

FOURIER DIMENSION OF THE CONE

TERENCE L. J. HARRIS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the cone in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} has Fourier dimension $d - 1$. This verifies a conjecture of Fraser and Kroon.

The Fourier dimension of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is defined by

$$\dim_F A = \sup \left\{ s \in [0, d+1] : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ s.t. } |\hat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-s/2} \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is the set of Borel probability measures on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} satisfying $\mu(A) = 1$. In [2, Problem 6.1] it was conjectured that for $d \geq 1$, the cone

$$\Gamma^d = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d, \xi_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : |(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)| = |\xi_{d+1}|\},$$

has Fourier dimension equal to $d - 1$. This is verified by the following.

Theorem 1. *For any $d \geq 1$, the Fourier dimension of Γ^d is $d - 1$.*

Proof. The case $d = 1$ is trivial, so assume that $d \geq 2$. The lower bound $\dim_F \Gamma \geq d - 1$ follows (for example) by using (5) below and considering the measure defined by

$$f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(r) \int_{S^{d-1}} f(rx, r) d\sigma(x) dr,$$

for any non-negative Borel function f , where σ is the rotation invariant Borel probability measure on S^{d-1} , and ψ is a bump function on $[1, 2]$ with $\int \psi = 1$.

Suppose for a contradiction that $\dim_F \Gamma > d - 1$. Then there exists $\alpha > d - 1$ and a Borel probability measure μ on Γ , such that

$$(1) \quad |\hat{\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-\alpha/2} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

By symmetry, and by replacing μ with $f\mu$ for an appropriate bump function f (see [1, Lemma 1]), it may be assumed that for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(2) \quad \text{supp } \mu \subseteq \{(\xi, |\xi|) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : \epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 1/\epsilon\}.$$

Let ν be the Borel probability measure on Γ defined by

$$(3) \quad \int f d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(|x|w, z) d\sigma(w) d\mu(x, z),$$

for any non-negative Borel function f . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nu}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} \int_{S^{d-1}} e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, (|x|w, z) \rangle} d\sigma(w) d\mu(x, z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} \int_{O(d)} e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, (Ux, z) \rangle} d\lambda(U) d\mu(x, z) \\ &= \int_{O(d)} \hat{\mu}(U^*(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d), \xi_{d+1}) d\lambda(U), \end{aligned}$$

where λ is the Haar probability measure on $O(d)$. Hence ν satisfies

$$(4) \quad |\widehat{\nu}(\xi)| + \left| \widehat{\widetilde{\nu}}(\xi) \right| \lesssim |\xi|^{-\alpha/2} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1},$$

where $\widetilde{\nu}$ is the pushforward of ν under $(x_1, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_d, -x_{d+1})$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the map $(x_1, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1}) \mapsto x_{d+1}$. Since $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \Gamma$, and by (2), the formula (3) can also be written as

$$\int f d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(zw, z) d\sigma(w) d\pi_{\#}\mu(z).$$

Hence another expression for $\widehat{\nu}$ is

$$\widehat{\nu}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i z \xi_{d+1}} \widehat{\sigma}(z(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)) d\pi_{\#}\mu(z).$$

Let ω_{d-1} be the surface area of S^{d-1} . The asymptotic formula (see [3, Appendix B])

$$(5) \quad \widehat{\sigma}(\xi) = \frac{2}{\omega_{d-1}} |\xi|^{-(d-1)/2} \cos\left(2\pi|\xi| - \frac{\pi(d-1)}{4}\right) + O\left(|\xi|^{-(d+1)/2}\right),$$

gives, by taking $\xi_{d+1} = |(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)|$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\frac{i\pi(d-1)}{4}} \widehat{\nu}(\xi, |\xi|) + e^{\frac{-i\pi(d-1)}{4}} \widehat{\widetilde{\nu}}(\xi, |\xi|) \\ &= \frac{4}{\omega_{d-1}} |\xi|^{-(d-1)/2} \int z^{-(d-1)/2} \cos^2\left(2\pi|\xi|z - \frac{\pi(d-1)}{4}\right) d\pi_{\#}\mu(z) \\ & \quad + O\left(|\xi|^{-(d+1)/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (4) to the above will give a contradiction, by the following identity:

$$(6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int \cos^2(rz + t) d\pi_{\#}\mu(z) = 1/2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It remains to prove (6). Since $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha/2 > (d-1)/2 \geq 1/2$, condition (1) gives $\pi_{\#}\mu \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [4, Theorem 3.3]). Hence $\pi_{\#}\mu \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\pi_{\#}\mu\|_1 = 1$, and (6) then follows by approximating $\pi_{\#}\mu$ in L^1 with a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of disjoint intervals. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ekström, F., Persson, T., Schmeling, J.: On the Fourier dimension and a modification. *J. Fractal Geom.* **2**, 309–337 (2015)
- [2] Fraser, J. M., Kroon, N. G.: On the Fourier dimension of (d, k) -sets and Kakeya sets with restricted directions. arXiv:2105.11414v1
- [3] Grafakos, L.: Classical Fourier analysis. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **249**. Springer, New York (2008)
- [4] Mattila, P.: Fourier analysis and Hausdorff dimension. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2015)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853, USA
Email address: `t1h236@cornell.edu`