EXISTENCE AND DATA DEPENDENCE RESULTS FOR THE FIXED POINTS OF MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS
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Abstract. We introduce multivalued Göñicki mapping and various new types of multivalued enriched contractive mappings, viz., multivalued enriched Kannan mapping, multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping, and multivalued enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping. Then we establish some existence results for the fixed points of these multivalued contractive type mappings using the fixed point property of the average operator of the mappings. Furthermore, we present some data dependence results for the fixed points of mentioned classes of mappings.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let \((X,d)\) be a metric space. A single valued mapping \(T : X \to X\) is said to be (i) a contraction (see [15]) if there exist a \(\theta \in [0,1)\) such that
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,
\]
(ii) a Kannan mapping (see [21]) if there exist a \(\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})\) such that
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,
\]
(iii) a Chatterjea mapping mapping (see [22]) if there exist a \(\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})\) such that
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,
\]
(iv) a Ciriç-Reich-Rus mapping (see [9]) if there exist \(a, b \in [0,1)\) with \(a + 2b < 1\) such that
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.
\]
A point \(z \in X\) with \(z = Tz\) is called a fixed point of \(T\) and set of fixed points of \(T\) is denoted by \(F(T)\).

Fixed point theory studies mainly focus on examining the existence and approximation of fixed points of various contractive type mappings. The main purpose of such studies is to determine under which conditions a contractive type mapping will have fixed points. The renowned Banach contraction principle is the most basic known result in this context. As the improvement of the Banach contraction principle in some sense, numerous works have been devoted to defining various contractive type mappings and studying the existence of their fixed points as well as their related qualitative properties. Very recently, again for such a purpose, Berinde [1] has initiated the investigation of the existence of fixed points of non-contractive mappings by using the fixed point property of the average operator of the mappings in Banach spaces. The basic idea behind the work of Berinde is that even though
a mapping is not a contraction, the mapping defined by the convex combination of the value of the mapping at a point and the point itself can be a contraction. Recent studies in this direction show that this idea is very effective in studying the existence of fixed points of mappings that do not belong to one of the known classes of contractive type mappings such as contraction mapping, Kannan mapping, Chatterjea mapping, and Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14]).

The development in the fixed-point theory of multi-valued mappings is usually depending on the development in the theory of the single-valued mappings cases. In 1922, Banach [15] proved the existence of the fixed point of contraction mappings and suggested a successful approximation method to the fixed point of contraction mappings. In 1969, Nadler [16] generalized Banach’s result to multivalued contraction mappings and the same applied to various contractive type mappings in different settings by numerous researchers.

A mapping $T : X \to P(X)$ is called multivalued mapping, that is, $T$ maps every point of $X$ to a subset of $X$. It is an indisputable fact that metric or metric-like structures are needed on the range sets of multivalued mappings to investigate the existence of fixed points of the multivalued mappings. The Hausdorff metric $H$ on $CB(X)$, a nonempty, closed and convex subsets of $X$, is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A)\}$$

in which $d(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in B\}$ and the distance $\delta$ on $CB(X)$ is defined by

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

A point $z$ is called fixed point of multivalued mapping $T$ if $z \in Tz$ and the set of all fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $F_T$. A multivalued mapping $T : X \to CB(X)$ is said to be

(v) a multivalued contraction mapping (see [10]) if there exists a $\theta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$,

(vi) a multivalued Kannan mapping (see [18]) if there exists a $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$,

(vii) a multivalued Chatterjea mapping (see [23]) if there exists a $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$,

(viii) a multivalued Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping (see [24]) if there exist $a, b \in [0, 1)$ with $a + 2b < 1$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

We recall definitions of enriched version of some mappings as follows.

**Definition 1.** Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space in which $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \to X$ is called

(1) an enriched contraction (see [1]) if there exist constants $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, b + 1)$ such that

$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y),$$

for every $x, y \in X$. 
(2) an enriched Kannan mapping (see [2]) if there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0,1/2)$ such that
$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

(3) an enriched Chatterjea mapping (see [11]) if there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0,1/2)$ such that
$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

(4) an enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping (see [9]) if there exist $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $a + 2b < 1$ such that
$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X.$$

Popescu [17] defined a new contractive type mapping called Górnicki mapping and provide additional information about enriched contractions, enriched Kannan mappings and enriched Chatterjea mappings. He studied the existence of fixed point of Górnicki mapping and showed that a Górnicki mapping properly includes enriched contractions, enriched Kannan mappings and enriched Chatterjea mappings.

**Definition 2.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \to X$ is called a Górnicki mapping if there exists $M \in [0,1)$ such that
$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$
and there exist non negative real constants $a, b$ with $a < 1$, $b \in [0, \infty)$ such that for arbitrary $x \in X$ there exists $u \in X$ with $d(u, Tx) \leq ad(x, Tx)$ and $d(u, x) \leq bd(x, Tx)$.

Abbas et al. [10] extended the definition of enriched contraction mapping to the case of multivalued mapping as follows.

**Definition 3.** Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \to CB(X)$ is called a multivalued enriched contraction if there exist constants $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, b + 1)$ such that
$$H(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y), \text{ for every } x, y \in X.$$

**Lemma 1.** (See [10]) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a linear normed space, $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a multivalued mapping and $T_\lambda x = \{(1-\lambda)x + \lambda u : u \in Tx\}$. Then for any $\lambda \in (0,1)$
$$\text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(T_\lambda).$$

**Lemma 2.** (See [20]) Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $A \in CB(X)$. Then for any $x \in X$, and $\mu > 1$, there exist an element $a \in A$ satisfying
$$d(x, a) \leq \mu d(x, A).$$

We define the multivalued Górnicki mapping as follows.

**Definition 4.** Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \to CB(X)$ is called a multivalued Górnicki mapping if there exists $M \in [0,1)$ such that
$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$
and there exist non negative real constants $a, b$ with $a < 1$, $b \in [0, \infty)$ such that for arbitrary $x \in X$ there exists $u \in X$ with $d(u, Tu) \leq ad(x, Tx)$ and $d(u, x) \leq bd(x, Tx)$.
We are now able to generalize, in turn, single-valued enriched Kannan mappings, enriched Chatterjea mappings, and enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mappings to the corresponding multivalued mappings as under.

**Definition 5.** Let \((X, \| \cdot, \|)\) be a normed linear space with \(d(x, y) = \|x - y\|\) for every \(x, y \in X\). A mapping \(T : X \to CB(X)\) is called

1. a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping if there exist \(b \in [0, \infty)\) and \(\theta \in [0, 1/2)\) such that
   \[H(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)],\]
   for every \(x, y \in X\),

2. a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping if there exist \(b \in [0, \infty)\) and \(\theta \in [0, 1/2)\) such that
   \[H(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d((b+1)x, (b+1)y) + d((b+1)y, bx + Tx)],\]
   for every \(x, y \in X\),

3. a multivalued enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping if there exist \(a, b, c \in [0, \infty)\) satisfying \(a + 2b < 1\) such that
   \[H(cx + Tx, cy + Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[\delta(x, Tx) + \delta(y, Ty)],\]
   for every \(x, y \in X\).

2. Existence Results for Multivalued Górnicki Mappings

In this section we prove existence theorems for Multivalued Górnicki Mappings.

**Theorem 1.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete metric space and let \(T : X \to CB(X)\) be a multivalued Górnicki mapping. Then, \(T\) has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Let \(x_0 \in X\) and \(d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \leq ad(x_n, Tx_n)\) and \(d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq bd(x_n, Tx_n)\). Then we have

\[
d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq bd(x_n, Tx_n) \\
\leq ba d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\
\leq ba^2 d(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}) \\
\leq \cdots \\
\leq ba^n d(x_0, Tx_0),
\]

which implies that \(\{x_n\}\) is a Cauchy sequence and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0\). Therefore, there exists a \(z \in X\) such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = z\). Since

\[
d(x_n, Tz) \leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + H(Tx_n, Tz) \\
\leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz) + d(x_n, z)],
\]

\[
d(z, Tz) \leq Md(z, Tz)
\]

which means that \(z \in Tz\). \(\square\)

**Remark 1.** If we change definition of multivalued Górnicki mapping by one of the following

(i) if there exists \(M \in [0, 1)\) such that
   \[\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)],\]
   for every \(x, y \in X\),
   and there exist \(b \in [0, \infty)\) and \(a \in [0, 1)\) such that there exists \(u \in X\) with
   \(d(u, Tu) \leq ad(x, Tx)\) and \(d(u, x) \leq bd(x, Tx)\) for any \(x \in X\),
   or

...
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(iii) if there exists $M \in [0, 1)$ such that
$$
\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq M[\delta(x, Tx) + \delta(y, Ty) + d(x, y)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,
$$
and there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $a \in [0, 1)$ such that there exists $u \in X$ with
$$
\delta(u, Tu) \leq a\delta(x, Tx) \text{ and } d(u, x) \leq b\delta(x, Tx) \text{ for any } x \in X,
$$
then Theorem 7 still holds. Moreover, $T$ has unique end point, that is, there exist a point $z$ such that $Tz = \{z\}$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a multivalued mapping satisfying (i). If $T$ has an a.f.p.s then, $T$ has a unique end point.

**Proof.** Let $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ be an a.f.p.s of $T$. Then, we have
$$
\delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) \leq M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m}) + d(x_n, x_{n+m})]
\leq M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m})] + \delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m}),
$$
which implies
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) = 0.
$$
Since
$$
|d(x_n, x_{n+m}) - \delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m})| \leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m}),
$$
we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+m}) = 0$ which means that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, there exists a $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = z$. Then
$$
\delta(z, Tz) \leq d(z, Tx_n) + \delta(Tx_n, Tz)
\leq d(z, Tx_n) + M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz) + d(x_n, z)]
$$
implies that
$$
\delta(z, Tz) \leq Md(z, Tz).
$$
Hence, $Tz = \{z\}$. Assume that $T$ has two fixed points $z_1, z_2$. Then, we have
$$
d(z_1, z_2) \leq \delta(Tz_1, Tz_2)
\leq M[d(z_1, Tz_1) + d(z_2, Tz_2) + d(z_1, z_2)]
= Md(z_1, z_2)
$$
which means that $z_1 = z_2$. \qed

**Theorem 3.** Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a multivalued mapping satisfying (ii). If there exist a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$, then $T$ has a unique end point.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. \qed

3. Existence results for Multivalued Enriched Mappings
In this section, we shall prove the existence theorems for the fixed points of multivalued enriched mappings.

**Theorem 4.** Let $(X, ||\cdot||)$ be a normed linear space and let $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping. Then, $T$ has a fixed point.
Theorem 6. Let \( \lambda = \frac{1}{c + r} \), then we have
\[
d(x, T_\lambda x) = \inf \{d(x, (1-\lambda)x + ru) : u \in Tx\} = (1-\lambda) \inf \{d(x, u) : u \in Tx\} = \lambda d(x, Tx),
\]
\[
H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) = \lambda H(Tx + bx, Ty + by) \\
\leq \lambda [d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)] \\
= \frac{\theta}{\lambda} [d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)].
\]

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 in [13], we can find a sequence \( x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n = \{(1-\lambda)x_n + u_n : u_n \in Tx_n\} \) which is convergent to a fixed point of \( T_\lambda \).

Theorem 5. Let \( (X, \|\cdot\|) \) be a normed linear space and let \( T : X \rightarrow CB(X) \) be a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping. Then, \( T \) has a fixed point.

Proof. The mapping \( T_\lambda \) in Lemma 1 is a multivalued Kannan mapping. Indeed, if we let \( \lambda = \frac{1}{c + r} \), then we have
\[
H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) = \lambda H(Tx + bx, Ty + by) \\
\leq \lambda [d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)] \\
= \frac{\theta}{\lambda} [d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)].
\]

Therefore, by Theorem 15 in [23], we can find a sequence \( x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n = \{(1-\lambda)x_n + u_n : u_n \in Tx_n\} \) which is convergent to a fixed point of \( T_\lambda \).

Theorem 6. Let \( (X, \|\cdot\|) \) be a linear normed space and let \( T : X \rightarrow CB(X) \) be a multivalued enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping. Then, \( T \) has a fixed point.

Proof. The mapping \( T_\lambda \) in Lemma 1 is a multivalued Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping. Indeed, if we let \( \lambda = \frac{1}{c + r} \), then we have
\[
H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) = \lambda H(Tx + cx, Ty + cy) \\
\leq \lambda [d(x, y) + b[d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)]] \\
\leq \lambda (d(x, y) + \frac{b}{\lambda} [d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)]) \\
\leq ad(x, y) + [d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)].
\]

Set \( \delta = \frac{a + b}{1+c} \). Then, we get
\[
H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq ad(x, y) + [d(x, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)]. \\
\leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, y) + d(y, T _\lambda x) + d(y, T _\lambda y)] + H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y)]. \\
\leq (a + b)d(x, y) + 2bd(y, T _\lambda x) + bH(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y),
\]
which implies
\[
H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(y, T _\lambda x).
\]

Similarly, we obtain
\[
H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(x, T_\lambda y),
\]
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By Theorem 9 in [19], we can find a sequence \( x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n = \{(1-\lambda)x_n + u_n : u_n \in Tx_n \} \) which is convergent to a fixed point of \( T_\lambda \).

\[ H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(x, T_\lambda x). \]

Remark 2. The single-valued cases of Theorems 4,5,6 can be proved easily similar to the proof of Theorems 4,5,6. In fact, for the single-valued cases, it is enough to show that \( T_\lambda = (1-\lambda)I + \lambda T \) is satisfied the conditions of the non-enriched version of \( T \). Then the proof will be a repetition of the proof of the non-enriched version of \( T \).

4. Data dependence results for multivalued mappings

Theorem 7. Let \((X, \| \cdot, \cdot \|)\) be a normed linear space with \( d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \) for every \( x, y \in X \) and \( T : X \to CB(X) \) be a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping. If \( S : X \to CB(X) \) with \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \) and

\[ H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X \]

is satisfied. Then, we can find a \( z \in F(T) \) such that

\[ d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma, \text{ that is, } \sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma, \]

where \( k = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} < 1 \) and \( z^* \in F(S) \) is an arbitrary fixed point. If \( S \) is a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping, then we have

\[ H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma. \]

Proof. Since \( T_\lambda \) is multivalued Kannan mapping,

\[ d(u, T_\lambda u) \leq kd(x, T_\lambda x) \leq kd(x, u) \text{ if } u \in T_\lambda x, \]

where \( kd(x, T_\lambda x) \leq kd(x, u) \) if \( u \in T_\lambda x \),

where \( k = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} < 1 \). Let \( \mu > 1 \) such that \( k\mu < 1 \). Let \( x_0 = z^* \in F(S) \) and choose \( x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n \) with \( d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n)/\mu \geq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \) for all \( n \geq 0 \) by Lemma 2. Then, we have

\[ d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \mu d(x_{n+1}, T_\lambda x_{n+1}) \]

\[ \leq k\mu d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n) \]

\[ \leq k\mu d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \]

\[ \leq (k\mu)^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \]

\[ \ldots \]

\[ \leq (k\mu)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1). \]

which yields that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \) Therefore, there exists a \( z \in X \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = z. \) Since

\[ d(x_{n+1}, T_\lambda z) \leq H(T_\lambda x_n, T_\lambda z) \leq \theta d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n) + d(z, T_\lambda z), \]
$z \in F(T)$. Now, since $x_1 = (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda u_0 \in T_\lambda x_0$ in which $u_0 \in Tx_0$, we have

$$d(x_0, x_1) \leq \mu d(x_0, Tx_0) = \mu \inf_{u_0 \in Tx_0} d(x_0, (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda u_0) = \mu \inf_{u_0 \in Tx_0} \lambda d(x_0, u_0) = \mu \lambda d(x_0, Tx_0) \leq \mu \lambda H(Sx_0, Tx_0) \leq \mu \lambda \gamma,$$

$$d(x_n, x_0) \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} d(x_m, x_{m+1}) \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (k\mu)^m d(x_1, x_0) \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (k\mu)^m \mu \lambda \gamma = \frac{1}{1 - k\mu} \mu \lambda \gamma.$$

If we take limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of the above inequality, then we obtain

$$d(z, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k\mu} \mu \lambda \gamma,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(F(T), z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma$$

as $\mu \to 1^+$. If $S$ is a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping, then, for an arbitrary $z \in F(T)$, we can find a $z^* \in F(S)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{z \in F(T)} d(F(S), z) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma.$$

Hence,

$$H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma.$$

\[\square\]

**Theorem 8.** Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $T : X \to CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping. If $S : X \to CB(X)$ with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X$$

is satisfied. Then, we can find a $z \in F(T)$ such that

$$d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma,$$

that is,

$$\sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma,$$

for every $x, y \in X$. If $S : X \to CB(X)$ with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X$$

is satisfied. Then, we can find a $z \in F(T)$ such that

$$d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma,$$

that is,

$$\sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma,$$
where \( k = \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \) and \( z^* \in F(S) \) is an arbitrary fixed point. If \( S \) is a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping, then we have

\[
H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( T_\lambda \) is multivalued Chatterjea mapping,

\[
d(u, T_\lambda u) \leq \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} d(x, T_\lambda x) \leq \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} d(x, u) \quad \text{if} \quad u \in T_\lambda x.
\]

The rest of the proof can be done as in the proof of Theorem 7. \( \square \)

**Theorem 9.** Let \((X, \| \cdot, \cdot \|)\) be a normed linear space with \( d(x, y) = \| x - y \| \) for every \( x, y \in X \) and \( T : X \to CB(X) \) be a multivalued enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping. If \( S : X \to CB(X) \) with \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \) and \( H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X \) is satisfied. Then, we can find a \( z \in F(T) \) such that

\[
d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma,
\]

where \( k = \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \) and \( z^* \in F(S) \) is an arbitrary fixed point. If \( S \) is a multivalued enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping, then we have

\[
H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( T_\lambda \) is multivalued Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping,

\[
H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(y, T_\lambda x)
\]

for \( \delta = \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} < 1 \). If \( u \in T_\lambda x \), then we have

\[
d(u, T_\lambda u) \leq H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda u) \leq \delta d(x, u) + 2\delta d(u, T_\lambda x) = \delta d(x, u).
\]

The rest of the proof can be done as in the proof of Theorem 7. \( \square \)

**Theorem 10.** Let \((X, \| \cdot, \cdot \|)\) be a normed linear space with \( d(x, y) = \| x - y \| \) for every \( x, y \in X \) and \( T : X \to CB(X) \) be a multivalued Górnicki mapping with \( M < \frac{\theta}{\theta - 1} \). If \( S : X \to CB(X) \) with \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \) and \( H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X \) is satisfied. Then, we can find a \( z \in F(T) \) such that

\[
d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma,
\]

where \( k = \frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \) and \( z^* \in F(S) \) is an arbitrary fixed point. If \( S \) is a multivalued Górnicki mapping, then we obtain

\[
H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( T \) is multivalued Górnicki mapping,

\[
d(u, Tu) \leq H(Tx, Tu) \\
\leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(u, Tu) + d(x, u)] \\
\leq M[d(x, u) + d(u, Tu) + d(x, u)] \quad \text{if} \quad u \in Tx,
\]

where
which implies that
\[ d(u, Tu) \leq \frac{2M}{1 - M} d(x, u). \]

The rest of the proof can be done as in the proof of Theorem 7. \[ \square \]
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