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Abstract

Peskin’s Immersed Boundary (IB) model and method is one of the most popular modeling tools and numerical methods. The IB method has been known to be first order accurate in the velocity. However, almost no rigorous theoretical proof can be found in the literature for Stokes equations with no-slip boundary conditions. In this paper, using the idea of the immersed interface method, the convergence proof of the IB method for elliptic interface problems, it has been shown first time that the pressure of the Stokes equation has convergence order $O(\sqrt{h})$ in the $L^2$ norm while the velocity has $O(h)$ convergence in the $L^\infty$ norm in two-dimensions (2D). The proof process also provides an efficient way to solve the coupled system efficiently. The proof is intuitive and the conclusion can apply to different boundary conditions as long as the problem is well-posed. The proof process also provides an efficient way to decoupled the system into three Helmholtz/Poisson equations without affect the accuracy. A non-trivial numerical example is also provided to confirm the theoretical analysis.
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1 Introduction

The Immersed Boundary (IB) method [?] is one of the most important modeling tools and numerical methods. The IB method has been applied to many problems in mathematics and engineering including biology, fluid mechanics, material science, electric-magnetics, magneto-hydrodynamics, see for example, [?] for a review and references therein.

The IB method for incompressible Stokes equations with a prescribed $u$ have the following forms,

$$\nabla p = \mu \Delta u + G + \int_G f(s) \delta_2(x - X(s))ds, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2)$$

$$u(x)|_{\partial \Omega} = u_0(x). \quad (3)$$
This paper will focus on two-dimensional (2D) problems. Thus, in the expression above, we have \( x = (x, y) \), \( u = (u, v) \), \( f = (f_1, f_2) \). We assume that \( \mu \) is a constant, \( s \) is a parameter, e.g., the arc-length of the interface \( \Gamma \), \( G \in C(\Omega^\pm) \), \( \Gamma(s) \in C^1 \), \( f(s) \in C^1 \), see Fig. 1 for an illustration.

It is know that Peskin’s IB method is only first order accurate for the velocity for incompressible Stokes equation in the \( L^\infty \) norm, and However, there was almost no rigorous proof in the literature until recently [\textsuperscript{?}], in which the author has proved the first order accuracy of the IB method for the Stokes equations with a periodic boundary condition. The proof is based on some known inequalities between the fundamental solution and the discrete Green function with a periodic boundary condition for Stokes equations. In [\textsuperscript{?}], the author showed that the pressure obtained from IB method has \( O(h^{1/2}) \) order of convergence in the \( L^2 \) norm for a 1D model. In [\textsuperscript{?}, \textsuperscript{?}], the authors designed some level set methods based on discrete delta functions. With suitable quadrature formulas in the integral form using the Green functions, the authors show that their approach can get expected accuracy. However, there are few theoretical proofs on the IB method for elliptic interface problems with general boundary conditions. This is the main motivation of this paper. One difficulty is that there are little known estimates between the fundamental solution and the discrete Green function with Dirichlet or other boundary conditions on rectangular domains. Compared with the case of periodic boundary conditions where there are existing estimates between the discrete Green function and the continuous one [\textsuperscript{?}], there is almost none for Dirichlet and other boundary conditions. The main goal of this paper is to provide a convergence proof for the IB method for elliptic interface problems with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We will show that with commonly used discrete delta functions that satisfy the zeroth moment condition and first order interpolation property, the IB method is indeed first order convergent in the \( L^\infty \) norm with a log \( h \) factor. The key in our proof is to establish a connection between the discrete Green function and the continuous one. Our proof is essentially independent of the boundary conditions and it is valid in 1D, 2D, and 3D cases. The result should be applicable for many IB methods involving Stokes and Navier-Stokes solvers.

2 Proof of the convergence of the IB method in 2D

The discussion for 2D problems is much more challenging since the interface is often a curve instead of a point. In [\textsuperscript{?}], the author has proved the first order convergence of the IB method for the Stokes equations with a periodic boundary condition in 2D based on existing estimates between the discrete Green function and the continuous one in [\textsuperscript{?}]. However, there are almost no theoretical proofs on the IB method for elliptic interface problems or other PDEs with general boundary conditions. We will prove that the result obtained from the IB for the elliptic interface problem with a Dirichlet boundary condition is indeed first order accurate in this section.

Figure 1: A diagram of a 2D elliptic interface problem. The interface is \( \Gamma \).
Using the idea of the immersed interface method [], the incompressible Stokes equations with an immersed interface \( \Gamma \) can also be written as

\[
\nabla p = \mu \Delta u + G, \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma, \quad (4)
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (5)
\]

\[
u(x,y)|_{\partial \Omega} = u_0(x,y) \quad (6)
\]

\[
[p] = \hat{f}_1(s), \quad [p_n] = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \hat{f}_2(s) + [G \cdot n]; \quad (7)
\]

\[
u = 0, \quad [u_n] = \hat{f}_2(s) \tau. \quad (8)
\]

where the normal and tangential force densities are define as

\[
\hat{f}_1(s) = f(s) \cdot n, \quad \hat{f}_2(s) = f(s) \cdot \tau. \quad (9)
\]

In component-wise, we also have

\[
[u_n] = [p] \cos \theta - f_1 = \hat{f}_2 \sin \theta,
\]

\[
v_n = [p] \sin \theta - f_2 = -\hat{f}_2 \cos \theta, \quad (10)
\]

Advantages of the expressions above include getting rid of singular Dirac delta functions in terms of the jump conditions, also called internal boundary conditions so that high order methods such as IIM, IFEM, MIB, virtual nodes methods, and other high order methods; and more important, the different singularities for the primitive variables and relations for the source strength, which is important in our convergence proof.

For the convergence analysis, we assume that \( u \in C^2(\Omega^\pm) \) and \( p(x) \in C^1(\Omega^\pm) \) throughout the paper, that is, the velocity is continuous in the entire domain, and the first and second partial derivatives are piecewise continuous and bounded excluding the interface; the velocity and its first partial derivatives are piecewise continuous and bounded excluding the interface.

3 The immersed boundary method for the Stokes equations

We assume that \( \Omega \) is a rectangular domain \([a, b] \times [c, d]\). For simplification of discussion, we use a uniform mesh

\[
x_i = a + ih, \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, M; \quad y_j = c + jh, \quad j = 0, 1, \cdots, N. \quad (11)
\]

We use a number of control points \((X_k, Y_k)\) to express the moving interface \(X(s) = (X(s), Y(s))\), where \( s \) is the arc-length of the interface.

For \( i, j = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1 \), the discrete form of the Stokes equations are

\[
\mu \frac{u_{i-1,j} + u_{i,j-1} + u_{i,j+1} + u_{i,j+1} - 4u_{ij}}{h^2} - \frac{p_{i+1,j} - p_{i,j}}{h} = F^1_{ij}, \quad (12)
\]

\[
\mu \frac{v_{i-1,j} + v_{i+1,j} + v_{i,j-1} + v_{i,j+1} - 4v_{ij}}{h^2} - \frac{p_{i,j+1} - p_{i,j}}{h} = F^2_{ij}, \quad (13)
\]

\[
\frac{u_{i+1,j} - u_{i,j}}{h} + \frac{v_{i,j+1} - v_{i,j}}{h} = 0. \quad (14)
\]
where for example

\[
F_{ij}^1 = G_{ij}^1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} f_k^1 \delta_h(x_i - X_k) \delta_h(y_j - X_k) \Delta s_k
\]

\[
= G_{ij}^1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} \left( f_k^1 n_k^1 - f_k^2 n_k^2 \right) \delta_h(x_i - X_k) \delta_h(y_j - X_k) \Delta s_k
\]

with \( n_k = (n_k^1, n_k^2) \) being the unit normal direction at \((X_k, Y_k)\).

We apply the discrete divergence operator to the moment equation to get a discrete Poisson equation for the pressure

\[
\frac{p_{i-1,j} + p_{i+1,j} + p_{i,j-1} + p_{i,j+1} - 4p_{i,j}}{h^2} = \frac{F_{i+1,j}^1 - F_{i,j}^1}{h} + \frac{F_{i,j+1}^2 - F_{i,j}^2}{h}
\]

(16)

4 Convergence analysis of the IB method for the pressure

In this section, we will use a generic error constant in all the estimate. We define errors in pressure, velocity as

\[
E_{ij}^u = u(x_i, y_j) - U_{ij}, \quad E_{ij}^v = v(x_i, y_j) - V_{ij}, \quad E_{ij}^p = p(x_i, y_j) - p_{ij},
\]

(17)

then we have

\[
\frac{E_{i-1,j}^u + E_{i+1,j}^u + E_{i,j-1}^u + E_{i,j+1}^u - 4E_{i,j}^u}{h^2} - \frac{E_{i+1,j}^p - E_{i,j}^p}{h} = E_{ij}^1,
\]

(18)

\[
\frac{E_{i-1,j}^v + E_{i+1,j}^v + E_{i,j-1}^v + E_{i,j+1}^v - 4E_{i,j}^v}{h^2} - \frac{E_{i+1,j}^p - E_{i,j}^p}{h} = E_{ij}^2,
\]

(19)

\[
\frac{E_{i+1,j}^u - E_{i,j}^u}{h} + \frac{E_{i,j+1}^v - E_{i,j}^v}{h} = 0.
\]

(20)

where for example

\[
E_{ij}^1 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} f_k^1 \delta_h(x_i - X_k) \delta_h(y_j - X_k) \Delta s_k,
\]

\[
E_{ij}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} f_k^2 \delta_h(x_i - X_k) \delta_h(y_j - X_k) \Delta s_k.
\]

(21)

We first prove that the pressure is \( O(\sqrt{h} \log h) \) in the \( L^2 \) norm.

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that \( p(x, y) \in C^2(\Omega^\pm) \) and \( h \) is smaller enough so that \( \text{dist}(\Gamma, \partial \Omega) \sim O(1) \) and the area enclosed by \( A_{\Omega^-} \sim O(1) \), then

\[
\| E^p \|_{L^2(\Omega_h)} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{1}{h}} \| \log h \|.
\]

(22)
Proof: Following the similar approach in [], we know that

\[
E_{lm}^p = ((A_h)^{-1}T^p)_{lm} = ((A_h)^{-1}T^p_{reg})_{lm} + ((A_h)^{-1}T^p_{irr})_{lm} = O(h^2) + ((A_h)^{-1}T^p_{irr})_{lm}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\text{dist}(x_{ij}, \Gamma) \leq W h} \left( h^2 T_{ij} (A_h)^{-1} e_{ij} \frac{1}{h^2} \right)_{lm} + O(h^2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\text{dist}(x_{ij}, \Gamma) \leq W h} h^2 (\Delta_h p(x_i, y_j) - \tilde{C}_{ij}^{IB}) \bar{G}^h(x_{ij}, x_{lm}) + O(h^2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{ij} h^2 \Delta_h p(x_i, y_j) \bar{G}^h(x_{ij}, x_{lm}) - \sum_{ij} h^2 C_{ij}^{IB} \bar{G}^h(x_{ij}, x_{lm}) + O(h^2)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{\Gamma} \bar{v}(s) \bar{G}^h_{ij}(X(s), x_{lm}) ds - \sum_k \bar{v}_k \bar{G}^h_{ij}(X_k, x_{lm}) \Delta s_k \right) + O(h).
\]

In the expressions above, \( A_h \) is the corresponding discrete Laplacian with the specific boundary condition, \( \bar{G}^h_{ij}(x) \) is the corresponding interpolation function of the discrete Laplacian, \( \tilde{C}_{ij}^{IB} \) is the discrete delta function distributed to the grid point \( s \) near the interface \( \Gamma \). Compared with the derivation in [], here we have new \( \bar{W} = W + 2, \bar{v}(s), \bar{v}_k \), and additional \( 1/h \) due to the discrete finite difference operator for \( D^1_h E_{ij} \) and \( D^2_h E_{ij} \). Usually, the boundary effect is negligible and will not be elaborated.

If \( \text{dist}(x_{ij}, \Gamma) > \bar{W} h \), then the numerical integration is a regular composite trapezoidal rule. We have the following error estimate

\[
E_{lm}^p = \frac{C h^2}{(\text{dist}(\xi, \Gamma) + h)^2}
\]

for some constant from the estimates of the composite trapezoidal rule and the partial derivative of the Green function. Note that the quantity above can be \( O(1) \) but decays with the increase of distance of \( x_{lm} \) and \( \Gamma \). We can further derive that

\[
\sum_{ij, \text{dist}(x_{ij}, \Gamma) > \bar{W} h} |E_{lm}^p|^2 \leq C \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{\bar{r}h}^{\infty} \frac{r h^2}{(r + h)^4} dr \leq C h
\]

If \( \text{dist}(x_{ij}, \Gamma) \leq \bar{W} h \), then from Theorem 3.8 in [], we know that \( |E_{lm}^p| \leq C \log h \). Thus, the \( L^2(\Omega_h) \) can be estimates as

\[
\|E^p\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2 = h^2 \sum_{ij} |E_{lm}^p|^2 = h^2 \left( \sum_{ij, \text{dist}(x_{ij}, \Gamma) > \bar{W} h} + \sum_{ij, \text{dist}(x_{ij}, \Gamma) \leq \bar{W} h} \right)
\]

\[
\leq h^2 N^2 Ch^2 + h^2 NW (\log h)^2 \sim Ch(\log h)^2,
\]

which leads to \( \|E^p\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)} \leq C \sqrt{h} |\log h| \).
5 Convergence proof for the velocity

The convergence proof for the velocity is challenging because the involved gradient of the pressure. Since the pressure is discontinuous across the interface, the discretization across the interface leads to an $O(1/h)$ error, which can be seen clearly numerically. However, numerous numerical examples have confirmed first order convergence in the velocity even though the pressure does not converge pointwisely near the interface. There must be some cancellation around the interface. The cancellation is not pointwise but in a global sense as summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 The force density in the $x$- and $y$- directions can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1(s) &= [p](s) \cos \theta - [u_n](s), \\
    f_2(s) &= [p](s) \sin \theta - [v_n](s) \\
    p_x &= p_x^\pm(x, y) + \int_{\Gamma} [p](s) \cos \theta(s) \delta(x - X(s)) \delta(x - Y(s)) ds, \\
    p_y &= p_y^\pm(x, y) + \int_{\Gamma} [p](s) \sin \theta(s) \delta(x - X(s)) \delta(x - Y(s)) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

and the moment equation can be written as

\[
\Delta u = p_x^\pm(x, y) - G_1(x, y) + \int_{\Gamma} [u_n](s) \delta(x - X(s)) \delta(x - Y(s)) ds, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega^\pm,
\]

assuming $\mu = 1$ for convenience.

Proof: We can write the pressure as

\[
p(x, y) = p^-(x, y) + [p]H(\phi(x, y))
\]

where $H(z)$ is the step function such that $H(z) = 1$ if $z > 0$ and $H(z) = 0$ if $z < 0$ and $\phi(x, y)$ is the signed distance function. Thus we can write

\[
\begin{align*}
p_x(x, y) &= p_x^\pm(x, y) + [p] \delta(x - X(s)) = p_x^\pm(x, y) + \int [p](s) \delta(x - X(s)) \delta(y - Y(s)) dy \\
    &= p_x^\pm(x, y) + \int_{\Gamma} [p](s) \cos \theta(s) \delta(x - X(s)) \delta(x - Y(s)) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

where $\theta$ is the angle of the normal direction pointing outwards and the $x$-axis. Similarly we have

\[
\begin{align*}
p_y(x, y) &= p_y^\pm(x, y) + [p] \delta(y - Y(s)) = p_y^\pm(x, y) + \int [p](s) \delta(y - Y(s)) \delta(x - X(s)) dx \\
    &= p_y^\pm(x, y) + \int_{\Gamma} [p](s) \sin \theta(s) \delta(x - X(s)) \delta(x - Y(s)) ds.
\end{align*}
\]
5.1 A new discrete Dirac delta function

To describe the singularity due to the discretization of $p_x$ and $p_y$, we introduce the following discrete Dirac delta function,

$$\delta_h^1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h} & \text{if } |x| \leq \frac{h}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(28)

This discrete delta function is probably the simplest, has the least support, and satisfies the zeroth consistence condition as the hat and cosine discrete. We have applied the discrete delta function to solve an example of Stokes equations in Section , we can see the numerical results are comparable to the discrete cosine delta function. We use this discrete delta function in this paper for theoretical purpose as well.

Thus the computed velocity components can also be written as the following discrete Poisson equations

$$\Delta_h u_{i,j} = G_1^{ij} + \frac{p_{i+1,j} - p_{i,j}}{h} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_b} [p](s_k) \cos \theta_k \delta_h(x_i - X_k) \delta_h(y_j - Y_k) \Delta s_k,$$

(29)

$$\Delta_h v_{i,j} = G_2^{ij} + \frac{p_{i,j+1} - p_{i,j}}{h} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_b} [p](s_k) \sin \theta_k \delta_h(x_i - X_k) \delta_h(y_j - Y_k) \Delta s_k.$$  

(30)

Lemma 5.2 Assuming that the domain is $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, $p(x_{i+1}, y_j)$ and $p(x_i, y_j)$ are the pressure from different sides of the interface and the interface intersects the grid line $y = y_j$ at $(X_i, y_j)$, then

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \int \int_{\text{dist}(x, y) \leq Wh} [p] \; dx \; dy = \int_{\Gamma} [p](s) \cos \theta(s) ds,$$

$$\sum_{\text{dist}(x, y, \Gamma) \leq Wh} h^2 \left( \frac{p(x_{i+1}, y_j) - p(x_i, y_j)}{h} \right) = \int_{\Gamma} [p](s) \cos \theta(s) ds + O(h).$$

(31)

Proof: Using the Green theorem, we derive

$$\int \int_{\Omega_h} p_x \; dx \; dy = \int \int_{\Omega_h} \nabla p \cdot e_1 \; dx \; dy = \int_{\partial \Omega_h^+} p^+ e_1 \cdot n \; ds - \int_{\partial \Omega_h^-} p^- e_1 \cdot n \; ds,$$

where $e_1$ is the unit vector in the $x$-direction. Thus, as $h \to 0$, we have $e_1 \cdot n \to \cos \theta$, $\partial \Omega_h^+ \to \Gamma$, we have the first identity.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $(x_{i+1}, y_j) \in \Omega^+$ and $(x_i, y_j) \in \Omega^-$ and $n \cdot e_1 = \cos \theta$. We define the first order extension of $p^-(x, y)$ from $\Omega^-$ to $\Omega^+$ as

$$p^-_e(x_{i+1}, y_j) = p^-(X_{ij}, y_j) + p^+_x(X_{ij}, y_j)(x_{i+1} - X_{ij}).$$

(32)
The extension of $p^+(x, y)$ from $\Omega^+$ to $\Omega^-$ is defined similarly. Thus, we have

$$
\frac{p(x_{i+1}, y_j) - p(x_i, y_j)}{h} = \frac{p^+ + p^+_x (x_{i+1} - X_{ij}) - p(x_i, y_j)}{h} + O(h)
$$

$$
= [p] \delta^1_h (x_i - X_{ij}) + \frac{p^- + p^-_x (x_{i+1} - X_{ij}) + [p_x](x_{i+1} - X_{ij}) - p(x_i, y_j)}{h} + O(h)
$$

$$
= [p] \delta^1_h (x_i - X_{ij}) + \frac{p^- (x_{i+1}, y_j) - p(x_i, y_j) + [p_x](x_{i+1} - X_{ij})}{h} + O(h)
$$

$$
= [p] \delta^1_h (x_i - X_{ij}) + [p] \frac{p^- (x_{i+1/2}, y_j) + O(h^2) + O(1) + O(h)}{h} + O(1),
$$

assuming the integration in $y$ including $y_j$ as an interior point. From the discrete Green theorem we know that

$$
\sum_{i, j, p_{ij}^{\text{int}}} h^2 \frac{p(x_{i+1}, y_j) - p(x_i, y_j)}{h} Q_{ij}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i, j, p_{ij}^{\text{int}}} [p](X_{ij}, y_j) \cos \theta_{ij} \delta^1_h (x_i - X_{ij}) \delta^1_h (y_j - X_{ij}) \Delta s_{ij} Q_{ij} + O(1)
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} [p](X_k, Y_k) \cos \theta_k Q(X_k, Y_k) \Delta s_k + O(h) + O(1)
$$

$$
= \int_{\Gamma} [p](s) \cos \theta(s) Q(X(s), Y(s)) ds + O(1).
$$

see Figure 2 for an illustration.

Now we are ready to prove the first order convergence for the velocity. We use $u$ for the proof since the process is similar for $v$ component.

**Theorem 5.3** Let $u(x, y)$ be the solution to (11) and $U$ is the solution vector obtained from the immersed boundary method (12) using a discrete delta function. Then $U$ is first order accurate with a logarithm factor in the $L^\infty$ norm, that is,

$$
|E_{ij}^u| \leq Ch \log h, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1.
$$

**Proof:** Consider the error at a grid point $E_{lm}$, if $x_{lm}$ is close to the interface, that is,
where $C_{ij}^u$ and $C_{ij}^p$ are from the source strength in the $x$-directions using $f_1(s) = [p](s) \cos \theta - [u_n](s)$,

$$
C_{ij}^u = \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} [u_n]_k \delta_h(x_i - X_k) \delta_h(y_j - Y_k) \Delta s_k = \int_{\Gamma}[u_n](s) \delta_2(x - X(s)) ds + O(h)
$$

$$
C_{ij}^p = \sum[p](X_{ij}) \cos \theta_{ij} \delta_h(x_i - X_{ij}) \delta_h(y_j - Y_{ij}) \Delta s_{ij} = \int_{\Gamma}[p] \cos \theta \delta_2(x - X) ds + O(h).
$$

From Theorem 3.8 in [1], we know that the first term in the last line of (34) is bounded by $Ch \log h$. For the second term, from Lemma 5.2 we know that

$$
\sum_{dist(x_{ij}, \Gamma) \leq Wh} \left( h^2 D_h^2 p(x_i, y_j) G_h(x_{ij}, x_{lm}) - C_{ij}^p G_h(x_{ij}, x_{lm}) \right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{ij, p_{irr}} h^2 D_h^2 p(x_i, y_j) G_h(x_{ij}, x_{lm}) - \sum_{dist(x_{ij}, \Gamma) \leq Wh} h^2 C_{ij}^p G_h(x_{ij}, x_{lm})
$$

$$
= \int_{\Gamma}[p](s) \cos \theta(s) G_h(A(s), x_{lm}) ds + O(h) - \sum_{dist(x_{ij}, \Gamma) \leq Wh} h^2 C_{ij}^p G_h(x_{ij}, x_{lm})
$$

$$
= O(h).
$$
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