HIGHER EXT-GROUPS AND RELATIVELY SUPERCUSPIDAL SPECTRA
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Abstract. Relatively supercuspidal representations are analogue of supercuspidal representations in the relative Langlands program. This work studies relatively supercuspidal representations using top degree Ext-groups via the Schneider-Stuhler duality. As examples, the relatively supercuspidal spectra for the Galois case and the triple product case are determined.
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1. Introduction

Let $F$ be a $p$-adic field. Let $G$ be a reductive group over $F$ with center $Z$ and $H \subset G$ be a reductive $F$-subgroup such that $Z \cap H = 1$. Let $\omega$ be a character of $ZH(F)$ satisfying $|\omega|_{H(F)} = 1$. Denote by $I^{G(F)}_{ZH(F)} \omega$ (resp. $i^{G(F)}_{ZH(F)} \omega$) the smooth representation of $G(F)$ consisting of smooth functions $f : G(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ (resp. with compact support modulo $ZH(F)$) such that

$$f(zg) = \omega(z)f(g) \quad \forall z \in ZH(F), g \in G(F)$$

with $G(F)$-action given by right translation. If $\omega$ is unitary, denote by $L^2(H(F) \setminus G(F), \omega)$ the $L^2$-completion of those smooth functions in $i^{G(F)}_{ZH(F)} \omega$. In this case, the right translation of $G(F)$ on $L^2(H(F) \setminus G(F), \omega)$ gives a unitary representation of $G(F)$.

Let $\pi$ be an irreducible smooth admissible representation of $G(F)$ with central character $\omega$. It is basic to determine whether $\pi$ is

- (H-)distinguished, i.e.

$$\text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{ZH(F)}(\pi, \omega) = \text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, I^{G(F)}_{ZH(F)} \omega) \neq 0.$$  

- (H-)relatively square-integrable (if $\omega$ is unitary), i.e. $\pi$ is distinguished and

$$\text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, L^2(H(F) \setminus G(F), \omega)) \neq 0.$$  

- (H-)relatively supercuspidal, i.e. $\pi$ is distinguished and

$$\text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, I^{G(F)}_{ZH(F)} \omega) = \text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, i^{G(F)}_{ZH(F)} \omega).$$  

Analogous to the philosophy of cusp forms, relatively supercuspidal representations can be thought as the building blocks for all irreducible distinguished representations. In many cases (at least when
(G, H) is symmetric, see [32, Lemma 3.1]), distinguished supercuspidal (in the usual sense) representations are relatively supercuspidal. In fact, a distinguished supercuspidal representation π is relatively supercuspidal if and only if

\[ \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi^\vee, \mathbb{C}) \]

where \( \pi^\vee \) is the contragredient of π. However, there are non-supercuspidal relatively supercuspidal representations. In particular, to classify relatively supercuspidal representations, one needs to classify

- distinguished supercuspidal representations;
- non-supercuspidal relatively supercuspidal representations.

Distinguished supercuspidal representations are considered in many papers [11, 33, 34]. Here, we shall focus on non-supercuspidal relatively supercuspidal representations. For distinguished representations with respect to a symmetric pair (G, H), Kato-Takano [14] proved an analogue of Jacquet’s subrepresentation theorem and a characterization of relatively supercuspidality in terms of Jacquet modules. Based on this theory, many (non-supercuspidal) relatively supercuspidal representations are constructed (See [16, 27, 15]).

In this paper, we shall determine relatively supercuspidal representations by studying the top degree Ext-group associated to π. Our method seems totally different from the aforementioned ones. It can be viewed as part of the project of Prasad [24] on Ext-analogues of branching law and relates directly with the problem to determine irreducible (non-supercuspidal) sub-representations of π|_H for an irreducible representation π of G(F) (See [19, Proposition 9.1] for an inspiring example and also the work of Speh-Venkatarman [28, 29] when F is Archimedean).

The starting point is the following observation. Denote by \( d(\pi) \) the largest integer \( i \geq 0 \) such that there is an irreducible admissible representation \( \pi'^i \) of G(F) with \( \text{Ext}^i_{GL}(\pi, \pi') \neq 0 \). By the Schneider-Stuhler duality theorem in [19],

\[ \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, i_{ZH(F)}^{G(F)}\omega) = \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{G(F)}(i_{ZH(F)}^{G(F)}\omega, D(\pi)) \]

where \( D(\pi) \) is the Aubert-Zelevinsky involution of π. By duality and the Frobenius reciprocity law,

\[ \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{G(F)}(i_{ZH(F)}^{G(F)}\omega, D(\pi)) = \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{G(F)}(D(\pi)^\vee, i_{ZH(F)}^{G(F)}\omega^{-1}) = \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{ZH(F)}(D(\pi)^\vee, \omega^{-1}) \]

In particular, π is relatively supercuspidal if and only if

\[ \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{ZH(F)}(D(\pi)^\vee, \omega^{-1}). \]

Note that when \( Z(F) \) is compact, one has

\[ \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{ZH(F)}(D(\pi)^\vee, \omega^{-1}) = \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{H(F)}(D(\pi)^\vee, \mathbb{C}). \]

In this case, π is relatively supercuspidal if and only if

\[ \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_\mathbb{C} \text{Ext}^d(\pi)_{H(F)}(D(\pi)^\vee, \mathbb{C}). \]

As concrete examples, we shall consider

- the Galois case: \( E/F \) is a quadratic extension and
  \[ (G, H) = (G_m \backslash \text{Res}_{E/F} GL_m, PGL_m); \]
- the triple product case: \( L/F \) is a étale cubic extension, \( D/F \) is a quaternion algebra and
  \[ (G, H) = (G_m \backslash \text{Res}_{L/F} D^\times, G_m \backslash D^\times). \]

Note that both (G, H) are Gelfand-Kazhdan pairs, i.e. \( \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \) for any distinguished irreducible smooth representation \( \pi \) of G(F).

1.1. The Galois case. Denote by \( U_n \) the quasi-split unitary group of rank \( n \) with respect to \( E/F \). Denote by \( \text{Rep}(U_n) \) (resp. \( \text{Rep}(G) \)) the set of irreducible smooth admissible representations of \( U_n(F) \) (resp. \( G(F) \)). Denote by \( \text{BC}_n : \text{Rep}(U_n) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}(G) \) the stable (resp. unstable) base-change lifting if \( n \) is odd (resp. even). For a generic representation \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \), there are the following important results.

- \( \pi \) is distinguished if and only if \( \pi \) is in the image of \( \text{BC}_n \). This is the so-called Flicker-Rallis conjecture which is proved by Matringe [17] and Mok [18].
\begin{itemize}
  \item \( \pi \) is relatively square-integrable if and only if \( \pi \) is in the image of square-integrable representations under \( BC_n \). This is proved by Beuzart-Plessis as a part of his work on the Plancherel formula of \( L^2(H(F) \backslash G(F)) \) [3].
\end{itemize}

For relatively supercuspidal representations, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \pi \) be a \( H \)-distinguished irreducible admissible representation of \( G(F) \). Then \( \pi \) is relatively supercuspidal if and only if \( \pi \) is in the image of supercuspidal representations under \( BC_n \).

This result seems well-known to experts. The “if” part is obtained by Kato-Takano [15, Section 2.4] and Smith [27, Corollary 6.7] by studying Jacquet modules. The “only if” part is essentially due to the above work of Beuzart-Plessis.

The new point here is that we use homological methods to prove directly that if \( \pi \) is in the image of supercuspidal representations under \( BC_n \), then the top degree Ext-group

\[ \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^d(\pi, C) = \mathbb{C}. \]

More precisely, assume \( \pi \) is the image of a supercuspidal representation under \( BC_n \). Then \( \pi = I^\beta_\mathcal{P} (\sigma_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \sigma_t) \) where \( P \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G \) and \( \sigma_i \) are mutually distinct distinguished supercuspidal representations. By the geometric lemma of Bernstein-Zelevinsky, the restriction \( \pi|_H \) is “glued” by induced representations associated to the double coset \( PnG/H \). In particular, we have an exact short sequence

\[ 1 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \pi|_H \rightarrow I^H_P \delta_{P/H}^{1/2} \tau|_{P_H} \rightarrow 1 \]

where \( P_H = P \cap H \) and \( \delta_{P/H} \) is the modulus character of \( P_H \). Consider the following piece of the induced long exact sequence

\[ \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)-1}(\tau, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\delta_{P/H}^{1/2} \tau|_{P_H}, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\pi, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\tau, C) \rightarrow \cdots. \]

Note that \( d(\pi) \) is the split rank of the Levi factor of \( P \). By the Kunneth formula and the Schneider-Stuhler duality theorem, we can deduce from the geometry of double cosets that

- \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)}(I^H_P \delta_{P/H}^{1/2} \tau|_{P_H}, C) = \mathbb{C} \) and \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\tau, C) = 0 \) as \( \sigma_i \) are distinguished supercuspidal representations;
- \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)-1}(\tau, C) = 0 \) as \( \sigma_i \) are moreover mutually distinct.

Combining the computation of the top degree Ext groups in Theorem 1.1 with the vanishing of \( \text{Ext}^i_{H(F)}(\pi, C) \) for \( i > d(\pi) \), we deduce the following result concerning when the Steinberg representation \( St_F \) of \( G(F) \) occurs in the restriction of an irreducible representation \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \). Note that the supercuspidal support of \( St_F \) is carried by the Borel subgroup \( B \subset H \), so

\[ \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(St_F, \pi) = \dim_C \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)-1}(\pi, C). \]

**Corollary 1.2.** Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible smooth representation of \( G(F) \). Then \( \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(St_F, \pi) = 0 \) unless \( \pi = I^\beta_\mathcal{B} \chi \) with \( \chi = \chi_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \chi_n \) such that

\[ \chi_i|_{F^\times} = 1 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } \chi_i \neq \chi_j \text{ for all } i \neq j. \]

Moreover, if \( \pi = I^\beta_\mathcal{B} \chi \) with \( \chi \) as above, then \( \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(St_F, \pi) = 1 \).

We remark that it seems also possible to prove Corollary 1.2 directly using the second adjointness theorem and the theory of Jacquet modules. In case \( n = 2 \), we supply all details in Proposition 3.5.

For completeness, we also consider the split quadratic extension \( F \oplus F \) and obtain results similar to Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, see Proposition 3.6 and 3.7.

1.2. **The Triple Product Case.** In the triple product case, we can actually compute \( \text{Ext}^i_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) \) for all irreducible representations \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \) and all \( i \). In particular, we have the following vanishing result, slightly generalizing [19, Proposition 9.1] in the case \( L = F \oplus F \oplus F \) (see also [24, Section 8]).

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible admissible smooth representation of \( G(F) \), which is generic if \( D \) is split. Then

\[ \text{Ext}^i_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 0, \quad i > 0. \]

Together with results for non-generic representations, we can deduce the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible admissible smooth representation of \( G(F) \). Then \( \pi \) is relatively supercuspidal representation if and only if \( \pi \) is distinguished and supercuspidal.
When $L = E \oplus F$, we can obtain the following stronger version of Corollary 1.2, analogous to [19, Proposition 9.1] in the case $L = F \oplus F \oplus F$.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let $\pi$ be a generic irreducible representation of $GL_2(E)$ with central character $\omega_\pi$ and let $\sigma$ be an irreducible representation of $GL_2(F)$ with central character $\omega_\sigma$ such that $\omega_\sigma = \omega_\pi|_{F^\times}$. Then $\sigma$ is a $GL_2(F)$-subrepresentation of $\pi|_{GL_2(F)}$ if and only if

- $\sigma$ is supercuspidal, and appears as a quotient of $\pi|_{GL_2(F)}$;
- $\sigma = St_F \otimes \theta$, $\pi = I_{B(E)}^{GL_2(E)} \chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2$ such that $\theta \chi_1|_{F^\times} = 1$ and $\theta \chi' \neq 1$. Here $\chi' = \chi_1 \chi_2$.

Moreover, one can deduce the following result on $Hom_H(F)(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ for $\pi$ appearing in the generic local Langlands correspondence, which is also considered by Harris-Scholl [10] and Loeffler [13].

**Corollary 1.6.** Assume $L = \oplus_{i=1}^r L_i$ for some field extension $L_i$ of $F$. Assume $D$ is split and $\pi = \boxtimes_{i=1}^r \pi_i$ be a representation of $G(F)$ (not necessarily irreducible) such that $\pi_i = I_{B(L_i)}^{GL_2(L_i)} \chi_i$ for some character $\chi_i$ of $T(L_i)$. If none of $\pi_i$ contain a one-dimensional subrepresentation, then

$$Hom_H(F)(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Finally, we note that with the local constancy of Euler-Poincare number (see [6, Proposition 3.18]), Theorem 1.3 implies the local constancy for the dimension of the Hom-space for families in the triple product case, which was originally deduced from the meromorphy property of the canonical local periods in families (See [6, Theorem 4.8, Corollary 4.9]).

1.3. **Notations.** Throughout this paper, $F$ is a $p$-adic field.

Let $G$ be a reductive group over $F$. For any subgroup $Z_G$ of the center of $G$ and any smooth character $\chi : Z_G(F) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, let $Rep(G(F))$ (resp. $Rep(G(F), \chi)$) denote the category of smooth (complex) representation of $G(F)$ (resp. with $Z_G(F)$ acting by $\chi$). For any $\pi, \sigma \in Rep(G(F), \chi)$, let $Ext_{G(F)}^i(\pi, \sigma)$ denote the $i$-th extension group of $\pi, \sigma$ in the category $Rep(G(F), \chi)$. When $\chi = 1$, we identify $Rep(G(F), \chi)$ with $Rep(Z_G(F)) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Sometimes by abuse of notations, we will use the language of $Rep(G(F))$ instead of $Rep(Z_G(F)) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

For any subgroup $H \subset G$, let $I_{H(F)}^{G(F)}(\pi)$ (resp. $C_{H(F)}^{G(F)}(\pi)$) denote the normalized induction (resp. compact induction) functor from $Rep(H(F))$ to $Rep(G(F))$.

For the reductive group $GL_n$ over $F$, let $T \subset B \subset GL_n$ denote the maximal split torus of diagonal matrices and the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices respectively. The parabolic induction representation $I_{B(F)}^{GL_n(F)}(\pi)$ for any smooth character $\pi$ of $T(F)$ is called a principal series of $GL_n(F)$. Note that a principal series may be reducible. In particular, when $\chi = \cdot | \frac{E}{F} \boxtimes | \cdot | \frac{E}{F} \boxtimes \cdots | \cdot | \frac{E}{F}$, $I_{B(F)}^{GL_n(F)}(\pi)$ is reducible and has a unique irreducible quotient $St_t$, the Steinberg representation.

A parabolic subgroup $P \subset GL_n$ is called standard if it contains $B$ and a Levi subgroup $M \subset P$ is called standard if it contains $T$. Throughout this paper, we will abbreviate the condition $P \subset GL_n$ is a standard parabolic subgroup with Standard Levi subgroup $M$ and unipotent radical $N$ as $P = MN \subset GL_n$ is a parabolic subgroup. Sometimes by abuse of notations, we will use the language of $GL_n$ to describe the parabolic subgroups of $PGL_n$.

When $n = 2$, an irreducible representation of $GL_2(F)$ is generic if it is infinite-dimensional. The infinite dimensional irreducible subquotient of a reducible principal series is called a special series. A smooth representation of $GL_2(F)$ is called co-Whittaker if it is either generic irreducible or a reducible principal series representation with one-dimensional quotient.

Let $E/F$ be a quadratic field extension with associated quadratic character $\eta_{E/F}$ and non-trivial $F$-automorphism by $-$. For any character $\theta : F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, let $\theta_E$ be the character $E^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$; $x \mapsto \theta(x\bar{x})$.

For any reductive group $G$ over $F$ and any smooth representation $\pi$ of $G(E)$, let $\bar{\pi}$ be the twist of $\pi$ by the involution $\bar{\cdot}$.

For any parabolic group $P = MN \subset GL_n$, let $P^* = Res_{E/F} P, M^* = Res_{E/F} M$ and $N^* = Res_{E/F} N$ be the corresponding object for the group $Res_{E/F} GL_n$. Sometimes by abuse of notations, we will use the language of $Res_{E/F} GL_n$ to describe the parabolic subgroups of $G_m \backslash Res_{E/F} GL_n$.
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2. Preliminaries

Let $G$ be a reductive group over $F$. Take a subgroup $Z_G$ of the center of $G$ and a smooth character $\chi : Z_G(F) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\mathcal{H}(G(F))$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(G(F), \chi^{-1})$) be the Hecke algebra consisting of locally constant functions on $G(F)$ with compact support (resp. locally constant $\chi^{-1}$-invariant functions with compact support modulo $Z_G(F)$). It is well-known (see [19, Section 3]) $\text{Rep}(G(F))$ (resp. $\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$) is equivalent to the category of non-degenerate $\mathcal{H}(G(F))$-modules (resp. $\mathcal{H}(G(F), \chi^{-1})$-modules). Then (see [2, Section 4]) both $\text{Rep}(G(F))$ and $\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$ are abelian categories with enough projective objects and enough injective objects.

Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup. By [24, Proposition 2.3(1)], restriction sends projective objects in $\text{Rep}(G(F))$ to projective objects in $\text{Rep}(H(F))$. More generally, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.1.** Take any object $\pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$.

(i) Take $Z_H = Z_G \cap H$. If $\pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$ is projective, then $\pi|_H \in \text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_H})$ is a projective object. Moreover, for any $\sigma \in \text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_H})$, we have

$$\text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)}(\pi, u\sigma - I_{Z_G}^G\sigma) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_H})}(\pi|_H, \sigma)$$

where $u\sigma - I_{Z_G}^G\sigma$ is the unnormalized induction functor.

(ii) Assume $Z(F) \cap H$ is compact. Then $\pi|_H \in \text{Rep}(H(F))$ is a projective object.

**Proof.** For (i), note that restriction and letting $Z_G$ acting by $\chi$ gives an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_H}) \cong \text{Rep}(Z_G H(F), \chi).$$

Then by Frobenius reciprocity law, the results follows from [24, Proposition 2.2]

For (ii), note that for any $\sigma \in \text{Rep}(H(F))$, we have $\sigma = \oplus_\alpha \sigma_\alpha$ where $\alpha$ runs over all smooth characters of $Z_G(F) \cap H(F)$ and

$$\sigma_\alpha = \{v \in \sigma | z \cdot v = \alpha(z)v, \forall z \in Z_G(F) \cap H(F)\}.$$

In particular,

$$\text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, \sigma) = \bigoplus_\alpha \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \sigma_\alpha).$$

Thus to show $\pi|_H \in \text{Rep}(H(F))$ is projective, it suffices to show that for any surjection $\sigma_2 \twoheadrightarrow \sigma_1$ in $\text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_G \cap H})$, one has

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_G \cap H})}(\pi|_H, \sigma_2) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_G \cap H})}(\pi|_H, \sigma_1).$$

By the category equivalence

$$\text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_G \cap H}) \cong \text{Rep}(Z_H H(F), \chi)$$

and Frobenius reciprocity law, there is canonical isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(H(F), \chi|_{Z_G \cap H})}(\pi|_H, \sigma_1) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)}(\pi, u\sigma - I_{Z_G}^G\sigma).$$

As $\pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$ is projective, we are done.

**Lemma 2.2.** For any projective object $\pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi^{-1})$, $\pi \otimes \sigma \in \text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))$ is projective.

**Proof.** Note that $\pi \otimes \sigma \in \text{Rep}((G \times G)(F), \chi \times \chi^{-1})$ is projective by the category equivalence between $\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$ and non-degenerate $\mathcal{H}(G(F), \chi^{-1})$-modules. Therefore $\pi \otimes \sigma \in \text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F)) = \text{Rep}(G(F), 1)$ is projective by Lemma 2.1.

**Proposition 2.3.** For any $\pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi^{-1})$, there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))}(\pi \otimes \sigma, C) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi)}(\pi, \sigma^\vee) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi^{-1})}(\sigma, \pi^\vee), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here $-^\vee$ stands for smooth dual.

**Proof.** First assume $\pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)$ is projective. Take any projective resolution $Q_{\bullet}$ of $\sigma$ in $\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi^{-1})$. Then $\pi \otimes Q_{\bullet}$ is a projective resolution of $\pi \otimes \sigma \in \text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence the complex $\text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))}(\pi \otimes Q_{\bullet}, C)$ computes $\text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))}(\pi \otimes \sigma, C)$. Note that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))}(\pi \otimes Q_{\bullet}, C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi^{-1})}(Q_{\bullet}, \pi^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi)}(\pi, Q_{\bullet}^\vee),$$

so it also computes $\text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi^{-1})}(\sigma, \pi^\vee)$ and $\text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi)}(\pi, \sigma^\vee)$.

[5]
Note that \( \pi \) is projective implies

\[
\text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G, \mathbb{C})}(\pi, \sigma^\vee) = 0, \ \forall \ i \geq 1.
\]

Consequently, \( \pi \otimes \sigma \) is an acyclic object for the functor \( \text{Hom}_{G/Z_G(F)}(-, \mathbb{C}) \).

For arbitrary \( \pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi) \), take any projective resolution \( P_\bullet \). Then \( P_\bullet \otimes \sigma \) is an acyclic resolution of \( \pi \otimes \sigma \) with respect to \( \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G(F))}(-, \mathbb{C}) \). Therefore

\[
\text{Hom}_{G/Z_G(F)}(P_\bullet \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi^{-1})}(\sigma, P_\bullet^\vee) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(G, \chi)}(P_\bullet, \sigma^\vee)
\]

computes \( \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G/Z_G(F))}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \) as well as \( \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)}(\pi, \sigma^\vee) \) and \( \text{Ext}^i_{\text{Rep}(G(F), \chi)}(\pi, \sigma^\vee) \). \( \square \)

Finally, we quote the following two important results.

**Theorem 2.4.** [5, Theorem 5.4.1] Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible smooth admissible supercuspidal representation of \( G(F) \) with central character \( \chi \). Then \( \pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F), \chi) \) is both projective and injective.

**Theorem 2.5.** [1, Theorem 2.1.4] Any compact representation \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \), i.e. all matrix coefficients of \( \pi \) are compact supported functions on \( G(F) \), is projective.

### 3. The Galois case

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1. We start with the geometry of double cosets. Let \( P = MN \subset GL_n \) and set

\[
P^* = \text{Res}_{E/F} P, \quad M^* = \text{Res}_{E/F} M, \quad N^* = \text{Res}_{E/F} N.
\]

Let \( \Omega \) (resp. \( \Omega_M \)) be the Weyl group of \( GL_n \) (resp. \( M \)) and identify \( \Omega_M \backslash \Omega \Omega_M \) with the set \( ^M \Omega_M \) of left and right \( \Omega_M \)-reduced elements in \( \Omega \). Let \( \Omega_2 = \{ w \in \Omega \mid w^2 = 1 \} \) and \( ^M \Omega_2 \) as \( \Omega \backslash \Omega_M \backslash \Omega \) induce a bijection \( P(E) \backslash GL_n(E)/GL_n(F) \to ^M \Omega_2 \).

For any double coset in \( P(E) \backslash GL_n(E)/GL_n(F) \), take a representative \( \eta \) so that \( \eta^\vee \) is the permutation matrix \( w \in \Omega_2 \). Moreover, sending \( w \) to its reduced representative in \( \Omega_M \backslash \Omega \backslash \Omega \) induces a bijection

\[
P(E) \backslash GL_n(E)/GL_n(F) \to ^M \Omega_2.
\]

Then by [20, Section 2.4], \( P(w) \) is a standard parabolic subgroup of \( M^* \) with standard Levi decomposition \( P(w) = M(w) N(w) \). Moreover, let \( \text{pr}_M \) be the projection from \( P^* \) to \( M^* \) and set

\[
P_\eta = \eta GL_n \eta^{-1} \cap P^*; \quad M_\eta = \eta GL_n \eta^{-1} \cap M^*.
\]

Let \( U_\eta \) be the unipotent radical of \( P_\eta \) and let \( \delta_\eta \) (resp. \( \delta_{P^*} \)) be the modulus character of \( P_\eta \) (resp. \( P^* \)). Then by [20, Lemma 3.2, 3.3 & Corollary 6.9],

- \( P_\eta = M_\eta U_\eta \);
- \( \text{pr}_M(U_\eta) = N(w) \) is a normal subgroup of \( \text{pr}_M(P_\eta) = M_\eta N(w) \subset P(w) \);
- \( \delta_{P^*}\big|_{P_\eta} = \delta_{\eta} \).

**Example 3.1.** Consider the case \( n = 3 \). Then there are 4 orbits, represented by \( \eta_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, 4 \) with \( w_i = w(\eta_i) \) as following

\[
w_1 = 1, \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad w_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad w_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is easy to determine \( B_\eta \) for each \( \eta \) as

\[
B_\eta = \left\{ b \in B \mid w(\eta)^{-1} bw(\eta) = \tilde{b} \right\}.
\]

The orbit for \( \eta_1 \) is closed with \( B_{\eta_1} \), the Borel subgroup of \( GL_3 \) of triangle matrices. The orbits for \( \eta_2, \eta_3 \) are locally closed with

\[
M_{\eta_2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta
\end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \in E^X, \beta \in F^X \right\}, \quad N_{\eta_2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & u \\
0 & 1 & \tilde{u} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \mid u \in E^X \right\}
\]
while
\[
M_{\eta_4} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \in E^\times, \beta \in F^\times \right\}, \quad N_{\eta_4} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u & \bar{u} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in E^\times \right\}.
\]

The orbit for $\eta_4$ is open with
\[
M_{\eta_4} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \in E^\times, \beta \in F^\times \right\}, \quad N_{\eta_4} = 1.
\]

Now we can show the following result. Recall that $G := \mathbb{G}_m \backslash \text{Res}_{E/F} \mathbb{G}_n$ and $H := \mathbb{G}_m \backslash \mathbb{G}_n$. For any irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of $G(F)$, $d(\pi)$ is the split rank of the Levi group carrying the cuspidal support of $\pi$.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $P^* = M^*N^* \subset G$ be a standard parabolic subgroup and $\sigma = \otimes_i \sigma_i$ be a supercuspidal representation of $M^*(F)$ which is $H \cap M^*$-distinguished and regular, i.e. $\sigma_i \not\cong \sigma_j$ if $i \neq j$. Then $\text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\pi, C) = C$.

**Proof.** When $P^* = G$, $d(\pi) = 0$ and we have nothing to prove. In the following, assume $P$ is a proper parabolic subgroup, $M = \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\sigma = \otimes_{i=1}^d \sigma_i$. Then $t \geq 2$ and $d(\pi) = t - 1 \geq 1$. By the Geometric Lemma, there exists an exact sequence of smooth $H(F)$-representations
\[
0 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \pi|_H \rightarrow I_{P(F)}^{GL_n(F)\delta^{1/2}}_{P(F)}(\delta^{-1/2}P_\eta \sigma)|_{P(F)} \rightarrow 0
\]
and $\sigma$ has a filtration with graded pieces
\[
V_{\eta} := \mathcal{I}_{GL_n(F)^H}^{GL_n(F)\eta^{-1}}(\delta^{1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta})^\eta
\]
with $w = \eta^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_2$. Here $(-)^g$ is the conjugation functor that transfers $P_\eta(F)$-representations into $\eta^{-1} P^*(F)\eta \cap GL_n(F)$-representations and

We claim that
\[
\text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{t-2}(V_{\eta}, C) = \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{t-1}(V_{\eta}, C) = 0.
\]
Assuming the claim, one has
\[
\text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{t-2}((\tau, C) = \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{t-1}(\tau, C) = 0.
\]
Hence by Frobenius reciprocity law,
\[
\text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{t-1}(\pi, C) \cong \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{t-1}(I_{P(F)}^{GL_n(F)\delta^{1/2}}_{P(F)}(\delta^{-1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta})^\eta, C) \cong \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M^*(F)}^{t-1}(\delta^{1/2}_P \sigma|_{M(F)}, \delta^{1/2}_P \delta^{-1/2}_P \sigma|_{M(F)})
\]
\[
\cong \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M^*(F)}^{t-1}(\sigma|_{M(F)}, C) \cong \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M^*(F)}^{t-1}(\sigma, I_{M^*(F)}^M(C))
\]
By [19, Theorem 2],
\[
\dim_C \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M^*(F)}^{t-1}(\sigma, I_{M^*(F)}^M(C)) = \dim_C \text{Hom}_{F^\times \backslash M^*(F)}(I_{M^*(F)}^M(C), \sigma)
\]
\[
= \dim_C \text{Hom}_{M^*(F)}(I_{M^*(F)}^M(C), \sigma)
\]
\[
= \dim_C \text{Ext}_M^{t-1}(\sigma, I_{M^*(F)}^M(C))
\]
By [24, Proposition 2.9], the Kunneth formula [24, Theorem 3.1] and [19, Theorem 2],
\[
\dim_C \text{Ext}_M^{t-1}(\sigma, I_{M^*(F)}^M(C)) = \dim_C \mathcal{I}_{GL_n(F)^H}^{GL_n(F)^H}(\delta^{1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta})^\eta
\]
\[
= \dim_C \mathcal{I}_{GL_n(F)^H}^{GL_n(F)^H}(\delta^{1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta})^\eta
\]
\[
= \dim_C \mathcal{I}_{GL_n(F)^H}^{GL_n(F)^H}(\delta^{1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta})^\eta
\]
\[
= \dim_C \mathcal{I}_{GL_n(F)^H}^{GL_n(F)^H}(\delta^{1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta})^\eta
\]
As $\sigma_i$ are all distinguished, we deduce $\dim_C \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{t-1}(\pi, C) = 1$.

Now we prove the claim. By [24, Proposition 2.4 & 2.8], for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
\[
\text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{i}(V_{\eta}, C) \cong \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^{i}(\mathcal{I}_{GL_n(F)^H}^{GL_n(F)^H}(\delta^{1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta})^\eta, C) \cong \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M_\eta(F)}^{i}(\delta^{-1/2}_P \sigma|_{P_\eta}|_{P_\eta}^\eta, C)
\]
\[
= \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M_\eta(F)}^{i}(\sigma|_{P_\eta}|_{P_\eta}^\eta, C) \cong \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M_\eta(F)}^{i}(\sigma|_{M_\eta(F)N(w)\eta|_{P_\eta}}^{N(w)(F)}, C)
\]
\[
= \text{Ext}_{F^\times \backslash M_\eta(F)}^{i}(\sigma|_{M_\eta(F)N(w)\eta|_{P_\eta}}^{N(w)(F)}, C)
\]
where \((-\cdot)_*\) means taking co-invariant of \(*\). As \(\sigma\) is supercuspidal, \((\sigma|_{M_i(F)})_{N(w)(F)}\) \(N(w)(F)\) \(= 0\) if \(N(w) \neq 1\). In particular, \(\text{Ext}^i_{H(F)}(V_{\eta}, \mathbb{C})\) for any \(i \in \mathbb{N}\). Assume \(N(w) = 1\). Then \(M(w) = M^*\) and as explained in [9, Section 2.1], there is an involution \(\epsilon \in S_t\) such that \(m_i = m_{\epsilon(i)}\) and
\[
M_{\epsilon}(F) = \{ (g_i) \in M^*(F) \mid g_{\epsilon(i)} = g_i \}.
\]

Let \(J \subset \{ 1, \cdots, t \}\) be a choice of representatives for orbits of \(\epsilon\). For any \(i \in J\),
- if \(i \neq \epsilon(i)\),
- if \(i \neq \epsilon(i)\), let \(\tilde{\epsilon} = \sigma_i, H_i := GL_{n_i}(F)\) and \(G_i := GL_{n_i}(E)\).

Then \(I_{M_{\epsilon}(F)}^{M^*(F)} \mathbb{C} \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} I_{H_i}^{G_i} \mathbb{C}\). Hence by [24, Theorem 3.1], one has
\[
\text{Ext}^i_{H_i}(\tilde{\epsilon}, I_{H_i}^G \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{H_i}(\tilde{\epsilon}, I_{H_i}^G \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{H_i}(\tilde{\epsilon}, I_{H_i}^G \mathbb{C})
\]
Note that all \(\epsilon_i\) are supercuspidal. If \(\epsilon(k) = k\), one has \(\text{Ext}^i_{G_k}(\tilde{\epsilon}, I_{H_k}^G \mathbb{C}) = 0\) for \(i > 1\) by [24, Proposition 2.9]. If \(\epsilon(k) \neq k\), one has
\[
\text{Ext}^i_{G_k}(\tilde{\epsilon}, I_{H_k}^G \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{H_k}(\tilde{\epsilon}, I_{H_k}^G \mathbb{C}) = \text{Ext}^i_{G_k}(\tilde{\epsilon}, I_{H_k}^G \mathbb{C})
\]
Combining all these, we have \(\text{Ext}^i_{M^*(F)}(\sigma, I_{M_{\epsilon}(F)}^G \mathbb{C}) = 0\) for \(i \geq t - 1\). Moreover by [19, Theorem 2],
\[
\text{Ext}^i_{M^*(F)}(I_{M_{\epsilon}(F)}^G \mathbb{C}, \sigma) = 0.
\]
and the claim follows.

Before moving to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we interlude with the following multiplicity formula, which establishes a special case of a conjecture of C. Wan (See [31, Conjecture 7.6]).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \(\pi\) be a principal series of \(G(F)\). Consider the Euler-Poincare characteristic
\[
\text{EP}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}).
\]
Then \(\text{EP}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = 0\) when \(n \geq 3\) and for any \(n \geq 1\),
\[
\text{EP}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \sum_{T} |W_T|^{-1} \int_{T(F)} D^H(t) \text{Tr} \pi(t) dt
\]
where \(T\) runs over elliptic torus in \(H\), \(W_T\) is the Weyl group for \(T\) and \(D^H\) is the Weyl discriminant of \(H\).

**Proof.** When \(n = 2\), assume \(\pi = I_{G(F)}^{G(F)}\chi\) with \(\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\) and set \(\chi' = \chi_1 \chi_2\). Then the geometric lemma gives the exact sequence of \(H(F)\)-representations
\[
0 \to i_{F^\times \backslash F^\times}^H(\chi') \to \pi|_H \to I_{B(F)}^H(\chi_B^{1/2}) \to 0.
\]
Then by [24, Proposition 2.1(4)] and the fact \(F^\times \backslash E^\times\), one has
\[
\text{EP}_H(\pi) = \dim \text{Hom}_H(F)(i_{F^\times \backslash F^\times}^H(\chi'), \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \chi' \neq 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } \chi' = 1. \end{cases}
\]
One the other hand, \(|W_T| = 2\) and the integration runs over all the quadratic field extensions \(E'\) of \(F\). Then by Dijk’s character formula for induced representations in [7, Theorem 3], if \(E' \neq E\), then the restriction of \(\text{Tr} \pi\) to regular elements in \(E^\times\) is zero while for any regular \(t \in E^\times\)
\[
\text{Tr} \pi(t) = D^H(t)^{-1}\left(\chi'(t) + \chi'(t)\right).
\]
In particular, the desired equality follows.
Assume \( n \geq 3 \). Let \( V_{\eta} \) be the graded pieces of \( \pi|_H \) given by the geometric lemma. For \( w = \eta \bar{\eta}^{-1} = 1 \), one has \( EP_{B(F)}(V_{\eta}, C) = 0 \) by [24, Proposition 2.1 (3)]. Note that for \( w = \eta \bar{\eta}^{-1} \neq 1 \), one has \( N(w) = 1 \). Hence by [24, Proposition 2.1 (4)],
\[
EP_{B(F)}(V_{\eta}, C) = EP_{M_\eta(F)}(\chi|_{M_\eta}, C) = 0
\]
as \( M_\eta(F) \) is a non-compact abelian group. In particular, \( EP_{B(F)}(\pi, C) = 0 \).

On the other hand, note that any elliptic torus \( T \) in \( H \) must have the form \( L^*/F^* \) where \( L \) is an extension of \( F \) with \([L : F] = n\). Thus, the intersection of \( T(F) \) with the diagonal matrices of \( G(F) \) must be trivial. In particular, by Dijk’s formula, the restriction of \( \text{Tr} \pi \) on the regular locus of \( T(F) \) must be zero and the desired equality follows.

Now we can turn to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Assume \( \pi = I^{G(F)}_{P(F)} \sigma \) for some parabolic subgroup \( P = MN \subset G \) and some \( H \cap M \)-distinguished regular supercuspidal \( \sigma \). Then \( \pi \) is irreducible and \( \pi^\vee = I^{G(F)}_{P(F)} \sigma^\vee \). Moreover by [19, Proposition 2.1], \( D(\pi^\vee) = \pi^\vee \). Then by [19, Theorem 2],
\[
\dim_C \text{Hom}_{G(F)}(\pi, I^{G(F)}_{H(F)} C) = \dim_C \text{Hom}_{G(F)}(I^{G(F)}_{H(F)} C, \pi^\vee) = \dim_C \text{Ext}^{d(\pi)}_{G(F)}(\pi^\vee, I^{G(F)}_{H(F)} C) = 1.
\]

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that for any relatively supercuspidal irreducible smooth representation \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \), there exists a parabolic subgroup \( P = MN \subset G \) and a \( H \cap M \)-distinguished regular supercuspidal representation \( \sigma \) of \( M(F) \) such that \( \pi = I^{G(F)}_{P(F)} \sigma \).

We do induction on \( n \). For \( n = 1 \), everything is straightforward. Assume the claim holds for \( n \leq k \). For \( n = k + 1 \), let \( \pi \) be a relatively supercuspidal smooth representation of \( G(F) \). Then \( \pi \) is relatively square-integrable, so by [3], there exists a parabolic subgroup \( P = MN \subset G \) with \( M = \prod Res_{E/F} GL_n \) and a \( H \cap M \)-distinguished regular square-integrable representation \( \sigma = \otimes \sigma_i \) of \( M(F) \) such that \( \pi = I^{G(F)}_{P(F)} \sigma \). By [26, Corollary 6.1.4], each \( \sigma_i \) is again \( GL_n(F) \)-relatively supercuspidal. Then by induction hypothesis, \( \sigma_i = I^{GL_n(F)}_{P_i(F)} \tau_i \) with \( \tau_i \) supercuspidal and \( \pi = I^{GL_n(F)}_{P(F)} \otimes \tau_i \). We are done.

Note that Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** For any irreducible smooth representation \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \), \( \text{Ext}^{d(\sigma)}_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = C \) if and only if \( \pi = I^{G(F)}_{H(F)} \sigma \) for some parabolic subgroup \( P = MN \subset G \) and some \( H \cap M \)-distinguished regular supercuspidal representation \( \sigma = \otimes \sigma_i \) of \( M(F) \). In remaining cases, \( \text{Ext}^{d(\sigma)}_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 0 \).

Immediately from Theorem 3.4, we can deduce Corollary 1.2

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** By [19, Proposition 2.1], \( D(\text{St}_F) = C \). Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible smooth representation of \( G(F) \). By [19, Theorem 2],
\[
\dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi) = \dim_C \text{Ext}^{n-1}_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = \dim_C \text{Ext}^{\delta(\pi)}_{H(F)}(\pi, I^{G(F)}_{H(F)} C).
\]
If the supercuspidal support of \( \pi \) is not carried by the Borel group, \( \dim_C \text{Ext}^{n-1}_{G(F)}(\pi, I^{G(F)}_{H(F)} C) = 0 \) by [24, Proposition 2.9]. If the supercuspidal support of \( \pi \) is carried by the Borel group, then \( d(\pi) = n - 1 \) and by Theorem 3.4, \( \text{Ext}^{n-1}_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 0 \) unless \( \pi = I^{G(F)}_{B(F)} \chi \) with \( \chi \) being \( T(F) \)-distinguished and regular. Moreover, if \( \pi = I^{G(F)}_{B(F)} \chi \) with \( \chi \) being \( T(F) \)-distinguished and regular, then \( \dim \text{Ext}^{n-1}_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = C \). We are done.

It seems possible to approach Corollary 1.2 directly using the second adjointness theorem and the theory of Jacquet modules. In the following, we supply all details in the case \( n = 2 \).

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( \pi = I^{GL_2(F)}_{B(E)} \chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2 \) be an irreducible representation of \( GL_2(E) \). Then
\[
\dim_C \text{Hom}_{GL_2(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi) = 1
\]
if and only if \( \chi_1 \neq \chi_2 \) and \( \chi_1|_{F^*} = \chi_2|_{F^*} \). In all remaining cases, \( \text{Hom}_{GL_2(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi) = 0 \).

**Proof.** Denote by \( \delta \) the modulus character of \( B(F) \). Then there exists an exact sequence of \( GL_2(F) \)-representations
\[
0 \to C \to I^{GL_2(F)}_{B(F)} \delta^{-1/2} \to \text{St}_F \to 0.
\]
which leads to a long exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Hom}_{\GL_2(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi) \to \text{Hom}_{\GL_2(F)}(I_{B(F)}^{\GL_2(F)} \delta^{-1/2}, \pi) \to \text{Hom}_{\GL_2(F)}(C, \pi) \to$$

Fix a nontivial additive character $\psi$ of $E$ such that $\psi|_F = 1$ and let $K(\pi)$ be the Kirillov model of $\pi$ with respect to $\psi$. Then clearly $\text{Hom}_{\GL_2(F)}(C, K(\pi)) = 0$, and hence

$$\text{Hom}_{\GL_2(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi) = \text{Hom}_{\GL_2(F)}(I_{B(F)}^{\GL_2(F)} \delta^{-1/2}, \pi).$$

Let $N$ resp. $N^-$ be the group of upper (resp. lower) triangular unipotent of $\GL_2$ and $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $J_{N^-}(\pi)$ (resp. $J_{N}(\pi)$) be the un-normalized Jacquet module of $\pi$ with respect to $N^-(F)$ (resp. $N(F)$), i.e. the $N^-(F)$ (resp. $N(F)$)-coinvariants of $\pi$. Then as $T(F)$-representations, $J_{N^-}(\pi) = J_{N}(\pi)^w$. By the second adjointness theorem (see [24, Theorem 2.1]), one has

$$\text{Hom}_{\GL_2(F)}(I_{B(F)}^{\GL_2(F)} \delta^{-1/2}, \pi) = \text{Hom}_{T(F)}(\delta, J_{N}(\pi)).$$

Note that one has an exact sequence of $B(E)$-representations

$$0 \to C_c^\infty(E^x) \to K(\pi) \to J_{N^}(\pi(\pi)) \to 0$$

Let $K_F$ be the image of $K(\pi)$ under the restriction (to $F^x$) map and $J_F$ be the cokernel of $C_c^\infty(F^x) \to K_F$. Then by [4, Theorem 4.7.2], one has

$$\dim C J_F = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi_1|_{F^x} = \chi_2|_{F^x} \text{ and } \chi_1 \neq \chi_2; \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that by [23, Lemma 6.3], $J_{N}(C_c^\infty(F^x)) = C_c^\infty(F^x)$. Hence by taking $N(F)$-coinvariants, one gets a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & C_c^\infty(F^x) & \rightarrow & J_{N}(\pi(\pi)) & \rightarrow & J_{N^}(\pi) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \text{res} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & C_c^\infty(F^x) & \rightarrow & K_F & \rightarrow & J_F & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

By the Snake Lemma,

- if $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ or $\chi_1|_{F^x} \neq \chi_2|_{F^x}$, $J_{N^}(\pi) = K_F$;
- if $\chi_1|_{F^x} = \chi_2|_{F^x}$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$, there is an exact sequence of $T(F)$-representations

$$0 \rightarrow \chi|_{T(F)} \delta \rightarrow J_{N^}(\pi(\pi)) \rightarrow K_F \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $K_F$ contains no one-dimensional $T(F)$-subrepresentations and the proposition follows.

For the split quadratic extension $F \oplus F$, we can obtain the following results, analogous to Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 respectively.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $\pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $F^x \setminus \GL_n(F) \times \GL_n(F)$. Then $\pi$ is $\PGL_n(F)$-relatively supercuspidal if and only if $\pi_i$ are supercuspidal and $\pi_1 = \pi_2^\vee$.

**Proof.** Let $\omega$ be the central character of $\pi_1$, viewed as a character of $Z = (\mathbb{G}_m, 1) \subset \GL_n \times \GL_n$. Note that $\pi$ is relatively supercuspidal if and only if $\dim \text{Hom}_{\GL_n(F) \times \GL_n(F)}(\pi, i^\omega_{Z \Delta \GL_n(F)} \GL_n(F) \times \GL_n(F) \omega) = 1$. Let $d(\pi)$ (resp. $d(\pi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$) denote the split rank of the Levi subgroup of $\GL_n \times \GL_n$ (resp. $\GL_n$) carrying the supercuspidal support of $\pi$ (resp. $\pi_i$, $i = 1, 2$). Then $d(\pi) = d(\pi_1) + d(\pi_2)$ and by [19, Theorem 2],

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\GL_n(F) \times \GL_n(F)}(\pi, i^\omega_{Z \Delta \GL_n(F)} \GL_n(F) \times \GL_n(F) \omega) = \dim \text{Ext}_{Z \Delta \GL_n(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\pi, \omega)$$

Without lose of generality, assume $d(\pi_1) \leq d(\pi_2)$ and let $Z$ act on $\pi_1$ (resp. $\pi_2$) via $\omega$ (resp. $1$). Then by [24, Proposition 2.9],

$$\text{Ext}_{Z \Delta \GL_n(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\pi_1, \pi_2^\vee \otimes \omega) = \text{Ext}_{Z \Delta \GL_n(F)}^{d(\pi)}(\pi_1, \pi_2^\vee) = 0$$

unless $d(\pi_1) = d(\pi_2) = 1$. In this case, both $\pi_i$ are supercuspidal and by [19, Theorem 2]

$$\dim \text{Ext}_{\Rep(Z \Delta \GL_n(F))}^{d(\pi)}(\pi_1, \pi_2^\vee \otimes \omega) = \dim \text{Hom}_{Z \Delta \GL_n(F)}(\pi_2^\vee \otimes \omega, \pi_1) = \dim \text{Hom}_{\GL_n(F)}(\pi_2^\vee, \pi_1).$$

\hfill $\Box$
Proposition 3.7. Let \( \pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2 \) be an irreducible smooth representation of \( F^x \setminus \GL_n(F) \times \GL_n(F) \). Then \( \Hom_{\GL_n(F)}(\St F, \pi) = 0 \) unless both the supercuspidal support of \( \pi_i \) are carried by the Borel subgroup and \( \pi = D(\pi'_2) \). In this case, \( \Hom_{\GL_n(F)}(\St F, \pi) = \C \).

Proof. Denote the central character of \( \pi \) by \( \chi \). Then by Proposition 2.3 and [19, Theorem 2], one has
\[
\dim_{\C} \Hom_{\GL_n(F)}(\St F, \pi) = \dim_{\C} \Ext^{n-1}_{\GL_n(F)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \C) = \dim_{\C} \Ext^{n-1}_{\Rep(\GL_n(F), \chi)}(\pi_1, \pi_2).
\]
If none of the supercuspidal supports of \( \pi_i \) is supported by \( B \), one has \( \Ext^{n-1}_{\Rep(\GL_n(F), \chi)}(\pi_1, \pi_2) = 0 \) by [19, Theorem 1 & Lemma 3.1]. Assume the supercuspidal support of one of \( \pi_i \), say \( \pi_2 \), is supported on \( B \). Then by [19, Theorem 1 & Lemma 3.1]
\[
\Ext^{n-1}_{\Rep(\GL_n(F), \chi)}(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \Hom_{\Rep(\GL_n(F), \chi)}(D(\pi'_2), \pi_1)
\]
and the proposition follows. \( \square \)

4. The Triple Product case

Let \( L/F \) be a cubic étale extension and \( D/F \) be a quaternion algebra. Let \( G = \G_m \setminus \Res_{L/F} D^x \) and \( H := \G_m \setminus D^x \). In this section, we shall compute \( \Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) \) for any irreducible smooth representation \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \) and any \( i \in \N \) and determine the relatively supercuspidal representations.

Note that when \( D \) is split, one has
\[
\Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = 0; \quad i \geq 2
\]
\[
\dim_{\C} \Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = \dim_{\C} \Hom_{H(F)}(\St F, \pi)
\]
for any \( \pi \in \Rep(G(F)) \) by [24, Proposition 2.9] and [19, Theorem 2]. While when \( D \) is non-split, one has
\[
\Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = 0, \quad i \geq 1
\]
for any irreducible \( \pi \in \Rep(G(F)) \) by Theorem 2.5.

4.1. The Waldspurger toric case. Let \( K/F \) be an étale quadratic extension and fix an embedding \( K \hookrightarrow D \) (if any). In this subsection only, let \( G := \Delta \G_m \setminus (D^x \times \Res_{K/F} \G_m) \) and \( H := \G_m \setminus \Res_{K/F} \G_m \), viewed as a subgroup of \( G \) via the fixed embedding. For an irreducible smooth admissible representation \( \pi \) of \( G(F) \), \( \Hom_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) \) is completely determined in the influential papers [30] and [25]. We now compute \( \Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) \) for \( i \geq 1 \).

Proposition 4.1. Let \( \pi = \sigma \boxtimes \chi \) be an irreducible admissible smooth representation of \( G(F) \) such that \( \sigma \) is generic if \( D \) is split. Then
\[
\Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = 0, \quad i > 0.
\]

In this following, we prove Proposition 4.1 case by case. First assume \( K = F \oplus F \) is split. In this case, \( D = M_2(F) \), \( D^x = \GL_2 \) and we may identify \( \Res_{K/F} \G_m \) with the diagonal torus \( T \subset \GL_2 \). Note that for any \( \pi \in \Rep(G(F)), \)

- by [24, Proposition 2.9], \( \Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = 0, \quad i \geq 2; \)
- by [19, Theorem 2], \( \dim_{\C} \Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = \dim_{\C} \Hom_{H(F)}(\C, \pi) \).

Assume \( \pi = \sigma \boxtimes \chi \in \Rep(G(F)) \) with \( \sigma \in \Rep(\GL_2(F)) \) and a smooth character \( \chi = \chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2 \) of \( T(F) \).
When \( \sigma = \mu \circ \det, \)
\[
\dim_{\C} \Ext^i_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = \Hom_{H(F)}(\C, \pi) = \Hom_{T(F)}(\C, \mu \chi_1 \boxtimes \mu \chi_2) \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2 = \mu^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

For general \( \sigma \), one has

Proposition 4.2. Assume \( \sigma \) is co-Whittaker. Then
\[
\Hom_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = \C, \quad \text{and} \quad \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = 0.
\]

Proof. Note that
\[
\dim_{\C} \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi, \C) = \dim_{\C} \Hom_{H(F)}(\C, \pi) = \dim_{\C} \Hom_{T(F)}(\chi^{-1}, \sigma).
\]
Since \( \sigma \) is co-Whittaker, one can identify \( \sigma \) with its Kirillov model \( \mathcal{K}(\sigma) \) (with respect to any non-trivial additive character). Then by [4, Proposition 4.7.2],
\[
\Hom_{T(F)}(\chi^{-1}, \mathcal{K}(\sigma)|_{T}) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{K}(\sigma) \mid \phi(a \cdot) = \chi_1^{-1}(a) \phi, \quad \forall \ a \in F^x \} = 0
\]
Consequently, \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \).

If \( \sigma \) is irreducible, then \( \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \) is the result of Saito-Tunnell. If \( \sigma \) is reducible, then there exists an exact sequence of \( GL_2(F) \)-representations

\[
0 \to \sigma_1 \to \sigma \to \sigma_2 \to 0
\]

with \( \sigma_2 \) one-dimensional and \( \sigma_1 \) a special series. By applying the functors \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^i(-, \mathbb{C}) \) and the fact \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^i(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \), one obtains a long exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\sigma_2 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(\sigma_2 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) \to 0
\]

By Saito-Tunnell and result for one-dimensional representations, one deduces

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) = 1.
\]

Now assume \( K/F \) is a quadratic field extension. In this case, \( H(F) \) is compact. Then by Theorem 2.5, \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^i(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \) for each \( i \geq 1 \) and any \( \pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F)) \). Assume moreover \( D = M_2(F) \) and \( \pi = \sigma \boxtimes \chi \) with \( \sigma \in \text{Rep}(GL_2(F)) \) and \( \chi \) is a smooth character of \( K^\times \).

**Proposition 4.3.** If \( \sigma \) is a principal series, \( \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \).

**Proof.** If \( \sigma \) is irreducible, this is the result of Saito-Tunnell. If \( \sigma \) is reducible, there exists an exact sequence of \( GL_2(F) \)-representations

\[
0 \to \sigma_1 \to \sigma \to \sigma_2 \to 0
\]

with \( \sigma_2 = \mu \odot \det \) and \( \sigma_1 = \mu \circ \text{St}_F \) for some character \( \mu \) of \( F^\times \). By applying the functor \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(-, \mathbb{C}) \), we obtain an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\sigma_2 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) \to 0
\]

By Saito-Tunnell,

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu_k \chi = 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Straightforward computation shows

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\sigma_2 \otimes \chi, \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu_k \chi = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

Combining all these, we have \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) = 1 \). \( \square \)

**4.2. The cubic field extension case.** In this subsection, we assume \( L/F \) is a cubic field extension and \( D/F \) is split. If \( \pi = \mu \odot \det \) for some character \( \mu \) of \( L^\times \), then

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu|_{F^\times} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi) = 0.
\]

In general, one has the following result.

**Proposition 4.4.** If \( \pi \in \text{Rep}(G(F)) \) is co-Whittaker, then \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \). If moreover \( \pi \) is a principal series, then \( \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \).

**Proof.** The case \( \pi \) is supercuspidal follows from Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.2.

Assume \( \pi \) has the form \( \text{St}_L \otimes \chi \) for some character \( \chi \) of \( L^\times \). Then \( \eta := \chi|_{F^\times} \) is a quadratic character. By [22, Section 6.4.2], there is an exact sequence of \( H(F) \)-representations

\[
0 \to i_1^H \mathbb{C} \to \pi \to \text{St}_F \otimes \chi \to 0.
\]

Applying the functors \( \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(-, \mathbb{C}) \), one has the following long exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F \otimes \eta, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(i_1^H \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(\text{St}_F \otimes \eta, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Ext}_{H(F)}^1(i_1^H \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \to 0
\]
By Frobenius reciprocity law and duality,
\[
\Ext^1_{H(F)}(i^H_1C, C) \cong \Ext^1_{H(F)}(C, I^H_1C) \cong \Ext^1_1(C, C) = 0;
\]
\[
\Hom_{H(F)}(i^H_1C, C) \cong \Hom_{H(F)}(C, I^H_1C) \cong \Hom_1(C, C) = C.
\]

By [22, Section 6.2], one has
\[
\dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \eta \neq 1; \\ 1 & \text{if } \eta = 1. \end{cases}
\]

Note that
\[
\dim_C \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\St_F \otimes \eta, C) = \dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\St_F, \St_F \otimes \eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta = 1; \\ 0 & \text{if } \eta \neq 1. \end{cases}
\]

By the exact sequence, we obtain \(\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 0\).

If \(\pi = i^\GL_2(L)^\chi\), then by [22, Section 6.1], there exists an exact sequence of \(H(F)\)-representations
\[
0 \rightarrow i^H_1C \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow i^H_2C \delta_B \rightarrow 0
\]
which leads to a long exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow \Hom_{H(F)}(i^H_2B \delta_B, C) \rightarrow \Hom_{H(F)}(\pi, C) \rightarrow \Hom_{H(F)}(i^H_1C, C) \rightarrow \Ext^1_{H(F)}(i^H_2B \delta_B, C) \rightarrow \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi, C) \rightarrow \Ext^1_{H(F)}(i^H_1C, C) \rightarrow 0
\]

Note that
\[
\dim_C \Ext^1_{H(F)}(i^H_2B \delta_B, C) = \dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\St_F, i^H_2B \delta_B) = \dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(i^H_2B \delta_B, C),
\]
so by the long exact sequence
\[
\dim_C \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = \dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) - 1.
\]

When \(\pi\) is irreducible, \(\dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 1\) by [22, Section 6.1] and then \(\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 0\). When \(\pi\) is reducible, there exists an exact sequence in \(\Rep(G(F))\)
\[
0 \rightarrow \pi_1 \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \pi_2 \rightarrow 0
\]
with \(\pi_2\) one-dimensional and \(\pi_1\) special series. By the associated long exact sequence
\[
\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi_2, C) \rightarrow \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi, C) \rightarrow \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi_1, C) \rightarrow 0,
\]
one can deduce \(\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 0\) and then \(\dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 1\).

4.3. **The twisted case.** In this subsection, assume \(L = E \oplus F\) and \(D/F\) is split. Assume \(\pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2\) with \(\pi_1\) (resp. \(\pi_2\)) smooth admissible representation of \(\GL_2(E)\) (resp. \(\GL_2(F)\)). When \(\pi_1\) is one-dimensional and \(\pi_2\) is irreducible. Then
\[
\Hom_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = \begin{cases} C & \text{if } \pi|_H = C, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]
and moreover by [19, Theorem 2],
\[
\dim_C \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = \dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\St_F, \pi|_H) = \begin{cases} C & \text{if } \pi|_H = \St_F, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

When \(\pi_2 = \theta_{\odot \det} \pi_1\) is irreducible, then one can deduce from Theorem 3.4 that \(\dim_C \Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 1\) if and only if \(\pi_1 = i^{\GL_2(E)}_1 \chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2\) such that \(\chi_1|_{E^\times} \theta = 1\) and \(\theta E \chi' \neq 1\). In all remaining cases, \(\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi, C) = 0\). Here \(\chi' = \chi_1 \chi_2\).

**Proposition 4.5.** If both \(\pi_1\) and \(\pi_2\) are co-Whittaker, then \(\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 0\). If moreover \(\pi_2\) is a principal series, \(\dim_C \Hom_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 1\).

**Proof.** Denote by \(\omega^{-1}\) the central character of \(\pi_2\). By Proposition 2.3, we have
\[
\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) \cong \Ext^1_{\Rep(\GL_2(F), \omega)}(\pi_1|_{\GL_2(E)}, \pi_2^\vee)
\]
If \(\pi_1\) or \(\pi_2\) is supercuspidal, \(\Ext^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C)\) vanishes by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.1.

When \(\pi_1\) is a special representation, we may assume \(\pi_1 = \St_E\). By Frobenius reciprocity law and duality, we have
\[
\Ext^i_{H(F)}(i^{H(F)}_E \chi|_{E^\times} \St_E, \pi_2^\vee) \cong \Ext^i_{F^\times \St_E}(\pi_2, C), \quad i = 0, 1.
\]
By [19, Theorem 2], we have
\[ \dim \Ext^1_{\pi} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) = \dim \Hom_{H(F)} (\C, \pi_2) = 0. \]

By [22, Section 4.1], there is an exact sequence of \( \PGL_2(F) \)-representation
\[ 0 \to i^{\PGL_2(F)}_{\St_E} \to \St_E \to \St_F \to 0. \]

Consider the derived long exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \Hom_{\PGL_2(F)} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Hom_{\PGL_2(F)} (\St_E, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Hom_{\PGL_2(F)} (i^{\PGL_2(F)}_{\St_E \setminus E}, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\PGL_2(F)} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\PGL_2(F)} (\St_E, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\PGL_2(F)} (i^{\PGL_2(F)}_{\St_E \setminus E}, \pi^\vee_2) \to 0, \]
we obtain
\[ \Ext^1_{\pi} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\St_E} (\St_E, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{i^{\PGL_2(F)}_{\St_E \setminus E}} (\St_E, \pi^\vee_2) \to 0, \]
and if moreover \( \pi_2 \) is a principal series, \( \dim \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi | H, \C) = 1 \).

Assume \( \pi_1 = \I_{B(F)}(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) \) and \( \pi_2 \) has central value \( \omega^{-1} \). Let \( \chi' = \chi_1 \chi_2 \). By [19, Proposition 2.1 
& Theorem 1 & Lemma 3.1(b)],
\[ \dim \Ext^1_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (I_{B(F)}^{\GL2(F),\omega}, \chi^{1/2}, \pi^\vee_2) = \dim \Hom_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (I_{B(F)}^{\GL2(F),\omega}, \chi^{1/2}, \pi^\vee_2). \]

By Frobenius reciprocity law and duality, for \( i = 0, 1 \)
\[ \Ext^i_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (I_{B(F)}^{\GL2(F),\omega}, \chi^{1/2}, \pi^\vee_2) \cong \Ext^i_{\St_E \setminus E, \C} (\pi_2 | E, \chi', \C). \]

The geometric lemma gives an exact sequence of \( H(F) \)-representations
\[ 0 \to i^{H(F)}_{\St_E} \to \pi_1 \to i^{H(F)}_{B(F)} \chi^{1/2} \to 0. \]

Consider the derived long exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \Hom_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (I_{B(F)}^{H(F),\omega}, \chi^{1/2}, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Hom_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (\pi_1, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (I_{B(F)}^{H(F),\omega}, \chi^{1/2}, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (\pi_1, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\RepGL2(F),\omega} (I_{B(F)}^{H(F),\omega}, \chi^{1/2}, \pi^\vee_2) \to 0 \]
Then one has
\[ \dim \Ext^1_{\pi} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) = \dim \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi | H, \C) = \dim \Hom_{\St_E \setminus E, \C} (\pi_2 \otimes \chi', \C). \]

If \( \pi \) is irreducible, then \( \dim \Ext^1_{\pi} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) = 0 \) by [22, Remark 4.1.1]. If \( \pi_1 \) is reducible, we may assume \( \pi_1 \) sits in the exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \St_E \to \pi_1 \to \C \to 0. \]

Then we have a long exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \Hom_{H(F)} (\C, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi_1, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Hom_{H(F)} (\St_E, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\pi} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\pi} (\St_E, \pi^\vee_2) \to \Ext^1_{\pi} (\St_F, \pi^\vee_2) \to 0 \]
\[ \begin{align*}
& \text{If } \pi_2 \text{ does not contain } \St_F \text{ as a sub-representation, then } \Ext^1_{\pi} (\C, \C) = 0; \\
& \text{If } \pi_2 = \St_F, \text{ then } \dim \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi, \C) = 0 \text{ by [22, Theorem B & C]. Hence}
\end{align*} \]
\[ \dim \Ext^1_{\pi} (\C, \C) = \dim \Hom_{\St_E \setminus E, \C} (\pi_2, \C). \]

If \( \pi_2 \) sits in the exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \St_F \to \pi_2 \to \C \to 0, \]
there is another long exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi_1, \C) \to \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi, \C) \to \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi_1 \otimes \St_F, \C) \to \Ext^1_{\pi} (\pi_1, \C) \to \Ext^1_{\pi} (\pi, \C) \to \Ext^1_{\pi} (\pi_1 \otimes \St_F, \C) \to 0. \]

Then one deduces
\[ \dim \Hom_{H(F)} (\pi, \C) = 1, \quad \dim \Ext^1_{\pi} (\pi, \C) = 0. \]

Summarizing discussions in this subsection, one can deduce Corollary 1.5.
4.4. The split case. In this subsection, assume \( L = F \oplus F \oplus F \) and \( D/F \) is split. Assume \( \pi = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2 \boxtimes \pi_3 \in \text{Rep}(G(F)) \) with \( \pi_i \in \text{Rep}(GL_2(F)) \).

Assume \( \pi_3 = \theta \circ \det \) for some character \( \theta \) of \( F^\times \) and both \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) are co-Whittaker. Then

\[
\text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{C}, & \text{if } \pi_1 = \pi_2^\vee \otimes \theta \text{ irreducible}; \\
\mathbb{C}, & \text{if } \pi_1 = \pi_2 \otimes \theta \text{ reducible}; \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

and we can deduce from Proposition 3.7

\[
\text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{C}, & \text{if } \pi_1 = \pi_2^\vee \otimes \theta \text{ irreducible principal series}; \\
\mathbb{C}, & \text{if } \pi_1 = \pi_2 \otimes \theta \text{ reducible principal series}; \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proposition 4.6.** If all \( \pi_i \) are co-Whittaker, then \( \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \). If moreover one of \( \pi_i \) is a principal series, then \( \dim_{H(F)} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = 1 \).

**Proof.** Assume one of \( \pi_i \) is supercuspidal, say \( \pi_3 \). Then

\[
\text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Rep}(GL_2(F), \omega)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \pi_2^\vee)
\]

where \( \omega \) is the central character of \( \pi_3^\vee \). Then we deduce \( \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \) from Theorem 2.4.

Moreover,

- Assume both \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) are irreducible and one of \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) is a principal series. Then \( \dim \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, \mathbb{C}) = 1 \) by [21, Theorem 1.2].
- Assume one of \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) is reducible and the other is irreducible. Without lose of generality, we assume \( \pi_1 \) sits in the exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{St}_F \to \pi_1 \to \mathbb{C} \to 0.
\]

By the vanishing of \( \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) \) and [21, Theorem 1.2]

\[
\dim_{H(F)} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) = 1.
\]

- Assume both \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) are reducible. Without lose of generality, we assume \( \pi_i, \ i = 1, 2 \) sits in the exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{St}_F \to \pi_i \to \mathbb{C} \to 0.
\]

Similar as above, we have

\[
\dim_{H(F)} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi_2 \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C})
\]

\[
= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F \otimes \text{St}_F \otimes \pi_3) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F \otimes \pi_3, \mathbb{C}) = 1
\]

From now on, we assume none of \( \pi_i \) is supercuspidal. First assume at least two of \( \pi_i \) are special series. Without lose of generality, we assume \( \pi_1 = \pi_2 = \text{St}_F \). Then by [21, Lemma 5.4], there exists an exact sequence of \( GL_2(F) \)-representations

\[
0 \to \text{St}_F \to \text{i}_{GL_2(F)}^{G_2(F)} \mathbb{C} \to \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \to 0.
\]

Applying the functor \( \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\cdot, \pi_2^\vee) \), we obtain a long exact sequence

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \pi_3^\vee) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{i}_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)}^{G_2(F)} \mathbb{C}, \pi_3^\vee) \to \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi_3^\vee) \to \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \pi_3^\vee) \to \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\text{i}_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)}^{G_2(F)} \mathbb{C}, \pi_3^\vee) \to \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi_3^\vee) \to 0.
\]

By [19, Theorem 2], \( \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi_3^\vee) = 0 \). Note that

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F, \pi_3^\vee) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \pi_3 = \text{St}_F, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

If \( \pi_3 \neq \text{St}_F \), then by Frobenius reciprocity law and Proposition 4.2

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi_3, \text{i}_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)}^{G_2(F)} \mathbb{C})
\]

\[
= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ext}^1_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)}(\pi_3 |_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)} \mathbb{C}) = 0,
\]

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi_3, \text{i}_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)}^{G_2(F)} \mathbb{C})
\]

\[
= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)}(\pi_3 |_{F \times \text{GL}_2(F)} \mathbb{C}) = 1
\]
If $\pi_3 = \text{St}_F$, then $\dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 0$ by [21, Theorem 1.2] and by Saito-Tunnell and Proposition 4.2,

$$\dim_C \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi_3, I^{H(F)}_{F \setminus \setminus T(F)} C) = \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi_3, I^{H(F)}_{F \setminus \setminus T(F)} C) = 0.$$ 

Hence by the long exact sequence, $\dim_C \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 0$.

Now assume at least two of $\pi_i$ are principal series. Without lose of generality, assume $\pi_1 = I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)} \chi_1$ and $\pi_2 = I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)} \chi_2$ for some characters $\chi_i$, $i = 1, 2$ of $T(F)$. Then by [21, Section 5], there is an exact sequence of $\text{GL}_2(F)$-representations

$$0 \to I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{T(F)}(\chi_1 \chi_2') \to \pi_1 \oplus \pi_2 \to I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)}(\chi_1 \chi_2 \gamma^{1/2}) \to 0$$

where $\chi_2' \left[ \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ b & a \end{array} \right] = \chi_2 \left[ \begin{array}{cc} b & a \\ a & b \end{array} \right]$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\text{GL}_2(F), \omega)}(-, \pi_3')$, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\text{GL}_2(F), \omega)}(I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)}(\chi_1 \chi_2 \gamma^{1/2}), \pi_3') \to \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\text{GL}_2(F), \omega)}(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2, \pi_3') \to$$

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\text{Rep}(\text{GL}_2(F), \omega)}(I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)}(\chi_1 \chi_2 \gamma^{1/2}), \pi_3') \to$$

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\text{Rep}(\text{GL}_2(F), \omega)}(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2, \pi_3') \to \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Rep}(\text{GL}_2(F), \omega)}(I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{T(F)}(\chi_1 \chi_2'), \pi_3') \to 0$$

By [19, Theorem 1], Frobenius reciprocity law and Proposition 4.2, we deduce

$$\dim_C \text{Ext}^1_{\text{Rep}(\text{GL}_2(F), \omega)}(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2, \pi_3') = \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) - \dim \text{Hom}_{F \setminus \setminus T(F)}(\pi_3 \otimes \chi_1 \chi_2', C)$$

$$= \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) - 1$$

If $\pi_3$ is a special series and one of $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ is reducible, we may assume $\pi_3 = \text{St}_F$ and $\pi_1$ sits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{St}_F \to \pi_1 \to C \to 0.$$ 

Then $\text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 0$ and

$$\dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F \otimes \text{St}_F \otimes \pi_2, C) + \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\text{St}_F \otimes \pi_2, C) = 1.$$ 

If all $\pi_i$ are principal series and one of $\pi_i$ is reducible, we may assume $\pi_3$ sits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{St}_F \to \pi_3 \to C \to 0.$$ 

Then unless $\pi_1 = \pi_2'$ irreducible or $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ reducible,

$$\dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = \dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2 \otimes \text{St}_F, C) = 1$$

However

- when $\pi_1 = \pi_2'$ irreducible,

$$\text{Hom}_{H(F)}(I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)} \delta_B^{1/2}, \pi_3') = \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)} \delta_B^{1/2}, \pi_3') = 0,$$

- when $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ reducible,

$$\text{Hom}_{H(F)}(I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)} \delta_B^{3/2}, \pi_3') = \text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(I^{\text{GL}_2(F)}_{B(F)} \delta_B^{3/2}, \pi_3') = 0.$$ 

Hence

$$\dim_C \text{Hom}_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = \dim_C \text{Hom}_{F \setminus \setminus T(F)}(\pi_3 \otimes \chi_1 \chi_2', C) = 1$$

and $\text{Ext}^1_{H(F)}(\pi|_H, C) = 0$. \hfill \Box

Summarizing discussions in this subsection, one can deduce the following corollary, which slightly generalizes [19, Proposition 9.1].

**Corollary 4.7.** Let $\pi = \bigotimes_{i=1}^3 \pi_i$ be an irreducible representation of $G(F)$ such that $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ are generic. Then $\pi_3$ is a $\text{GL}_2(F)$-subrepresentation of $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ if and only if

- $\pi_3$ is supercuspidal, and appears as a quotient of $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$;
- $\pi_3 = \text{St}_F \otimes \theta$ and $\pi_1 = \pi_2' \otimes \theta$ is a principal series.
4.5. Relatively supercuspidal representations. This subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4. We start with the following general observation, using the notations of Section 1.

Lemma 4.8. Let \( d \) denote the maximal integer \( i \) such that \( \text{Ext}^{d}_H(ZF)(C, \pi') \neq 0 \) for some irreducible representation \( \pi' \) of \( ZF \) and \( i \) be the split rank of \( Z \). If \( d(\pi) = d \), then

\[
\dim_C \text{Ext}^{d}_H(ZF)(\pi, \omega^{-1}) = \dim_C \text{Ext}^{d-1}_H(ZF)(\pi, C)
\]

Proof. As \( Z \) is abelian, \( D(C) \) for the \( H(F) \)-representation \( C \) and \( D(C) \) for the \( ZF \)-representation \( C \) coincide. Then by [19, Theorem 2], one has

\[
\dim_C \text{Ext}^{d}_H(ZF)(\pi, \omega^{-1}) = \dim_C \text{Ext}^{d}_H(ZF)(\pi \otimes \omega, C) = \dim_C \text{Hom}_Z(F, D(C), \pi \otimes \omega)
\]

\[
= \dim_C \text{Hom}_F(D(C), \pi) = \dim_C \text{Ext}^{d-1}_H(ZF)(\pi, C).
\]

\[\Box\]

Now we can prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let \( \pi \) be a \( H \)-distinguished irreducible smooth representation of \( G(F) \). Note that when \( \pi \) is supercuspidal, \( \pi \) is relatively supercuspidal.

Define the constant \( d \) and \( i \) as in Lemma 4.8. Note that by [24, Proposition 2.9], one has \( \text{Ext}^{d}_H(ZF)(\pi \otimes \omega, C) = 0 \) if \( d(\pi) > d \).

When \( D \) is non-split, one has \( d = i \) and \( d(\pi) \geq d \). Moreover, \( d(\pi) = d \) if and only if \( \pi \) is supercuspidal. Hence there does not exist non-supercuspidal relatively supercuspidal representations.

When \( D \) is non-split, one has \( d = i + 1 \) and \( d(\pi) \geq d \) when \( \pi \) is non-supercuspidal. Moreover, \( d(\pi) = d \) if and only if one component of \( \pi \) is non-supercuspidal. In this case, \( \text{Ext}^{d}_H(ZF)(\pi, C) \) vanishes by Propositions 4.4 4.5 and 4.6. Then by Lemma 4.8, there does not exist non-supercuspidal relatively supercuspidal representations.

\[\Box\]
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