Gain dynamics driven broadband Q-switched noise-like pulse in ultrafast fiber laser
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We investigate the buildup dynamics of broadband Q-switched noise-like pulse (QS-NLP) driven by slow gain dynamics in a microfiber-based passively mode-locked Yb-doped fiber laser. Based on shot-to-shot tracing of the transient optical spectra and qualitatively reproduced numerical simulation, we demonstrate that slow gain dynamics is deeply involved in the onset of such complex temporal and spectral instabilities of QS-NLP. The proposed dynamic model in this work could contribute to deeper insight of such nonlinear dynamics and transient dynamics simulation in ultrafast fiber laser.

I. INTRODUCTION

As a typical dissipative system, ultrafast fiber lasers are now increasingly regarded as an ideal platform to study complex dynamics ranging from stationary periodic mode-locking, quasi-periodic pulsating, exploding and chaos [1, 2]. Among these numerous nonlinear dynamics, noise-like pulse (NLP) is a startling illustration of partial mode locking which behaves seemingly regular long pulses (0.1−1 ns, typically) train while resembling burst of sub-ps scale chaotic, noise-like inner fluctuations in fact [3]. Earlier study demonstrated that NLP could circulate with a rather stable envelope at the fundamental repetition rate of the laser cavity, in spite of inner chaotic evolution, while there are also increasing reports recently on Q-switched noise like pulse (QS-NLP) where Q-switching instabilities could be introduced easily and meanwhile non-negligible. As a result, QS-NLP undergoes slow, quasi-periodic energy fluctuations while lasing in a pulsating radiation pattern [4−7].

When modulated by Q-switching instabilities, QS-NLP usually accumulates energy in a short time, with boosting peak power in the following specific roundtrips but subsequently decay to background noise [5, 8]. This temporal intensity dynamics gives rise to nonlinearity enhancement resulting in a broad averaged spectrum that could exceed gain spectral width, while on the other hand contribute to other dynamic phenomenons, e.g. rogue waves (RW)−featured with pronounced L-shaped statistics distribution of intensity [6, 7].

Although NLP has been investigated theoretically [9] and optical time-stretch [5, 10, 11] or temporal mapping techniques [12] have been successfully in real-time tracing of the NLP dynamic evolution experimentally, previous research work focus mainly on the stationary NLP where gain was assumed saturate instantly at each roundtrip according to the current value of pulse energy [9, 13, 14]. This assumption does not agree with QS-NLP since Q-switching instabilities related gain evolution usually involves distinct time-scales: pulse duration on a ns scale (<0.1 ns here), pulse train on a μs scale (∼1 μs here) and slow gain relaxation time on a ms scale (1−10 ms) [15, 16]. The relaxation time determined slow gain dynamics usually play a pivotal role in several nonlinear dynamics phenomenon such as internal oscillations-inhibited stable soliton molecules [17], gain depletion guided long-range pulses attraction [18], gain dynamics-dominated interpulse repulsion [19], wavelength-dependent gain competition-driven NLP [4], as well as QS-NLP here.

Incorporating a slow gain dynamic model, the present work is to reveal the underlying QS-NLP dynamics that have not been observed in previous experiment [7]. Combining real-time dispersive Fourier transform (DFT) measurement of transient spectra [10] and numerical simulation, we numerically and experimentally obtain the temporal and spectral instabilities of QS-NLPs shown similarly to [5, 20] and demonstrate that the QS-NLP comes from dynamic equilibrium between gain and loss uncovered in earlier solid-state laser experiment [21]. Our work provides deeper insight into the pulse dynamics of broadband QS-NLP as well as universal gain mechanism in fiber lasers. The proposed model can be used to study active fiber ring cavities [22, 23] and on-chip doped microresonator lasers [24].

II. EXPERIMENT

On the basis of previous experiment [7], the schematic diagram of the experimental setup here is illustrated in Fig.1, where NPE based artifical saturable absorber is modeled as a lumped element SA. For the DFT measurement, the laser output is temporally stretched by a 1km fiber with group-velocity dispersion $D = −34$ ps nm$^{−1}$km$^{−1}$ at $\sim$1030 nm and subsequently fed into 25 GHz detector (Newfocus Model1414) along with
FIG. 1. Schematic configuration of the fiber ring laser in simulation, detailed information see [7]. MF: microfiber; SMF: Corning® HI1060; YDF: Yb-doped fiber; SA: saturable absorber; OC: output coupler. Intracavity position: A, 0/1.7 m; B, 0.6 m; C, 1.0 m; free space of 0.1 m ignored here.

33 GHz oscilloscope (Tektronix DPO73304DX). As a result, the implemented spectral resolution is $\sim 1.2$ nm, sufficient for measuring such broadband spectra with 20-dB-bandwidth greater than 100 nm [10].

Self-starting QS-NLP operation could be initiated by increasing pump power high than 400 mW with several certain intracavity polarization configuration, i.e. specific SA transmission function. It is well-known that SA always provides positive feedback in the self-starting mode-locking process where the main pulses strat up from initial noise fluctuation formed by mode-beating [25], while NLP self-starting process usually involves subsequently further increment in the pulse power that instead lead to negative feedback of SA [9]. In the condition of high linear intracavity loss, only at these locations where previous main pulses disturbed can the dispersive wave, collapsed soliton components or background noise generate, undergo amplification and further circulate as bunch temporally [26, 27]. As a result, such low coherence components bunched NLP presents typical double-scale (fs-ps) temporal autocorrelation profile [7] and apparent fluctuations in roundtrip-to-roundtrip spectra [28, 29].

We deliberately pump the fiber laser with excess of gain at power of 600 mW to trigger QS-NLP. Fig. 2 shows the typical temporal intensity dynamics of QS-NLP in ms and $\mu$s scale, where cavity-length-determined pulsing (duration of $\sim 8.7$ ns) is modulated by Q-switched envelope. At initial few roundtrips, due to stronger bleaching (i.e. positive feedback) effect of SA, the QS-NLP energy grows exponentially from slight relaxation oscillations [30]. The pulse energy grows continuously and thus saturates the gain rapidly. As a result, in the following remaining roundtrips loss has a greater impact than saturated gain and therefore results in to a slower decreasing trail of Q-switched envelope. Besides, it is worth noting that this large intracavity energy fluctuations induced L-shaped pulses amplitude distribution sometimes is related to RW [5, 7].

In the quasi-steady state where sampled averaged spectrum of spectrometer (Agilent 86142B) keeps fixed, the measured DFT transient spectra is shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3 (a) illustrates typical real-time spectral evolution over hundreds of roundtrips with several obvious features as follows.

1) At the beginning of such pulsating period, ignited by modulation instability (MI), signal pulse grows slightly in several cycles. There exist multiple symmetry spectral components, which is reminiscent of primitive DFT observation in build-up of mode locking [31] and dissipative soliton formation [32], generated from initial random noise overlapping with MI gain [33]. Moreover, noting that MI could be efficiently driven in microfiber of anomalous dispersion [7].

2) Following the above preliminary amplification process, the spectral sideband at $\sim 1030$ nm with maximum gain is exponentially amplified in several roundtrips at the expense of pump. Meanwhile, stemming from the combined high nonlinearity and anomalous dispersion of microfiber [7], the spectra broaden abruptly.

3) As the pulse energy grows in a short time and meanwhile saturates the gain, the transient broadband spectra in particular of those components beyond gain bandwidth do not survive the following roundtrips because the saturated gain recover slowly. Furthermore, the spectrum continue narrowing down to indiscernible as long as loss surpass gain.

In addition to the above DFT measurement, others basic characterization of QS-NLP is exhibited in [7]. But overall, experimental measurement only provides presen-
tional spatio-temporal intensity dynamics, we will theoretically demonstrate that the above mentioned slow gain dynamics is indeed the underlying mechanism giving rise to the observed pattern formations.

III. SIMULATION MODELING

As slow gain dynamic is highlighted in the present work, in this section we give the pulse propagation model in fiber laser incorporating slow gain dynamics. Detailed fiber parameters value in particular of microfiber used here refer to [34, 35] and have been shown in previous experiment [7].

A. Gain dynamics modeling

The gain dynamics related rate equations in Yb-doped fiber is modeled like Er-doped amplifiers when ignoring the effect of amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) [16, 36, 37]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial N_1(z,t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{I_s}{h\nu_s} \left( (N_0(z,t)\sigma_s^a - N_1(z,t)\sigma_s^e) + \frac{I_p}{h\nu_p} \left( (N_0(z,t)\sigma_p^a - N_1(z,t)\sigma_p^e) - \frac{N_2(z,t)}{\tau_2} \right) \right) \\
\frac{\partial N_2(z,t)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{N_1(z,t)}{\tau_2} \\
N_t &= N_0(z,t) + N_1(z,t)
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \(N_t\), \(N_0\), \(N_1\) are the total concentration, lower level population and upper level population, respectively. \(I_s(I_p), \nu_s(\nu_p), \sigma_s^a(\sigma_p^a), \sigma_s^e(\sigma_p^e)\) are the signal(pump) intensity, frequency, absorption and emission cross-section, \(h\) is the Planck constant, \(\tau_2\) is the upper laser level lifetime.

The gain coefficient \(g(z, P_{avg}, \lambda) = g_m(z, P_{avg}) g(\lambda)\) in Eq. (6) is defined as [35, 38]:

\[
\begin{align*}
g_m(z, P_{avg}) &= \frac{\partial \langle \ln P_s(z) \rangle}{\partial z} \\
&= \Gamma_s \left( (N_1(z)\sigma_s^a - N_0(z)\sigma_s^e) \right) \\
g(\lambda) &= e^{-\left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\Delta\lambda} \right)^2}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

in which \(g(\lambda)\) is related to Gaussian spectral response near at \(\lambda_0 \approx 1030\) nm with gain bandwidth \(\Delta\lambda\), \(\Gamma_s\) is the signal overlap integral [15, 16] and \(P_{avg}\) corresponds to the average power along the gain fiber.

When assuming a constant, uniform pump along the gain fiber (although impractical, as shown in [4], it does not obscure the physical processes to explain), we can derive the fast gain dynamics equation similar to [4] after combining Eq. (1) and Eq. (2):

\[
\frac{\partial g_m}{\partial t} = - \left( \frac{1}{\tau_e} + \frac{P_s}{P_{s,sat} \cdot \tau_e} \right) g_m + \Lambda
\]

(3)

where \(\tau_e = \tau_2 / (1 + P_s / P_T)\) is the effective upper laser level lifetime with pump transparency power \(P_T = h\nu_p A_{eff,p} \left[ (\sigma_p^a + \sigma_p^e) \tau_2 \right] [16]\) and pump power \(P_p = I_p A_{eff,p} = h\nu_p \phi_p A_{eff,p}; P_{s,sat} = h\nu_s A_{eff,s} \left[ (\sigma_s^a + \sigma_s^e) \tau_2 \right]\) is the signal saturation power [4]; the constant \(\Lambda = -\Gamma_s N_0(\sigma_s^a/\tau_2 - \Gamma_s \phi_p N_0 (\sigma_s^e - \sigma_p^e \sigma_p^a/\sigma_p^a)\) accounts for the influence of pump in excess, \(\Lambda \cdot \tau_e\) is equivalent to the well-known small-signal gain coefficient [35]; and \(P_s\) is the signal power.

It is worth noting that similar gain dynamics formula proposed in [35] with realistic upper level lifetime \(\tau_2\) is replaced by \(\tau_e\) in Eq. (3) and there is usually two orders of magnitude difference between them. This pump induced transient response within effective lifetime can be traced back primitive research of EDFA in WDM systems [39, 40].

In the mean-field model, the fast gain dynamics that mainly affects the inner structure of pulse wavepacket [17] is neglected and we only consider the roundtrip-to-roundtrip slow gain dynamics in the unit of round-trip time \(T_R\). Based on multi-scale perturbation approach [41], we obtain the similar slow gain dynamics equation proposed in [22, 23] expressed as:

\[
\frac{\partial g_m}{\partial T} = - \left( \frac{T_R}{\tau_e} + \frac{T_0}{\tau_e} \left| A(T,t) \right|^2 dt \right) g_m + T_R \cdot \Lambda
\]

(4)

in which \(T = n \cdot T_R\) is the slow-time variable (where \(n\) is an integer).

Furthermore, in the slow gain form Eq. (4) could be written as:

\[
\frac{\partial g_m}{\partial T} = \left( \frac{1}{\tau_e} + \frac{\langle P_s \rangle}{P_{s,sat} \cdot \tau_e} \right) g_m + \Lambda
\]

(5)

where \(\langle P_s \rangle = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_0^{T_0} \left| A(T,t) \right|^2 dt\) is intracavity signal average power. Eq. (5) is the ultimate equation describing the roundtrip-to-roundtrip slow gain dynamics derived from fast gain dynamics (Eq. (3)).

Besides, the utilized parameters values of YDF [16, 36, 37] for gain dynamics modeling are listed in Table I.

---

**TABLE I. Simulation parameters of YDF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>length, (l)</td>
<td>0.2 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dopant radius, (b)</td>
<td>2.0 (\mu m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pump power, (P_p)</td>
<td>0.6 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper level lifetime, (\tau_2)</td>
<td>770 (\mu s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(^{3+}) concentration, (N_i)</td>
<td>(1.2 \times 10^{26}) m(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mode field radius, (w_0/w_p)</td>
<td>2.2/2 (\mu m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overlap integral, (\Gamma_s/\Gamma_p)</td>
<td>0.56/0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>absorption cross section, (\sigma_p^a/\sigma_p^e)</td>
<td>2.5/0.05 \times 10(^{-24}) m(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emission cross section, (\sigma_p^e/\sigma_p^e)</td>
<td>2.5/0.6 \times 10(^{-24}) m(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Undisclosed values.

---

B. Pulse propagation modeling

The schematic configuration of the fiber laser is shown in Fig.1, which consist of a section of 0.2 m microfiber...
with diameter of 1.2 µm, a piece of 0.2 m Yb-doped fiber (LIEKKI® Yb1200-4/125), and remaining of 1.3-m-long SMF (HI1060).

We model the fiber laser with generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation [38], i.e.,
\[
\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} - \sum_{k=2}^{4} \frac{i^{k+1}}{k!} \beta_k \frac{\partial^k A}{\partial t^k} - \frac{g(z, P_{\text{avg}}, \omega)}{2} A = i\gamma \left(1 + \frac{i}{\omega_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left[A(z, t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(t') |A(z, t-t')|^2 dt'\right],
\]
where terms on the right hand side responsible for stimulated Raman scattering (SRS), Kerr nonlinearity and self-steepening are included; \(g(z, P_{\text{avg}}, \omega)\) is the gain coefficient (otherwise set as zero for passive fiber) including spectral response along gain fiber described by differential equation Eq. (5) discussed in Section. III A.

For convenience in simulation we only focus on the slow gain dynamics at signal wavelength (\(\sim 1030\) nm) and ignore other spectral components even though whose dynamic evolution exist as shown in Fig. 3.

Numerical treatment of slow gain dynamics when pulse propagates along YDF is similar to the model proposed in [4] and shown in Fig. 4.

Before considering the pulse profile \(A(T_i, z_n)\) at the start point \(z_n\) in \(i\)-th cycle, the gain \(g(T_i, z_n)\) should be evaluated by integrating Eq. (5) over \(T_R\) in advance, as expressed in Eq. (7). Afterwards such gain coefficient allows determining the pulse profile at \(z_{n+1}\) by integrating Eq. (6) over interval \(\Delta z\). Besides, the pulse profile \(A(T_i, z_n)\) provides the knowledge of the local averaged power \((P_s(z_n))\), which in turn help to evaluate the \(g(T_{i+1}, z_n)\) in next cycle. We could repeat the process until the pulse reaches the end of YDF in each cycle for incorporating both roundtrip-to-roundtrips slow gain dynamics and pulse nonlinear propagation in gain fiber.

\[
\begin{align*}
\{g(T_i, z_n) &= g(T_{i-1}, z_n) + \int_{T_{i-1}}^{T_i} \frac{\partial g(T_i, z_n)}{\partial T} dT \\
A(T_i, z_{n+1}) &= A(T_i, z_n) + \int_{z_n}^{z_{n+1}} \frac{\partial A(T_i, z_n, g(T_i, z_n))}{\partial z} dz
\end{align*}
\]

The artificial SA is modeled by a simple lumped transfer function of transmittance \(T = 1 - l_0/[1 + P(t)/P_{\text{sat}}]\) with the instantaneous pulse power \(P(t)\), unsaturated loss \(l_0\) and saturation power \(P_{\text{sat}}\).

Finally, typical parameters value of intracavity elements are listed as follow:
(i) YDF: \(\gamma = 11.5\) W\(^{-1}\) km\(^{-1}\); \(\beta_2 = 26.2\) ps\(^2\)/km, \(\beta_3 = -0.0134\) ps\(^3\)/km.
(ii) SMF: \(\gamma = 5.9\) W\(^{-1}\) km\(^{-1}\); \(\beta_2 = 24.8\) ps\(^2\)/km, \(\beta_3 = -0.0233\) ps\(^3\)/km.
(iii) MF: \(\gamma = 182.2\) W\(^{-1}\) km\(^{-1}\); \(\beta_2 = -156.6\) ps\(^2\)/km, \(\beta_3 = 0.152\) ps\(^3\)/km, \(\beta_4 = 1.55 \times 10^{-4}\) ps\(^4\)/km.
(iv) SA: \(l_0 = 0.84\), \(P_{\text{sat}} = 90\) W.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It needs to be emphasized that considering the fact that, laser always radiates quasi-periodically (intuitive display refers to Fig. 3 (a) in [7]) and wavelength-shifted components whose group velocity not equal to the speed of the co-moving reference frame would drift to the edge of temporal calculation window in hundreds of roundtrips, we only focus on laser dynamics within single Q-switched envelope in the main text.

Prior to simulation, we set the initial gain to the small-signal value at all position along the YDF. An sech-shape small signal (peak power of 1 µW) plusing Gaussian background noise is fed into fiber laser initially to accelerate the convergence of the calculation. Fig. 5 shows the typical numerical results in hundreds of roundtrips.

Fig. 5 (a) shows both the numerical and experimental QS-NLP averaged spectra. Although the simulation starts at amplification of only single pulse at wavelength

\[
\text{FIG. 5. Simulation of single QS-NLP dynamics over 150 roundtrips. (a) Averaged simulation spectrum and experimental result. (b) Gain/loss level evolution over round trips, there come into being QS-NLPs when gain surpass loss [21]. (c) Simulated spatio-temporal spectral dynamics in linear scale, the red arrow indicates the initial small signal. (d) Upper panel: temporal intensity dynamics over roundtrips; lower panel: pulse peak value evolution over roundtrips.}
\]
of \( \sim 1030 \) nm, we could still observe that the simulated spectral profile fits well to the experimental one. Experimentally speaking, averaged spectra in Fig. 5 (a) always possess a sharp central peak which is rather stable no matter how to adjust intracavity polarization configuration, in other words, such QS-NLP dynamic process is robust within a wide range of laser parameters. This phenomenon is different from peak-clamping effect [42] where similar spectral peak could disappear after slight polarization adjustment [9].

Fig. 5 (b) shows the underlying dynamic evolution for both gain and loss in Q-switched envelope formation with loss counts the influence of SA and OC in Fig. 1. Considering together with Fig. 5 (d), as mentioned before, we can find that at the amplification stage the saturatable gain and loss decrease synchronously along with exponentially growth of pulse energy, as the pulses of increasing energy/power could saturate the gain and meanwhile undergo decreasing absorption when passing through SA. After certain roundtrips loss prevails over gain, hinges with pulse power and thus leads to a slow decreasing trail of Q-switched envelope.

The spectral dynamic evolution is shown in Fig. 5 (c), as the early influence of MI is not taken into account numerically, we observe no multiple spectral components in initial amplification stage but the spectrum could still broaden abruptly and then narrows down. This spectral dynamic evolution is qualitatively similar to experimental observation in Fig. 3 (c). Besides, as we only consider the artifical SA in a quite simple formula in simulation, which is insufficient for accurate exploration of other unconcerned experimental valuable parameters such as Q-switched envelope period and Q-switching stability limits. Further detailed experimental parameters access by either intracavity precise polarization management [43] or changing the laser structure [38] will be helpful for deeper exploring of the relevant underlying mechanism.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we firstly conduct both DFT single-shot measurement and numerical simulation for revealing the spatio-temporal dynamics of broadband QS-NLP. Based on a simple gain dynamics model we demonstrate that, in our highly dissipative fiber laser, the Q-switched characteristic of NLP is attributed to the combined impact of SA effect and gain dynamics (saturable gain depletes rapidly whereas recovers slowly).

The proposed convenient numerical model here we believe that not only provides essential insight into the physics and operational dynamics of experimentally realized architectures like RWs [7, 44, 45], but also allows for simple and fast exploration of various parameter regimes so as to discover new laser designs with improved performance characteristics, e.g. high peak power broadband light source [46].
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Appendix A: Gain dynamics over consecutive Q-switched envelopes

In order to provide more intuitive insight into the slow gain dynamics over much longer roundtrips than shown in Fig. 5. Here we also calculate the envelope-to-envelope gain dynamics shown in Fig. 6. We can see the pronounced dynamic evolution of slow gain as well as fast saturation absorption loss.

Considering the numerical time window spreads over just tens of ps while the wavelength-shifted components of broadband QS-NLP usually drift to the edge of the temporal window in hundreds of roundtrips due to the nonzero net intracavity dispersion. In consequence, the second envelope in Fig. 6 (b) breaks at the edge obviously. Although as numerical artifact, however, it does not make a difference to the concerned slow gain dynamics.

FIG. 6. (a) Gain/loss evolution over sequences of Q-switched envelopes. (b) Temporal QS-NLP intensity dynamics. The second envelope consisting of temporal separate components results from the deficiency of numerical simulation.
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