PRODUCTS OF COMPOSITION AND DIFFERENTIATION OPERATORS

MAHBUBE MORADI AND MAHSA FATEHI*

Abstract. We consider products of composition and differentiation operators on the Hardy space. We provide a complete characterization of boundedness and compactness of these operators. Furthermore, we obtain the explicit condition for these operators to be Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let $D$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The Hardy space $H^2$ is the Hilbert space of all analytic functions $f$ on $D$ such that

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty.$$ 

It is well known that the Hardy space $H^2$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{g(e^{i\theta})} d\theta,$$

and with kernel functions $K_w^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n! z^n}{(1-wz)^{n+1}}$, where $n$ is a non-negative integer and $z, w \in D$. These kernel functions satisfy $\langle f, K_w^{(n)} \rangle = f^{(n)}(w)$ for each $f \in H^2$. To simplify notation we write $K_w$ in case $n = 0$. In particular note that $\|K_w\|_2^2 = K_w(w) = \frac{1}{1-|w|^2}$. Let $\hat{f}(n)$ be the $n$th coefficient of $f$ in its Maclaurin series. Moreover, we have another representation for the norm of $f$ on $H^2$ as follows

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$ 

The space $H^\infty$ is the Banach space of bounded analytic functions $f$ on $D$ with $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in D\}$.

For $\varphi$ an analytic self-map of $D$, the composition operator $C_\varphi$ is defined for analytic functions $f$ on $D$ by $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$. It is well known that every composition operator $C_\varphi$ is bounded on $H^2$ (see \cite{2} Corollary 3.7). For each positive integer $k$, the operator $D^{(k)}$ for any $f \in H^2$ is defined by the rule $D^{(k)}(f) = f^{(k)}$. This operator is called the differentiation operator of order $k$. For convenience, we use the notation $D$ when $k = 1$. The differentiation operators $D^{(k)}$ are unbounded on $H^2$, whereas Ohno \cite{6} found a characterization for $C_\varphi D$ and $DC_\varphi$ to be bounded and compact on $H^2$. The study of operators $C_\varphi D$ and $DC_\varphi$ was initially addressed.
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by Hibschweiler, Portnoy, and Ohno (see [5] and [6]) and has been noticed by many researchers ([3], [4], and [8]). In this paper, we will be considering a slightly broader class of these operators. For each positive integer \( n \), we write \( D_{\varphi,n} \) to denote the operator on \( H^2 \) given by the rule
\[
D_{\varphi,n}(f) = C_\varphi D^{(n)}(f) = f^{(n)} \circ \varphi.
\]
Our main results provide complete characterizations of the boundedness and compactness of operators \( D_{\varphi,n} \) on \( H^2 \) (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). In addition, we characterize the Hilbert-Schmidt operators \( D_{\varphi,n} \) on \( H^2 \) (Theorem 3.3). In this paper, we use some ideas which are found in [6].

Let \( \varphi \) be an analytic self-map of \( \mathbb{D} \). The Nevanlinna counting function \( N_\varphi \) of \( \varphi \) is defined by
\[
N_\varphi(w) = \sum_{\varphi(z) = w} \log(1/|z|) \quad w \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{\varphi(0)\}
\]
and \( N_\varphi(\varphi(0)) = \infty \). Note that \( N_\varphi(w) = 0 \) when \( w \) is not in \( \varphi(\mathbb{D}) \). For each \( f \in H^2 \), by using change of variables formula and Littlewood-Paley Identity, the norm of \( C_\varphi f \) is determined as follows:
\[
\|f \circ \varphi\|^2 = |f(\varphi(0))|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w) dA(w),
\]
where \( dA \) is the normalized area measure on \( \mathbb{D} \) (see [2, Theorem 2.31]). Moreover, to obtain the lower bound estimate on \( \|D_{\varphi,n}\| \) we need the following well known lemma as follows (see [2, p. 137]):

Suppose that \( \varphi \) is an analytic self-map of \( \mathbb{D} \) and \( f \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{D} \). Assume that \( \Delta \) is any disk not containing \( \{f^{-1}(\varphi(0))\} \) and centered at \( a \). Then
\[
N_\varphi(f(a)) \leq \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \int_{\Delta} N_\varphi(f(w)) dA(w),
\]
where \( |\Delta| \) is the normalized area measure of \( \Delta \).

2. BOUNDEDNESS AND COMPACTNESS OF \( D_{\varphi,n} \)

The goal of this section is to determine which of these operators \( D_{\varphi,n} \) are bounded and compact.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \varphi \) be an analytic self-map of \( \mathbb{D} \) and \( n \) be a positive integer. The operator \( D_{\varphi,n} \) is bounded on \( H^2 \) if and only if
\[
N_\varphi(w) = O\left(\left[\log(1/|w|)\right]^{2n+1}\right) \quad (|w| \to 1).
\]
Proof. Suppose that $D_{\lambda,n}$ is bounded on $H^2$. Let $f(z) = \frac{K_\lambda(z)}{\|K_\lambda\|} = \sqrt{1 - |\lambda|^2}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. By (1.1), we see that

$$\|D_{\lambda,n}\|^2 \geq \|D_{\lambda,n}f\|^2$$

$$= \left\| C_\lambda \left( \frac{nX^n - \sqrt{1 - |\lambda|^2}}{(1 - \lambda z)^{n+1}} \right) \right\|^2$$

$$= \left\| \frac{nX^n - \sqrt{1 - |\lambda|^2}}{(1 - \lambda \phi(0))^{n+1}} \right\|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| (n+1)!X^{n+1} \sqrt{1 - |\lambda|^2} \right|^2 N_\phi(w)dA(w)$$

(2.1)

Substitute $w = \alpha_\lambda(u) = \frac{1 - \lambda u}{1 - \lambda u}$ back into (2.1) and using [7] Theorem 7.26 to obtain

$$\|D_{\lambda,n}\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}(1 - |\lambda|^2)}{|1 - \bar{\alpha}_\lambda(u)|^{2n+4}} N_\phi(\alpha_\lambda(u))|\alpha'_\lambda(u)|^2 dA(u).$$

(2.2)

Since $1 - \bar{\alpha}_\lambda(u) = \frac{1 - |\lambda|^2}{1 - \lambda u}$ and $\alpha'_\lambda(u) = \frac{|\lambda|^2 - 1}{(1 - \lambda u)^2}$, by substituting $\alpha'_\lambda$ and $1 - \bar{\alpha}_\lambda$ back into (2.2), we see that

$$\|D_{\lambda,n}\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}(1 - |\lambda|^2)}{(1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_\phi(\alpha_\lambda(u)) dA(u).$$

(2.3)

Because $|1 - \lambda u| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for any $u \in \mathbb{D}/2$, we get from (2.3) that

$$\|D_{\lambda,n}\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}/2} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n}(1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_\phi(\alpha_\lambda(u)) dA(u).$$

(2.4)

There exists $r < 1$ such that for each $\lambda$ with $r < |\lambda| < 1$, $\alpha_\lambda^{-1}(\phi(0)) \notin \mathbb{D}/2$ because $|\alpha_\lambda^{-1}(\phi(0))| = |\alpha_\phi(0)(\lambda)|$ and $\alpha_\phi(0)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. By (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$\|D_{\lambda,n}\|^2 \geq \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n}(1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{D}/2} N_\phi(\alpha_\lambda(u)) dA(u)$$

$$\geq \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n}(1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} \cdot \frac{N_\phi(\alpha_\lambda(0))}{4}$$

(2.5)

$$= \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_\phi(\lambda)$$

for each $\lambda$ with $r < |\lambda| < 1$. Since $D_{\lambda,n}$ is bounded, there exists a constant number $M$ so that

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \to 1} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_\phi(\lambda) \leq M.$$
We know that \( \log (1/|\lambda|) \) is comparable to \( 1 - |\lambda| \) as \( |\lambda| \to 1^- \). Note that

\[
\lim_{|\lambda| \to 1} \frac{(n+1)!}{2n+1} \frac{|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{(1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_\varphi(\lambda) = \lim_{|\lambda| \to 1} \frac{(n+1)!}{2n+1} \frac{|\lambda|^{2n+2}}{(1 + |\lambda|)^{2n+1}} \left( \frac{\log (1/|\lambda|)}{1 - |\lambda|} \right)^{2n+1} \frac{N_\varphi(\lambda)}{(\log (1/|\lambda|))^{2n+1}}
\]

(2.7)

By (2.6) and (2.7), we can see that

\[
\geq \frac{(n+1)!}{2n+4} \lim_{|\lambda| \to 1} \frac{N_\varphi(\lambda)}{(\log (1/|\lambda|))^{2n+1}}.
\]

By (2.6) and (2.7), we can see that

\[
N_\varphi(\lambda) = O \left( \left( \log \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \right) \right)^{2n+1} \right) \quad (|\lambda| \to 1).
\]

Conversely, suppose that for some \( R \) with \( 0 < R < 1 \), there exists a constant \( M \) satisfying

\[
\sup_{R < |\varphi| < 1} N_\varphi(w)/(\log (1/|w|))^{2n+1} \leq M.
\]

Let \( f \) be an arbitrary function in \( H^2 \). It follows from (1.1) that

\[
\|D_{\varphi,n}f\|^2 = |f^{(n)}(\varphi(0))|^2 + 2 \int_D |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w)dA(w)
\]

\[
= |f^{(n)}(\varphi(0))|^2
\]

(2.8)

\[
+ 2 \left( \int_{RD} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w)dA(w) + \int_D \int_{RD} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w)dA(w) \right).
\]

First we estimate the first and the second terms in the right-hand of (2.8). Observe that

\[
f^{(n)}(z) = \langle f, K^{(n)}_z \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{n! e^{-in\theta}}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{n+1}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}
\]

and hence

\[
|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(e^{i\theta}) \right| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1}} \|f\|
\]

for any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). It follows from (2.9) that

\[
|f^{(n)}(\varphi(0))| \leq \frac{n! \|f\|}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|)^{n+1}}.
\]

(2.10)

Moreover, we can see that

\[
|f^{(n+1)}(z)| = \left| \langle f, K^{(n+1)}_z \rangle \right| = \frac{(n+1)!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+2}} \|f\|
\]

(2.11)

for any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). Therefore by (2.11), we see that

\[
\int_{RD} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w)dA(w) \leq \frac{(n+1)!}{(1 - R)^{n+2}} \|f\|^2 \int_{RD} N_\varphi(w)dA(w).
\]

(2.11)
Since \( \| \varphi \|^2 = |\varphi(0)|^2 + 2 \int_\mathbb{D} N_\varphi(w) dA(w) \) by (1.1), we obtain

\[
(2.12) \quad \int_\mathbb{D} N_\varphi(w) dA(w) = \frac{1}{2} (|\varphi|^2 - |\varphi(0)|^2) < 1.
\]

From (2.11) and (2.12), we see that

\[
\int_\mathbb{D} N_\varphi(w) dA(w) = \frac{(n + 1)!}{(1 - R)^{n+2}} \| f \|^2.
\]

Now we estimate the third term in the right-hand of (2.8). We have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w) dA(w)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} N_\varphi(w) \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} dA(w)
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{R < |w| < 1} \frac{N_\varphi(w)}{\log(1/|w|)} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} dA(w)
\]

\[
\leq M \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} dA(w).
\]

Let \( f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty a_m z^m \). We get

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} dA(w)
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}} \left\{ \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty m(m-1) \ldots (m-n) a_m (w)^{m-(n+1)} \right\}^2 \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} dA(w)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty m^2 (m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}} \left( w^{m-(n+1)} \right)^2 \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} dA(w)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty m^2 (m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( w^{m-(n+1)} \right)^2 \left( \log(1/|w|) \right)^{2n+1} dA(w)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty m^2 (m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 |re^{i\theta}|^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r))^{2n+1} r dr d\theta
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty m^2 (m-1)^2 \ldots (m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_0^1 (r)^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r))^{2n+1} 2r dr.
\]

\[
(2.15)
\]

Now substitute \( t = r^2 \) and \( u = \log(1/t) \) to obtain

\[
\int_0^1 (r)^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r))^{2n+1} 2r dr = \int_0^1 t^{(m-(n+1))} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log(1/t) \right)^{2n+1} dt
\]

\[
= (1/2)^{2n+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-u(m-n)u} u^{2n+1} du.
\]

\[
(2.16)
\]
By substituting $x = (m - n)u$ back into (2.16), we have

$$(1/2)^{2n+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-u(m-n)} u^{2n+1} du = \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dx = \frac{\Gamma(2n+2)}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}}.$$  

(2.17)

By (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we can see that

$$(2.18)$$

where $\lambda$ is a constant so that $m^2(m-1)^2...\frac{m^2(m-1)^2...(m-n)^2}{(m-n)^2n} \leq \lambda$ for each $m \geq n + 1$ (note that the function $f(x) = \frac{x^2(x-1)^2...(x-n+1)^2}{(x-n+1)^2}$ is bounded on $[n+1, +\infty)$). Then (2.8), (2.10), (2.13) and (2.18) show that $D_{\varphi,n}$ is bounded. 

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $\varphi$ be an analytic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ and $n$ be a positive integer. The operator $D_{\varphi,n}$ is compact on $H^2$ if and only if

$$(2.19) \quad N_{\varphi}(w) = o\left(\left[\log \left(\frac{1}{|w|}\right)\right]^{2n+1}\right) \quad (|w| \to 1).$$

**Proof.** Let $h_m(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda_m|^2}}{1-\lambda_m z}$ for a sequence $\{\lambda_m\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ so that $|\lambda_m| \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$. Then $h_m \to 0$ weakly as $m \to \infty$ by [2, Theorem 2.17]. First suppose that $D_{\varphi,n}$ is compact. Hence $\|D_{\varphi,n} h_m\| \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Therefore (2.5) shows that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{((n + 1)!)^2 |\lambda_m|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1}(1-|\lambda_m|^2)^{2n+1}} N_{\varphi}(\lambda_m) = 0.$$  

Since $\log(1/|\lambda_m|)$ is comparable to $1 - |\lambda_m|$ as $m \to \infty$, the result follows.

Conversely, suppose that (2.19) holds. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $R, 0 < R < 1$, such that

$$(2.20) \quad \sup_{R < |w| < 1} N_{\varphi}(w)/\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{|w|}\right)\right]^{2n+1} < \epsilon.$$  

Let $\{f_m\}$ be any bounded sequence in $H^2$. By using the idea which was stated in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.11], we can see that $\{f_m\}$ is a normal family and there exists a subsequence $\{f_{m_k}\}$ which converges to some function $f \in H^2$ uniformly on all compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Let $g_{m_k} = f_{m_k} - f$ for each positive integer $k$. Note that $\{g_{m_k}\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^2$ which converges to 0 uniformly on all compact
Then the following hold.

**Proof.**

Since (2.14) and (2.18), we see that

\[ \int_{D} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \]

(2.21)

By [1, Theorem 2.1, p. 151], we can choose \( k_\epsilon \) so that

\[ |g_{m_k}^{(n)}(\varphi(0))| < \sqrt{\epsilon} \]

(2.22)

and \( |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}| < \sqrt{\epsilon} \) on \( R\mathbb{D} \) whenever \( k > k_\epsilon \). Substituting \( f(z) = z \) into (1.1), we see that

\[ \int_{R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \leq \epsilon \int_{R\mathbb{D}} N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \]

(2.23)

for \( k > k_\epsilon \). On the other hand by (2.21) and the same idea as stated in the proof of (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23), we see that

\[ \int_{D\setminus R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \]

\[ \leq \sup_{R<|w|<1} \left[ \log(1/|w|) \right]^{2n+1} \int_{D\setminus R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 \left[ \log(1/|w|) \right]^{2n+1} dA(w) \]

(2.24)

where \( C \) is a constant. Hence we conclude that \( \|D_{\varphi,n} g_{m_k}\| \) converges to zero as \( k \to \infty \) by (2.21), (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24) and so \( D_{\varphi,n} \) is compact. \( \square \)

The preceding theorems lead to characterizations of all bounded and compact operators \( D_{\varphi,n} \) when \( \varphi \) is a univalent self-map.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( \varphi \) be a univalent self-map of \( \mathbb{D} \) and \( n \) be a positive integer. Then the following hold.

(i) \( D_{\varphi,n} \) is bounded on \( H^2 \) if and only if

\[ \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |w|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|)^{2n+1}} < \infty \]

(ii) \( D_{\varphi,n} \) is compact on \( H^2 \) if and only if

\[ \lim_{|w| \to 1} \frac{1 - |w|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|)^{2n+1}} = 0. \]

**Proof.** Since \( \varphi \) is univalent, we can see that \( N_{\varphi}(w) = \log(1/|z|) \), where \( \varphi(z) = w \).

We observe that

\[ \frac{N_{\varphi}(w)}{\left[ \log(1/|w|) \right]^{2n+1}} = -\frac{\log(|z|)}{\left[ \log(1/|\varphi(w)|) \right]^{2n+1}}. \]

Moreover, we know that \( \log(1/|z|) \) is comparable to \( 1 - |z| \) as \( |z| \to 1^- \). Furthermore \( |z| \to 1 \) as \( |\varphi(z)| \to 1 \). Therefore the results follow immediately from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. \( \square \)
3. Hilbert–Schmidt operator $D_{\varphi,n}$

We begin with a few easy observations that help us in the proof of Theorem 3.3. In the proof of the following lemma, we assume that $0^0 = 1$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $n$ be a positive integer and $\alpha_k > 0$ for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then for $0 \leq x < 1$, the following statements hold.

(a) $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_k}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}$.

(b) There exists a positive number $\beta$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} \geq \beta$.

**Proof.** (a) We can see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k}}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}.$$

Since $0 \leq x < 1$ and $\alpha_k > 0$, we conclude that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k$. Hence the conclusion follows.

(b) We have

$$(1-x)^{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k} > 0.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$, there exists a positive number $\beta$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \geq \beta$. Hence the result follows. \qed

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} [m(m-1)...(m-n+1)]^2 x^{m-n} = (n!)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n+k)!}{(k!)^2 (n-k)! (1-x)^{n+k+1}} x^k$$

for $0 \leq x < 1$.

**Proof.** See [8, Lemma 1] and the general Leibniz rule. \qed

A *Hilbert–Schmidt operator* on a separable Hilbert space $H$ is a bounded operator $A$ with finite *Hilbert–Schmidt norm* $\|A\|_{HS} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Ae_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$, where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H$. These definitions are independent of the choice of the basis (see [2, Theorem 3.23]).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $D_{\varphi,n}$ be a bounded operator on $H^2$. Then $D_{\varphi,n}$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator on $H^2$ if and only if

$$\lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2)^{2n+1}} < \infty.$$

(3.1)
Proof. Suppose that (3.1) holds. Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and Theorem 1.27 imply that
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\| = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \|m(m-1)...(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}\|
\]
\[
= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| m(m-1)...(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
= \lim_{r \to 1} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| m(m-1)...(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
= \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \left| m(m-1)...(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
\leq \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{(n!)^2(n+k)!}{(k!)^2(n-k)!} \left( 1 - \left| \varphi(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 \right)^{n+k+1}
\]
(3.2)

where \(\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n!)^2(n+k)!}{(k!)^2(n-k)!} \) (note that the interchange of limit and summation is justified by [2, Corollary 2.23] and using Lebesgue’s Monotone Convergence Theorem with counting measure). It follows that \(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\| < \infty\) and so \(D_{\varphi,n}\) is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on \(H^2\) by [2, Theorem 3.23].

Conversely, suppose that \(D_{\varphi,n}\) is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on \(H^2\). We infer from [2, Theorem 3.23] that
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\|^2 < \infty.
\]
(3.3)

On the other hand, by the proof of (3.2) and Lemma 3.1 there exists a positive number \(\beta\) such that
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\|^2 = \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n!)^2(n+k)!}{(k!)^2(n-k)!} \left( 1 - \left| \varphi(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 \right)^{n+k+1}
\]
(3.4)

\[
\geq \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\beta}{(1 - \left| \varphi(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2)^{n+k+1}}.
\]

Hence the result follows from (3.3) and (3.4). \(\square\)
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