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Abstract
We show that any left invariant metric with harmonic curvature on a solvable Lie group is Ricci-parallel. We show the same result for any Lie group of dimension \( \leq 6 \).
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1. Introduction

A Riemannian manifold \((M, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) is said to have harmonic curvature if its curvature tensor \(R\) has a vanishing divergence, i.e., for any \(X \in \Gamma(TM)\),

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{E_i}(R)(E_i, X) = 0,
\]

where \(\nabla\) is the Levi-Civita connection and \((E_1, \ldots, E_n)\) is a local \(\langle \ , \ \rangle\)-orthonormal frame field. The study of Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature is old and goes to [10] and it is known [3, 4, 5, 8] that a Riemannian manifold \((M, \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) has a harmonic curvature if and only if its Ricci tensor \(\text{Ric}\) is Codazzi, i.e.,

\[
\nabla_X(\text{Ric})(Y, Z) = \nabla_Y(\text{Ric})(X, Z) \tag{1}
\]

for any vector fields \(X, Y, Z\). Obviously, any Einstein Riemannian metric \((\text{Ric} = \lambda \langle \ , \ \rangle)\) and more generally any Ricci-parallel metric \((\nabla(\text{Ric}) = 0)\) satisfy (1). Thus the interesting case will be where \(\text{Ric}\) satisfies (1) and \(\nabla(\text{Ric}) \neq 0\). Unfortunately, such metrics are difficult to find and some examples were given in [2, 4, 5, 8]. To our knowledge, there is no example of a non Ricci-parallel homogeneous Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature which supports the following conjecture.
**Conjecture 1.** Any homogeneous Riemannian manifold $M$ with harmonic curvature is Ricci-parallel.

This conjecture is true in dimension four (see [7]) and when $M$ is a sphere or a projective space (see [12]). It was proven in [11] for nilpotent Lie groups with left invariant metrics. Moreover, any conformally flat Riemannian manifold with constant scalar curvature satisfies (2) (see [2] Theorem 5.1) and any homogeneous conformally flat Riemannian manifold has Ricci-parallel curvature (see [13]). The purpose of this paper is to show that this conjecture is true when $M$ is a solvable Lie group endowed with a left invariant metric which implies that the conjecture is true for any homogeneous Riemannian manifold $G/K$ where $K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. We show also that the conjecture is true for any Lie group of dimension $≤ 6$ endowed with a left invariant metric.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic properties of the Lie algebra associated to a Lie group endowed with a left invariant metric with harmonic curvature. In Section 3, we prove Conjecture 1 for a solvable Lie group. This is based on a generalization of the main result of Lauret in [9]. In Section 4, we prove Conjecture 1 for any Lie group of dimension $≤ 6$. The proof for the dimensions $≤ 5$ is quite easy but, for dimension 6, it involves a huge computation where the use of Maple is needed.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we establish the basic tools needed for the proof of our main results. The results of this section were first proved in [1].

The study of left invariant Riemannian metrics on Lie groups reduces to the study of Lie algebras endowed with a Euclidean product. Let $(g, [ , ])$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra, i.e., a Lie algebra endowed with a bilinear nondegenerate form which is positive definite. The **Levi-Civita product** of $g$ is the bilinear map $L: g \times g \rightarrow g$ given by Koszul’s formula

$$2(L_u v, w) = (\{u, v\}, w) + (\{w, u\}, v) + (\{v, w\}, u) \quad \text{for any } u, v, w \in g. \quad (2)$$

For any $u, v \in g$, $L_u : g \rightarrow g$ is skew-symmetric and $[u, v] = L_u v - L_v u$. The curvature of $g$ is given by

$$K(u, v) = L_{[u,v]} - [L_u, L_v] \quad \text{for any } u, v \in g.$$ 

The Ricci curvature $\text{ric} : g \times g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and its Ricci operator $\text{Ric} : g \rightarrow g$ are defined by

$$\langle \text{Ric}(u), v \rangle = \text{ric}(u, v) = \text{tr} (w \rightarrow K(u, w)v) \quad \text{for any } u, v, w \in g.$$ 

The operator $\text{Ric}$ is symmetric and hence is diagonalizable. Thus there exists $\lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_r$ such that

$$g = g_{\lambda_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus g_{\lambda_r}$$

where $g_{\lambda_i}$ is the eigenspace of $\text{Ric}$ associated to the eigenvalues $\lambda_i$.

We call $(g, [ , ])$ a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature if $\text{Ric}$ is a Codazzi operator, i.e., for any $u, v, w \in g$,

$$\langle L_u v, \text{Ric}(w) \rangle + \langle L_u w, \text{Ric}(v) \rangle = \langle L_v u, \text{Ric}(w) \rangle + \langle L_v w, \text{Ric}(u) \rangle. \quad (3)$$

If we take $u \in g_{\lambda_i}, v \in g_{\lambda_j}$ and $w \in g_{\lambda_k}$, we get

$$(2\lambda_k - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\{u, v\}, w) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\{v, w\}, u) + (\{u, w\}, v)). \quad (4)$$
For $i = j \neq k$, we get that $g_i$ is a subalgebra and if we take $k = i \neq j$, we get
\[ \langle [v, u], w \rangle + \langle [v, w], u \rangle = 0 \quad u, w \in g_i, v \in g_j, i \neq j. \]

For any $i < j < k$ and $u_i \in g_i, u_j \in g_j, u_k \in g_k$, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
(2\lambda_i - \lambda_j - \lambda_k)\langle [u_i, u_k], u_j \rangle - (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)\langle ([u_i, u_k], u_j) + ([u_i, u_j], u_k) \rangle &= 0, \\
(2\lambda_j - \lambda_i - \lambda_k)\langle [u_j, u_k], u_i \rangle - (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)\langle ([u_j, u_k], u_i) + ([u_j, u_i], u_k) \rangle &= 0, \\
(2\lambda_k - \lambda_i - \lambda_j)\langle [u_k, u_i], u_j \rangle - (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\langle ([u_k, u_i], u_j) + ([u_k, u_j], u_i) \rangle &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We have
\[ (E1) - (E2) + (E3) = 0 \]
and if we put
\[ x = \langle [u_k, u_j], u_i \rangle, \quad y = \langle [u_k, u_i], u_j \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad z = \langle [u_i, u_j], u_k \rangle, \]
then the system becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
(\lambda_j + \lambda_k - 2\lambda_i)x + (\lambda_k - \lambda_i)y &= (\lambda_k - \lambda_i)z, \\
(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)x + (\lambda_i + \lambda_k - 2\lambda_j)y &= (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)z.
\end{align*}
\]
So
\[ x = \frac{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 z}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 z}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2}. \]
Thus
\[ (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [u_j, u_k], u_i \rangle + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [u_j, u_i], u_k \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [u_i, u_k], u_j \rangle + (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [u_i, u_j], u_k \rangle = 0. \]
So far, we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $(g, [\ ], \langle , \rangle)$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra, $\lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_r$ the eigenvalues of $\text{Ric}$ and $g = g_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus g_r$ is the splitting of $g$ on eigenspaces of $\text{Ric}$. Then $\text{Ric}$ is a Codazzi tensor if and only if:

1. for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, $g_i$ is a subalgebra of $g$.
2. for any $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ with $i \neq j$ and for any $u, v \in g_i$ and $w \in g_j$ then
\[ \langle [w, u], v \rangle + \langle [w, v], u \rangle = 0 \quad (6) \]
3. for any $i < j < k$ for any $u \in g_i, v \in g_j, w \in g_k$ then
\[ (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [v, w], u \rangle + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [v, u], w \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \langle [u, w], v \rangle + (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [u, v], w \rangle = 0. \]

In this case, $\text{Ric}$ is non parallel if and only if there exists a triple $(i, j, k)$ with $i < j < k$ and $w_0 \in g_i, v_0 \in g_j$ and $w_0 \in g_k$ such that $\langle [w_0, v_0], w_0 \rangle \neq 0$. 

3
Let us give an interpretation of assertion 3. in the theorem. For any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, denote by $u_i$ its component in $\mathfrak{g}_i$. Fix an index $k$ and define a new product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_k$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by
\[
\langle u, v \rangle_k = \sum_{j=1}^{r}(\delta_{jk} + (A_j - A_k)^2)(u_j, v_j), \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{g}.
\]
Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_k^\perp$ the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{g}_k$. It is easy to check that, for any $u_k \in \mathfrak{g}_k$ and for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$,
\[
\langle [u_k, v], w \rangle_k + \langle [u_k, w], v \rangle_k = 0
\]
and
\[
\rho_k : \mathfrak{g}_k \rightarrow \text{so}(\mathfrak{g}^\perp_k, \langle \ , \ \rangle_k) \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_k(u_k)(v) = \sum_{j \neq k} [u_k, v],
\]
is a representation. The proof of the following proposition is given in [1].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [ \ , \ ], \langle \ , \ \rangle)$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra whose Ricci operator is Codazzi. With the notations above, for any $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let $\{V_{i\alpha}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}_i$. Then, for any $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $u_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$,
\[
\text{ric}(u_i, u_i) = \text{ric}_c(u_i, u_i) - \sum_{j \neq k} \sum_{\alpha \beta} \frac{(A_k - A_j)(A_j - A_k)}{(A_k - A_j)^2}(\{V_{i\alpha}, V_{k\beta}\}, u_i)^2,
\]
where $\text{ric}_c$ is the Ricci curvature of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ endowed with the restriction of $\langle \ , \ \rangle$. Moreover, the scalar curvature $s$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies
\[
s = s_1 + \ldots + s_r,
\]
where $s_i$ is the scalar curvature of $\mathfrak{g}_i$.}

3. **Left invariant Riemannian metrics with harmonic curvature on solvable Lie groups are Ricci-parallel**

The proof of our main result is based on the following theorem which is a generalization of the famous theorem of Lauret [9] which shows that any solvable Einstein Euclidean Lie algebra is standard in the sense that the orthogonal of its derived ideal is abelian. Actually, the proof of Lauret’s theorem is still valid if we suppose that $\text{Ric}_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]} = c\text{Id}_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]}$ instead of $\text{Ric} = c\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. To convince the reader we copy Lauret’s proof and we give the minor modifications needed to adapt to our hypothesis.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [ \ , \ ], \langle \ , \ \rangle)$ be a solvable Lie algebra such that there exists a constant $c$ such that $\text{Ric}_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]} = c\text{Id}_{[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is standard, i.e., $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$ is abelian.

**Proof.** Put $n = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and $a = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]^\perp$. We have
\[
\text{Ric} = R - \frac{1}{2}B - S(\text{ad}_H)
\]
where $S(\text{ad}_H) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{ad}_H + \text{ad}_H^\ast)$, $\langle H, u \rangle = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_H)$, $\langle Bu, v \rangle = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_u \circ \text{ad}_v)$ and
\[
\begin{cases}
\langle Ru, v \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [u, e_i], e_j \rangle \langle v, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, e_j \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle [e_i, e_j], u \rangle \langle e_i, e_j \rangle, \\
\text{tr}(\text{Ric} + \frac{1}{2}B + S(\text{ad}_H))E = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle E(e_i, e_j), e_i \rangle - [E(e_i), e_j] - [e_i, E(e_j)], [e_i, e_j] \rangle, \\
\end{cases}
\]
(12)
for any endomorphism \( E \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \) and where \((e_1, \ldots, e_n)\) is an orthonormal basis of \( \mathfrak{g} \). Since \( \text{Ric} \) leaves \( \mathfrak{h} \) invariant it leaves \( \mathfrak{a} \) invariant and for any, \( s \in \mathfrak{a} \),

$$
\text{ric}(s, s) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [s, e_i], e_j \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(ad_s^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(ad_s \circ ad_s) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(ad_s^2) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}((ad_s + ad_s^2)^2) \leq 0
$$

(13)

and hence \( \text{ric}(u, u) \leq 0 \) for any \( u \in \mathfrak{g} \). If \( \mathfrak{g} \) is unimodular then \( \mathfrak{g} \) is standard according to [6, Theorem 1]. We suppose that \( \mathfrak{g} \) is not unimodular and we choose \((u_1, \ldots, u_p)\) and orthonormal basis of \( \mathfrak{h} \) and \((v_1, \ldots, v_q)\) and orthonormal of \( \mathfrak{a} \).

We have \( \text{tr}(ad_H) = |H|^2 > 0 \), \( \text{tr}(S(ad_H)ad_H) = \text{tr}(S(ad_H)^2) \) and since \( ad_H \) is a derivation, \( \text{tr}(B \circ ad_H) = 0 \). Moreover,

$$
\text{tr}(\text{Ric} \circ ad_H) = \sum_i \langle [H, u_i], \text{Ric}(u_i) \rangle + \sum_j \langle [H, v_j], \text{Ric}(v_j) \rangle = c \sum_i \langle [H, u_i], u_i \rangle = c \text{tr}(ad_H).
$$

So, by taking \( E = ad_H \) in (12), we get

$$
c = -\frac{\text{tr}(S(ad_H)^2)}{\text{tr}(S(ad_H))}.
$$

(14)

We identify \( \mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \) to \( \mathbb{R}^p \) via \((u_1, \ldots, u_p)\) and we set \( \mu = [\ , \ ]_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}} \). In this way, \( \mu \) can be viewed as an element of \( \mathcal{N} \subset V_p \). If \( \mu \neq 0 \) then \( \mu \) lies in a unique stratum \( \mathcal{S}_\beta, \beta \in \mathcal{B} \), by [9, Theorem 2.10, (ii)]. The argument used in the proof of Lauret’s theorem to show that one can assume \( \mu \in Y^\sym_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{S}_\beta \cap W_\beta \) can be used in our case, so we make the assumption.

We apply (12) to the endomorphism \( E \) given by \( E_{ii} = 0 \) and \( E_{[i]} = [i] + |[i]|_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}} \). The right hand side of the second equation in (12) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle E([u_i, u_j]) - [E(u_i), u_j] - [u_i, E(u_j)], [u_i, u_j] \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle E([v_i, v_j]), [v_i, v_j] \rangle
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle E([u_i, v_j]), [u_i, v_j] \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [v_i, E(u_i)], [v_i, u_i] \rangle,
$$

which in turn equal to

$$
\frac{1}{2} \langle \beta + [\beta] \mathit{Id}_s, \mu, \mu \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle ([\beta + [\beta] \mathit{Id}_s])v_i, v_j, [v_i, v_j] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle ([\beta \text{ad}_v - \text{ad}_v \beta]u_i, \text{ad}_v (u_i)) \rangle.
$$

(15)

The first and second terms in (15) are \( \geq 0 \) by [9, Lemma 2.16, Lemma 2.17] and the last one equals \( \langle [\beta, \text{ad}_v], \text{ad}_v \rangle \) which is \( \geq 0 \) by [9, (10)].

Therefore, since \( \text{Ric}_{[i]} = c \mathit{Id}_s \) and \( E_{[i]} = 0 \), we obtain from (12) and (13)

$$
-\frac{\text{tr}(S(ad_H)^2)}{\text{tr}(S(ad_H))} \text{tr}(E) + \text{tr}(S(ad_H)E) \geq 0.
$$

(16)

But \( \text{tr}(\beta) = -1 \) and so

$$
\text{tr}(E^2) = [\beta]^2(-1 + \frac{1}{p} |\beta|^2) = |\beta|^2 \text{tr}(E).
$$

(17)
On the other hand, we have
\[ \text{tr}(S(ad_H)E) = \text{tr}((ad_H)|_0(\beta + \|\beta\|^2 1_n)) = \|\beta\|^2 \text{tr}(S(ad_H)), \]
by [9, Lemma 2.15]. We use now (16), (17) and (18) to obtain
\[ \text{tr}(S(ad_H)^2) \leq (\text{tr}(S(ad_H)))^2. \]
a "backward" Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. This turns all inequalities mentioned after (16) into equalities, in particular the second term:
\[ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,r,s} \langle [\beta + \|\beta\|^2 1_n][v_i, v_r], [v_r, v_s] \rangle = 0. \]
We therefore get that $[a, a] = 0$ since $\beta + \|\beta\|^2 1_n$ is positive definite by [9, Lemma 2.17].

It only remains to consider the case $\mu = 0$. Here we argue in the same way but with $E$ chosen as $\text{E}_{in} = \text{Id}_n$ and $E_{\delta} = 0$. It then follows from (12) that
\[ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,r,s} \langle [v_i, v_r], [v_r, v_s] \rangle = -\text{tr}(S(ad_H)^2) + \text{tr}(S(ad_H)) = \frac{\text{tr}(S(ad_H)^2)}{\text{tr}(S(ad_H))} \left( \frac{(\text{tr}(S(ad_H)))^2}{\text{tr}(S(ad_H))} - p \right) \leq 0 \]
and thus $[n^4, n^+] = 0$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

Let $(g, \langle \ , \ \rangle)$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature. According to Theorem 2.1, $g = g_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus g_r$, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, $g_i$ is a subalgebra of $g$ and the equations (6) and (7) hold. For any $u \in g$, we denote by $u_i$ its component in $g_i$. For any $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we consider
\[ p_i = \sum_{j \neq i} [g_j, g_i], \quad b_i = p_i^+ \cap g_i. \]

**Proposition 3.1.** $b_i$ is a subalgebra which contains $[v_i, v_i]$ where $v_i$ is the radical of $g_i$. Moreover, $[[v_i, v_i], g] \subset g_i$ and for any $u \in b_i$ and any $v \in g_i$

\[ \text{ric}(u, v) = \lambda_i(u, v). \]

**Proof.** By virtue of (7), $u \in b_i$ if and only if for any $j \neq i$, $[u, g_j] \subset g_i \oplus g_j$. So if $u, v \in b_i$ and $w \in g_j$ with $j \neq i$ then
\[ [[u, v], w] = [u, [v, w]] - [v, [u, w]] = [u, [v, w]] + [u, [v, w]] - [v, [u, w]] - [v, [u, w]] \subset g_i \oplus g_j, \]
and hence $b_i$ is a subalgebra.

Let $v_i$ be the radical of $g_i$ and $\rho_i$ the representation of $g_i$ given by (9). Then $\rho_i(v_i)$ is a solvable subalgebra of $\text{so}(g_i^\perp)$ and hence it is abelian. So $\rho_i([v_i, v_i]) = 0$ and hence, for any $X \in [v_i, v_i]$, and any $j \neq i$, $[X, g_j] \subset g_i$, which shows that $[v_i, v_i] \subset b_i$ and $[[v_i, v_i], g] \subset g_i$. The last assertion is a consequence of (10).

We can prove now our main theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $g = g_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus g_r$ be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature. If $g_i$ is solvable for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ then $g$ is Ricci-parallel.
Proof. We will show that for any \( \{i, j, k\} \) which are mutually different, \( \langle g_r, [g_j, g_k]\rangle = 0 \). This is equivalent to \( v_i = \{0\} \) for any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \), where \( v_i \) is given by \( (20) \).

Suppose that \( \lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_r \). From \( (10) \), we deduce that for any \( u \in g_r \),
\[
\lambda_r |u|^2 \leq \ric_c(u, u)
\]
and hence \( \lambda_r \dim g_r \leq s_r \), where \( s_r \) is the scalar curvature of \( g_r \). But \( g_r \) is solvable and, according to \( [11]\) Theorem 3.1, \( s_r < 0 \) or \( g_r \) is flat, thus \( \lambda_r \leq 0 \).

Denote by \( S \) the set of \( j \) such that \( g_j \) is not flat and let \( i \in S \). Then, according to Proposition \( 3.1 \) \([g_j, g_j] \in g_j, [g_j, g_j] \subset h_j \), and hence for any \( u \in g_j \) and \( v \in [g_j, g_j] \)
\[
\ric_c(u, v) = \lambda_j(u, u).
\]
By virtue of Theorem \( 3.1 \) \([g_j, g_j] \subset g_j \) is abelian. Write
\[
g_j = [g_j, g_j] \oplus u_i \oplus t_i \quad \text{and} \quad [g_j, g_j] \cap g_j = u_i \oplus t_i,
\]
where
\[
t_i = \{ u \in [g_j, g_j] \cap g_j \mid \text{ad}_u \text{ is skew-symmetric}\}.
\]
Let us show that \( v_i \subset t_i \). Indeed, for any \( u_j \in g_j, u_k \in g_k \), and \( j \neq k \) both different from \( i \), and for any \( d \in [g_j, g_k] \), by the fact that \([g_j, g_k] \subset g_k \) and \( (6) \), we get
\[
0 = \langle [[u_j, u_k], d], d \rangle + \langle [[u_j, d], u_k], d \rangle + \langle [d, u_j], u_k], d \rangle + \langle [[u_j, u_k], d], d \rangle.
\]
On the other hand, by virtue of \( (10) \) and since \( v_i \subset t_i \), \( u_i \in h_j \), and for any \( u \in u_i \),
\[
\ric_c(u, u) = \lambda_j(u, u).
\]
Moreover, for any \( u \in t_i \), \( \text{ad}_u \) is skew-symmetric in restriction to \([g_j, g_j]\). But \( u_i \oplus t_i \) is abelian so \( \text{ad}_u \) is skew-symmetric in restriction to \( g_i \), and, by virtue of \( (13) \), \( \ric_c(u, u) = 0 \). So far we have shown that
\[
\ric_c(u, u) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i |u|^2 & \text{if } u \in [g_j, g_j] \oplus u_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \in t_i \end{cases}
\]
which implies that \( s_j = \lambda_j(\dim u_i + \dim [g_j, g_j]) \). Then the formula \( (11) \) can be written
\[
s = \lambda_1 \dim g_1 + \ldots + \lambda_r \dim g_r = \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j(\dim [g_j, g_j] + \dim u_j).
\]
So
\[
\sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \dim t_j + \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \dim g_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \dim t_j = \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \dim g_j = 0.
\]
If \( \lambda_r < 0 \) then for any \( j, g_j \) is not flat and \( t_j = \{0\} \) which implies \( v_j = \{0\} \) and the result follows. If \( \lambda_r = 0 \) then for any \( j \leq r - 1 \), \( g_j \) is not flat and \( t_j = v_j = \{0\} \). We will have also \( v_r = \{0\} \) by virtue of \( (7) \) which completes the proof. \( \square \)
Corollary 3.1. Let \( g \) be a solvable Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature. Then \( g \) is Ricci-parallel.

Corollary 3.2. Let \( g = g_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus g_r \) be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and not Ricci-parallel. Then there exists \( i \) such that \( \dim g_i \geq 3 \).

Corollary 3.3. Let \( G/K \) be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and \( K \) is a maximal compact subgroup of \( G \). Then \( G/K \) is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. Since \( K \) is a maximal compact subgroup of \( G \), there exists a solvable subgroup of \( G \) which acts simply transitively on \( G/K \) and hence \( G/K \) is isometric to a solvable Lie group with a left invariant metric.

4. Left invariant Riemannian metrics with harmonic curvature on Lie groups of dimension \( \leq 6 \) are Ricci-parallel

In this section, we prove that any left invariant Riemannian metric with harmonic curvature on a Lie group of dimension \( \leq 6 \) is Ricci-parallel. Throughout this section \( g = g_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus g_r \) is a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and we will not make any assumption on the order of the eigenvalues unless it is mentioned.

Theorem 4.1. Let \( g = g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 \) be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and \( \dim g_1 = \dim g_2 = 1 \). Then \( g \) is Ricci-parallel.

Proof. Put \( g_1 = \mathbb{R}u_1, g_2 = \mathbb{R}u_2 \) with \( |u_1| = |u_2| = 1 \). By virtue of (6), \( \omega = [u_1, u_2] \in g_3 \) and \( g \) is Ricci-parallel if and only if \( \omega = 0 \). Suppose that \( \omega \neq 0 \). As consequence of Proposition 3.1 we get \( g_3 = b_3 \oplus \mathbb{R} \omega \) and for any \( u \in b_3 \), \( \text{ric}_3(u, u) = \lambda_3(u, u) \) and \( \text{ric}_3(u, \omega) = 0 \).

Denote by \( A_i : g_3 \rightarrow g_3, v \mapsto [u, v]_3 \). Both \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are skew-symmetric. By virtue of (6), for any \( v \in g_3, [u_2, v]_2 = [u_1, v]_1 = 0 \) and hence

\[
[w,v] = [u_1, [u_2, v]] - [u_2, [u_1, v]]
= [u_1, A_2(v)] - [u_2, A_1(v)]
= [A_1, A_2](v) + ([u_1, A_2(v)], u_2)u_2 - ([u_2, A_1(v)], u_1)u_1.
\]

Thus

\[
(\text{ad}_\omega)_{g_3} = [A_1, A_2] \quad \text{and} \quad A_1(\omega) = A_2(\omega) = 0.
\]

On the other hand, for any \( v, w \in g_3 \),

\[
0 = \langle [u_1, [v, w]], u_2 \rangle + \langle [v, [w, u_1]], u_2 \rangle + \langle [w, [u_1, v]], u_2 \rangle
= \langle [u_1, [v, w]], u_2 \rangle + \langle [v, [w, u_1]], u_2 \rangle + \langle [w, [u_1, v]], u_2 \rangle
= (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1) \langle [v, w], [u_1, u_2] \rangle
= \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)^2}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)^2} \langle [v, w], [u_1, u_2] \rangle
= \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)^2}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)^2} ([v, w], [u_1, u_2])
\]

since \( [w, u_2]_2 = [v, u_2]_2 = 0 \). Thus \( \omega \in \{g_1, g_2, g_3\} \cap g_3 \). In conclusion, \( (\text{ad}_\omega)_{g_3} \) is skew-symmetric and \( \omega \in \{g_1, g_2, g_3\} \cap g_3 \) and hence, by virtue of (13), \( \text{ric}_3(\omega, \omega) = 0 \) and hence \( s_3 = \lambda_3(\dim g_3 - 1) \).

By virtue of (14),

\[
s = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \dim g_3 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = \lambda_3(\dim g_3 - 1)
\]
and hence
\[ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0. \] (21)

Let \((V_1, \ldots, V_p)\) and orthonormal basis of \(g_3\). By using (10), we get
\[
\lambda_1 = \text{ric}(u_1, u_1) = \text{ric}_1(u_1, u_1) - 2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}{2} \sum_i \langle [u_2, V_i], u_1 \rangle^2
\]
\[
= -2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)}{2} \sum_i \langle [u_2, V_i], \omega \rangle^2,
\]
\[
\lambda_2 = \text{ric}(u_2, u_2) = \text{ric}_2(u_2, u_2) - 2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}{2} \sum_i \langle [u_1, V_i], u_2 \rangle^2
\]
\[
= -2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}{2} \sum_i \langle [u_1, V_i], \omega \rangle^2,
\]
\[
\lambda_3|\omega|^2 = \text{ric}_3(\omega, \omega) - 2 \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)}{2} \langle [u_1, u_2], \omega \rangle^2
\]
\[
= -2 \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)}{2} |\omega|^4.
\]

Put \(\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j\). So we must have
\[
\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0, \lambda_1 = -\frac{A^2_{311}}{A^2_{12}} |\omega|^2, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{A^2_{312}}{A^2_{12}} |\omega|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{A^2_{313}}{A^2_{12}} |\omega|^2.
\]

This is equivalent to
\[
\lambda_1 A_{12} - \lambda_2 A_{23} A_3 = 2 A_{12} A_{13} + \lambda_3 A_{13} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{A^2_{12}}{A^2_{12}} |\omega|^2.
\]

This implies
\[
\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \lambda_2 = 0.
\]

Thus \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = 0\) which is impossible. So \(\omega = 0\) which completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Corollary 4.1.** Any left invariant Riemannian metric with harmonic curvature on a Lie group \(G\) of dimension \(\leq 5\) is Ricci-parallel.

**Proof.** Let \(g\) be the Lie algebra of \(G\). If the metric is nonRicci-parallel then Ric must have at least three distinct eigenvalues and at least one eigenvalue with multiplicity greater than 3 (See Corollary 3.2). This is not possible in dimensions 3 and 4. In dimension 5, the only possibility is \(g = g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3\) where \(\dim g_3 = 3\) and \(\dim g_1 = \dim g_2 = 1\). This is not possible by virtue of Theorem 4.1. \(\square\)
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Let \( g \) be a 6-dimensional Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature and suppose that \( g \) is not Ricci-parallel. By virtue of Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 5.1 we have two possibilities:
1. \( g = g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 \) with \( \dim g_1 = 1, \dim g_2 = 2 \) and \( g_3 \) is isomorphic either to \( su(2) \) or \( sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \).
2. \( g = g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 \oplus g_4 \) with \( \dim g_1 = \dim g_2 = \dim g_3 = 1 \) and \( g_4 \) is isomorphic either to \( su(2) \) or \( sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \).

We will see that both these cases are impossible.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( g = g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 \) be a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature such that \( \dim g_1 = 1, \dim g_2 = 2 \) and \( \dim g_3 = 3 \). Then \( g \) is Ricci-parallel.

**Proof.** We choose an orthonormal family \((X_1, X_2, X_3)\) such that \( g_1 = \mathbb{R}X_1, g_2 = \text{span}(X_2, X_3) \) and \([X_2, X_3] = aX_2\). The relation \((6)\) has many consequences. There exists \( p \in g_3 \) and \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) such that, for any \( Y \in g_3 \),
\[
[X_1, X_2] = rX_3 \quad \text{and} \quad [X_1, X_3] = -rX_2, \quad [Y, X_2] = \langle p, Y \rangle X_3 \quad \text{and} \quad [Y, X_3] = -\langle p, Y \rangle X_2.
\]
Moreover, if we put \( \omega_j = [X_1, X_j] \in g_3 \) for \( j = 2, 3 \) and for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), \( \rho_i : g_3 \to g_3 \), \( Y \mapsto [X_i, Y] \), the \( \rho_i \) are skew-symmetric. Finally, for any \( Y \in g_3, [X_1, X_j] = 0 \).

Now, for any \( Y \in g_3 \) and for \( j = 2, 3 \),
\[
[[X_1, X_j], Y] = [\omega_j, Y] + [[X_1, X_j], Y] = [X_1, [X_j, Y]] - [X_j, [X_1, Y]] = [X_1, \rho_j(Y)] + [X_1, [X_j, Y_12]] - [X_j, [X_1, Y_12]] = \rho_1 \circ \rho_j(Y) + [X_1, [X_j, Y_12]] - \rho_j \circ \rho_1(Y) - [X_j, \rho_1(Y)]_1 - [X_j, \rho_1(Y)]_2 - [X_j, [X_1, Y_12]].
\]

So we get
\[
\langle \text{ad}_{\omega_j} \rangle_{g_3} = [\rho_1, \rho_2] - r\rho_3 - \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_13, \quad \langle \text{ad}_{\omega_j} \rangle_{g_3} = [\rho_1, \rho_3] + r\rho_2 + \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_12,
\]
and, for any \( Y \in g_3 \),
\[
[[X_1, X_j], Y_1] + [[X_1, X_j], Y_2] = [X_1, \rho_j(Y)]_1 + [X_1, [X_j, Y_12]] - [X_j, \rho_j(Y)]_2 - [X_j, \rho_1(Y)]_1 - [X_j, [X_1, Y_12]].
\]

So
\[
\begin{align*}
(r([X_3, Y], X_1) + ([X_2, \rho_1(Y)], X_1) &= 0, \\
r(p, Y)X_2 &= [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + r(p, Y)X_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y)X_2 - ([X_1, Y_12], X_3)[X_2, X_3], \\\n&= [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + r(p, Y)X_2 - ([X_1, Y_12], X_3)[X_2, X_3], \\
&= [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 + r(p, Y)X_2 - ([X_1, Y_22], X_2)[X_3, X_2].
\end{align*}
\]
Thus
\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_1(\omega_12) &= r\omega_13 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1(\omega_13) = -r\omega_12, \\
0 &= [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y)X_3 - ([X_1, Y_12], X_3)[X_2, X_3], \\
0 &= [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 - \langle p, \rho_1(Y)X_2 - ([X_1, Y_12], X_2)[X_3, X_2],
\end{align*}
\]
and finally,
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \text{ad}_{\omega_12} \rangle_{g_3} &= [\rho_1, \rho_2] - r\rho_3 - \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_13, \\
\langle \text{ad}_{\omega_13} \rangle_{g_3} &= [\rho_1, \rho_3] + r\rho_2 + \langle p, \bullet \rangle \omega_12, \\
\rho_1(\omega_12) &= r\omega_13 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1(\omega_13) = -r\omega_12, \\
0 &= [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y)X_3 - ([X_1, Y_12], X_3)[X_2, X_3], \\
0 &= [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 - \langle p, \rho_1(Y)X_2 - ([X_1, Y_12], X_2)[X_3, X_2].
\end{align*}
\]
On the other hand, 

\[
[[X_1, X_2], X_3] = [\omega_{12}, X_3] = -\rho_3(\omega_{12}) - \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle X_2 + \langle \omega_{12}, X_3 \rangle X_1, \\
= [X_1, [X_2, X_3]] - [X_2, [X_1, X_3]] = a[X_1, X_2] - [X_2, \omega_{13}], \\
[[X_1, X_3], X_2] = [\omega_{13}, X_2] = -\rho_2(\omega_{13}) + \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle X_3 + \langle \omega_{13}, X_2 \rangle X_1, \\
= [X_1, [X_3, X_2]] - [X_3, [X_1, X_2]] = -a[X_1, X_2] - [X_3, \omega_{12}].
\]

So

\[
\begin{cases}
-\rho_3(\omega_{12}) - \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle X_2 + \langle \omega_{12}, X_3 \rangle X_1 = a\omega_{12} + arX_3 - \rho_2(\omega_{13}) + \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle X_3 - \langle \omega_{13}, X_2 \rangle X_1, \\
-\rho_2(\omega_{13}) + \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle X_3 + \langle \omega_{13}, X_2 \rangle X_1 = -a\omega_{12} - arX_3 - \rho_3(\omega_{12}) - \langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle X_2 - \langle \omega_{12}, X_1 \rangle X_1,
\end{cases}
\]

and hence

\[
\langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle = \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle = 0, \quad ar = -\langle p, \omega_{12} \rangle = -\langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle = \rho_3(\omega_{12}) = \rho_2(\omega_{13}) \text{ and } -\rho_2(\omega_{13}) = -\rho_1(\omega_{12}).
\]

Suppose that \( g \) is not Ricci-parallel then \( \dim \text{span}(\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}) \geq 1 \) and, according to Theorem \( \ref{thm:dim-span} \), \( g_3 \) is isomorphic either to \( su(2) \) or \( sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \).

Suppose that \( \dim \text{span}(\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}) = 1 \). Then there exists \( (\mu_1, \mu_2) \neq (0, 0) \) such that \( \mu_1 \omega_{12} + \mu_2 \omega_{13} = 0 \). The vector \( X = \mu_2 \omega_{12} - \mu_1 \omega_{13} \neq 0 \) and, by virtue of \( \ref{eq:omega-12} \), \( \text{ad}_X \) is skew-symmetric. The orthogonal of \( X \) is the subalgebra \( g_3 \) defined in \( \ref{eq:g3-def} \) and since \( \text{ad}_X \) is skew-symmetric it leaves invariant \( g_3 \) and hence it is an ideal which is not possible since \( g_3 \) is simple.

Suppose now that \( \dim \text{span}(\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}) = 2 \). We have

\[
ar = \langle p, \omega_{13} \rangle = 0.
\]

If \( r = 0 \) then we get from \( \ref{eq:omega-12} \) that \( \rho_1(\omega_{12}) = \rho_1(\omega_{13}) = 0 \) and hence \( \rho_1 = 0 \) and then \( [\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}] = 0 \) which is impossible since \( g_3 \) does not contain an abelian subalgebra.

If \( a = 0 \) and \( r \neq 0 \). From \( \ref{eq:omega-13} \), we have for any \( Y \in g_3 \),

\[
[X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2 + \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_3 = [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2 - \langle p, \rho_1(Y) \rangle X_2 = 0.
\]

But \( p \in [\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}]^\perp \) and hence \( \rho_1(p) = 0 \). So

\[
\langle [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2, X_2 \rangle = \langle [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2, X_3 \rangle = \langle [X_1, \rho_2(Y)]_2, X_3 \rangle = [X_1, \rho_3(Y)]_2, X_2 = 0.
\]

By using \( \ref{eq:rho} \), we get that \( \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0 \). This implies that \( [\omega_{12}, \omega_{13}] = 0 \) which is impossible. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( g = g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3 \oplus g_4 \) a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature such that \( \dim g_1 = \dim g_2 = \dim g_3 = 1, \dim g_4 = 3 \) and \( \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 \). If \( g \) is not Ricci-parallel then there exists an orthonormal basis \((e_1, \ldots, e_6)\) of \( g \) with \( e_i \in g_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) and \((e_4, e_5, e_6)\) is a basis of \( g_4 \) such that the non vanishing Lie brackets are

\[
\begin{align*}
[e_4, e_5] &= a e_6, \quad [e_4, e_6] = b e_5 \quad \text{and} \quad [e_5, e_6] = c e_4, \\
[e_1, e_4] &= \mu_1 e_4 + \frac{a}{b} e_5, \quad [e_1, e_5] = \mu_2 e_5 - \frac{b}{a} e_4, \quad [e_2, e_3] = \mu_3 e_6 + r e_1, \\
[e_1, e_1] &= a_1 e_5 - \frac{a}{b} e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = -a_1 e_4 - \frac{c}{b} e_3, \\
[e_2, e_4] &= a_2 e_6 + \frac{a}{b} e_1, \quad [e_2, e_5] = -a_2 e_4 - \frac{c}{b} e_3, \\
[e_3, e_4] &= a_3 e_6 + \frac{a}{b} e_1, \quad [e_3, e_5] = -a_3 e_4 + \frac{c}{b} e_2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( a \neq 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0, \mu_i > 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, r, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \lambda_{ij} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j \).
Proof. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, put $g_i = \mathbb{R} X_i$ with $|X_i| = 1$ and $\omega_{ij} = [X_i, X_j]_4$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. By virtue of (5), we have

$$[X_1, X_2] = r_3 X_3 + \omega_{12}, \quad [X_1, X_3] = r_2 X_2 + \omega_{13} \quad \text{and} \quad [X_2, X_3] = r_1 X_1 + \omega_{23}.$$ 

For any $X \in g_1 \oplus g_2 \oplus g_3$, denote by $\rho(X) : g_4 \rightarrow g_4$, $Y \mapsto [X, Y]_4$ and for $i = 1, 2, 3 \rho_i = \rho(X_i)$. For $Y \in g_4$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$,

$$[[X, X], Y] = \omega_{ij}, Y + \sum_{l = 4}^{4}[[X, X]_l, Y],$$

$$= [X, [X, Y]] - [X_j, [X, Y]]$$

$$= [X_i, \rho_j(Y)] + \sum_{l = 4}^{4}[[X_i, [X, Y]_l] - [X_j, \rho_i(Y)] - \sum_{l = 4}^{4}[[X, [X, Y]_l]].$$

So

$$\omega_{ij}, Y + \sum_{l = 4}^{4}[[X, X]_l, Y] = [X_i, \rho_j(Y)] - [X_j, \rho_i(Y)] + \sum_{l = 4}^{4}([X_i, [X, Y]_l] - [X_j, [X, Y]_l]).$$

(24)

By taking the $g_4$-component, we get

$$(\text{ad}_{\omega_i})_{g_4}(Y) = [\rho_i, \rho_j](Y) - \sum_{l = 4}^{4} \rho([X_i, X]_l)(Y) + \sum_{l = 4}^{4} ([X_i, [X, Y]_l] - [X_j, [X, Y]_l]).$$

Define $F_{ij} : g_4 \rightarrow g_4$ by

$$F_{ij}(Y) = [X_i, [X, Y]_l]_4 - [X_j, [X, Y]_l]_4 + \sum_{l = 4}^{4} ([X_i, [X, Y]_l] - [X_j, [X, Y]_l]).$$

So we get

$$(\text{ad}_{\omega_i})_{g_4} = \rho_i, \rho_j - \sum_{l = 4}^{4} \rho([X_i, X]_l) + F_{ij}.$$ 

Having (6) and (7) in mind, we have

$$F_{12}(Y) = [X_1, [X, Y]_1]_4 - [X_2, [X, Y]_1]_4 = \langle [X_1, Y], X_2 \rangle \omega_{13} - \langle [X_1, Y], X_3 \rangle \omega_{23}$$

$$= -\frac{\lambda_{14}}{\lambda_{23}}(Y, \omega_{23}) \omega_{13} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{13}}(Y, \omega_{13}) \omega_{23},$$

$$F_{13}(Y) = [X_1, [X, Y]_2]_4 - [X_3, [X, Y]_2]_4 = \langle [X_3, Y], X_2 \rangle \omega_{12} + \langle [X_1, Y], X_2 \rangle \omega_{23}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{13}}(Y, \omega_{23}) \omega_{12} + \frac{\lambda_{14}}{\lambda_{12}}(Y, \omega_{12}) \omega_{23},$$

$$F_{23}(Y) = [X_2, [X, Y]_1]_4 - [X_3, [X, Y]_1]_4 = -\langle [X_3, Y], X_1 \rangle \omega_{12} + \langle [X_2, Y], X_1 \rangle \omega_{13}$$

$$= -\frac{\lambda_{14}}{\lambda_{13}}(Y, \omega_{13}) \omega_{12} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{12}}(Y, \omega_{12}) \omega_{13}.$$ 

Thus

$$\begin{align*}
(\text{ad}_{\omega_1})_{g_4} &= [\rho_1, \rho_2] - r_3, \rho_3 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{13}}(\rho_2, \omega_{23}) \omega_{13} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{14}}(\rho_2, \omega_{13}) \omega_{23}, \\
(\text{ad}_{\omega_2})_{g_4} &= [\rho_1, \rho_3] - r_2, \rho_2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{12}}(\rho_1, \omega_{23}) \omega_{12} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{14}}(\rho_1, \omega_{12}) \omega_{23}, \\
(\text{ad}_{\omega_3})_{g_4} &= [\rho_2, \rho_3] - r_1, \rho_1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{12}}(\rho_2, \omega_{13}) \omega_{12} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{14}}(\rho_2, \omega_{13}) \omega_{13}. 
\end{align*}$$

(25)
Now, for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ we identify the $\rho_k$-component of (24) for $k = 1, 2, 3$,

\[
\begin{align*}
& r_1([X_3, Y], X_1) = -([X_2, \rho_1(Y)], X_1) - ([X_2, [X_1, Y]], X_1), \\
& r_3([X_3, Y], X_2) = ([X_1, \rho_2(Y)], X_2) + ([X_1, [X_2, Y]], X_2), \\
& 0 = ([X_1, \rho_1(Y)], X_3) - ([X_2, \rho_1(Y)], X_3). 
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

We do the same for $(i, j) = (1, 3)$,

\[
\begin{align*}
& r_2([X_2, Y], X_1) = -([X_1, \rho_1(Y)], X_1) - ([X_3, [X_1, Y]], X_1), \\
& 0 = ([X_1, \rho_3(Y)], X_2) - ([X_3, \rho_1(Y)], X_2), \\
& r_2([X_2, Y], X_3) = ([X_1, \rho_2(Y)], X_3) + ([X_1, [X_3, Y]], X_3). 
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

Finally, we take $(i, j) = (2, 3)$,

\[
\begin{align*}
& 0 = ([X_2, \rho_3(Y)], X_1) - ([X_3, \rho_2(Y)], X_1), \\
& r_1([X_1, Y], X_2) = -([X_3, \rho_2(Y)], X_2) - ([X_3, [X_2, Y]], X_2), \\
& r_1([X_1, Y], X_3) = ([X_2, \rho_3(Y)], X_3) + ([X_2, [X_3, Y]], X_3). 
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

By using (7), we get that (26)-(28) are equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
& r_1 \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle \right) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{13}) \rangle + r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle, \\
& r_2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle \right) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_2(\omega_{23}) \rangle - r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle, \\
& \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \rho_2(\omega_{13}) \right) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \rho_1(\omega_{23}), \\
& r_2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle \right) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{13}) \rangle - r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle, \\
& \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{13}) \rangle \right) - r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle = 0, \\
& \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_2(\omega_{23}) \rangle \right) - r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle = 0, \\
& \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \rho_2(\omega_{13}) \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{13}) \rangle \right) = 0, \\
& \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_1(\omega_{13}) \rangle \right) - r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle = 0, \\
& \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_2(\omega_{23}) \rangle - r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{23} \rangle = 0, \\
& \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_3(\omega_{12}) \rangle = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_2(\omega_{12}) \rangle + r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{12} \rangle, \\
& \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \rho_3(\omega_{23}) \rangle - r_3 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle Y, \omega_{13} \rangle. \\
\end{align*}
\]

These relations hold for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_4$ and hence

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_1(\omega_{13}) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} - r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) \omega_{13}, \\
\rho_1(\omega_{13}) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) \omega_{12}, \\
\rho_2(\omega_{12}) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) \omega_{23}, \\
\rho_2(\omega_{23}) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) \omega_{12}, \\
\rho_3(\omega_{13}) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( r_3 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + r_1 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) \omega_{23}, \\
\rho_3(\omega_{23}) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( r_2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + r_3 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) \omega_{13}.
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{i3}^2 \lambda_{12}^2 \rho_2(\omega_{12}) - \lambda_{i3}^2 \lambda_{12}^2 \rho_2(\omega_{23}) &= 0, \\
\lambda_{i3}^2 \lambda_{12}^2 \rho_3(\omega_{12}) + \lambda_{i3}^2 \lambda_{12}^2 \rho_3(\omega_{23}) &= 0, \\
-\lambda_{i3}^2 \lambda_{12}^2 \rho_3(\omega_{12}) + \lambda_{i3}^2 \lambda_{12}^2 \rho_2(\omega_{12}) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
The determinant of this system in \((\rho_1(\omega_{13}),\rho_2(\omega_{13}),\rho_3(\omega_{13}))\) in non zero and hence
\[
\rho_1(\omega_{13}) = \rho_2(\omega_{13}) = \rho_3(\omega_{13}) = 0.
\] (30)

From (25), (29) and (30), span\([\omega_{12},\omega_{13},\omega_{23}] = \nu_4\) is a subalgebra invariant by \(\rho_i\) for \(i = 1,2,3\). Moreover, by virtue of Proposition (20), its orthogonal \(\mathfrak{h}_4\) is a subalgebra. On the other hand, the endomorphisms \([\rho_1,\rho_2] = \rho_3\rho_2 + \rho_1\rho_3\) and \([\rho_2,\rho_3] = \rho_1\rho_2 - \rho_1\rho_3\) are skew-symmetric and leave invariant \(\nu_4\) so they leave invariant \(\mathfrak{h}_4\). This implies, by virtue of (25), that \(\mathfrak{h}_4\) is an ideal of \(\mathfrak{g}_4\). But, since \(\mathfrak{g}\) is not Ricci-parallel, \(\mathfrak{g}_4\) is not solvable by virtue of Theorem 3.2 and hence it is simple. But \(\nu_4 \neq \{0\}\) otherwise \(\mathfrak{g}_4\) is Ricci-parallel. In conclusion \(\mathfrak{h}_4 = 0\) and \(\mathfrak{g}_4 = \nu_4\).

Let us show now that \([\omega_{12},\omega_{13},\omega_{23}]\) is orthonormal. This is true if \(\rho_i \neq 0\) for some \(i\) by virtue of (29) and (30). Suppose now that \(\rho_1 \neq \rho_2 \neq \rho_3 = 0\). From (25), we get
\[
[\omega_{12},\omega_{13}] = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_{13}^2} (\omega_{13},\omega_{23})\omega_{13} + \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_{13}^4} (\omega_{13},\omega_{13})\omega_{23} = \frac{\lambda_2^4}{\lambda_{13}^4} (\omega_{13},\omega_{23})\omega_{13} + \frac{\lambda_4^2}{\lambda_{13}^4} (\omega_{13},\omega_{12})\omega_{23}
\]
This shows that \((\omega_{13},\omega_{23}) = (\omega_{12},\omega_{23}) = 0\) and a similar argument gives \((\omega_{13},\omega_{12}) = 0\).

In conclusion, we take \(e_i = X_i\) for \(i = 1,2,3\) and \((\omega_{12},\omega_{13},\omega_{23}) = (\mu_1e_4,\mu_2e_5,\mu_3e_6)\) to get the desired expression of the Lie brackets.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_4\) a Euclidean Lie algebra with harmonic curvature such that \(\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_3 = 1, \dim \mathfrak{g}_4 = 3\) and \(\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3\). Then \(\mathfrak{g}\) is Ricci-parallel.

**Proof.** Suppose that \(\mathfrak{g}\) is not Ricci-parallel. Then we can apply Proposition 4.1 and there exists an orthonormal basis \(\mathcal{B} = (e_1,\ldots,e_6)\) of \(\mathfrak{g}\) such that (23) holds. For any \(u,v,w \in \mathfrak{g}\), put
\[
J(u,v,w) = [u,[v,w]] + [v,[w,u]] + [w,[u,v]]
\]
and denote by \(u_i\) the \(i\)-component of \(u\) in the basis \(\mathcal{B}\). A direct computation gives
\[
\begin{align*}
J(e_1,e_4,e_6) &= -bx_1 - \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} - \alpha_1 c = 0, \\
J(e_1,e_5,e_6) &= \alpha_1 c - \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} + bx_1 = 0, \\
J(e_2,e_4,e_6) &= -\alpha_2 c + \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} + \alpha_2 c = 0, \\
J(e_2,e_5,e_6) &= \alpha_2 c - \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} - \alpha_2 c = 0, \\
J(e_3,e_4,e_6) &= -\alpha_3 c - \frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} - \alpha_3 b = 0, \\
J(e_3,e_5,e_6) &= \alpha_3 b + \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} + \alpha_3 c = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
If \(E_i\) designs the \(i\)-equation in this system then \(E_1 + E_2 = 0\), \(E_3 - E_4 = 0\) and \(E_5 + E_6 = 0\) are equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} - \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} &= 0, \\
\frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} + \alpha_3\lambda_{34}^2\mu_3 &= 0, \\
\frac{\alpha_1\lambda_{14}^2}{\lambda_{13}^2} + \frac{\alpha_2\lambda_{34}^2\mu_2}{\lambda_{23}^2} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
This is equivalent to

\[
 M = \begin{pmatrix}
 \mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \mu_2 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & \mu_3
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix}
 \frac{\alpha_2 \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_2^2} & -\frac{\alpha_3 \lambda_3^2}{\lambda_3^2} & 0 \\
 -\frac{\alpha_3 \lambda_3^2}{\lambda_3^2} & 0 & \frac{\alpha_2 \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_2^2} \\
 0 & \frac{\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} & \frac{\alpha_2 \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_2^2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since \((\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)\) we get

\[
 \det M = 2 \frac{\alpha_2 \lambda_4^2 \alpha_3 \lambda_2^2 \alpha_1 \lambda_3^2}{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \lambda_3^2} = 0.
\]

We conclude that \(\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0\).

On the other hand,

\[
\begin{align*}
 J(e_1, e_2, e_3)_1 &= -\frac{\mu_3}{\lambda_3^2} \frac{\lambda_4^3 - \lambda_4^4 \lambda_2^4}{\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2} = 0, \\
 J(e_1, e_2, e_6)_2 &= -\frac{\mu_3}{\lambda_3^2} \frac{\lambda_4^3 - \lambda_4^4 \lambda_2^4}{\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2} = 0, \\
 J(e_1, e_3, e_4)_3 &= \frac{\mu_3}{\lambda_3^2} \frac{\lambda_4^3 - \lambda_4^4 \lambda_2^4}{\alpha_1 \lambda_1^2} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

If \(r \neq 0\) this is equivalent to

\[
(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) = 0.
\]

But

\[
\begin{align*}
 (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1), \\
 (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1), \\
 (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) + (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) &= 3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4),
\end{align*}
\]

which shows that this situation is impossible and hence \(r = 0\).

So far we have shown that \(r = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0\). Now,

\[
 J(e_1, e_2, e_5)_6 = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \mu_3}{\lambda_3^2} - \mu_1 a, \quad J(e_1, e_2, e_6)_5 = -\frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \mu_2}{\lambda_3^2} - \mu_1 b, \quad J(e_1, e_3, e_4)_4 = \frac{\lambda_3 \lambda_1 \mu_1}{\lambda_1^2} - \mu_2 c
\]

and hence

\[
 a = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \mu_3}{\lambda_3^2 \mu_1}, \quad b = \frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 \mu_2}{\lambda_3^2 \mu_1} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{\lambda_3 \lambda_1 \mu_1}{\lambda_1^2 \mu_2}.
\]

With all the relations established above, the vanishing of the Jacobi identity is equivalent to

\[
\lambda_1^2 \mu_1^2 - \lambda_3^2 \mu_1^2 = \lambda_1^2 \mu_2^2 - \lambda_3^2 \mu_1^2 = \lambda_1^2 \mu_1^2 - \lambda_3^2 \mu_2^2 = 0,
\]

which is equivalent to

\[
\mu_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_3 = \frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}.
\]

We replace in \(a, b, c\) and we get

\[
 a = \frac{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \mu_1}{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_3}, \quad b = \frac{\lambda_2^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_1 \mu_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{\lambda_3^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_1 \mu_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}.
\]
Now, by using Maple we get
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{e_i} (\text{Ric})(e_2, e_4) - \nabla_{e_2} (\text{Ric})(e_1, e_4) &= 4 \mu_1^3 \lambda (\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_4)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_4^2 - 2\lambda_2\lambda_4 + \lambda_2^2, \\
\nabla_{e_i} (\text{Ric})(e_3, e_5) - \nabla_{e_3} (\text{Ric})(e_1, e_5) &= 4 \mu_1^3 \lambda (\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_4)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_4^2 - 3\lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_2^2), \\
\nabla_{e_i} (\text{Ric})(e_3, e_6) - \nabla_{e_3} (\text{Ric})(e_2, e_6) &= 4 \mu_1^3 \lambda (\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_4)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_4^2 - 3\lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_2^2). \\
\end{align*}
\]

So we must have
\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 &= \lambda_1^2 + (-3\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_4)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^2 - 2\lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_2^2 = 0, \\
q_2 &= \lambda_1^2 + (-3\lambda_3 - 4\lambda_4)\lambda_1 + \lambda_3^2 - 3\lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_2^2 = 0, \\
q_3 &= \lambda_2^2 + (-3\lambda_3 - 4\lambda_4)\lambda_2 + \lambda_3^2 - 3\lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_2^2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

This is equivalent
\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 - q_2 &= -(\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_1)(-\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 - 4\lambda_4) = 0, \\
q_1 - q_3 &= (\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_4 - \lambda_3) = 0, \\
q_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

which is equivalent to
\[
\begin{align*}
p_1 &= -(\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_3) = 0, \\
p_2 &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_4 + \lambda_3) = 0, \\
p_3 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

This implies that \( p_1 + p_2 = 2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0 \) which is impossible. This completes the proof.

Recall that a left-invariant symmetric tensor field \( A \) on \( \mathfrak{g} \) is called essential Codazzi if it satisfies the Codazzi equation, it is non parallel and none of its eigenspace subalgebras \( \mathfrak{g}_k \) is an ideal. The proof of the precedent result gives the following:

**Proposition 4.2.** We consider the 6-dimensional Euclidean Lie algebra with an orthonormal basis \((e_1, \ldots, e_6)\) in which the non-vanishing Lie brackets are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
[e_1, e_2] &= \mu_1 e_4, [e_1, e_3] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_5, [e_1, e_4] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_6, [e_1, e_5] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_3, \\
[e_2, e_3] &= \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_6, [e_2, e_4] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_1, [e_2, e_6] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_3, [e_3, e_5] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_1, \\
[e_3, e_6] &= \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_2, [e_4, e_5] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_6, [e_4, e_6] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_5, [e_5, e_6] = \frac{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)\mu_1}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2} e_4.
\end{align*}
\]

Then the operator \( A = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_4, \lambda_4) \) is a symmetric essential Codazzi tensor. This Lie algebra is compact (its Killing form is negative definite) and hence it is isomorphic to \( \text{su}(2) \oplus \text{su}(2) \).
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