MULTIPLE POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A \( p \)-LAPLACE BENCI-CERAMI TYPE PROBLEM (1 < \( p \) < 2), VIA MORSE THEORY
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Abstract. Let us consider the quasilinear problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
(P_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases}
-\varepsilon^p \Delta_p u + u^{p-1} = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \Omega \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) with smooth boundary, \( N \geq 2, 1 < p < 2, \varepsilon > 0 \) is a parameter and \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function with \( f(0) = 0 \), having a subcritical growth. We prove that there exists \( \varepsilon^* > 0 \) such that, for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*) \), \((P_\varepsilon)\) has at least \( 2\mathcal{P}_1(\Omega) - 1 \) solutions, possibly counted with their multiplicities, where \( \mathcal{P}_1(\Omega) \) is the Poincaré polynomial of \( \Omega \). Using Morse techniques, we furnish an interpretation of the multiplicity of a solution, in terms of positive distinct solutions of a quasilinear equation on \( \Omega \), approximating \((P_\varepsilon)\).

1. Introduction

Let us consider the quasilinear elliptic problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
(P_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases}
-\varepsilon^p \Delta_p u + u^{p-1} = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \Omega \) is a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) with smooth boundary, \( N \geq 2, 1 < p < 2, \varepsilon > 0 \) is a parameter and \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function with \( f(0) = 0 \), having a subcritical growth.

In [6] Benci and Cerami studied \((P_\varepsilon)\) for \( p = 2 \), proving via Morse theory that the number of solutions to \((P_\varepsilon)\) is related to the topology of \( \Omega \). In [18] the previous result was extended to the case \( 2 \leq p < N \). In both cases it was proved that \((P_\varepsilon)\) has at least \( 2\mathcal{P}_1(\Omega) - 1 \) solutions, counted with their multiplicities (see Definition 2.6).

Let us denote by \( I_\varepsilon \) the energy functional of \((P_\varepsilon)\).

When \( p = 2 \), \( I_\varepsilon \) is defined on the Hilbert space \( W^{1,2}_0(\Omega) \), so that the multiplicity of a solution \( u_0 \) is exactly one if \( u_0 \) is a nondegenerate critical point of \( I_\varepsilon \), i.e. if \( I''_\varepsilon(u_0) \) is an isomorphism. Moreover the nondegeneracy condition is generally verified, thanks to the celebrated result proved by Marino and Prodi [25].

When \( p \neq 2 \), as \( I_\varepsilon \) is defined on \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) which is a Banach space, a lot of difficulties arise in order to relate hessian notions to topological objects. In fact, it is not clear what can be a reasonable definition of nondegenerate critical point, as it makes no sense to require that the second derivative of the energy functional in a critical point is invertible,
since a Banach space, in general (and \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \) in particular), is not isomorphic to its dual space. Furthermore it can be proved that \( f''(u_0) \) can not be even a Fredholm operator and Marino-Prodi perturbation type results \([25]\) do not hold (see also \([27,9,16]\) for further details). The multiplicity result in \([18]\), where \( p \geq 2 \), are proved exploiting critical groups estimates in the spirit of differential Morse relation, using a new definition in which a critical point is nondegenerate if the second derivative of the energy functional is injective (see \([16]\)).

Moreover in \([18]\) a further perturbation result was proved, showing that \((P_\varepsilon)\) is always close to a differential problem having at least \(2P_1(\Omega) - 1\) distinct positive solutions, which is an interpretation of the notion of the multiplicity of each solution to \((P_\varepsilon)\).

In this work we consider \((P_\varepsilon)\) when \(p \in (1,2)\), which brings additional delicate difficulties. In fact, we can see that \( u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \implies \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p \, dx \in \mathbb{R} \) is not \( C^2 \), thus also the energy functional \( I_\varepsilon \) is not twice differentiable. Moreover, as \( p < 2 \), even the nonlinearity \( f \) could not be \( C^1 \) (see Remark \([14]\)), so that this further problem should also be managed. Despite these difficulties, we extend the previous results when \(1 < p < 2\), preserving the generality of a quite large class of nonlinearities \( f \). In order to do that, we build a convenient \( C^1 \) perturbation of \( f \) and a class of problems approximating \((P_\varepsilon)\), so that the corresponding energy functionals are arbitrarily close to \( I_\varepsilon \), according to a suitable norm (see Lemma \([3.1]\)).

In this work we take advantage of recent results proved in \([14]\), introducing some bilinear forms defined on a Hilbert space, which are inspired by the formal second derivatives of the approximating functionals.

The critical case of the problem, introduced by Brezis-Nirenberg \([7]\) in the semilinear case \(p = 2\) and extended to the quasilinear case \( p \neq 2 \) by Azorero-Peral \([3,4]\) and Guedda-Veron \([23]\), was studied in \([19]\) for \( p \in (1,2)\), where we proved a multiplicity result when \( f \) is a homogeneous critical nonlinearity, so that the \((P.S.)\) condition at any level fails.

In this work, denoting by \( p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p} \), we assume that \( f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \) satisfies the following conditions:

- \((f_1)\) there exists \( q \in (p,p^*) \) such that
  \[
  \frac{d}{dt} \frac{f(t)}{t^{q-1}} < 0 \quad \forall t > 0;
  \]
- \((f_2)\) there exists \( \theta \in (0,1/p) \) such that
  \[
  F(t) \leq \theta t f(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0
  \]
  where \( F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \, ds \);
- \((f_3)\) \[
  \frac{d}{dt} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}} > 0 \quad \forall t > 0;
  \]
- \((f_4)\) \[
  \lim_{t \to 0^+} t^{2-p} f'(t) = 0;
  \]
- \((f_5)\) \[
  f(t) = 0 \quad \forall t < 0.
  \]

Continuity of \( f \) and assumption \((f_3)\) give that \( f(0) = 0 \).
Remark 1.1. We observe that the functions satisfying the previous assumptions may not be $C^1$ in 0. For example, this is the case for $f(t) = (t^r)^{r-1}$, where $p < r < \min\{2, p^*\}$. In particular, note that if $N \geq 3$ and $p \in (1, \frac{2N}{N+2})$, then $p^* < 2$.

Conversely, if we assume that $f$ is $C^1$ on $\mathbb{R}$, then $f'(0) = 0$, which directly gives $(f_4)$.

Remark 1.2. Let us note that the assumptions $(f_1) - (f_5)$ are satisfied also by non-homogeneous functions. For instance, we may think of $f(t) = a_1(t^r_1)^{r_1-1} + a_2(t^r_2)^{r_2-1} + \ldots + a_m(t^r_m)^{r_m-1}$, where $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m > 0$ and $p < r_1 < r_2 < \ldots < r_m < p^*$.

Another example is given by $f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} ((t^r \log(a + t^+))$ where $r \in (p, p^*)$ and $a$ is big enough.

In this work, inspired by the ideas in [6], we want to prove multiplicity results, related to the topology of $\Omega$. In order to establish the first one, we denote by $\text{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega)$ the Lusternick–Schnirelmann category of $\Omega$ in itself.

**Theorem 1.3.** If $\text{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega) > 1$, there exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$, $(P_\varepsilon)$ has at least $\text{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega) + 1$ distinct solutions.

In order to state the following result, which will be proved exploiting Morse Theory, let us recall a classical topological definition.

**Definition 1.4.** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. For any pair of topological spaces $(A, B)$ with $B \subset A$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_t(A, B)$ the Poincaré polynomial of $(A, B)$, defined as

$$\mathcal{P}_t(A, B) = \sum_{q=0}^{+\infty} \dim H^q(A, B) t^q$$

where $H^q(A, B)$ stands for the $q$-th Alexander-Spanier relative cohomology group of $(A, B)$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$; we also define the Poincaré polynomial of $A$ as

$$\mathcal{P}_t(A) = \mathcal{P}_t(A, \emptyset).$$

**Theorem 1.5.** There exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$, $(P_\varepsilon)$ has at least $2 \mathcal{P}_1(\Omega) - 1$ solutions, possibly counted with their multiplicities.

The definition of multiplicity of a solution is given in Definition 2.6. Note that, as showed in [6], $2 \mathcal{P}_1(\Omega) - 1$ is bigger than $\text{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega) + 1$, if we assume that $\Omega$ is topologically rich. However the last theorem, proved applying a topological version of Morse theory, does not guarantee the existence of $2 \mathcal{P}_1(\Omega) - 1$ distinct solutions, so it is crucial to understand more deeply what the notion of multiplicity of a solution means. Indeed we prove here that there is a sequence of quasilinear problems approaching $(P_\varepsilon)$, each of them having at least $2 \mathcal{P}_1(\Omega) - 1$ distinct solutions, which are close to the solutions of $(P_\varepsilon)$. 
More precisely, we prove the following perturbation result, in which we say that \( \partial \Omega \) satisfies the interior sphere condition if for each \( x_0 \in \partial \Omega \) there exists a ball \( B_R(x_1) \subset \Omega \) such that \( B_R(x_1) \cap \partial \Omega = \{x_0\} \).

**Theorem 1.6.** Assume that \( \partial \Omega \) satisfies the interior sphere condition. There exists \( \varepsilon^* > 0 \) such that, for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*) \), either \((P_{\varepsilon})\) has at least \( 2P_1(\Omega) - 1 \) distinct solutions or, for every \( \alpha_n \to 0^+ \), there exist a sequence \( f_{\alpha_n} \) suitably approximating \( f \) and a sequence \( h_n \subset C^1(\Omega) \) with \( \|h_n\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \to 0 \) such that problem

\[
(P_n) \begin{cases}
-\varepsilon p \div (|\nabla u|^2 + \alpha_n)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla u) + u (\alpha_n + u^2)^{(p-2)/2} = f_{\alpha_n}(u) + h_n & \text{in } \Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
\]

has at least \( 2P_1(\Omega) - 1 \) distinct solutions, for \( n \) large enough.

**Remark 1.7.** Considering the case in which \((P_{\varepsilon})\) has fewer than \( 2P_1(\Omega) - 1 \) distinct solutions, we will see that all the solutions of \((P_{\varepsilon})\) are arbitrarily close to solutions of \((P_{\varepsilon})\). More precisely, if \( \bar{u} \) is a solution of \((P_{\varepsilon})\) and the multiplicity of \( \bar{u} \) is \( \bar{k} \), then, for any fixed \( R > 0 \), each problem \((P_n)\) has at least \( \bar{k} \) distinct solutions in \( B_R(\bar{u}) \) and besides these solutions converge to \( \bar{u} \) in \( C^1(\Omega) \)-norm, for \( n \to \infty \).

We mention that in [2], using Ljusternik–Schnirelman category, Alves has proved the existence of \( \text{cat}(\Omega) \) solutions to \((P_{\varepsilon})\), when \( p \geq 2 \).

Perturbation results in Morse theory for quasilinear problem having a right-hand side subcritically at infinity have been obtained in [17, 15] (see also [12, 20]).

## 2. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5

Combining \((f_1)\) and \((f_4)\), we see that there are \( q \in (p, p^*) \) and \( c > 0 \) such that, for every \( t > 0 \),

\[
(2.1) \quad tf'(t) \leq \frac{p-1}{2} t^{p-1} + ct^{p-1}
\]

\[
(2.2) \quad f(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{p-1} + ct^{q-1}
\]

\[
(2.3) \quad F(t) \leq \frac{1}{2p} t^p + ct^q.
\]

Standard arguments prove that the solutions to \((P_{\varepsilon})\) correspond to critical points of the \( C^1 \) functional \( I_{\varepsilon} : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by setting

\[
I_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} F(u) \, dx.
\]

We define an equivalent norm on \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) as

\[
\|u\|_{\varepsilon} = \left( \varepsilon^p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

while \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \) denotes the duality pairing.
Denoting by $A_\varepsilon : W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$

$$A_\varepsilon(u) = \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle,$$

we introduce the Nehari manifold

$$\Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \{ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) : u \not= 0, A_\varepsilon(u) = 0 \}.$$

Naturally each nontrivial critical point of $I_\varepsilon$ is a nonnegative function which belongs to $\Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega)$.

Although $f$ may be not $C^1$ in 0, the assumptions on $f$, through (2.1) and (2.2), assure that $A_\varepsilon$ is still a $C^1$ functional and

$$\langle A'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle = p \varepsilon^p \int_\Omega \frac{\nabla u / \nabla v}{|\nabla u|^{2-p}} \, dx + p \int_\Omega \frac{uv}{|u|^{2-p}} \, dx - \int_\Omega f'(u)uv + f(u)v \, dx.$$

The following Lemma lists some useful properties about $\Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega)$, which hold also when $\Omega$ is replaced by another bounded set or by $\mathbb{R}^N$. The proof is strongly inspired by [6], even if with some slightly new arguments.

**Lemma 2.1.** For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is a 1-codimensional submanifold of $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$, which is $C^1$-diffeomorphic to

$$\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon = \{ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) : \|u\|_\varepsilon = 1 \} \setminus \{ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) : u \leq 0 \ a.e. \ in \ \Omega \}.$$

Furthermore there exist $\sigma_\varepsilon > 0$ and $K_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|u\| \geq \sigma_\varepsilon, \quad I_\varepsilon(u) \geq K_\varepsilon \quad \forall u \in \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega).$$

**Proof.** Taking account of (2.3), there is $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$I_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{2p}\|u\|^p_{\varepsilon} - c_\varepsilon \|u\|_\varepsilon^q \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega),$$

therefore 0 is a local minimum for $I_\varepsilon$.

Let us denote by

$$\Omega_{u,\delta} = \{ x \in \Omega : u(x) > \delta \}$$

for any $u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. From (f3) we infer that

$$u \in \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \implies |\Omega_{u,0}| > 0,$$

hence, by (f3),

$$\langle A'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle < 0 \quad \forall u \in \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega).$$

For every fixed $v \in \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon$, let us consider the map $t \in [0, +\infty) \mapsto I_\varepsilon(tv)$. We start proving that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} I_\varepsilon(tv) = -\infty$$

Actually, there is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $|\Omega_{u,\delta_0}| > 0$. Indeed, if not, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it should be $|\Omega_{u,\delta_n}| = 0$, so that

$$|\Omega_{e,0}| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\Omega_{u,\delta_n}| = 0.$$
which gives a contradiction, as \( v \in S \).

By \((f_2)\) we infer that \( F(s) \geq s^{\frac{1}{p}} F(\delta_0)/\delta_0^p \), for any \( s \geq \delta_0 \). Hence, for any \( t \geq 1 \)

\[
I_\varepsilon(tv) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} - \int_{\Omega \setminus \delta_0} F(tv(x)) \, dx \leq \frac{t^p}{p} - t^\frac{1}{p} F(\delta_0)|\Omega_{\varepsilon, \delta_0}|
\]

which proves \((2.7)\).

As a consequence, there is \( \xi > 0 \) such that

\[
I_\varepsilon(\xi v) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tv).
\]

Clearly \( \xi v \) belongs to \( \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \) and \( \int_\Omega f(\xi v)v/\xi^{p-1} \, dx = 1 \), hence we deduce by \((f_3)\) that \( \xi = \xi_\varepsilon(v) \) is unique and \( \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \) is the image of the function \( \psi_\varepsilon : S \varepsilon \to W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) defined by \( \psi_\varepsilon(v) = \xi_\varepsilon(v)v \).

Taking account of \((2.6)\), the implicit function theorem assures that \( \xi_\varepsilon \) and \( \psi_\varepsilon \) are \( C^1 \) functions.

Finally, for each \( u \in \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \), \( v = u/\|u\|_\varepsilon \) belongs to \( S \varepsilon \) and, using \((2.5)\),

\[
I_\varepsilon(u) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tv) \geq \max_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{1}{2p} t^p - c_\varepsilon t^q \right) = K_\varepsilon > 0.
\]

As \( I_\varepsilon(0) = 0 \), by continuity we complete the proof.

The following Lemma shows how \( \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \) is a natural constraint for problem \((P_\varepsilon)\).

**Lemma 2.2.** \( u \) is a nontrivial critical point of \( I_\varepsilon \) if and only if it is a critical point of \( I_\varepsilon \) on \( \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \), moreover \((I_\varepsilon)\) and \((I_\varepsilon)_{\Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega)}\) satisfy \((P.S.)_c\) for all \( c \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.** The first statement comes directly from \((2.3)\).

In Corollary 3.3 it will be proved that \( I_\varepsilon \) satisfies \((P.S.)_c\) for any \( c \in \mathbb{R} \).

Let \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( u_k \subset \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \), \( \lambda_k \subset \mathbb{R} \) be sequences such that \( I_\varepsilon(u_k) \to c \) and

\[
(I' \varepsilon)(u_k) - \lambda_k A' \varepsilon(u_k) \to 0.
\]

Since \( \left( \frac{1}{p} - \theta \right) \|u_k\|_\varepsilon^p \leq I_\varepsilon(u_k) \), the sequence \( u_k \) is bounded, so that

\[
\|u_k\|_\varepsilon^p \to \lambda_k A' \varepsilon(u_k), u_k \to 0.
\]

Moreover there is \( \bar{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) such that \( u_k \) converges to \( \bar{u} \), weakly in \( W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \) and strongly in \( L^r(\Omega) \), if \( r \in (p, p^*) \). Therefore, through \((2.1)\) and \((2.2)\),

\[
\int_\Omega f'(u_k)u_k^2 - (p-1) f(u_k)u_k \to \int_\Omega f'(\bar{u})\bar{u}^2 - (p-1) f(\bar{u})\bar{u}
\]

where, by \((f_3)\), \( a_0 = \int_\Omega f'(\bar{u})\bar{u}^2 - (p-1) f(\bar{u})\bar{u} \geq 0 \). If \( a_0 = 0 \), then \( \bar{u}(x) \leq 0 \) almost everywhere in \( \Omega \), and in particular

\[
\|u_k\|_\varepsilon^p = \int_\Omega f(u_k)u_k \, dx \to \int_\Omega f(\bar{u})\bar{u} \, dx = 0
\]

which contradicts \((2.4)\).

So, taking account of \((2.4)\) and \((2.8)\),

\[-\langle A' \varepsilon(u_k), u_k \rangle \to a_0 > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_k \to 0 \Rightarrow I' \varepsilon(u_k) \to 0\]

which, as \( I_\varepsilon \) satisfies \((P.S.)_c\), concludes the proof.

\[\square\]
Since $I_\varepsilon$ satisfies (P.S.) on $\Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega)$, the infimum is achieved. Let us denote

$$m(\varepsilon, \Omega) = \inf \{ I_\varepsilon(u) : u \in \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) \}.$$ 

Without any loss of generality, we shall assume that $0 \in \Omega$. Moreover we denote by $r > 0$ a number such that $\Omega_+ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid d(x, \Omega) < r \}$ and $\Omega_- = \{ x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial \Omega) > r \}$ are homotopically equivalent to $\Omega$ and $B_r(0) \subset \Omega$.

We notice that if $\Omega = B_r(y)$, the number $m(\varepsilon, B_r(y))$ does not depend on $y$, so we set

$$m(\varepsilon, r) = m(\varepsilon, B_r(y)).$$

We also set $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{m(\varepsilon,r)} = \{ u \in \Sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) : I_\varepsilon(u) \leq m(\varepsilon, r) \}$. 

Now we can reason as in [18] so that, relying also on [21, 22] which still hold when $p \in (1, 2)$, we infer the following two results (cf. Proposition 4.4 and 4.6 in [18]).

**Proposition 2.3.** There exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$

$$\dim H^k(\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{m(\varepsilon,r)}) \geq \dim H^k(\Omega).$$

**Proposition 2.4.** There exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$ there are $\alpha > m(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ and $c \in (0, m(\varepsilon, \Omega))$ such that

\begin{align}
(2.10) & \quad \mathcal{P}_t(I_\varepsilon^\alpha, I_\varepsilon^c) = t\mathcal{P}_t(\Omega) + tZ(t) \\
(2.11) & \quad \mathcal{P}_t(W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), I_\varepsilon^c) = t^2(\mathcal{P}_t(\Omega) - 1) + t^2Z(t)
\end{align}

where $Z(t)$ is a polynomial with nonnegative integer coefficients.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** By Proposition 2.3, we infer that

$$\text{cat}_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{m(\varepsilon,r)}}(\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{m(\varepsilon,r)}) \geq \text{cat}_{\Omega}(\Omega),$$

so that, applying classical results of Ljusternick-Schnirelmann theory, $I_\varepsilon : \Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{m(\varepsilon,r)} \to \mathbb{R}$ has at least cat$_{\Omega}(\Omega)$ critical points. Moreover, having assumed cat$_{\Omega}(\Omega) > 1$, we have that $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{m(\varepsilon,r)}$ is not contractible, while $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ is, hence there is a further critical point $u$ with $I_\varepsilon(u) > m(\varepsilon, r)$. \hfill $\Box$

In order to prove Theorem 1.5 which involves Morse theory, we recall some notions (see [10, 11]).

**Definition 2.5.** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field, $X$ a Banach space and $f$ a $C^1$ functional on $X$. Let $u$ be a critical point of $f$, $c = f(u)$ and $U$ be a neighborhood of $u$. We call

$$C_q(f, u) = H^q(f^c \cap U, (f^c \setminus \{u\}) \cap U)$$

the q-th critical group of $f$ at $u$, $q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, where $f^c = \{ v \in X : f(v) \leq c \}$, $H^q(A, B)$ stands for the q-th Alexander-Spanier cohomology group of the pair $(A, B)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$. By the excision property of the singular cohomology theory, the critical groups do not depend on a special choice of the neighborhood $U$. 

Definition 2.6. We introduce the Morse polynomial of $f$ in $u$, defined as
$$i(f, u)(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{+\infty} \dim C_q(f, u) t^q.$$ We call multiplicity of $u$ the number $i(f, u)(1) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

The following theorem is a topological version of the classical Morse relation (cf. Theorem 4.3 in [10]).

Theorem 2.7. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $f$ be a $C^1$ functional on $X$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be two regular values for $f$, with $a < b$. If $f$ satisfies the $(P.S.)_c$ condition for all $c \in (a, b)$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_l$ are the critical points of $f$ in $f^{-1}(a, b)$, then

$$(2.12) \sum_{j=1}^{l} i(f, u_j)(t) = P_t(f^b, f^a) + (1 + t)Q(t)$$

where $Q(t)$ is a formal series with coefficients in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Choosing $\varepsilon^*$ as required by Proposition 2.4, the proof comes from (2.12), (2.10) and (2.11). In particular, denoting by $m(u)$ the multiplicity of any critical point $u$ of $I_\varepsilon$ (see Definition 2.6), we get

$$\sum_{I_\varepsilon(u) \leq \alpha} m(u) = P_1(\Omega) + Z(1) + 2Q_-(1) \geq P_1(\Omega)$$

$$\sum_{I_\varepsilon(u) > \alpha} m(u) = P_1(\Omega) - 1 + Z(1) + 2Q_+(1) \geq P_1(\Omega) - 1$$

where, by Theorem 2.7, $Q_-(t)$ and $Q_+(t)$ are suitable formal series with coefficients in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$. $\square$

3. APPROXIMATING FUNCTIONALS

In order to obtain a further result which provides at least $2P_1(\Omega) - 1$ distinct solutions for a sequence of problems approaching $(P_\varepsilon)$, we build here some functionals which approximate $I_\varepsilon$.

Let us fix

$$(3.1) s > \max\{2, 2q - 1\}$$

where $q$ is introduced by $(f_1)$. For every $\alpha \geq 0$ we set

$$F_\alpha(t) = F\left((\alpha + (t^+)^s)^{1/s}\right),$$

$$f_\alpha(t) = F'_\alpha(t),$$

$$G_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{p}(\alpha + t^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$ For every $\varepsilon, \alpha > 0$ and $h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ we define
Proof. We observe that, for any $W$

\begin{equation}
J_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(u) = \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} (\alpha + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} (\alpha + u^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx - \int_{\Omega} F_\alpha(u) dx.
\end{equation}

It is immediate that

\begin{equation}
I_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^p G_\alpha(|\nabla u|) + G_\alpha(u) - F_\alpha(u) \quad J_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^p G_\alpha(|\nabla u|) + G_\alpha(u) - F_\alpha(u).
\end{equation}

Note that $G_\alpha, F_\alpha \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ when $\alpha > 0$, while $G_0$ is just $C^1$ and so it could be about $F_0$ (see Remark 1.1). Nevertheless, the functional $u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} G_\alpha(|\nabla u|)$ and, consequently, $J_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ are still just $C^1$ in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any bounded $B \subset W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \|J_{\varepsilon,\alpha} - I_\varepsilon\|_{C^1(B)} = 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\lim_{\|b\|_{C^1(B)} \to 0} \|J_{\varepsilon,\alpha,h} - J_{\varepsilon,\alpha}\|_{C^1(B)} = 0.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** We observe that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

\begin{equation}
|G_\alpha(t) - G_0(t)| = \frac{1}{p} (\alpha + t^2)^{p/2} - \frac{1}{p} |t|^p \leq \frac{\alpha^{p/2}}{p},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
|G'_\alpha(t) - G'_0(t)| = |t|^{p-1}(\alpha + t^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - |t|^{2-p} \leq \frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\alpha + t^2} \leq \frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\alpha^2} |t|^{2p-3} \quad \text{if } p \in (1, \frac{3}{2}].
\end{equation}

Moreover, by (f2) $F(\alpha^{1/s}) \leq \theta f(\alpha^{1/s})\alpha^{1/s}$, hence taking account of (2.2) we get

\begin{equation}
|F_\alpha(t) - F(t)| \leq \alpha^{1/s} f(|t| + \alpha^{1/s}) \leq O(\alpha^{1/s})(1 + |t|^{q-1}) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{equation}

Having chosen $s > 2q - 1$, we have $\frac{s-1}{2} > q - 1$, so by (f1)

\begin{equation}
t \in (0, +\infty) \mapsto \frac{\int_{t}^{t^2} f(s) ds}{t^{s-1}} \quad \text{is decreasing.}
\end{equation}

Moreover, introducing $k : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $k(t) = \frac{t^{s-1}}{f(t^{1/s})}$, it is immediate that

\begin{equation}
k(a) < k(a + b) < k(a) + k(b)
\end{equation}

for any $a, b > 0$, hence by (3.8) we get
\[ |F'(t) - F'_\alpha(t)| = |f(t) - f((\alpha + t^s)^{1/s})\frac{t^{s-1}}{(\alpha + t^s)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}}| \]

\[ = f((\alpha + t^s)^{1/s})f(t)\left(\frac{t^{s-1}}{f((\alpha + t^s)^{1/s})} - \frac{t^{s-1}}{f(t)}\right) \]

\[ = f((\alpha + t^s)^{1/s})f(t) \left(k(\alpha + t^s) - k(t^s)\right) \leq \frac{f((\alpha + t^s)^{1/s})f(t)}{(\alpha + t^s)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}} k(\alpha) \]

\[ \leq \frac{f^2((\alpha + t^s)^{1/s})}{(\alpha + t^s)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}} k(\alpha) \leq \frac{f^2((1^s)^{1/s})}{\alpha^{\frac{s-1}{s}}} \frac{\alpha^{\frac{s-1}{s}}}{f(\alpha^{1/s})} = f(\alpha^{1/s}). \]

So, from (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), we infer (3.3), while (3.4) is trivial.

We now aim to prove that, for every \( \varepsilon > 0, \alpha \in [0,1] \) and \( h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \), \( J_{\varepsilon,\alpha,h} \) satisfies a compactness condition. We begin to recall a classical definition in a reflexive Banach space, taken from [8, 26].

**Definition 3.2.** Let \( X \) be a reflexive Banach space and \( D \subset X \). A map \( H : D \to X' \) is said to be of class \( (S)_+ \), if, for every sequence \( u_k \) in \( D \) weakly convergent to \( u \) in \( X \) with

\[ \limsup_{k \to \infty} \langle H(u_k), u_k - u \rangle \leq 0, \]

we have \( \|u_k - u\| \to 0 \).

The following result provides a compactness property about the approximating functionals \( J_{\varepsilon,\alpha,h} \). It is based on [11, Theorem 3.5] (see also [12, Theorem 2.1]). For reader’s convenience, we sketch the proof.

**Theorem 3.3.** For every \( \varepsilon > 0, p \in (1,2), \alpha \in [0,1], h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}), \) the functional \( J_{\varepsilon,\alpha,h}' \) is of class \( (S)_+ \).

**Proof.** Let us fix \( \varepsilon > 0 \). For every \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), let \( \Psi_{\varepsilon,\alpha} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}, b_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( H_\alpha : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,p'} \) be the maps

\[ \Psi_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(\xi) = \varepsilon^p G_\alpha(|\xi|) \]

\[ b_\alpha(t) = C'_\alpha(t) - F'_\alpha(t) \]

\[ H_\alpha(u) = \langle J'_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(u), \cdot \rangle \]

so that \( H_\alpha(u) = -\text{div}(\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(\nabla u)) + b_\alpha(u) \).

We start by showing that there is \( C > 0 \) and, for every \( \delta > 0 \), there is a suitable \( c(\delta) \in \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[ |\nabla \Psi_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(\xi)| \leq \varepsilon^p |\xi|^{p-1} \]

\[ |b_\alpha(s)| \leq C + C|s|^{p'-1} \]
Corollary 3.4. For every \( \varepsilon > 0, \ p \in (1, 2), \ \alpha \in [0, 1], \ h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}), \) the functional \( J_{\varepsilon,\alpha,h} \) satisfies (P.S.)\(_c\) for all \( c \in \mathbb{R} \).
Proof. By (3.1) and \((f_1)\), \(t \in (0, +\infty) \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t} \) is a decreasing function, hence \((f_2)\) implies that
\[
F_\alpha(t) - \theta F_\alpha(t) t \leq \theta \alpha^\frac{1}{p} f(\alpha^\frac{1}{p}) \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Moreover, there is \(c_1 > 0\) such that \(\int h u \, dx \leq c_1 \|u\|_\varepsilon\) and
\[
(3.16) \quad \left(\frac{1}{p} - \theta\right) \|u\|_\varepsilon^p \leq J_{\varepsilon, a, h}(u) - \theta \langle J_{\varepsilon, a, h}(u), u \rangle + \theta \alpha^\frac{1}{p} f(\alpha^\frac{1}{p})|\Omega| + (1 - \theta)c_1 \|u\|_\varepsilon.
\]
Let \(c \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(\{u_k\}\) be a sequence such that \(J_{\varepsilon, a, h}(u_k) \to c\) and \(J'_{\varepsilon, a, h}(u_k) \to 0\). If there is \(\beta > 0\) such that, up to subsequences, \(\|u_k\|_\varepsilon \geq \beta\), then by (3.16)
\[
\left(\frac{1}{p} - \theta\right) \|u_k\|_\varepsilon^{p-1} \leq \frac{c + \theta \alpha^\frac{1}{p} f(\alpha^\frac{1}{p})|\Omega|}{\beta} + (1 - \theta)c_1 + o(1)
\]
so \(\{u_k\}\) is bounded and the previous Theorem completes the proof.

Let us state a regularity result (see [23, 24, 14] and references therein).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \(B\) be a bounded subset of \(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\). There exist \(\eta \in (0, 1)\) and \(K > 0\) such that, for any \(\alpha \in [0, 1]\) and \(h \in C_0^1(\Omega)\) with \(\|h\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \leq 1\), if \(u \in B\) solves
\[
-\varepsilon^p \text{div}(|\nabla u|^2 + \alpha)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla u) + u (\alpha + u^2)^{(p-2)/2} = f_\alpha(u) + h(x)
\]
then \(u \in C^{1,\eta}(\bar{\Omega})\) and \(\|u\|_{C^{1,\eta}(\Omega)} \leq K\).

Now let us consider a critical point \(u_0\) of \(J_{\varepsilon, a, h}\). Assume that \(\alpha\) and \(h\) satisfy the assumptions of the previous theorem, so that \(u_0 \in C^{1,\eta}(\Omega)\), for some \(\eta \in (0, 1)\). It is crucial to give a notion of Morse index, which is not standard, as \(J_{\varepsilon, a, h}\) is not \(C^2\). If \(\alpha > 0\) and \(u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)\), let us define on \(W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)\) the following bilinear form
\[
B_\alpha(u)(z_1, z_2) = \int_\Omega \Psi_{\varepsilon, \alpha}(\nabla u)[\nabla z_1, \nabla z_2] + \int_\Omega b_\alpha(u) z_1 z_2
\]
where \(\Psi_{\varepsilon, \alpha}\) and \(b_\alpha\) are defined by (3.10), hence
\[
B_\alpha(u)(z_1, z_2) = \varepsilon^p \int_\Omega \frac{(\nabla z_1 / \nabla z_2)}{(\alpha + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} - \varepsilon^p (2 - p) \int_\Omega \frac{\nabla u / \nabla z_1 (\nabla u / \nabla z_2)}{(\alpha + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}
\]
\[
+ \int_\Omega \frac{\alpha + (p - 1)u^2}{(\alpha + u^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} z_1 z_2
\]
\[
- \int_\Omega f'\left((\alpha + (u^+)^s)^{1/s}\right) \frac{(u^+)^{2s-2}}{(\alpha + (u^+)^s)^{\frac{2s-2}{2}}} z_1 z_2
\]
\[
- \int_\Omega f\left((\alpha + (u^+)^s)^{1/s}\right) (\alpha - 1)(u^+)^{s-2} (\alpha + (u^+)^s)^{-\frac{2s-2}{s}} z_1 z_2.
\]
In addition, we introduce $Q^\alpha_u : W^{1,2}_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Q^\alpha_u(z) = B^\alpha_u(z, z).$$

The definition of $B^\alpha_u(u)$ is inspired by the formal second derivative of $J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}$ in $u$. Let us point out that, as $p < 2$, for any $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, $B^\alpha_u(u)$ and $Q^\alpha_u$ are well defined on $W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$, but not on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$.

In particular, $Q^\alpha_u$ is a smooth quadratic form on $W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$ and we define the Morse index of $J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}$ at $u_0$ (denoted by $m(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0)$) as the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces of $W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$ where $Q^\alpha_{u_0}$ is negative definite. Analogously, the large Morse index of $J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}$ at $u_0$ (denoted by $m^*(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0)$) is the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces of $W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$ where $Q^\alpha_{u_0}$ is negative semidefinite. We clearly have $m(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0) \leq m^*(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0) < +\infty$. This notion of Morse index is crucial in order to get estimates of the critical groups.

Indeed, the following result gives a description of the critical group $s$ of the functional $J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}$ at $u_0$ in terms of the Morse index. The proof derives directly from [14, Theorem 2.3] (see also [13, Theorem 1.3]).

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\varepsilon, \alpha > 0$. If $u_0$ is a critical point of $J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}$ and

$$m(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0) = m^*(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0),$$

then $u_0$ is an isolated critical point of $J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}$ and

$$\begin{cases} C_m(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0) \approx \mathbb{K} & \text{if } m = m(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0), \\ C_m(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0) = \{0\} & \text{if } m \neq m(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0). \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.7.** If the assumptions of the previous theorem are satisfied, the multiplicity of $u_0$ is one, namely, according to Definition 2.6, $i(J_{\varepsilon, \alpha, h}, u_0)(1) = 1$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we recall an abstract theorem, proved in [15] (see also [5] and [10]).

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $A$ be an open subset of a Banach space $X$. Let $f$ be a $C^1$ functional on $A$ and $u \in A$ be an isolated critical point of $f$. Assume that there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $u$ such that $\overline{U} \subset A$, $u$ is the only critical point of $f$ in $\overline{U}$ and $f$ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition in $\overline{U}$.

Then there exists $\bar{\mu} > 0$ such that, for every $g \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

- $\|f - g\|_{C^1(A)} < \bar{\mu}$,
- $g$ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition in $\overline{U}$,
- $g$ has a finite number $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m\}$ of critical points in $U$,

we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m i(g, u_j)(t) = i(f, u)(t) + (1 + t)Q(t),$$

where $Q(t)$ is a formal series with coefficients in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$. 
4. INTERPRETATION OF MULTICITY: NUMBER OF DISTINCT SOLUTIONS OF APPROXIMATING PROBLEMS

Let $\epsilon^*$ be defined by Theorem 1.5 and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$. If $(P_\epsilon)$ has at least $2P_1(\Omega) - 1$ distinct solutions, then the assertion is proved, otherwise $I_\epsilon$ has a finite number of isolated critical points $\overline{u}_1, \ldots, \overline{u}_k$ having multiplicities $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ where

$$2 \leq k < 2P_1(\Omega) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{k} m_j \geq 2P_1(\Omega) - 1.$$ 

Let $\alpha_n \to 0^+$ and $R > 0$ be such that $B_R(\overline{u}_i) \cap B_R(\overline{u}_j) = \emptyset$, when $i \neq j$. We set

$$(4.1) \quad A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} B_R(\overline{u}_j).$$

If $J_{\epsilon, \alpha_n}$, defined by (3.2), has at least $2P_1(\Omega) - 1$ critical points, then we choose $h_n = 0$, otherwise $J_{\epsilon, \alpha_n}$ has $k_n < 2P_1(\Omega) - 1$ isolated critical points $u_1, \ldots, u_{k_n}$, having multiplicities $m_1, \ldots, m_{k_n}$. For simplicity, we will often omit the dependence on $n$ of $u_i$ and their related objects. If $n$ is sufficiently large, by (3.3) and Theorem 3.8, $k_n \geq k$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} m_i \geq \sum_{j=1}^{k} m_j \geq 2P_1(\Omega) - 1.$$ 

Reasoning as in [19] (p.11-12), we obtain that, for any $i = 1, \ldots, k_n$, there are $V_i$ and $W_i$ subspaces of $W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

1. $W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega) = V_i \oplus W_i$;
2. $V_i \subset C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\dim V_i = m^*(J_{\epsilon, \alpha_n}, u_i) < +\infty$;
3. $V_i$ and $W_i$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\Omega)$;
4. $Q^*_{\alpha_n}(w) > 0$ for any $w \in W_1 \setminus \{0\}$.

Setting

$$(4.3) \quad V^n = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_{k_n} \quad \text{and} \quad W^n = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k_n} W_i,$$

we still have:

- $W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega) = V^n \oplus W^n$;
- $V^n \subset C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is finite dimensional and $W^n \subset W_i$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, k_n$;
- $\int_{\Omega} vw = 0$ for any $v \in V^n$, $w \in W^n$.

**Remark 4.1.** We see that $\dim V^n \geq 1$, otherwise, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k_n$, it should be $0 = m^*(J_{\epsilon, \alpha_n}, u_i) = m(J_{\epsilon, \alpha_n}, u_i) \Rightarrow m_i = 1$, so by (4.2) $k_n \geq 2P_1(\Omega) - 1$, while we are supposing $k_n < 2P_1(\Omega) - 1$.

In this setting the following two results hold (see Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 in [19]).
Theorem 4.2. There exist \( r, \delta, M > 0 \), \( \beta \in (0, 1) \) and \( \varrho \in (0, r) \) such that for any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k_n\} \) and \( v \in V^n \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0) \) there exists one and only one \( \psi_i(v) \in W^n \cap B_r(0) \) such that

\[
\langle J'_{\varepsilon, \alpha_n}(u_i + v + \psi_i(v)), w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w \in W^n.
\]

Moreover \( v + \psi_i(v) \in C^{1,\beta}(\Omega) \), \( \|v + \psi_i(v)\|_{C^{1,\beta}(\Omega)} \leq M \) and, denoting by

\[
U_i = u_i + (W^n \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0)) + (W^n \cap B_r(0)),
\]

we have \( \overline{U_i} \cap \overline{U_j} = \emptyset \) if \( i \neq j \) and \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} \overline{U_i} \subset A \), where \( A \) is the open bounded set defined by (4.1).

Finally

\[
B_{\alpha_n}(u_i + v + \psi_i(v))(w, w) \geq \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 \, dx
\]

for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k_n\} \), \( v \in V^n \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0) \) and \( w \in W^n \cap W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \).

Lemma 4.3. For any \( i = 1, \ldots, k_n \), \( \psi_i \) is continuous from \( V^n \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0) \) in \( W^n \cap C^1(\Omega) \) and of class \( C^1 \) into \( W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \). In addition,

\[
B_{\alpha_n}(u_i + z + \psi_i(z))(h + \langle \psi'_i(z), h \rangle, w) = 0
\]

for any \( z \in V^n \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0) \), \( h \in V^n \) and \( w \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \).

Moreover the function \( \varphi_i : V^n \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
\varphi_i(v) = J'_{\varepsilon, \alpha_n}(u_i + v + \psi_i(v))
\]

is of class \( C^2 \) and

\[
\langle \varphi'_i(z), h \rangle = \langle J'_{\varepsilon, \alpha_n}(u_i + z + \psi_i(z)), h \rangle
\]

for any \( z \in V^n \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0) \) and \( h, v \in V^n \).

Our aim is to build a suitable perturbation of \( J_{\varepsilon, \alpha_n} \), such that all its critical points have multiplicity one.

Let us denote by \( V = V^n \) and \( W = W^n \) the spaces introduced in (4.3) and let \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \) be an \( L^2 \)-orthonormal basis of \( V \), where \( \pi = \dim V \geq 1 \), as seen in Remark 3.8. Denoting by \( V' \) the dual space of \( (V, \| \cdot \|_{L^2}) \), for any \( v' \in V' \) we introduce \( l_{v'} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (v', e_k) e_k \in V \) and \( L_{v'} : W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \) the functional defined by

\[
L_{v'}(u) = \int_{\Omega} l_{v'} \cdot u \, dx.
\]

By construction, for any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, k_n\} \) \( u_i \) is the only critical point of \( J_{\varepsilon, \alpha_n} \) in \( U_i \) and \( \overline{U_i} \subset A \). So let \( \bar{\mu}_i \) be defined by Theorem 3.8 and put \( \mu = \min\{\bar{\mu}_1, \ldots, \bar{\mu}_{k_n}\} \).

We prove that there is \( \gamma > 0 \) such that

\[
v' \in V' \text{ and } \|v'\|_{V'} < \gamma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|l_{v'}\|_{C^1(\Omega)} < 1/n \quad \text{and} \quad \|L_{v'}\|_{C^1(A)} < \mu.
\]
In fact, as $V$ is finite dimensional, there is $c_n > 0$ such that
$$
\|v\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \leq c_n \|v\|_{L^2} \quad \forall v \in V.
$$
As $v' \in V'$, we have
$$
\|\ell_{v'}\|_{C^1(\Omega)} = \|\sum_{k=1}^\pi \langle v', e_k \rangle e_k\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^\pi \|v'\|_{L^2} \|e_k\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \leq n \|v'\|_{\Omega}.
$$

Moreover, $A$ being bounded, there is $c_A > 0$ such that
$$
\|\ell_{v'}\|_{C^1(A)} \leq c_A \|\ell_{v'}\|_{C^1(\Omega)}.
$$

Therefore we get (4.9) by choosing $\gamma = \frac{1}{n} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{\mu}{\epsilon} \right\}$.

Set $\gamma_1 = \gamma/k_n$. Applying Sard’s Lemma to $\varphi_i' : V \to V'$, there exists $v'_1 \in V'$ such that $\|v'_1\|_{V'} < \gamma_1$ and if $\varphi_i'(v) = v'_1$, then $\varphi_i''(v)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover there is $\beta_1 > 0$ such that if $v' \in V'$, $\|v'\|_{V'} \leq \beta_1$ and $\varphi_i'(v) = v'_1 + v'$, then $\varphi_i''(v)$ is an isomorphism.

Analogously, for $i = 2, \ldots, k_n$, there exist $\beta_i > 0$, $\gamma_i = \min\{\gamma_i-1, \beta_i-1/(k_n - i + 1)\}$ and $v'_i \in V'$ such that $\|v'_i\|_{V'} < \gamma_i$ and if $v' \in V'$, $\|v'\|_{V'} \leq \beta_i$ and $\varphi_i'(v) = v'_i + \ldots v'_i + v'$, then $\varphi_i''(v)$ is an isomorphism.

So, denoting by $\bar{v}_n = v'_1 + \ldots v'_k$, $h_n = l_{\bar{v}_n}$ and $J_n = J_{e,\alpha, n}$, (4.9) shows that
$$
\|\bar{v}_n\| < \gamma \Rightarrow \|h_n\|_{C^1(\Omega)} < 1/n \quad \text{and} \quad \|J_n - J_{e, \alpha, n}\|_{C^1(\Omega)} < \mu.
$$

Solutions to
$$
(P_n) \begin{cases}
-\nabla \cdot \left( (\nabla u)^2 + \alpha_n \right) = f_{\alpha_n}(u) + h_n & \text{in } \Omega \\
u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}
$$
are critical points of the functional $J_n$.

We will prove that, when $\bar{\bar{u}} \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $\bar{\bar{z}} \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$, we have

(4.10) $J_n(\bar{\bar{u}}) = 0$ and $B_{\alpha_n}(\bar{\bar{u}})(\bar{\bar{z}}, \cdot) = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\bar{z}} = 0.$

Indeed, since $\bar{\bar{u}}$ is a critical point of $J_n$, (3.4) and Corollary 3.4 assure that $\bar{\bar{u}} \in U_i$, for a suitable $i \in \{1, \ldots, k_n\}$ and for $n$ large enough. In particular, there are $\bar{\bar{v}} \in V \cap B_{\bar{\bar{u}}}(0)$ and $\bar{\bar{w}} \in W \cap B_{\bar{\bar{w}}}(0)$ such that $\bar{\bar{u}} = u_i + \bar{\bar{v}} + \bar{\bar{w}}$.

Considering that $V$ and $W$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\Omega)$,
$$
\langle J'_{e,\alpha_n}(u_i + \bar{\bar{v}} + \bar{\bar{w}}), w \rangle = \langle J'_{e,\alpha_n}(\bar{\bar{u}}), w \rangle + \int_\Omega h_n w = 0 \quad \forall w \in W.
$$

Therefore, by (4.4), $\bar{\bar{w}} = \psi_i(\bar{\bar{v}})$.

For each arbitrary $v \in V$
$$
\int_\Omega h_n v = \int_\Omega \sum_{k=1}^\pi \langle \bar{v}_n', e_k \rangle e_k v = \langle \bar{v}_n', \sum_{k=1}^\pi (\int_\Omega e_k v) e_k \rangle = \langle \bar{v}_n', v \rangle
$$
thus, by (4.7), $\langle \varphi_i'(\bar{\bar{v}}), v \rangle = \langle J'_{e,\alpha_n}(\bar{\bar{u}}), v \rangle = \langle J'_{n}(\bar{\bar{u}}), v \rangle + \int_\Omega h_n v = \langle \bar{v}_n', v \rangle$. Hence $\varphi_i'(\bar{\bar{v}}) = \bar{v}_n = v'_1 + \ldots v'_k$ and, by construction,

(4.11) $\varphi_i''(\bar{\bar{v}})$ is an isomorphism.
Let us write $\bar{z} = \bar{v} + \bar{w}$, where $\bar{v} \in V$ and $\bar{w} \in W$. If $h \in V$, combining (4.8) and (4.6), we get

$$\langle \phi''_i(\tilde{v})h, \bar{v} \rangle = B_{\alpha_n}(\bar{u})(h + \langle \psi'_i(\tilde{v}), h \rangle, \bar{v}) = B_{\alpha_n}(\bar{u})(h + \langle \psi'_i(\tilde{v}), h \rangle, \bar{z}).$$

As we are assuming that $B_{\alpha_n}(\tilde{v})(\bar{z}, \cdot) = 0$, then, by (4.11), $\bar{v}$ must be 0, so that $\bar{z} = \bar{w} \in W$.

Recalling (4.5), we see that

$$0 = B_{\alpha_n}(\tilde{v})(\bar{w}, \bar{w}) \geq \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{w}|^2,$$

hence $\bar{w} = 0$ and this proves (4.10).

In other words, if $\tilde{u}$ is a critical point of $J_n$, then $m^*(J_n, \tilde{u}) = m(J_n, \tilde{u})$. Consequently we can apply Theorem 3.8, getting that the multiplicity of every critical point of $J_n$ is one. Hence, by Theorem 3.8 and (4.12), $J_n$ has at least $\tilde{u}_1^1, \ldots, \tilde{u}_n^{2^p_1 - 1}$ distinct critical points. It remains to be proved that any $\tilde{u}_n$ is positive. From Theorem 3.5 the critical points of $J_n$ are uniformly bounded in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, thus, up to subsequences, $\tilde{u}_n$ converges in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ to $\tilde{u}_j$, for $n \to +\infty$. As $\tilde{u}_j$ solves $(P_\varepsilon)$, by Theorem 5 in [28] we infer that $\tilde{u}_j > 0$ and $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_j}{\partial \nu}(x_0) > 0$, where $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ and $\nu$ is the interior normal to $\partial \Omega$ at $x_0$. This implies $\tilde{u}_n > 0$ on $\Omega$, for $n$ sufficiently large.

\[\square\]
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