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Abstract. We show that for any $\alpha > 0$ the Rényi entropy of order $\alpha$ is minimized, among all symmetric log-concave random variables with fixed variance, either for a uniform distribution or for a two sided exponential distribution. The first case occurs for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha^*)$ and the second case for $\alpha \in [\alpha^*, \infty)$, where $\alpha^*$ satisfies the equation $\frac{1}{\alpha^*} \log \alpha^* = \frac{1}{2} \log 6$, that is $\alpha^* \approx 1.241$.
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1. Introduction

For a random variable $X$ with density $f$ its Rényi entropy of order $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$ is defined as

$$h_\alpha(X) = h_\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \left( \int f^\alpha(x) dx \right),$$

assuming that the integral converges, see [15]. If $\alpha \to 1$ one recovers the usual Shannon differential entropy $h(f) = h_1(f) = -\int f \log f$. Also, by taking limits one can define $h_0(f) = \log |\text{supp } f|$, where $\text{supp } f$ stand for the support of $f$ and $h_\infty(f) = -\log \|f\|_\infty$, there $\|f\|_\infty$ is the essential supremum of $f$.

It is a well known fact that for any random variable one has

$$h(X) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Var}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \log (2\pi e)$$

with equality only for Gaussian random variables, see e.g. Theorem 8.6.5 in [5]. The problem of maximizing Rényi entropy under fixed variance has been considered independently by Costa, Hero and Vignat in [4] and by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang in [10], where the authors showed, in particular, that for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$ the maximizer is of the form

$$f(x) = c_0(1 + (1 - \alpha)(c_1x)^2)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}},$$

which will be called the generalized Gaussian density. Any density satisfying $f(x) \sim x^{-3}(\log x)^{-2}$ shows that for $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{3}$ the supremum of $h_\alpha$ under fixed variance is infinite. One may also ask for reverse bounds. However, the infimum of the functional $h_\alpha$ under fixed variance is $-\infty$ as can be seen by considering $f_n(x) = \frac{2}{n} \mathbf{1}_{[1,1+n^{-1}]}(|x|)$ for which the variance stays bounded whereas $h_\alpha(f_n) \to -\infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, it is natural to restrict the problem to a certain natural class of densities, in which the Rényi entropy remains lower bounded in terms of the variance. In this context it is natural to consider the class of log-concave densities, namely densities having the form $f = e^{-V}$, where $V : \mathbb{R} \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is convex. In [13] it was proved that for any symmetric log-concave random variable one has

$$h(X) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Var}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \log 12$$
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with equality if and only if $X$ is a uniform random variable. In the present article we shall extend this result to general Rényi entropy. Namely, we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let $X$ be a symmetric log-concave random variable and $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$. Define $\alpha^*$ to be the unique solution to the equation $\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \log 6$ ($\alpha^* \approx 1.241$). Then

$$h_\alpha(X) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Var}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \log 12 \quad \text{for } \alpha \leq \alpha^*$$

and

$$h_\alpha(X) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Var}(X) + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq \alpha^*.$$  

For $\alpha < \alpha^*$ equality holds if and only if $X$ is uniform random variable on a symmetric interval, while for $\alpha > \alpha^*$ the bound is attained only for two-sided exponential distribution. When $\alpha = \alpha^*$, two previously mentioned densities are the only cases of equality.

The above theorem for $\alpha < 1$ trivially follows from the case $\alpha = 1$ as already observed in [13] (see Theorem 5 therein). This is due to the monotonicity of Rényi entropy in $\alpha$. As we can see the case $\alpha \in [1, \alpha^*]$ of Theorem 1 is a strengthening of the main result of [13], as in this case $h_\alpha(X) \leq h(X)$ and the right hand sides are the same.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we reduce Theorem 1 to the case $\alpha = \alpha^*$. In Section 3 we further simplify the problem by reducing it to simple functions via the concept of degrees of freedom. Section 4 contains the proof for these simple functions. In the last section we derive two applications of our main result.

2. Reduction to the case $\alpha = \alpha^*$

The following lemma is well known. We present its proof for completeness. The proof of point (ii) is taken from [7]. As pointed out by the authors, it can also be derived from Theorem 2 in [3] or from Theorem VII.2 in [2].

**Lemma 2.** Suppose $f$ is a probability density in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

(i) The function $p \mapsto \int f^p$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$.

(ii) If $f$ is log-concave then the function $p \mapsto p^n \int f^p$ is log-concave on $(0, \infty)$.

**Proof.** (i) This is a simple consequence of Hölder’s inequality.

(ii) Let $\psi(p) = p^n \int f^p(z)dz$. The function $f$ can be written as $f = e^{-V}$, where $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, +\infty]$ is convex. Changing variables we get $\psi(p) = \int e^{-pV(z)}dz$. For any convex $V$ the so-called perspective function $W(z, p) = pV(z)$ is convex on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$. Indeed, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $p_1, p_2 > 0$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$W(\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2, \lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2) = (\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2)V\left(\frac{\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2}{\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2}\right)$$

$$\leq \lambda p_1 V\left(\frac{z_1}{p_1}\right) + (1 - \lambda)p_2 V\left(\frac{z_2}{p_2}\right) = \lambda W(z_1, p_1) + (1 - \lambda)W(z_2, p_2).$$

Since $\psi(p) = \int e^{-W(z, p)}dz$, the assertion follows from the Prékopa’s theorem from [14] saying that a marginal of a log-concave function is again log-concave. \qed

**Remark.** The use of the term perspective function appeared in [8], however the convexity of this function was known much earlier.

The next corollary is a simple consequence of Lemma 2. The right inequality of this corollary appeared in [7], whereas the left inequality is classical.
Corollary 3. Let $f$ be a log-concave probability density in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any $p \geq q > 0$ we have

$$0 \leq h_q(f) - h_p(f) \leq n \frac{\log q}{q-1} - n \frac{\log p}{p-1}. $$

In fact the first inequality is valid without the log-concavity assumption.

Proof. To prove the first inequality we observe that due to Lemma 2 the function defined by $\phi_1(p) = (1-p)h_p(f)$ is convex. From the monotonicity of slopes of $\phi_1$ we get that $\frac{\phi_1(p) - \phi_1(1)}{p-1} \geq \frac{\phi_1(1) - \phi_1(1)}{q-1}$, which together with the fact that $\phi_1(1) = 0$ gives $h_p(f) \leq h_q(f)$.

Similarly, to prove the right inequality we note that $\phi_2(p) = n\log p + (1-p)h_p(f)$ is concave with $\phi_2(1) = 0$. Thus $\frac{\phi_2(p) - \phi_2(1)}{p-1} \leq \frac{\phi_2(q) - \phi_2(1)}{q-1}$ gives $\frac{n\log p}{p-1} - h_p(f) \leq \frac{n\log q}{q-1} - h_q(f)$, which finishes the proof. \[ \square \]

Having Corollary 3 we can easily reduce Theorem 1 to the case $\alpha = \alpha^*$. Indeed, the case $\alpha < \alpha^*$ follows from the left inequality of Corollary 3 ($h_p$ is non-increasing in $p$). The case $\alpha > \alpha^*$ is a consequence of the right inequality of the above corollary, according to which the quantity $h_\alpha(X) - \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha-1}$ is non-decreasing in $\alpha$.

3. Reduction to simple functions via degrees of freedom

The content of this section is a rather straightforward adaptation of the method from [13]. Therefore, we shall only sketch the arguments.

By a standard approximation argument it is enough to prove our inequality for functions from the set $\mathcal{F}_L$ of all continuous even log-concave probability densities supported on $[-L,L]$. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\inf \{h_{\alpha^*}(f) : f \in \mathcal{F}_L, \ Var(f) = \sigma^2 \} \geq \log \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{\log \alpha^*}{\alpha^* - 1}. $$

Take $A = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_L : \ Var(f) = \sigma^2 \}$. We shall show that $\inf_{f \in A} h_{\alpha^*}(f)$ is attained on $A$. Equivalently, since $\alpha^* > 1$ it suffices to show that $M = \sup_{f \in A} \int f^{\alpha^*}$ is attained on $A$. We first argue that this supremum is finite. This follows from the estimate $\int f^{\alpha^*} \leq 2L f(0)^{\alpha^*}$ and from the inequality $f(0) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Var(f)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma}}$, see Lemma 1 in [13]. Next, let $(f_n)$ be a sequence of functions from $A$ such that $\int f_n^{\alpha^*} \to M$. According to Lemma 2 from [13], by passing to a subsequence one can assume that $f_n \to f$ pointwise, where $f$ is some function from $A$. Since $f_n \leq f(0) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma}}$, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we get that $\int f_n^{\alpha^*} \to \int f^{\alpha^*} = M$ and therefore the supremum is attained on $A$.

Now, we say that $f \in A$ is an extremal point in $A$ if $f$ cannot be written as a convex combination of two different functions from $A$, that is, if $f = \lambda f_1 + (1-\lambda) f_2$ for some $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and $f_1, f_2 \in A$, then necessarily $f_1 = f_2$. It is easy to observe that if $f$ is not extremal, then it cannot be a maximizer of $\int f^{\alpha^*}$ on $A$. Indeed, if $f = \lambda f_1 + (1-\lambda) f_2$ for some $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and $f_1, f_2 \in A$ with $f_1 \neq f_2$, then the strict convexity of $x \to x^{\alpha^*}$ implies

$$\int f^{\alpha^*} = \int (\lambda f_1 + (1-\lambda) f_2)^{\alpha^*} < \lambda \int f_1^{\alpha^*} + (1-\lambda) \int f_2^{\alpha^*} \leq M.$$

This shows that in order to prove 1 it suffices to consider only the functions $f$ being extremal points of $A$. Finally, according to Steps III and IV of the proof of Theorem 1 from [13] these extremal points are of the form

$$f(x) = c 1_{[a,b]}(|x|) + ce^{-\gamma(|x|-a)}1_{[a,a+b]}(|x|), \quad a + b = L, \ c > 0, \ a, b, \gamma \geq 0,$$

where it is also assumed that $\int f = 1$. 
4. Proof for the case $\alpha = \alpha^*$

Due to the previous section, we can restrict ourselves to probability densities $f$ of the form

$$f(x) = c 1_{[0, a]}(|x|) + ce^{-\gamma(|x|-a)} 1_{[a, a+b]}(|x|), \quad a, b, \gamma \geq 0.$$ 

The inequality is invariant under scaling $f(x) \mapsto \lambda f(\lambda x)$ for any positive $\lambda$, so we can assume that $\gamma = 1$ (note that in the case $\gamma = 0$ we get equality). We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^\alpha = c^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[0, a]}(|x|) + e^{-\alpha x} 1_{[a, b]}(|x|) = 2c^\alpha \left( a + \frac{1 - e^{-ab}}{\alpha} \right)$$

and thus

$$h_\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left( 2c^\alpha \left( a + \frac{1 - e^{-ab}}{\alpha} \right) \right).$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Var}(f) = 2c \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 1_{[0, a]}(x) dx + 2c \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x + a)^2 e^{-x} 1_{[a, b]}(x) dx = 2c \left( \frac{a^3}{3} + \int_0^b (x + a)^2 e^{-x} dx \right),$$

so our inequality can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left( 2c^\alpha \left( a + \frac{1 - e^{-ab}}{\alpha} \right) \right) + \frac{\log \alpha^*}{1 - \alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 2c \left( \frac{a^3}{3} + \int_0^b (x + a)^2 e^{-x} dx \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log 2,$$

which is

$$\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left( 2c^\alpha \left( a \alpha^* + 1 - e^{-ab} \right) \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 2c \left( \frac{a^3}{3} + \int_0^b (x + a)^2 e^{-x} dx \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log 2.$$

The constraint $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f = 1$ gives $c = \frac{1}{2}(a+1-e^{-b})^{-1}$. After multiplying both sides by 2, exponentiating both sides and plugging the expression for $c$ in, we get the equivalent form of the inequality, $G(a, b, \alpha^*) \geq 0$, where

$$\text{(2)} \quad G(a, b, \alpha) = 2(\alpha a + 1 - e^{-ab}) \frac{2}{1 - \alpha} \left( a + 1 - e^{-b} \right)^\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha} - \left( \frac{a^3}{3} + \int_0^b (x + a)^2 e^{-x} dx \right).$$

We will also write $G(a, b) = G(a, b, \alpha^*)$.

To finish the proof we shall need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** The following holds:

(a) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} G(a, b) \geq 0$ holds for every $a, b \geq 0$,

(b) $\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} G(a, b) = 0$ for every $b \geq 0$,

(c) $\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} G(a, b) \geq 0$ for every $b \geq 0$,

(d) $\frac{\partial}{\partial b} G(a, b) \Big|_{b=0} \geq 0$ for every $b \geq 0$,

(e) $G(0, b) \geq 0$ for every $b \geq 0$.

With these claims at hand it is easy to conclude the proof. Indeed, one easily gets, one by one,

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial a^3} G(a, b) \leq 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2} G(a, b) \geq 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial a} G(a, b) \geq 0, \quad G(a, b) \geq 0, \quad b \geq 0.$$

The proof of points (d) and (e) relies on the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, where the series is convergent for every nonnegative $x$. If there exists a nonnegative integer $N$ such that $a_n \geq 0$ for $n < N$ and $a_n \leq 0$ for $n \geq N$, then $f$ changes sign on $(0, \infty)$ at most once. Moreover, if at least one coefficient $a_n$ is positive and at least one negative, then there exists $x_0$ such that $f(x) > 0$ on $[0, x_0)$ and $f(x) < 0$ on $(x_0, \infty)$. 
Proof. Clearly the function \( f(x)x^{-N} \) is nonincreasing on \((0, \infty)\), so the first claim follows. To prove the second part we observe that for small \( x \) the function \( f \) must be strictly positive and \( f(x)x^{-N} \) is strictly decreasing on \((0, \infty)\).

With this preparation we are ready to prove Lemma 4.

Proof of Lemma 4.
(a) This point is the crucial observation of the proof. It turns out that
\[
\frac{\partial^4 G(a, b, \alpha)}{\partial a^4} = 8\alpha(\alpha + 1)(3\alpha - 1)(1 + a - e^{-b})^\frac{3\alpha - 2}{\alpha - 1}(1 + a\alpha - e^{-b\alpha})^{\frac{2}{\alpha - 1}}
\times \left( \frac{e^b - \alpha e^{b\alpha} + (\alpha - 1)e^{b+b\alpha}}{(\alpha - 1)(e^b(a + 1) - 1)(e^{b\alpha}(a\alpha + 1) - 1)} \right)^4,
\]
which is nonegative for \( \alpha > \frac{1}{3} \).

(b) By a direct computation we have
\[
\frac{\partial^3 G(a, b, \alpha)}{\partial a^3} = -2 - \frac{4\alpha}{(1 - \alpha)^3}(1 + a - e^{-b})^\frac{2}{\alpha - 1}(1 + a\alpha - e^{-b\alpha})^{\frac{1 - 3\alpha}{\alpha - 1}}
\times [(\alpha + 1)(3\alpha - 1)(1 + a\alpha - e^{-b\alpha})^3 - 2\alpha^3(\alpha + 1)(1 + a - e^{-b})^3
\quad + 3\alpha(\alpha + 1)(3\alpha - 1)(1 + a - e^{-b})^2(1 + a\alpha - e^{-b\alpha})
\quad + 6\alpha(1 - 3\alpha)(1 + a - e^{-b})(1 + a\alpha - e^{-b\alpha})^2].
\]
When \( a \) tends to infinity with \( b \) fixed this converges to
\[-2 - \frac{4\alpha}{(1 - \alpha)^3}[(\alpha + 1)(3\alpha - 1)\alpha^3 - 2\alpha^3(\alpha + 1) + 3\alpha^2(\alpha + 1)(3\alpha - 1) + 6\alpha^3(1 - 3\alpha)],
\]
which is \(-2 + 12\alpha^3\alpha^{\frac{1 - 3\alpha}{\alpha - 1}}\). If \( \alpha = \alpha^*\), using equality \((\alpha^*)^{\frac{1 - 3\alpha}{\alpha - 1}} = \frac{1}{6}\), we get that this expression is equal to 0.

(c) Again a direct computation yields
\[
\frac{\partial^2 G(a, b, \alpha)}{\partial a^2} = \frac{4\alpha^2(\alpha + 1)(a - e^{-b} + 1)(1 - e^{-b}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 1}}}{(\alpha - 1)^2}(\alpha - e^{-ab}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^{-\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 1}}
\quad + \frac{4\alpha(3\alpha - 1)(a - e^{-b} + 1)(1 - e^{-b}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^{\frac{2}{\alpha - 1}}}{(\alpha - 1)^2}(\alpha - e^{-ab}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^{-\frac{2}{\alpha - 1}}
\quad + \frac{8\alpha(1 - 3\alpha)(a - e^{-b} + 1)(1 - e^{-b}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^{\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1}}}{(\alpha - 1)^2}(\alpha - e^{-ab}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^{-\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha - 1}}
\quad - 2a + 2e^{-b} - 2.
\]
As \( a \) tends to infinity, we have
\[(1 - e^{-b}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^w = 1 + w(1 - e^{-b})a^{-1} + o(a^{-1})\]
and
\[(\alpha - e^{-ab}a^{-1} + a^{-1})^w = \alpha^w + w(1 - e^{-ab})\alpha^{w-1}a^{-1} + o(a^{-1}).\]
Using these formulas together with the above expression for the second derivative easily gives
\[
\frac{\partial^2 G(a,b,\alpha)}{\partial a^2} = h_1(\alpha) \frac{1}{x} + h_2(b,\alpha) + o(a^{-1}),
\]
where
\[
h_1(\alpha) = 12\alpha^{-\frac{2}{\alpha-1}} - 2
\]
and
\[
h_2(b,\alpha) = 2(e^{-b} - 1) + \frac{4\alpha}{(\alpha - 1)^3} (2(\alpha - 1 - \alpha e^{-b} + e^{-b\alpha}) + 3(1 - e^{-b}) \alpha(\alpha - 1)).
\]
We have \( h_1(\alpha^*) = 0 \). Moreover,
\[
4\alpha^* \left( (\alpha^*)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} - (\alpha^*)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha^*}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha - 1)^3} \right) = \frac{4}{3\alpha^*(\alpha^* - 1)} (1 - e^{-b})\alpha^* - (1 - e^{-b\alpha^*})
\]
Hence,
\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{\partial^2 G(a,b,\alpha)}{\partial a^2} = h_2(b,\alpha^*) = \frac{4}{3\alpha^*(\alpha^* - 1)} (1 - e^{-b})\alpha^* - (1 - e^{-b\alpha^*})
\]
This expression is nonnegative for \( b \geq 0 \) since the function \( h_3(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \) is concave, so we have \( \frac{1-e^{-b}}{b} = \frac{h_3(b)}{h_3(\alpha^*)} = \frac{1-e^{-\alpha^*}}{\alpha^* - b} \) as \( \alpha^* > 1 \) (monotonicity of slopes).

(e) To illustrate our method, before proceeding with the proof of (d) we shall prove (e), as the idea of the proof of (d) is similar, but the details are more complicated. Our goal is to show the inequality
\[
(1 - e^{-\alpha^*}) \frac{2\alpha^*}{(1 - \alpha^*)} (1 - e^{-b}) \frac{1 - b\alpha^*}{\alpha^*} \geq 1 - \frac{b^2}{2} + 2e^{-b}.
\]
After taking the logarithm of both sides our inequality reduces to nonnegativity of
\[
\phi(b) = \frac{2}{1 - \alpha^*} \log(1 - e^{-\alpha^*}) + \frac{1 - 3\alpha^*}{1 - \alpha^*} \log(1 - e^{-b}) - \log \left(1 - \frac{b^2}{2} + 2e^{-b}\right).
\]
We have
\[
\phi'(b) = \frac{2\alpha^*}{(1 - \alpha^*)(e^\alpha - 1)} + \frac{1 - 3\alpha^*}{(1 - \alpha^*)(e^b - 1)} + \frac{b^2}{b^2 + 2b - 2e^b + 2}.
\]
It turns out that \( \phi(b) \) changes sign on \( (0,\infty) \) at most once. To show that, firstly, clear out the denominators (they have fixed sign on \( (0,\infty) \)) to obtain the expression
\[
2\alpha^*(b^2 + 2b - 2e^b + 2)(e^b - 1) + (1 - 3\alpha^*)(e^{\alpha^* - 1} - 1)(b^2 + 2b - 2e^b + 2) + b^2(1 - \alpha^*)(e^{b - 1} - (e^{\alpha^* - b} - 1)).
\]
Now we will apply Lemma 5 to (4). That expression can be rewritten as
\[
-4\alpha^* \left( \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} \right) + (6\alpha^* - 2) \left( \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^* b)^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} \right) + b^2(1 - \alpha^*) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^* b)^n}{n!} \right),
\]
so the $n$-th coefficient $a_n$ in the Taylor expansion is equal to

$$a_n = (6\alpha^* - 2) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \frac{(\alpha^*)^j}{j!(n-j)!} \right) - 4\alpha^* \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \frac{1}{j!(n-j)!} \right) + (1 - \alpha^*) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} \frac{(\alpha^*)^j}{j!(n-2-j)!} \right).$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n!} (6\alpha^* - 2)(\alpha^* + 1)^n + \frac{1 - \alpha^*}{(n-2)!} ((\alpha^* + 1)^{n-2} - 1 - (\alpha^*)^{n-2})$$

$$\leq \frac{6}{n!} (\alpha^* + 1)^n - \frac{n(n-1)}{30n!} (\alpha^* + 1)^n + \frac{8n^2}{n!} (\alpha^*)^n.$$ 

When $n \geq 17$, we have $\frac{n(n-1)}{30} > 7$ and $(\alpha^* + 1)^n \geq (\frac{8}{5})^n \geq 8n^2$, so $a_n$ is less than zero for $n \geq 17$.

It can be checked (preferably using computational software) that the rest of coefficients $a_n$ satisfy the pattern from Lemma 5 with $a_n = 0$ for $n \leq 4$, $a_n > 0$ for $n = 5, 6, 7$ and $a_n < 0$ for $n \geq 8$.

This way we have proved that $\phi'(b)$ changes sign in exactly one point $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$. Thus, $\phi$ is first increasing and then decreasing. Since $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{b \to \infty} \phi(b) = 0$, the assertion follows.

(d) We have to show that

$$\frac{1 - e^{-b}}{\alpha^* - 1} \left( 1 - e^{-b\alpha^*} \right) - \frac{1 - \alpha^*}{\alpha^*} [(3\alpha^* - 1)(1 - e^{-b\alpha^*}) - 2\alpha^*(1 - e^{-b})] \geq 1 - (b + 1)e^{-b}.$$ 

Let $\varphi_1(b)$ be the expression on the left side and $\varphi_2(b)$ on the right side. Both $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ are positive for $b > 0$, so we can take the logarithm of both sides. We will now show that $(\log(\varphi_1))' - (\log(\varphi_2))'$ changes sign at most once on $(0, \infty)$. We have

$$(\log(\varphi_1))' - (\log(\varphi_2))' = \frac{2\alpha^*}{(e^b - 1)(\alpha^* - 1)} - \frac{(\alpha^* + 1)\alpha^*}{(\alpha^* - 1)(e^{b\alpha^*} - 1)} + \frac{\alpha^*(3\alpha^* - 1)e^b - 2e^{b\alpha^*}\alpha^*}{e^b(1 - 3\alpha^*) + 2\alpha^*e^{b\alpha^*} + (\alpha^* - 1)e^{b\alpha^* + b} - e^b - b - 1}.$$ 

Multiplying the above expression by the product of denominators does not change the hypothesis, since each of the denominators is positive. After this multiplication we get the expression

$$[- (e^b - 1) (e^b - 1 - b) (\alpha^* + 1)\alpha^* + 2 (e^b - 1 - b) \alpha^* (e^{b\alpha^*} - 1) - b (e^b - 1) (\alpha^* - 1) (e^{b\alpha^*} - 1)]$$
$$\times (e^b(1 - 3\alpha^*) + 2\alpha^*e^{b\alpha^*} + (\alpha^* - 1)e^{b\alpha^* + b})$$
$$+ \alpha^*(\alpha^* - 1) (e^b - 1) (e^b - 1 - b) (e^{b\alpha^*} - 1) (e^{b(3\alpha^* - 1) - 2e^{b\alpha^*}}).$$

Let us consider the Taylor series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n b^n$ of this function (it is clear that the series converges to the function everywhere). It can be shown (again using computational software) that coefficients of this series up to order 9 are nonnegative and coefficients of order greater than 9, but lesser than 30 are negative. Now we will show negativity of coefficients of order at least 30 (our bound will be very crude, so it would not work, if we replaced 30 with lower number). Firstly we note that

$$e^b(1 - 3\alpha^*) + 2\alpha^*e^{b\alpha^*} + (\alpha^* - 1)e^{b\alpha^* + b}$$

has $n$-th Taylor coefficient equal to

$$\frac{1 - 3\alpha^* + 2(\alpha^*)^{n+1} + (\alpha^* - 1)(\alpha^* + 1)^n}{n!} \geq \frac{1 - 3\alpha^* + 2\alpha^* + \alpha^* - 1}{n!} = 0,$$

so all its coefficients are nonnegative. Thus we can change expression in square brackets to $(e^b - 1)(e^{b\alpha^* - 1})((5/2 - b)/5)$ (we discard the first term and bound from above the second and third one).
to increase every Taylor coefficient of main expression. Now we want to show the negativity of coefficients of order at least 30 for

\[(e^b-1)(e^{bα} - 1)[(5/2-b/5)(e^b(1-3α^*+2α^*e^{bα}+(-1)e^{bα+b}+α^*(α^*-1)(e^b-b-1)((3α^*-1)e^b-2e^{bα})]]\]

The expression in square brackets has \(n\)-th Taylor coefficient \(c_n\) equal to zero for \(n \in \{0, 1\}\), while for \(n \geq 2\) it is

\[c_n = \frac{5(1-3α^*)}{2n!} + \frac{3α^*-1}{5(n-1)!} + \frac{5(α^*)^{n+1}}{n!} - \frac{2(α^*)^n}{5(n-1)!} + \frac{5(α^*-1)(α^*+1)^n}{2n!} - \frac{(α^*-1)(α^*+1)^{n-1}}{5(n-1)!} + α^*(α^*-1)(3α^*-1)\frac{2^{2n-n-1}}{n!} - \frac{2α^*(α^*-1)}{n!}((α^* + 1)n - (α^*)^n - n(α^*)^{n-1}).\]

Using the bounds

\[\frac{5(1-3α^*)}{2n!} \leq 0, \quad -\frac{2(α^*)^n}{5(n-1)!} \leq 0, \quad α^*(α^*-1)(3α^*-1)\frac{2^{2n-n-1}}{n!} \leq \frac{2^n}{n!}\]

and

\[\frac{2α^*(α^*-1)}{n!}((α^*)^n+n(α^*)^{n-1}) \leq \frac{(n+1)(α^*)^n}{n!}, \quad -\frac{(α^*-1)(α^*+1)^{n-1}}{5(n-1)!} \leq -\frac{\frac{4}{5}n}{10n!}(α^*-1)(α^*+1)^n\]

we get the following upper bound for \(c_n\) for \(n \geq 2\)

\[c_n \leq \frac{(3α^*-1)}{5(n-1)!} + \frac{5(α^*)^{n+1}}{n!} + \frac{2^n}{n!} + \frac{(n+1)(α^*)^n}{n!} + \frac{(α^*+1)^n(α^*-1)(25-20α^*-4n/5)}{10n!} \leq \frac{(n+8)(α^*)^n}{n!} + \frac{n+2^n}{n!} + \frac{(α^*+1)^n(1-3n)}{200n!},\]

since \(\frac{1}{40}(α^*-1)(25-20α^*) \leq \frac{1}{200}\) and \(\frac{1}{50}(α^*-1) \geq \frac{3}{200}\). This bound works for \(n \in \{0, 1\}\), too. We have

\[(e^b-1)(e^{bα} - 1) = \sum_{n=2}^{∞} b^n\frac{(α^*+1)^n-(α^*)^n}{n!},\]

so \((e^b-1)(e^{bα} - 1)\) has nonnegative coefficients. Now we can bound the Taylor series coefficients \(d_n\) of the main expression as follows

\[d_n \leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}(k+8)(α^*)^k + k + 2^k + (α^*+1)^k \frac{1-3k}{200} \left((α^*+1)^{n-k} - (α^*)^{n-k}\right)\]

Changing the upper limit of the sum from \(n-2\) to \(n\) increases the sum for \(n \geq 30 - \text{for } k = n-1\) we have \((α^*+1)^{n-k} - (α^*)^{n-k} = 0\) and the term for \(k = n\) is surely positive for \(n \geq 30\), thus we have

\[n!d_n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(k+8)(α^*)^k + k + 2^k + (α^*+1)^k \frac{1-3k}{200} \left((α^*+1)^{n-k} - (α^*)^{n-k}\right) \leq (n+8)(2α^*+1)^n + n(α^*+2)^n + (α^*+3)^n + \frac{1}{200}(2α^*+2)^n - \frac{3n}{400}(2α^*+2)^n + \frac{3n}{200}(2α^*+1)^n + \frac{3n}{200}(α^*+2)^n,\]

where we neglected all the negative terms except for the term \(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{3k}{200}(α^*+1)^n = -\frac{3n}{400}(2α^*+2)^n\) and bounded \(k\) by \(n\) in all the positive terms (whenever \(k\) appeared linearly).
It is clear that negative term $-\frac{3n^2}{400}(2\alpha^* + 2)^n$ dominates, so $d_n$ is negative when $n$ is sufficiently large. In fact, the expression is negative for $n \geq 30$. It is not hard to prove (again by checking some concrete values numerically and using convexity arguments) that for $n \geq 30$ we have

$$n + 8 + \frac{3}{200}n < 0.104 \left(\frac{\alpha^* + 3}{2\alpha^* + 1}\right)^n, \quad (1 + \frac{3}{200})n < 0.01 \left(\frac{\alpha^* + 3}{2\alpha^* + 1}\right)^n,$$

so for $n \geq 30$ we have

$$n!d_n < 1.114(\alpha^* + 3)^n - \frac{3n - 2}{400}(2\alpha^* + 2)^n = (2\alpha^* + 2)^n \left(1.114 \left(\frac{\alpha^* + 3}{2\alpha^* + 1}\right)^n - \frac{3n - 2}{400}\right) < 0.$$

From Lemma 5 we get that $(\log(\varphi_1))' - (\log(\varphi_2))'$ on $(0, \infty)$ is first positive and then negative. This means that $(\log(\varphi_1)) - (\log(\varphi_2))$ first increasing and then decreasing. In order to prove that it is everywhere nonnegative it suffices to check that it is nonnegative when $b \to 0^+$ and $b \to \infty$. The limit when $b \to \infty$ is easily seen to be 0. To check the limit when $b \to 0^+$ it is enough check the Taylor expansion of $\phi_1(b) - \phi_2(b)$. Note that

$$\frac{\phi_1(b) - \phi_2(b)}{b^2} = \left(1 - \frac{b}{2} + O(b^2)\right)^{\frac{2\alpha^*}{\alpha}}(\alpha^* - \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}b\alpha^* + O(b^2)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha + 1}}$$

$$\times \left(3\alpha^* - \frac{\alpha^*(2 + 3\alpha^*)}{2b} + O(b^2)\right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{3} + O(b^2).$$

By using the equality $(\alpha^*)^{\frac{2}{\alpha - 1}} = \frac{1}{6}$ we see that the constant term vanishes. In fact

$$\frac{\phi_1(b) - \phi_2(b)}{b^2} = \left(1 - (\alpha^*)^{\frac{2}{\alpha - 1}}\right)b + O(b^2) = \frac{1}{6}b + O(b^2).$$

\[\square\]

5. Applications

5.1. Relative $\alpha$-entropy. Recall that if $f_X$ denotes the density of a random variable $X$ then the relative $\alpha$-entropy studied by Ashok Kumar and Sundaresan in [1] is defined as

$$I_\alpha(X||Y) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(\int \frac{f_X}{\|f_X\|_\alpha} \left(\frac{f_Y}{\|f_Y\|_\alpha}\right)^{\alpha - 1}\right)$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$, where $\|f\|_\alpha = (\int |f|^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$. We shall derive an analogue of Corollary 5 from [13]. To this end we shall need the following fact.

**Proposition 6** ([1], Corollary 13). Suppose $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$ and let $P$ be the family of probability measures such that the mean of the function $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ under them is fixed at a particular value $t$. Let the random variable $X$ have a distribution from $P$, and let $Z$ be a random variable that maximizes the Rényi entropy of order $\alpha$ over $P$. Then

$$I_\alpha(X||Z) \leq h_\alpha(Z) - h_\alpha(X).$$

Combining Proposition 6 with Theorem 1 and using expressions for the Rényi entropy and variance of a generalized Gaussian density derived in [10], one gets the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.** Suppose $\alpha > 1$. Let $X$ be a symmetric log-concave real random variable. Let $Z$ be the random variable having generalized Gaussian density with parameter $\alpha$ and satisfying $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(Z)$. Then $I_\alpha(X||Z) \leq C(\alpha)$, where

$$C(\alpha) = \log \left(2\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}(3\alpha - 1)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}(\alpha - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)\right) - \min \left(\frac{1}{2}\log 12, \frac{1}{2}\log 2 + \frac{\log \alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right).$$
Here $B(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$ stand for the Beta function.

5.2. Reverse entropy power inequality. The Rényi entropy power of order $\alpha > 0$ of a real random variable $X$ is defined as $N_\alpha(X) = \exp(2h_\alpha(X))$. If we combine our Theorem 1 with Theorem 2 from [10] we get the following sandwich bound for $\alpha > 1$ and a symmetric log-concave random variable $X$,

$$C_-(\alpha) \text{Var}(X) \leq N_\alpha(X) \leq C_+(\alpha) \text{Var}(X),$$

where

$$C_-(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 12 & \alpha \in (1, \alpha^*) \\ 2\alpha^{2-1} & \alpha \geq \alpha^* \end{cases}, \quad C_+(\alpha) = \frac{3\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 1} \left( 2 - \frac{2}{3\alpha - 1} \right)^{1-\alpha} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2.$$

Note that the case of $\alpha \in \left( \frac{1}{3}, 1 \right]$ was discussed in [13]. We point out that for the upper bound the log-concavity assumption is not needed. Nevertheless, note that for $\alpha > 1$ the so called generalized Gaussian density for which the right inequality is saturated, is symmetric and log-concave.

We can now easily derive an analogue of Corollary 6 from [13] for $\alpha > 1$.

**Corollary 8.** For $X,Y$ uncorrelated, symmetric real log-concave random variables one has

$$N_\alpha(X + Y) \leq \frac{C_+(\alpha)}{C_-(\alpha)} (N_\alpha(X) + N_\alpha(Y)).$$

**Proof.** We have

$$N_\alpha(X + Y) \leq C_+(\alpha) \text{Var}(X + Y) = C_+(\alpha) (\text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)) \leq \frac{C_+(\alpha)}{C_-(\alpha)} (N_\alpha(X) + N_\alpha(Y)).$$

More information on various forward and reverse forms of the entropy power inequality can be found in the survey article [12]. See also the recent articles [9] and [11].
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