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Abstract

In this article, we introduce a new homotopy function to trace the trajectory by applying modified homotopy continuation method for finding the solution of the Linear Complementarity Problem. Earlier several authors attempted to propose homotopy functions based on original problems. We propose the homotopy function based on the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker condition of the corresponding quadratic programming problem of the original problem. The proposed approach extends the processability of the larger class of linear complementarity problems and overcomes the limitations of other existing homotopy approaches. We show that the homotopy path approaching the solution is smooth and bounded. We find the positive tangent direction of the homotopy path. The difficulty of finding a strictly feasible initial point for the interior point algorithm can be replaced appropriately by combining the interior point with the homotopy method. Various classes of numerical examples are illustrated to show the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.
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1 Introduction

Eaves and Saigal \cite{Eaves} formed an important class of globally convergent methods for solving systems of non-linear equations, which is known as homotopy method. Such
methods have been used to constructively prove the existence of solutions to many economic and engineering problems. Let $X, Y$ be two topological spaces. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous maps. A homotopy from $f$ to $g$ is a continuous function $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $H(x, 0) = f(x), H(x, 1) = g(x) \ \forall x \in X$. If such a homotopy exists, then $f$ is homotopic to $g$ and it is denoted by $f \simeq g$. Let $f, g : R \rightarrow R$ any two continuous, real functions, then $f \simeq g$. Now we define a function $H : R \times [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ by $H(x, t) = (1 - t) f(x) + t g(x)$. Clearly $H$ is continuous and $H(x, 0) = f(x), H(x, 1) = g(x)$. Thus $H$ is a homotopy between $f$ and $g$. Let $X, Y$ be two topological spaces and let Map$(X, Y)$ be the set of all continuous maps from $X$ to $Y$. Homotopy is an equivalence relation on Map$(X, Y)$.

Probability one homotopy methods are a class of algorithms for solving nonlinear systems of equations that are accurate, robust and almost surely converge from an arbitrary starting point. The fundamental idea of the homotopy continuation method is to solve a problem by tracing a certain continuous path that leads to a solution to the problem. Thus, defining a homotopy mapping that yields a finite continuation path plays an essential role in a homotopy continuation method. The homotopy method [16] is itself an important class of globally convergent methods. Many homotopy methods are proposed for constructive proof of the existence of solutions to systems of nonlinear equations, nonlinear optimization problems, Brouwer fixed point problems, nonlinear programming, game problem and complementarity problems [17]. Chen et al. [1] proposed a homotopy algorithm for computing complex eigenpairs of a tensor in a tensor complementarity problem. Han [8] proposed a homotopy method for finding the unique positive solution to a multilinear system with a nonsingular $M$-tensor and a positive right side vector.

We are interested in solving the complementarity problem, mainly the linear complementarity problem. The linear complementarity problem is identified as an important mathematical programming problem and provides a unifying framework for several optimization problems like linear programming, linear fractional programming, convex quadratic programming and the bimatrix game problem. Several applications of linear complementarity problems are reported in operations research [14], multiple objective programming problems [11], mathematical economics and engineering. For details see [7], [9] and [10]. The concept of complementarity is synonymous with the notion of system equilibrium. The linear complementarity problem [13] is defined as follows:

Given square matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ and a vector $q \in R^n$, the linear complementarity problem is to find $w \in R^n$ and $x \in R^n$ such that

$$w - Ax = q, w \geq 0, x \geq 0,$$

$$x^t w = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

This problem is denoted as LCP$(q, A)$.

Among the many facets of research in linear complementarity problems, the area that has received thorough attention in recent years is the development of robust and efficient algorithms for solving various kinds of linear complementarity problems.
Kojima et al. showed that the interior point method for linear programming problem was a kind of path-following method. This polynomial time-bound method is widely used to solve LCP\((q, A)\), but some matrices are not processable by this method as well as by Lemke’s algorithm. The homotopy method is a very interesting and useful method to solve LCP\((q, A)\) for larger matrix classes that are not processable by the interior point method and Lemke’s algorithm also. In the literature it was proved that the homotopy method converges globally to the solution of LCP\((q, A)\), where \(A\) is a positive semidefinite matrix \([21]\), a \(P\)-matrix \([20]\), an \(N\)-matrix \([22]\) or a \(P^*_\)-matrix \([15]\) with respect to different type of homotopy functions. For further details see \([3]\).

In this paper, we attempt to introduce another homotopy function and condition for global convergence of the homotopy method to solve LCP\((q, A)\), where \(A\) belongs to various matrix classes. We give some numerical examples of various types of matrix classes like PSD, \(N\), almost \(C_0\), singular \(Q_0\), \(Q\) etc, which give the solution by our introduced homotopy function. Most of them are not solvable by Lemke’s algorithm and also by already existing homotopy functions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some basic notations and results. In section 3, we propose a new homotopy function to find the solution of LCP\((q, A)\). We construct a smooth and bounded homotopy path under some conditions to find the solution of the linear complementarity problem as the homotopy parameter \(\lambda \to 0\). We prove an if and only if condition to get the solution of LCP\((q, A)\) from the solution of the homotopy equation. We also find the sign of the positive tangent direction of the homotopy path. We use a modified interior-point bounded homotopy path algorithm for solving the linear complementarity problem in section 4. Finally, in section 4, we show various classes of numerical examples to present the effectiveness of the introduced homotopy function as well as the algorithm.

## 2 Preliminaries

We denote the \(n\) dimensional real space by \(R^n\) where \(R^n_+\) and \(R^n_{++}\) denote the non-negative and positive orthant of \(R^n\). We consider vectors and matrices with real entries. Any vector \(x \in R^n\) is a column vector and \(x^t\) denotes the row transpose of \(x\). \(e\) denotes the vector of all 1. If \(A\) is a matrix of order \(n\), \(\alpha \subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\) and \(\bar{\alpha} \subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \setminus \alpha\) then \(A_{\alpha \bar{\alpha}}\) denotes the submatrix of \(A\) consisting of only the rows and columns of \(A\) whose indices are in \(\alpha\) and \(\bar{\alpha}\) respectively. \(A_{\alpha \alpha}\) is called a principal submatrix of \(A\) and \(\det(A_{\alpha \alpha})\) is called a principal minor of \(A\). We define \(\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in R^n : x > 0, Ax + q > 0 \}\), \(\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in R^n : x \geq 0, Ax + q \geq 0 \}\), \(\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \times R^n_+ \times R^n_{++}\) and \(\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \times R^n_+ \times R^n_{++}\). \(\mathcal{F}_1\) denotes the boundary of \(\mathcal{F}_1\).

A matrix \(A \in R^{n \times n}\) is said to be a/an
- positive semidefinite (PSD) matrix if \(x^tAx \geq 0, \forall x \in R^n\).
- \(P\)-matrix if all its principal minors are positive.
- \(N\)-matrix if all its principal minors are negative.
- \(P^*_\)-matrix if \(\exists\) a constant \(\tau > 0\) such that for any \(x \in R^n\),

\[
(1 + \tau) \sum_{i \in I_+(x)} x_i (Mx)_i + \sum_{i \in I_-(x)} x_i (Mx)_i \geq 0
\]
where $I_+(x) = \{ i \in N : x_i(Mx)_i > 0 \}$ and $I_-(x) = \{ i \in N : x_i(Mx)_i \leq 0 \}$.

- copositive ($C_0$) matrix if $x^TAx \geq 0$, $\forall x \geq 0$.
- almost $C_0$-matrix if it is copositive of up to order $n - 1$ but not of order $n$.
- $N_0$-matrix of exact order $k$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) if every principal submatrix of order $(n - k)$ is a $N_0$-matrix and every principal minor of order $r$, $(n - k) < r \leq n$ is positive.
- $Q$-matrix if for every $q \in R^n$, LCP$(q, A)$ has a solution.

The basic idea of homotopy methods can be explained as to construct a homotopy from the auxiliary mapping $g$ to the object mapping $p$. The original problem can be solved by following the homotopy path from the zero set of the auxiliary mapping $g$ to the zero set of the object mapping $p$. The difficulty of finding a strictly feasible initial point for the interior point algorithm can be avoided by combining the interior point with the homotopy method. Furthermore, the global convergence of the homotopy methods can guarantee the global convergence for the combined homotopy interior point methods. Suppose the given problem is to find a root of the non-linear equation $p(x) = 0$ and suppose $g(x) = 0$ is auxiliary function with an unique solution $x_0$. Then the homotopy equation can be written as $H(x, \lambda) = \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)p(x)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then we consider $H(x, \lambda) = 0$. The value of $\lambda$ will start from 1 and goes to 0. In this way one can find the solution of the given equation $p(x) = 0$ from the solution of $g(x) = 0$.

The key idea to solve LCP$(q, A)$ by the homotopy method is to solve a system of equations of the form $H(x, \lambda) = 0$, where $H : R^n \times [0, 1] \to R^n$, $x \in R^n$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is called homotopy parameter. The homotopy method aims to trace out entire path of equilibria in $H^{-1} = \{(x, \lambda) : H(x, \lambda) = 0\}$ by varying both $x$ and $\lambda$. Now we define a parametric path as a set of functions $(x(s), \lambda(s)) \in H^{-1}$. When we move along the homotopy path, the auxiliary variable $s$ either decreases or increases monotonically. Differentiating $H(x(s), \lambda(s)) = 0$ with respect to $s$ we get $\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} x'(s) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \lambda'(s) = 0$, where $\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}$ are $n \times n$ jacobian matrix of $H$ and $n \times 1$ column vector respectively. So this is a system of $n$ differential equations in $n + 1$ unknowns $x_i'(s) \forall i \in N$ and $\lambda'(s)$.

This system of differential equations has many solutions, which differ by monotone transformation of the auxiliary variable $s$.

Now we state some results which will be required in the next section.

**LEMMA 2.1:** [2] Let $U \subset R^n$ be an open set and $f : R^n \to R^p$ be smooth. We say $y \in R^p$ is a regular value for $f$ if Range $Df(x) = R^p \forall x \in f^{-1}(y)$, where $Df(x)$ denotes the $n \times p$ matrix of partial derivatives of $f(x)$.

**LEMMA 2.2:** [15] Let $V \subset R^n, U \subset R^m$ be open sets, and let $\phi : V \times U \to R^k$ be a $C^\alpha$ mapping, where $\alpha > \max\{0, m - k\}$. If $0 \in R^k$ is a regular value of $\phi$, then for almost all $a \in V, 0$ is a regular value of $\phi_a = \phi(a, \cdot)$.

**LEMMA 2.3:** [15] Let $\phi : U \subset R^n \to R^p$ be $C^\alpha$ mapping, where $\alpha > \max\{0, n - p\}$. Then $\phi^{-1}(0)$ consists of some $(n - p)$ dimensional $C^\alpha$ manifolds.

**LEMMA 2.4:** [22] One-dimensional smooth manifold is diffeomorphic to a unit circle or a unit interval.
3 Main results

Watson [18] illustrated an outline of homotopy approach for complementarity problem. Chow et al. [2] developed sufficiently powerful theoretical tools for homotopy methods. In 2006, Yu et al. [21] proposed the following homotopy function to solve the LCP($q,A$) where $A$ is a positive semidefinite matrix,

$$H(w, w^{(0)}, \lambda) = \left[ (1 - \lambda)[Ax + q - y] + \lambda(x - x^{(0)}) \right]_{XYe - \lambda e} = 0.$$ (3.1)

Zhao et al. [22] proposed the following homotopy function in 2010 to solve LCP($q,A$) where $A$ is an $N$-matrix,

$$H(w, w^{(0)}, \lambda) = \left[ (1 - \lambda)[y - Ax - q] + \lambda(x - x^{(0)}) \right]_{Xy - \lambda X^{(0)}y^{(0)}} = 0.$$ (3.2)

Later Xu et al. [20] developed another homotopy function for finding the solution of LCP($q,A$) where $A$ is a $P$-matrix,

$$H(w, w^{(0)}, \lambda) = \left[ (1 - \lambda)[y - Ax - q] - \lambda(x - x^{(0)}) \right]_{Xy - \lambda X^{(0)}y^{(0)}} = 0.$$ (3.3)

Wang et al. [19] showed that linear complementarity problem with $P^*$-matrix can be solved using the homotopy function

$$H(w, w^{(0)}, \lambda) = \left[ (1 - \lambda)[Ax + q] - y + \lambda y^{(0)} \right]_{Xy - \lambda X^{(0)}y^{(0)}} = 0.$$ (3.4)

We propose a new homotopy function to solve LCP($q,A$) based on the KKT condition.

$$H(y, y^{(0)}, \lambda) = \left[ (1 - \lambda)[(A + A^t)x + q - z_1 - A^t z_2] + \lambda(x - x^{(0)}) \right]_{Z_1 x - \lambda Z_1^{(0)} x^{(0)}} = 0.$$ (3.5)

where $Z_1 = \text{diag}(z_1)$, $Z_2 = \text{diag}(z_2)$, $Z_1^{(0)} = \text{diag}(z_1^{(0)})$, $Z_2^{(0)} = \text{diag}(z_2^{(0)})$, $y = (x, z_1, z_2) \in R^n_+ \times R^n_+ \times R^n_+$, $y^{(0)} = (x^{(0)}, z_1^{(0)}, z_2^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{F}_1$, and $\lambda \in (0,1]$. We denote $\Gamma^{(0)} = \{(y, \lambda) \in R^{3n} \times (0,1] : H(y, y^{(0)}, \lambda) = 0 \} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \times (0,1]$.}

Here $\lambda$ varies from 1 to 0, and starting from $\lambda = 1$ to $\lambda \to 0$ if we get a smooth bounded curve, then we will get a finite solution of the homotopy equation 3.5 at $\lambda \to 0$. At $\lambda \to 1$, the homotopy equation 3.5 gives the solution $(y^{(0)}, 1)$, and at $\lambda \to 0$, the homotopy equation 3.5 gives the solution of the system of following equations:

$$(A + A^t)x + q - z_1 - A^t z_2 = 0$$

$$Z_1 x = 0$$

$$Z_2 (Ax + q) = 0$$


where $Z_1 = \text{diag}(z_1)$ and $Z_2 = \text{diag}(z_2)$.

Let $z_{1I_1} = 0$ and $x_{1I_2} = 0$, where $I_1 \cup I_2 = \{n\}$. Let $z_{2J_1} = 0$ and $(Ax + q)_{J_2} = 0$, where $J_1 \cup J_2 = \{n\}$. If the solution of the homotopy function 3.5 $y = (x, z_1, z_2)$ gives the solution of LCP($q$, $A$) which is $x$, then $x_{I_2c} \neq 0 \iff (Ax + q)_{I_2c} = 0$. This implies that $I_2c \subseteq J_2$ and $(Ax + q)_{J_2c} \neq 0 \iff x_{J_2c} = 0$, which implies that $J_2c \subseteq I_2$.

$I_2c = J_2$, $J_2c = I_2$ give the nondegenerate solution of LCP($q$, $A$) and $I_2c \subseteq J_2$, $J_2c \subseteq I_2$ give the degenerate solution of LCP($q$, $A$). When $J_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ and $J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$, it implies $I_1 = I_2c = J_2$ and $J_1 = J_2c = I_2$, then $x = z_2$ and $Ax + q = z_1$ will give the solution of LCP($q$, $A$), otherwise we get nontrivial solution of LCP($q$, $A$) which is not same as $z_1$. Therefore the homotopy solution $y$ can not give the LCP solution $x$ when $I_2c \nsubseteq I_2$ and $J_2c \nsubseteq I_2$, that is $I_2c \subseteq J_1$ and $J_2c \subseteq I_1$.

First we show that the smooth curve exists for our proposed homotopy function.

**Theorem 3.1:** For almost all initial points $y(0) \in F_1$, 0 is a regular value of the homotopy function $H : R^{3n} \times (0, 1) \rightarrow R^{3n}$ and the zero point set $H^{-1}(0) = \{ (y, \lambda) \in F_1 : H_{y(0)}(y, \lambda) = 0 \}$ contains a smooth curve $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ starting from $(y(0), 1)$.

**Proof.** The Jacobian matrix of the above homotopy function $H(y, y(0), \lambda)$ is denoted by $DH(y, y(0), \lambda)$ and we have $DH(y, y(0), \lambda) = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \partial H_{y(y(0), \lambda)} & \partial H_{y(y(0), \lambda)} & \partial H_{y(y(0), \lambda)} \\ -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda Z_1 & -\lambda X_0 & 0 \\ -\lambda Z_2 & 0 & -\lambda Y_0 \end{array} \right]$, where $\lambda Z_1 = \text{diag}(Ax(0) + q)$, $\lambda X_0 = \text{diag}(x(0))$ and $\det(DH(y, y(0), \lambda)) = (-1)^{3n}\lambda^{3n}{\prod}_{i=1}^{n} x_i(0) y_i(0) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Thus $DH(y, y(0), \lambda)$ is of full row rank. Therefore, 0 is a regular value of $H(y, y(0), \lambda)$ by the Lemma \[2.1\]. By Lemmata \[2.2\] and \[2.3\] for almost all $y(0) \in F_1$, 0 is a regular value of $H_{y(0)}(y, \lambda)$ and $H^{-1}_{y(0)}(0)$ consists of some smooth curves and $H_{y(0)}(y(0), 1) = 0$. Hence there must be a smooth curve $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ starting from $(y(0), 1)$.

Now we show that the smooth curve $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ for the proposed homotopy function 3.5 is bounded and converges and establish conditions for global convergence of the homotopy method with our newly introduced homotopy function 3.5.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let $F$ be a non-empty set and $A \in R^{n\times n}$ a matrix and assume that there exists a sequence of points $\{u^k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \subset F_1 \times (0, 1)$, where $u^k = (x^k, z_1^k, z_2^k, \lambda^k)$ such that $\|x^k\| < \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|z_2^k\| < \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and for a given $y(0) \in F_1$, 0 is a regular value of $H(y, y(0), \lambda)$, then $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ is a bounded curve in $F_1 \times (0, 1)$.

**Proof.** Note that 0 is a regular value of $H(y, y(0), \lambda)$ by Theorem 3.1. Now we assume that $\Gamma^{(0)}_y \subset F_1 \times (0, 1)$ is an unbounded curve. Then there exists a sequence of points $\{u^k\}$, where $u^k = (y^k, \lambda^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|y^k, \lambda^k\| \rightarrow \infty$. As $(0, 1]$ is a bounded set and $x$ component and $z_2$ component of $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence of points $\{u^k\} = \{(y^k, \lambda^k)\} = \{x^k, z_1^k, z_2^k, \lambda^k\}$ such that $x^k \rightarrow \bar{x}$, $z_2^k \rightarrow \bar{z}_2$, $\lambda^k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$.
$[0,1]$ and $\|z^k\| \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, where $z^k = \begin{bmatrix} z^k_1 \\ z^k_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $\Gamma^{(0)}_y \subset H^{-1}_{y^{(0)}}(0)$, we have

$$(1 - \lambda^k)((A + A^t)x^k + q - z^k_1 - A^tz^k_2) + \lambda^k(x^k - x^{(0)}) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$Z^k_1 x^k - \lambda^k Z^{(0)}_1 x^{(0)} = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

$$Z^k_2(Ax^k + q) - \lambda^k Z^{(0)}_2(Ax^{(0)} + q) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

where $Z^k_1 = \text{diag}(z^k_1)$ and $Z^k_2 = \text{diag}(z^k_2)$. Let $\bar{\lambda} \in [0,1], \|z^k_1\| = \infty$ and $\|z^k_2\| < \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Then $\exists i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that $z^k_{1i} \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Let $I_{1z} = \{i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} : \lim_{k \to \infty} z^k_{1i} = \infty\}$. When $\bar{\lambda} = 1$, then from Equation 3.6 we get $x^k_i = \frac{\bar{\lambda}^k z^{(0)}_{1i}}{z^k_{1i}}$ for $i \in I_{1z}$. As $k \to \infty, x^k_i \to 0$. Again from Equation 3.6 we obtain $x^{(0)}_i = (1 - \lambda^k)\frac{(A + A^t)x^k_i + q_i - z^k_{1i} - (A^tz^k_2)_i}{\lambda^k} + x^k_i$ for $i \in I_{1z}$. As $k \to \infty$, we have $x^{(0)}_i = - \lim_{k \to \infty} (1 - \lambda^k)\frac{z^{(0)}_{1i}}{z^k_{1i}} \leq 0$. It contradicts that $\|z^k_1\| = \infty$. So $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ is a bounded curve in $\mathcal{F}_1 \times (0,1]$. \hfill $\Box$

**Theorem 3.3:** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a non-empty set and $A \in R^{n \times n}$ a nonsingular matrix and assume that there exists a sequence of points $\{u^k\} \subset \Gamma^{(0)}_y \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \times (0,1]$, where $u^k = (x^k, z^k_1, z^k_2, \lambda^k)$ such that $\|x^k\| < \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Further suppose for $\lambda^k \to 1, \|z^k_2\| < \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Suppose that for a given $y^{(0)} \in \mathcal{F}_1$, 0 is a regular value of $H(y,y^{(0)},\lambda)$. Then $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ is a bounded curve in $\mathcal{F}_1 \times (0,1]$. 

**Proof.** By theorem 3.1 0 is a regular value of $H(y,y^{(0)},\lambda)$. Now we assume that $\Gamma^{(0)}_y \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \times (0,1]$ is an unbounded curve. Then there exists a sequence of points $\{u^k\}$, where $u^k = (y^k, \lambda^k) \subset \Gamma^{(0)}_y$ such that $\|(y^k,\lambda^k)\| \to \infty$. $\mathcal{F}_1 \times (0,1]$ is a bounded set and $x$ component of $\Gamma^{(0)}_y$ is bounded. There exists a subsequence of points $\{u^k\} = \{(y^k, \lambda^k)\} = \{x^k, z^k_1, z^k_2, \lambda^k\}$ such that $x^k \to \bar{x}$, and suppose for $\lambda^k \to 1, \|z^k_2\| < \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Then two cases will arise.

**Case 1:** $\bar{\lambda} \in [0,1], \|z^k_1\| < \infty, \|z^k_2\| = \infty$. Let $\|z^k_2\| = \infty$. Then $\exists j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that $z^k_{2j} \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Let $I_{2z} = \{j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} : \lim_{k \to \infty} z^k_{2j} = \infty\}$. When $\bar{\lambda} \in [0,1]$, for $j \in I_{2z}$ we can get from Equation 3.6 $z^{(0)}_{2j} = (A^{-t}(A + A^t)x^k)_j + (A^{-t}q)_j - (A^{-t}z^k_1)_j + \frac{\lambda^k}{1 - \lambda^k}(x^k_j - x^{(0)}_j)$. As $k \to \infty$, right hand side is bounded, but left hand side is not. This also contradicts that $\|z^k_2\| = \infty$. So with our assumption for $\lambda^k \to 1, \|z^k_2\| < \infty$ as $k \to \infty, \bar{\lambda} \in [0,1]$, the homotopy curve is bounded.

**Case 2:** $\bar{\lambda} \in [0,1], \|z^k_1\| = \infty, \|z^k_2\| = \infty$. Let $\|z^k_1\| = \infty, \|z^k_2\| = \infty$. Then either $\exists i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that $z^k_{1i} \to \infty, z^k_{2i} \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ or $\exists i,j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}, i \neq j$ such that $z^k_{1i} \to \infty$ and $z^k_{2j} \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. 
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When \( z_{k1} \to \infty, z_{k2} \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1) \), we have, \( z_{k1i} + (A^t z_{k2})_i = ((A + A^t)x^k)_i + q_i + \frac{1}{(1-x^k)}(x_{i}^k - x_i^{(0)}) \). Now as \( k \to \infty \), right hand side is bounded, but left hand side is not, which is impossible. When \( \lambda = 1 \), then our assumption \( \|z_{k}\| < \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \) and the argument of the previous theorem 3.2 contradicts that \( z_{k1} \to \infty, z_{k2} \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \). As \( k \to \infty \), when \( z_{k1i} \to \infty, z_{k2j} \to \infty \) for \( i \neq j \) as \( k \to \infty \) then considering the \( i \)th and \( j \)th component and using same argument similar to the previous theorem 3.2 and case 1, we will get a contradiction.

Thus \( \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \) is a bounded curve in \( \mathcal{F}_1 \times (0, 1) \).

**Theorem 3.4:** For \( y^{(0)} = (x^{(0)}, z_1^{(0)}, z_2^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \), the homotopy equation finds a bounded smooth curve \( \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \times (0, 1) \) which starts from \( (y^{(0)}, 1) \) and approaches the hyperplane at \( \lambda = 0 \). As \( \lambda \to 0 \), the limit set \( L \times \{0\} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \times \{0\} \) of \( \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \) is nonempty and every point in \( L \) is a solution of the following system:

\[
(A + A^t)x + q - z_1 - A^t z_2 = 0 \\
Z_1 x = 0 \\
Z_2(A x + q) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Note that \( \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \) is diffeomorphic to a unit circle or a unit interval \((0, 1]\) in view of Lemma 2.4. As \( \frac{\partial H_{y(x,y^{(0)}, 1)}}{\partial y^{(0)}} \) is nonsingular, \( \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \) is diffeomorphic to a unit interval \((0, 1]\). Again \( \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \) is a bounded smooth curve by the Theorem 3.2. Let \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda})\) be a limit point of \( \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \). We consider four cases:

**Case 1:** \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \times \{1\} \).

**Case 2:** \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \partial \mathcal{F}_1 \times \{1\} \).

**Case 3:** \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \partial \mathcal{F}_1 \times (0, 1) \).

**Case 4:** \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \bar{\mathcal{F}_1} \times \{0\} \).

As the equation \( H_{y^{(0)}}(y, 1) = 0 \) has only one solution \( y^{(0)} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \), the case 1 is impossible. In case 2 and 3, there exists a subsequence of \((y^{k}, \lambda^{k}) \in \Gamma_{y}^{(0)} \) such that \( x^k_i \to 0 \) or \((A x^k + q)_i \to 0 \) for \( i \subseteq \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \). From the last two equalities of the homotopy function 3.5, we have \( z^k_1 \to \infty \) or \( z^k_2 \to \infty \). Hence it contradicts the boundedness of the homotopy path by the Theorem 3.2. Therefore case 4 is the only possible option. Hence \( \bar{y} = (\bar{x}, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) \) is a solution of the system \((A + A^t)x + q - z_1 - A^t z_2 = 0, Z_1 x = 0, Z_2(A x + q) = 0 \).

**Remark 3.1:** From the homotopy function 3.5, we obtain \( z_{1i} = 0 \) and \( z_{2i} = (A \bar{x} + q)_i \). Now \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) can be decomposed as \( \bar{z}_1 = \bar{w} - \Delta \bar{w} \geq 0 \) and \( \bar{z}_2 = \bar{x} - \Delta \bar{x} \geq 0 \), where \( \bar{w} = A \bar{x} + q \). It is clear that \( \bar{w}_i \bar{x}_i = \Delta \bar{w}_i \bar{x}_i = \Delta \bar{x}_i \bar{w}_i \forall i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \).

We demonstrate the condition under which the homotopy functions will give the solution of \( LCP(q, A) \).
**Theorem 3.5:** The component \( \bar{x} \) of \((\bar{x}, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, 0) \in L \times \{0\}\) gives the solution of LCP\((q, A)\) if and only if \(\Delta \bar{x}_i \Delta \bar{w}_i = 0\) or \(\bar{z}_{1i} + \bar{z}_{2i} > 0\) \(\forall i\).

**Proof.** Suppose \(\bar{x} \geq 0\) and \(\bar{w} = A\bar{x} + q \geq 0\) are the solution of LCP\((q, A)\). Then \(\bar{x}_i \bar{w}_i = 0\) \(\forall i\). This implies that \(\bar{x}_i = 0\) or \(\bar{w}_i = 0\) \(\forall i\). We consider the following three cases:

**Case 1:** For at least one \(i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\}\), let \(\bar{w}_i > 0, \bar{x}_i = 0\). In view of Remark 3.1, this implies that \(\Delta \bar{x}_i = 0 \implies \Delta \bar{x}_i \Delta \bar{w}_i = 0\).

**Case 2:** For at least one \(i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\}\), let \(\bar{x}_i > 0, \bar{w}_i = 0\). In view of 3.1, this implies that \(\Delta \bar{w}_i = 0 \implies \Delta \bar{x}_i \Delta \bar{w}_i = 0\).

**Case 3:** For at least one \(i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\}\), let \(\bar{w}_i = 0, \bar{x}_i = 0\). This implies that either \(\Delta \bar{w}_i \Delta \bar{x}_i = 0\) or \(\bar{z}_{1i} + \bar{z}_{2i} > 0\).

For the converse part, consider \(\Delta \bar{x}_i \Delta \bar{w}_i = 0\) or \(\bar{z}_{1i} + \bar{z}_{2i} > 0\) \(\forall i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\}\). Let \(\forall i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\}\), \(\Delta \bar{x}_i \Delta \bar{w}_i = 0\) implies either \(\Delta \bar{x}_i = 0\) or \(\Delta \bar{w}_i = 0\). This implies that \(\bar{w}_i, \bar{x}_i = 0\) \(\forall i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\}\). Therefore \(\bar{w}\) and \(\bar{x}\) are the solution of the LCP\((q, A)\).

**Theorem 3.6:** Suppose the matrix \((\bar{W} + \bar{X}A')\) is nonsingular, where \(\bar{W} = \text{diag}(\bar{w})\), \(\bar{X} = \text{diag}(\bar{x})\). Then \(\bar{x}\) solves the LCP\((q, A)\).

**Proof.** Let the matrix \((\bar{W} + \bar{X}A')\) be nonsingular. By Equation 3.9, \(\Delta \bar{w} + A'\Delta \bar{x} = 0\) and \(\bar{X}\Delta \bar{w} = \bar{W}\Delta \bar{x}\), where \(\bar{W} = \text{diag}(\bar{w})\). Now \(\bar{X}\Delta \bar{w} + \bar{X}A'\Delta \bar{x} = 0\) implies that \(\bar{W}\Delta \bar{x} + \bar{X}A'\Delta \bar{x} = 0\). It implies that \(\Delta \bar{x} = 0\). Then \(\bar{x}\) solves the LCP\((q, A)\).

**Remark 3.2:** We trace the homotopy path \(\Gamma_y^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \times (0, 1)\) from the initial point \((y^{(0)}, 1)\) as \(\lambda \to 0\). To find the solution of the given LCP\((q, A)\) we consider homotopy path along with other assumptions. Let \(s\) denote the arc length of \(\Gamma_y^{(0)}\). We parameterize the homotopy path \(\Gamma_y^{(0)}\) with respect to \(s\) in the following form

\[
H_y^{(0)}(y(s), \lambda(s)) = 0, \quad y(0) = y^{(0)}, \quad \lambda(0) = 1.
\]

Differentiating 3.10 with respect to \(s\), we obtain the following system of ordinary differential equations with given initial values

\[
H_y^{(0)}(y(s), \lambda(s)) \left[ \frac{dy}{ds}, \frac{d\lambda}{ds} \right] = 0, \quad \| \left( \frac{dy}{ds}, \frac{d\lambda}{ds} \right) \| = 1, \quad y(0) = y^{(0)}, \quad \lambda(0) = 1, \quad \frac{d\lambda}{ds}(0) < 0,
\]

\[
(3.11)
\]
and the $y$-component of $(y(\tilde{s}), \lambda(\tilde{s}))$ gives the solution of LCP($q, A$) for $\lambda(\tilde{s}) = 0$. For details, see [6].

Note that the parameter $\lambda$ is updated from the Moore-Penrose inverse of the Jacobian matrix for tracing the homotopy path. However, this approach does not ensure that the updated value of the parameter $\lambda$ is in $(0, 1]$. Value of $\lambda$ beyond $(0, 1]$ leads to a non-homotopy path. To eliminate deviation, we propose a modification by introducing a method called ensuring feasibility by changing step length. In this method it is necessary to check whether $0 < (\tilde{\lambda}_i - \hat{\lambda}_i) < 1$ and $(\tilde{y}_i - \hat{y}_i) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ holds or not. If any of the above-mentioned criteria fails, then the step length will be changed appropriately using geometric series to trace the homotopy path $\Gamma_y^{(0)}$. This guarantees a homotopy continuation trajectory.

3.1 Algorithm

Step 0: Initialize $(y^{(0)}, \lambda_0)$. Set $l_0 \in (0, 1)$. Choose $\epsilon_2 >> \epsilon_3 >> \epsilon_1 > 0$ which are very small positive quantity.

Step 1: $\tau^{(0)} = \xi^{(0)} = (\frac{1}{n}) \left[ \begin{array}{c} s \\ -1 \end{array} \right]$ for $i = 0$, where $n = \|\left[ \begin{array}{c} s \\ -1 \end{array} \right]\|$ and $s = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}(y^{(0)}, \lambda_0)\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}(y^{(0)}, \lambda_0)\right)$. If $\det\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}(y^{(i)}, \lambda_i)\right) > 0$, $\tau^{(i)} = \xi^{(i)}$ else $\tau^{(i)} = -\xi^{(i)}$, $i \geq 1$. Set $l = 0$.

Step 2: (Predictor point calculation) $(\tilde{y}^{(i)}, \tilde{\lambda}_i) = (y^{(i)}, \lambda_i) + a\tau^{(i)}$, where $a = l_0^l$. Compute $(\tilde{y}^{(i)}, \tilde{\lambda}_i) = H'_y(y^{(0)})(\tilde{y}^{(i)}, \tilde{\lambda}_i) + H(\tilde{y}^{(i)}, \tilde{\lambda}_i)$. If $0 < (\tilde{\lambda}_i - \hat{\lambda}_i) < 1$, go to step 3. Otherwise if $m = \min(a, \| (\tilde{y}^{(i)}, \tilde{\lambda}_i) - (y^{(i)}, \lambda_i) \|) > a_0$, update $l$ by $l + 1$, and recompute $(\tilde{\lambda}_i; \hat{\lambda}_i)$ else go to step 4.

Step 3: (Corrector point calculation) $(y^{(i+1)}, \lambda_{i+1}) = (\tilde{y}^{(i)}, \tilde{\lambda}_i) - (\tilde{y}^{(i)}, \hat{\lambda}_i)$. Determine the norm $r = \|H(y^{(i+1)}, \lambda_{i+1})\|$. If $r \leq 1$ and $y^{(i+1)} > 0$ go to step 5, otherwise if $a > \epsilon_3$, update $l$ by $l + 1$ and go to step 2 else go to step 4.

Step 4: If $|\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i| < \epsilon_2$, then if $|\lambda_{i+1}| < \epsilon_2$, then stop with the solution $(y^{(i+1)}, \lambda_{i+1})$, else terminate (unable to find solution) else $i = i + 1$ and go to step 1.

Step 5: If $|\lambda_{i+1}| \leq \epsilon_1$, then stop with solution $(\tilde{y}^{(i+1)}, \lambda_{i+1})$, else $i = i + 1$ and go to step 1.

Note that in step 2, $H'_y(y^{(0)})(y, \lambda)^+ = H'_y(y^{(0)})(y, \lambda)(H'_y(y^{(0)})(y, \lambda)H'_y(y^{(0)})(y, \lambda)^t)^{-1}$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of $H'_y(y^{(0)})(y, \lambda)$. We prove the following result to obtain the positive direction of the proposed algorithm.

**Theorem 3.7:** If the homotopy curve $\Gamma_y^{(0)}$ is smooth, then the positive predictor direction $\tau^{(0)}$ at the initial point $y^{(0)}$ satisfies $\det \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}(y^{(0)}, \tau^{(0)}), 1 \right] < 0$.

**Proof.** From the Equation 3.5 we consider the following homotopy function
\[ H(y, y^{(0)}, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \lambda)[(A + A^t)x + q - z_1 - A^t z_2] + \lambda(x - x^{(0)}) \\ Z_1 x - \lambda Z_1^{(0)} x^{(0)} \\ Z_2 (Ax + q) - \lambda Z_2^{(0)} (Ax^{(0)} + q) \end{bmatrix} = 0. \]

Now,

\[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial y \partial \lambda}(y, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \lambda)(A + A^t) + \lambda I & -(1 - \lambda)I & -(1 - \lambda)A^t \\ Z_1 & X & 0 \\ Z_2 A & 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} P \]

where \( P = (x - x^{(0)}) - [(A + A^t)x + q - z_1 - A^t z_2] \) and \( Y = \text{diag}(Ax + q) \). At the initial point \((y^{(0)}, 1)\),

\[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial y \partial \lambda}(y^{(0)}, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & -[(A + A^t)x^{(0)} + q - z_1^{(0)} - A^t z_2^{(0)}] \\ Z_1^{(0)} & X^{(0)} & 0 & -Z_1^{(0)} x^{(0)} \\ Z_2^{(0)} A & 0 & Y^{(0)} & -Z_2^{(0)} (Ax^{(0)} + q) \end{bmatrix}. \]

Let positive predictor direction be \( \tau^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} R_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \), where

\[ R_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ Z_1^{(0)} & X^{(0)} & 0 \\ Z_2^{(0)} A & 0 & Y^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -[(A + A^t)x^{(0)} + q - z_1^{(0)} - A^t z_2^{(0)}] \\ -Z_1^{(0)} x^{(0)} \\ -Z_2^{(0)} (Ax^{(0)} + q) \end{bmatrix} \]

\( \kappa \) is a \( n \times 1 \) column vector.

Hence, det \[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial y \partial \lambda}(y^{(0)}, 1) \tau^{(0)t} \]

\[ = \det \begin{bmatrix} R_1^{(0)} & R_2^{(0)} \\ (R_2^{(0)})^t (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ = \det \begin{bmatrix} R_1^{(0)} & R_2^{(0)} \\ 0 & -1 - (R_2^{(0)})^t (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} R_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ = \det(R_1^{(0)}) \det(-1 - (R_2^{(0)})^t (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} R_2^{(0)}) \]

\[ = - \det(R_1^{(0)}) \det(1 + (R_2^{(0)})^t (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} R_2^{(0)}) \]

\[ = - \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{(0)} y_i^{(0)} \det(1 + (R_2^{(0)})^t (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} (R_1^{(0)})^{(-1)} R_2^{(0)}) < 0. \]

So the positive predictor direction \( \tau^{(0)} \) at the initial point \( y^{(0)} \) satisfies det \[ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y \partial \lambda}(y^{(0)}, 1) \end{bmatrix} \tau^{(0)t} < 0. \]

**Remark 3.3:** We conclude from the Theorem 3.7 that the positive tangent direction \( \tau \) of the homotopy path \( \Gamma_y^{(0)} \) at any point \((y, \lambda)\) be negative and it depends on det\((R_1)\),

where \( R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \lambda)(A + A^t) + \lambda I & -(1 - \lambda)I & -(1 - \lambda)A^t \\ Z_1 & X & 0 \\ Z_2 A & 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}. \)
4 Numerical Examples

In this section we consider some examples of LCP\((q, A)\) to demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed algorithm. Note that Example 4.3 - 4.7 are not processable by the algorithms given in Yu et al. [21], Xu et al. [20], Zhao et al. [22]. Even these examples are not processable by Lemke’s algorithm [11] as well. We show that the proposed algorithm can process these examples to find the solution.

**Example 4.1:** Consider \(A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\) and \(q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix}\). Note that \(A\) is an \(N\)-matrix. It is solvable by the homotopy method with the homotopy function 3.2, proposed by Zhao et al. [22]. Now we show that our proposed homotopy function 3.5 also solves the linear complementarity problem with \(N\)-matrix. Now choose the initial point \(x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}\), \(z_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\) and \(z_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\). Using the proposed algorithm we get the optimal solution of the homotopy function 3.5 after 20 iterations and the solution is given by \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) = (1, 0, 0, 2.5, 1, 0, 0)\). Therefore \(\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\) solves \(LCP(q, A)\). The homotopy path shown in Figure 1a illustrates the convergence with respect to the solution vector \(x\) and \(\lambda\).

**Example 4.2:** Let \(A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\) and \(q = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\). It is easy to show that \(A\) is a \(PSD\)-matrix. It is solvable by the homotopy method with the homotopy function 3.1, proposed by Yu et al. [21]. Now we show that our proposed homotopy function 3.5 also solves the linear complementarity problem with \(PSD\)-matrix. Now choose the initial point \(x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\), \(z_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\) and \(z_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\). Using the proposed algorithm we obtain \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) = (0.5, 0, 0, 1.499, 0.499, 0, 0, 0)\) after 22 iterations. Note that \(\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\) is the solution of \(LCP(q, A)\). The homotopy path shown in Figure 1b illustrates the convergence with respect to the solution vector \(x\) and \(\lambda\).

Now we show that our proposed homotopy function 3.5 can solve \(LCP(q, A)\) with singular matrix \(A\) satisfying some conditions.

**Example 4.3:** Consider \(A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\) and \(q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\). Note that \(A\) is a singular \(Q_0\)-matrix. Now choose the initial point \(x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\), \(z_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\) and \(z_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\). Using the proposed algorithm we get the optimal solution of the homotopy function 3.5 after 15 iterations and the solution is given by \((\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) = (1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)\). Therefore \(\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\) solves \(LCP(q, A)\). The homotopy path shown in Figure 1c illustrates the convergence with respect to the solution vector \(x\) and \(\lambda\).
Example 4.4: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -0.5 & -0.25 \\ -0.5 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $q = \begin{bmatrix} -0.25 \\ -0.10 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to show that $A$ is not an $N$-matrix. Now choose the initial point $x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.3 \\ 1 \\ 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$, $z_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $z_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Using the proposed algorithm we obtain $(\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) = (1.8333, 0, 2.0833, 0, 1, 2125, 0, 1.8333, 0, 2.0833, 0)$ after 17 iterations. Note that $\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.8333 \\ 0 \\ 2.0833 \end{bmatrix}$ is the solution of LCP($q, A$). The convergence of the homotopy function is shown in the Figure 1d. The first, second and third component of $x$ is represented by data1, data2 and data3 respectively.

Example 4.5: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to show that $A$ is an almost $C_0$ matrix. Now choose the initial point $x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$, $z_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $z_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Using the proposed algorithm we obtain is $(\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 5, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ after 24 iterations. Note that $\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ solves LCP($q, A$), which is a degenerate solution. The convergence of the homotopy function is shown in the Figure 1e. The first, second and third component of $x$ is represented by data1, data2 and data3 respectively.

Example 4.6: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. A is a $Q$-matrix by [12]. Now choose the initial point $x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $z_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $z_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We apply our proposed algorithm to this LCP($q, A$) and after 17 iterations we get the approximate optimal solution of the homotopy function 3.5, which is $(\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) = (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0)$. Note that $\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ solves LCP($q, A$), which gives
a degenerate solution. The convergence of the homotopy function is shown in the Figure 1f. Data1, data2, data3 and data4 represent the first, second, third and fourth component of \( x \) respectively.

Example 4.7: Consider

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad q = \begin{bmatrix}
-2 \\
-1 \\
7 \\
2 \\
-1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad A \text{ is an } N_0-
\]

matrix of exact order 2. Now choose the initial point \( x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix}
3 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
3
\end{bmatrix}, \quad z_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}\) and

\[
z_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Using the proposed algorithm, we obtain the approximate optimal solution of the homotopy function \( (\bar{y}, \bar{\lambda}) = (0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 8, 2.5, 0, 0.5, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \) after 27 iterations. Note that \( \bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.5 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \) solves \( \text{LCP}(q, A) \). The convergence of the homotopy function is shown in the Figure 1g. Data1, data2, data3, data4 and data5 represent the first, second, third, fourth and fifth component of \( x \) respectively.
5 Conclusion

In this study, we consider an interior point homotopy path to solve linear complementarity problem. We propose a new homotopy function to solve $LCP(q, A)$ with various type of matrices $A$ which are not processable by Lemke’s algorithm and exist-
ing homotopy functions. We prove that the smooth curve for the proposed homotopy function is bounded and also converges. We show that there is an if and only if condition to find the solution of LCP(q, A) from the solution of our introduced new homotopy function. To ensure a homotopy continuation trajectory we introduce a new scheme of choosing step length. Mathematically we find the positive tangent direction of the homotopy path. Several numerical examples are provided to demonstrate the processability of larger classes of LCP(q, A).
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