UNIFORM APPROXIMATION OF CONTINUOUS COUPLINGS
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Abstract. We study the approximation of non-negative multi-variate couplings in the uniform norm while matching given single-variable marginal constraints.

1. Introduction

For some integer \( N \geq 2 \), let \((X_1, d_1, \mu_1), \ldots, (X_N, d_N, \mu_N)\) be probabilistic metric spaces and \((X, d, \mu)\) the product space \( X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_N \) equipped with the product measure \( \mu = \mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_N \) and the distance

\[
d(x, x') = \sum_{j=1}^{N} d_j(x_j, x'_j).
\]

We will call a function \( \rho_j \in C(X_j) \) a marginal if it is non-negative and \( \int_{X_j} \rho_j \, d\mu_j = 1 \). Given \( \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N \) marginals, we aim to study the space of continuous couplings \( \Pi(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N) \) defined as

\[
\Pi(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N) := \{ P \in C(X) \mid P \geq 0 \mid \pi_j P = \rho_j \forall j = 1, \ldots, N \},
\]

where \( \pi_j P \) denotes the \( j \)-th marginal of \( P \), obtained by integrating \( P \) with respect to all the reference measures \( \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N \) except \( \mu_j \):

\[
\pi_j P(x_j) = \int_{X_1 \times \cdots \times \hat{X}_j \times \cdots \times X_N} P(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \, d\mu_1(x_1) \cdots \hat{d}\mu_j(x_j) \cdots d\mu_N(x_N).
\]

Notice that \( \Pi(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N) \) is not empty, because the product \( \rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_N \) defined by

\[
(\rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_N)(x) := \rho_1(x_1) \cdots \rho_N(x_N)
\]

is a continuous coupling.

Continuous couplings arise as solutions of the regularized Kantorovich multi-marginal optimal transport problem

\[
\mathcal{K}_h(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N) = \inf_{P \in \Pi(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N)} \left\{ \int_X c(x) P(x) \, d\mu(x) + hF(P) \right\},
\]

where \( c: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} \), \( h > 0 \) and \( F \) is a regularizing functional, typically strictly convex. Examples include the entropic regularization (cf. \cite{6, 7, 13}), the
quadratic regularization (cf. [11, 17]) and others (cf. [12, 14]). Here, the continuous marginals $\rho_j$ play the role of a Radon-Nikodym densities with respect to the reference measures $\mu_j$.

This class of problems was introduced for computational reasons as perturbations of the Kantorovich multi-marginal optimal transport problem

$$K(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N) = \inf_{P \in \Pi(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N)} \int_X c(x)P(x)d\mu(x),$$

as strict convexity of $K_h$ provides good convergence properties for the sequence of minimizers (cf. [10, 18, 19]). Minimization problems of the form $K_h$ emerges also from the setting of Density Functional Theory (cf. [3, 5, 8, 9, 15, 16]), where the kinetic energy plays the role of the regularizing functional.

It is important to analyze the continuity properties of $K_h$ in order to apply variational tools (e.g., $\Gamma$-convergence) to show that in the limit $h \to 0$ we get convergence to $K$ in a suitable topology. This raises the following question: given a coupling $P$ and marginals $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N$ such that $\|\pi_jP - \rho_j\|$ is small, does there exist $P' \in \Pi(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N)$ such that $\|P - P'\|$ is small? In other words, can we approximate a coupling $P$ while matching a given marginal constraint?

This problem has been solved recently for the $L^2$ norm and the $W^{1,2}$ norm on the Euclidean space (see [4]), with a construction which can be generalized to every exponent $1 < p < \infty$ in view of [2]. The point of this short note is to extend this result to the uniform norm $L^\infty$ for continuous couplings on generic metric measure spaces.

The difficulty in the approximation lies in the non-negativity assumptions on the couplings: if $P'$ were allowed to be any continuous function, we could set

$$P' = P + \rho_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_N - \pi_1P \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_NP,$$

which, except for being non-negative, has the required properties (continuity and closeness to $P$).

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that $\text{spt} \mu$ is compact. Let $P$ be a coupling, and $\rho_j \in C(X_j)$ be marginals for $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\sigma(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, if $\|\pi_jP - \rho_j\|_{L^\infty(\mu_j)} < \sigma(\varepsilon)$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, N$ then there exists $P' \in \Pi(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N)$ with $\|P - P'\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} < \varepsilon$.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, where we also provide a precise expression for the threshold $\sigma(\varepsilon)$. Then, in Section 3 we will show that Theorem 1.1 is sharp when the base metric spaces are Euclidean with the Lebesgue measure, by proving the following remarks.

A. The hypothesis of compactness for the support of $\mu$ cannot be removed.

B. The continuity hypothesis on $P$ cannot be removed, even assuming all the marginals to be continuous.
C. If $P$ is Lipschitz, the expression of $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ which emerges from Section 2 is sharp.

Unfortunately, when $P$ is not Lipschitz, the threshold $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ cannot be computed exactly as a workable expression. Due to this, the sharpness of Theorem 1.1 is provided only in the Lipschitz case.
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2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to lighten the notation, for $j = 1, \ldots, N$ we introduce the spaces $\hat{X}_j := X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{j-1} \times X_{j+1} \times \cdots \times X_N$ endowed with the probability reference measure $\hat{\mu}_j := \mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{j-1} \otimes \mu_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_N$.

If $P$ is any continuous function on $X$, a modulus of continuity for $P$ is given by the expression

$$\omega(r) := \sup_{x,y \in X} \{|P(x) - P(y)| \leq r\}.$$ 

Observe that $\omega: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a non-decreasing function with $\omega(0) = 0$. We will need the inverse of the modulus of continuity given by

$$\omega^{-1}(t) = \sup_{x,y \in X} \{d(x,y) \leq t\}.$$ 

For $j = 1, \ldots, N$ we also introduce the radial maximal function $f_j: [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1]$,

$$f_j(r) := \inf_{x_j \in \text{spt} \mu_j} \mu_j(B(x_j, r)).$$

(1)

For every $j$, $f_j$ is a non-decreasing function with $f(0) = 0$, $f_j(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ if $\text{spt} \mu$ is compact.

The following result allows to control in a quantitative fashion the value of $P(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ depending on the values $\{\pi_j P(x_j)\}_{j=1}^{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $P$ be a coupling, $\omega$, $f_j$ as above. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, for every $j = 1, \ldots, N$, for $\mu_j$-a.e. $x_j \in \hat{X}_j$,

$$\pi_j P(x_j) \leq \sigma_j(\varepsilon) \implies P(y_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, y_N) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \hat{\mu}_j\text{-a.e. } y \in \hat{X}_j,$$

where

$$\sigma_j(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \sup_{\theta \in (0,1)} (1 - \theta) \prod_{k \neq j} f_k \left( \frac{\omega^{-1}(\theta \varepsilon)}{N-1} \right).$$

(2)

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \text{spt } \mu$ such that $P(x) > \varepsilon$ (if there is no such point, the thesis is trivially true) and $\theta \in (0,1)$. If $r(\varepsilon) := \omega^{-1}(\theta \varepsilon)$, we have

$$P(x'_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x'_N) > (1 - \theta) \varepsilon \quad \text{if } x'_k \in B \left( x_k, \frac{r(\varepsilon)}{N-1} \right) \text{ for } k \neq j,$$
since for such points we have
\[ d((x_1', \ldots, x_j', \ldots, x_N'), x) = \sum_{k \neq j} d_k(x'_k, x_k) < r(\varepsilon). \]

Now, using the definition (1),
\[ \pi_j P(x_j) = \int \hat{x}_j P(x_1', \ldots, x_j', \ldots, x_N') d\hat{\mu}_j(x_j') > \int \prod_{k \neq j} B(x_k, r(\varepsilon)_{N-1}) (1 - \theta)\varepsilon d\hat{\mu}_j(x_j') \]
\[ \geq \varepsilon (1 - \theta) \prod_{k \neq j} f_k \left( \frac{\omega^{-1}(\theta\varepsilon)}{N - 1} \right). \]

By passing to the supremum in $\theta$ on the right hand side we get the thesis. \( \square \)

Remark 1. Observe that $\sigma_j(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \phi_j(\varepsilon)$, where $\phi_j$ is a non-decreasing function (supremum of non-decreasing functions). If $\text{spt} \mu$ is compact, $\phi_j(\varepsilon) > 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, which implies that $\sigma$ is a positive strictly increasing function on $(0, +\infty)$. In particular, the following property holds:
\[ s \]
\[ \frac{s}{\sigma_j(s)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_j(\varepsilon)} \quad \forall \varepsilon < s. \]

Remark 2. If $\text{spt} \mu$ is compact, due to the invertibility of $\sigma_j$, an equivalent way to write the thesis of Lemma 2.1 is the following: for every $s \geq 0$,
\[ \pi_j P(x_j) \leq s \implies P(y_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, y_N) \leq \sigma_j^{-1}(s) \quad \forall y \in \hat{X}_j. \]

A preliminary step towards the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to modify only one marginal to a given target.

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that $\text{spt} \mu$ is compact. Let $P$ be a coupling and $\rho_j \in C(X_j)$ a marginal for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Then there exist constants $\kappa_j, K_j > 0$ depending on $\pi_j P$ such that, if $0 < \varepsilon < \kappa_j$ and $\|\rho_j - \pi_j P\|_{L^\infty(\mu_j)} < \sigma_j(\varepsilon)$, then there exists a coupling $P'$ such that
\[ \pi_j P' = \rho_j, \quad \pi_k P' = \pi_k P \text{ for every } k \neq j; \]
\[ \|P - P'\|_{L^\infty(\mu_j)} < K_j \varepsilon. \]

**Proof.** For simplicity of notation we will take $j = 1$ and denote $\sigma(\varepsilon) = \sigma_1(\varepsilon)$, but the argument works in the same way for every $j$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the coupling
\[ P_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{P(x) \max(0, \pi_1 P(x_1) - \sigma(\varepsilon))}{\pi_1 P(x_1)} \]
where $0/0 = 0$. Then $\pi_1 P_\varepsilon(x_1) = \max(0, \pi_1 P(x_1) - \sigma(\varepsilon))$ and $\pi_j P_\varepsilon(x_j) \leq \pi_j P$.

We have
\[ P(x) - P_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{P(x) \min(\pi_1 P(x_1), \sigma(\varepsilon))}{\pi_1 P(x_1)}. \]
By Lemma 2.1 if $\pi_1 P(x_1) < \sigma(\varepsilon)$ and $x_1 \in \text{spt} \mu_1$,
\[ |P(x_1, y) - P_\varepsilon(x_1, y)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \hat{\mu}_2\text{-a.e. } y \in \hat{X}_2; \]
on the other hand, if \( \pi_1 P(x_1) \geq \sigma(\varepsilon) \) and \( x_1 \in \text{spt} \mu_1 \), letting \( s = \sigma^{-1}(\pi_1 P(x_1)) \) in (3) and using (4),

\[
|P(x_1, y) - P_\varepsilon(x_1, y)| \leq \sigma^{-1}(\pi_1 P(x_1)) \frac{\sigma(\varepsilon)}{\pi_1 P(x_1)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall y \in X_2.
\]

All in all,

\[
\|P - P_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Let \( 2c_1 = \mu_1(\{\pi_1 P > 0\}) > 0 \), and let \( \kappa_1 \) such that \( \mu_1(\{\pi_1 P > \sigma(\varepsilon)\}) \geq c_1 \)
whenever \( \varepsilon < \kappa_1 \). For such \( \varepsilon \) we have

\[
m(\varepsilon) := \int_{X_1} P(x) - P_\varepsilon(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{X_1} \min(\pi_1 P(x_1), \sigma(\varepsilon)) d\mu_1(x_1)
\]

\[
\geq \int_{\{\pi_1 P > \sigma(\varepsilon)\}} \sigma(\varepsilon) d\mu_1(x_1) = \sigma(\varepsilon) \mu_1(\{\pi_1 P > \sigma(\varepsilon)\}) \geq c_1 \sigma(\varepsilon).
\]

Now we define the coupling

\[
P'(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = P_\varepsilon(x_1, \ldots, x_N) + \frac{\rho_1(x_1) - \pi_1 P_\varepsilon(x_1)}{m(\varepsilon)} \times \int_{X_1} (P(x_1, \ldots, x_N) - P_\varepsilon(x_1, \ldots, x_N)) d\mu_1(x_1).
\]

Since for every \( j = 2, \ldots, N \)

\[
m(\varepsilon) = \int_{X_j} \rho_j P - \pi_j P d\mu_j = \int_{X_1} P - P_\varepsilon d\mu = \int_{X_1} \rho_1 - \pi_1 P_\varepsilon d\mu_1,
\]
we have \( P' \in \Pi(\rho_1, \rho_2 P, \ldots, \rho_N P) \). Moreover, if \( \|\rho_1 - \pi_1 P\|_{L^\infty(\mu_1)} < \sigma(\varepsilon) \), we have

\[
\|\rho_1 - \pi_1 P_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mu_1)} \leq \|\rho_1 - \pi_1 P\|_{L^\infty(\mu_1)} + \|\pi_1 P - \pi_1 P_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mu_1)} < 2\sigma(\varepsilon),
\]

while clearly

\[
\left\| \int_{X_1} P(x_1, \ldots, x_N) - P_\varepsilon(x_1, \ldots, x_N) d\mu_1(x_1) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mu_1)} \leq \|P - P_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Finally, \( P' \) is a non-negative function since, if \( \pi_1 P(x_1) < \sigma(\varepsilon) \),

\[
\rho_1(x_1) - \pi_1 P_\varepsilon(x_1) = \rho_1(x_1) \geq 0,
\]
while, if \( \pi_1 P(x_1) \geq \sigma(\varepsilon) \),

\[
\rho_1(x_1) - \pi_1 P_\varepsilon(x_1) = \rho_1 - \pi_1 P(x_1) + \sigma(\varepsilon) > 0.
\]

Therefore, \( \|P' - P\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} < \|P - P_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} + \frac{2}{c_1} \varepsilon \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{c_1}\right) \varepsilon. \)

As a consequence we get the following equivalent formulation of Theorem 1.1

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that \( \text{spt} \mu \) is compact. Let \( P \) be a coupling and \( \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_N \) marginals. Then there exist constants \( \kappa, K > 0 \) depending on \( P \) such that, for every \( 0 < \varepsilon < \kappa \), if \( \|\rho_j - \pi_j P\|_{L^\infty(\mu_j)} < \sigma_j(\varepsilon) \) for all \( j \), then there exists \( P' \in C(X) \) such that

- \( \pi_j P' = \rho_j \) for every \( j = 1, \ldots, N; \)
• $\|P - P'\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} < K \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $\kappa = \min \{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N\}$, where $\kappa_j$ is given by Theorem 2.2. If $\varepsilon < \kappa$ we have a coupling $P_1$ such that $\pi_1 P_1 = \rho_1$, $\pi_j P_1 = \pi_j P$ for $j \geq 2$ and $\|P_1 - P\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} < K_1 \varepsilon$. To keep in mind that $K_1$ depends only on $\pi_1 P$, let us write it as $K_1 = K(\pi_1 P)$.

By applying Theorem 2.2 to $P_1$, we can now find a coupling $P_2$ such that $\pi_j P_2 = \rho_j$ for $j = 1, 2$, $\pi_j P_2 = \pi_j P$ for $j \geq 3$ and

$$\|P_2 - P_1\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} < K(\pi_2 P_1) \varepsilon = K(\pi_2 P) \varepsilon,$$

where we exploited the fact that $\pi_2 P_1 = \pi_2 P$.

Therefore,

$$\|P_2 - P\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} < (K(\pi_1 P) + K(\pi_2 P)) \varepsilon = (K_1 + K_2) \varepsilon.$$

By continuing in the same way we eventually get $P' \in C(X)$ such that $\pi_j P' = \rho_j$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, N$ and

$$\|P' - P\| < K \varepsilon, \quad K := \sum_{j=1}^N K_j.$$

This allows to approximate a continuous coupling in the uniform norm while matching a marginal constraint, as follows.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose that $\text{spt } \mu$ is compact. Let $P$ be a coupling and $(\rho_j^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$ sequences of marginals such that $\rho_j^n \rightarrow \pi_j P$ in $L^\infty(\mu_j)$ for every $j$. Then there exists a sequence $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of couplings such that $\pi_j P_n = \rho_n$ for every $j, n$ and $P_n \rightarrow P$ in $L^\infty(\mu)$.

Proof. Recall the invertibility of $\sigma_j$ for every $j$ (Remark 1), and define

$$\varepsilon_n = \max_{j=1, \ldots, N} \sigma_j^{-1} \left( \|\rho_j^n - \pi_j P\|_{L^\infty(\mu_j)} \right).$$

Since the $\sigma_j$’s are increasing, we have $\sigma_j(\varepsilon_n) \geq \|\rho_j^n - \pi_j P\|_{L^\infty(\mu_j)}$, hence by Theorem 2.3 we can find $P_n$ such that $\|P_n - P\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq K \varepsilon_n$. Finally,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_j^{-1}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0,$$

as wanted. \qed

3. Remarks on Theorem 1.1

As stated in the Introduction, we give in this section some remarks about the sharpness of our main result. For $b, h > 0$ we define the tent function $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of base $2b$ and height $h$ as

$$\Delta(b, h; t) = \begin{cases} h \left( 1 + \frac{t}{b} \right) & t \in [-b, 0] \\ h \left( 1 - \frac{t}{b} \right) & t \in [0, b] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(5)
A. The compactness of \( \text{spt} \mu \) is necessary. This example shows that the compactness of \( \text{spt} \mu \) is necessary. With \( N = 2 \), let \( X_1 = \mathbb{R} \), \( \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(X_1) \) not compactly supported and \( X_2 = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \) with the Lebesgue measure.

Fix a monotone sequence of points \((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that \( y_n \in \text{spt} \mu_1 \) and \( y_n \searrow +\infty \) or \( y_n \nearrow -\infty \), with \(|y_{n+1} - y_n| \geq 1\). Let \( \Delta(b, h; x) \) be the tent function defined in (5), and \( P \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1]) \) the coupling

\[
P(x_1, x_2) = c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{n}, 1; x_1 - y_n \right) \Delta \left( \frac{1}{n}, 1; x_2 \right),
\]

where \( c > 0 \) is such that \( \int_X P \, d\mu = 1 \). Observe that

\[
\pi_1 P = c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}; x_1 - y_n \right).
\]

Given \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), it is possible to take a marginal \( \rho_n \) such that \( \| \rho - \pi_1 P \|_\infty \leq \frac{c}{n} \) by letting \( \rho_n \equiv 0 \) on the interval \([y_n - \frac{1}{n}, y_n + \frac{1}{n}]\) (and adjusting it outside so that \( \int \rho_n = 1 \)). In particular, if \( P' \) is any coupling with \( \pi_1 P' = \rho_n \), we have \( P' \equiv 0 \) on the strip \([y_n - \frac{1}{n}, y_n + \frac{1}{n}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\). Using the fact that \( y_n \in \text{spt} \mu_1 \), this in turn implies

\[
\| P - P' \|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \geq \left\| P \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[y_n - \frac{1}{n}, y_n + \frac{1}{n}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} = c,
\]

which shows that the coupling \( P \) cannot be approximated as in Theorem 1.1.

The same example can be extended to every \( N \) just by considering \( N \)-variate products of tent functions on the space \( \mathbb{R} \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^{N-1} \).

B. The continuity of \( P \) is necessary. A very similar idea as in the previous example can be exploited to show that the continuity assumption on \( P \) is also necessary. On \([0, 1] \times [0, 1]\), equipped with the Lebesgue measure, one can consider the symmetric coupling

\[
P(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{2n}, 1; x_1 - \frac{3}{2n} \right) \Delta \left( \frac{1}{2n}, 1; x_2 - \frac{3}{2n} \right),
\]

which is discontinuous in \((0, 0)\), but has continuous marginals

\[
\pi_1 P(x_1) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{2n}, 1; x_1 - \frac{3}{2n} \right).
\]

Again, given \( n \), it is possible to take a continuous marginal \( \rho_n \) such that \( \| \rho_n - \pi_1 P \|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2n} \) by letting \( \rho_n \equiv 0 \) on the interval \( \left[\frac{1}{2n-1}, \frac{1}{2n}\right] \) (and adjusting it outside so that \( \int \rho_n = 1 \)). Every coupling \( P' \) with \( \pi_1 P' = \rho_n \) must be equal to zero in the strip \( \left[\frac{1}{2n-1}, \frac{1}{2n}\right] \times [0, 1] \), therefore

\[
\| P - P' \|_\infty \geq \sup \left\{ P(x) \mid x \in \left[\frac{1}{2n-1}, \frac{1}{2n}\right] \times [0, 1] \right\} = 1,
\]

which shows that the coupling \( P \) cannot be approximated as in Theorem 1.1.
As before, the same example extends to every $N$ by considering $N$-variate products of tent functions on $[0,1]^N$.

C. Sharpness of the threshold $\sigma(\epsilon)$ in Theorem 2.2. For Lipschitz couplings on an interval with the Lebesgue measure, Theorem 2.2 is sharp in the following sense: there exists a constant $C(N)$ such that, for every $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4} L$, there exist $P \in C([0,1]^N)$, $\rho_1 \in C([0,1])$ such that

(i) $P$ is $L$-Lipschitz;
(ii) $\sigma_1(\epsilon) \leq \|\rho_1 - \pi_1 P\| \leq C(N) \sigma_1(\epsilon)$;
(iii) for every $P'$ with $\pi_1 P' = \rho_1$, $\|P - P'\|_{\infty} \geq \epsilon$.

First of all observe that in this case, exploiting $\omega(r) = L r$, we have

$$\sigma(\epsilon) = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} (1 - \theta) \epsilon \left( \frac{\theta \epsilon}{L(N-1)} \right)^{N-1} = c(N) \frac{\epsilon^N}{L^N - 1},$$

where explicitly

$$c(N) = \frac{1}{(N-1)^{N-1}} \sup_{\theta \in (0,1)} (1 - \theta)^{N-1} = \frac{1}{N^N}.$$

Given $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4} L$, we let $h := \epsilon^{1/N}$ and $b := \frac{\epsilon}{L}$, and we consider $P$ defined as

$$P(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Delta \left( b, h; x_j - \frac{1}{4} \right) + Q(x),$$

where $Q$ is any $L$-Lipschitz function with spt $Q \subseteq [\frac{1}{2},1]^N$, $\int Q = 1 - (bh)^N$, and $\Delta$ is the usual tent function introduced in (5). Notice that the product of tent functions is $L$-Lipschitz, because in a hypercube of size $\frac{\epsilon}{L}$ it has a peak of height $\epsilon$. The first marginal of this coupling is

$$\pi_1 P(x_1) = (bh)^{N-1} \Delta \left( b, h; x_1 - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \pi_1 Q(x_1)$$

$$= \Delta \left( b, b^{N-1} h; x_1 - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \pi_1 Q(x_1).$$

We now take any $\rho_1$ such that spt $\rho_1 \subseteq [\frac{1}{2},1]$ with $\|\rho_1 - \pi_1 P\|_{\infty} = b^{N-1} h^N$. Crucially,

$$b^{N-1} h^N = \frac{\epsilon^N}{L^N - 1} = N^N \sigma(\epsilon),$$

hence $\rho_1$ satisfies the constraint (ii). However, for every $P'$ such that $\pi_1 P' = \rho_1$, necessarily spt $P' \subseteq [\frac{1}{2},1] \times [0,1]^{N-1}$. Hence

$$\|P - P'\|_{\infty} \geq \sup_{x \in [0,\frac{1}{2}] \times [0,1]^{N-1}} P(x) = P \left( \frac{1}{4}, \ldots, \frac{1}{4} \right) = h^N = \epsilon.$$
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