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Abstract—This paper addresses robust beamforming design for rate splitting multiple access (RSMA)-aided multiple-input single-output (MISO) visible light communication (VLC) networks. In particular, since the channel capacity of VLC is yet unknown, we derive the first theoretical bound of channel capacity of RSMA-aided VLC networks, i.e., achievable rates with closed-form expressions. For the perfect channel state information (CSI) scenario, we investigate the beamforming design to minimize the transmitted power of RSMA-aided VLC networks under the quality of service (QoS) constraint of each user and the optical power constraints, and propose a constrained-convex-concave programming (CCCP)-based beamforming design algorithm to obtain high-quality beamformers. Moreover, for the imperfect CSI scenario, we propose a robust CCCP-based beamforming design scheme for RSMA-aided VLC networks by exploiting semidefinite relaxation (SDR) technique and S-lemma. Numerical results show that the proposed RSMA schemes offer a significant spectral efficiency gain over the existing space-division multiple access (SDMA) scheme and non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) scheme.

Index Terms—Visible light communication, rate splitting multiple access, imperfect CSI, beamforming design.

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to its many advantages such as vast unlicensed spectrum ranges from 430 THz to 790 THz band, low-cost frontends, high energy-efficient, high degree of spatial reuse, and inherent security, visible light communication (VLC) is regarded as a promising technique for beyond fifth generation (5G) and sixth generation (6G) wireless networks to meet explosive growth of internet-of-things (IoT) [1], [2]. By utilizing the deployed light emitting diode (LED) as the transmitter, VLC can provide illumination and high-speed communication simultaneously, without introducing interference to radio-frequency (RF) communications. Therefore, VLC has been considered as an appealing complementary technology to RF communications [3], and can be widely deployed in homes and offices to serve massive devices, as well as in environments such as aircraft and hospitals, where RF radiation should be strictly regulated [1], [3]. Despite of the above remarkable advantages, the main challenge of VLC is the narrow modulation bandwidth of the off-the-shelf LEDs, which limits both the spectral efficiency and connectivity of VLC networks.

A potential direction for high spectral efficiency and massive multiple access of VLC networks is to design efficient multiple access (MA) schemes. Specifically, the conventional orthogonal multiple access (OMA) techniques, such as optical orthogonal frequency-division multiple access [4], [5], and optical code-division multiple access [6] have been studied for VLC networks. However, the number of users that can be served in OMA-aided VLC networks is limited by the number of available orthogonal resources. To circumvent the limitations of OMA, non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) has been considered as a spectrum-efficient MA scheme for VLC networks that allows different users to share the same time/frequency resources [7]–[11]. Specifically, in power-domain NOMA, signals of multiple users are multiplexed at the transmitter by superposition coding. At the receiver, successive interference cancellation (SIC) is utilized to eliminate the signals of users with lower channel gain before accessing the desired signals.

Recently, some literatures have been studied the applications of NOMA in VLC networks [12]–[16]. In [12], the authors derived closed-form expressions of the coverage probability and the ergodic sum rate in downlink NOMA VLC networks, and showed that NOMA can offer a higher performance gain compared with OMA. Based on the users’ locations, the authors in [13] proposed a user grouping method for NOMA VLC multi-cell networks, and then investigated the power allocation problem to improve the achievable rate of each user. Although NOMA outperforms OMA in terms of spectral efficiency and connectivity, the potential of NOMA relies on the assumption that user’s channel gains are different, which may not be valid in practice [17]. Moreover, the decoded complexity of NOMA with multi-antenna settings is high due to the inefficient use of SIC technology [18].

To overcome NOMA’s dependence on channel differences and its inefficiency in multi-antenna settings, a novel rate-splitting multiple access (RSMA) was proposed [19], which performs linearly precoded rate splitting at the transmitter and
SIC at the receiver. Specifically, at the transmitter, each user’s message is split into common and private parts. Then, all common parts are jointly encoded into one common stream while the private parts are independently encoded into the private streams. The encoded common and private streams are simultaneously transmitted via superposition coding. At the receiver, each user first decodes the common stream by treating all private streams as noise, and then removes the common stream using SIC. After that, the private stream of each user is decoded by treating other private streams as noise. The key advantage of RSMA is that it can flexibly adjust the message splits and the power allocation among the common and private streams, which enables it to softly bridge the two extremes of fully treating interference as noise, such as space-division multiple access (SDMA), and fully decoding interference, such as NOMA [20], [21]. RSMA was originally developed for the two-user single-antenna interference channel [22], and then extended to multi-user multi-antenna interference networks [23]–[26], massive multiple-input multiple-output with imperfect channel state information (CSI) at the transmitter [27], [28], multigroup multicast beamforming design [29], millimeter wave beamforming design [30], secure transmission [31] and cooperative multiple-input single-output (MISO) broadcast channel with user relaying [32], [33], etc.

Leveraging those prior works, RSMA will be an appealing solution to further enhance both spectral efficiency and number of access users of VLC networks. However, the study of RSMA for VLC networks is still in its infancy [34]–[36]. More specifically, by considering the Lambertian radiation model of LED and corresponding the visible light channel, the authors in [34] applied RSMA into multicell networks and proposed a linearly precoding scheme to maximize the spectral efficiency. In [35], the signal-to-interference and noise ratio expressions of RSMA VLC networks were derived, and the weighted sum rate problem was investigated for a two-user VLC scenario. On one hand, similar to those studies on RF communication networks [19]–[21], [23]–[26], existing works on RSMA VLC [34]–[36] are all based on the classic Shannon capacity formula. However, the Shannon capacity formula with Gaussian input distributions cannot be directly applied in VLC networks due to the distinct characteristics of VLC. To be specific, both peak and average optical power (amplitude) of VLC signals should be limited for eye safety and practical illumination requirement [1], [8]. Moreover, the transmitted signals of VLC should be non-negative and real due to the intensity modulation and direct detection technique [38]. It has been shown that the capacity-achieving distribution of VLC channels is discrete over a finite set of points [39], [40]. Up to now, the closed-form expression of the transmission rate of RSMA VLC is yet unknown, which limits practical design of RSMA-aided VLC networks.

On the other hand, existing works of RSMA VLC [34]–[36] only consider the perfect CSI scenario. In practice, however, the CSI estimation errors are inevitable in RSMA-aided VLC networks, which may lead to the situation when the interference can not be completely removed during SIC at the receiver. Therefore, robust RSMA design for imperfect CSI is one of the major challenging issues for practical VLC network implementation. To the authors’ best knowledge, robust beamforming design of RSMA-aided VLC networks with imperfect CSI is not available in the literature, yet.

Motivated by these limitations of existing RSMA-aided VLC works, we focus on two key fundamental issues of RSMA-aided VLC networks: identifying achievable rates and developing robust beamforming design for imperfect CSI. The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

• Different from the existing works based on the classic Shannon formula [34]–[36], we derive the achievable rate of users with closed-form expression for RSMA-aided VLC networks based on practical power constraints. To the best of our knowledge, the derived achievable rate expression is the first theoretical bound of channel capacity for RSMA-aided VLC networks, which is a prerequisite of practical RSMA beamforming design in VLC networks.

• When perfect CSI is available, we optimize the beamformers to minimize the transmitted power of RSMA-aided VLC networks under the quality of service (QoS) constraint of each user and the optical power constraints of each LED, which is difficult and challenging to solve. To handle this difficulties, we first exploit the semidefinite relaxation (SDR) technique to relax the non-convex problem. Then, we propose a constrained-convex-concave programming (CCCP)-based algorithm to approximate it with a series of convex subproblems. The beamforming design problem is therefore solved via an iterative optimization approach, which converges to a high-quality suboptimal beamforming solution.

• To overcome the limitation of imperfect CSI in practical RSMA-aided VLC networks, we propose a CCCP-based (sub-optimal) algorithm for the robust beamforming design problem guaranteeing the performance of the multiuser system in terms of the worst QoS. To tackle the robust optimization problem, we employ the SDR and S-lemma to recast non-convex constraints into linear matrix inequality (LMI) forms, and then the robust beamforming of RSMA-aided VLC can be efficiently solved by the proposed robust CCCP-based algorithm.

• Simulation results show that the proposed beamforming design of RSMA-aided VLC networks achieves superior performance compared with existing baseline schemes. Specifically, for perfect CSI, the proposed RSMA scheme always consumes less power than that of NOMA and SDMA under different network loads and channel correlations. For imperfect CSI, the proposed robust RSMA scheme meets the minimum rate requirement and consumes less power than that of both the robust NOMA and SDMA schemes.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the downlink RSMA-aided VLC network is introduced, and the achievable rate expressions are derived. In Section III, the beamforming design for the transmitted power minimization with perfect CSI is presented. In Section IV, the robust beamforming design with imperfect CSI is studied. In Section V, simulation results are illustrated and discussed. Conclusions
are drawn in Section VI.

Notations: Boldfaced lowercase and uppercase letters denote vectors and matrices, respectively. The symbols $|\cdot|$, $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot\}$, $(\cdot)^T$, $\|\cdot\|$, $\text{rank}(\cdot)$, $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$, $\otimes$ and $\circ$ represent absolute value, the expectation, transpose, Frobenius norm, rank, trace, Kronecker-product and Hadamard product, respectively. $I(X;Y)$ denotes the mutual information between input $X$ and output $Y$, and $h(x) \triangleq \int f(x) \log_2 f(x) dx$ denotes the entropy of random variable $x$, where $f(x)$ is the probability density function (PDF) of $x$. $e_n$ is an unit vector with the $n$th element equal to 1, $1_N$ is a $N \times 1$ vector with all elements equal to 1, and $I_N$ is a $N \times N$ identity matrix. $K \triangleq \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$, $\mathcal{I} \triangleq \{0, 1, \ldots, K\}$ and $\mathcal{N} \triangleq \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$. $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ denotes an $m$ by $n$ dimensional real space. The operator $A \succeq 0$ means $A$ is positive semidefinite. $\text{erf}(x) \triangleq 2/\sqrt{\pi} \int_0^x \exp(-t^2) dt$ for a given positive value $x$.

II. SYSTEM MODEL OF DOWNLINK RSMA-AIDED MISO VLC NETWORK

A. Transmitted Signal of the RSMA-aided VLC Network

For the considered downlink RSMA-aided VLC network shown in Fig. 1 the VLC base station (BS) equipped with $N$ LEDs simultaneously serves $K$ single-access photodiode (PD) users by adopting RSMA scheme [19]. Specifically, at the VLC BS, the message $M_k$ intended to user $k$ is split into a common message $M_{k,0}$ and a private message $M_k^p \forall k \in K$. Then, all common messages of $K$ users $\{M_{k,0}\}_{k=1}^K$ are combined into a super common message $M_0$, i.e., $M_0 \triangleq \{M_{1,0}, \ldots, M_{K,0}\}$. Moreover, based on the common codebook shared by all users, the super common message $M_0$ is encoded into the common signal $s_0$, which can be decoded by all users. Furthermore, according to the private codebook, the private message $M_k^p$ is encoded as the private signal $s_k$, which can only be decoded by corresponding user $k$.

In addition, these $K+1$ signals $\{s_i\}_{i=0}^K$ satisfy peak amplitude constraint $|s_i| \leq A_i$ with mean $\mathbb{E}\{s_i\} = 0$ and variance $\mathbb{E}\{s_i^2\} = \varepsilon_i$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ [41]. Therefore, the transmitted signal $x$ of the VLC BS is given by

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^K w_i s_i + b,$$

where $w_i = [w_{i,1}, \ldots, w_{i,N}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ denotes the beamformer vector for $s_i$, $b = [b, \ldots, b]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ denotes the direct current (DC) bias vector to ensure the non-negativity constraint of the transmitted signal, and $b \geq 0$ is the DC bias for each LED [47]. Thus, the beamformer vector $w_i$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$, satisfies

$$\sum_{i=0}^K A_i |w_{i,n}| \leq b, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In practice, the RSMA-aided VLC network needs to consider the illumination requirements [42]. Specifically, let $I_H$ denote the maximum permissible current of LEDs, the beamformer vector $w_i$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$, should satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^K A_i w_i^T e_n + b \leq I_H, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

B. CSI Model of the RSMA-aided VLC Network

In the VLC network, the optical wireless channel between LED and user is dominated by the line-of-sight (LOS) link, while diffuse links can be safely neglected [43], [44]. Specifically, let $g_k \triangleq [g_{k,1}, \ldots, g_{k,N}]^T$ denote the channel gain vector of user $k$, where $g_{k,n}$ is the channel gain between the $n$th LED and user $k$. Based on Lambertian emission model [45], $g_{k,n}$ is given by

$$g_{k,n} = \frac{(m + 1) \eta_\iota \eta_r A_r}{2\pi d_{k,n}^2} \cos^m (\phi_\iota) \cos (\varphi_k) \Gamma (\varphi_k),$$

where $m = -\ln 2/\ln (\cos (\varphi_{1/2}))$, denotes the Lambertian order with the half power angle $\varphi_{1/2}$; $\eta_\iota$ and $\eta_r$ denote the current-to-light and light-to-current conversion efficiency, respectively; $d_{k,n}$ is the distance between the $n$th LED and user $k$, $\varphi_k$ are incidence angle and the radiance angle, respectively; $\Gamma (\varphi_k)$ is an indicator function, when $\varphi_k$ satisfies $|\varphi_k| \leq \varphi_{\text{FOV}}$, $\Gamma (\varphi_k) = 1$, otherwise $\Gamma (\varphi_k) = 0$, where $\varphi_{\text{FOV}}$ is the field of view (FOV) of PD; $A_r$ is the effective physical area of PD and given by

$$A_r = \frac{n_r^2}{\sin^2 (\varphi_{\text{FOV}})} A_{PD},$$

where $n_r$ denotes the refractive index and $A_{PD}$ denotes the PD area.

In practice, it is challenging to obtain accurate CSI due to channel estimation errors [46]. To characterize the estimation errors of acquiring CSI, we adopt a norm-bounded CSI error model [47], [48]. Specifically, for user $k$, the transmitter acquires an imperfect estimate of the channel vector $g_k$, denoted as $\hat{g}_k$. The imperfect CSI is modelled as follows

$$g_k = \hat{g}_k + \Delta g_k,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\hat{g}_k = [\hat{g}_{k,1}, \ldots, \hat{g}_{k,N}]^T$ can be viewed as the estimated CSI vector, and $\Delta g_k = [\Delta g_{k,1}, \ldots, \Delta g_{k,N}]^T$ denotes the CSI estimation errors vector. Moreover, the CSI estimation errors are bounded by a constant value $\psi_k > 0$, which is the edge of the ellipsoid [48]. Specifically, let $\mathcal{G}_k$ denote the set that
contains all possible CSI estimation errors, and $\Delta g_k$ can be modeled as follows

$$
\mathbb{G}_k \triangleq \left\{ \Delta g_k | \Delta g_k^T C_k \Delta g_k \leq v_k \right\},
$$

where $C_k = C_k^T \geq 0$ controls the extension of the ellipsoid, and $v_k$ determines the volume of the ellipsoid. In addition, the perfect CSI is available when $v_k = 0$, namely, $\Delta g_k = 0$.

### C. Received signal and Achievable Rates

In general, it is difficult to obtain a closed-form expression of the VLC network under the optical power constraints [11, 37]. For the considered RSMA-aided VLC network, the accurate channel capacity is yet an open problem. Considering practical power constraints, i.e., peak optical power constraint, average optical power constraint, and average electrical constraint [11, 41], the lower bound of channel capacity RSMA-aided VLC networks is derived in this subsection. Specifically, the received signal of user $k$ is given by

$$
y_k = \left( g_k^T + \Delta g_k^T \right) w_0 s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{K} \left( g_k^T + \Delta g_k^T \right) w_i s_i + g_k^T b + z_k,
$$

where $z_k$ is the received noise which follows the Gaussian distribution with mean zero and covariance $\sigma_k^2$. The DC component $g_k^T b$ can be removed through the capacitor at the receiver since it carries no message [42].

First, user $k$ decodes the common signal $s_0$ by treating all private signals as noise. The achievable rate of decoding the common signal $s_0$ at user $k$ is given by

$$
R_{k,c} = \max \left\{ f_s(s_k) \right\}_{k=0}^{K} I \left( s_0; y_k \right)
$$

(9a)

$$
= \max \left\{ f_s(s_k) \right\}_{k=0}^{K} h \left( y_k \right) - h \left( y_k | s_0 \right)
$$

(9b)

$$
= \max \left\{ f_s(s_k) \right\}_{k=0}^{K} h \left( \sum_{i=0}^{K} \left( g_k^T + \Delta g_k^T \right) w_i s_i + z_k \right)
$$

(9c)

$$
- h \left( \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left( g_k^T + \Delta g_k^T \right) w_j s_j + z_k \right)
$$

(9d)

$$
\geq \max \left\{ f_s(s_k) \right\}_{k=0}^{K} \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{K} 2^{2 h \left( \left( g_k^T + \Delta g_k^T \right) w_i s_i \right)} + 2^{2 h(z_k)} \right)
$$

(9e)

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \log_2 2 \pi \sigma_k^2 e \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left( \left| g_k^T + \Delta g_k^T \right| w_j \right)^2 \varepsilon_j + \sigma_k^2
$$

where $f_s(s_i)$ denotes the PDF of the signal $s_i$. Inequality (9b) is true due to the entropy power inequality (EPI) [49], and $h(Y) \leq 0.5 \log 2 \pi e \text{var}(Y)$ for a random variable with variance var$(Y)$. Equality (9c) holds as $s_i$ follows the termed ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma$) (ABG) distribution under the practical power con-
where \( \hat{y}_{k,i} \triangleq \Delta g_k^T w_0 s_0 + g_k^T w_i s_i + z_k \), and \( \tau_i \triangleq \epsilon^{1 + 2(n_i + \epsilon_i)} \). Inequality \((13d)\) is true due to the EPI and \( h(Y) \leq 0.5 \log 2 \pi \text{var}(Y) \) for a random variable with variance \( \text{var}(Y) \). Equality \((13e)\) holds because \( s_i \) follows the AGB distribution.

To guarantee that \( s_0 \) is successfully decoded by all users \([18]–[21]\), the achievable rate of decoding \( s_0 \) should not exceed \( R_c = \min \{ R_{1,c}, \ldots, R_{K,c} \} \). As \( R_c \) is shared by all users for the transmission of \( s_0 \), we have \( \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k = R_c \), where \( c_k \) is the introduced variable representing one portion of \( R_c \) at user \( k \). Therefore, the total achievable rate of user \( k \), denoted as \( R_k \), can be expressed by

\[
R_k = c_k + R_{k,p}, \quad \forall k \in K. \tag{14}
\]

### III. Beamforming Design with Perfect CSI

In this section, we consider the scenario with perfect CSI and investigate the beamforming design to minimize the transmitted power of the RSMA-aided VLC network. Specifically, the perfect CSI is available when \( \Delta g_k = 0 \), and the achievable rate \( R_{k,c} \) of decoding the common signal \( s_0 \) at user \( k \) can be obtained from \((9)\), which is given by

\[
R_{k,c} \geq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{2 \pi \sigma^2_k + \sum_{i=0}^{K} |g_k^T w_i|^2 \tau_i}{2 \pi \sigma^2_k + 2 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{j \neq k} |g_k^T w_j|^2 \varepsilon_j}. \tag{15}
\]

Moreover, the achievable rate \( R_{k,p} \) of decoding the desired private signal \( s_k \) at user \( k \) can be obtained from \((13)\), which is given by

\[
R_{k,p} \geq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{2 \pi \sigma^2_k + \sum_{i=1}^{K} |g_k^T w_i|^2 \tau_i}{2 \pi \sigma^2_k + 2 \pi \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{j \neq k} |g_k^T w_j|^2 \varepsilon_j}. \tag{16}
\]

#### A. Transmitted Power Minimization with Perfect CSI

With the closed-form expression of achievable rates in \((15)\) and \((16)\), we focus on the beamforming design to minimize the transmitted power, while satisfying both the QoS constraints and the optical power constraints. Note that the introduced variable \( c_k \) in \((14)\) is required to be optimized jointly with the beamformer \( w_k, \forall k \in K, \forall i \in I \). Mathematically, the transmitted power minimization problem can be formulated as the following optimization problem

\[
\min_{\{w_k\}_{k=1}^{K}} \min_{\{c_k\}_{k=1}^{K}} \sum_{i=0}^{K} \varepsilon_i \|w_i\|^2 \tag{17a}
\]

subject to

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k \leq R_{k,c}, \quad c_k \geq 0, \quad \forall k \in K, \tag{17b}
\]

\[
c_k + R_{k,p} \geq R_{k}^{th}, \quad \forall k \in K, \tag{17c}
\]

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{K} A_i w_i^T e_n \leq \min \{ b, I_H - b \}, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{17d}
\]

where \( R_{k}^{th} \) denotes the minimum rate requirement.

In beamforming optimization problem \((17)\), constraint \((17b)\) is required to ensure that the common signal \( s_0 \) is successfully decoded by all users and the achievable rate of each user for decoding the common signal \( s_0 \) is non-negative. Constraint \((17c)\) guarantees the QoS of user \( k \). Constraint \((17d)\) denotes the optical power limitation of each LED. Notice that problem \((17)\) is a non-convex optimization problem which is difficult to solve. To deal with this difficulty, we propose a iterative CCCP-based algorithm which can solve problem \((17)\) effectively. Next, we introduce the iterative CCCP-based scheme to handle the optimization problem \((17)\).

#### B. Optimization Solution with Perfect CSI

Due to the complicated form and non-convexity of constraints \((17b)\) and \((17c)\), problem \((17)\) is computationally intractable. To handle this issue, we first introduce a number of auxiliary variables as follows

\[
\hat{w} \triangleq \left[ w_{0}^T, \ldots, w_{K}^T \right]^T, \tag{18a}
\]

\[
\hat{a} \triangleq \left[ e_0^{1/2}, \ldots, e_K^{1/2} \right]^T \otimes 1_N, \tag{18b}
\]

\[
a_n \triangleq [A_0, \ldots, A_K]^T \otimes e_n, \tag{18c}
\]

\[
g_{c,k} \triangleq \text{diag} \{ \tau_0, \ldots, \tau_K \} \otimes (g_k^T g_k^T)^T, \tag{18d}
\]

\[
t_{c,k} \triangleq 2 \pi \text{diag} \{ 0, e_1, \ldots, e_K \} \otimes (g_k^T g_k^T)^T, \tag{18e}
\]

\[
t_{p,k} \triangleq 2 \pi \text{diag} \{ 0, e_1, \ldots, e_{i-1}, 0, e_{i+1}, \ldots, e_K \} \otimes (g_k^T g_k^T)^T, \tag{18f}
\]

Based on the definitions \((18)\), problem \((17)\) can be equivalently reformulated as

\[
\min_{\{\hat{w}, \{c_k\}_{k=1}^{K}\}} \left\| \hat{w} \odot (\hat{a} \hat{a}^T) \right\|^2 \tag{19a}
\]

subject to

\[
\begin{align}
\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2 \pi \sigma^2_k + \hat{w}^T \hat{G}_{c,k} \hat{w} \right) \\
\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2 \pi \sigma^2_k + \hat{w}^T \hat{G}_{c,k} \hat{w} \right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k, \tag{19b}
\end{align}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2 \pi \sigma^2_k + \hat{w}^T \hat{G}_{c,k} \hat{w} \right) \geq R_k^{th} - c_k, \quad c_k \geq 0, \quad \forall k \in K, \tag{19c}
\]

\[
\hat{w}^T a_n a_n^T \hat{w} \leq \min \left\{ b^2, (I_H - b)^2 \right\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N}. \tag{19d}
\]

Then, we employ the SDR technique to relax the constraints of problem \((19)\). Towards this end, by using the following conditions

\[
\hat{W} = \hat{w} \hat{w}^T \Leftrightarrow \hat{W} \succeq 0, \quad \text{Rank}(\hat{W}) = 1. \tag{20}
\]

and relaxing the non-convex rank-one constraint, constraints \((19b)\)
can be rewritten as follows
\[
\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right) \\
\text{concave}
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\bar{G}_{c,k}) \right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k. \tag{21}
\]

Similarly by using SDR, constraint (19c) can be reformulated as follows
\[
\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right) \\
\text{concave}
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{p,k}) \right) \geq R_k^{th} - c_k. \tag{22}
\]

Note that constraints (21) and (22) are still non-convex, which make the globally optimal solution of problem (19) hard to find. Since the left terms of constraints (21) and (22) can be respectively regarded as a difference of two concave functions, we propose a iterative CCCP-based algorithm to approximate the second concave term by its convex lower bound at each iteration [50]. Thus, problem (19) can be solved with iteratively updated variables until convergence, and a high-quality suboptimal solution can be obtained. Specifically, at the \((m+1)\)th iteration, the second concave terms in (21) and (22) can be respectively approximated by using the first-order Taylor series expansion at given points as follows

\[
\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right) - L_{c,k}(\hat{W}) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k, \tag{23a}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right) - L_{p,k}(\hat{W}) \geq R_k^{th} - c_k, \tag{23b}
\]

where \(L_{c,k}(\hat{W})\) and \(L_{p,k}(\hat{W})\) are linear functions of variable \(\hat{W}\), which are given by

\[
L_{c,k}(\hat{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right) + \text{Tr} \left( \hat{G}_{c,k}(\hat{W} - \hat{W}^{(m)}) \right) + 2 \ln (2) \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right), \tag{24a}
\]
\[
L_{p,k}(\hat{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right) + \text{Tr} \left( \hat{G}_{p,k}(\hat{W} - \hat{W}^{(m)}) \right) + 2 \ln (2) \left( 2\pi\sigma_k^2 + \text{Tr}(\hat{W}\hat{G}_{c,k}) \right), \tag{24b}
\]

where \(\hat{W}^{(m)}\) is a feasible point obtained from the \(m\)th iteration.

Therefore, at the \((m+1)\)th iteration, the convex approximation subproblem of problem (19) is given as

\[
\min_{\hat{W}_k(\hat{c}_k), k=1} \text{Tr} \left( \hat{W} \odot (\hat{d}\hat{d}^T) \right) \tag{25a}
\]
\[
\text{s.t. (23a), (23b),} \tag{25b}
\]
\[
\text{Tr} \left( \hat{W}_k a_k a_k^T \right) \leq \min \left\{ b^2, (I_H - b)^2 \right\}, \forall n \in N, \tag{25c}
\]
\[
\hat{W} \succeq 0, c_k \geq 0, \forall k \in K. \tag{25d}
\]

With the given feasible point \(\hat{W}^{(m)}\), optimization problem (25) is a convex semidefinite program (SDP), and can be efficiently solved by standard convex program solvers [51]. Then, \(\hat{W}^{(m)}\) is updated by the obtained solution \(\hat{W}^*\), and problem (19) is iteratively solved until it converges to a local minimum at the given convergence accuracy. The details of the proposed iterative CCCP-based algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1 Note that when \(m = 0\), singular value decomposition is employed to initialize the beamformer for the common message and maximum ratio transmission is used to initialize the beamformers for the private messages following the literature [19, 32, 33]. The computational complexity of Algorithm 1 is \(O(L_1(3K + N + 1)\sqrt{(K + 1)N} \log (1/\epsilon_1))\), where \(\epsilon_1 > 0\) is the pre-defined accuracy of problem (25), and \(L_1\) represents the number of iterations of Algorithm 1 before convergence [32, 33].

**Algorithm 1** CCCP-based algorithm of the RSMA-aided VLC network.

1: **Input**: Define \(T \triangleq \text{Tr} \left( \hat{W} \odot (\hat{d}\hat{d}^T) \right), m \leftarrow 0, T^{[m]} \leftarrow 0, \) feasible point \(\hat{W}^{[m]}\), convergence accuracy \(\epsilon_1\);
2: **Repeat**
3: At the \((m+1)\)th iteration, solve the subproblem (25) with \(\hat{W}^{[m]}\) and denote the value of objective function as \(T^*\) and the corresponding solution as \(\hat{W}^*\);
4: Update: \(\hat{W}^{[m+1]} \leftarrow \hat{W}^*, T^{[m+1]} \leftarrow T^*\);
5: \(m \leftarrow m + 1;\)
6: **until** \(T^{[m+1]} - T^{[m]} < \epsilon_1;\)
7: **Output**: \(\hat{W}^*, T^*\).

Finally, we can reconstruct the beamformer \(w_i, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}\), based on the solution given by Algorithm 1. It is noted that the considered SDP problem (25) has relaxed the rank-one constraint, the rank of the obtained solution \(\hat{W}^*\) may not be 1. If \(\text{Rank}(\hat{W}^*) = 1\), then the beamformers vector \(w_i\) of the original problem (17) can be obtained by using eigenvalue decomposition. Otherwise, we can obtain a high-quality rank-one solution of the problem (17) by applying Gaussian randomization procedure [33].

**IV. ROBUST BEAMFORMING DESIGN WITH IMPERFECT CSI**

In the previous section, we have investigated the beamforming design of the RSMA-aided VLC network with perfect CSI. In the practical VLC network, the CSI is always imperfect due to channel estimation errors or feedback quantization errors. Moreover, the imperfect CSI will lead to the residual
interference in SIC process and the performance degradation of the VLC network [48]. Under this consideration, we further investigate beamforming design of the RSMA-aided VLC network with imperfect CSI. Based on the derivation of (9), (13) and (14), we consider a worst-case beamforming design for all possible values of channel estimation errors to minimize the transmitted power of the RSMA-aided VLC network.

A. Transmitted Power Minimization with Imperfect CSI

Now, we aim to design robust beamforming to minimize the transmitted power, while taking into account the worst QoS constraint of each user and the optical power constraint of each LED. Mathematically, the worst-case robust transmitted power minimization problem of the RSMA-aided VLC network can be formulated as

\[
\min_{\{w_i\}_{i=0}^K} \sum_{i=0}^K \varepsilon_i \|w_i\|^2 \tag{26a}
\]

s.t. \[\begin{align*}
&\sum_{k=1}^K c_k \leq R_{k,c}, c_k \geq 0, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&c_k + R_{k,p} \geq R_{k}^{th}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&\sum_{i=0}^K A_i w_i^T e_n \leq \min \{b, I_H - b\}, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \\
&g_k = \delta_k + \Delta g_k, \Delta g_k \in \mathcal{G}_k, \forall k \in \mathcal{K},
\end{align*}\] \tag{26b}

where constraint (26b) ensures that all users can successfully decode the common signal and the achievable rate of each user for decoding the common signal is non-negative. Constraint (26d) guarantees the worst QoS of user \(k\). Constraint (26c) denotes the optical power limitation of each LED. Constraint (26d) represents that the CSI is imperfect due to the channel estimation errors.

The main difficulty in solving (26) lies in non-convex constraints (26b), (26c), and (26e). In particular, the CSI estimation errors in constraint (26b) lead to an infinite number of non-convex inequality constraints in the original problem (26). Actually, problem (26) is a semi-infinite programming, and thereby there is no standard method to directly solve such non-convex optimization problems efficiently. To strike a balance between solution optimality and computational complexity, the intractable non-convex problem (26) is approximated with a series of convex subproblems, then an effective CCCP-based sub-optimal algorithm is proposed to solve problem (26) iteratively. Next, the proposed algorithm is introduced in detail.

B. Optimization Solution with Imperfect CSI

Note that the infinite number of non-convex constraints makes problem (26) difficult to solve. To overcome this difficulty, several transformations for problem (26) are introduced in the following.

1) SDR Transformation and Variable Substitution of Problem (26): To facilitate the design of an iterative method based on the CCCP algorithm, we first apply SDR technique to handle the non-convex constraints in (26b) and (26c).

Specifically, let us define \(W_i \triangleq w_i w_i^T\), \(\Phi \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^K \tau_i W_i\), \(\Phi \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^K 2\pi \varepsilon_j W_j\) and \(G_k \triangleq \delta_k + \Delta g_k^T\), and introduce the following exponential relations for (26b)

\[
\exp\left(x_{k,c}\right) \leq \text{Tr} \left(\Phi G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2, \tag{27a}
\]

\[
\exp\left(y_{k,c}\right) \geq \text{Tr} \left(\Phi G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2, \tag{27b}
\]

where \(x_{k,c}\) and \(y_{k,c}\) are slack variables. Thus, constraint (26b) can be approximated in the form of convex constraint as follows

\[
\sum_{k=1}^K c_k - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \exp\left(x_{k,c} - y_{k,c}\right) \leq 0, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \tag{28}
\]

where the transformation from (26b) to (27) and (28) is known as safe approximation following the literature [54].

Then, let us define \(Q \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^K \tau_i W_i\), \(\bar{W}_0 = \tau_0 W_0\), \(\bar{Q} \triangleq \sum_{j=1,j\neq k}^K 2\pi \varepsilon_j W_j\), \(\bar{W}_0 = 2\pi \varepsilon_0 W_0\) and \(\Delta G_k \triangleq \Delta g_k G_k^T\), and introduce the following exponential relations for (26c)

\[
\exp\left(x_{k,p}\right) \leq \text{Tr} \left(\bar{Q} G_k\right) + \text{Tr} \left(\bar{W}_0 \Delta G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2, \tag{29a}
\]

\[
\exp\left(y_{k,p}\right) \geq \text{Tr} \left(\bar{Q} G_k\right) + \text{Tr} \left(\bar{W}_0 \Delta G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2, \tag{29b}
\]

where \(x_{k,p}\) and \(y_{k,p}\) are slack variables. Thus, constraint (26c) can be reformulated in the form of convex constraints as follows

\[
R_{k}^{th} - c_k - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \exp\left(x_{k,p} - y_{k,p}\right) \leq 0, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{30}
\]

By employing the slack variables \((\bar{Q} G_k, \bar{W}_0 \Delta G_k)\) for (27) and (29), respectively, and relaxing the rank-one constraints of all \(W_i, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}\), problem (26) can be reformulated as follows

\[
\min_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^K \varepsilon_i \text{Tr} \left(W_i\right) \tag{31a}
\]

s.t. \[\begin{align*}
&\exp\left(x_{k,c}\right) \leq p_{k,c}, \exp\left(x_{k,p}\right) \leq p_{k,p}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&\exp\left(y_{k,c}\right) \geq q_{k,c}, \exp\left(y_{k,p}\right) \geq q_{k,p}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&\text{Tr} \left(\Phi G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2 \geq p_{k,c}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&\text{Tr} \left(\Phi G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2 \leq q_{k,c}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&\text{Tr} \left(\bar{Q} G_k\right) + \text{Tr} \left(\bar{W}_0 \Delta G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2 \geq p_{k,p}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&\text{Tr} \left(\bar{Q} G_k\right) + \text{Tr} \left(\bar{W}_0 \Delta G_k\right) + 2\pi \sigma_k^2 \leq q_{k,p}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&\sum_{i=0}^K A_i^T \text{Tr} \left(W_i e_n e_n^T\right) \leq \min \left\{b_c, (I_H - b)^2\right\}, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \\
&\Delta g_k \in \mathcal{G}_k, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \\
&W_i \succeq 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I},
\end{align*}\] \tag{31b}

where \(\Omega \triangleq \{W_i, c_k, x_{k,c}, y_{k,c}, x_{k,p}, y_{k,p}, p_{k,c}, q_{k,c}, p_{k,p}, q_{k,p} | \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}\}\) denotes the set including the optimization variables and the slack variables described before. Constraint (31h) guarantees that the achievable rates of each user for
decoding the common and the desired private signal are non-negative after variable substitution, respectively. Constraint (31k) ensures that $W_i$ is positive semi-definite.

2) Transformation of Semi-Infinite Constraints: Due to the CSI estimation errors $\Delta g_k \in \bar{g}_k$, constraints (31d)-(31g) involve infinitely number of constraints, which are generally intractable for beamforming design. To handle this issue, we transform them into a finite number of LMI constraints by leveraging the following lemma.

Lemma 1(S-lemma) [55]: Let a function $f_m(x), m = \{1, 2\}$, be defined as
\[
f_m(x) = x^T A_m x + 2 \Re \{ r_m^T x \} + z_m, \tag{32}
\]
where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}, A_m \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, A_m = A_m^T, r_m \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1},$ and $z_m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the implication $f_1(x) \leq 0 \Rightarrow f_2(x) \leq 0$ holds if and only if there exists a variable $\lambda \geq 0$ such that
\[
\lambda \left[ \begin{array}{c}
A_1 r_1 \\
A_2 r_2 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{c}
A_3 r_3 \\
A_4 r_4 \\
z_1 \\
z_2
\end{array} \right] \geq 0, \tag{33}
\]
provided that there exists a point $\bar{x}$ that satisfies $f_m(\bar{x}) \leq 0$.

By substituting the (6) into (31d)-(31g), respectively, we have
\[
\Delta g_k^T \bar{\Phi} \Delta g_k + 2 \Delta g_k^T \Phi \hat{g}_k + \hat{g}_k^T \Phi \hat{g}_k + 2 \pi \sigma_k^2 - p_{k,c} \geq 0, \tag{34a}
\]
\[
\Delta g_k^T \bar{\Phi} \Delta g_k + 2 \Delta g_k^T \Phi \hat{g}_k + \hat{g}_k^T \Phi \hat{g}_k + 2 \pi \sigma_k^2 - q_{k,c} \leq 0, \tag{34b}
\]
\[
\Delta g_k^T R \Delta g_k + 2 \Delta g_k^T Q \hat{g}_k + \hat{g}_k^T Q \hat{g}_k + 2 \pi \sigma_k^2 - p_{k,p} \geq 0, \tag{34c}
\]
\[
\Delta g_k^T R \Delta g_k + 2 \Delta g_k^T Q \hat{g}_k + \hat{g}_k^T Q \hat{g}_k + 2 \pi \sigma_k^2 - q_{k,p} \leq 0, \tag{34d}
\]
where $R \triangleq \bar{W}_0 + Q$ and $\bar{R} \triangleq \bar{W}_0 + \bar{Q}$.

By applying S-lemma, (34) can be conservatively reformulated as the following convex LMI constraints
\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
u_{k,c} I_N + \Phi \\
\hat{g}_k^T \Phi \end{array} \right] \geq 0, \tag{35a}
\]
\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{k,c} \bar{I}_N - \Phi \\
-\hat{g}_k^T \Phi
\end{array} \right] \geq 0, \tag{35b}
\]
\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
u_{k,p} I_N + R \\
\hat{g}_k^T Q
\end{array} \right] \geq 0, \tag{35c}
\]
\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{k,p} \bar{I}_N - \bar{R} \\
-\hat{g}_k^T Q
\end{array} \right] \geq 0, \tag{35d}
\]
where $u_{k,c} \geq 0, \lambda_{k,c} \geq 0, u_{k,p} \geq 0, \lambda_{k,p} \geq 0$ are auxiliary variables. Note that constraint (35) involves only a finite number of convex constraints.

3) CCCP-Based Transformation of Constraint (31k): Up to now, it is worth mentioning that only the constraint (31k) is non-convex in problem (31). We then propose a robust CCCP-based algorithm to deal with this non-convexity. Thus, problem (31) can be solved with iteratively updated variables until convergence, and a high-quality suboptimal solution can be obtained. Specifically, at the $(m+1)$th iteration, constraints (31k) can be respectively linearized based on the first order Taylor series expansion and are given as
\[
\exp \{y_{[m]}_{k,c} \} \left( 1 + y_{k,c} - y_{[m]}_{k,c} \right) \geq q_{k,c}, \tag{36a}
\]
\[
\exp \{y_{[m]}_{k,p} \} \left( 1 + y_{k,p} - y_{[m]}_{k,p} \right) \geq q_{k,p} \tag{36b}
\]
where $y_{[m]}_{k,c}$ and $y_{[m]}_{k,p}$ are feasible points obtained from the $m$th iteration.

Consequently, at the $(m+1)$th iteration, the non-convex problem (26) with infinite constraints can be strictly approximated to the following convex subproblem
\[
\min_{\Omega, \Psi} \sum_{i=0}^K \epsilon_i \text{Tr} (W_i) \tag{37}
\]
s.t. $\{28, 30, 31b, 31h, 31b, 31c, 35, 36\},$

where $\Psi \triangleq \{u_{k,c}, \lambda_{k,c}, y_{k,c}, \lambda_{k,p}, y_{k,p} | y_k \in K \}$ is the set of the auxiliary variables used in (35). With the given feasible points $y_{k,c}$ and $y_{k,p}$, we solve problem (37) using standard convex program solvers to update the solution for the next iteration until it converges. The proposed robust CCCP-based algorithm of the RSMA-aided VLC network is summarized in Algorithm (2) The computational complexity of Algorithm (2) is $O(L_2 (13K + N) \sqrt{(N+1)} log (1/\zeta_2))$, where $L_2$ denotes the number of iterations of Algorithm 2 and $\zeta_2 > 0$ is the pre-defined accuracy of problem (37).

Algorithm 2 Robust CCCP-based Algorithm of the RSMA-aided VLC network.

1: Input: Define $\rho \triangleq \sum_{i=0}^K \epsilon_i \text{Tr} (W_i), m \leftarrow 0, \rho^{[m]} \leftarrow 0$.
2: Repeat
3: At the $(m+1)$th iteration, solve the problem (37) for given $y_{k,c}^{[m]}$ and $y_{k,p}^{[m]}$. Then, denote the value of the objective function as $\rho^*$ and the corresponding solution as $W_i^*, y_{k,c}^*, y_{k,p}^*$.
4: Update $\rho^{[m]} \leftarrow \rho^*, W_i^{[m]} \leftarrow W_i^*, y_{k,c}^{[m]} \leftarrow y_{k,c}^*, y_{k,p}^{[m]} \leftarrow y_{k,p}^*$.
5: $m \leftarrow m + 1$.
6: until $|\rho^{[m+1]} - \rho^{[m]}| < \zeta_2$.
7: Output: $W_i^*, \rho^*$.

If the obtained solution $W_i^*$ of (37) is rank-one, the robust beamformer of problem (26) can be obtained by eigenvalue decomposition. Otherwise, a high-quality rank-one beamformers can be generated by using the Gaussian randomization procedure [53].

V. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section evaluates the proposed beamforming design of the RSMA-aided VLC network for both the perfect CSI and imperfect CSI scenarios. Consider a downlink RSMA-aided
multi-user VLC network deployed in a room with a size of $7 \times 7 \times 5\text{m}^3$, where the unit of distances is meter. Moreover, we model the room as a three-dimensional coordinate system $(X, Y, Z)$, and one corner of the room is the origin $(0, 0, 0)$. Assume that nine LEDs $(N = 9)$ are equipped in the ceiling to serve multiple users. The details of the room configuration and the locations of users and LEDs are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1 respectively. The basic parameters of the VLC network are presented in Table II [11], [47]. Unless specified otherwise, we assume that $A \triangleq A_1 = \cdots = A_K$, $\varepsilon \triangleq \varepsilon_1 = \cdots = \varepsilon_K$, $\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 = \cdots = \sigma_K^2$ and $R^\text{th}_1 = \cdots = R^\text{th}_K$. For comparison, we consider the performance of both the NOMA and SDMA as benchmarks. Note that the derivation of the close-form expression of achievable rates and the solving process of beamforming design in term of the NOMA and SDMA [11], [41] are similar to that of the RSMA, we omit them due to the space limitation.

![Room configuration with users' and LEDs' locations](image)

**Fig. 2.** Room configuration with users’ and LEDs’ locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinate</th>
<th>Coordinate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U_1$</td>
<td>(2.8,4.1,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_3$</td>
<td>(3.8,5.2,1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_5$</td>
<td>(4,4.1,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_7$</td>
<td>(4.4,2.1,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_9$</td>
<td>(5.4,1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED 2</td>
<td>(5.3,4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED 4</td>
<td>(5.5,4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED 6</td>
<td>(3,4.4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED 8</td>
<td>(4,3.4.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A. Simulation Results for the RSMA-aided VLC Network with Perfect CSI**

In this subsection, we evaluate the proposed beamforming design of the RSMA-aided VLC network with perfect CSI. For a comprehensive and in-depth comparison the schemes of RSMA, NOMA and SDMA in VLC networks, a wide range of network loads (underloaded and overloaded regimes) and different user deployments (large and small distances between users) are considered. Note that the underloaded means that the number of LEDs is more than the number of users, while the overloaded indicates that the number of LEDs is less than the number of users.

We first consider the downlink of VLC network for the underloaded regime, where VLC BS includes 4 LEDs, i.e., LED 1, 2, 3 and 4, serve two users. Specifically, when the 4 LEDs serve $U_1$ and $U_2$, Fig. 3(a) shows the transmitted power (dBm) versus the minimum rate requirement $R^\text{th}$ (bits/sec/Hz) of the RSMA, NOMA and SDMA schemes.

It can be observed that the transmitted power of the three schemes increases with $R^\text{th}$. This is due to the fact that a higher transmitted power is required to meet the more stringent rate constraint. Moreover, the transmitted power of RSMA is lower than that of NOMA and SDMA schemes. The reason is that RSMA has the ability to partially decode interference and partially treat interference as noise by splitting messages into common and private parts. Furthermore, it can be observed that the transmitted power of SDMA is lower than that of NOMA, because the number of transmitter LEDs is greater than the number of users in such underloaded regime, which is more convenient for SDMA to eliminate multi-user interference.

Besides, Fig. 3 (b) shows the transmitted power (dBm) versus $R^\text{th}$ (bits/sec/Hz) of the RSMA, NOMA and SDMA schemes when the 4 LEDs serve $U_3$ and $U_4$. Note that in Fig. 3 (b), the distance between $U_3$ and $U_4$ is smaller than that of $U_1$ and $U_2$ as showed in Fig. 2. From Fig. 3 (b), we observe that the proposed RSMA scheme always outperforms both the NOMA and SDMA schemes, which is similar to that in Fig. 3 (a). However, compared with Fig. 3 (a), the SDMA scheme performs worse than NOMA in Fig. 3 (b) because of the small distance between two users, which leads to the highly correlation of channel and decreases the performance gain of SDMA.

Next, we consider the downlink of VLC network for the overloaded regime, where VLC BS includes 2 LEDs, i.e., LED 6 and LED 7, serve three users. Fig. 4 (a) and (b) show the transmitted power (dBm) versus $R^\text{th}$ (bits/sec/Hz) of the RSMA, NOMA and SDMA schemes. Note that in Fig. 4 (a), the distances among the three users, i.e., $U_6$, $U_7$, and $U_8$, are larger than those of the three users, i.e., $U_6$, $U_7$, and $U_8$ in Fig.
(b). Similar to the results of Fig. 3, we can observe that the RSMA scheme always outperforms the NOMA and SDMA scheme in both Fig. 4 (a) and (b). Moreover, the difference compared with Fig. 3 is that the performance of NOMA is always better than that of SDMA in both Fig. 4 (a) and (b). The reason behind this is that multiple users experience different channel strengths and SDMA is inefficient to handle the multi-user interference in the case of the overloaded regime, while NOMA is suitable for the overloaded regime [19]. In particular, by comparing Fig. 3 with Fig. 4, we can see that the RSMA scheme is more suitable for coping with all network loads as well as different channel correlation among users than NOMA and SDMA in VLC networks.

Fig. 4 shows the transmitted power (dBm) of the RSMA scheme versus the number of LEDs for different minimum rate requirement $R_{th}$ cases, where the users’ locations are same with Fig. 4 (a). We can observe that the transmit power decreases as the number of LEDs increases. This is due to the fact that multiple LEDs provide additional spatial gains to enhance the channel quality from VLC BS to users, Thus, less power is consumed. Moreover, the higher $R_{th}$, the larger transmitted power is required.

B. Simulation Results of the RSMA-aided VLC Network with Imperfect CSI

In this subsection, we evaluate the proposed robust beamforming design of the RSMA-aided VLC network with imperfect CSI compared with the robust SDMA scheme, the robust NOMA scheme [11], the non-robust RSMA scheme and the perfect CSI RSMA scheme.

Consider a underloaded scenario that includes $K = 2$ users, i.e., $U_1$ and $U_9$, and VLC BS is equipped with $N = 4$ LEDs, i.e., LED 1, 2, 3 and 4. Assume that the CSI estimation errors of two users are same, i.e., $v = v_1 = v_9$. Due to the randomness of the CSI estimation errors, the simulation results are calculated over 2000 random channel realizations.

We first focus on the empirical cumulative density function (CDF) of the rate of the two users, where $R_{th} = 1$ (bits/sec/Hz), and $v = 0.8 \times 10^{-12}$ [56]. Specifically, Fig. 5 (a) and (b) show the CDFs of the rate of $U_1$ and $U_9$, respectively.
Fig. 5. The transmitted power (dBm) of the RSMA scheme versus the number of LEDs with perfect CSI, where $K = 3$ are located in $U_1$, $U_2$ and $U_5$ and $R_{th} = 0.8, 1, 1.2$ (bits/sec/Hz).

It can be observed that three robust schemes can meet the minimum rate requirement except the non-robust RSMA scheme, which shows the necessity of robust design. Moreover, though the performance of the robust RSMA scheme is closer to the minimum rate requirement than that of the robust NOMA scheme in Fig. 6 (a), the result is opposite in Fig. 6 (b). This is because the robust NOMA scheme allocates more power to the user (i.e., $U_9$) with stronger channel gain in this scenario. In order to show the performance of these schemes more intuitively, Fig. 6 (c) shows the CDF of sum rate of $U_1$ and $U_9$, and we can see that the performance of robust RSMA scheme is closer to the minimum rate requirement than that of the robust NOMA and SDMA schemes, which indicates that the robust RSMA scheme is less conservative. In other words, the robust RSMA scheme consumes less power to meet the minimum rate requirement compared with others, and this result is verified in the following figure. Note that the non-robust RSMA scheme will not be discussed in the following simulations since it can not satisfy the minimum rate constraint.

Fig. 7 compares the transmitted power (dBm) of the three schemes versus the minimum rate requirement $R_{th}$ (bits/sec/Hz) with $v = 0.8 \times 10^{-12}$. It is shown that the transmitted power of the three schemes increases with $R_{th}$. Moreover, it can be seen that the transmitted power of robust schemes is higher than that of the RSMA scheme with perfect CSI. This is because when the CSI estimation error is uncertain, the power allocation is more conservative to satisfy the minimum rate requirement showed in Fig. 6. Furthermore, the transmitted power of the proposed robust RSMA scheme is less than that of the robust SDMA scheme and the robust NOMA scheme.

In Fig. 8 we plot the transmitted power (dBm) versus CSI estimation errors $v$ of two users with $R_{th} = 0.8$ (bits/sec/Hz). It can be observed that the transmitted power of the robust RSMA, NOMA and SDMA schemes increases with $v$. The reason is that the ability of LEDs for beamforming declines

Fig. 6. (a) The empirical CDF of rate of $U_1$ with $R_{th} = 1$ (bits/sec/Hz) and $v = 0.8 \times 10^{-12}$; (b) The empirical CDF of rate of $U_2$ with $R_{th} = 1$ (bits/sec/Hz) and $v = 0.8 \times 10^{-12}$; (c) The empirical CDF of sum rate of $U_1$ and $U_9$ with $R_{th} = 1$ (bits/sec/Hz) and $v = 0.8 \times 10^{-12}$.
particularly, by exploiting SDR and power while guaranteeing the worst QoS for all users. Particularly, we derived the robust RSMA scheme is less than that of the robust SDMA and NOMA schemes.

Fig. 7. The transmitted power (dBm) versus minimum rate requirement $R_{th}$ (bits/sec/Hz), where $v = 0.8 \times 10^{-12}$, $N = 4$ and $K = 2$.

as CSI estimation errors increase, so that more transmitted power is required to satisfy the rate requirement. Moreover, we can observe that the transmitted power of the proposed robust RSMA scheme is less than that of the robust SDMA scheme and the NOMA scheme.

VI. CONCLUSION

To achieve higher bandwidth efficiency and higher connectivity, we proposed the robust beamforming design scheme for RSMA-aided VLC networks. Specifically, we derived the first theoretical bound of channel capacity with closed-form expression of RSMA-aided VLC networks. Then, based on the derived achievable rates expression, the beamforming design strategy is presented to minimize the transmitted power for RSMA-aided VLC networks with perfect CSI. Moreover, for imperfect CSI, we developed the robust beamforming design for RSMA-aided VLC networks to minimizing the transmitted power while guaranteeing the worst QoS for all users. Particularly, by exploiting SDR and $S$-lemma, a robust CCP-based algorithm is proposed to obtain high-quality robust beamformers. Simulation results showed that the proposed RSMA-aided VLC beamforming design scheme significantly outperforms the benchmarks: conventional SDMA and NOMA schemes.
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