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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we mainly present some new solutions of the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation from Hom-algebras, Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Lie algebras, respectively. Also, we prove that these solutions are all self-inverse and give some examples. Finally, we introduce the notion of Hom-Yang-Baxter systems and obtain two kinds of Hom-Yang-Baxter systems.
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INTRODUCTION

The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE), which was first introduced by Yang, Baxter and McGuire [1] [28]. YBE has various forms in physics and plays an important role in many topics in mathematical physics, including quantum groups, quantum integrable systems, braided categories and invariants of knots and links.

Recently, a twisted Hom-type generalization of the YBE called Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (HYBE) was introduced in [29] [30] [31] by Yau. The HYBE states

\[(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) = (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha),\]

*Correspondence: shuangjianguo@126.com
where $\alpha$ is an endomorphism of the vector space $V$, and $B : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ is a bilinear map that commutes with $\alpha \otimes \alpha$. In which Yau constructed several classes of solutions of the HYBE, generalizing the solutions of the YBE from Lie algebras and quasitriangular bialgebras. Later, Yau [32] extended the classical Yang-Baxter equation to the classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (CHYBE) in a Hom-Lie algebra and studied the related algebraic structure.

The study of Hom-algebras can be traced back to Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov’s work in [7], where the notion of Hom-Lie algebra in the context of q-deformation theory of Witt and Virasoro algebras [8] was introduced, which plays an important role in physics, mainly in conformal field theory. Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras were introduced by Makhlouf and Silvestrov [15] as generalizations of ordinary algebras and coalgebras in the following sense: the associativity of the multiplication is replaced by the Hom-associativity and similar for Hom-coassociativity. They also defined the structures of Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras, and described some of their properties extending properties of ordinary bialgebras and Hopf algebras in [16, 17]. Many more properties and structures of Hom-Hopf algebras have been developed, see [4, 6, 12] and references cited therein.

In [29, 31] Yau proposed the definition of quasitriangular Hom-Hopf algebras and showed that each quasitriangular Hom-Hopf algebra yields a solution of the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. Meanwhile, several classes of solutions of the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation were constructed from different respects, including those associated to Hom-Lie algebras [5, 25, 29, 30], Drinfeld (co)double [2, 34, 35] and Hom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules [3, 10, 13, 14, 18, 26, 33].

In [19, 20, 21], Nichita, Parashar and Popovici presented some solutions of the YBE from associative algebras, coassociative coalgebras and Lie algebras respectively. Depending on the above working, the motivation of constructing new solutions of HYBE is natural. The purpose of the present paper is to investigate how to construct solutions of HYBE from Hom-algebras, Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Lie algebras, and show two kinds of Hom-Yang-Baxter systems from Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall some basic definitions about Hom-algebras, Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Lie algebras. In Section 2, we show two solutions of HYBE from Hom-algebras and prove that they are self-inverse (see Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4). In Section 3, we present two solutions of HYBE from Hom-coalgebras and prove that they are self-inverse (see Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4). In Section 4, we obtain a solution of HYBE from Hom-Lie algebras and prove that it is self-inverse (see Theorem 4.1). In Section 5, we introduce the notion of Hom-Yang-Baxter systems and present two kinds of Hom-Yang-Baxter systems (see Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3).
1 PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, \( k \) is a fixed field. Unless otherwise stated, all vector spaces, algebras, modules, maps and unadorned tensor products are over \( k \). For a coalgebra \( C \), the coproduct will be denoted by \( \Delta \). We adopt a Sweedler’s notation \( \Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2 \), for any \( c \in C \), where the summation is understood. We refer to [22] for the Hopf algebra theory and terminology.

We now recall some useful definitions in [9, 15, 16, 17].

**Definition 1.1.** A Hom-algebra is a quadruple \((A, \mu, 1_A, \alpha)\) (abbr. \((A, \alpha)\)), where \( A \) is a \( k \)-linear space, \( \mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A \) is a \( k \)-linear map, \( 1_A \in A \) and \( \alpha \) is an endomorphism of \( A \), such that

\begin{align*}
(HA1) \quad & \alpha(aa') = \alpha(a)\alpha(a'); \quad \alpha(1_A) = 1_A, \\
(HA2) \quad & \alpha(a)(a''a') = (aa')\alpha(a''); \quad a1_A = 1_Aa = \alpha(a)
\end{align*}

are satisfied for \( a, a', a'' \in A \). Here we use the notation \( \mu(a \otimes a') = aa' \).

**Definition 1.2.** A Hom-coalgebra is a quadruple \((C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)\) (abbr. \((C, \alpha)\)), where \( C \) is a \( k \)-linear space, \( \Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C \), \( \varepsilon: C \rightarrow k \) are \( k \)-linear maps, and \( \alpha \) is an endomorphism of \( C \), such that

\begin{align*}
(HC1) \quad & \alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_2) = \alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_2); \quad \varepsilon \circ \alpha = \varepsilon; \\
(HC2) \quad & \alpha(c_1) \otimes c_{21} \otimes c_{22} = c_{11} \otimes c_{12} \otimes \alpha(c_2); \quad \varepsilon(c_1)c_2 = c_1\varepsilon(c_2) = \alpha(c)
\end{align*}

are satisfied for \( c \in C \).

**Definition 1.3.** A Hom-Lie algebra is a triple \((L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)\) consisting of a linear space \( L \), a bilinear map \( [\cdot, \cdot]: L \otimes L \rightarrow L \) and an endomorphism \( \alpha: L \rightarrow L \), such that

\begin{align*}
(HL1) \quad & [l, l'] = -[l', l], \\
(HL2) \quad & [\alpha(l), [l', l'']] + [\alpha(l'), [l'', l]] + [\alpha(l''), [l, l']] = 0
\end{align*}

are satisfied for all \( l, l', l'' \in L \).

2 Solutions of the HYBE from Hom-algebras

In this section, we will give two kinds of solutions of the HYBE from Hom-algebras and prove that these solutions are both self-inverse.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \((A, \mu, 1_A, \alpha)\) be a Hom-algebra and \( \lambda, \nu \in k \). Then

\[ B: A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \lambda ab \otimes 1_A + \nu 1_A \otimes ab - \lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b) \]

is a solution for HYBE.
**Proof.** We first show that $B$ is compatible with the twist map $\alpha$. For this, we take any $a, b \in A$ and calculate

\[(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ B(a \otimes b) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\lambda ab \otimes 1_A + \nu 1_A \otimes ab - \lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b))\]

\[= \lambda \alpha(ab) \otimes 1_A + \nu 1_A \otimes \alpha(ab) - \lambda \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b),\]

\[B \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(a \otimes b) = \lambda \alpha(a)\alpha(b) \otimes 1_A + \nu 1_A \otimes \alpha(a)\alpha(b) - \lambda \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b).\]

It follows that $(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ B = B \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$, as desired.

Next we will verify that $B$ satisfies the HYBE. In fact, for any $a, b, c \in A$, one may directly check that $B(a \otimes 1_A) = \nu 1_A \otimes \alpha(a)$. On the one hand, we have

\[(\alpha \otimes B)(a \otimes b \otimes c)\]

\[= \lambda \nu B(\alpha(a) \otimes b) \otimes 1_A + \nu B(\alpha(a) \otimes 1_A) \otimes a - \nu B(\lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b) \otimes 1_A)\]

\[+ \nu^2 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha(b) - \lambda^2 \alpha^2(a) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \nu \lambda \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha(2_A),\]

\[= \lambda^2 \nu \alpha^2(1_A) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \nu \nu^2 \alpha^2(2_A) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \lambda^2 \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \nu \lambda \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha(2_A),\]

\[= \lambda^2 \nu \alpha^2(1_A) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \nu \nu^2 \alpha^2(2_A) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \lambda^2 \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \nu \lambda \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha(2_A).\]

On the other hand, we have

\[(B \otimes \alpha)(a \otimes b \otimes c)\]

\[= \lambda \nu B(\alpha(a) \otimes b) \otimes 1_A + \nu B(\alpha(a) \otimes 1_A) \otimes a - \nu B(\lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b) \otimes 1_A)\]

\[+ \nu^2 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha(b) - \lambda^2 \alpha^2(a) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \nu \lambda \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha(2_A),\]

\[= \lambda^2 \nu \alpha^2(1_A) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \nu \nu^2 \alpha^2(2_A) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \lambda^2 \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \nu \lambda \nu \alpha(1_A) \otimes \alpha(2_A).\]
\[ + \lambda \nu 1_A \otimes (ab) \alpha(c) \otimes 1_A + \nu^2 1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes (ab) \alpha(c) - \lambda \nu 1_A \otimes \alpha(ab) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \]
\[ - \lambda^2 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha(bc) \otimes 1_A - \lambda \nu \alpha^2(a) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha(bc) + \lambda^2 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha^2(c), \]
\[(B \otimes \alpha) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (B \otimes \alpha)(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \]
\[= \lambda^2 B(\alpha(ab) \otimes \alpha^2(c)) \otimes 1_A + \nu \nu B(\alpha(ab) \otimes 1_A) \otimes \alpha^2(c) - \lambda^2 B(\alpha(ab) \otimes 1_A) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \]
\[+ \nu \nu B(1_A \otimes \alpha(ab)) \otimes 1_A + \nu B(1_A \otimes 1_A) \otimes \alpha(ab) \alpha^2(c) - \nu \nu B(1_A \otimes \alpha(ab)) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \]
\[= \lambda^3 \alpha(ab) \alpha^2(c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha(ab) \alpha^2(c) \otimes 1_A}{17} - \frac{\lambda^3 \alpha(ab) \alpha^3(c) \otimes 1_A}{18} \]
\[- \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{19} + \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{20} + \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{21} \]
\[-\frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{22} - \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{23} + \frac{\nu^3 1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes (ab) \alpha^2(c)}{24} \]
\[-\frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{25} - \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{26} + \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{27} \]
\[-\frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(a) \alpha^2(bc) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A}{28} - \frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(a) \alpha^2(bc) \otimes 1_A}{29} + \frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(bc) \otimes 1_A}{30} \]
\[-\frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{31} + \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{32} + \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{33} \]
\[-\frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{34} \]
\[= \lambda^3 \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c) \otimes 1_A + \lambda^2 \nu \alpha(ab) \alpha^2(c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \frac{\nu^3 1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes (ab) \alpha^2(c)}{24} \]
\[-\frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{25} + \frac{\lambda^2 \nu_1 A \otimes \alpha^2(ab) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{27} + \frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(bc) \otimes 1_A}{30} \]
\[-\frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(bc) \otimes 1_A}{31} + \frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(ab) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{32} - \frac{\lambda^3 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha^3(c)}{34} \]

The last equality holds since (16)=(28), (17)=(23), (19)=(33), (20)=(26) and (22)=(29).

Compare two expressions above, we have
\[(1) = (21), (5) = (24), (6) = (31), (7) = (18), \]
\[(8) = (25), (9) = (32), (12) = (27), (13) = (30), (15) = (34). \]

It follows that
\[(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (B \otimes B)(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (B \otimes B) (B \otimes \alpha)(a \otimes b \otimes c). \]

So \(B \) is a solution for HYBE.

\[\square \]

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \((A, \mu, 1_A, \alpha)\) be a Hom-algebra and \(\lambda, \nu \in k^\ast\). Assume that \(\alpha\) is involutive, then the solution \(B\) in Theorem 2.1 is invertible, where the inverse is given by
\[\quad B^{-1} : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \frac{1}{\nu} ab \otimes 1_A + \frac{1}{\lambda} 1_A \otimes ab - \frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b). \]

**Proof.** We first show that \(B \circ B^{-1} = \text{id}_{A \otimes A}\). In fact, for any \(a, b, c \in A\), we have
\[B \circ B^{-1}(a \otimes b) = \frac{1}{\nu} B(ab \otimes 1_A) + \frac{1}{\lambda} B(1_A \otimes ab) - \frac{1}{B}(\lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b)) \]
\[= 1_A \otimes \alpha(ab) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \{\lambda \alpha(ab) \otimes 1_A + \nu 1_A \otimes \alpha(ab) - \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha(ab)\} \]
\[-\frac{1}{\lambda} \{\lambda \alpha(ab) \otimes 1_A + \nu 1_A \otimes \alpha(ab) - \lambda 2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b)\} \]
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\[
= a \otimes b.
\]

It follows that \( B \circ B^{-1} = id_{A \otimes A} \). Similarly, one may check that \( B^{-1} \circ B = id_{A \otimes A} \). So \( B^{-1} \) is the inverse of \( B \).

**Example 2.3.** Let \( \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \) be a basis of a 3-dimensional linear space \( A \). The following multiplication \( \mu \) and the twist map \( \alpha \) on \( A \) define a Hom-algebra:

\[
\mu(x_1, x_1) = x_1, \quad \mu(x_1, x_2) = x_2, \quad \mu(x_1, x_3) = lx_3,
\]

\[
\mu(x_2, x_1) = x_2, \quad \mu(x_2, x_2) = x_2, \quad \mu(x_2, x_3) = lx_3,
\]

\[
\mu(x_3, x_1) = lx_3, \quad \mu(x_3, x_2) = 0, \quad \mu(x_3, x_3) = 0,
\]

\[
\alpha(x_1) = x_1, \quad \alpha(x_2) = x_2, \quad \alpha(x_3) = lx_3,
\]

where \( l \) is a parameter in \( k \) (L3). It is easy to see that \( 1_A = x_1 \). Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, the solution \( B \) of the HYBE for the Hom-algebra \( A \) satisfies

\[
B(x_1 \otimes x_1) = \nu x_1 \otimes x_1, \quad B(x_1 \otimes x_2) = \lambda x_2 \otimes x_1 + (\nu - \lambda)x_1 \otimes x_2,
\]

\[
B(x_1 \otimes x_3) = \lambda x_3 \otimes x_1 + (\nu - \lambda)x_1 \otimes x_3, \quad B(x_2 \otimes x_1) = \nu x_1 \otimes x_2,
\]

\[
B(x_2 \otimes x_2) = \lambda x_2 \otimes x_1 + \nu x_1 \otimes x_2 - \lambda x_2 \otimes x_2,
\]

\[
B(x_2 \otimes x_3) = \lambda x_3 \otimes x_1 + \nu x_1 \otimes x_3 - \lambda x_2 \otimes x_3,
\]

\[
B(x_3 \otimes x_1) = \nu x_1 \otimes x_3, \quad B(x_3 \otimes x_2) = -\lambda x_3 \otimes x_2, \quad B(x_3 \otimes x_3) = -\lambda^2 x_3 \otimes x_3,
\]

where \( \lambda, \nu \) are two parameters in \( k \).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( (A, \mu, 1_A, \alpha) \) be a Hom-algebra and \( \lambda, \nu \in k \). Then

\[
B : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \lambda ab \otimes 1_A + \nu 1_A \otimes ab - \nu \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b)
\]

is also a solution for HYBE. If, in addition, \( \lambda, \nu \in k^* \) and \( \alpha \) is involutive, then \( B \) is invertible, where the inverse is given by

\[
B^{-1} : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \frac{1}{\nu} ab \otimes 1_A + \frac{1}{\lambda} 1_A \otimes ab - \frac{1}{\nu} \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b).
\]

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2. \( \square \)

**Example 2.5.** Let \( \{1, g, x, y\} \) be a basis of a 4-dimensional linear space \( H_4 \). The following multiplication \( \mu \) and the twist map \( \alpha \) on \( H_4 \) define a Hom-algebra:

\[
\mu(1, 1) = 1, \quad \mu(1, g) = g, \quad \mu(1, x) = kx, \quad \mu(1, y) = ky,
\]

\[
\mu(g, 1) = g, \quad \mu(g, g) = g, \quad \mu(g, x) = ky, \quad \mu(g, y) = kx,
\]

\[
\mu(x, 1) = kx, \quad \mu(x, g) = ky, \quad \mu(x, x) = 0, \quad \mu(x, y) = 0,
\]

\[
\mu(y, 1) = ky, \quad \mu(y, g) = -kx, \quad \mu(y, x) = 0, \quad \mu(y, y) = 0,
\]
\[ \alpha(1) = 1, \ \alpha(g) = g, \ \alpha(x) = kx, \ \alpha(y) = ky, \]

where \( k \) is a parameter in \( k \) (\( \mathbf{II} \)). By Theorem 2.4, the solution \( B \) of the HYBE for the Hom-algebra \( H_4 \) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
B(1 \otimes 1) &= \lambda 1 \otimes 1, \ B(1 \otimes g) = \lambda g \otimes 1, \ B(1 \otimes x) = \lambda kx \otimes 1, \ B(1 \otimes y) = \lambda k1 \otimes y, \\
B(g \otimes 1) &= (\lambda - \nu)g \otimes 1 + \nu g \otimes g, \ B(g \otimes g) = (\lambda + \nu)1 \otimes 1 - \nu g \otimes g, \\
B(g \otimes x) &= \lambda ky \otimes 1 + \nu k1 \otimes x, \ B(g \otimes y) = \lambda kx \otimes 1 + \nu k1 \otimes x - \nu kg \otimes y, \\
B(x \otimes 1) &= k(\lambda - \nu)x \otimes 1 + \nu k1 \otimes x, \ B(x \otimes g) = -\lambda ky \otimes 1 - \nu k1 \otimes y - \lambda kx \otimes g, \\
B(x \otimes x) &= -k^2x \otimes x, \ B(x \otimes y) = -k^2x \otimes y, \ B(y \otimes 1) = k(\lambda - \nu)y \otimes 1 + \nu k1 \otimes y, \\
B(y \otimes g) &= -\lambda kx \otimes 1 - \nu k1 \otimes x - \lambda ky \otimes g, \ B(y \otimes x) = -k^2y \otimes x, \ B(y \otimes y) = -k^2y \otimes y,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \lambda, \nu \) are two parameters in \( k \).

### 3 Solutions of the HYBE from Hom-coalgebras

In this section, we will show two kinds of solutions of the HYBE from Hom-coalgebras and prove that these solutions are both self-inverse.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \((C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)\) be a Hom-coalgebra and \( \lambda, \nu \in k \). Then

\[ B : C \otimes C \to C \otimes C, \ a \otimes b \mapsto \lambda \varepsilon(a)b_1 \otimes b_2 + \nu \varepsilon(b)a_1 \otimes a_2 - \lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b) \]

is a solution for HYBE.

**Proof.** We first show that \( B \) is compatible with the twist map \( \alpha \). For this, we take any \( a, b \in C \) and calculate

\[
\begin{align*}
(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ B(a \otimes b) &= (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\lambda \varepsilon(a)b_1 \otimes b_2 + \nu \varepsilon(b)a_1 \otimes a_2 - \lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b)) \\
&= \lambda \varepsilon(a)\alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) + \nu \varepsilon(b)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \lambda \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b), \\
B \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(a \otimes b) &= \lambda \varepsilon(\alpha(a))\alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) + \nu \varepsilon(\alpha(b))\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \lambda \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \\
&= \lambda \varepsilon(\alpha(a))\alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) + \nu \varepsilon(\alpha(b))\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \lambda \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b).
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that \((\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ B = B \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)\), as desired.

Next we will verify that \( B \) satisfies the HYBE. For this, we take any \( a, b, c \in C \) and calculate

\[
\begin{align*}
(\alpha \otimes B)(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= \lambda \varepsilon(b)\alpha(a) \otimes c_1 \otimes c_2 + \nu \varepsilon(c)\alpha(a) \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 - \lambda \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(c)), \\
(B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)(a \otimes b \otimes c) &= \lambda \varepsilon(b)B(\alpha(a) \otimes c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_2) + \nu \varepsilon(c)B(\alpha(a) \otimes b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) - \lambda B(\alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b)) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \\
&= \lambda^2 \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)c_{11} \otimes c_{12} \otimes \alpha(c_2) + \lambda \nu \varepsilon(b)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) \otimes \alpha^2(c).
\end{align*}
\]
\[ -\lambda^2 \varepsilon(b) \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_2) + \lambda \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(c) b_{11} \otimes b_{12} \otimes \alpha(b_2) \\
+ \nu^2 \varepsilon(c) \alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) \otimes \alpha^2(b) - \lambda \varepsilon(c) \alpha^3(a) \otimes \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) \\
- \lambda^2 \varepsilon(a) \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) \otimes \alpha^2(c) - \lambda \varepsilon(b) \alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \\
+ \lambda^2 \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha^2(c), \]

\[(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)(a \otimes b \otimes c)\]

\[= \lambda^2 \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b) \alpha(a_1) \otimes B(c_{12}) \otimes \alpha(c_{21}) + \lambda \varepsilon(b) \alpha^2(a_1) \otimes B(\alpha(a_2) \otimes \alpha^2(c)) \\
- \lambda^2 \varepsilon(b) \alpha^3(a) \otimes B(\alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_2)) + \lambda \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(c) \alpha(b_{11}) \otimes B(b_{12}) \otimes \alpha(b_2) \\
+ \nu^2 \varepsilon(c) \alpha^2(a_1) \otimes B(\alpha(a_2) \otimes \alpha^2(b)) - \lambda \varepsilon(c) \alpha^3(a) \otimes B(\alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2)) \\
- \lambda^2 \varepsilon(b) \alpha^2(a_1) \otimes B(\alpha(b_2) \otimes \alpha^2(c)) - \lambda \varepsilon(b) \alpha^2(a_1) \otimes B(\alpha(a_2) \otimes \alpha^2(c)) \\
+ \lambda^2 \alpha^3(a) \otimes B(\alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha^2(c)) \\
= \lambda^3 \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b) \alpha^2(a_1) \otimes \alpha(c_{21}) \otimes \alpha(c_{22}) - \lambda^2 \nu \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b) \alpha^2(a_1) \otimes \alpha(c_{21}) \otimes \alpha(c_{22}) \]

The last equality holds since (2)=(3), (4)=(22), (5)=(23), (6)=(24), (7)=(9), (10)=(12), (13)=(17) and (16)=(18).

On the other hand, we have

\[(B \otimes \alpha)(a \otimes b \otimes c)\]
\[
\lambda (a_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes c) + \nu (b) (a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes c) - \lambda a(a) \otimes a(b) \otimes a(c),
\]
\[(a \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes a) (a \otimes b \otimes c)
\]
\[
= \lambda (a) (a_1) \otimes B(b_2 \otimes a(c)) + \nu (b) (a_1) \otimes B(a_2 \otimes a(c)) - \lambda a^2(a) \otimes B(a(b) \otimes a(c))
\]
\[
= \lambda_2 (a) \alpha^2(b) \otimes a(c_1) \otimes a(c_2) + \nu \lambda_3 (a) \varepsilon(c) a(b_1) \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3
\]
\[
- \lambda_2 (a) \alpha^2(b_1) \otimes a(b_2) \otimes a^2(c) + \nu \lambda_3 (a) \varepsilon(b) a^2(a) \otimes a(c_1) \otimes a(c_2)
\]
\[
+ \nu^2 (b) \varepsilon(b) a(a_1) \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3 - \nu \lambda_3 (a) a^2(a) \otimes a(b_1) \otimes a(b_2)
\]
\[
+ \lambda^2 (a) \alpha^2(b) \otimes a^2(c)
\]
\[(B \otimes a) \circ (a \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes a) (a \otimes b \otimes c)
\]
\[
= \lambda_3 (a) \varepsilon(b) a \alpha(c_1) \otimes a(c_2) + \nu^2 \lambda_2 (a) a^2(b_1) \otimes a^2(b_2) \otimes a^3(c)
\]
\[
= \lambda_2 (a) \alpha^3(b) \otimes a^2(c_1) \otimes a^2(c_2) + \nu \lambda_3 (a) \varepsilon(c) B(a(b_1) \otimes b_2) \otimes a(b_22)
\]
\[
- \lambda_2 (a) B(a(a_1) \otimes a(b_2)) \otimes a^3(c) + \nu \lambda_3 (a) B(a_1) \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3
\]
\[
- \lambda_2 (b) B(a^2(a) \otimes a(c_1)) \otimes a^2(c_2) + \nu \lambda_3 (b) B(a_1) \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3
\]
\[
+ \lambda^2 (b) (a^2(a) \otimes a^2(b)) \otimes a^3(c)
\]
The last equality holds since (29)=(35), (31)=(33), (34)=(36), (37)=(46), (38)=(44), (40)=(42), (43)=(45) and (47)=(43). Compare the two expressions above, we have

\[(1) = (28), (8) = (39), (11) = (32), (14) = (41), (15) = (50),
\]
\[(19) = (30), (20) = (49), (21) = (52), (25) = (48), (26) = (51), (27) = (54).\]

That is, we prove

\[(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha)(a \otimes b \otimes c),\]
as desired. So \(B\) is a solution for HYBE. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \((C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)\) be a Hom-coalgebra and \(\lambda, \nu \in k^*\). Assume that \(\alpha\) is involutive, then the solution \(B\) in Theorem 3.1 is invertible, where the inverse is given by

\[B^{-1} : C \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes C,\]
\[a \otimes b \mapsto \frac{1}{\nu} \varepsilon(a) b_1 \otimes b_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b)\]

**Proof.** We first show that \(B \circ B^{-1} = id_{C \otimes C}\). In fact, for any \(a, b, c \in C\), we have

\[B \circ B^{-1}(a \otimes b) = \frac{1}{\nu} \varepsilon(a) B(b_1 \otimes b_2) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \varepsilon(b) B(a_1 \otimes a_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda} B(\alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b))\]
\[= \frac{1}{\nu} \varepsilon(a) \{\lambda \varepsilon(b_1) b_21 \otimes b_22 + \nu \varepsilon(b_2) b_11 \otimes b_12 - \lambda \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2)\}\]
\[+ \frac{1}{\lambda} \varepsilon(b) \{\lambda \varepsilon(a_1) a_21 \otimes a_22 + \nu \varepsilon(a_2) a_11 \otimes a_12 - \lambda \alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2)\}\]
\[- \frac{1}{\lambda} \{\lambda \varepsilon(a) \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) + \nu \varepsilon(b) \alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \lambda \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b)\}\]
\[= \varepsilon(a) \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2) + \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \varepsilon(b) \alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \varepsilon(a) \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_2)\]
\[- \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \varepsilon(b) \alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha(a_2) + \alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha^2(b)\]
\[= a \otimes b.\]

It follows that \(B \circ B^{-1} = id_{C \otimes C}\). Similarly, one may check that \(B^{-1} \circ B = id_{C \otimes C}\). So \(B^{-1}\) is the inverse of \(B\). \(\square\)

**Example 3.3.** Let \(\{1, a, a^2\}\) be a basis of a 3-dimensional linear space \(C\). The following comultiplication \(\Delta\), the counit \(\varepsilon\) and the twist map \(\alpha\) on \(C\) define a Hom-coalgebra (27):

\[\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1, \quad \Delta(a) = a^2 \otimes a, \quad \Delta(a^2) = a \otimes a,\]
\[\varepsilon(1) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(a) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(a^2) = 1,\]
\[\alpha(1) = 1, \quad \alpha(a) = a^2, \quad \alpha(a^2) = a^2.\]

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, the solution \(B\) of the HYBE for the Hom-coalgebra \(C\) satisfies:

\[B(1 \otimes 1) = \nu 1 \otimes 1, \quad B(1 \otimes a) = \lambda a^2 \otimes a^2 + \nu 1 \otimes 1 - \lambda 1 \otimes a^2,\]

\[B(1 \otimes a^2) = \nu 1 \otimes 1 + \lambda a \otimes a + \nu 1 \otimes 1 - \lambda 1 \otimes a.\]
\[ B(1 \otimes a^2) = \lambda a \otimes a + \nu 1 \otimes 1 - \lambda 1 \otimes a, \quad B(a \otimes 1) = \lambda 1 \otimes 1 + \nu a^2 \otimes a^2 - \lambda a^2 \otimes 1, \]
\[ B(a \otimes a) = \nu a^2 \otimes a^2, \quad B(\lambda \otimes \nu) = \lambda a \otimes a + \nu a^2 \otimes a^2 - \lambda a^2 \otimes a, \]
\[ B(a^2 \otimes 1) = \lambda 1 \otimes 1 + \nu a \otimes a - \lambda a \otimes 1, \quad B(a^2 \otimes a) = \nu a \otimes a, \]
\[ B(a^2 \otimes a^2) = \lambda a^2 \otimes a^2 + \nu a \otimes a - \lambda a \otimes a^2, \]

where \( \lambda, \nu \) are two parameters in \( k \).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \((C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)\) be a Hom-coalgebra and \( \lambda, \nu \in k \). Then

\[ B : C \otimes C \to C \otimes C, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \lambda \varepsilon(a) b_1 \otimes b_2 + \nu \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 - \nu \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b) \]

is also a solution for HYBE. If, in addition, \( \lambda, \nu \in k^* \) and \( \alpha \) is involutive, then \( B \) is invertible, where the inverse is given by

\[ B^{-1} : C \otimes C \to C \otimes C, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto -\frac{1}{\nu} \lambda \varepsilon(a) b_1 \otimes b_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \nu \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 - \frac{1}{\nu}\alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b). \]

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2. \( \square \)

**Example 3.5.** Let \( \{1, g, x, y\} \) be a basis of a 4-dimensional linear space \( H_4 \). The following comultiplication \( \Delta \), counit \( \varepsilon \) and the twist map \( \alpha \) on \( H_4 \) define a Hom-coalgebra \((C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)\):

\[ \Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1, \Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \Delta(x) = k x \otimes 1 + g \otimes k x, \Delta(y) = k y \otimes g + 1 \otimes k y, \]
\[ \varepsilon(1) = 1, \varepsilon(g) = 1, \varepsilon(x) = 0, \varepsilon(y) = 0, \]
\[ \alpha(1) = 1, \alpha(g) = g, \alpha(x) = k x, \alpha(y) = k y, \]

where \( k \) is a parameter in \( k \). By Theorem 3.4, the solution \( B \) of the HYBE for the Hom-algebra \( H_4 \) satisfies:

\[ B(1 \otimes 1) = \lambda 1 \otimes 1, \quad B(1 \otimes g) = \lambda g \otimes g + \nu 1 \otimes 1 - \nu 1 \otimes g, \]
\[ B(1 \otimes x) = \lambda k x \otimes 1 + \lambda k g \otimes x - \nu k 1 \otimes x, \quad B(1 \otimes y) = \lambda k y \otimes g + \lambda k 1 \otimes y - \nu k 1 \otimes y, \]
\[ B(g \otimes 1) = \lambda 1 \otimes 1 + \nu g \otimes g - \nu g \otimes 1, \quad B(g \otimes g) = \lambda g \otimes g, \]
\[ B(g \otimes x) = \lambda k x \otimes 1 + \lambda k g \otimes x - \nu k 1 \otimes x, \quad B(g \otimes y) = \lambda k y \otimes g + \nu k 1 \otimes y - \nu k g \otimes y, \]
\[ B(x \otimes 1) = \nu k g \otimes x, \quad B(x \otimes g) = \nu k x \otimes 1 + \nu k g \otimes x - \nu k x \otimes g, \]
\[ B(x \otimes x) = -k^2 x \otimes x, \quad B(x \otimes y) = -k^2 x \otimes y, \quad B(y \otimes 1) = \nu k y \otimes g + \nu k 1 \otimes y - \nu k y \otimes 1, \]
\[ B(y \otimes g) = \nu k y \otimes g + \nu k 1 \otimes y - \nu k y \otimes g, \quad B(y \otimes x) = -k^2 y \otimes x, \quad B(y \otimes y) = -k^2 y \otimes y, \]

where \( \lambda, \nu \) are two parameters in \( k \).

**4 Solutions of the HYBE from Hom-Lie algebras**

In this section, we obtain a new solution of the HYBE from Hom-Lie algebras and prove that this solution is self-inverse.
**Theorem 4.1.** Let \((L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)\) be a Hom-Lie algebra, \(u\) is an \(\alpha\)-invariant element in \(Z(L)\) and \(\lambda, \nu \in k\). Then

\[
B : L \otimes L \to L \otimes L, \ x \otimes y \mapsto \lambda[x, y] \otimes u - \nu \alpha(y) \otimes \alpha(x)
\]
is a solution for HYBE, where \(Z(L) = \{u \in L[[u, x]] = 0, \forall x \in L\} \).

**Proof.** Obviously, \(B\) is compatible with the twist map \(\alpha\) since \(z\) is \(\alpha\)-invariant. Now we verify that \(B\) satisfy the HYBE. In fact, for any \(x, y, z \in L\), one may directly check that \(B(x \otimes u) = -\nu u \otimes \alpha(x), \ B(u \otimes x) = -\nu \alpha(x) \otimes u\). On the one hand, we have

\[
(\alpha \otimes B)(x \otimes y \otimes z) = \lambda \alpha(x) \otimes [y, z] \otimes u - \nu \alpha(x) \otimes \alpha(z) \otimes \alpha(y),
\]

\[
(B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)(x \otimes y \otimes z)
\]

\[
= \lambda B(\alpha(x) \otimes [y, z]) \otimes u - \nu B(\alpha(x) \otimes \alpha(z)) \otimes \alpha^2(y)
\]

\[
= \lambda^2 [\alpha(x), [y, z]] \otimes u - \nu \alpha(y) \otimes [\alpha(x), \alpha(z)] \otimes \alpha^2(x) \otimes u
\]

\[
- \nu \alpha(y) \otimes [\alpha(x), \alpha(z)] \otimes [\alpha(x), \alpha(z)] \otimes \alpha^2(x) \otimes \alpha^2(y)
\]

\[
(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)(x \otimes y \otimes z)
\]

\[
= \lambda^2 [\alpha^2(x), [\alpha(y), \alpha(z)]] \otimes B(u \otimes u) - \nu \alpha^2(y) \otimes \alpha^2(z) \otimes B(\alpha^2(x) \otimes u)
\]

\[
- \nu \alpha^2(y) \otimes \alpha^2(z) \otimes B(u \otimes \alpha^2(y)) + \nu^2 \alpha^3(z) \otimes B(\alpha^2(x) \otimes \alpha^2(y))
\]

\[
= -\lambda^2 [\alpha^2(x), [\alpha(y), \alpha(z)]] \otimes u \otimes u + \nu \alpha^2(\alpha^2(y), \alpha^2(z)) \otimes u \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

\[
+ \nu \alpha^2(\alpha^2(y), \alpha^2(z)) \otimes \alpha^3(y) \otimes \alpha^3(y) \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
(B \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y \otimes z)
\]

\[
= \lambda [x, y] \otimes u \otimes \alpha(z) - \nu \alpha(y) \otimes \alpha(x) \otimes \alpha(z),
\]

\[
(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y \otimes z)
\]

\[
= \lambda [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)] \otimes B(u \otimes \alpha(z)) - \nu \alpha^2(y) \otimes \alpha^2(z) \otimes B(\alpha(x) \otimes \alpha(z))
\]

\[
- \nu \alpha^2(y) \otimes \alpha^2(z) \otimes \alpha^2(\alpha(x) \otimes \alpha(z))
\]

\[
+ \nu^2 \alpha^3(z) \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

\[
(B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y \otimes z)
\]

\[
= -\lambda \nu B([\alpha(x), \alpha(y)] \otimes \alpha^2(z)) \otimes u - \nu \alpha^2(y) \otimes [\alpha(x), \alpha(z)] \otimes u
\]

\[
+ \nu^2 \alpha^3(z) \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

\[
= -\lambda^2 [\alpha^2(y), [\alpha(x), \alpha(z)]] \otimes u \otimes u + \nu \alpha^2(\alpha^2(y), \alpha^2(z)) \otimes u \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

\[
+ \nu \alpha^2(\alpha^2(y), \alpha^2(z)) \otimes \alpha^3(y) \otimes \alpha^3(y) \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

\[
-\lambda^2 [\alpha^2(y), [\alpha(x), \alpha(z)]] \otimes u \otimes \alpha^3(y) \otimes \alpha^3(y) \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

According to the anti-symmetry and the Hom-Jacobi identity, we have

\[
[\alpha^2(x), [\alpha(y), \alpha(z)]] = [[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)], \alpha^2(z)] + [\alpha^2(y), [\alpha(x), \alpha(z)]].
\]
It follows that
\[(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)(x \otimes y \otimes z) = (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y \otimes z).\]

That is, \(B\) is a solution for HYBE.

Corollary 4.2. Let \((L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)\) be a Hom-Lie algebra, \(u\) is an \(\alpha\)-invariant element in \(Z(L)\) and \(\lambda \in k\). Then

\[
B : L \otimes L \to L \otimes L, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto \lambda [x, y] \otimes u - \alpha(y) \otimes \alpha(x)
\]

is a solution for HYBE. If, in addition, \(\alpha\) is involutive, then \(B\) is also invertible, where the inverse is given by

\[
B^{-1} : L \otimes L \to L \otimes L, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto \lambda u \otimes [x, y] - \alpha(y) \otimes \alpha(x).
\]

Furthermore, \(B^{-1}\) is also a solution for HYBE.

Proof. First, it is easy to see that \(B\) is a solution for HYBE by setting \(\nu = 1\).

Next, show that \(B \circ B^{-1} = id_{L \otimes L}\). In fact, for any \(x, y, z \in L\), we have

\[
B \circ B^{-1}(x \otimes y) = \lambda B(u \otimes [x, y]) - B(\alpha(y) \otimes \alpha(x))
\]

\[
= -\lambda [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)] \otimes u - \alpha(y) \otimes \alpha(x) \otimes u + \alpha^2(y) \otimes \alpha^2(x)
\]

\[
= x \otimes y.
\]

It follows that \(B \circ B^{-1} = id_{L \otimes L}\). Similarly, one may check that \(B^{-1} \circ B = id_{L \otimes L}\). So \(B^{-1}\) is the inverse of \(B\). Further, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, one may calculate

\[
(\alpha \otimes B^{-1}) \circ (B^{-1} \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^{-1})(x \otimes y \otimes z)
\]

\[
= -\lambda^2 [\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha^2(z)] + \lambda \alpha^3(z) \otimes u \otimes [\alpha^2(x), \alpha^2(y)]
\]

\[
+ \lambda \alpha^3(y) \otimes [\alpha(x), \alpha^2(z)] + \lambda u \otimes [\alpha^2(y), \alpha^2(z)] \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

\[
- \alpha^3(z) \otimes \alpha^3(y) \otimes \alpha^3(x)
\]

\[
= (B^{-1} \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^{-1}) \circ (B^{-1} \otimes \alpha)(x \otimes y \otimes z).
\]

So \(B^{-1}\) is a solution for HYBE.

Example 4.3. Let \((L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)\) be a Hom-Lie algebra on 3-dimensional Euclidean \(E^3\) with basis elements \(\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}\), whose bracket \([\cdot, \cdot]\) is given by

\[
[e_1, e_2] = e_1, \quad [e_1, e_3] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 0.
\]

The twist map \(\alpha\) is given by

\[
\alpha(e_1) = e_1, \quad \alpha(e_2) = e_2, \quad \alpha(e_3) = -e_3.
\]
Obviously, $e_3 \in Z(L)$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, the solution $B$ of the HYBE for the Hom-Lie algebra $L$ satisfies:

$$B(e_1 \otimes e_1) = -\nu e_1 \otimes e_1, \quad B(e_1 \otimes e_2) = \lambda e_1 \otimes e_3 - \nu e_2 \otimes e_1, \quad B(e_1 \otimes e_3) = \nu e_3 \otimes e_1,$$

$$B(e_2 \otimes e_1) = -\lambda e_1 \otimes e_3 - \nu e_1 \otimes e_2, \quad B(e_2 \otimes e_2) = -\nu e_2 \otimes e_2, \quad B(e_2 \otimes e_3) = \nu e_3 \otimes e_2,$$

$$B(e_3 \otimes e_1) = \nu e_1 \otimes e_3, \quad B(e_3 \otimes e_2) = \nu e_2 \otimes e_3, \quad B(e_3 \otimes e_3) = -\nu e_3 \otimes e_3,$$

where $\lambda, \nu \in k$.

In the final part of this section, we present a kind of solutions of CHYBE from Hom-Lie algebras. Recall from [32], Yau defined the CHYBE in a Hom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ as

$$[r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}] = 0,$$

for $r \in L^\otimes 2$. Here the three brackets above are defined as

$$[r^{12}, r^{13}] = [a_i, a_j] \otimes \alpha(b_i) \otimes \alpha(b_j),$$

$$[r^{12}, r^{23}] = \alpha(a_i) \otimes [b_i, a_k] \otimes \alpha(a_k),$$

$$[r^{13}, r^{23}] = \alpha(a_i) \otimes \alpha(a_k) \otimes [b_j, j_k],$$

where $r^{12} = r \otimes 1 = a_i \otimes b_i \otimes 1, r^{13} = (\tau \otimes id)(1 \otimes r) = a_j \otimes 1 \otimes b_j, r^{23} = 1 \otimes r = 1 \otimes a_k \otimes b_k$.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie algebra and $u$ be an element in $Z(L)$. Then for any $x, y \in L$ and $m, n \in Z,$

$$r = \alpha^m([x, y]) \otimes \alpha^n(u)$$

is a solution for CHYBE.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that $[r^{12}, r^{13}] = [r^{12}, r^{23}] = [r^{13}, r^{23}] = 0$ since $u$ is an element in $Z(L)$.

## 5 Hom-Yang-Baxter systems

In this section, we extend the notion of Yang-Baxter systems to Hom-Yang-Baxter systems and present two kinds of Hom-Yang-Baxter systems.

Consider three vector spaces $V, V', V''$, let $\alpha_V, \alpha_{V'}, \alpha_{V''}$ be three endomorphisms on $V, V', V''$ and $R : V \otimes V' \to V \otimes V', S : V \otimes V'' \to V \otimes V'', T : V' \otimes V'' \to V' \otimes V''$ be three linear maps. Then a Hom-Yang-Baxter commutator is a map $[R, S, T] : V \otimes V' \otimes V'' \to V \otimes V' \otimes V''$ defined by

$$[R, S, T] = R^{12} \circ S^{13} \circ T^{23} - T^{23} \circ S^{13} \circ R^{12},$$
where $R^{12} = R \otimes \alpha_{V''}$, $S^{13} = (\tau \otimes id) \circ (\alpha_{V'} \otimes T) \circ (\tau \otimes id)$, $T^{23} = \alpha_{V} \otimes T$.

**Definition 5.1.** Let $V, V'$ be two vector spaces and $\alpha_{V}, \alpha_{V'}$ be two endomorphisms. A system of linear maps

$$W : V \otimes V \to V \otimes V, \ Z : V' \otimes V' \to V' \otimes V', \ X : V \otimes V' \to V \otimes V'$$

is called a Hom-Yang-Baxter system, if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$[W, W, W] = 0, \ [Z, Z, Z] = 0, \ [W, X, X] = 0, \ [X, X, Z] = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $(A, \mu, 1_A, \alpha)$ be a Hom-algebra and $\lambda, \nu \in k$. Then the following is a Hom-Yang-Baxter system:

$$W : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \ a \otimes b \mapsto a b \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes a b - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a),$$

$$Z : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \ a \otimes b \mapsto \nu a b \otimes 1_A + 1_A \otimes a b - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a),$$

$$X : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A, \ a \otimes b \mapsto a b \otimes 1_A + 1_A \otimes a b - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a).$$

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove that the four equalities in Eq. (5.1) hold. Here we only verify the equality $[W, X, X] = 0$ and similar for other three equalities. In fact, for any $a, b, c \in A$, on the one side, we have

$$W^{12} \circ X^{13} \circ X^{23}(a \otimes b \otimes c)$$

$$= W^{12} \circ X^{13} \{a(a) \otimes b c \otimes 1_A + \alpha(a) \otimes 1_A \otimes b c - \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(c)\}$$

$$= W^{12} \{\alpha^2(a) \otimes \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A + \alpha(a)(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + 1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha(a)(b c)$$

$$- \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) - \alpha(ab) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes 1_A - 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(ab)$$

$$+ a^2(b) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha^2(a)\}$$

$$= \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A$$

$$+ \lambda 1_A \otimes 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

$$+ \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c) \otimes 1_A \otimes 1_A + \lambda 1_A \otimes \alpha^2(a) \alpha(b c)$$

The last equality holds since (4)=(11), (5)=(12), (8)=(15) and (9)=(16).

On the other side, we have

$$X^{23} \circ X^{13} \circ W^{12} (a \otimes b \otimes c)$$
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\[ W, X, X^a, b, c \]
\[ \alpha = X \]
\[ X = X^a, X, Z \]
\[ a \]
\[ (1) = (18), (2) = (21), (3) = (24), (6) = (25), \]
\[ (7) = (22), (10) = (27), (13) = (28), (14) = (23), (17) = (30). \]

It follows that \([W, X, X] = 0\), as desired. The proof is finished. \(\square\)

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \((C, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha)\) be a Hom-coalgebra and \(\lambda, \nu \in k\). Then the following is a Hom-Yang-Baxter system:

\[ W : C \otimes C \to C \otimes C, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \lambda \varepsilon(a) b_1 \otimes b_2 + \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a), \]
\[ Z : C \otimes C \to C \otimes C, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \varepsilon(a) b_1 \otimes b_2 + \nu \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a), \]
\[ X : C \otimes C \to C \otimes C, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \varepsilon(a) b_1 \otimes b_2 + \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a). \]

**Proof.** We only prove \([X, X, Z] = 0\) and similar for other three equalities. In fact, for any \(a, b, c \in C\), on the one side, we have

\[ Z^{23} \circ X^{13} \circ X^{12}(a \otimes b \otimes c) \]
\[ = Z^{23} \circ X^{13} \{ \varepsilon(a) b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes a(c) + \nu \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a(c) - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(c) \} \]
\[ = Z^{23} \{ \varepsilon(a) \alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b_2) \otimes a(c) + \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b) a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a(c) - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(c) \} \]
\[ = \varepsilon(a) \alpha^2(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) + \varepsilon(a) a(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) - \alpha(b) \otimes \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(c) \]
\[ + \varepsilon(b) \varepsilon(c) a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_1 - \alpha(b) \alpha^2(c_2) \otimes \alpha(a_1) \]
\[ - \varepsilon(c) a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_2 - \varepsilon(c) a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_2 \]
\[ + \alpha^2(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) \]
\[ = \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b) \alpha^2(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) \]
\[ + \nu \varepsilon(a) \alpha^3(c) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \]
The last equality holds since (2)=(8), (4)=(6), (7)=(9),(10)=(12),(13)=(15) and (14)=(20).

On the other side, we have

\[
X^{12} \circ X^{13} \circ Z^{23} (a \otimes b \otimes c)
\]

\[
= X^{12} \circ X^{13} \{\varepsilon(b)\alpha(a) \otimes c_1 \otimes c_2 + \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a) \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 - \alpha(a) \otimes \alpha(c) \otimes \alpha(b)\}
\]

\[
= X^{12}\{\varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)c_1 \otimes a(c_1) \otimes a(c_2) \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)y(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b_2) + \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \varepsilon(a)\alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(b_2) - \varepsilon(b)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(a_2)

\]

\[
= \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)\alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) + \nu\varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)c_1 \otimes a(c_1) \otimes a(c_2) \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)y(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b_2) + \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \varepsilon(a)\alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(b_2) - \varepsilon(b)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(a_2)
\]

\[
= \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)\alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) + \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)c_1 \otimes a(c_1) \otimes a(c_2) \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)y(b_1) \otimes \alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b_2) + \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b) \otimes \alpha(a_2) - \varepsilon(a)\alpha(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(b_2) - \varepsilon(b)\alpha(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c) \otimes \alpha(a_2)
\]

\[ + \varepsilon(b)\alpha^2(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) \otimes \alpha^3(a)_{(40)} + \varepsilon(c)\alpha^2(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b_2) \otimes \alpha^3(a)_{(41)}
\]
\[ - \alpha^3(c) \otimes \alpha^3(b) \otimes \alpha^3(a)_{(42)}
\]
\[ = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)\alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2)_{(22)} + \varepsilon(c)\varepsilon(a)b_{11} \otimes \alpha(b_{12}) \otimes \alpha^2(b_{2})_{(28)}
\]
\[ + \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha(a_{11}) \otimes \alpha(a_{12}) \otimes \alpha^2(a_{2})_{(32)} - \nu\varepsilon(c)\alpha^3(b) \otimes \alpha^2(a_1) \otimes \alpha^2(a_{2})_{(33)}
\]
\[ - \varepsilon(a)\alpha^2(c_1) \otimes \alpha^2(c_2) \otimes \alpha^3(b)_{(37)} - \varepsilon(c)\varepsilon(a)b_{11} \otimes \alpha(b_{12}) \otimes \alpha^2(b_{2})_{(38)}
\]
\[ + \varepsilon(a)\alpha^3(c) \otimes \alpha^2(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b_2)_{(39)} + \varepsilon(c)\alpha^2(b_1) \otimes \alpha^2(b_2) \otimes \alpha^3(a)_{(41)}
\]
\[ - \alpha^3(c) \otimes \alpha^3(b) \otimes \alpha^3(a)_{(42)}.
\]

The last equality holds since (23)=(24), (25)=(40), (26)=(27), (29)=(30), (31)=(34) and (35)=(36). Compare the two expressions above, we have

\[
(1) = (22), (3) = (37), (5) = (28), (9) = (39),
\]
\[
(11) = (32), (17) = (33), (18) = (41), (16) = (38), (21) = (42).
\]

It follows that \([X, X, Z] = 0\), as desired. The proof is finished. 

\[\square\]
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