approach has been investigated owing to its scalability and independence on communication. However, the limited field of view (FOV) inherently restricts the performance of vision-based relative localization. Inspired by bird flocks in nature, this letter proposes a novel distributed active vision-based relative localization framework for formation control in aerial swarms. Aiming at improving observation quality and formation accuracy, we devise graph-based attention planning (GAP) to determine the active observation scheme in the swarm. Then active detection results are fused with onboard measurements from UWB and VIO to obtain real-time relative positions, which further improve the formation control performance. Real-world experiments show that the proposed active vision system enables the swarm agents to achieve agile flocking movements with an acceleration of 4 m/s² in circular formation tasks. A 45.3% improvement of formation accuracy has been achieved compared with the fixed vision system.

Index Terms—Aerial swarm, relative localization, formation control, active vision.

I. INTRODUCTION

Aerial swarms have gained an increasing research focus in recent years, owing to their promising applications in cooperative missions, such as exploration, inspection, search and rescue [1]. The swarms outperform an individual flying robot in terms of capability, flexibility and robustness [2]. To fully realize collaboration in a swarm, relative localization is a fundamental part [3]. Such localization provides a basis for collision avoidance, formation control and other swarm behaviors [4].

Visual sensors have been widely adopted to obtain relative positions in previous works [5]–[11]. The scalability and independence on communication make vision an ideal candidate for relative localization of distributed aerial swarms [10]. However, the vision-based approach is inherently restricted by limited FOV. To overcome this limitation, it is intuitive to realize omnidirectional vision detection. Attempts in the literature include fisheye camera [6], [11] and camera array [12]. Nevertheless, the fisheye camera requires additional computational resources to rectify distortion and an array of visual sensors makes the system bulky.
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Flocks in nature shed light on the design of an efficient omnidirectional vision detection. Vision is a critical component for birds to respond to their neighbors’ motion when flying in flocks [13], [14]. An individual in bird flocks will turn its head around to observe others to maintain the formation and avoid collisions. In a similar notion, active vision leverages the physical motion of the camera to dynamically gather more information about surroundings [15]. Compared with fixed vision, active vision overcomes the restriction of FOV without adding extra visual devices. Active vision has been successfully applied to aerial swarm missions, such as human detection of marine search and rescue [16] and target tracking with optimal view-point configurations [17]. Applying active vision to aerial swarms requires decentralized planning of temporal and spatial distribution of the camera’s attention, i.e., planning when and where the camera observes so that all agents can cooperate and achieve accurate and agile flight.

To the best of our knowledge, there lacks an active vision-based approach to tackle restricted FOV for relative localization in aerial swarms. Therefore, in this letter, we propose a fully distributed active vision-based framework for real-time relative localization of aerial swarms. This framework is infrastructure-free, i.e., eliminating requirements for external devices such as global positioning system (GPS) and the motion capture system. An independent rotational degree of freedom (DOF) is introduced to the camera to achieve the active vision. We also take advantage of the fusion scheme, which utilizes measurements from Ultra-WideBand (UWB), VIO and active vision detection to realize the robust omnidirectional relative estimation. The estimation is then applied to agile formation control tasks.

Fig. 1. Drone flocking enhanced with active vision. Each agent actively observes the other agents to improve formation accuracy.
The main contributions of this letter are as follows: 1) A novel active vision-based framework of real-time relative localization, overcoming limited FOV. 2) A graph-based attention planning (GAP) coupled with the swarm formation, achieving optimal observation strategy. 3) An optimization-based position initialization algorithm, providing swarm positions before taking off using onboard sensors.

II. RELATED WORKS

Relative localization is a prerequisite for the cooperation of aerial swarms [7]. External devices, such as GPS, motion capture system and UWB positioning system with anchors, are adopted to obtain absolute positions and deduce the relative positions between agents. The dependence on the external infrastructure of this approach restricts the deployment of the system to unknown environments [18]. Also, it is based on a centralized framework, so it cannot meet the requirements in fully distributed control of swarms [11].

To tackle this issue, methods addressing the challenges of relative localization relying on onboard devices in the distributed framework are proposed. A straightforward way is relying on ego-state estimations. This approach utilizes onboard local ego-state estimation to obtain the relative localization in the common reference frame. This estimation comes from onboard computation, such as visual-inertial odometry (VIO) [19], simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) [20]. This approach requires a known initial position of each agent due to indirect measurement, and suffers from the drift issue [8], potentially leading to formation failure or even collisions [21]. Moreover, the requirement to share ego-positions between robots makes the system unfeasible to cope with highly dynamic environments with high communication throughput [5].

Another solution is to rely on distance sensors. Distance sensors have the ability to measure UAV-to-UAV distance in an omnidirectional way directly. UWB, one popular distance sensor, has been adopted widely in the literature. External UWB position system based on fixed anchors can estimate positions of agents in the common reference frame [18], but the requirement of the previous deployment of anchors means the framework can hardly be adopted in the unknown environment [8]. Efforts have been made to design an anchor-free UWB position scheme, such as UWB-IMU coupled approach [22], [23]. However, due to the single-dimensional distance measurement, the accuracy of relative localization relying barely on UWB is not satisfactory [22], [23]. To achieve better estimation, UWB measurements are fused together with measurements from other sensors, such as VIO [18], wheel encoder [24], and optical flow [25], [26].

Different from distance sensors, visual sensors can provide 3-D position estimations of targets. The vision-based approach detects the relative positions of other agents by onboard cameras. The detection can be achieved by pre-known markers attached to agents [6], [7], or by a pre-trained CNN-based detection algorithm [8], [9]. Despite the scalability of the vision-based approach [10], estimation accuracy will deteriorate in the non-line-of-sight case due to restricted FOV [11]. To overcome this limitation, attempts have been made to enlarge the FOV of visual detection in the literature. In [11], distortion-free images extracted from the fisheye camera are used to detect other drones. In [10], four cameras are installed to provide omnidirectional visual inputs. Nevertheless, addressing limited FOV with the fisheye camera or camera array is at the cost of additional mass, size, and computing power, which in turn brings new burdens [3]. There is still room for improvement in omnidirectional vision detection.

III. METHOD

In this section, we first illustrate the graph-based attention planning of active vision detection. Then, the optimization-based relative localization fusing three kinds of measurements is explained. After that, the initialization of swarm positions by the estimator is addressed. Finally, the design of the formation control law is stressed, utilizing the results of relative localization.

A. Graph-based Attention Planning

Active vision aims to improve the detection performance of cameras limited by FOV and provide reliable and abundant position data of other agents for the estimator. To overcome the FOV limitation, we design a flexible structure to achieve the active vision. This structure consists of a servo motor and a camera. They are mounted on a quadrotor’s upper platform so that the motor can drive the camera in all directions to observe environments. Although active vision can also be implemented by moving the yaw angle of the drone, our approach benefits the control of the quadrotor. Due to the lightweight of the camera compared with the body of the quadrotor, this implementation brings negligible influence to the control of the original system while provides a flexible view field [27].

The results of active vision detection are shared among the swarm, so each agent is able to obtain the information of observation within the swarm. And this interaction between agents in the aerial swarm can be represented by graph conveniently. The graph \( G \) is defined as \( G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}) \), where \( \mathcal{V} \) is a finite set of vertices and \( \mathcal{E} \) is an edge set. Each vertex in the graph represents an agent in the aerial swarm and each edge \( e_i = \{v_m, v_n\} \) means agent \( n \) is observed by agent \( m \). In our formation tasks, agents in the aerial swarm are sparsely distributed in space, so an agent generally can only pay attention to one neighbor at a time in most cases. As a result, we assume there are \( n \) edges in \( \mathcal{E} \) because each agent has one observation given by the camera. The attention of the active vision determines the connection of the graph. To represent this connection, incidence matrix \( D(G) \) is introduced. The \( n \times n \) incidence matrix \( D(G) \) is defined as

\[
D(G) = [d_{ij}], \quad \text{where} \quad d_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1, & e_i = \{v_j, v_k\} \in \mathcal{E} \\ 1, & e_i = \{v_k, v_j\} \in \mathcal{E} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
With the graph mentioned above, we introduce graph-based attention planning, which aims to evaluate the attention of the active vision and improve the visual detection quality within the swarm. Since the relative localization problem is integrated with the formation control task in our system, the planning considers formation information vital. This integration with formation control can leverage formation information to facilitate visual detection and reduce the risk of collision between agents. Two main factors are considered. One is the observation distance. According to the feature of vision detection, the measurement errors increase with distance from the observer [10]. Hence, a smaller observation distance is preferred. The other is the flight direction of the agent. More observation in this direction can help preclude collisions with neighbors and thus should be concerned. The cost function of the planning is described as

$$\min F = (D(\mathcal{G})X)^T D(\mathcal{G})X - V^T D(\mathcal{G})X$$

(2)

where $V = [v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n]^T$, each element in $V$ means the velocity of an agent. $X = [X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n]^T$, each element in $X$ means the position of an agent in a common reference frame. The first term in the cost function (2) is the sum of observation distances in the swarm. A smaller sum of distances is preferred. The second term means inner product of each pair of velocity and observation direction. According to the nature of the inner product, the more consistent the direction of velocity and the observation, the smaller the cost function is.

To find the optimal solution, possible connections of $\mathcal{G}$ are evaluated. Considering the formation in our scenario is stable, the solution can be obtained offline in advance. Because each agent has $n-1$ possible observations, for a swarm of $n$ agents, there are $(n-1)^n$ connections, which is a large space to evaluate. To calculate efficiently, we prune some invalid connections. We suppose each agent should be observed by some other agent to provide sufficient detection results for the distributed estimator, which means there should be a loop in the graph $\mathcal{G}$. After the pruning, there are $(n-1)!$ connections. Take the formation of 4 agents in our experiments as an example. There are 6 possible connections, which is acceptable to search for the optimal solution by enumeration. The solution of visual attention of 4 agents is shown in [IV-B] This optimal solution represents a connected graph and the orientation of the edge determines the direction of the camera rotation angle. Suppose there is a connection from agent $i$ to agent $j$ in the optimal solution and the relative position between them is $X_{ij}$, then the camera rotation angle $\theta_i$ of agent $i$ can be represented as

$$\theta_i = atan2(X_{ij}(2), X_{ij}(1))$$

(3)

Since the camera has only one rotational DOF along the Z-axis, we mainly concern about the directions in the $xy$ plane. The $X_{ij}(2)$ and $X_{ij}(1)$ means the relative position value of Y-axis and X-axis respectively. As mentioned above, each agent will observe one neighbor in most cases, so the choice of $\theta_i$ is to place the observed individual in the center of the agent’s FOV for better tracking and observation.

B. Relative Localization

Although active vision detection can provide relative positions, some agents may be invisible due to occlusion or beyond visual range. Moreover, estimations from vision can be intermittent due to misdetection [28]. Hence, active vision measurements could be complemented by other sensors so that we can obtain robust and continuous estimations. Inspired by the efficacy of the fusion scheme in [8], measurements from UWB and VIO are also adopted. In this subsection, we first discuss the details of active vision and the other two measurements. Then we introduce the implementation of optimization-based relative localization. The framework of our approach is shown in Fig[2].

1) Active Vision Measurement: In order to detect and identify the aerial platforms, ArUco markers are adopted. To realize omnidirectional detection, the placement of markers should be visible from any direction. Considering the constraints of space of an aerial platform and the fact that these platforms are often deployed at similar altitudes, we attach four markers around each aerial platform as Fig[3] shows. The four markers have the same ID number and each aerial platform has a unique ID number so that aerial platforms can be identified directly through marker ID. Compared to the convolutional neural network (CNN) based approach, our approach does not require pre-training and labeling. Also, real-time detection can be realized without GPU. These benefits make the deployment of our active vision system convenient.

2) Visual-Inertial Measurement: The data from the commercial Intel RealSense T265 tracking module is regarded as visual-inertial odometry measurement. Basically, the VIO serves as an ego-state estimator for the flight controller and formation controller. Because the VIO provides the position of an agent in the local frame, knowing the swarm’s initial positions is the prerequisite to utilize the VIO measurement.
The initialization is discussed in III-C. After that, the relative positions can be deduced from VIO displacement based on initial positions.

3) UWB Measurement: Each agent in the aerial multi-agent systems is equipped with a UWB module. Direct inter-agent distances can be obtained from each pair of UWB nodes. Because UWB measurement may yield significant outliers due to interferences, the Savitzky Golay filter is adopted to process UWB measurements.

To fuse the measurements from active vision detection, VIO and UWB, an optimization-based algorithm is adopted. For a drone $i$ in an aerial swarm with $n$ agents, $3 \times (n - 1)$ variables need to be estimated at time frame $k$. These variables represent the relative positions in three-dimensional space between drone $i$ and the other $n - 1$ agents in drone $i$’s local frame. The optimization function is expressed as the following formulation:

$$\min_{X_{ij}^k} J = \sum_{k \in T} \left\| P_{ij}^k - X_{ij}^0 \right\|_2 + \sum_{k \in T} \left\| P_{ji}^k + X_{ij}^0 \right\|_2 + \sum_{k \in T} \left\| X_{ij}^k - d_{ij}^k \right\|_2 + \sum_{k \in T} \left\| X_{VIO,j}^k - X_{VIO,i}^k - \hat{X}_{ij}^k \right\|_2 + \sum_{k \in T} \left\| \hat{X}_{ij}^{k-1} + (v_{j}^k - v_{i}^k) \delta t - X_{ij}^k \right\|_2$$

(4)

where $\hat{X}_{ij}^0$ refers to an estimation of the relative position between agent $i$ and agent $j$ at time frame $k$. The first term is composed of two possible residuals of active vision detection measurements; $P_{ij}^k$ means the pair of valid detection of drone $j$ detected by drone $i$; $P_{ji}^k$ means the pair of valid detection of drone $i$ detected by drone $j$, obtaining through communication; $\left\| \hat{X}_{ij}^k - d_{ij}^k \right\|_2$ represents the residual of UWB distance measurements; $X_{VIO,j}^k$ and $X_{VIO,i}^k$ represents the VIO measurements from agent $j$ and agent $i$ at time frame $k$ in global frame respectively. To leverage the dynamic of the system, the first order expectation is introduced in the last term; $v_{j}^k - v_{i}^k$ represents relative velocity between agent $j$ and agent $i$; $\delta t$ represents time interval between time frame $k - 1$ and $k$; $\hat{X}_{ij}^{k-1} + (v_{j}^k - v_{i}^k) \delta t$ represents expected relative position at time frame $k$ based on time frame $k - 1$.

The estimation is implemented in a distributed manner. Each agent runs its estimator by leveraging information it collects or interchanges with other agents. Due to the asynchronous communication of UWB modules in the aerial swarm, agent $i$ may not obtain information of all other agents at time frame $k$. In this case, specific terms in the (4) will be omitted due to incomplete data. We adopt the Ceres-solver to solve this non-linear least-squares optimization problem. Considering the movements of agents are continuous, we set the initial values of the solver as values of the last time frame $k - 1$, which brings the benefit of the faster convergence of the solver.

C. Initialization of Relative Positions

Since the VIO measurement is in an agent’s local frame, it is required to know agents’ initial positions to determine their relative positions. The initialization of relative positions is implemented by fusing the results of active detection and UWB measurement. For convenience, the agents in the aerial swarm face the same directions by aligning their compasses and there is no rotation between the local frame and global frame during the initialization stage. The framework of initialization is similar to that of the above section, which is described as:

$$\min_{\hat{X}_{ij}^0} J = \sum_{k \in T} \left\| P_{ij}^k - \hat{X}_{ij}^0 \right\|_2 + \sum_{k \in T} \left\| P_{ji}^k + \hat{X}_{ij}^0 \right\|_2 + \sum_{k \in T} \left\| \hat{X}_{ij}^0 - d_{ij}^k \right\|_2$$

(5)

where $\hat{X}_{ij}^0$ represents the estimated initial relative position between agent $i$ and agent $j$. $T$ represents the period when the initialization program collects data. Because all agents are static, their relative positions are regarded as constant and thus time-invariant. The initialization algorithm will utilize measurements of active detection and UWB within a short period. After initialization, the optimization algorithm will have access to VIO measurements to estimate relative localization.

D. Formation Control

In this subsection, we aim to realize the proposed relative localization framework with a consensus-based formation control task. We first consider the second-order system of the drone. Then we propose a formation control law for circle movements with a static target.

In a multi-robot system, the outer-loop dynamics of a UAV can be approximately described by

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_i(t) = v_i(t) \\
\dot{v}_i(t) = u_i(t)
\end{cases}$$

(6)

where $x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $v_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $u_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the position, velocity and control input vectors of the UAV $i$ respectively.

The formation controller adopts a forward feedback scheme. The control law is described by:

$$u_i(t) = \dot{v}_i^*(t) + K_1 (x_i^* - x_i(t)) + K_2 (v_i^* - v_i(t)) + K_3 \sum_{j \in N_i} (w_{ij} ((x_j^* - x_i^*) - (x_j(t) - x_i(t))))$$

(7)

where $\dot{v}_i^*(t)$ is the expected acceleration obtained according to the agent’s trajectory. The second term and the third term denotes control value caused by the position error and velocity error, respectively; the last term denotes control value caused by formation error. The last term utilizes the results of relative localization and ensures the formation accuracy of the aerial swarm. $K_1$, $K_2$ and $K_3$ are positive gains.
IV. EXPERIMENT

A. Experiment Setup

A distributed aerial swarm consisting of four aerial platforms is designed to verify the relative localization framework and the performance of the formation controller. Both hardware and software are developed for the experiments.

We design a quad-rotor drone as the aerial platform (Fig. 3). This platform is equipped with a PixRacer flight controller running PX4 firmware. A camera and a servo motor are combined to achieve omnidirectional detection. The camera is a monochrome camera with a horizontal view field of 150° and 800 × 600 resolution. The servo motor has an encoder providing 300 degrees rotation range. An Intel RealSense T265 tracking camera module is used for ego-state estimation. A UP Core plus computing board running ROS was adopted as the onboard computer. The mounted CPU was Intel Atom x7 (four cores, 1.8 GHz). A Nooploop UWB module is adopted for both distance measurement and inter-agent communication. The UWB module provides up to 25 Hz broadcasting frequency. Besides, four ArUco markers are attached to the drone to facilitate the identification.

The onboard computer runs Ubuntu 18.04, and all algorithms running on it are built under the ROS framework. We rotate the camera to capture the images and leverage OpenCV ArUco library to detect markers. The position data of markers is transformed to the local frame according to the drone’s current pose and the current angle of the servo. The position data from the T265 tracking module, which is regarded as VIO measurement, is first transformed to the local frame and then is fused with visual detection measurements and UWB distance measurements for relative localization. The flight controller performs the basic attitude control while other computations are performed on the onboard computer.

The experiments are conducted in both indoor and outdoor scenarios. In indoor environments, the results of the VICON motion capture system are regarded as ground truth to compare with data from relative localization. In both indoor and outdoor environments, the aerial swarm utilizes the results of relative localization and performs formation control tasks with a circular trajectory.

B. Experiment Result

We first present the optimal observation scheme obtained by graph-based attention planning. Then we report the accuracy of the proposed relative localization framework in the indoor environment. We also compare the performance of formation control based on active vision with that based on fixed vision. Finally, we show the trajectory of the formation to demonstrate the effect of the formation control law.
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First of all, we design a simulation program in MATLAB to utilize the graph-based attention planning to obtain the optimal observation scheme offline. Because agents may not maintain their ideal formation positions, we add random perturbations to their ideal formation positions. We test 1000 cases of 4 agents and the results show that the optimal solution is the same despite these perturbations. The optimal observation scheme is to observe the front neighbor for each agent. The simulation results with 4 and 8 agents are shown in Fig. 4.
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Fig. 4. The optimal connection under a circular formation. Each line represents an observation direction.
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Fig. 5. Four agents in the aerial swarm performing indoor formation control task. Each agent observes the direction of the next agent according to the optimal result of the graph-based attention planning.
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Fig. 6. The first person view (FPV) of four agents in the aerial swarm. The ID number and marker position are emphasized in the figure.
The experiments are conducted with four drones. Before takeoff, each drone will compute the relative positions of the other agents in an egocentric manner, which means they regard their position as the origin. Only horizontal $x$ and $y$ axis are considered because all drones’ heights are regarded as zero before takeoff. After initialization, each agent can fuse VIO measurements and provide real-time relative positions of the other agents. Then the aerial swarm will take off to perform formation control tasks. The radius of the circle is 1 m. Each agent will accelerate to desired speed from static and maintain the formation at that speed. According to the graph-based attention planning, the optimal observation scheme in the formation control task is to detect the direction of the next agent. Fig 6 shows the first-person view (FPV) of the four agents. Fig 5 shows the corresponding formation at the same time from the top view and side view.

The results of relative localization of active vision-based framework are shown in Fig 7. In a scenario of the aerial swarm with four agents, the average optimization time of relative localization is under 4 ms. The Savitzky Golay filter
will then smooth the estimated relative positions of the other agents with 3 orders and 33 window size. The estimated trajectory obtained by relative localization and the ground truth trajectory recorded by the motion capture system of $x$ and $y$ axis between the agent 1 and 2 are compared. The detection results of active vision are displayed in particular. A comparison between the proposed method and the ground truth shows that the RMSE reaches centimeter-level.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the active detection, we also provide results of relative localization with the fixed camera in the scenario (see Fig.8). Compared with the fixed camera system, the active vision system outperforms in both estimation and formation accuracy. The active vision system has less invisible time interval, marked by more detection data. Also, the RMSE of the active vision system of $x$ and $y$ is 0.096 $m$ and 0.088 $m$, respectively, while the fixed camera system has 0.121 $m$ and 0.114 $m$ RMSE. Angles between each agent represent the formation accuracy. In circle formation, the angle between neighbors is supposed to be 90°. Fig.8 shows the inter-agent angles of the formation with time resolution. The RMSE of the active vision system is 8.6°, 7.3° and 12.3°, while the RMSE of the fixed camera system is 9.4°, 16.3° and 14.1°. Due to feedback in the formation control law, the active vision system has more observations during experiments and is thus more accurate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of Relative Localization and Formation with Ground Truth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSE of relative $x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.096$ $m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE of relative $y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE of UAV 1&amp;2 angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE of UAV 1&amp;3 angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE of UAV 1&amp;4 angle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, we show the result of formation control by providing trajectory and velocity data in Fig.8. Fig.8(a) is the trajectory of four agents in $xy$ plane. Fig.8(b) is the velocity of $x$ and $y$ axis with time resolution. The figure is spindle-like because four agents accelerate from a static state and end in the static state. Fig.8(c) shows the velocity curve of four agents on $xy$ plane. The maximum acceleration is 4 $m/s^2$. The precision and consistency demonstrated above show the potential of applying the active vision system in the high-speed formation control task.

V. CONCLUSION

This letter proposes a novel active vision-based relative localization framework to tackle the restricted FOV of the vision-based approaches. The result of relative localization is integrated with the formation control task. Real-world experiments validate the effectiveness of this system compared with the fixed vision system. In the future, we plan to devise the strategy of observation for dynamic formation tasks based on graph theory and explore the scenario of uncooperative target tracking in outdoor environments.
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