PARTIAL SKEW DYCK PATHS—A KERNEL METHOD APPROACH
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Abstract. Skew Dyck are a variation of Dyck paths, where additionally to steps \((1,1)\) and \((1,-1)\) a south-west step \((-1,-1)\) is also allowed, provided that the path does not intersect itself. Replacing the south-west step by a red south-east step, we end with decorated Dyck paths. We analyze partial versions of them where the path ends on a fixed level \(j\), not necessarily at level 0. We exclusively use generating functions and derive them with the celebrated kernel method.

1. Introduction

Skew Dyck are a variation of Dyck paths, where additionally to steps \((1,1)\) and \((1,-1)\) a south-west step \((-1,-1)\) is also allowed, provided that the path does not intersect itself. Otherwise, like for Dyck path, it must never go below the \(x\)-axis and end eventually (after \(2n\) steps) on the \(x\)-axis. Here are a few references: \([2, 6, 1, 7]\). The enumerating sequence is

\[1, 1, 3, 10, 36, 137, 543, 2219, 9285, 39587, 171369, 751236, 3328218, 14878455, \ldots,\]

which is A002212 in [9].

Skew Dyck appeared very briefly in our recent paper [7]; here we want to give a proper analysis of them, using generating functions and the kernel method. Here is a list of the 10 skew paths consisting of 6 steps:

![Figure 1. All 10 skew Dyck paths of length 6 (consisting of 6 steps).](image)

We prefer to work with the equivalent model (resembling more traditional Dyck paths) where we replace each step \((-1,-1)\) by \((1,-1)\) but label it red. Here is the list of the 10 paths again:

The rules to generate such decorated Dyck paths are: each edge \((1,-1)\) may be black or red, but \(\diagup\) and \(\diagdown\) are forbidden.

Our interest is in particular in partial decorated Dyck paths, ending at level \(j\), for fixed \(j \geq 0\); the instance \(j = 0\) is the classical case.

The analysis of partial skew Dyck paths was recently started in [1] (using the notion 'prefix of a skew Dyck path') using Riordan-arrays instead of our kernel method. The
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latter gives us bivariate generating functions, from which it is easier to draw conclusions. Two variables, $z$ and $u$ are used, where $z$ marks the length of the path and $j$ marks the end-level. We briefly mention that one can, using a third variable $w$, also count the number of red edges.

Again, once all generating functions are explicitly known, many corollaries can be derived in a standard fashion. We only do this in a few instances. But we would like to emphasize that the substitution

$$x = \frac{v}{1 + 3v + v^2},$$

which was used in [5, 7] allows to write explicit enumerations, using the notion of a (weighted) trinomial coefficient:

$$\left( n; 1, 3, 1 \right)_k := [t^k](1 + 3t + t^2)^n.$$

2. Generating functions and the kernel method

We catch the essence of a decorated Dyck path using a state-diagram:

It has three types of states, with $j$ ranging from 0 to infinity; in the drawing, only $j = 0..8$ is shown. The first layer of states refers to an up-step leading to a state, the second layer refers to a black down-step leading to a state and the third layer refers to a red down-step leading to a state. We will work out generating functions describing all paths leading to a particular state. We will use the notations $f_j, g_j, h_j$ for the three respective layers, from top to bottom. Note that the syntactic rules of forbidden patterns \(\quad\) and \(\square\) can be clearly seen from the picture. The functions depend on the variable $z$ (marking the number of steps), but mostly we just write $f_j$ instead of $f_j(z)$, etc.
The following recursions can be read off immediately from the diagram:

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_0 &= 1, & f_{i+1} &= zf_i + zg_i, & i &\geq 0, \\
  g_i &= zf_{i+1} + zg_{i+1} + zh_i, & i &\geq 0, \\
  h_i &= zh_{i+1} + zg_i, & i &\geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

And now it is time to introduce the promised bivariate generating functions:

\[
F(z, u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i(z)u^i, \quad G(z, u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} g_i(z)u^i, \quad H(z, u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(z)u^i.
\]

Again, often we just write \(F(u)\) instead of \(F(z, u)\) and treat \(z\) as a ‘silent’ variable. Summing the recursions leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i \geq 0} u^i f_{i+1} &= \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i z f_i + \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i z g_i, \\
\sum_{i \geq 0} u^i g_i &= \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i z f_{i+1} + \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i z g_{i+1} + \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i z h_i, \\
\sum_{i \geq 0} u^i h_i &= \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i z h_{i+1} + \sum_{i \geq 0} u^i z g_{i+1}.
\end{align*}
\]

This can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{1}{u}(F(u) - 1) = zF(u) + zG(u),
\]

\[
G(u) = z\left(F(u) - 1\right) + z\left(G(u) - G(0)\right) + z\left(H(u) - H(0)\right),
\]

\[
H(u) = z\left(G(u) - G(0)\right) + z\left(H(u) - H(0)\right).
\]

This is a typical application of the kernel method. For a gentle example-driven introduction to the kernel method, see [8]. First,

\[
F(u) = \frac{z^2 u G(0) + z^2 u H(0) + z^2 u - u - z^3 + 2z}{-z^3 - u + 2z + zu^2 - z^2 u},
\]

\[
G(u) = \frac{z(H(0) - uzH(0) + z^2 + G(0) - zuG(0) - zu)}{-z^3 - u + 2z + zu^2 - z^2 u},
\]

\[
H(u) = \frac{z(-uzH(0) - z^2 - zuG(0) + G(0) - z^2 H(0) + H(0) - z^2 G(0))}{-z^3 - u + 2z + zu^2 - z^2 u}.
\]

The denominator factors as \(z(u - r_1)(u - r_2)\), with

\[
r_1 = 1 + z^2 + \frac{\sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2z}, \quad r_2 = 1 + z^2 - \frac{\sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2z}.
\]

Note that \(r_1 r_2 = 2 - z^2\). Since the factor \(u - r_2\) in the denominator is “bad,” it must also cancel in the numerators. From this we conclude as a first step

\[
G(0) = \frac{1 - 2z^2 H(0) - 3z^2 - \sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2z^2},
\]

and by further simplification

\[
H(0) = \frac{1 - 4z^2 + z^4 + (z^2 - 1)\sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2 - z^2}.
\]
Thus (with $W = \sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}$)

\[
F(u) = \frac{-1 - z^2 - W}{2z(u - r_1)} = \frac{1 + z^2 + W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)},
\]

\[
G(u) = \frac{-1 + z^2 + W}{2z(u - r_1)} = \frac{1 - z^2 - W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)},
\]

\[
H(u) = \frac{-1 + 3z^2 + W}{2z(u - r_1)} = \frac{1 - 3z^2 - W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)}.
\]

The total generating function is

\[
S(u) = F(u) + G(u) + H(u) = \frac{3 - 3z^2 - W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)}.
\]

The coefficient of $u^j z^n$ in $S(u)$ counts the partial paths of length $n$, ending at level $j$. We will write $s_j = [u^j] S(u)$. Furthermore

\[
f_j = [u^j] F(u) = [u^j] \frac{1 + z^2 + W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)},
\]

\[
g_j = [u^j] G(u) = [u^j] \frac{1 - z^2 - W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)},
\]

\[
h_j = [u^j] H(u) = [u^j] \frac{1 - 3z^2 - W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)}.
\]

At this stage, we are only interested in

\[
s_j = f_j + g_j + h_j = [u^j] \frac{3 - 3z^2 - W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)} = \frac{3 - 3z^2 - W}{2zr_1(1 - u/r_1)}
\]

which is the generating function of all (partial) paths ending at level $j$. Parity considerations give us that only coefficients $[z^n] s_j$ are non-zero if $n \equiv j \mod 2$. To make this more transparent, we set

\[
P(z) = zr_1 = \frac{1 + z^2 + \sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2},
\]

and then

\[
s_j = f_j + g_j + h_j = z^j \frac{3 - 3z^2 - W}{2P_{j+1}}.
\]

Now we read off coefficients. We do this using residues and contour integration. The path of integration, in both variables $x$ resp. $v$ is a small circle or an equivalent contour. 

\[
[z^{2m+j}] s_j = [z^{2m}] \frac{3 - 3z^2 - W}{2P_{j+1}} = [x^m] \frac{3 - 3x - \sqrt{1 - 6x + 5x^2}}{2} \left( \frac{1 + x - \sqrt{1 - 6x + 5x^2}}{2} \right)^{j+1}
\]

\[
= [x^m] \frac{3 - 3x - x^2 - \frac{1 - x^2}{1 + 3x + x^2}}{2} \left( \frac{x(v+2)}{1 + 3x + x^2} \right)^{j+1}
\]

\[
= [x^m] \frac{(1 + v)(1 + 2v)}{v(j+1)(v+2)^{j+1}(1 + 3v + v^2)^j}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dx}{x^{m+1}} \frac{(1 + v)(1 + 2v)}{v^{j+1}(v+2)^{j+1}(1 + 3v + v^2)^j}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{v^{m+1}} \frac{dv}{v^{j+1}(v+2)^{j+1}} (1 + 3v + v^2)^{m-1+j}
= [v^{m+j+1}] \frac{(1 + v)^2(1 + 2v)(1 - v)}{(v + 2)^{j+1}} (1 + 3v + v^2)^{m-1+j}
\]

Note that
\[
(1 + v)^2(1 + 2v)(1 - v) = -9 + 27(v + 2) - 29(v + 2)^2 + 13(v + 2)^3 - 2(v + 2)^4;
\]
consequently
\[
[v^k] \frac{(1 + v)^2(1 + 2v)(1 - v)}{(v + 2)^{j+1}} = -9 \frac{1}{2j+1+k} \binom{-j - 1}{k} + 27 \frac{1}{2j+k} \binom{-j}{k} - 29 \frac{1}{2j-1+k} \binom{-j + 1}{k}
+ 13 \frac{1}{2j-2+k} \binom{-j + 2}{k} - 2 \frac{1}{2j-3+k} \binom{-j + 3}{k} =: \lambda_{j,k}.
\]

With this abbreviation we find
\[
[v^{m+j+1}] \frac{(1 + v)^2(1 + 2v)(1 - v)}{(v + 2)^{j+1}} (1 + 3v + v^2)^{m-1+j} = \sum_{k=0}^{m+j+1} \lambda_{j,k} \binom{m-1+j;1,3,1}{m+j+1}.
\]

This is not extremely pretty but it is explicit and as good as it gets. Here are the first few generating functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{s}_0 &= 1 + z^2 + 3z^4 + 10z^6 + 36z^8 + 137z^{10} + 543z^{12} + \cdots \\
\mathbf{s}_1 &= z + 2z^3 + 6z^5 + 21z^7 + 79z^9 + 311z^{11} + 1265z^{13} + \cdots \\
\mathbf{s}_2 &= z^2 + 3z^4 + 10z^6 + 37z^8 + 145z^{10} + 589z^{12} + 2455z^{14} + \cdots \\
\mathbf{s}_3 &= z^3 + 4z^5 + 15z^7 + 59z^9 + 241z^{11} + 1010z^{13} + 4314z^{15} + \cdots 
\end{align*}
\]

We could also give such lists for the functions \(f_j, g_j, h_j\), if desired. We summarize the essential findings of this section:

**Theorem 1.** The generating function of decorated (partial) Dyck paths, consisting of \(n\) steps, ending on level \(j\), is given by
\[
S(z,u) = \frac{3 - 3z^2 - \sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2z r_1 (1 - u/r_1)},
\]
with
\[
r_1 = \frac{1 + z^2 + \sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2z}.
\]

Furthermore
\[
[w^j] S(z,u) = \frac{3 - 3z^2 - \sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2z r_1^{j+1}}. 
\]
We find at the level of generating functions this is very easy, since we only have to set $u := 1$.

We find

$$S(1) = \frac{(z + 1)(z^2 + 3z - 2) + (z + 2)\sqrt{1 - 6z^2 + 5z^4}}{2z(z^2 + 2z - 1)}$$

$$= 1 + z + 2z^2 + 3z^3 + 7z^4 + 11z^5 + 26z^6 + 43z^7 + 102z^8 + 175z^9 + 416z^{10} + \cdots.$$ 

3. **Open ended paths**

If we do not specify the end of the paths, in other words we sum over all $j \geq 0$, then at the level of generating functions this is very easy, since we only have to set $u := 1$. We find

$$F(u) = \frac{z^2uG(0) + z^2uH(0) + z^2u - u - wz^3 + z + wz}{-wz^3 - u + z + wz + zu^2 - wz^2u},$$

$$G(u) = \frac{z(H(0) - uzH(0) + wz^2 + G(0) - zuG(0) - zu)}{-wz^3 - u + z + wz + zu^2 - wz^2u},$$

$$H(u) = \frac{wz(-uzH(0) - z^2 - zuG(0) + G(0) - z^2H(0) + H(0) - z^2G(0))}{-wz^3 - u + z + wz + zu^2 - wz^2u}.$$ 

The denominator factors as $z(u - r_1)(u - r_2)$, with

$$r_1 = \frac{1 + wz^2 + \sqrt{1 - (4 + 2w)z^2 + (4 + w^2)z^4}}{2z},$$

$$r_2 = \frac{1 + wz^2 - \sqrt{1 - (4 + 2w)z^2 + (4 + w^2)z^4}}{2z}.$$ 

Note the factorization $1 - (4 + 2w)z^2 + (4 + w^2)z^4 = (1 - z^2w)(1 - (4 + w)z^2)$. Since the factor $u - r_2$ in the denominator is "bad," it must also cancel in the numerators. From this we eventually find, with the abbreviation $W = \sqrt{1 - (4 + 2w)z^2 + (4 + w^2)z^4}$

$$F(u) = \frac{-1 - wz^2 - W}{2z(u - r_1)},$$
The total generating function is

\[ S(u) = F(u) + G(u) + H(u) = \frac{-2 - w + z^2(w + w^2) + wW}{2z(u - r_1)}. \]

The special case \( u = 0 \) (return to the \( x \)-axis) is to be noted:

\[ S(0) = \frac{1 - w^2 - W}{2z^2}. \]

Since there are only even powers of \( z \) in this function, we replace \( x = z^2 \) and get

\[ S(0) = 1 + \frac{v}{1 + (2 + w)v + v^2}, \quad \text{then} \quad S(0) = 1 + v. \]

Reading off coefficients can now be done using modified trinomial coefficients:

\[ \binom{n}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + (2 + w)t + t^2)^n}. \]

Again, we use contour integration to extract coefficients:

\[ [x^n](1 + v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dx}{x^{n+1}}(1 + v) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dx}{v^{n+1}} \frac{1 - v^2}{(1 + (2 + w)v + v^2)^2} \]

\[ = [v^n](1 - v)(1 + v)^2(1 + (2 + w)v + v^2)^{n-1} \]

\[ = \binom{n}{n} \binom{n - 1; 1, 2 + w, 1}{n} \binom{n}{n - 1} \]

\[ - \binom{n - 1; 1, 2 + w, 1}{n - 2} - \binom{n - 1; 1, 2 + w, 1}{n - 3}. \]

A simple application of singularity analysis leads to

\[ \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [x^n] \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 5x}}}{-\sqrt{5} [x^n] \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 5x}}} \sim \frac{n}{5}. \]

So, a random path consisting of \( 2n \) steps has about \( n/5 \) red steps, on average.

For readers who are not familiar with singularity analysis of generating functions [3, 4], we just mention that one determines the local expansion around the dominating singularity, which is at \( z = \frac{1}{5} \) in our instance. In the denominator, we just have the total number of skew Dyck paths, according to the sequence A002212 in [3].
In the example of Figure 2, the exact average is $6/10$, which curiously is exactly the same as $3/5$.

We finish the discussion by considering fixed powers of $w$ in $S(0)$, counting skew Dyck paths consisting of zero, one, two, three, . . . red edges. We find

$$[w^0]S(0) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x},$$
$$[w^1]S(0) = \frac{1 - 2x - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2\sqrt{1 - 4x}},$$
$$[w^2]S(0) = \frac{x^3}{(1 - 4x)^{3/2}},$$
$$[w^3]S(0) = \frac{x^4(1 - 2x)}{(1 - 4x)^{5/2}},$$
$$[w^4]S(0) = \frac{x^5(1 - 4x + 5x^2)}{(1 - 4x)^{7/2}}, \quad &c.$$

The generating function $[w^0]S(0)$ is of course the generating function of Catalan numbers, since no red edges just means: ordinary Dyck path. We can also conclude that the asymptotic behaviour is of the form $n^{k-3/2}4^n$, where the polynomial contribution gets higher, but the exponential growth stays the same $4^n$. This is compared to the scenario of an arbitrary number of red edges, when we get an exponential growth of the form $5^n$.
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