Observation of a strong correlation between the positive floating potential near the edge and plasma current on EXL-50 ECW plasma
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Abstract

Fully non-inductive plasma current start-up without the central solenoid in ECW plasma was used on EXL-50 Spherical Torus with a weak external vertical field (Bv). Generally, the number of electrons leaving to the vessel wall by the gradient Bt is larger than ions, and the positive potential was built up in plasma. The relationship between floating potential and the plasma current was studied using the Langmuir probes near the boundary. The results show that the floating potential is positive (about 200V) and has a strong correlation with plasma current.

In open magnetic field, the plasma current is driven by the high energy electrons in preferential confinement, the plasma current and potential approximately positively correlated with total electron density. After forming the closed flux surface, the plasma current consists mainly of the ECW driven current, and potential is negatively correlated with plasma current. By actively adjusting the Bv, it demonstrated that the positive voltage is approximately inversely correlated with the Bv and plasma current (Ip). Considering that the plasma temperature near the boundary is quite low (~eV), the positive voltage near the boundary caused by the high-energy electron loss. Therefore, the measurements of the boundary potential are important for the study of high-energy electron confinement performance, non-inductive plasma current start-up and current driven.
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1. Introduction

Non-inductive current drive is one of the most important issues for steady operation of compact tokamak reactor. For a small low aspect ratio device (without CS), a closed flux surface can be initiated by EC heated toroidal plasmas with a weak vertical magnetic field (Bv) [Peng1978, Goldston1981, Kubo1983, Maekawa2012, Tanaka1991, Takase2013, Uchida2015, Tanaka2016, Idei2017, Uchida2010, Yoshinaga2006].

Assuming that an axisymmetric toroidal plasma is immersed in a toroidal magnetic field Bt and a uniform Bv field in the cylindrical coordinate (R, φ, Z) system with Z-axis
as the symmetry axis of the torus, each charged particle drifts vertically across the field lines due to the radial gradient and curvature of $\mathbf{B}_t$ and $\mathbf{B}_v$ with the velocity can be approximately given by

$$V_{VTF} = v_{\|} \frac{B_y}{B_t} \frac{m_n y (v_s^2 + v_y^2/2)}{eB_tR}$$

Here $q$ and $m$ are the charge and mass respectively; $\gamma$ is the relativistic factor, and $\|$ and $\perp$ denote the parallel and perpendicular components of the velocity to the field, respectively. Assume that $\mathbf{B}_t > 0$ and $\mathbf{B}_v > 0$, the electrons ($q = -e$) in the vacuum-toroidal field (VTF) drift downwards while the ions ($q > 0$) drift upwards.

When $V_{z} = 0$, the electrons form a circular orbit along the toroidal field line and are confined. On the other hand, electrons with negative parallel velocity have negative $V_{z}$ and are lost downwards to the bottom of the vessel, manifesting asymmetric confinement between the forward and backward drift along the field line, which can generate a toroidal current [Wong1980]. The condition of $V_{z} = 0$ forms an ellipsoidal characteristic in the velocity space. Here, the current due to this preferential confinement for collisionless tail electrons are temporarily referred to as the collisionless energy range ($J_{TPC}$).

Consider that the asymmetric confinement area in the electron velocity space expands from $J_{TPC}$. Then, the expansion in the confinement area would increase the current further. This chain of improvement that is, appositive feedback was demonstrated by a zero-dimensional Fokker-Planck code [Takashi1985], which might account for the current jump. However, the growth of $J_{TPC}$ through this positive feedback is not the case, because it requires unrealistically huge EC power [Maekawa2012].

when the $\mathbf{B}_v$ field is not uniform and has a finite decay index, some electrons around the $V_{z} = 0$ characteristic line become mirror-confined, but almost all electrons have lost orbits. This situation leads to the production of an ambipolar potential in the order of $V_{amb} \sim T_e/e$ to balance the electron and ion flows along the field line to the wall [Peng1978, Yoshikawa1963, Nishi2010]. Generally, the temperature of electrons and ions is cold ($<10\text{eV}$) near the wall, and the potential is very small.

The electron temperature is much higher than the ion temperature in ECW plasma and the electron current and ion current predicted by the balance equation is much different. Previous experiments in [Kuroda2016] showed that a potential arises near the wall. In these plasmas, the electrons are strongly coupled along the field lines. The current flows so that the electric force on the electron fluid is balanced with the pressure gradient along the field lines, where the ion VTF-drift is enhanced while the electron VTF-drift is suppressed.

In [Maekawa2012], a sketch of a scenario that combined $J_{EQL}$ and $J_{TPC}$ was proposed to explain the initiation of closed flux surface via a current jump. First, the open field equilibrium current, $J_{EQL}$, increases as the bulk electron pressure increases and the self-field from this current develops at the inboard side of the current channel, where $\mathbf{B}_z$ is reduced significantly from $\mathbf{B}_v$ by the self-field. Then the asymmetric confinement area in the electron velocity space expands drastically from the initial narrow area of the $V_{z} = 0$ ellipsis. At the same time, tail orbits also change from simple $V_{z} = 0$ orbits in the external field to cross-field passing (CFP) orbits. The expansion of the confinement area induces the second event. Namely, asymmetrically confined tail electrons (referred to as CFP electrons) generated by EC power, increase drastically; then fast-positive feedback of current generation begins, this time, with assistance from the equilibrium current. This results in the current jump observed in several small devices [Yoshinaga2006]. Once closed flux surfaces are formed via the current jump, ECCD really starts to work and maintains the closed flux surface. Thus, the CFP electrons bridge the open field equilibrium maintained by $J_{EQL}$ to the closed field one maintained by $J_{ECD}$.

As mentioned above, once closed flux surfaces are formed via current jump, ECCD begins to work and maintains the closed flux surface. But, the $J_{EQL}$ and $J_{TPC}$ still exist outside the closed flux surfaces. The ions and electrons (especially high-energy electrons) drift along the field may not be equal, which caused an accumulation of positive charge in the plasma to balance the electron and ion flows to the wall [Takase2013, Tanaka2016, Idei2017, Ishida2015, Uchida2015, Tashima2014, Rice2020] with Last closed flux surfaces (LCFS).

After considering the voltage generated by charge accumulation, the ion loss flux along the direction of the magnetic field is significantly increased, the electron loss flux is reduced [Tendler1992, Rozhansky1994], and a new balance between the electron loss flux and ion loss flux is finally reached.

In this paper, the relationship between plasma current and boundary floating potential is studied by Langmuir probes. It shows that no matter whether a closed flux surface is formed or not, a positive voltage is observed at the plasma boundary. Before the closed magnetic surface is formed, the floating potential is approximately positively correlated with the line integrated plasma density and plasma current. It conforms to the asymmetric confinement driven plasma current model, that is, when the confinement phase space does not change much, the plasma loss and confinement electrons are approximately positively related to the plasma density.

After the closed magnetic surface is formed, the plasma current and the positive voltage are usually inversely related. Considering that the plasma current is mainly carried by high-energy electrons with the closed magnetic surface on EXL-50,
the restriction of more-energy electrons is more conductive to plasma current drive. To further verify this process, the \( Bv \) was changed by scanning the PF current. The shift of the magnetic axis due to the \( Bv \) created by the Pfirsch-Schluter current is called the Shafranov shift [Shafranov1962]. Since the Shafranov shift causes degradation of high energy particle confinement as the magnetic axis moves outwards [Murakami1994, Kobuchi2006], the suppression of the magnetic axis shift is crucial to achieving superior confinement. It is found that when the line integrated density does not change much, \( Bv \) and plasma current are approximately correlated [Shafranov1962, Yoshinaga2006]. Moreover, \( Bv \) and the floating voltage are approximately inversely correlated. The results are consistent with the prediction of the plasma positive potential caused by the loss of high-energy electrons.

The changing trend of the experimentally measured voltage and plasma current can be consistent with the prediction of the physical model that the plasma charge accumulates to form a positive potential. Therefore, the boundary positive voltage can be used to monitor the high-energy electron confinement in the plasma, which is very important for plasma equilibrium and plasma current driven.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: probe system is described in section 2. Section 3 presents experimental results, followed by a discussion on the results in section 4.

2. Experimental Set-Up

2.1 EXL-50

The ENN XuanLong spherical Torus (EXL-50) is a medium-sized tokamak, the major radius is about 0.58m, the minor radius is about 0.41m, toroidal magnetic field (Bt) is about 0.46T at r=0.6m, and A>1.45. At present, EXL-50 uses two sets of ECW systems to heat the plasma and drive plasma current. System A (source power of gyrotron ~50kW) is mainly used to produce the initial plasma and form a closed flux surface, and system B (source power of gyrotron ~400kW) is used to increase plasma current and sustain the current flattop for seconds. Discharges with plasma currents substantially above 100kA with 100kW ECW are routinely obtained in EXL-50, and the main current is identified to be the contribution from high-energy electrons [Ishida2021].

2.2 Langmuir probe

Due to the lower temperature and density of SOL plasma, electrostatic Langmuir probes provide an appropriate solution. Langmuir probes simultaneously provide measurements of plasma density, temperature, and floating potential by sweeping the bias voltage. When the probe is floating and probe area (Ap) is approximately the sheath area of probe (As), the floating potential, plasma potential, and temperature may be related as:

\[
V_f - V_p = -\frac{kT_e}{2e} \beta
\]

Here \( \beta \) is the correction factor and usually being positive.

The overall layout of the probe on the EXL-50 is shown in Figure 1. The probes are located at toroidal angles 165, 285 and 345 respectively. The probes are 500 \times 20 \text{ mm} (Length and diameter) dimensioned SUS304 metal column. In order to avoid the high energy electrons directly hitting the probes, all probes are located 0-3 cm behind the limiter, and some limiters are connected to the wall of the vacuum vessel, which connected to earth. It should be mentioned that further experiment results show that the voltage measured by the electrostatic probe is almost independent of the material (copper, tungsten, and graphite) and probe area, which indicates that the probe physics obeys the classical probe theory [Edward1972, Sheridan2000], and the direct influence of high-energy electrons on the probe can be ignored [Stangeby1995]. The measurement result of the probe can reflect the plasma floating potential. In the following analysis, it is assumed that the temperature of high-energy electrons is approximately constant so that the plasma potential and the floating potential are approximately positively correlated.

![Figure 1. (a) Exploded view of the probe, and (b) toroidal cross sections (top view) of EXL-50 showing location of probes.](image)

2.3 high-energy electron confinement loss, plasma potential and plasma current

The following will briefly introduce the high-energy electron confinement loss, plasma potential and plasma current.

First, Poisson’s equation in one dimension is

\[
\varepsilon_0 \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} = -e(n_i - n_e) \quad (Z = 1)
\]

Here, \( \varphi \), \( n_i \) and \( n_e \) are the plasma potential, the number of ion-loss particles and the number of electron-loss particles, respectively. Assuming that the loss of background electrons and ions are very small

\[
\varepsilon_0 \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} = e n_e \eta
\]
Here, \( \eta \) is the ratio of the number of high-energy electrons to total electrons. In the fusion device, the wall of the device is connected to the ground \( \phi_{wall} = 0 \), the plasma potential is positively correlated with the loss of high-energy electrons.

In the open magnetic field lines, the plasma initial current is mainly \( J_{EQL} \) and \( J_{TPC} \). \( J_{TPC} \) is related to high-energy electron loss

\[
J_{TPC} = en_x\eta \int G(v_h) v d v
\]

Here, \( G(v) \) is the normalized high-energy electron loss function. When the plasma configuration does not change much, the plasma potential and plasma current are positively correlated with the total number of electrons.

After the closed flux surface is formed, the plasma current is mainly driven by ECCD. Improved confinement of high-energy electrons is beneficial to increase plasma current. Then, the potential near the wall not only reflects the high-energy electrons loss in the plasma but also provides reference data for the impact of high-energy electron loss on the plasma current.

3. Results

3.1 Relationship between plasma current and probe voltage

As mentioned above, the initial plasma current is mainly: \( J_{TPC} \) and \( J_{EQL} \) in ECW driven plasma [Maekawa2012]. The plasma toroidal current generates a poloidal magnetic field, and when the current reaches a certain threshold, the plasma forms a closed magnetic surface. The formation of the closed magnetic surface allows more particles to be effectively confined, and the loss of plasma particles is improved. There are mainly two sub-processes in such a process: \( J_{TPC} \) and \( J_{EQL} \) process (in open or closed lines) and RF-driven plasma current process (closed flux surface) [Takase2013, Tanaka2016, Idei2017].

3.1.1 In the open magnetic field

Figure 2 shows the waveform of the non-inductive discharge with an open flux surface. From top to bottom, the plasma current, line integrated electron density, and floating potential are shown in sequence. At \( t=0 \) s, ECRH system A was turned on, and system B was turned on at \( 1 \) s, the floating potential has been recorded to be positive. It should be mentioned that the floating potential at the top and bottom plates of the device are approximately the same, which indicates that the potential caused by the polarization shift of electrons and ions can be ignored. The positive probe voltage is caused by the high electron loss [Takase2013, Tanaka2016, Idei2017, Ishida2015, Uchida2015, Tashima2014, Rice2020].

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2. From top to bottom, plasma current (a), line integrated electron density (b), and probe measurement voltage. Shot # 5564

Figure 3 shows CCD camera images with time, the plasma is cylindrical and does not form a closed flux surface. The plasma shape is similar, it can be considered that the plasma state has not changed significantly (except \( 0.21-0.38 \) s), so that, the electron velocity distribution function is approximately unchanged. The relationship between plasma line integrated electron density, plasma current and floating potential with different time periods are shown in Figure 4. The line integrated electron plasma density, plasma current and floating potential are all approximately positively related.

However, the plasma current and floating potential is approximately inversely correlated with line integrated electron density during \( 0.21-0.38 \) s. Considering that the plasma is gradually forming a closed flux surface (Figure 3), it is caused by the improvement of the plasma confinement, and the plasma current mechanism is shifted from the pressure drive to the ECW drive current. Due to unknown reasons, a complete closed flux surface was not formed in the end.

The experimental results of plasma current, line integrated electron plasma density, and probe voltage in the open flux surface are consistent with the predicted trends of the theory of non-inductive current drive. It shows that under pure RF-driven plasma, the positive voltage (greater than \( 10V \)) near the boundary can reflect the loss flux of high-energy electrons in the plasma.
3.1.2 After the closed flux surface formed

Figure 5 shows a typical EXL-50 discharge with the closed flux surface (20kW power of system A). It can be found that there are three states of plasma current and floating potential (Figure 5 (d)), referring to the open flux surface, the closed magnetic surface being gradually formed and the closed flux surface is formed respectively. Compared with the experimental results in Figure 4, the purple time period plays a key role in forming the closed flux surface.

The plasma forms an obvious closed magnetic surface after 0.1s, and the plasma current is approximately inversely correlated with the probe voltage. It indicates that after the formation of the closed flux surface, the plasma confinement is improved, the plasma current increases. At the same time, the accumulated charge of the plasma decreases, and the boundary plasma potential also decreases.

3.2 Scan of Bv

To further verify that the loss of high-energy electrons causes the accumulation of charges in the plasma and generates a positive plasma potential at the boundary, the PF coil current is linearly scanned to study the effect of the loss of high-energy electrons on the boundary voltage. With increased Bv, the Shafranov shift by Bv causes improvement of high energy particle confinement as the magnetic axis moves inwards [Murakami1994, Kobuchi2006]. Meanwhile, the high-energy electrons contributed to plasma current increase.

The time evolutions of Bv, line integrated electron density, plasma current, and floating potential is shown in Figure 6. When the plasma line integrated electron density keeps unchanged, the Bv and plasma current are approximately positively correlated, and the floating potential, as well as Bv, are inversely related, which is consistent with the predicted results. It should be mentioned that around 2.4s, the plasma density and current are significantly reduced with increasing Bv. There is still an inverse correlation between the plasma current and the floating potential.

The experimental results show that the boundary positive potential can reflect the high-energy electrons loss in the plasma with RF driven plasma. In most cases, the floating potential is directly related to the plasma current.
background magnetic field are beneficial to increase the ion flux and reduce the electron flux along parallel magnetic lines of force near the wall [Kuroda2016].

Figure 8. Plasma current (a), probe voltage of probe1 (b), probe2 (c) and probe (d). Shot # 5764

4. Summary

Through the above analysis, the following results can be obtained: electron and ion loss fluxes are usually not balanced in ECW driven plasma, which caused a positive potential near the edge to balance the flux of electrons and ions.

In the open magnetic field, the plasma current mainly consist of $j_{TPC}$ and $j_{EQL}$, the plasma current and electron’s loss is positive correlated to the total number of electrons.

After the closed flux surface is formed, although the electrons and ions in the closed magnetic surface are effectively confined, the electrons and ions outside the closed magnetic surface still undergo drift and affect the plasma current. The plasma potential is inversely correlated with high-electron’s loss.

Regardless of the formation of a closed magnetic front, the probe measurement voltage is consistent with the prediction of the high-energy electron loss model, which indicates that the boundary probe measurement can reflect the high-energy electron loss in the plasma.

3.3 Others

Obvious floating potential fluctuations are observed as shown in Figure 7. The floating potential and its fluctuation at different toroidal positions are very consistent, which means the potential and potential fluctuations are small $n$. The potential, potential fluctuations and the axially symmetric
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