

WEIGHTED COMPOSITION–DIFFERENTIATION OPERATOR ON THE HARDY AND WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES

MAHSA FATEHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the sum of weighted composition operator C_{ψ_0, φ_0} and the weighted composition–differentiation operator $D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}$ on the Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces. We describe the spectrum of a compact operator $C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}$ when the fixed point w of φ_0 and φ_n is inside the open unit disk and ψ_n has a zero at w of order at least n . Also the lower estimate and the upper estimate on the norm of a weighted composition–differentiation operator on the Hardy space H^2 are obtained. Furthermore, we determine the norm of a composition–differentiation operator $D_{\varphi, n}$, acting on the Hardy space H^2 , in the case where $\varphi(z) = bz$ for some complex number b that $|b| < 1$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane. The *Hardy space* H^2 is the set of all analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|f\| = \left(\sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

For $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, the *weighted Bergman space* A_α^2 is the space of all analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} so that

$$\|f\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z) \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where dA is the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} . The case when $\alpha = 0$, usually denoted A^2 , is called the (unweighted) Bergman space. Throughout this paper, we will write \mathcal{H}_α to denote the Hardy space H^2 for $\alpha = -1$ or the weighted Bergman space A_α^2 for $\alpha > -1$.

The weighted Bergman spaces and the Hardy space are *reproducing kernel* Hilbert spaces. For every $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and each non-negative integer n , let $K_{w, \alpha}^{[n]}$ denote the unique function in \mathcal{H}_α that $\langle f, K_{w, \alpha}^{[n]} \rangle = f^{(n)}(w)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, where $f^{(n)}$ is the n th derivative of f (note that $f^{(0)} = f$); for convenience, we use the notation $K_{w, \alpha}$ when $n = 0$. The function $K_{w, \alpha}^{[n]}$ is called the *reproducing kernel function*. The reproducing kernel functions for evaluation at w are given by $K_{w, \alpha}(z) = 1/(1 - \bar{w}z)^{\alpha+2}$ and

$$K_{w, \alpha}^{[n]}(z) = \frac{(\alpha + 2) \dots (\alpha + n + 1) z^n}{(1 - \bar{w}z)^{n + \alpha + 2}}$$

for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $n > 1$.

Date: August 12, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B38 (Primary), 30H10, 30H20, 47B33, 47E99.

Key words and phrases. Weighted composition operator, Differentiation operator, Spectrum, Norm, Hardy space, Weighted Bergman space.

For an operator T on \mathcal{H}_α , we write $\|T\|_\alpha$ to denote the norm of T acting on \mathcal{H}_α . Through this paper, the spectrum of T and the point spectrum of T and the spectral radius of T are denoted by $\sigma_\alpha(T)$, $\sigma_{p,\alpha}(T)$, and $r_\alpha(T)$, respectively.

We write H^∞ to denote the space of all bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} , with $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$.

We say that an operator T on a Hilbert space H is *hyponormal* if $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$, or equivalently if $\|T^*f\| \leq \|Tf\|$ for all $f \in H$. Moreover, the operator T is said to be *cohyponormal* if T^* is hyponormal. Let P denote the projection of $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ onto H^2 . For each $b \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$, we define the *Toeplitz operator* T_b on H^2 by $T_b(f) = P(bf)$. For φ an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , let C_φ be the *composition operator* such that $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$ for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. The composition operator C_φ acts boundedly for every φ , with

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2}\right)^{(\alpha+2)/2} \leq \|C_\varphi\|_\alpha \leq \left(\frac{1+|\varphi(0)|}{1-|\varphi(0)|}\right)^{(\alpha+2)/2}.$$

(See [2, Corollary 3.7] and [10, Lemma 2.3].) Let ψ be an analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . The *weighted composition operator* $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is defined by $C_{\psi,\varphi}(f) = \psi \cdot (f \circ \varphi)$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

Although for each positive integer n , the *differentiation operator* $D_n(f) = f^{(n)}$ is unbounded on \mathcal{H}_α (note that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|D_n(z^m)\|/\|z^m\| = \infty$), there are some analytic maps $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that the operator $C_\varphi D_n$ is bounded. The bounded and compact operators $C_\varphi D_n$ on \mathcal{H}_α were determined in [6], [8], [9] and [11]. Recently the author and Hammond [3] obtained the adjoint, norm, and spectrum of some operators $C_\varphi D_1$ on the Hardy space. For an analytic self-map φ of \mathbb{D} and a positive integer n , the *composition-differentiation operator* on \mathcal{H}_α is defined by the rule $D_{\varphi,n}(f) = f^{(n)} \circ \varphi$; for convenience, we use the notation D_φ when $n = 1$. For an analytic function ψ on \mathbb{D} , the *weighted composition-differentiation operator* $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ on \mathcal{H}_α is defined

$$D_{\psi,\varphi,n}f(z) = \psi(z)f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)).$$

Some properties of weighted composition-differentiation operators were considered in [4] and [5].

In this paper, we determine the spectrum of a compact operator $C_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n}$ when the fixed point w of φ_0 and φ_n is inside the open unit disk and the function ψ_n has a zero at w of order at least n (Theorem 2.4). The spectral radius of a class of compact weighted composition-differentiation operators is obtained (Theorem 2.5). In addition, we find the lower estimate and the upper estimate for $\|D_{\psi,\varphi,n}\|_{-1}$ (Propositions 3.2 and 3.6). Moreover, the norm of a composition-differentiation operator $D_{\varphi,n}$, acting on the Hardy space H^2 , is determined in the case where $\varphi(z) = bz$ for some complex number b that $|b| < 1$ (Theorem 3.5).

2. SPECTRAL PROPERTIES

To find the spectrum of $C_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n}$ we need to obtain an invariant subspace of $(C_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n})^*$. To do this, we consider the action of the adjoint of the operator $C_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n}$ on the reproducing kernel functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let m be a non-negative integer. Suppose that C_{ψ_0,φ_0} and $D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n}$ are bounded operators on \mathcal{H}_α and the fixed point w of φ_0 and φ_n is inside the open unit disk. Assume that the function ψ_n has a zero at w of order at least n .*

(i) If $m > n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \overline{\alpha_j(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[j]} + \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \overline{\beta_{i-n}(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[i]} \\ &\quad + \left(\overline{\psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^m} + \binom{m}{n} \overline{\psi_n^{(n)}(w)(\varphi'_n(w))^{m-n}} \right) K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) if $m = n$, then

$$(C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \overline{\alpha_j(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[j]} + \left(\overline{\psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^n} + \overline{\psi_n^{(n)}(w)} \right) K_{w, \alpha}^{[n]};$$

(iii) if $m < n$, then

$$(C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \overline{\alpha_j(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[j]} + \overline{\psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^m} K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]},$$

where the functions α_j 's and β_j 's consist of some products of the derivatives of ψ_0 and φ_0 and some products of the derivatives of ψ_n and φ_n , respectively.

Proof. Let f be an arbitrary function in \mathcal{H}_α . For each non-negative integer m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle &= \langle C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} f, K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \psi_0^{(m-j)}(w) (f \circ \varphi_0)^{(j)}(w) \\ &= \langle f, \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \overline{\alpha_j(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[j]} + \overline{\psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^m} K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is an arbitrary function in \mathcal{H}_α , we conclude that

$$(2.1) \quad C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \overline{\alpha_j(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[j]} + \overline{\psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^m} K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]}.$$

Let $m < n$. Since ψ_n has a zero at w of order at least n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle &= (\psi_n \cdot (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n))^{(m)}(w) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \psi_n^{(m-i)}(w) (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n)^{(i)}(w) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It shows that $D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} = 0$.

Now assume that $m \geq n$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f, D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \psi_n^{(m-i)}(w) (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n)^{(i)}(w) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-n} \binom{m}{i} \psi_n^{(m-i)}(w) (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n)^{(i)}(w) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=m-n+1}^m \binom{m}{i} \psi_n^{(m-i)}(w) (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n)^{(i)}(w) \\
 (2.2) \qquad &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-n} \binom{m}{i} \psi_n^{(m-i)}(w) (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n)^{(i)}(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $m > n$, then by (2.2), we get

$$\langle f, D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle = \langle f, \sum_{i=0}^{m-n-1} \overline{\beta_i(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[i+n]} + \binom{m}{m-n} \overline{\psi_n^{(n)}(w) (\varphi_n'(w))^{m-n}} K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle,$$

so

$$D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} = \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \overline{\beta_{i-n}(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[i]} + \binom{m}{n} \overline{\psi_n^{(n)}(w) (\varphi_n'(w))^{m-n}} K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]}.$$

If $m = n$, then by (2.2), we see that

$$\langle f, D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[m]} \rangle = \psi_n^{(n)}(w) f^{(n)}(w) = \overline{\langle f, \psi_n^{(n)}(w) K_{w, \alpha}^{[n]} \rangle}.$$

Hence the result follows. \square

In the next proposition, we identify all possible eigenvalues of $C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that C_{ψ_0, φ_0} and $D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}$ are bounded operators on \mathcal{H}_α and the fixed point w of φ_0 and φ_n is inside the open unit disk. If the function ψ_n has a zero at w of order at least n , then*

$$\left\{ \psi_0(w), \psi_0(w) (\varphi_0'(w))^l : l \in \mathbb{N}_{<n} \right\} \cup \left\{ \psi_0(w) (\varphi_0'(w))^l + \binom{l}{n} \psi_n^{(n)}(w) (\varphi_n'(w))^{l-n} : l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq n} \right\}$$

contains the point spectrum of $C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}$.

Proof. Let λ be an arbitrary eigenvalue for $C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n}$ with corresponding eigenvector f . Note that

$$(2.3) \qquad \lambda f(z) = \psi_0(z) f(\varphi_0(z)) + \psi_n(z) f^{(n)}(\varphi_n(z))$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If $f(w) \neq 0$, then $\lambda = \psi_0(w)$. Let f have a zero at w of order $l \geq 1$. Differentiate (2.3) l times and evaluate it at the point $z = w$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda f^{(l)}(w) &= \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{l}{j} \psi_0^{(l-j)}(w) (f \circ \varphi_0)^{(j)}(w) \\
 (2.4) \qquad &+ \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{l}{j} \psi_n^{(l-j)}(w) (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n)^{(j)}(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

First assume that $l < n$. Since ψ_n has a zero at w of order at least n , we have $\lambda = \psi_0(w) (\varphi_0'(w))^l$ by (2.4).

Now assume that $l \geq n$. Then $\psi_n^{(l-j)}(w) = 0$ for each $j > l - n$. Hence (2.4) implies that

$$\lambda f^{(l)}(w) = \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{l}{j} \psi_0^{(l-j)}(w) (f \circ \varphi_0)^{(j)}(w) + \sum_{j=0}^{l-n} \binom{l}{j} \psi_n^{(l-j)}(w) (f^{(n)} \circ \varphi_n)^{(j)}(w)$$

and so

$$\lambda f^{(l)}(w) = \psi_0(w) f^{(l)}(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^l + \binom{l}{l-n} \psi_n^{(n)}(w) f^{(l)}(w) (\varphi'_n(w))^{l-n}.$$

(Note that in case of $\varphi'_n(w) = 0$ and $l = n$, we set $(\varphi'_n(w))^{l-n} = 1$.) Therefore, in this case, any eigenvalue must have the form

$$\psi_0(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^l + \binom{l}{n} \psi_n^{(n)}(w) (\varphi'_n(w))^{l-n}$$

for a natural number l with $l \geq n$. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Proposition 2.2 hold. Then the point spectrum of $(C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^*$ contains*

$$\left\{ \overline{\psi_0(w)}, \overline{\psi_0(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_{<n} \right\} \cup \left\{ \overline{\psi_0(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^l + \binom{l}{n} \psi_n^{(n)}(w) (\varphi'_n(w))^{l-n}} : l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq n} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let l be a positive integer with $l \geq n$ and K_l denote the span of $\{K_{w, \alpha}, K_{w, \alpha}^{[1]}, \dots, K_{w, \alpha}^{[l]}\}$. Note that this spanning set is linearly independent and so is a basis. Let A_l be the matrix of the operator $(C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^*$ restricted to K_l with respect to this basis. We infer from Lemma 2.1 that

$$A_l = \begin{bmatrix} B_n & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \overline{\psi_0(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^n + \psi_n^{(n)}(w)} & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \overline{\psi_0(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^l + \binom{l}{n} \psi_n^{(n)}(w) (\varphi'_n(w))^{l-n}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where B_n is an $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix that its main diagonal entries are $\overline{\psi_0(w)}, \overline{\psi_0(w) \varphi'_0(w)}, \dots, \overline{\psi_0(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^{n-1}}$. Then A_l is an upper triangular matrix too. Since the subspace K_l is finite dimensional, it is closed and so the space \mathcal{H}_α can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = K_l \oplus K_l^\perp.$$

Then the block matrix of $(C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^*$ with respect to the above decomposition must be of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_l & C_l \\ 0 & El \end{bmatrix}$$

(note that K_l is invariant under $(C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^*$ by Lemma 2.1 and so the lower left corner of the above matrix is 0). Since the spectrum of $(C_{\psi_0, \varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n, \varphi_n, n})^*$ is the union of the spectrum of A_l and the spectrum of El (see [2, p. 270]), we conclude that the union of $\left\{ \overline{\psi_0(w)}, \overline{\psi_0(w) (\varphi'_0(w))^t} : t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t < n \right\}$ and

$\left\{ \overline{\psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^t} + \overline{\binom{t}{n} \psi_n^{(n)}(w)(\varphi'_n(w))^{t-n}} : t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \leq t \leq l \right\}$ is the subset of $\sigma_{p,\alpha}((C_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n})^*)$. Since l is arbitrary, the result follows. \square

In the following theorem, we characterize the spectrum of an operator $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ under the conditions of Proposition 2.2. The spectrum of an operator $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ which was obtained in [5, Theorem 3.1] is an example for Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Proposition 2.2 hold. If $C_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n}$ is compact on \mathcal{H}_α , then*

$$\sigma_\alpha(C_{\psi_0,\varphi_0} + D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n}) = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \psi_0(w), \psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^l : l \in \mathbb{N}_{<n} \right\} \cup \left\{ \psi_0(w)(\varphi'_0(w))^l + \binom{l}{n} \psi_n^{(n)}(w)(\varphi'_n(w))^{l-n} : l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq n} \right\}.$$

In particular, if $\psi_n^{(n)}(w) = 0$, then the operator $D_{\psi_n,\varphi_n,n}$ is quasinilpotent; that is, its spectrum is $\{0\}$.

In the next theorem, we obtain the spectral radius of a compact operator $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ is a compact operator on \mathcal{H}_α . Assume that the fixed point w of φ is inside the open unit disk and the function ψ has a zero at w of order n . Then*

$$r_\alpha(D_{\psi,\varphi,n}) = \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor \right) |\psi^{(n)}(w)| |\varphi'(w)|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor - n},$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer function.

Proof. Theorem 2.4 implies that

$$\sigma_\alpha(D_{\psi,\varphi,n}) = \left\{ \binom{l}{n} \psi^{(n)}(w)(\varphi'(w))^{l-n} : l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq n} \right\}$$

and so

$$r_\alpha(D_{\psi,\varphi,n}) = \sup \left\{ \binom{l}{n} |\psi^{(n)}(w)| |\varphi'(w)|^{l-n} : l \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq n} \right\}.$$

If $\varphi'(w) = 0$, then $r_\alpha(D_{\psi,\varphi,n}) = |\psi^{(n)}(w)|$. Now suppose that $\varphi'(w) \neq 0$. Let the function $h(x) = x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1)|\varphi'(w)|^{x-n}$ on $[n, +\infty)$. Since $|\varphi'(w)| < 1$ (see the Grand Iteration Theorem), we conclude that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = 0$. Then h is a bounded function on $[n, +\infty)$ and so it obtains an absolute maximum point. If $h'(t) = 0$ for some $t \in [n, +\infty)$, then $g(t) = -\ln |\varphi'(w)|$, where $g(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x-1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x-n+1}$ for each $x \in [n, +\infty)$. We can easily see that g' is strictly decreasing and so the function h has at most one local extremum on $[n, +\infty)$, which must be its absolute maximum (note that if $h'(t) \neq 0$ for all t , then h has an absolute maximum of $n!$ at n). Therefore, for obtaining $r_\alpha(D_{\psi,\varphi,n})$, we must find the greatest natural number l such that $l \geq n$ and

$$(l-1)\dots(l-n)|\varphi'(w)|^{l-n-1} \leq l\dots(l-n+1)|\varphi'(w)|^{l-n}$$

or equivalently

$$l \leq \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|}$$

(note that if $n! = n(n-1)\dots 1 \cdot |\varphi'(w)|^{n-n} > l\dots(l-n+1)|\varphi'(w)|^{l-n}$ for each $l > n$, then we have $n! > (n+1)!|\varphi'(w)|$. It shows that $n < \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} < n+1$ and so $\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \rfloor = n$). Thus the quantity $\binom{l}{n}|\varphi'(w)|^{l-n}$ is maximized when $l = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor$, so the conclusion follows. \square

Suppose that D_φ is compact on \mathcal{H}_α . Then $D_{\varphi' \circ \varphi, \varphi_2, 2} = D_\varphi D_\varphi$ is compact. Let $w \in \mathbb{D}$ be a fixed point of φ . If λ is an eigenvalue for D_φ corresponding to the eigenvector f , then λ^2 is an eigenvalue for $D_{\varphi' \circ \varphi, \varphi_2, 2}$ corresponding to eigenvector f . First suppose that $\varphi'(w) = \varphi''(w) = 0$. Theorem 2.4 dictates that $D_{\varphi' \circ \varphi, \varphi_2, 2}$ is quasinilpotent and so D_φ is quasinilpotent. Now suppose that $\varphi'(w) = 0$ and $\varphi''(w) \neq 0$. Then $D_\varphi^* K_{w, \alpha}^{[2]} = \overline{\varphi''(w)} K_{w, \alpha}^{[2]}$ by [4, Lemma 1]. Hence $\varphi''(w)$ is an eigenvalue for D_φ and so D_φ is not quasinilpotent. Moreover, using Theorem 2.5 for $D_{\varphi' \circ \varphi, \varphi_2, 2}$ shows that $\sigma_\alpha(D_{\varphi' \circ \varphi, \varphi_2, 2}) = \{0, (\varphi''(w))^2\}$, so

$$\{0, \varphi''(w)\} \subseteq \sigma_\alpha(D_\varphi) \subseteq \{0, \varphi''(w), -\varphi''(w)\}.$$

Assume that $\varphi \equiv a$, where a is constant with $|a| < 1$ so that $D_{\psi, \varphi, n}$ is bounded on \mathcal{H}_α . Since $\|\varphi\|_\infty < 1$, the operator $D_{\psi, \varphi, n}$ is compact (see [8] and [11]). The spectra of some of such operators $D_{\psi, \varphi, n}$ were found in [5, Theorem 3.2] and [5, Theorem 3.3], but by the same idea which was stated in the proof of [5, Theorem 3.2], we can easily see that for these operators, we obtain

$$\sigma_\alpha(D_{\psi, \varphi, n}) := \begin{cases} \{0\} \cup \{\psi^{(n)}(a)\}, & \psi^{(n)}(a) \neq 0, \\ \{0\}, & \psi^{(n)}(a) = 0; \end{cases}$$

moreover, if $\psi^{(n)}(a) \neq 0$, then ψ is an eigenvector for $D_{\psi, \varphi, n}$ with corresponding eigenvalue $\psi^{(n)}(a)$.

3. NORMS

We begin this section with an example which is a starting point for estimating a lower bound for $\|D_{\psi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1}$.

Example 3.1. Suppose that $\varphi(z) = bz^3 + az^2$ with $\frac{1}{2} < |a| < 1$ and $|a| + |b| < 1$. We can see that $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$ and $\varphi''(0) = 2a$. By the paragraph after Theorem 2.5, we have $r_\alpha(D_\varphi) = 2|a|$ and so $\|D_\varphi\|_\alpha \geq 2|a| > 1$. Compare $2|a|$ with the lower bound for $\|D_\varphi\|_{-1}$ which was found in [3, Proposition 4] (note that [3, Proposition 4] implies that $\|D_\varphi\|_{-1} \geq 1$).

The preceding example leads to obtain the lower estimate on the norm of $D_{\psi, \varphi, n}$ on the Hardy space by using the spectrum of a weighted composition-differentiation operator which was obtained in Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $D_{\psi, \varphi, n}$ is a bounded operator on H^2 . Assume that the fixed point w of φ is inside the open unit disk.*

(i) *If $\varphi'(w) \neq 0$, then*

$$\|D_{\psi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1} \geq |\phi^{(n)}(w)| \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \rfloor}{n} |\varphi'(w)|^{\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \rfloor - n};$$

(ii) if $\varphi'(w) = 0$, then

$$\|D_{\psi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1} \geq |\phi^{(n)}(w)|;$$

(iii) if $\varphi'(w) = 0$, $\psi''(w) = 0$ and $n = 1$, then

$$\|D_{\psi, \varphi, 1}\|_{-1} \geq \max \left\{ |\phi'(w)|, |\psi(w)\varphi''(w)| \right\},$$

where

$$\phi(z) := \begin{cases} \psi(z), & \psi^{(0)}(w) = \dots = \psi^{(n-1)}(w) = 0, \\ \psi(z) \left(\frac{w-z}{1-\bar{w}z} \right)^{n-m}, & \psi^{(0)}(w) = \dots = \psi^{(m-1)}(w) = 0, \psi^{(m)}(w) \neq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq m < n, \\ \psi(z) \left(\frac{w-z}{1-\bar{w}z} \right)^n, & \psi(w) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First suppose that $\psi^{(0)}(w) = \dots = \psi^{(n-1)}(w) = 0$. Proposition 2.3 and the idea which was used in the proof of Theorem 2.5 imply that

$$(3.1) \quad \|D_{\psi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1} \geq |\psi^{(n)}(w)| \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor \right) |\varphi'(w)|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor - n}.$$

(Note that in case of $\varphi'(w) = 0$, we set $|\varphi'(w)|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor - n} = 1$.)

Now assume that $\psi(z) = (w-z)^m g(z)$, where $1 \leq m < n$ and $g(w) \neq 0$. Let $\phi(z) = \psi(z) \left(\frac{w-z}{1-\bar{w}z} \right)^{n-m}$. Since $T_{\frac{w-z}{1-\bar{w}z}}$ is an isometry on H^2 and the n th derivative of $\psi(z) \left(\frac{w-z}{1-\bar{w}z} \right)^{n-m}$ at the point w is $\frac{(-1)^n n! g(w)}{(1-|w|^2)^{n-m}}$, by replacing ϕ with ψ in (3.1), we obtain

$$\|D_{\psi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1} = \|D_{\phi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1} \geq \frac{n! |g(w)|}{(1-|w|^2)^{n-m}} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor \right) |\varphi'(w)|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor - n}.$$

Now suppose that $\psi(w) \neq 0$ and $\phi(z) = \psi(z) \left(\frac{w-z}{1-\bar{w}z} \right)^n$. By replacing ϕ with ψ in (3.1), we have

$$\|D_{\psi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1} = \|D_{\phi, \varphi, n}\|_{-1} \geq \frac{n! |\psi(w)|}{(1-|w|^2)^n} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor \right) |\varphi'(w)|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|\varphi'(w)|} \right\rfloor - n}.$$

Note that if $\varphi'(w) = 0$ and $\psi''(w) = 0$, then $D_{\psi, \varphi, 1}^* K_{w, -1}^{[2]} = \overline{\psi(w)\varphi''(w)} K_{w, -1}^{[2]}$ by [4, Lemma 1]. Therefore, we conclude that $\|D_{\psi, \varphi, 1}\|_{-1} \geq |\psi(w)\varphi''(w)|$. Hence the result follows. \square

In the next example, we show that for some operators D_φ , Proposition 3.2 is more useful than [3, Proposition 4] for estimating the lower bound for $\|D_\varphi\|_{-1}$.

Example 3.3. Suppose that $\varphi(z) = az^n + bz$, where $\frac{1}{2} < |b| < 1 - |a|$ and n is a positive integer that $n \geq 2$. Proposition 3.2 implies that

$$\|D_\varphi\|_{-1} \geq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{1-|b|} \right\rfloor |b|^{\left\lfloor 1/(1-|b|) \right\rfloor - 1} > 1$$

and so this lower bound is greater than the lower bound for $\|D_\varphi\|_{-1}$ which was estimated in [3, Proposition 4].

In the following proposition, we obtain $\|D_{\psi, \varphi, n}\|_\alpha$, when $D_{\psi, \varphi, n}$ is a compact hyponormal (or cohyponormal) operator which satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that ψ is not identically zero and φ is a nonconstant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} so that $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ is compact on \mathcal{H}_α . Assume that w is the fixed point of φ and ψ has a zero at w of order at least n . Then $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ is hyponormal or cohyponormal on \mathcal{H}_α if and only if $\psi(z) = az^n$ and $\varphi(z) = bz$, where $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$; moreover, in this case*

$$\|D_{\psi,\varphi,n}\|_\alpha = n!|a|\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \right\rfloor\right) |b|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \right\rfloor - n}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ is hyponormal (or cohyponormal). If $\psi^{(n)}(w) = 0$, then $r_\alpha(D_{\psi,\varphi,n}) = 0$ by Theorem 2.4 and so $D_{\psi,\varphi,n} \equiv 0$ by [1, Proposition 4.6, p. 47]. It follows that $\psi \equiv 0$ or $\varphi \equiv 0$ (note that $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}(z^{n+1}) = (n+1)!\psi(z)\varphi(z)$) which is a contradiction. Hence we assume that $\psi^{(n)}(w) \neq 0$. Since ψ has a zero at w of order n , Lemma 2.1 shows that $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}^* K_{w,\alpha} = 0$. Hence $K_{w,\alpha}$ is an eigenvector for $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}^*$ corresponding to eigenvalue 0. Since $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ is hyponormal (or cohyponormal), we conclude that $D_{\psi,\varphi,n} K_{w,\alpha}(z) = \frac{(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n+1)\overline{w^n\psi(z)}}{(1-\overline{w}\varphi(z))^{\alpha+2+n}} = 0$ and so $w = 0$. Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$D_{\psi,\varphi,n}^* K_{0,\alpha}^{[n]}(z) = \overline{\psi^{(n)}(0)} K_{0,\alpha}^{[n]}(z) = \overline{\psi^{(n)}(0)}(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n+1)z^n.$$

Since $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ is hyponormal (or cohyponormal), it follows that

$$D_{\psi,\varphi,n} K_{0,\alpha}^{[n]}(z) = \psi^{(n)}(0)(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n+1)z^n.$$

Because $D_{\psi,\varphi,n} K_{0,\alpha}^{[n]} = n!(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n+1)\psi$, we conclude that $\psi(z) = \frac{\psi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}z^n$, where $\psi^{(n)}(0) \neq 0$. Then $\psi^{(m)}(0) = 0$ for each $m \neq n$. Hence Lemma 2.1 shows that

$$D_{\psi,\varphi,n}^* K_{0,\alpha}^{[n+1]} = (n+1)\overline{\psi^{(n)}(0)\varphi'(0)} K_{0,\alpha}^{[n+1]}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.2) \quad D_{\psi,\varphi,n} K_{0,\alpha}^{[n+1]} = (n+1)\psi^{(n)}(0)\varphi'(0) K_{0,\alpha}^{[n+1]}.$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\psi,\varphi,n} K_{0,\alpha}^{[n+1]}(z) &= (n+1)!(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n+2)\psi(z)\varphi(z) \\ &= (n+1)!(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n+2)\frac{\psi^{(n)}(0)}{n!}z^n\varphi(z) \end{aligned}$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$ is hyponormal (or cohyponormal), (3.2) and (3.3) imply that $\varphi(z) = \varphi'(0)z$.

Conversely is obvious by [7, Proposition 3.2] (note that an analogue of [7, Proposition 3.2] holds in H^2 by the similar idea).

Due to the hyponormality (or cohyponormality) of $D_{\psi,\varphi,n}$, invoking Theorem 2.5, it follows that

$$\|D_{\psi,\varphi,n}\|_\alpha = r_\alpha(D_{\psi,\varphi,n}) = n!|a|\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \right\rfloor\right) |b|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \right\rfloor - n}.$$

□

In the next theorem, we extend [3, Theorem 2].

Theorem 3.5. *If $\varphi(z) = bz$ for some $b \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, then*

$$\|D_{\varphi,n}\|_{-1} = n!\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \right\rfloor\right) |b|^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \right\rfloor - n}.$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from Proposition 3.4 and the fact that T_{z^n} is an isometry on H^2 . \square

Let $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq b < 1$ and $\psi \in H^\infty$. We define $\varphi_b = (1/b)\varphi$ and $\rho(z) = bz$ ([3, p. 2898]). Observe that $D_{\varphi,n} = C_{\varphi_b} D_{\rho,n}$. Since $\|D_{\psi,\varphi,n}\|_{-1} \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|C_{\varphi_b}\|_{-1} \|D_{\rho,n}\|_{-1}$, we can estimate the upper bound for $\|D_{\psi,\varphi,n}\|_{-1}$ by the same idea as stated for the proof of [3, Proposition 4].

Proposition 3.6. *If φ is a nonconstant analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} with $\|\varphi\|_\infty < 1$ and the function ψ belongs to H^∞ , then*

$$\|D_{\psi,\varphi,n}\|_{-1} \leq n! \|\psi\|_\infty \sqrt{\frac{b + |\varphi(0)|}{b - |\varphi(0)|}} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \rfloor}{n} |b|^{\lfloor \frac{n}{1-|b|} \rfloor - n}$$

whenever $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq b < 1$. In particular, $\|D_{\varphi,n}\|_{-1} = n!$ whenever both $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. CONWAY, *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.
- [2] C. C. COWEN AND B. D. MACCLUER, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [3] M. FATEHI AND C. N. B. HAMMOND, Composition–differentiation operators on the Hardy space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (2020), 2893–2900.
- [4] M. FATEHI AND C. N. B. HAMMOND, Normality and self-adjointness of weighted composition–differentiation operators, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **15** (2021), 13.
- [5] K. HAN AND M. WANG, Weighted composition–differentiation operators on the Bergman space, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **15** (2021), 17.
- [6] R. A. HIBSCHWEILER AND N. PORTNOY, Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces, *Rocky Mountain. J. Math.* **35** (2005), 843–855.
- [7] M. MORADI AND M. FATEHI, Complex symmetric weighted composition–differentiation operators of order n on the weighted Bergman spaces, *arXiv:2101.04911*.
- [8] M. MORADI AND M. FATEHI, Products of composition and differentiation operators on the Hardy space, *arXiv*.
- [9] S. OHNO, Products of composition and differentiation between Hardy spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **73** (2006), no. 2, 235–243.
- [10] A. E. RICHMAN, Subnormality and composition operators on the Bergman space, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **45** (2003), 105–124.
- [11] S. STEVIĆ, Products of composition and differentiation operators on the weighted Bergman space, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **16** (2009), 623–635.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIRAZ BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, SHIRAZ, IRAN
Email address: fatehimahsa@yahoo.com