NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR EXISTENCE OF $\Gamma_n$-CONTRACTIONS AND EXAMPLES OF $\Gamma_3$-CONTRACTIONS
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ABSTRACT. The fundamental result of B. Sz. Nazy states that every contraction has a coisometric extension and a unitary dilation. The isometric dilation of a contraction on a Hilbert space motivated whether this theory can be extended sensibly to families of operators. It is natural to ask whether this idea can be generalized, where the contraction $T$ is substituted by a commuting $n$-tuples of operators $(S_1, \cdots, S_n)$ acting on some Hilbert space having $\Gamma_n$ as a spectral set. We derive the necessary conditions for the existence of a $\Gamma_n$-isometric dilation for $\Gamma_n$-contractions. Also we discuss an example of a $\Gamma_3$-contraction $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ acting on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, which has a $\Gamma_3$-isometric dilation, but it fails to satisfy the following condition:

$$E_1^*E_1 - E_1E_1^* = E_2^*E_2 - E_2E_2^*,$$

where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are the fundamental operators of $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$, $(S_1, S_2)$ is a pair of commuting contractions and $S_3$ is a partial isometry. Thus, the set of sufficient conditions for the existence of a $\Gamma_3$-isometric dilation breaks down, in general, to be necessary, even when the $\Gamma_3$-contraction $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ has the special structure as described above.

1. Introduction

For $n \geq 2$, let us consider the symmetrization map $s : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by

$$s(z) = (s_1(z), \ldots, s_n(z)), \quad z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $s_i(z) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_i \leq n} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_i}$ and $s_0 = 1$. The image $\Gamma_n := s(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is called a closed symmetrized polydisc. We can manifest that the set $\Gamma_n$ is not convex but it is polynomially convex [39]. The open symmetrized polydisc is the set $\mathbb{G}_n := s(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and its distinguished boundary $b\Gamma_n$ can be expressed as $s(\mathbb{T}^n)$, the image of the $n$-torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ under the map $s$ [23].

Let $O(\Omega)$ denote the algebra of holomorphic functions on some neighbourhood of the compact set $\Omega$ and $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all bounded operators acting on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. A compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is said to be a spectral set for a commuting $m$-tuples of operators $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_m)$ if $\sigma(T) \subseteq \Omega$ and the homomorphism $\rho_T : O(\Omega) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is contractive. The concepts of spectral set for an operator on an underlying compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ was discovered by Von Neumann in a intricate way. The following theorem says that for any contraction, the closed unit disc is a spectral set.

**Theorem 1.1.** [34, Chapter 1, Corollary 1.2] Suppose $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable complex Hilbert space. Then

$$\|p(T)\| \leq \|p\|_{\infty, \mathbb{D}} := \sup\{|p(z)| : |z| < 1\}$$

if and only if $\|T\| \leq 1$.

Dilations in operator theory are a technique of characterizing a given operator as the restriction of well comprehended operator, action on a bigger Hilbert space, to the original space. Hence the
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operator on a bigger Hilbert space is named as a dilation of the original operator. The subsequent theorem is a slight refined version of Sz.-Nagy dilation theorem \cite{34} Chapter 1, Theorem 1.1] which says that every contractions has a co-isometric extension and an unitary dilation.

**Theorem 1.2.** \cite{34} Chapter 4, Theorem 4.3] Suppose $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $T$ has a unitary (power) dilation if and only if there exists a unitary operator $U$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{H}}p(U)|_{\mathcal{H}} = p(T),$$

for all polynomials $p$.

Schaffer had constructed the existence of such unitary dilation for a given contraction $T$. Obviously, the von Neumann inequality ensued from the existence of a power dilation via the spectral theorem for unitary operators.

Let $g = (g_{ij})$ be a matrix valued polynomial on $\Omega$, we call $\Omega$ as a complete spectral set (complete $\Omega$-contraction) for $T$, if $\|g(T)\| \leq \|g\|_{\infty,\Omega}$ for all $g \in A \otimes \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$, $k \geq 1$. We will say that a domain $\Omega$ has the property $P$ if the following holds: if $\Omega$ is a spectral set for a commuting $m$-tuples of operators $T$, then it is a complete spectral set for $T$. A commuting $m$-tuples of operators $T$ with $\Omega$ as a spectral set, have a $\partial \Omega$ normal dilation if there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ as a subspace and a commuting $m$-tuples of normal operators $N = (N_1, \ldots, N_m)$ on $\mathcal{K}$ with $\sigma(N) \subseteq \partial \Omega$ such that $P_{\mathcal{H}}g(N)|_{\mathcal{H}} = g(T)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$. In 1969, Arveson \cite{1} showed that a commuting $m$-tuples of operators $T$ having $\Omega$ as a spectral set for $T$, admits a $\partial \Omega$ normal dilation if and only if it satisfies the property $P$.

In 1984, J. Agler \cite{3} showed that annulus has the property $P$. Recently, M. Dristchell and S. McCullough \cite{22} proved that for a domain of connectivity $n \geq 2$ does not satisfy the property $P$. In the multi-variable context symmetrized bi-disc and bi-disc due to Agler and Young \cite{7} and Ando \cite{34} respectively, have the property $P$. The first counter example in the multi-variable contest was given by Parrott\cite{35} which is for $\mathbb{D}^n$ for $n > 2$. As a result, it is natural to ask if contractive linear maps on a (finite dimensional) normed linear space are necessarily completely contractive. Using Parrott like homomorphisms G.Misra\cite{27, 28}, V. Paulsen \cite{35}, E. Ricard \cite{33} proved that if $\Omega$ is any ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$, $m \geq 3$, then $\Omega$ can not have this property $P$. It was shown in \cite{26} that if $B_1$ and $B_2$ are not simultaneously diagonalizable via unitary, then $\Omega_B := \{(z_1, z_2) : \|z_1B_1 + z_2B_2\|_{\text{op}} < 1\}$, does not have property $P$, where $B = (B_1, B_2)$ in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $B_1$ and $B_2$ are independent.

We will proclaim a commuting $n$-tuple of bounded operators $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ as a $\Gamma_n$-contraction if $\Gamma_n$ is the spectral set. The closed symmetrized polydisc $\Gamma_n$ is called a complete spectral set (complete $\Gamma_n$-contraction) for $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ if

$$\|g(S_1, \ldots, S_n)\| \leq \|g\|_{\infty,\Gamma_n} \text{ for all } g \in A \otimes \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}), k \geq 1,$$

where $g = (g_{ij})$ is a matrix valued polynomial defined on $\Gamma_n$ and

$$\|g\|_{\infty,\Gamma_n} = \sup\{\|g(z)\|_{\text{op}} : z \in \Gamma_n\}.$$  

Now we recollect the definitions of $\Gamma_n$-unitary, $\Gamma_n$-isometry and pure $\Gamma_n$-isometry from \cite{17}.

**Definition 1.3.** Suppose $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is a commuting $n$-tuple of operators acting on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We call $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is

1. a $\Gamma_n$-unitary if the joint spectrum $\sigma(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \subseteq b\mathcal{L}_n$ and $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ are normal operators on $\mathcal{H}$.
2. a $\Gamma_n$-isometry if there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a $\Gamma_n$-unitary $(\tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_n)$ acting on $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{H}$ is a common invariant subspace for $\tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_n$ and $S_i = \tilde{S}_i|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.
3. a $\Gamma_n$-co-isometry if $(S^*_1, \ldots, S^*_n)$ is a $\Gamma_n$-isometry.
4. a pure $\Gamma_n$-isometry if $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is a $\Gamma_n$-isometry and $S_n$ is a pure isometry.
In section 2, we prove the necessary conditions for existence of a $\Gamma_n$-isometric dilation of $\Gamma_n$-contractions. In section 3, we consider the $\Gamma_3$-contractions with some special structure. We also construct an example of $\Gamma_3$-contraction which does have $\Gamma_3$-isometric dilation, but at the same time it would not satisfy the following condition:

$$E_1^*E_1 - E_1E_1^* = E_2^*E_2 - E_2E_2^*,$$

where $E_1$ and $E_2$ are the fundamental operators of $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$. Therefore, the set of sufficient conditions which is described in Theorem 2.2 fail, in general, to be necessary. Still, now, we are not able to produce an example of $\Gamma_n$-contraction, which fails to satisfy one of these necessary conditions. However, the existence of rational dilation for a $\Gamma_n$-contraction for $n \geq 3$, is still an open question.

2. $\Gamma_n$-isometric dilation for $\Gamma_n$-contractions: necessary and sufficient conditions:

We begin this section with some basic terminologies that we need further. In this way we are going to recollect few definitions of spectrum, spectral radius and numerical radius of an operator. Assume that $T$ is a bounded linear operator acting on a Hilbert space $H$. Then spectrum of $T$ is denoted by $\sigma(T)$ and has the succeeding identification

$$\sigma(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible} \}.$$ 

Also the numerical radius of a bounded operator $T$ on some Hilbert space $H$ explicated as

$$\omega(T) = \sup\{|Ax, x| : \|x\| = 1\}.$$ 

An easy calculation boils up an inequality: $r(A) \leq \omega(A)$, where $r(A) = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|$ is popularly known as spectral radius. Let $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ with $\|S_n\| \leq 1$, be a commuting $n$-tuple of operators and $D_{S_n} = (I - S_n^*S_n)^{1/2}$ be the defect operator of $S_n$ and $D_{S_n}^\ast = \overline{\text{Ran}D_{S_n}}$ be the closure of the range of $D_{S_n}$. We now look at the succeeding operator equations

$$S_i - S_i^*S_n = D_{S_n}E_iD_{S_n} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{n-i}S_n = D_{S_n}E_{n-i}D_{S_n} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, (n - 1). \quad (2.1)$$

These equations are called the fundamental equations and the operators $E_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, (n - 1)$ are said to be the fundamental operators for $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$. The following theorem tells the existence and uniqueness of the fundamental operators $E_i$'s for $\Gamma_n$-contractions of $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$.

**Theorem 2.1. [29] Theorem 4.4** For $n \geq 2$, suppose $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is a $\Gamma_n$-contraction acting on a Hilbert space $H$. Then there are operators $E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1} \in B(D_{S_n})$ which are unique and satisfies (2.1). Furthermore, $\omega(E_i + E_{n-i}z) \leq (n-1) + (n-1)$ for all $z \in T$.

For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, (n-1)$ we define $k(i) = \binom{n-1}{i} + \binom{n-1}{n-i}$. and operator pencil $\Phi_1^{(i)}$ and $\Phi_2^{(i)}$ for a commuting $n$-tuples of bounded operator $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ as

$$\Phi_1^{(i)}(\alpha^iS_1, \alpha^{n-i}S_{n-i}, \alpha^nS_n) = k(i)^2 \left(1 - |\alpha^nS_n|^2\right) + \left(|\alpha^iS_1|^2 - |\alpha^{n-i}S_{n-i}|^2\right)$$

$$-k(i)\alpha^i \left( S_n - |\alpha|^{2n-2i}S_{n-i}^*S_n \right) - k(i)\alpha^{n-i} \left( S_{n-i} - |\alpha|^{2n-i}S_n^*S_n \right)$$

and

$$\Phi_2^{(i)}(\alpha^iS_1, \alpha^{n-i}S_{n-i}, \alpha^nS_n) = k(i)^2 \left(1 - |\alpha^nS_n|^2\right) + \left(|\alpha^{n-i}S_{n-i}|^2 - |\alpha^iS_1|^2\right)$$

$$-k(i)\alpha^{n-i} \left( S_{n-i} - |\alpha|^{2n-i}S_n^*S_n \right) - k(i)\alpha^i \left( S_n^* - |\alpha|^{2n-2i}S_{n-i}^*S_n \right).$$

These operator pencils are important tool, used for figuring out the structure of $\Gamma_n$- contraction. The succeeding theorem will give a new description of $\Gamma_n$-isometry in terms of these operator pencils, which were obtained in [17] Theorem 4.12 and [29] Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ are commuting operators acting on a Hilbert space $H$ and $\gamma_i = \frac{n-i}{n}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is a $\Gamma_n$-isometry;
2. $(\gamma_1S_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}S_{n-1})$ is $\Gamma_{n-1}$-contraction, $S_i = S_{n-i}^*S_n$ and $S_n$ is an isometry;
(3) (Wold-Decomposition): there exist an orthogonal decomposition \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \) into common invariant subspaces of \( S_1, \ldots, S_n \) such that \((S_1 | \mathcal{H}_2, \ldots, S_n | \mathcal{H}_2)\) is a pure \( \Gamma_n \)-isometry and \((S_1 | \mathcal{H}_1, \ldots, S_n | \mathcal{H}_1)\) is a \( \Gamma_n \)-unitary;

(4) \( S_n \) is an isometry and \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\) is a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction;

(5) \((\gamma_1 S_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} S_{n-1})\) is a \( \Gamma_{n-1} \)-contraction and

\[
\Phi_1^{(i)}(\beta_i S_i, \beta_i^{-1} S_{n-i}, \beta_i^n S_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_2^{(i)}(\beta_i S_i, \beta_i^{-1} S_{n-i}, \beta_i^n S_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \beta_i \in \mathbb{T} \quad \text{and} \quad i = 1, \ldots, (n-1);
\]

Furthermore, if \( r(S_i) < (n^{-1})^i + (n^{-1})^{n-i} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, (n-1) \), then all of the above are equivalent to:

(6) \((\gamma_1 S_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} S_{n-1})\) is a \( \Gamma_{n-1} \)-contraction along with \((k(i) \beta_i^n S_i - S_{n-i})(k(i)I - \beta_i S_i)^{-1}\) and \((k(i) \beta_i^n S_i - S_{n-i})(k(i)I - \beta_i^{-1} S_{n-i})^{-1}\) are isometries for \( i = 1, \ldots, (n-1) \) with \( k(i) = \binom{n-1}{i} + \binom{n-1}{n-i} \) and for all \( \beta_i \in \mathbb{T} \).

2.1. Necessary conditions for existence of a \( \Gamma_n \)-isometry:

We now define \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric dilation of \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction.

**Definition 2.3.** A commuting \( n \)-tuple of operators \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) acting on a Hilbert space \( K \supseteq \mathcal{H} \) is called a \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric dilation of a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\) acting on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), if it has the following properties:

- \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) is \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric;
- \( P_\mathcal{H} V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n} |_{\mathcal{H}} = S_{11}^{m_1} \cdots S_{nn}^{m_n} \), for all \( m_1, \ldots, m_n, m_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \).

In the same way, we can define \( \Gamma_n \)-unitary dilation of a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) be a \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric dilation of a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\) acting on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \). Then \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) is minimal \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric dilation of \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\).

**Proof.** Since \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) is a \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric dilation of \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\) and

\[
K_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n} h : h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}, m_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\},
\]

Clearly, \( K_0 \) is an invariant subspace of \( V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n} \) for any non-negative integers \( m_1, \ldots, m_n \). For \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), set \( V_{ii} = V_i |_{K_0} \). Then one can easily verify that

\[
K_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{1n}^{m_n} h : h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}, m_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\},
\]

which implies that for all \( m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}, m_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), we get

\[
P_\mathcal{H}(V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n})h = P_\mathcal{H}(V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n})h \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

\((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) is obtained from the restriction of a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) to a common invariant subspace \( K_0 \), therefore, \((V_1, \ldots, V_{1n})\) is a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction. Since \( V_{1n} = V_n |_{K_0} \) and \( V_n \) is isometry, which implies that \( V_{1n} \) is isometry. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, \((V_1, \ldots, V_{1n})\) is a \( \Gamma_n \)-isometry. Thus, \((V_1, \ldots, V_{1n})\) is a minimal \( \Gamma_n \)-isometry of \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\). \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.5.** A commuting \( n \)-tuple of operators \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) acting on Hilbert space \( K \) is minimal \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric dilation of a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\) if and only if \((V_1^*, \ldots, V_n^*)\) is a \( \Gamma_n \)-coisometric extension of \((S_1^*, \ldots, S_n^*)\).

**Proof.** Since \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) is minimal \( \Gamma_n \)-isometric dilation of a \( \Gamma_n \)-contraction \((S_1, \ldots, S_n)\) therefore

\[
K = \overline{\text{span}}\{V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n} h : h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}.
\]

Now, we will prove that \( S_i P_\mathcal{H} = P_\mathcal{H} V_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), where \( P_\mathcal{H} : K \to \mathcal{H} \) is orthogonal projection onto \( \mathcal{H} \). Hence, for \( h \in \mathcal{H} \), we have

\[
S_i P_\mathcal{H}(V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n})h = S_i(S_{11}^{m_1} \cdots S_{nn}^{m_n})h = (S_{11}^{m_1} \cdots S_{i}^{m_{i+1}} \cdots S_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} S_{nn}^{m_n})h = \]

\[
P_\mathcal{H}(V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{i}^{m_{i+1}} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n})h = P_\mathcal{H} V_i(V_{11}^{m_1} \cdots V_{nn}^{m_n})h.
\]
which gives $S_i P_H = P_H V_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Also, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we obtain
\[
\langle S_i^* h, k \rangle = \langle P_H S_i^* h, k \rangle = \langle S_i^* h, P_H k \rangle = \langle h, S_i P_H k \rangle = \langle h, P_H V_i k \rangle = \langle V_i^* h, k \rangle,
\]
which implies that $S_i^* = V_i^* |_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

It is also easy to verify the converse part. This completes the proof.

The next Proposition gives the different characterization of the fundamental operators $E_i$’s of a $\Gamma_n$-contraction $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ which are described in [29, Theorem 2.1] and [33, Lemma 4.1].

**Proposition 2.6.** The fundamental operators $E_i$ of a $\Gamma_n$-contraction $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is the unique bounded linear operator $X_i$ on $D_{S_n}$ that satisfies the following operator equation:
\[
D_{S_n} S_i = X_i D_{S_n} + X_{n-i}^* D_{S_n} S_n \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, (n-1).
\]

We will now prove the necessary conditions for existence of a $\Gamma_n$-isometry of $\Gamma_n$-contractions $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ be a $\Gamma_n$-contractions with fundamental operators $E_i \in \mathcal{B}(D_{S_n})$ and $\gamma_i = \frac{n-i}{n}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Each of the following conditions is necessary condition for existence of a $\Gamma_n$-isometry for $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$:

1. The $(n-1)$-tuple $(\gamma_1 E_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} E_{n-1})$ has joint dilation to a commuting $(n-1)$-tuple of subnormal operators $(\gamma_1 \tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} \tilde{S}_{n-1})$, that is, there exists an isometry $\Theta$ of $D_{S_n}$ into a large Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\gamma_i E_i = \Theta^* \gamma_i \tilde{S}_i \Theta$ for $i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)$, where $(\gamma_1 \tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} \tilde{S}_{n-1})$ can be extended to a commuting $(n-1)$-tuple of normal operators $(\gamma U_1, \ldots, \gamma U_{n-1})$ whose joint spectrum $\sigma(\gamma U_1, \ldots, \gamma U_{n-1})$ lies in $\Gamma_{n-1}$.

2. For $i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)$, $(E_i^* D_{S_n} S_i - E_{n-i}^* D_{S_n} S_{n-i})|_{\ker D_{S_n}} = 0$.

3. For $i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)$, $(E_i^* E_{n-i} - E_{n-i}^* E_i) D_{S_n}|_{\ker D_{S_n}} = 0$.

**Proof.** Suppose $(V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ on $\mathcal{K}$ is a $\Gamma_n$-isometry of a $\Gamma_n$ contraction $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$, therefore by Proposition 2.4 we have
\[
\mathcal{K} = \text{span}\{V_1^{m_1} \ldots V_n^{m_n} h : h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}.
\]

Also, from Proposition 2.5, we observe that
\[
(V_i^*, \ldots, V_n^*) |_{\mathcal{H}} = (S_i^*, \ldots, S_n^*).
\]

The $2 \times 2$ block operator matrix representation corresponding to the decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$ are of the form
\[
V_i = \begin{pmatrix} S_i & 0 \\ C_i & \tilde{S}_i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Since $V_n$ is isometry, the entries $S_n, C_n$ and $\tilde{S}_n$ of its $2 \times 2$ block operator matrix satisfy the following operator identities:
\[
S_n^* S_n + C_n^* C_n = I_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}_n^* \tilde{S}_n = I_{\mathcal{H}}.
\]

From (2.4) we conclude that there exist an isometry $\Theta : D_{S_n} \to \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$ such that
\[
\Theta D_{S_n} = C_n.
\]

As $(V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ is a $\Gamma_n$-isometry, from part (2) of Theorem 2.2 we have $V_i = V_{n-i}^* V_n$ for $i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)$. Thus, from (2.3) we get
\[
\begin{pmatrix} S_i & 0 \\ C_i & \tilde{S}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_n & C_{n-i}^* \\ C_{n-i} & \tilde{S}_{n-i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_n & 0 \\ C_n & \tilde{S}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{n-i}^* S_n + C_{n-i}^* C_n & C_{n-i}^* \tilde{S}_n \\ \tilde{S}_{n-i} C_n & \tilde{S}_{n-i} \tilde{S}_n \end{pmatrix},
\]

which implies that
\[
S_i - S_{n-i}^* S_n = C_{n-i}^* C_n, \quad C_{n-i}^* \tilde{S}_n = 0, \quad C_i = \tilde{S}_{n-i} C_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}_i = \tilde{S}_{n-i} \tilde{S}_n \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, (n-1).
\]
From (2.6) and (2.5), it follows immediately that
\[ D_{S_n} E_i D_{S_n} = S_i - S_{n-i}^* S_n = C_{n-i}^* C_n = C_n^* \tilde{S}_i C_n = D_{S_n} \Theta^* \tilde{S}_i \Theta D_{S_n} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, (n-1). \]

By uniqueness of the fundamental operators from, we obtain
\[ E_i = \Theta^* \tilde{S}_i \Theta \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, (n-1). \]

We will use Theorem 2.2 to show that \((\tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_n)\) is a \(\Gamma_n\)-isometry. From (2.4) and (2.6), we get
\[ \tilde{S}_i = \tilde{S}_{n-i}^* \tilde{S}_n \text{ and } \tilde{S}_n^* \tilde{S}_n = I_{\mathcal{H}}. \]

Hence it is enough to show that \((\gamma_1 \tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} \tilde{S}_{n-1})\) is a \(\Gamma_{n-1}\)-contraction. Since \(\Gamma_{n-1}\) is a polynomially convex, therefore to show \((\gamma_1 \tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} \tilde{S}_{n-1})\) is a \(\Gamma_{n-1}\)-contraction, it suffices to work with polynomials rather than the full algebra \(\mathcal{O}(\Gamma_{n-1})\) (see Oka-Weil Approximation Theorem [23, Page 84]). As \((V_1, \ldots, V_n)\) is a \(\Gamma_n\)-isometry, from part (2) of Theorem (2.2), we have \((\gamma_1 V_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} V_{n-1})\) is a \(\Gamma_{n-1}\)-contraction. Therefore, for any polynomial \(p\), we get
\[ \|p(\gamma_1 \tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} \tilde{S}_{n-1})\| = \|p \circ \Theta p(\gamma_1 V_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} V_{n-1})\|_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{N}} \]
\[ \leq \|p(\gamma_1 V_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} V_{n-1})\| \]
\[ \leq \|p\|_{\infty, \Gamma_{n-1}}, \]

which implies that \((\gamma_1 \tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} \tilde{S}_{n-1})\) is a \(\Gamma_{n-1}\)-contraction. This shows that \((\tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_n)\) is a \(\Gamma_n\)-isometry. Therefore, \((\tilde{S}_1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_n)\) has a \(\Gamma_n\)-unitary extension, say \((U_1, \ldots, U_n)\). By definition of \(\Gamma_n\)-unitary, the joint spectrum \(\sigma(U_1, \ldots, U_n)\) lies in the distinguish boundary of \(\Gamma_n\) and \(U_1, \ldots, U_n\) are normal operators. Note that \((\gamma_1 U_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} U_{n-1})\) is a \(\Gamma_{n-1}\)-contraction, because \((U_1, \ldots, U_n)\) is a \(\Gamma_n\)-unitary. Therefore, the joint spectrum of commuting \((n-1)\) normal operators \((\gamma_1 U_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1} U_{n-1})\) is contained in \(\Gamma_{n-1}\), and item (1) follows.

Since \(V_i \text{ and } V_{n-i}\) commute, by equating (2.1)- entry of \(V_i V_{n-i}\) with the (2,1)- entry of \(V_{n-i} V_i\), we observe that
\[ C_i S_{n-i} + \tilde{S}_i C_{n-i} = C_{n-i} S_i + \tilde{S}_{n-i} C_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, (n-1). \]  
(2.7)

Hence from (2.6) and (2.7), we have
\[ S_i^* C_n S_i - \tilde{S}_{n-i}^* C_n S_{n-i} = (\tilde{S}_i \tilde{S}_n^* - \tilde{S}_{n-i} \tilde{S}_{n-i}^*) C_n \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, (n-1). \]  
(2.8)

By multiplying \(\Theta^*\) on the left side of (2.8) and using (2.5) and the formulas for \(E_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)\), we get
\[ E_i^* D_{S_n} S_i - E_{n-i}^* D_{S_n} S_{n-i} = \Theta^* (\tilde{S}_i \tilde{S}_n^* - \tilde{S}_{n-i} \tilde{S}_{n-i}^*) \Theta D_{S_n} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, (n-1). \]

This completes the proof of part (2).

We use Proposition (2.6) to prove the part (3). Note that for \(i = 1, \ldots, (n-1)\)
\[ \Theta^* (\tilde{S}_i \tilde{S}_n^* - \tilde{S}_{n-i} \tilde{S}_{n-i}^*) \Theta D_{S_n} = E_i^* D_{S_n} S_i - E_{n-i}^* D_{S_n} S_{n-i} \]
\[ = E_i^* (E_i D_{S_n} + E_{n-i}^* D_{S_n} S_n) - E_{n-i} (E_{n-i} D_{S_n} + E_i^* D_{S_n} S_n) \]
\[ = (E_i^* E_i - E_{n-i}^* E_{n-i}) D_{S_n} + (E_i^* E_{n-i}^* - E_{n-i} E_i^*) D_{S_n} S_n. \]

This completes the proof of part (3). Also, from above observations, we conclude that the part (2) and part (3) are equivalent.

\[ \square \]

2.2. Sufficient conditions for existence of a \(\Gamma_n\)-isometry:
The following theorem gives the sufficient conditions for unitary dilation of $\Gamma_n$-contractions.

**Theorem 2.8.** [29 Theorem 6.6] Suppose $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is a $\Gamma_n$-contraction acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The commuting $(n-1)$-tuple of fundamental operators $(E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1})$ and $(F_1, \ldots, F_{n-1})$ of $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ and $(S_1^*, \ldots, S_n^*)$ respectively obey the conditions $E_iE_{n-k} - E_kE_{n-i} = E_{n-k}E_i - E_{n-i}E_k$ and $F_iF_{n-k} - F_kF_{n-i} = F_{n-k}F_i - F_{n-i}F_k$. Let $K = \oplus D_S \oplus D_{S_n} \oplus D_{S_n} \oplus H \oplus D_{S_n} \oplus D_{S_n} \oplus D_{S_n} \oplus \ldots$ and let $(R_1, \ldots, R_{n-1}, U)$ be a $\Gamma_n$-contraction defined on $K$ by

\[ R_i = \begin{bmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (2.9) \]

and

\[ U = \begin{bmatrix}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P & D_{S_n} \ominus S_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (2.10) \]

Then $(R_1, \ldots, R_{n-1}, U)$ is a minimal $\Gamma_n$-unitary dilation of $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$.

3. $\Gamma_3$-contractions with some special forms:

Consider $(T_1, T_2, V_3)$ is a commuting 3-tuple of bounded operators on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, where $T_1$ and $T_2$ are contractions on $\mathcal{H}$ and $V_3$ is an isometry on $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose $T_1, T_2$ and $V_3$ are of the above form, then we will show that there is a $\Gamma_3$-contraction of the form $(T_1 + T_2 + V_3, T_1T_2 + T_2V_3 + V_3T_1, T_1T_2V_3)$ which always dilate.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose $T_1, T_2$ and $V_3$ as above. Then $(V_1, V_2, V_3 \oplus I_{K \ominus H})$ is an isometric dilation of $(T_1, T_2, V_3)$, where $(V_1, V_2)$ is the isometric dilation of $(T_1, T_2)$ on $K$ and $I_{K \ominus H}$ is the identity operator on $K \ominus H$.

**Proof.** Since $(T_1, T_2)$ are commuting contractions on $\mathcal{H}$, by Ando’s theorem, there exist a Hilbert space $K$ containing $\mathcal{H}$ as a subspace such that $T_i = P_\mathcal{H}V_i|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $i = 1, 2$. As $V_1, V_2$ and $V_3 \oplus I_{K \ominus H}$ are isometries, we conclude that $(V_1, V_2, V_3 \oplus I_{K \ominus H})$ is an isometric dilation of $(T_1, T_2, V_3)$. This completes the proof. \[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ be a commuting 3-tuple of isometries on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then

\[ \left( \frac{S_1 = V_1 + V_2 + V_3}{3}, \frac{S_2 = V_1V_2 + V_2V_3 + V_3V_1}{3}, \frac{S_3 = V_1V_2V_3}{3} \right) \]

is $\Gamma_3$-isometry.

**Proof.** Clearly, $S_3$ is an isometry as $V_1, V_2$ and $V_3$ are isometries. It is easy to check that $S_1 = S_2^*S_3$ and $S_2 = S_1^*S_3$. Now all we require to show that $(\frac{2}{3}S_1, \frac{1}{3}S_2)$ is a $\Gamma_2$-contraction which is equivalent to

\[ (2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1)^* (2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1) - (\frac{2}{3}S_2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1)^* (\frac{2}{3}S_2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1) \geq 0. \]

Note that

\[ A = (2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1)^* (2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1) \]

\[ = \frac{4}{91} (84I - 9V_1 - 9V_2 - 9V_3 - 9V_1^* - 9V_2^* - 9V_3^* - V_2^*V_1 + V_3^*V_1 + V_1^*V_2 + V_3^*V_2 + V_1^*V_3 + V_2^*V_3) \quad (3.1) \]
Let $B = (2\frac{1}{3}S_2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1)(2\frac{1}{3}S_2 - \frac{2}{3}S_1)$
\[
= \frac{4}{81}(6I - 2V_1 - 2V_2 - 2V_3 - 2V_1^* - 2V_2^* - 2V_3^* + 2V_3'V_3 + 2V_3^*V_2 + 2V_3^*V_2 + 2V_3'^*V_1 + 2V_3'^*V_2 + 2V_3'^*V_3 - C),
\]
where $C = V_2^*V_1V_3 + V_3^*V_1^*V_2 + V_1^*V_2V_3 + V_3^*V_2V_3 + V_3V_1V_2 + V_2V_2V_3$. From (3.1) and (3.2), we have
\[
A - B = \frac{4}{81}(7I - 7(V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_1^* + V_2^* + V_3^*) - 2V_1V_1 - 2V_1^*V_1 - V_1^*V_2 - V_2^*V_2 - V_1^*V_3 - V_2^*V_3 + V_2^*V_3 + V_3^*V_3 + V_1^*V_3 + V_2^*V_1 + V_3^*V_2 + V_2^*V_1^*V_3)
\[
= \frac{4}{81}(24I + 7(I - V_1)^*(I - V_1) + 7(I - V_2)^*(I - V_2) + 7(I - V_3)^*(I - V_3) + (I - V_1^*V_1)^*(I - V_2^*V_2)
+ (I - V_3^*V_1)(I - V_3^*V_3) + (I - V_2^*V_3)^*(I + V_2^*V_1^*V_3)
+ (I + V_1^*V_1)(I + V_1^*V_2V_3) + (I + V_3^*V_1)(I + V_3^*V_2V_3) + (I + V_3^*V_2V_3)(I + V_3^*V_1^*V_3) \geq 0.
\]
Thus, from item 2 of the Theorem 2.2, we conclude that $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ is a $\Gamma_3$-isometry.

\[\Box\]

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose $(T_1, T_2, V_3)$ is a commuting 3-tuple of operators acting on some Hilbert space $H$ with $T_1$ and $T_2$ are contractions and $V_3$ is an isometry. Then $(\frac{T_1 + T_2 + V_3}{3}, \frac{T_1T_2 + T_2V_3 + V_3T_1}{3}, T_1T_2V_3)$ is $\Gamma_3$-contraction and $(\frac{T_1 + T_2 + V_3}{3}, \frac{T_1T_2 + T_2V_3 + V_3T_1}{3}, T_1T_2V_3)$ has a $\Gamma_3$-isometric dilation.

**Proof.** Let $(V_1, V_2)$ be an Ando isometric dilation of $(T_1, T_2)$. Then there exist a Hilbert space $K \supseteq H$ as a subspace such that $T_i = P_H V_i\|_K$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now from Lemma 3.1, it implies that $(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ is an isometric dilation of $(T_1, T_2, V_3)$. Set
\[
S_1 = \frac{V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \oplus I_{K\otimes H}}{3}, S_2 = \frac{V_1V_2 + V_2(V_3 \oplus I_{K\otimes H}) + (V_3 \oplus I_{K\otimes H})V_1}{3}, S_3 = V_1V_2(V_3 \oplus I_{K\otimes H}).
\]
Since $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ is $\Gamma_3$-isometry, from item 4 of Theorem 2.2 it implies that $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ is $\Gamma_3$-contraction and $S_3$ is an isometry. We all know that $\Gamma_3$ is polynomially convex therefore we can use Oka-Weil Approximation Theorem (See [23] Pg. 84 Theorem]). So, it is enough to work with polynomials rather than the full algebra $O(\Gamma_3)$. Let $p$ be any polynomial we have
\[
\|p(\frac{T_1 + T_2 + V_3}{3}, \frac{T_1T_2 + T_2V_3 + V_3T_1}{3}, T_1T_2V_3)\| = \|p(S_1, S_2, S_3)\|_K \leq \|p(S_1, S_2, S_3)\| \leq \|p\|_{\infty, T_3}.
\]
This shows that $(\frac{T_1 + T_2 + V_3}{3}, \frac{T_1T_2 + T_2V_3 + V_3T_1}{3}, T_1T_2V_3)$ is $\Gamma_3$-contraction. This completes the proof.

\[\Box\]

To work with more tractable examples, in this section we will consider $\Gamma_3$-contractions $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$, where $S_3$ is a partial isometry. We begin with the following result which appears in [18] Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $(T_1, T_2)$ be a pair of contractions on $H$ and $T$ be a partial isometry on $H$. Suppose there exist two operators $E_1, E_2$ in $B(D_T)$ such that
\[
T_i - T_{3-i}^*T = D_T E_i D_T \text{ for } i = 1, 2.
\]

Then
\begin{enumerate}
\item[(1)] $\ker T$ is jointly invariant under $(T_1, T_2)$ and,
\item[(2)] if we restrict the restriction $(T_1, T_2)_{|_{\ker T}}$ by $(D_1, D_2)$, then (a) $E_1^* E_1 - E_1 E_1^* = E_2^* E_2 - E_2 E_2^*$ if and only if $D_1^* D_1 - D_1 D_1^* = D_2^* D_2 - D_2 D_2^*$, and
\item[(b)] $E_1 E_2 = E_2 E_1$ if and only if $D_1 D_2 = D_2 D_1$.
\end{enumerate}

The following corollary is a simple outcome of the above Proposition.
Corollary 3.5. Let \((S_1, S_2, S_3)\) be a \(\Gamma_3\)-contraction acting on a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) having fundamental operators \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) with \((S_1, S_2)\) a 2-tuple of commuting contractions on \(\mathcal{H}\). If \(S_3\) is a partial isometry, then

1. \(\ker S_3\) is jointly invariant under \((S_1, S_2)\) and,
2. \(E_1 E_2 = E_2 E_1\) and
3. if we denote the restriction \((S_1, S_2)\) by \((D_1, D_2)\), then \(E_1 E_1^* - E_2 E_2^* = E_2^* E_2 - E_2 E_2^*\) if and only if \(D_1^* D_1 - D_1 D_1^* = D_2^* D_2 - D_2 D_2^*\).

We will now produce an example of \(\Gamma_3\) contraction \((S_1, S_2, S_3)\) which satisfies all conditions in Corollary 3.5.

Example 1. Consider the following triple of commuting operators on \(H^2 \oplus H^2\):

\[
(T_1, T_2, T_3) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I_{H^2} & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} T_2 & 0 \\ 0 & T_1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} I_{H^2} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} \\ \end{pmatrix} \right),
\]

where \(T_z\) is a multiplication operator on \(H^2\). Let

\[
S_1 = \frac{1}{3} (T_1 + T_2 + V_3) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_{H^2} + T_z & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} + T_z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),
\]

\[
S_2 = \frac{1}{3} (T_1 T_2 + T_2 T_3 + T_1 T_3) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_{H^2} + T_z & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} + T_z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

and \(S_3 = T_1 T_2 V_3 = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)\). Therefore by using Theorem 3.3 we conclude that the triple \((S_1, S_2, S_3)\) is \(\Gamma_3\)-contraction and has a \(\Gamma_3\)-isometric dilation. Since \(T_z\) is an isometry, one can easily verify that \(S_3\) is a partial isometry. First we will compute the defect operator for \(S_3\):

\[
D_{S_3}^2 = \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_{H^2} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} \end{pmatrix} - (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix})^* (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} \end{pmatrix}) = D_{S_3}.
\]

Let us consider

\[
(A_1, A_2) = \left( \frac{I_{H^2} + T_z}{3}, \frac{T_z}{3} \right).
\]

Notice that

\[
S_1 - S_2 S_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_{H^2} + T_z & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} + T_z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_{H^2} + T_z & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} + T_z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} T_z & 0 \\ 0 & T_z \end{pmatrix} \right) = A_1 A_2 S_3
\]

and

\[
S_2 - S_1 S_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} T_z & 0 \\ 0 & T_z \end{pmatrix} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_{H^2} + T_z & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H^2} + T_z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} T_z & 0 \\ 0 & T_z \end{pmatrix} \right) = A_2 S_3
\]

which implies that \((A_1, A_2)\) are same as the fundamental operators \((E_1, E_2)\) of \((S_1, S_2, S_3)\). Notice that

\[
A_1^* A_1 - A_1 A_1^* = \frac{1}{9} (I - T_z T_z^*) = A_2^* A_2 - A_2 A_2^*.
\]

One can easily verify that the conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 3.5 are satisfied. To verify the condition (3) of Corollary 3.5, we need to show that \(D_1^* D_1 - D_1 D_1^* = D_2^* D_2 - D_2 D_2^*\). It is also easy to check that \(\ker S_3 = \{0\} \oplus H^2\) and \((S_1, S_2)\) by \((D_1, D_2)\),

\[
(D_1, D_2) = \left( \frac{I_{H^2} + T_z}{3}, \frac{T_z}{3} \right), \text{ which implies}
\]

\[
D_1^* D_1 - D_1 D_1^* = D_2^* D_2 - D_2 D_2^* = \frac{1}{9} (I - T_z T_z^*).
\]

Thus, the condition (3) of Corollary 3.5 is satisfied.
The subsequent example of a $\Gamma_3$-contraction has a $\Gamma_3$-isometric dilation for which condition (3) in Corollary (3.5) fails, that is,

$$E_1^*E_1 - E_1E_1^* \neq E_2^*E_2 - E_2E_2^*.$$ 

In other words, we can conclude that the set of sufficient conditions for existence of a $\Gamma_3$-isometric dilation in Theorem (2.8) breaks down in general to be necessary, even when the $\Gamma_3$-contraction $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ has the following forms:

1. $(S_1, S_2)$ is a 2-tuple of commuting contractions on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and
2. $S_3$ is a partial isometry on $\mathcal{H}$.

**Example 2.** Let us consider the following pair of contractions on $H^2 \oplus H^2 \oplus H^2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
T_1 & T_2 & V_3
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & I_{H^2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
I_{H^2} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
T_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & T_1
\end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $T_z$ is a multiplication operator on $H^2$. Let

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{3}(T_1 + T_2 + V_3) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix}
I_{H^2 + T_z} & 0 & I_{H^2} \\
0 & T_1 & 0 \\
I_{H^2} & 0 & I_{H^2 + T_z}
\end{pmatrix},$$

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{3}(T_1 T_2 + T_2 V_3 + T_1 V_3) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix}
T_z & 0 & I_{H^2 + T_z} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & T_z
\end{pmatrix},$$

and $S_3 = T_1 T_2 V_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & T_z & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$, where $V_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
I_{H^2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & T_z & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{H^2}
\end{pmatrix}$. Therefore by Theorem (3.3) the triple $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ is $\Gamma_3$-contraction which has a $\Gamma_3$-isometric dilation. As $T_z$ is an isometry, one can easily check that $S_3$ is a partial isometry. Therefore we can apply the conclusion of the Proposition (3.4) for this triple. The first step for computing the fundamental operators $E_1$ and $E_2$, is to calculate the defect operator for $S_3$:

$$D_{S_3}^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
I_{H^2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{H^2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{H^2}
\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}
0 & T_z & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & T_z
\end{pmatrix} = D_{S_3}.$$

Set

$$(A_1, A_2) = (\frac{T_z}{3}, 0).$$

The following computations

$$S_1 - S_2^* S_3 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix}
I_{H^2 + T_z} & 0 & I_{H^2} \\
0 & T_1 & 0 \\
I_{H^2} & 0 & I_{H^2 + T_z}
\end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix}
T_z & 0 & I_{H^2 + T_z} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & T_z
\end{pmatrix} = D_{S_3} A_1 D_{S_3},$$

and

$$S_2 - S_1^* S_3 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix}
T_z & 0 & I_{H^2 + T_z} \\
0 & I_{H^2 + T_z} & 0 \\
I_{H^2} & 0 & T_z
\end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix}
I_{H^2 + T_z} & 0 & I_{H^2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & T_z
\end{pmatrix} = D_{S_3} A_2 D_{S_3},$$

implies that $(A_1, A_2)$ are the same as the fundamental operators $(E_1, E_2)$ of $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$. Note that

$$A_1^* A_1 - A_1 A_1^* = \frac{1}{9}(I - T_z T_z^*) \neq 0 \text{ while } A_2^* A_2 - A_2 A_2^* = 0.$$ 

One can easily verify that $\ker S_3 = \{0\} \oplus H^2 \oplus \{0\}$ and $(S_1, S_2)_{\ker S_3} = (D_1, D_2) = (\frac{T_z}{3}, 0).$ Also, we have

$$D_1^* D_1 - D_1 D_1^* = \frac{1}{9}(I - T_z T_z^*) \neq 0 \text{ while } D_2^* D_2 - D_2 D_2^* = 0,$$

which shows that the condition (3) of Corollary (3.5) is disobeyed.
Remark 3.6. In Example 2, we notice that if \((S_1, S_2)\) is a 2-tuple of commuting contractions on \(H^2\), and \(S_3\) is a partial isometry on \(H^2\), then we have
\[
D_1^* D_1 - D_1 D_1^* \neq D_2^* D_2 - D_2 D_2^*.
\]
Therefore, it follows from condition (3) of Corollary 3.5 that
\[
E_1^* E_1 - E_1 E_1^* \neq E_2^* E_2 - E_2 E_2^*.
\]
This shows that the \(\Gamma_3\)-contraction \((S_1, S_2, S_3)\) does have \(\Gamma_3\)-isometric dilation, but it fails to satisfy the following condition:
\[
E_1^* E_1 - E_1 E_1^* \neq E_2^* E_2 - E_2 E_2^*.
\]
However, as has been mentioned, Example 2 above appears that the condition \(E_1^* E_1 - E_1 E_1^* \neq E_2^* E_2 - E_2 E_2^*\) is not necessary for existing of a \(\Gamma_3\)-isometric dilation. Still now we are not able to locate an example of \(\Gamma_n\)-contraction for \(n \geq 3\), which fails to satisfy one of the necessary conditions. Thus, the existence of rational dilation for \(\Gamma_n\)-contraction, for \(n \geq 3\) is still an open question.
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