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초록
기존에 차량의 차로 변경에 대한 결정과 제어는 인간 운전자에게 있었다. 기존의 연구들은 보다 인간 운전자와 차로 변경에 대한 결정을 주로 개인의 이득을 증가시키기 위한 목적으로 사용되었다. 하지만 이런 인간 운전자의 차로 변경 행태는 간혹 전체 교통 환경에 안좋은 영향을 줄 수 있다. 자율주행차량에 대한 기술이 개발됨에 따라 차로 변경과 그 결정 또한 자율 주행 차량의 통제 범주 안에 들어올 수 있다. 기존의 자율주행 차량을 위한 차로 변경 결정 모델들은 인간 운전자의 차로 변경 모델들을 기반으로 하고 있기 때문에, 교통 환경에 어떤 영향을 미칠지는 모른다. 따라서, 본 연구에서는 교통 환경을 고려한 차로 변경 결정에 초점을 두었고 이에 따라, 전체 교통 환경을 고려한 차로 변경 운영 시스템에 대해서 연구하였다. 이 차로 변경 운영 시스템은 거시 교통 시뮬레이션 모델과 생전이모델을 통하여 미래 교통 상황에 대한 예측을 하고, 유전적 알고리즘을 통하여 전세 요일 시간 지역을 최소화시키는 구간별 차로변경확률을 결정하여 개별 차량의 위치에 따라 이 차로변경확률을 전달한다. 가상의 거리 감소 구간에서 거시 교통 시뮬레이션을 한 결과, 전체 요일 시간 지역이 감소하였다. 이를 미시 교통 시뮬레이션과 과파와 고속도로 교통류 알고리즘을 통하여 실제 교통 시스템에 본 연구에서 제안된 차로 변경 운영 시스템을 적용하였을 때 나온 결과를 알아보았다. 교통 환경·밀도 그림 상에서 최대 교통혼잡이 줄어들 것으로 나왔고, 혼잡부에 존재하는 시간도 크게 줄었다. 전체적으로 개별 차량의 소요시간이 줄어든 것으로 나타났다.

핵심말자 차로변경제어, 거시 교통 시뮬레이션, 생전이 모델, 미시 교통 시뮬레이션, 과파와 고속도로 교통류 알고리즘, 유전적 알고리즘, 시뮬레이션 기반 제어
Abstract

Originally, decision and control of the lane change of the vehicle was on the human driver. In previous studies, the decision-making of lane-changing of human driver was mainly used to increase the individual’s benefit. However, the lane-changing behavior of these human drivers can sometimes have a bad influence on the overall traffic flow.

As technology for autonomous vehicles develops, lane changing action as well as lane changing decision making fall within the control category of autonomous vehicles. However, since many of current lane-changing decision algorithms of autonomous vehicles are based on the human driver model, it is hard to know the potential traffic impact of such lane change. Therefore, in this study, we focused on decision making of lane change considering traffic flow, and accordingly, we study lane change control system considering whole traffic flow. In this research, the lane change control system predicts the future traffic situation through the cell transition model, one of the most popular macroscopic traffic simulation model, and determines the change probability of each lane that minimizes the total time delay through the genetic algorithm. The lane change control system then conveys the change probability to this vehicle. In the macroscopic simulation result, the proposed control system reduced the overall travel time delay. The proposed system is applied to microscopic traffic simulation, the oversaturated freeway traffic flow algorithm (OFFA), to evaluate the potential performance when it is applied to the actual traffic system.

In the traffic flow - density, the maximum traffic flow has been shown to be increased, and the points in the congestion area has also been greatly reduced. Overall, the time required for individual vehicles was reduced.

Keywords Lane Change, Lane Change Model, Lane Change Control, Traffic Control, Simulation based control, Cell Transmission Model (CTM) , Lane-specific Cell Transmission Model (LCTM), Oversaturated Freeway Flow Algorithm (OFFA)
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Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Research Background

The demand for automobiles has increased rapidly since the first development of automobile and automobile mass production technology. Such demand for automobile has caused the free flow roads to reach their capacities in arterial and freeway network. This phenomenon is called "traffic breakdown" resulting in traffic congestion. Traffic congestion drops the performance of traffic system and eventually leads to the socio-economical losses such as travel time loss and air pollution. In addition, there is a higher chance of collision between vehicles on the roadways due to traffic congestion. Therefore, traffic congestion is a crucial problem in transportation engineering.

Many solutions have been proposed to solve this problem. One simple solution is installation of more roadway infrastructures such as widening the roadways with additional lanes. However, these constructions are very costly and may not be possible due to the physical limitation of the land. As a result, many researchers have been developing and applying traffic management solution in real world using limited budget and space.

There are many factors that cause traffic congestions. Previous researches in this field suggest that one of the major factors is the lateral movements of several vehicles, which induce conflicts between vehicles. Vehicular movements can be classified into two axes of movement: Longitudinal movement and lateral movement. Car-following model, which describes longitudinal movement of vehicles, shows how a vehicle move depending on the position and speed of the preceding vehicle. Lane-changing model, which describes lateral movement, shows how drivers make decision to lane change and how they conduct lane change. To understand lane changing behavior, human lane change behavior model has been set up and the effects of human selfish lane changes have been studied. (more details on Section 2.1).

The conflicts in lateral vehicle movements are caused by drivers wanting to maximize individual desires to maintain higher speed and keep their route near lane-drop section, weaving section, on-ramp merging section, and off ramp diverging section Daganzo (2002); Cassidy and Bertini (1999); Bertini and Leal (2005); Hou et al. (2014); Laval and Daganzo (2006). Human drivers usually try to escape the congestion and execute lane change to find less congested route. This kind of selfish lane-changing behaviors, however, worsen the traffic situation and generate more congestions. Lane change of a vehicle can cause a congestion because the lane change of one
vehicle may interrupt the movement of the vehicle behind on the target lane (the lane lane-changing vehicle is intended to move). This intervention of vehicle may seem dangerous to the vehicle behind and the driver in the vehicle behind would decide to conduct a severe deceleration Laval and Daganzo (2006); Munoz and Daganzo (2004). This kind of severe deceleration causes a shock wave, which creates a shock wave through traffic stream. It is, therefore, necessary to minimize lane changes and to control lane change to mitigate congestion. Therefore, in this study, different ways of executing lane changes to mitigate congestion without worsening traffic situation is proposed.

1.1.1 Current State and Future Possibility of Controlling Lane Change

Controlling lane changes is not possible because it is dependent of the drivers’ decisions. However, the intelligent transportation system (ITS) technology and autonomous vehicle technology will help control lane changes in the near future.

With the development of information technology (IT), the transportation industry has come to a new era of ITS since the late 20th century. Many traffic control and management systems are being developed for ITS technology. The ITS technologies include data gathering technology, basic traffic management system such as traffic signal control and car navigation, and complex traffic control technologies. From the quality data gathered from the various detectors on the road, researchers can develop traffic management systems to improve traffic situations. Some famous examples of traffic control systems are Variable Speed Limits (VSL) and ramp-metering.

Variable Speed Limit System (VSL) regulates the traffics that approach the congested area with variable speed limit. The approaching vehicles can arrive at the congested area at a delayed time by reducing the speed limit of the section of the approaching vehicles. This blocks the evolution of traffic queue and improve both efficiency and safety of the section. Ramp Metering System controls the entering vehicle flow to reduce the overall congestion of freeway. Ramp meters are traffic signals installed on freeway on-ramps.

In addition, the technology featured in autonomous vehicles can help control lane changes of individual vehicles. The vehicle communication system advanced driver assistance system (ADAS), and driving decision making system are all some features of autonomous vehicles. Vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication and Vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communication enable vehicles to share various traffic information such as GPS and speed of vehicles and congestions in downstream location. Assuming that all vehicles follow the orders, the decision-making system makes certain decision on the vehicular movement and the advanced driver assistance system
(ADAS) applies this decision and actuates the vehicle movement.

In Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control (CACC), for example, the decision-making system controls reaction time and jam spacing of the vehicle to absorb the shockwave through vehicle stream by maintaining string stability to suppress the generation of congestions Swaroop and Hedrick (1996); Van Arem et al. (2006); Milanés et al. (2014); Öneti et al. (2014). Until now, some ADASs on lane change movements have been developed, however, only few decision-making systems are studied (more details in Section 2.2).

The development of intelligent transportation technology (ITS) and autonomous vehicle technology made it possible to control lane change to minimize congestion. The traffic management systems help make macroscopic decisions on the optimal number of vehicles that should change lane in a section. Then, these decisions are assigned to individual autonomous vehicles to execute actual lane change.

1.2 Thesis Objective

The objective of this study is to understand lane changes without deteriorating traffic conditions. Furthermore, it focuses on improving the traffic condition by controlling the lane changes of autonomous vehicle. In this study, we propose a simulation-based lane change control system that predicts future traffic conditions and controls lane changes.

1.3 Thesis Outline

In Chapter 2, we will focus on the studies on human lane change behaviors and their characteristics. Also, some literatures are reviewed on the current automated lane change control systems. In Chapter 3, the framework of system-benefit based lane change control system will be proposed. Introduction of simulation-based on Lane-specific Cell Transmission Model (LCTM) will be presented with the simulation experimental results. In Chapter 4, this proposed framework will be validated with microscopic traffic simulator based on Oversaturated Freeway Flow Algorithm (OFFA). The thesis will be concluded in Chapter 5 with limitations of the work and future studies.
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2.1 Human Lane Change Behavior Model

To use and control lane change for traffic management and congestion mitigation, we need to understand the human lane-changing behavior and the characteristics of the models. Lane changes can be classified into two categories depending on the purpose of lane changes: mandatory and discretionary (also known as optional). Mandatory lane changes occur when driver merge onto the freeway, move out of the freeway through off-ramp, or move to turn lanes for intended turns in arterial road. Discretionary lane changes are applied when the driver decided to change lane for traffic advantages such as speed and density. While the mandatory lane changes are not reducible since they are needed for the vehicles to follow their intended routes, the discretionary lane change occurs only for driver advantage. For the better understandings of human lane change behavior, some lane-changing models are reviewed.

Gipps' lane change model (Gipps, 1986) describes the lane-changing decision and the execution of lane change on both freeways and arterial roads. The lane changing decision is made based on three factors: lane-changing probability, lane-changing necessity, and lane-changing desirability. Gipps' model describes both mandatory lane change and discretionary lane change, and the lane-changing process is described as a decision tree. The cause of mandatory lane change presented in the model includes obstructions in front, intended turns, and ramp merging and diverging. The cause of discretionary lane changes in the model includes speed advantage and avoidance of heavy-duty vehicles in front. Gipps' is based upon some tactically simplified assumptions and does not include any framework for model validation based on microscopic driver behavior and traffic data.

ARTEMiS model, also known as SITRAS model (Hidas, 2002, 2005) is a decision tree based model similar to Gipps' model. ARTEMiS model takes some of the base assumptions from Gipps' model. The SITRAS model was introduced in Hidas (2002) with more accurate merging behavioral modeling. ARTEMiS model (Hidas, 2005), an advanced version of SITRAS, focuses more on vehicle interaction in all lane-changing maneuvers from lane-changing decision making to lane-changing execution. The lane-changing maneuvers are classified into three classes: free, forced and cooperative. The free lane change occurs when both gap between leader vehicle
and subject vehicle and gap between subject vehicle and follower vehicle are greater than the safety gap that the subject vehicle does not get interference from both leader and follower vehicle. In forced lane change and cooperative lane change, however, the gap between the subject vehicle and follower vehicle is insufficient. If the gap is feasible to be widened in certain time, the follower vehicle cooperates the lane change by slowing down (cooperative lane change). If not, the follower vehicle is forced to slow down with higher deceleration rate (forced lane change). The ARTEMiS model presented the concept of cooperation of lane change.

In the lane-changing model of the generic multilane Cellular Automata Model (Rickert et al., 1996), it is assumed that a vehicle goes through a series condition checks to decide whether or not to change lane. The conditions given in the model is as follows:

1. \( \text{gap}(i) < \min(\text{v}(i) + 1, v_{\text{max}}) \)
2. \( \text{gap}_e(i) < \min(\text{v}(i) + 1, v_{\text{max}}) \)
3. \( \text{gap}_c(d)(i) < v_{\text{max}} \)
4. \( \text{rand}(i) < p_{\text{LC}} \)

where,

- \( \text{gap}(i) \) : the number of empty cells ahead in the same lane.
- \( \text{gap}_e(i) \) : the number of empty cells ahead in the target lane.
- \( \text{v}(i) \) : the current speed of the vehicle \( i \) (subject vehicle).
- \( v_{\text{max}} \) : the maximum speed of a vehicle.
- \( \text{gap}_c(d)(i) \) : the number of empty cells behind in the target lane.
- \( \text{rand}(i) \) : a random number generated.
- \( p_{\text{LC}} \) : lane change probability.

Three models presented above follows decision tree or given rules to decide whether or not to change lane. These models are highly dependent on the variables, parameters, and decision making process of their pair car-following models: Gipps’ lane-changing model with Gipps’ car-following model, ARTEMiS lane-changing model with ARTEMiS car-following model, and lane-changing model in Cellular Automata with car-following model in Cellular Automata. These models are not directly applicable with other car-following models. Also, the lane change is executed whenever some conditions are met, so there was no stochastic behavior of lane change. Or, if they did have the probability, the probability was constant that if the lane-changing conditions are met, the lane change is executed with a constant probability. However, these models give basic understanding of how the decision making process of lane change is occurring and many other lane-changing models later on are influenced by these decision-tree based or rule-based lane-changing models.
There are some stochastic-choice based lane changing models. Ahmed’s model (Ahmed, 1999) and Toledo’s model Toledo et al. (2007, 2003) are some famous examples of stochastic-choice based lane changing model. The Ahmed’s model classifies lane change into three categories: mandatory lane change (MLC), discretionary lane change (DLC), and forced merging (FM). FM occurs when a gap is not sufficient, but the gap is created by the driver to execute lane change in a heavily congested traffic. According to the lane change category, the probability of lane change is calculated. The probability of lane change is modeled based on logit model as shown in Equation 2.1 and the parameters are calibrated based on the real data. After the calculation of lane-changing probability, the gap acceptance is checked based on the gap acceptance model as shown in 2.2.

\[
P(\text{LC}|\text{veh}_n) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_n^{\text{LC}} \beta^{\text{LC}} - \alpha^{\text{LC}} \text{veh}_n)} \tag{2.1}
\]

where,

- \(\text{LC}\) : lane-changing mode (either MLC, DLC, or FM)
- \(P(\text{LC}|\text{veh}_n)\) : lane-changing probability of vehicle \(n\) at LC mode
- \(X_n^{\text{LC}}\) : explanatory variables of vehicle \(n\) at LC mode
- \(\beta^{\text{LC}}\) : corresponding parameter of explanatory variable \(X_n^{\text{LC}}\)
- \(\text{veh}_n\) : drive-specific variables
- \(\alpha^{\text{LC}}\) : corresponding parameter of drive-specific variable \(\alpha^{\text{LC}}\)

\[
\text{Gap}_n^{g\text{G}}(t) = \exp(X_n^{g}(t) \beta^{g} + \alpha^{g} \text{veh}_n + \varepsilon_n^{g}(t)) \tag{2.2}
\]

where,

- \(g\) : gap type (either lead gap or lag gap)
- \(\text{Gap}_n^{g\text{G}}(t)\) : critical lead or lag gap of vehicle \(n\) at time \(t\)
- \(X_n^{g}\) : explanatory variables of vehicle \(n\) at LC mode
- \(\beta^{g}\) : corresponding parameter of explanatory variable \(X_n^{LC}\)
- \(\text{veh}_n\) : drive-specific variables
- \(\alpha^{g}\) : corresponding parameter of drive-specific variable \(\alpha^{LC}\)
- \(\varepsilon_n^{g}(t)\) : random term

The probability of accepting a gap during lane change for vehicle \(n\) at time \(t\) is given as follows:

\[
P(\text{Gap Acceptance}|\text{veh}_n) = P(\text{lead gap acceptable}|\text{veh}_n) \cdot P(\text{lag gap acceptable }|\text{veh}_n)
\]

\[
= P(G_n^{\text{lead}}(t) > G_n^{\text{lead}}|\text{veh}_n)
\]

\[
= P(G_n^{\text{lead}}(t) > G_n^{\text{lead}}|\text{veh}_n) \tag{2.3}
\]
Both models include numerous explanatory variables such as relative speed between subject vehicle and lead or lag vehicle, and current lead gap and lag gap. The model might fit, however, these model lack explanations on the meanings of parameters used in the logit models.

Recently, some studies have tried to model and formulate the actual decision making process of lane-changing. Human drivers make decisions whether or not to change lane based on what kind of benefits they can get from the execution of lane change. These benefit-based lane changing models, also known as incentive-based lane changing models, use one or more incentive variables for lane-changing decision making.

MOBIL (Kesting et al. 2007), which stands for Minimizing Overall Braking Induced by Lane-change, is one example of incentive-based lane-changing model. The incentive that a vehicle can get is interpreted as the overall braking induced by lane change. This induced lane change includes not only the induced braking of the subject vehicle, but also the induced braking, or accelerating, of new and old followers. The lane change is executed when the incentive criterion is met as shown in 2.4 as well as the safety constraint.

\[
\frac{\dot{a}_c - \dot{a}_t}{\text{driver}} + p \left( \frac{\dot{a}_c - \dot{a}_r}{\text{new follower}} + \frac{\dot{a}_c - \dot{a}_o}{\text{old follower}} \right) > \Delta a_{bh} \quad (2.4)
\]

\[
\dot{a}_t > -b_{safe} \quad (2.5)
\]

where,

- \(a_{veh}\) : original acceleration of vehicle \(veh\)
- \(\dot{a}_{veh}\) : new acceleration of vehicle \(veh\)
- \(p\) : politeness factor
- \(\Delta a_{bh}\) : lane change overall acceleration incentive criterion
- \(b_{safe}\) : safe limit deceleration rate

With the politeness factor \(p\), this model can describe various types of drivers. The politeness factor \(p\) describes how much the lane-changing driver cares about other drivers. In MOBIL, for \(p > 1\), it describes an altruistic driver, and for \(0 < p < 1\), it describes a realistic driver, and for \(p < 0\), it describes malicious driver.

Lane change model with relaxation and synchronization (LMRS) Schakel et al. (2012) deals with not only acceleration incentive in lane change, but also other incentives the driver can achieve from lane change. In LMRS, the term “desire” is used instead of “incentive.” All desires that affect lane change decision is combined into single variable to express overall desire of lane change.

\[
d = d_s + \theta \cdot (d_x + d_y) \quad (2.6)
\]
where,

\[ d \quad : \text{the total desire} \]
\[ d_f \quad : \text{desire to follow route} \]
\[ d_s \quad : \text{desire to gain speed} \]
\[ d_k \quad : \text{desire to keep right} \]
\[ \theta_v \quad : \text{voluntary incentive} \]

The total desire determines the lane change decision based on the mode of lane change. The threshold differs on which lane change mode the vehicle has: Free lane change \((d_{\text{free}})\), synchronized lane change \((d_{\text{sync}})\), and cooperative lane change \((d_{\text{coop}})\). Free lane change is a case when lane change is freely possible without any action. The synchronized lane change is a case when the vehicles need to synchronize before the lane change to adapt their speed and align with a gap. And the cooperative lane change is a case when the vehicles need to synchronize and create gap because the gap before is insufficient. The total desire varies from 0 to 1 and the threshold desires are used to split the desire range into four subranges as shown in Figure 2.1.

\[ 0 < d_{\text{free}} < d_{\text{sync}} < d_{\text{coop}} < 1 \]  

(2.7)

![Figure 2.1, Overview of LMRS, (Schakel et al., 2012)](image)

The incentive-based models give some intuitive and straightforward ideas on how drivers choose to change or not to change lanes in order to maximize their benefits. As shown in MOBIL (Kesting et al., 2007), the \( p \) in Equation 2.4 represents a politeness factor and explains how much a driver consider the benefits of his/her own and benefits of others. Kesting et al. (2007) noted that driver types can be classified with politeness factor \( p \) and safe deceleration parameter \( b_{\text{safe}} \) as shown in Figure 2.2. While the safety criterion prevents critical lane changes and collisions, the incentive criterion also takes into account the (dis-) advantages of other drivers associated with
a lane change. LMRS (Schakel et al., 2012) doesn’t take account of the incentives of other drivers, however, it deals with various incentive factors, such as route keeping incentive and keep-right incentive.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 2.2. Classification of driver type based on safe deceleration rate and politeness factor (Kesting et al., 2007)

2.2 Lane Change Assistant Technology for Automated Driving

Existing lane change control systems or lane change assist systems have been studied for designing and implementing a secure trajectory to perform lane change. You et al. (2015) has studied how to plan a trajectory for the lane change of an autonomous vehicle and to implement an actual lane change maneuver. In this study, they developed a trajectory generation algorithm based on polynomials. In trajectory planning, trajectory inducing collision based on no collision was excluded from the solution. They also developed tracking controller based on back stepping principle. This tracking controller tracks whether an autonomous vehicle follows a predefined trajectory.

Similarly, Naranjo et al. (2008) conducted a study on the overtaking maneuver of autonomous vehicles. In this study, the overtaking trajectory is planned on the straight road and the method of adjusting the error between the designed trajectory and the present position is proposed using fuzzy control. The proposed control system was verified by simulation through simulation. In this methodology, it is assumed that there is no collision between the slow vehicle in front of the autonomous vehicle and the vehicle in the other lane, and the method of trajectory
design and overtaking are selected in terms of safety.

In Nilsson and Sjöberg (2013), a new decision-making and control algorithm has been developed for lane change and overtake of autonomous vehicles. In this study, they have studied a method of solving a mixed logical dynamical system with model predictive control. In this algorithm, they reduced the complexity of optimization by binarizing all control variables. In this control system, the acceleration/deceleration of the self-driven vehicle is controlled and the desired lane is transmitted to the vehicle according to each time to control the vehicle. This control system, however, is based on considering only one vehicle and maximizing the benefit of the subject vehicle, same as the existing human driver lane change model. Equation 2.8 shows the objective function of the decision-making and control algorithm. The second term of the objective function represents the speed difference between current speed of subject vehicle and the desired speed of subject vehicle.

\[
\min_{U_t} \sum_{j=0}^{H-1} Q|u_{t+j}^i| + w|v_{t+j}^i - v^i_{dt}|
\]

s.t. \( x_{t+j+1}^i = Ax_{t+j}^i + Bu_{t+j}^i \)

\[
E_{1}x_{t+j}^i + E_{2}u_{t+j}^i + E_{3} + j, t \leq E_{4},
\]

\[
0 \leq u_{t+j}^i \leq u_{\text{max}},
\]

\[
\Delta u_{\text{min}} \leq \Delta u_{t+j}^i \leq \Delta u_{\text{max}},
\]

\[
\Delta u_{t+1} = 0
\]

Tehrani et al. (2015) developed a behavior/motion model for automatic lane change at highway. In this study, they developed a lane change control system for autonomous vehicles inspired by human driver lane change behavior data and models. First, the model categorizes the situation around the car and define the behavior that matches it. To select the appropriate behavior, the model creates a driving pattern and use multi-class classification to determine the appropriate behavior. Since the control algorithm is based on the human driver lane-changing model, the cost function in this research is based on the human behavior. As shown in the Equation 2.9, the first term represents the safety performance in terms of the gap and the second term represents the acceleration of the subject vehicle. By minimizing the cost function, the optimal behavior is chosen.

\[
J = \int_0^T (\omega_{\text{safe}}[\Delta d(t)]^2 + \omega_{\text{acc}}[\Delta v(t)]^2) \, dt
\]

Many of decision supporting algorithm for lane change for autonomous vehicles still concentrate on one
vehicle and try to maximize the individual benefits. However, to fully utilize the performance of autonomous vehicle, considering system benefit should be included.
Chapter 3. Development of Framework of Simulation-Based Lane Change Control

3.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the framework of simulation-based lane change control system is developed. The future traffic is predicted with lane-specific cell transmission model (LCTM) as prediction model and the optimal control is found based on total delay based lane change control optimization. In this chapter, the control architecture is stated and the potential performance based on macroscopic analysis is included to demonstrate that the proposed control architecture shows better performance. This control architecture will be validated with microscopic traffic simulator in the next chapter (in Chapter 4).

3.2 Proposed Control Architecture

The architecture of simulation-based lane change control system is demonstrated in Figure 3.1 and the variable flow is demonstrated in Figure 3.2. This simulation-based lane change control system is for autonomous vehicles because all vehicles are assumed to follow the decisions made from the server.

![Diagram of simulation-based lane change control system](image)

Figure 3.1. The architecture of simulation-based lane change control system

As shown in Figure 3.3, when vehicles move through a roadway, various sensors on the road such as loop detectors can achieve macroscopic traffic data such as flow, occupancy, and average speed, and send to the road
Figure 3.2. Variable flow of simulation-based lane change control system

Figure 3.3. The architecture of simulation-based lane change control system

side unit as shown in (1) of Figure 3.1. These data are used for parameter calibration and demand prediction at the server as shown in (2) of Figure 3.1. The parameter calibration is carried out to enhance the prediction result of prediction model, and the demand prediction is used as an external input of the prediction model.

The results from parameter calibration and demand prediction are sent to the simulation-based control module as shown in (3) of Figure 3.1. The simulation-based control module now predicts future traffic state with cell transmission model (further specific explanations are in Section 3.3.) and decide the optimal lane-changing probability of each section at each time. The improvement of the performance in terms of total delay is checked and the optimization result is translated into sectional lane-changing probability. When the road side unit receives the result, the road side unit sends the lane-changing probability to the vehicle according to the section the receiving
vehicle belongs.

The procedure mentioned in the above paragraphs are repeated with a certain time. This period is called simulation time horizon, \( T \). When finding the optimal lane-changing probability, the simulation predicts the future traffic state from current time to current time + \( T \). And the performance from current time to current time + \( T \) is used for the solution finding.

3.3 Prediction Model

The proposed framework of simulation-based lane change control system has a procedure to predict future traffic state as a function of current state, a set of control variables, and external inputs such as traffic demand. In this research, to predict the future traffic state, a macroscopic traffic-flow model called Cell Transmission Model (CTM) is used (Daganzo (1994, 1995)).

3.3.1 Cell Transmission Model (CTM)

The original CTM models a unidirectional multi-lane highway. In CTM, the roadway is discretized into several cells and can formulate traffic flow dynamics by using supply-demand relationship between the connected cells. Each cell represents a roadway segment and has one on- and off- ramp (In this research, later on, the on- and off- ramps are not considered for the simplicity). Figure 3.4 briefly illustrates the road network which consists of discretized cells.

![Figure 3.4, Discretization of a roadway for original CTM (Daganzo, 1994)](image)

The cells are updated with time interval \( dt \). And the roadway is divided into cells with length \( L \). In CTM, vehicles in a cell must not jump over multiple cells. They can only be transmitted to the next cell, so the cell length should satisfy following equation (3.1). Therefore, the minimum cell length \( (L_{min}) \) should be equal to the \( v_f \cdot dt \), where \( v_f \) is free flow speed and \( dt \) is the time step length

\[
L \geq v_f \cdot dt = L_{min} \tag{3.1}
\]
When one vehicle has a jam spacing of $s_{jum}$, the maximum density of a cell, also called as jam density of a cell ($k_{jum}$) is as follows:

$$k_{jum} = \frac{1}{s_{jum}} \text{ veh}/\text{km}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

The maximum number of vehicles that can exist in a cell is denoted as $N_i(t)$. This is the number of vehicles in a cell at jam density ($k_{jum}$). Each cell has traffic variables $n_i(t)$ which represents the number of vehicles in the cell $i$ at time step $t$, and $y_i(t)$ which represents the inter-cell flow exiting the cell $i$. In other words, $y_i(t)$ represents the number of vehicles transmitted from cell $i$ to cell $i+1$ at time step $t$.

![Figure 3.5. Fundamental diagram of traffic flow; base flow-density relationship for the CTM](image)

Figure 3.5 shows the fundamental diagram of traffic flow. This graph shows the fundamental relationship between traffic flow and density. In CTM, the traffic variables are assumed to follow this relationship. When the number of vehicles in a cell is smaller than $k_{free}$, the flow and density has a positive proportional relationship until the flow reaches the capacity flow ($Q_{max}$) with density of $k_{free}$. And then, the flow remains constant at the capacity flow ($Q_{max}$) until the density reaches $k_{cap}$. Afterwards, the flow drops with the rate $w$, wave speed until jammed condition ($k = k_{jum}$& $q = 0$).

This fundamental relationship between traffic flow and density is transformed to variables used in CTM, which are the number of vehicles in a cell ($n_i(t)$) and the inter-cell flow ($y_i(t)$). The number of vehicles in a cell ($n_i(t)$) equals to the density of the cell ($k_i$) multiplied by the cell length ($L_i$) and the inter-cell flow ($y_i(t)$) equals to the flow ($q$) multiplied by the update time interval ($dt$). With this relationship, the variables in fundamental
A diagram can be transformed to variables used in the CTM as shown in Equation 3.3. Figure 3.6 shows the transformed fundamental diagram of traffic flow for CTM.

\[
\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial (y \cdot dt)}{\partial (k \cdot L)} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial k} \cdot \frac{dt}{L} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial k} \cdot \frac{1}{V_f} \cdot \frac{L_{\text{min}}}{L}
\]  

(3.3)

\[\begin{array}{c}
\text{Figure 3.6}, \text{Transformed Fundamental diagram of traffic flow for CTM}
\end{array}\]

The number of vehicles in a cell is updated with update time interval \(dt\) according to the number of vehicles in the subject cell at previous time step \(n_i(t)\), the number of vehicles that flow into the subject cell \(y_i(t)\), the number of vehicles that flow out of the subject cell \(y_{i-1}(t)\), the number of vehicles entering from the on-ramp \(r_i(t)\), and the number of vehicles exiting to off-ramp \(s_i(t)\). The update equation is shown in Equation 3.4.

\[n_i(t + dt) = n_i(t) + y_i(t) - y_{i-1}(t) + r_i(t) - s_i(t)\]  

(3.4)

According to the fundamental relationship shown in Figure 3.6, the main formula can be derived. In the original CTM (Daganzo 1994), the cell length \(L\) is assumed to be equal to the minimum cell length \(L_{\text{min}}\). In this research, the cell length \(L\) is not equal to the minimum cell length \(L_{\text{min}}\), so the general relation is derived as shown in Equation 3.5. Here, \(Q_i(t)\) is the maximum number of vehicles that can flow out of out of cell \(i\) (at capacity flow \(Q_{\text{max}}\)).

\[y_i(t) = \frac{L_{\text{min}}}{L} \cdot \min \left[ n_i(t) - s_i(t), \quad Q_i(t), \quad \frac{w}{V_f} \cdot (n_{i+1}(t) - n_{i+1}(t)) \right] \]  

(3.5)
3.3.2 Lane-Specific Cell Transmission Model (LCTM)

Laval and Daganzo (2006) presented Lane-Specific CTM with lane change behavior model. In this model, the roadway is discretized longitudinally and laterally, therefore, the cells form a two-dimensional grid as shown in Figure 3.7. Individual cells are identified with the cell longitudinal location $i$ and the lane $l$, denoted as $(i, l)$. Contrast to original CTM, when the simulation is updated and vehicles move to the next cell, vehicles in cell $(i, l)$ either can move to the same lane $(l)$ or the adjacent lanes.

![Original roadway](image)

Original CTM

![Original CTM](image)

Lane-Specific CTM

![Lane-Specific CTM](image)

Figure 3.7. Difference of roadway discretization of CTM and LCTM

From here, the specific model of Lane-Specific CTM (LCTM) is presented. The total number of vehicles in cell $(i, l)$ that can be sent to the next cell $i + 1$ at time step $t$ is represented as $Y^l_i(t)$.

\[
Y^l_i(t) = \min \left( \frac{L_{\text{cell}}}{L}, n^l_i(t), Q^l_i(t) \right)
\]  

(3.6)

$n^l_i(t)$ is the number of vehicles in cell $(i, l)$ and $Q^l_i(t)$ is the maximum number of vehicles that can flow out of cell $(i, l)$ (at capacity flow $Q_{\text{max}}$).

The proportion, or probability, of vehicles that desires to move from cell $(i, l)$ to cell $(i + 1, k)$ is represented as $p^l_{ik}(t)$. This proportion may depend on the speed and density of the current cell and the target cell. Therefore, the number of vehicles that desire to be sent from cell $(i, l)$ to cell $(i + 1, k)$ is given by

\[
Y^l_{ik}(t) = Y^l_i(t) \cdot p^l_{ik}(t)
\]  

(3.7)

In this research, simple model of macroscopic lane change behavior is used as in Laval and Daganzo (2006).
The probability of vehicles moving from cell \((i,l)\) to cell \((i+1,k)\), when \(l \neq k\) is proportional to the speed difference of cell \((i+1,l)\) and cell \((i+1,k)\), is

\[
\Delta v_{i+1}^{lk}(t) = \max[0, v_{i+1}^l(t) - v_{i+1}^k(t)]
\]

and the probability of vehicles to keep same lane and proceed to the next lane is assumed to be

\[
p_k^l(t) = 1 - \sum_{j \neq k} p_j^k(t)
\]

On the other hand, the number of vehicles that can be received by the target cell \((i+1,k)\) is given by

\[
\mu_{i+1}^k(t) = \frac{L_{max}}{L} \min \left[ Q_i^k(t), \frac{w}{v_f} \left( N_{i+1}^k(t) - n_{i+1}^k(t) \right) \right]
\]

A simple Incremental-Transfer (IT) principle (Daganzo et al. (1997); Laval and Daganzo (2006)) is applied to allocate the number of vehicles to be sent according to the remaining space of the receiving cell. According to the IT principle, the fraction to accommodate the lane change demand is defined for the receiving cell \((i+1,k)\) as shown in Equation 3.11

\[
\gamma_{i+1}^k(t) = \min \left[ 1, \frac{\mu_{i+1}^k(t)}{\sum_{l} \gamma_{i+1}^l(t)} \right]
\]

As a result, the actual number of vehicles sent from cell \((i,l)\) to cell \((i+1,k)\) is represented as

\[
\Lambda_i^k(t) = \gamma_{i+1}^k(t) \cdot n_i^l(t)
\]

Therefore, the updated number of vehicles in cell \((i,l)\) at time step \(t + dt\) is given by

\[
n_i^l(t + dt) = n_i^l(t) - \sum_{k} \Lambda_i^k(t) + \sum_{k} \mu_{i+1}^k(t)
\]

### 3.4 Total Delay based Lane Change Control Optimization

In this study, the lane change control is developed to benefit the whole system. In other words, it is developed to improve travel efficiency of a given roadway. Total time delay (TTD) of a given roadway is used to evaluate the travel efficiency of the roadway. By minimizing total time delay, the overall travel time can be shortened. By controlling the lane-changing probability of each cell, \(p_i^k(t)\), the objective function is formulated to minimize total time delay. Total delay of a cell can be evaluated through Equations 3.14, 3.15, and 3.16. The first term of right-hand side of Equation 3.16, \(TTS_i^k(t)\), represents the total time spent for all vehicles in cell \((i,l)\) at time \(t\). And the
second term, \( \frac{VMT(t)}{v_f} \), represents the estimated time spent if the vehicles were in free flow speed. The difference between those two represents the total time delay, \( TTD(t) \). The lane-changing probability should follow basic principle of probability as shown in 3.18. As a result, the set of lane-changing probability, \( \hat{P} \), is found as shown in 3.17.

\[
TTS_i(t) = n_i(t) \cdot dt \quad (3.14)
\]

\[
VMT_i(t) = \sum_{k} \lambda_k(t) \cdot L \quad (3.15)
\]

\[
TTD_i(t) = TTS_i(t) - \frac{VMT_i(t)}{v_f} \quad (3.16)
\]

\[
\hat{P} = \arg \min_{v_i} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \sum_{i} TTD_i(t) \quad (3.17)
\]

\[
\sum_{i} \rho_i(t) = 1 \quad (3.18)
\]

3.5 Simulation

3.5.1 Simulation Set-up

Simulation analysis is conducted to assess performance of the lane change control system. In this study, a virtual roadway is assumed to model a lane drop section as shown in Figure 3.8. The virtual roadway starts with three-lane entry section. This three-lane road continues 4 km long and then the lane drops to two lanes at 4 km point. The mandatory lane change is applied only at the lane-drop point, cell (10,3). Otherwise, it is assumed that there is only discretionary lane changing behavior.

The colored cells in Figure 3.8 indicates the controlled cells. The lane-changing probabilities from these cells are controlled. The lane-changing probabilities of other cells are assumed to follow the probability from human driver lane-changing behavior model (Equation 3.8).

The cell transmission model has several simulation parameters as shown in Table 3.1. The parameter values are referenced from previous researches (Yeo et al., 2008; Suh, 2016). The simulation time step is set to be 10
seconds. As a result, the minimum cell length, \( L_{\text{min}} \), is approximately 300 m. The cell length, \( L \), satisfies the condition mentioned in Equation 3.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{\text{max}} )</td>
<td>maximum flow</td>
<td>2350 vphpl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_f )</td>
<td>free flow speed</td>
<td>110 kph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w )</td>
<td>wave speed</td>
<td>-20 kph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_{j,m} )</td>
<td>jam spacing</td>
<td>7 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>cell length</td>
<td>400 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi )</td>
<td>lane-changing sensitivity</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the maximum flow, or capacity flow, is 2350 vphpl (vehicle per hour per lane), it is able to find a capacity demand at the entry cells. The capacity demand can be estimated as shown in Equation 3.19.

\[
Q_{\text{max}} \cdot 2 \text{ lane} : 3 \text{ lane} = 1566 \text{ vphpl} \approx 1500 \text{ vphpl}
\]  

(3.19)

According to the capacity demand, a hypothetical demand scenario for simulation has been established. This simulation has a total of 36 minutes of traffic, and the Table 3.2 shows traffic demand that changes every six minute. The first time-horizon, from 0 to 6 minutes, has a traffic demand of 1500 vphpl. This traffic demand is near capacity. At first, there is no vehicle in the simulation, so this first time-horizon corresponds to the loading period in which the basic vehicle is inserted into the simulation. In the second time-horizon, the traffic demand of 1800 vphpl comes into the simulation, which will cause traffic congestion because it has a traffic demand greater than capacity. In the third time-horizon, traffic demand near capacity again comes into the simulation. Traffic congestion continues at this time horizon because traffic congestion has already begun in the second-time horizon. In the fourth time-horizon, traffic demand of 1000 vphpl comes into the simulation. This is the unloading period because it is lower than capacity. Finally, from 24 to 36 minutes, traffic demand is 0 vphpl. This is to draw all the
cars out of simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Horizon (min)</th>
<th>Traffic Demand (vph/l)</th>
<th>Traffic Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 ~ 6</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Near capacity (Loading)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ~ 12</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>Over capacity (Congestion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ~ 18</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Near capacity (Congestion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 ~ 24</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Under capacity (Unloading)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 ~ 36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Under capacity (Unloading)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulation time horizon was simulated for six cases. If the simulation time horizon is 6 minutes, estimate the lane-changing probability to minimize the total delay by estimating the traffic situation for 6 minutes as shown in Table 4.2.

To obtain these solutions, a genetic algorithm (GA) was used. GA requires two parameters; number of populations in one generation and maximum number of iterations. In this study, these parameters were fixed to assume that the computation power is fixed as shown in Table 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Simulation time horizon</td>
<td>1, 3, 6, 18, 36 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{pop}$</td>
<td>number of populations in one generation in GA</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{max}$</td>
<td>maximum number of GA iterations</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.5.2 Simulation Result

A sample result of non-controlled case is shown in the Figure 3.9 and Figure 3.10. The first row of Figure 3.9 represents the number of vehicles in a cell at lane 1 at certain time and second row represents that on lane 2 and third row represents that on lane 3. If the color of the contour plot is lighter, it means that there are more vehicles in the cell. When the vehicle first enters the simulated traffic demand close to capacity, a vehicle queue is formed in the third lane. This vehicle queue causes the rear vehicle to change lanes so that a vehicle queue is formed in the second lane and the first lane. For the second simulation time horizon, when the traffic demand becomes bigger than the capacity, the vehicle queue gets bigger and bigger. And at the end of the second simulation time horizon, the vehicle queue is also propagated to the cell at 7 or 8. Figure 3.11 and Figure 3.12 shows the contour plot of
estimated average speed of each cell.

A sample result of controlled case is shown in Figure 3.13, Figure 3.14, Figure 3.15, and Figure 3.16.
Figure 3.9. Contour plot of number of vehicles in a cell, not controlled case, \( \phi = \frac{1}{2} \) & \( T = 6 \) min

Figure 3.10. Contour plot of number of vehicles in a cell all lanes combined, not controlled case, \( \phi = \frac{1}{2} \) & \( T = 6 \) min
Figure 3.11. Contour plot of average speed of vehicles in a cell, not controlled case, ($\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ & $T = 6$ min)

Figure 3.12. Contour plot of average speed of vehicles in a cell all lanes combined, not controlled case, ($\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ & $T = 6$ min)
Figure 3.13. Contour plot of number of vehicles in a cell, controlled case, (\( \phi = \frac{1}{2} \) & \( T = 6 \) min)

Figure 3.14. Contour plot of number of vehicles in a cell all lanes combined, controlled case, (\( \phi = \frac{1}{2} \) & \( T = 6 \) min)
Figure 3.15. Contour plot of average speed of vehicles in a cell, controlled case, ($\phi = \frac{1}{2}$  \&  \( T = 6 \text{ min} \))

Figure 3.16. Contour plot of average speed of vehicles in a cell all lanes combined, controlled case, ($\phi = \frac{1}{2}$  \&  \( T = 6 \text{ min} \))
The total delay is estimated and compared in Figure 3.17a and Figure 3.17b. In Figure 3.17a, the controlled case over-performs the non-controlled case in terms of total delay. Almost at all point, the total time delay of controlled case is estimated to be smaller than that of non-controlled case.

In Figure 3.17b, the Figure 3.17a is divided into specific lanes. As shown, the total delay is decreased in lane 3 with a similar total delay in lane 2 and a slight increased total delay in lane 1. This result represents that the vehicles which entered the simulation at lane 3 moved to lane 2 or lane 1. This movement led to increase in flow at both lane 1 and lane 2, however, the benefit whole system achieved from delay drop at lane 3 is greater than the disadvantage from delay increase in lane 1 and lane 2.

Figure 3.18a and Figure 3.18b shows the average travel delay of individual vehicle. To be more specific, the y-axis of both plots indicates the average travel delay that one vehicle experience while passing through the simulation section. Figure 3.18a shows the overall individual average travel delay and Figure 3.18b shows the individual average travel delay by lane. In Figure 3.18a, the average individual travel delay of non-controlled case is greater than controlled case. This means that the lane change control system improves traffic condition in terms of travel delay. Figure 3.18b specifies the average individual travel delay into lanes. In lane 3, as shown in Figure 3.18b, average individual travel delay is decreased in controlled case compared to the non-controlled case. This is because vehicles in lane 3 moved to lane 1 and lane 2 earlier than they used to move in non-controlled case. As a result, average individual travel delay of lane 1 is slightly increased. However, total delay of all three lanes is not increased which follows the objective function. This indicates that vehicles in lane 1 sacrifice their individual benefit for whole system benefit.
Figure 3.17. Total Delay Curve
(a) Total delay all lanes combined, (b) Total delay by lane
Figure 3.18. Average Individual Delay Curve
(a) Total delay all lanes combined, (b) Total delay by lane
The following table and figure shows the result of simulations. The simulations are conducted with 5 different simulation time horizon (see Table 4.2). Each simulation time horizon is simulated 30 times. Table 4.1 and Figure 3.19 shows the summary of 30 simulations each. In Figure 3.19, blue box plot represents the simulation results of non-controlled case and red box plots represents the simulation results of controlled case with different simulation time horizon. As mentioned in Table 4.2, the number of populations in one generation in genetic algorithm ($N_{pop}$) and maximum number of iterations in genetic algorithm ($I_{max}$) is fixed to assume a fixed computation power. As shown in the Figure 3.19, all cases with different simulation time horizon shows better performance in terms of total delay than that of not controlled case. The performance tends to get better as the simulation time horizon gets larger before $T = 6$. However, afterwards, the total delay seems to increase. This indicates that within the computation power given in Table 4.2, simulation time horizon of 6 minutes is the optimal simulation time horizon. This result may change if other values for $N_{pop}$ and $I_{max}$ is given. From this, a simulation time horizon can be determined.

### Table 3.4: Cell transmission model simulation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (min)</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>1st Qu.</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>3rd Qu.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No control</td>
<td>78.120</td>
<td>81.690</td>
<td>83.780</td>
<td>83.600</td>
<td>85.250</td>
<td>91.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53.910</td>
<td>57.920</td>
<td>61.000</td>
<td>60.820</td>
<td>62.610</td>
<td>67.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>53.360</td>
<td>56.690</td>
<td>60.280</td>
<td>60.090</td>
<td>63.020</td>
<td>67.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>53.520</td>
<td>56.120</td>
<td>57.940</td>
<td>59.030</td>
<td>61.120</td>
<td>66.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>54.770</td>
<td>58.520</td>
<td>61.430</td>
<td>61.620</td>
<td>65.690</td>
<td>69.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>56.000</td>
<td>59.800</td>
<td>63.540</td>
<td>63.610</td>
<td>66.580</td>
<td>73.770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4. Performance Evaluation of Proposed Lane Change Control System

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the simulation-based lane change control system is tested and validated. It is better to validate the proposed lane changing control system in real traffic, however, since it is the beginning step of the study, performance is evaluated in microscopic traffic simulator. For the performance evaluation of the proposed lane changing control system, a microscopic traffic simulator based on Oversaturated Freeway Flow Algorithm (OFFA) is developed and some modifications are added to improve the model. The simulation-based lane change control system is applied to the microscopic simulator with steps shown in the Figure 3.1. The performance of the lane changing control system is validated with traffic analysis.

4.2 Development of Microscopic Traffic Simulator

4.2.1 Oversaturated Freeway Flow Algorithm (OFFA)

The simulation model is based on the Oversaturated Freeway Flow Algorithm (OFFA) (Yeo et al. (2008)). OFFA is an integrated model describing lateral and longitudinal behavior of drivers based on the real trajectory data of vehicles. The longitudinal behavior (i.e. car-following behavior) is formulated to determine next position of a following vehicle. According to the model, the next position of the following vehicle is related with the current speed, desired speed, vehicle performance characteristics and driver characteristics. In the free flow condition, the vehicle accelerates until the moving speed becomes the desired speed of the driver. However, in the congested condition, the vehicle keeps certain spacing with the leader vehicle based on Newell’s linear car-following model (Newell (2002)). The lane changing is divided into two types: mandatory lane changes and discretionary lane changes. The former represents how the drivers have to change lane to reach their destination in on- and off- ramp merging. On the other hand, the latter represents the situation that the drivers change lane to gain benefits, such as faster speed or larger spacing. In addition, there is a traffic behavior called asymmetric behavior analyzed (Yeo and Skabardonis (2009)). In OFFA model, a small modification called short gap mode is used to demonstrate asymmetric characteristics and to be more consistent with the real trajectory data.
4.2.2 Modification of OFFA

For the development of microscopic traffic simulator, modifications on OFFA has been conducted to improve performance of the model Suh (2016). As the car-following model of OFFA is based on Newell’s linear car-following model, one problem may arise. The linear car-following model uses the location of leader vehicle at time $t + \Delta t - \tau$ (here, $\tau$ is reaction time) and jam spacing of follower vehicle ($s_{jam}$) to calculate the next position of the follower vehicle. (see Equation 4.1) However, to use it in microscopic traffic simulation, which usually uses simulation time step (ex. NGSIM uses 0.1s time interval) that is much smaller than the reaction time (around 1s 1.5s according to t Green (2000)), lots of stacks to store trajectory are needed. As a result, the simulator has to store the position data of the leader for somewhat long period of time ($= \frac{\tau}{\Delta t}$). These data stacks require lots of use of memory in computation of simulation algorithm with protracted computation time. For developing a microscopic traffic simulation for on-line simulation, this heavy computation can be an obstacle. Therefore, directly applying original Newell’s linear car-following model is not appropriate to use in microscopic traffic simulator.

$$x_{follower}(t + \Delta t) = x_{leader}(t + \Delta t - \tau) - s_{jam}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

Another problem is related with the short gap mode mentioned above. Short gap mode is used in the original OFFA to model asymmetric behavior. According to (Yeo and Skabardonis (2009)), this short gap mode is applied to the vehicles in deceleration phase, especially to the vehicles involved in the lane changing (Yeo et al. (2008)). Such vehicles include the lane changing vehicles, the lane-change receiving vehicles, and the lane-change cooperating vehicles. The short gap mode is modeled by multiplying a coefficient to the jam gap and wave travel time as shown in the Equation 4.2.

$$g_{i}^{jam-LC} = \alpha \cdot g_{i}^{jam} + \frac{t_{i}}{\beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

However, this adjustment of parameter cannot fully depict the phenomenon because the short gap mode parameter chosen is an arbitrary and artificial parameter, and this makes discontinuity of parameters in simulations. Therefore, an integrated model that can be applicable at any state should be formulated without changes in parameters. To complement these problems, in this research, the relationship between the position of leader vehicle and the position (to be calculated) of follower vehicle is modified. With the assumption expressed in Equation 4.3, the
modified relationship is expressed as Equation 4.4.

\[
x_{\text{leader}}(t + \Delta t - \tau) \approx x_{\text{leader}}(t + \Delta t') - v_{\text{leader}}(t + \Delta t') \cdot \tau \quad (4.3)
\]

\[
x_{\text{follower}}(t + \Delta t) \approx x_{\text{leader}}(t + \Delta t') - v_{\text{leader}}(t + \Delta t') \cdot \tau - s_{\text{jam}} \quad (4.4)
\]

In free flow condition, where the speeds of vehicles, including the leader and the follower vehicles, do not change a lot, the modified car-following model is same with the original Newell’s linear car-following model. In other words, the modified car-following model (Equation 4.4) is same with the original Newell’s linear car-following model (Equation 4.5) with following condition:

\[
v_{\text{leader}}(t) = v_{\text{leader}}(t + \Delta t) \text{ for } t \in (t, t + \tau) \quad (4.5)
\]

However, in congested traffic, where acceleration and deceleration occur, the shock wave is generated and propagated to upstream, this modified model makes difference in position at next time step. Figure 4.1 shows the comparison between trajectory based on the original Newell’s linear car-following model and the modified base car-following model. In the deceleration case, as shown in the Figure 4.1. (a), the following vehicle shortens the gap with reaction time \( \tau \). This shows the behavior of deceleration phase in asymmetric theory without applying short gap mode. Furthermore, as shown in the Figure 4.1. (b), when the leader vehicle is accelerating, the follower vehicle extends the gap with the reaction time \( \tau \), which coincides with the acceleration phase behavior in asymmetric theory (Yeo et al. (2008)). By modifying base car-following model, the data stacks are not necessary because the time input of the model is synchronized to \( t + \Delta t \) as expressed in Equation 4.4. Also, without adjusting driver characteristic parameters (i.e. reaction time and jam spacing), that is to say without using short gap mode, asymmetric driving behavior can be illustrated based on the modification.
4.3 Performance Evaluation of Simulation-based Lane Change Control System

4.3.1 Calibration of CTM parameters

As mentioned in the Section 3.2, it is necessary to calibration the parameters for cell transmission model (mentioned in Table 3.1) to enhance the prediction performance. Because it is important that the proposed lane change control system predicts future traffic conditions and determines the control strategy accordingly. In the performance evaluation of the proposed lane change control system, this calibration is used to predict the vehicles in microscopic traffic simulation. Data on the location and speed of each vehicle is transformed to virtual macroscopic traffic data, such as number of vehicles in a cell and average speed of a cell, to be used for calibration.

To be more specific, for this proposed lane change control system, it is important to predict the number of vehicles in a cell at each time step with cell transmission model. Therefore, the number of vehicles in a cell at each time step, $n_i^c(t)$, is used for the prediction performance measure. To evaluate the prediction performance, root-mean-square error, a widely-used error estimate, is used. This is frequently used measure of the differences between the observed values and the predicted or estimated values. The RMSE of $n_i^c(t)$ can be found as shown in Equation 4.6

$$RMSE(n_i^c(t)) = E\left(\sqrt{(n_i^c(t) - \hat{n}_i^c(t))^2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

The objective of the lane change control system is to reduce traffic congestion, it is more important to predict accurately in congested state than to predict in free flow state. In other words, it is more important to predict
exactly $n^i_t(t)$ when it is larger. To reflect this, a weighted RMSE (WRMSE) is used instead of RMSE.

$$WRMSE(n^i_t(t)) = E \left( \frac{n^i_t(t)}{\sum \sum n^i_t(t)} \cdot \sqrt{(n^i_t(t) - \bar{n}^i_t(t))^2} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

By varying the parameter values, a set of parameters is found which minimizes the WRMSE of $n^i_t(t)$. For the initial value setting, reference values from previous researches are shown in Table 3.1. Table 4.1 shows the initial value setting and the calibration result of parameters.

The calibrated values seem to be reasonable values, however, it seems that free flow speed $v_f$ seems to be smaller than expected. The free flow speed was underestimated, which seems to be due to the weighted RMSE that is predicated on predicting traffic congestion.

Figure 4.2 shows the comparison of prediction result between when it is done with initial value setting and when it is done with calibrated value. The x-axis represents the actual number of vehicle of a cell and the y-axis represents the expected number of vehicle of a cell. Overall, prior to calibration, the number of vehicles showed an underestimated tendency, but after calibration, performance improved. This may be because the capacity flow is too large or the LC sensitivity is too large to cause the lane dispersion to be too severe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$s_{jam}$ [m]</th>
<th>$v_f$ [km/hr]</th>
<th>$Q_{max}$ [vph/lane]</th>
<th>$w$ [km/hr]</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meaning</td>
<td>Jam spacing</td>
<td>Free flow speed</td>
<td>Capacity flow</td>
<td>Wave speed</td>
<td>Lane-changing sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial value</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibrated value</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>93.02</td>
<td>2227</td>
<td>20.38</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.2. Comparison of prediction performance before and after calibration
For the microscopic performance evaluation, the prediction time horizon $T$ was used for 6 minutes because it was concluded that the simulation time horizon suitable for the current computation power in Chapter 3 was 6 minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Simulation time horizon</td>
<td>6 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{pop}$</td>
<td>number of populations in one generation in GA</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{max}$</td>
<td>maximum number of GA iterations</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.3.2 Validation Result

**Delay Analysis (Cumulative Curve)**

Figure 4.3 shows the comparison result of the cumulative curve. Green lines represent the arrival curve of controlled and non-controlled cases. Arrival curve represents the cumulative vehicle count plot of vehicles entering the simulation section. Purple lines represent the virtual arrival curve. Virtual arrival curve is a shifted curve of arrival curve with free flow travel time. The red line in Figure 4.3 shows the departure curve of controlled case and blue line represents the departure curve of non-controlled case. The departure curve represents the cumulative vehicle count plot of vehicles exiting the simulation section. The area between arrival curve and departure curve represents the total time spent of the simulation, and the area between virtual arrival curve and departure curve represents the total time delay of the simulation. As shown in the Figure 4.3, the area between virtual arrival curve and departure curve of controlled case is much smaller than that of non-controlled case.
Figure 4.3. Cumulative vehicle curve

Flow-Density diagram (Q-K diagram)

Figure 4.5 and Figure 4.4 show Q-K diagrams of the virtual roadway. It is assumed that at each cell there is a detector which detects the flow and density of the corresponding cell. In the non-controlled case, the capacity (maximum flow) in all three lanes is smaller than the capacity of the controlled case. Also, there are more points in the congestion area in all lanes on the qk curve than in the controlled case. In the controlled case, lane 1 and lane 3, the points in the congestion region are significantly reduced compared to the non-controlled case. In the controlled case, lane 2, it may enter the congestion region, but it is less in the non-controlled case and the speed (slope) is also faster. The fact that there are few points in the congestion area on the qk curve and the increase of the capacity is the result of fully utilizing capacity through lane change control.
Figure 4.4. Q-K curve, not controlled case

Figure 4.5. Q-K curve, controlled case
Travel Time

Figure 4.6 and Figure 4.7 shows the histogram of travel time of individual vehicles. Figure 4.6 shows the overall histogram of travel time of individual vehicle and Figure 4.7 shows the histogram by origin lane. As mentioned above, the overall capacity and speed is increased in controlled case compared to the non-controlled case. By fully utilizing capacity and reducing congestion, it is also shown in the following figures that travel time of all three lanes has been shortened.

![Overall histogram of travel time of individual vehicles](image1)

**Figure 4.6.** Overall histogram of travel time of individual vehicles

![Histogram by origin lane of travel time of individual vehicles](image2)

**Figure 4.7.** Histogram by origin lane of travel time of individual vehicles
Chapter 5. Conclusion

5.1 Summary of Findings

The increase in automobiles in urban areas or highways increases will naturally lead to traffic congestion. As a result, it is important to avoid these congestions, which worsens both the efficiency and safety of the traffic system. In transportation engineering, many methodologies have been developed to reduce traffic congestion through traffic management and control systems.

One of the biggest factors causing traffic congestion is caused by the lateral movement of the vehicle. This conflict is mainly manifested by the selfish lane change behavior of human drivers, who want to maximize their own interests. As a result, efficient and safe lateral lane change is very important in suppressing traffic congestion. Until now, it has been impossible to control it because the driver had control of the lane change.

However, using the recent development of autonomous vehicle technology, these limitations can be resolved. It is now possible to safely control the lane using research on the lane change decision of autonomous vehicles. In the existing lane change decision algorithms and models, autonomous vehicles perceive the local situation around the subject vehicle to make decision on lane change. However, this is similar to the selfish lane change models of human drivers which can end up with similar consequences.

Therefore, a simulation-based lane change control system has been developed. It is a system that predicts the future traffic situation through the cell transmission model, a macroscopic simulation, and determines the optimal lane change control accordingly. In order to verify the effectiveness of the control system, the simulation is performed by assuming virtual roads.

Since the objective is to reduce the total time delay, we can observe such phenomenon through this lane change control system. In addition, the average speed was increased and traffic congestion was slightly resolved.

To further evaluate the performance of this control system, we validated it with microscopic traffic simulation. An oversaturated freeway flow algorithm was used and modifications were added for model performance and computation efficiency.

As a result of microscopic simulation-based performance evaluation, we found that the points in the congestion region on the Q-K diagram were greatly reduced and the average speed was also improved, thus reducing the
overall travel time delay.

5.2 Limitations and Future Works

The research on the development of the simulation-based lane change control system in this study is accompanied by various limitations. Therefore, further research is needed in the future.

Simulation Section

First, in this study, we evaluate the performance of the simulation-based lane change control system on this virtual road by assuming a lane drop case, a virtual road. Even though it is preferable to use actual data for more accurate performance evaluation, there are also ramp considerations to use real data. Considering the ramp, additional modeling is needed because mandatory lane change should also be considered. Therefore, future studies should develop a simulation-based lane change control system that takes into account the effects of mandatory lane changes.

Limited number of controlled section

In this study, the limited number of cells, only in lane 3, are used for lane change control system for less computation load. However, the effect of lane change control system may be different if other cells such as cells in lane 2 are used for the lane change control system since some vehicles may change lane to lane 1 and can easily receive vehicles from lane 3. In the future study, various mixes of control cells should be tested and analyzed for better control strategy.

Mixed traffic of autonomous and normal vehicles

One of the strongest assumptions used in this study is that all vehicles are autonomous vehicles and they always follow the decision made from the server. However, in reality, as the autonomous vehicle technology is developed gradually, it is inevitable to face situations in which normal vehicles and autonomous vehicles are mixed in traffic. In the mixed traffic of normal and autonomous vehicles, it is necessary to analyze the macroscopic and microscopic traffic behavioral changes. By modeling the change in flow and density relationship and car-following and lane-changing behavior in the mixed traffic of normal and autonomous vehicles, it is possible to analyze the lane change control system in the mixed traffic.
Safety factor in optimization

In this study, total time delay, which is an index of traffic efficiency, is used as an objective function of a simulation-based lane change control system. However, since it considers only one of the indicators for evaluating traffic performance, it is necessary to formulate an objective function that considers safety as another factor. Therefore, future research should develop a simulation-based lane change control system that considers safety as well as efficiency.

Trajectory planning

In this study, the prediction model was used to control the lane changing probability in each cell at each time step using the cell transmission model. However, in actual autonomous driving, trajectory planning of individual vehicles should also be considered after receiving lane-changing probability. The shockwave which might be generated by the lane-change may be different depending on which vehicle changes lane and when this vehicle changes lane.

Computation time

Finally, in order to apply the simulation-based lane change control system proposed in this study to the real world, it is necessary to complete the optimization in a short time and deliver it to individual vehicles. To do so, we need to apply an optimization methodology that has a better computation speed than the current one. The lane change control system proposed in this study uses genetic algorithm for optimization. The genetic algorithm is a population-based meta heuristic methodology and computation speed is not efficient. Therefore, trajectory-based meta heuristic methodology should be found and applied to a control system.
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