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Abstract

The irreducible representations \(\phi^1_n\) and \(\phi^2_n\) of the symplectic group \(G_n = Sp_{2n}(P)\) over an algebraically closed field \(P\) of characteristic \(p > 2\)

In this paper we consider the irreducible representations of the symplectic group \(Sp_{2n}(P)\) over an algebraically closed field \(P\) of characteristic \(p > 2\) with highest weights \(\omega_{n-1} + \frac{p^3 - 3}{2} \omega_n\) and \(\frac{p - 1}{2} \omega_n\). These representations are closely linked with each other and have a number of remarkable properties that motivate an interest to them. These properties are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let $\phi^1_n$ and $\phi^2_n$ be the irreducible representations of the group $G_n = \text{Sp}_{2n}(P)$ with highest weights $\omega_{n-1} + \frac{p-3}{2} \omega_n$ and $\frac{p-1}{2} \omega_n$, respectively (we omit $\omega_{n-1}$ if $n = 1$).

(A) The dimension of $\phi^i_n$ ($i = 1, 2$) is equal to $(p^n + (-1)^i)/2$.

(B) All weight multiplicities of each $\phi^i_n$ are equal to 1.

(C) Let the group $G_k$ ($k < n$) be naturally embedded into $G_n$. Then the restriction $\phi^i_n|_{G_k}$ is completely reducible with irreducible constituents $\phi^i_k$ and $\phi^2_k$.

(D) Let $L_k = G_k \times G_{n-k}$ be the stabilizer of a non-degenerate subspace of dimension $2k$ in $G_n$. Then $\phi^i_n|_{L_k}$ has exactly two irreducible constituents.

(E) The representation $\phi^i_n|_{\text{Sp}_{2n}(p)}$ can be obtained as the result of the reduction modulo $p$ of a complex irreducible representation of the group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(p)$.

The statements (C) and (D) will be refined in the course of the proof. Then this theorem is used to generalize a recent result of G. Seitz (see below) and to write down the exact list of rational irreducible representations of simple algebraic groups over fields of positive characteristics all whose weight subspaces have dimension 1 (Proposition 2).

Notation and some known facts.

Recall that $G_n$ is the universal Chevalley group of type $C_n$ over $P$. Below $X_n$ and $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n$ are the weight system and the simple roots of $G_n$, $\alpha_n$ is a long root, and $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_n \in X_n$ are the fundamental weights. Weights from $X_n$ can be written in the form of integral vectors of their coordinates in the basis $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_n$. If $n > 1$, $\lambda = (a_1 \ldots a_n) \in X_n$, then $\lambda^0$ is the weight of $X_{n-1}$ obtained from $\lambda$ by deletion of $\alpha_1$. We denote by $x_\alpha(t)$ and $X_\alpha$ the root elements of $G_n$ and its Lie algebra corresponding to a root $\alpha$. We can take $\alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_n$ as the simple roots of the subgroup $G_{n-1} \subset G_n$.

Set $\omega'_n = \omega_{n-1} + \frac{p-3}{2} \omega_n$ for $n > 1$ and $\omega'_n = \frac{p-3}{2} \omega_n$ for $n = 1$, $\omega''_n = \frac{p-1}{2} \omega_n$, $H_n = \text{Sp}_{2n}(p).$ For $k < n$, we assume that the group $H_k$ is naturally embedded into $H_n$. If $F_k$ is the stabilizer in $H_n$ of a non-degenerate subspace of dimension $2k$, then $F_k \cong H_k \times H_{n-k}$.

(I) We describe a construction of the complex irreducible representations $\theta^i_r$ ($i = 1, 2$) of $H_r$ of degrees $(p^r + (-1)^i)/2$. Let $A_r \subset GL(p^r, \mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible
group containing the subgroup $Z$ of all scalar matrices and such that $A_r/Z$ is an abelian group of the exponent $p$. Let $N_r$ be the normalizer of $A_r$ in $GL(p^r, \mathbb{C})$. Then $N_r/A_r \cong H_r$ ([14, §20]). For $p > 2$, the group $N_r$ splits: $N_r = A_r H_r$.

In order to show this, we fix an involution $i \in N_r$ whose image is a central element in $N_r/A_r$, and consider the group $D = C_{N_r}(i)$. It is not difficult to see that $D \cap A_r = Z$ and $D/Z \cong H_r$. The splitting of $D$, i.e. the equality $D = ZH_r$, follows from the fact that $H_r$ coincides with its derived subgroup and has no non-splitting central extension ([13, Theorem 10 and Corollary 2]), except for the case $r = 1$, $p = 3$, which can be easily handled directly.

It is well known that the representation of $H_r$ obtained in this way has two irreducible constituents of dimensions $(p^r - 1)/2$ and $(p^r + 1)/2$ [17 5 9 19]. This determines the irreducible representations $\theta_r^1$ and $\theta_r^2$ of these dimensions of the group $H_r$ (in general, not uniquely).

The well known equality $A_r = A_{r-1} \otimes A_1$ (the tensor product) determines the embedding $N_{r-1} \rightarrow N_r \otimes E \subset N_r$, what allows to choose $\theta_r^n (i = 1, 2, n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ so that the restriction $\theta_r^n|_{H_r,1}$ consists of the constituents $\theta_r^{n-1}$ and $\theta_r^{2n-1}$ (disregarding multiplicities). In [19, Theorem 2] the following formulas are proved:

$$\theta_r^n|_{F_k} = \theta_r^1 \otimes \theta_r^{2n-k} \oplus \theta_r^2 \otimes \theta_r^{1-2n-k}, \quad \theta_r^2|_{F_k} = \theta_r^1 \otimes \theta_r^{1-2n-k} \oplus \theta_r^2 \otimes \theta_r^{2n-k}. \quad (1)$$

(II) Let $\phi$ be an irreducible complex representation of $H_1$ such that $\dim \phi = (p - 1)/2$ or $(p + 1)/2$. Then $\phi$ remains irreducible under reduction modulo $p$.

This follows from the comparison of ordinary and Brauer characters of the corresponding degrees, which can be easily done using the character table from [11].

Ward [17] has shown that the representations $\theta_r^n$ remain irreducible under reduction modulo $r$ for an arbitrary odd prime $r \neq p$, see also [9]. The following lemma extends this result to the case $r = p$.

**Lemma 1** Let $\overline{\theta_r^n}$ be the reduction modulo $p$ of the representation $\theta_r^n (i = 1, 2)$. Then $\overline{\theta_r^n}$ is irreducible and the restriction $\overline{\theta_r^n}|_{F_k}$ is completely reducible.

Proof. For $n = 1$ see (II). Then we use induction on $n$. Suppose that $\overline{\theta_r^n}$ is reducible; let $\rho$ be one of its irreducible constituents. The induction assumption and Formulae (1) imply

$$\rho|_{F_k} = \overline{\theta_r^l} \otimes \overline{\theta_r^m}_{n-k}, \quad (1 \leq l, m \leq 2).$$
But this is false since otherwise the non-central elements from \( H_r \) lying in the center of \( F_k \) would have scalar images in \( \rho \). The second statement of the theorem follows from Formulae (1) and the fact that the center of \( F_k \) consists of semisimple elements and differs from the center of \( H_n \). This completes the proof.

There is an element \( s \) of order \( p^n + 1 \) generating a subgroup which is irreducible in the natural representation of \( H_n \) (see \[3\] Theorem 2]).

**Lemma 2** All eigenvalues of \( \theta_n(s) \) \((i = 1, 2)\) have multiplicity 1.

**Proof.** The representation \( \theta_n^i \) is an irreducible constituent of the representation \( \tau \) of degree \( p^n \) of the group \( H_n \) described in (I). In fact, it is proved in \[10\] pp.716-717, 705-706 and formula (5)] that all eigenvalues of \( \tau(s) \) have multiplicity 1. Of course, this is also true for the composition factors of \( \tau \).

**Proposition 1** Let \( \Lambda \) be an infinitesimally irreducible representation of \( G_n \). Suppose that the irreducible representations with highest weights \( \omega'_{n-1}, \omega''_{n-1} \) are the only composition factors of the restriction \( \Lambda|_{G_{n-1}} \). Then either the highest weight of \( \Lambda \) is \( \omega'_{n-1} \) or \( \omega''_{n-1} \), or \( n = 2, \ p = 3, \) and \( \dim \Lambda = 1 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \Lambda \) be realized in a space \( V \), and let \( \lambda = (a_1 \ldots a_n) \) be the highest weight of \( \Lambda \). Let \( v \in V \) be a non-zero vector of weight \( \lambda \). Set \( v_j = X^{2-a_1}v \) \((0 \leq j \leq a_1)\). Then \( X_{a_1}v_{j+1} = (j + 1)(a_1 - j)v_j \). Since \( a_j < p \), this implies that \( v_1, \ldots v_{a_1} \neq 0 \). As \( x_{a_k}(t) \) and \( X_{-a_1} \) commute for \( k > 1 \) and \( t \in P \), we have \( x_{a_k}(t)v_j = v_j \). So the module \( G_{n-1}v_j \) has a composition factor of highest weight \( (\lambda - ja)^0 \). Since \( a_1 = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2 \), we have \( (\lambda - ja)^0 = (a_2 + j, a_3 \ldots a_n) \).

According to the assumption, this vector is equal to \((0 \ldots 0,1,(p-3)/2)\) or \((0 \ldots 0,(p-1)/2)\) (for \( n = 2 \) the first vector is equal to \((p-3)/2))\). For \( n > 2 \) and \( a_1 > 0 \), we obtain a contradiction by taking in turn \( j = 0, 1, 2 \). This implies the proposition for \( n > 2 \). Let \( n = 2 \). If \( a_1 > 0 \), then we obtain the unique possibility \((1,(p-3)/2)\) by considering the cases \( j = 0, 1, 2 \) for \( a_1 \geq 2, \) and \( j = 0, 1 \) for \( a_1 = 1 \). Let \( a_1 = 0 \). Then either \( a_2 = (p-1)/2 \) (as required), or \( a_2 = (p-3)/2 \). In the latter case we obtain \( \dim \Lambda = 1 \) for \( p = 3 \). Let \( p > 3 \). Set \( u = X_{-a_1}X_{-a_2}v \). It is not difficult to verify that \( x_{a_1}(t)u = u, \ X_{a_2}X_{a_1}u = (p-3)v \), hence \( u \neq 0 \). So the module \( G_{n-1}u \) has a composition factor of highest weight \( (\lambda - a_1 - a_2)^0 = ((p-5)/2) \), which contradicts the assumption. This completes the proof.

**Proof of the Theorem.** It is well known that every irreducible \( P \)-representation of \( H_r \) can be extended to an infinitesimally irreducible representation of \( G_r \).
We denote by $\Theta^n_i$ such extension of $\overline{\theta^n_i}$ to $G_n$ ($i = 1, 2$).

We show that $\Theta^n_i$ satisfies the assumptions (A) - (E) of the theorem. (A) and (E) follow from the construction of $\theta^n_i$. By Lemma 2, all eigenvalues of the matrix $\Theta^n_i(s) = \overline{\theta^n_i}(s)$ have multiplicity 1 for some semisimple element $s \in H_n$. This implies (B) since $s$ is contained in a maximal torus of $G$ ([3, Corollaries 19 and 21B]). Include $F_k$ into $L_k$. By Lemma 1, Formulae (1) hold if one replaces $\theta^n_i$ by $\overline{\theta^n_i}$, and hence the restriction $\Theta^n_i|_{L_k}$ has two composition factors. It is completely reducible since the centers of $F_k$ and $L_k$ coincide. This implies (D).

Let $\lambda_i = (a_1 \ldots a_n)$ be the highest weight of $\Theta^n_i$, and $v \neq 0$ a vector of weight $\lambda_i$ in the space affording $\Theta^n_i$. If $n = 1$, we have $\dim \Theta^n_i = (p \pm 1)/2$, so $\lambda_1 = \omega_1^{\prime \prime}$ and $\lambda_2 = \omega_1^{\prime \prime}$. To prove this for $n > 1$, we use induction. We show that the composition factors of the restriction $\Theta^n_i|_{G_{n-1}}$ ($n > 1$) are infinitesimally irreducible. By (D), it is sufficient to find two such non-isomorphic factors. Obviously, one of them is of highest weight $(a_2 \ldots a_n)$. So $(a_2 \ldots a_n) = \omega_1^{n-1}$ or $\omega_1^{n-1}$ by Lemma 1 and Formulae (1). Let $j = \min \{k : 1 \leq k \leq n, a_k \neq 0\}$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 1, we observe that $\Theta^n_i|_{G_{n-1}}$ has an infinitesimally irreducible composition factor of highest weight $(\lambda_j - \alpha_1 - \cdots - \alpha_j)^0 \neq (a_2 \ldots a_n)$ which belongs to the module $G_{n-1}u$, where $u = X_{-\alpha_1} \cdots X_{-\alpha_j}v$. (Here we take into account the commutation relations in the Lie algebra of $G_n$ and [11 Proposition 5.4].) Now, due to the induction hypothesis, all composition factors of the restriction $\Theta^n_i|_{G_k}$ are infinitesimally irreducible for $k < n$. This is also true for $L_k$, so Formulae (1) hold if we replace $\theta^n_i$ by $\Theta^n_i$. This implies (C).

Thus, the representations $\Theta^n_i$ satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 1 and $\dim \Theta^n_i > 1$. Hence $\lambda_i \in \{\omega_1^{\prime \prime}, \omega_1^{n-1}\}$. Note that the roots $a_2, \ldots, a_n, 2\alpha_1 + \cdots + 2\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$ form a basis of the root system of $L_{n-1}$. Therefore, the module $L_{n-1}v$ contains a composition factor $M$ of highest weight $\omega = (\lambda_j)^0 \times (\sum_{j=1}^n a_j)$. If $\lambda_i = \omega_1^{\prime \prime}$, then $\omega = \omega_1^{n-1} \times \frac{p-1}{2}$, and if $\lambda_i = \omega_1^{n-1}$, then $\omega = \omega_1^{n-1} \times \frac{p-1}{2}$. This implies that $M = \Theta_1^{n-1} \otimes \Theta_1^{2}$ in the first case and $M = \Theta_1^{n-1} \otimes \Theta_1^{2}$ in the second one (due to the induction hypothesis). Now (D) yields that $\lambda_1 = \omega_1^{\prime \prime}$ and $\lambda_2 = \omega_1^{n-1}$, which proves the theorem.

**Corollary 1** Let $\psi_1, \psi_2$ be the irreducible representations of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ with highest weights $\omega_1^{\prime \prime}, \omega_1^{n-1}$, respectively. Then the number of weights of $\psi_i$ is equal to $\frac{p^n + (-1)^n}{2}$ ($i = 1, 2$).

**Proof.** By Premet’s theorem [3], the numbers of weights of $\psi_i$ and $\phi_i$ are equal for $p > 2$. It remains to use assertions (A) and (B) of the theorem. \qed
Let $G$ be a simply connected simple algebraic group over $P$; below $p$ may be equal to 2. Let $B = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be the set of simple roots of $G$, and let $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_n$ be the fundamental weights labelled as in [1]. Below $\text{Irr}_G$ and $\text{Inf}_G$ are the sets of irreducible rational and infinitesimally irreducible representations of $G$, respectively, $X(G)$, resp., $X^+(G)$ is the set of its weights, resp., dominant weights; $X(\phi)$ and $\omega(\phi)$ are the weight system and the highest weight of a representation $\phi \in \text{Irr}_G$. If $\omega \in X^+(G)$, then $\phi(\omega)$ is the irreducible representation of $G$ with highest weight $\omega$. For $p = 2$, $G = C_n(P)$ or $F_4(P)$, and for $p = 3$, $G = G_2(P)$, we set $\text{Inf}_1 G$ (respectively, $\text{Inf}_2 (G)$) to be equal to $\{ \phi \in \text{Inf}_G | \langle \omega(\phi), \beta \rangle = 0 \}$ for all long (respectively, short) roots $\beta \in B$, $\text{Inf}' G = \text{Inf}_1 G \cup \text{Inf}_2 G$. Here $\langle \omega(\phi), \beta \rangle$ is defined as in [13, §3]. Let $I(G)$ be the set of representations $\phi \in \text{Irr}_G$ all whose weight multiplicities are equal to 1, and let $I_0(G) = I(G) \cap \text{Inf}_G$, $I'_0(G) = I(G) \cap \text{Inf}' G$ in those cases where the set $\text{Inf}' G$ is defined.

In the course of the investigation of irreducible embeddings of simple algebraic groups Seitz [8] has singled out a certain set $M$ of irreducible representations with the property $I_0(G) \subset M \subset \text{Inf}_G$ and $I'_0(G) \subset M \subset \text{Inf}' G$ if the sets $I'_0(G)$ and $\text{Inf}' G$ are defined. This was sufficient for his purpose, so he did not consider the problem of determining $I(G)$. This problem seems to us to be rather important, so we continue the analysis of Seitz’ list to determine $I(G)$. Here [8, Theorem 6.1] and statement (B) of our main theorem are essentially used.

According to Steinberg [13, Theorem 11.1], in the cases where the sets $\text{Inf}_i G$ ($i = 1, 2$) are defined, each representation $\phi \in \text{Inf}_G$ is of shape $\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2$ where $\phi_i \in \text{Inf}_i G$ (see also [12, Corollary of Theorem 41]); here it is obvious that if $\phi \in I(G)$, then $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in I'_0(G)$. Taking into account the natural isomorphism of the weight systems of $G$ and the corresponding Lie algebra over the complex numbers, we observe that $\phi(\omega) \in I_0(G)$ if $\omega$ is a minuscule weight ([1, Ch. VIII, §2.3]). In this case the Weyl group is transitive on $X(\phi(\omega))$, and hence all weights have multiplicity 1.

**Corollary 2** Let $G = C_n(K)$ and $n > 1$. A non-trivial representation $\phi \in \text{Inf}_G$ with highest weight $\omega$ belongs to $I(G)$ if and only if either $\omega = \omega_n$, $n = 2, 3$, or $p = 2$ and $\omega = \omega_1$ or $\omega_2$, or $p > 2$ and $\omega \in \{ \omega_1, \omega'_n, \omega''_n \}$.

**Proof.** First let $p > 2$. By [8, Theorem 6.1], if $\phi \in I_0(G_n)$, then either $\omega \in \{ \omega_1, \omega_n, \omega'_n, \omega''_n \}$, or $p = 3$ and $\omega = \omega_i (i = 1, \ldots, n)$. It is clear that $\phi(\omega_1) \in I(G)$ (for $p = 2$ also) since $\omega_1$ is a minuscule weight. By the assertion S (B) of the theorem, $\phi(\omega'_n), \phi(\omega''_n) \in I(G_n)$. It follows from the description of
It is well known that a basis of the Weyl module $V_r$ with highest weight $\omega_r$ for the group $G$, see [7, Theorem 1, p. 1324]) that for $r > 1$, the multiplicity of the weight $\omega_{r-2}$ in $V_r$ is equal to $n-r+1$ and the multiplicity of the zero weight in $V_4$ for $n = 4$ equals 2. By [7, Theorem 2(i)], every composition factor of $V_r$ occurs with multiplicity 1. Therefore, the multiplicity of the weight $\omega_{r-2}$ in $M_r := \phi(\omega_r)$ is at least $n - r$ since the weight $\omega_{r-2}$ can only occur in the composition factors of $V_r$ with highest weights $\omega_r$ and $\omega_{r-2}$. Therefore, $\phi(\omega_r) \not\in I(G_n)$ for $1 < r < n - 1$.

Observe that $\omega_n = \omega_{n-1}$ and $\omega''_n = \omega_n$ for $p = 3$.

The case where $p > 3$ and $\omega = \omega_n$. For $n = 4$, the module $V_4$ is irreducible (see Example 5 at the end of [7]), and as we have noted before, the multiplicity of the weight 0 in $M_4$ is equal to 2. So $\phi(\omega_4) \not\in I(G_4)$. Let $v \neq 0$ be a vector of weight $\omega_n$ in the $G_n$-module $M_n$ ($n > 4$). Then the $G_4$-module $G_4 v$ has a composition factor $M_4$. This implies that $M_n$ has a weight subspace of dimension at least 2, that is, $\phi(\omega_n) \not\in G_n$.

For $n = 2, 3$, one can easily check that all weight subspaces of $V_n$, and hence of $M_n$, have dimension 1.

Let $p = 2$. If $\phi \in I_0^1(G)$, then $\omega \in \{\omega_1, \omega_n\}$ by [8, Theorem 6.1]. For $p = 2$, we have $B_n(P) = C_n(P)$ and $\phi(\omega_n) \in I(B_n(P))$ since $\omega_n$ is a miniscule weight of $B_n(P)$. Therefore, $\phi(\omega_n) \in I_0^1(C_n(P))$.

Due to the remark prior to Corollary 2, it remains to consider the case where $\phi = \phi(\omega_1) \otimes \phi(\omega_n)$. Note that $\omega_n - \alpha_n \in X(\phi(\omega_n))$, and $\pm \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \in X(\phi(\omega_1))$. So $\omega_n - \frac{\alpha_n}{2} = (\omega_n - \alpha_n) + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \in X(\phi)$ is of multiplicity $\geq 2$, that is, $\phi \not\in I(G)$. This completes the proof.

It is well known that $I(G) = \text{Irr} G$ if $G = A_1(P)$.

**Proposition 1** Let $G \neq A_1(P)$ be a simply connected simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $P$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Define the set of weights $\Omega = \Omega(G)$ as follows:

$$\Omega(A_n(P)) = \{\omega_i, a\omega_i, b\omega_i, c\omega_j + (p-1-c)\omega_{j+1} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n, 0 \leq a, b, c < p\};$$

$$\Omega(C_n(P)) = \{\omega_n \text{ for } n = 2, \omega_1, \omega_{n-1} + \frac{p-3}{2}\omega_n, \frac{p-1}{2}\omega_n \text{ for } p > 2 \}$$

and $\{\omega_1, \omega_n\}$ for $p = 2$.
\( \Omega(B_n(P)) = \{ \omega_1, \omega_n \} \) for \( n > 3, p > 2; \quad \Omega(D_n(P)) = \{ \omega_1, \omega_{n-1}, \omega_n \}; \)
\( \Omega(I_8(P)) = \{ \omega_1, \omega_6 \}; \quad \Omega(E_7(P)) = \{ \omega_7 \}; \)
\( \Omega(F_4(P)) = \{ \omega_4 \} \) for \( p = 3 \) and \( \emptyset \) for \( p \neq 3; \)
\( \Omega(G_2(P)) = \{ \omega_1 \} \) for \( p \neq 3 \) and \( \{ \omega_1, \omega_2 \} \) for \( p = 3. \)

Let \( \phi \) be an irreducible rational representation of \( G \) with highest weight \( \omega = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p^i \lambda_i \) where \( \lambda_i \) are the highest weights of representations from \( \text{Inf}(G) \). The multiplicities of all weights of \( \phi \) are equal to 1 if and only if \( \lambda_l = 0 \) or \( \lambda_l \in \Omega(G) \) for \( 0 \leq l \leq k \), and \( \lambda_{l+1} \neq \lambda_l \) provided \( G = C_n(P), p = 2, \lambda_l = \omega_n, \) or \( G = G_2(P), p = 2, \lambda_l = \omega_1, \) or \( G = G_2(P), p = 3, \lambda_l = \omega_2. \)

Proof. First, let \( \phi \in \text{Inf}G \) and \( \omega \neq 0. \) The case where \( G = C_n(P) \) has been considered in Corollary 2. Let \( G \neq C_n(P) \) and \( G \neq G_2(P) \) if \( p = 3. \) Then \( \omega \in \Omega \) for \( \phi \in I_0(G) \) by \cite{8} Theorem 6.1 and the observation prior to Corollary 2, and it suffices to consider the cases where \( \omega \) is not a miniscule weight. It is well known that \( \phi \in I_0(G) \) if \( G = B_n(P) \) or \( G_2(P) \) and \( \omega = \omega_1 \) (this is also true for \( p = 3 \).) For \( p = 3 \) and \( G = F_4(P), \) Wong [17, p.4] has shown that \( \phi(\omega_n) \in I_0(G). \) It is proved in \cite{15} that \( \phi \in I_0(G) \) if \( G = A_n(P) \) and \( \omega = c\omega_i + (p-1-c)\omega_{i+1} \) (1 \( \leq i \leq n \) (\cite{15} Theorem 3)) or \( \omega = a\omega_1 \) or \( b\omega_n \) (\cite{15} Remark 1)). In the latter case this is also mentioned in \cite{8} 1.14.

Now let \( G = G_2(P) \) for \( p = 3. \) By \cite{8} Theorem 6.1, \( \omega = \{ \omega_1, \omega_2 \} \) for \( \phi \in I_0'(G). \) For \( p = 3, \) the representation \( \phi(\omega_2) \) belongs to \( I_0'(G) \) since it can be obtained from \( \phi(\omega_1) \in I_0'(G) \) by twisting it with an automorphism of \( G \) (see \cite{13} Corollary 11.2). Due to the remarks prior to Corollary 2, it remains to consider the representation \( \phi = \phi(\omega_1) \otimes \phi(\omega_2). \) Since \( \omega_1 - \alpha_1, \omega_1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \in X(\phi(\omega_1)) \) and \( \omega_2, \omega_2 - \alpha_2 \in X(\phi(\omega_2)) \), the weight \( \omega_1 + \omega_2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \in X(\phi) \) has multiplicity at least 2, i.e. \( \phi \notin \text{Irr}G. \)

Now let \( \phi \in \text{Irr}G. \) By \cite{12} Theorem 41, \( \phi = \otimes_{l=0}^{k} F_r^l \circ \phi(\lambda_l) \) where \( F_r \) is the Frobenius morphism associated with raising elements of \( P \) to the \( p \)th power. If \( \phi \in I(G), \) then it is obvious that \( \phi(\lambda_l) \in I_0(G); \) it follows from the above that every weight \( \lambda_l \in \Omega \cup \{ 0 \}. \)

Let \( \rho \in I_0(G), \psi \in I(G). \) It is clear that the representation \( \rho \otimes F_r \circ \psi \notin I(G) \) if and only if
\[ \mu_1 - \mu_2 = p(\mu'_1 - \mu'_2) \neq 0 \] (2)

for some weights \( \mu_i \in X(\rho) \), \( \mu'_i \in X(\psi) \), \( i = 1, 2 \). Set \( \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \mu \) and \( S_{\omega'} = \omega(\rho) \). Acting by the Weyl group, we make \( \mu \) a dominant weight. Observe that \( \mu \) and \( \mu'_1 - \mu'_2 \) are radical weights. Let \( \alpha \) be the maximal root of \( G \), \( a(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \) for \( \lambda \in X(G) \), and \( a = a(\rho) = a(\omega') \). As it is noted in [16, Lemma 11], \( a(\lambda) \leq a \) for \( \lambda \in X(\rho) \). In order to find \( a \), one may apply formulae from [16, Introduction] or proceed by direct calculations using the root tables from [1]. Note that \( a(\lambda) \) is an integer valued linear function on \( X(G) \) (see [12, §3]); \( a(\lambda) \neq 0 \) for \( \lambda \in X^+(G) \setminus \{0\} \). Let \( \rho^* \) be the representation dual to \( \rho \). By [12, Lemma 73], \( \omega(\rho^*) = -w_0\omega' \) where \( w_0 \) is the element of the Weyl group sending all positive roots to the negative ones. It is clear that \( -w_0\alpha = \alpha \). So \( a(\rho^*) = a \), \( a(\lambda) \geq -a \) for \( \lambda \in X(\rho) \) since \( -\lambda \in X(\rho^*) \), and \( a(\mu) \leq 2a \).

We shall consider all representations \( \rho \) with \( \omega' \in \Omega \) and find out when (2) holds. If \( G = A_n(P) \) or \( C_n(P) \), then \( a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \) for \( \omega' = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \).

If \( G = A_n(P) \), then \( a(\rho) \leq p - 1 \) for \( \omega' \in \Omega \). In this situation (2) would imply that \( a(\mu) = p \), \( \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \omega_i \) which is false since \( \omega_i \) is not a radical weight.

Let \( G = C_n(P) \) and \( n > 2 \). For \( p > 2 \), (2) cannot hold since \( a(\rho) \leq (p - 1)/2 \) for \( \omega' \in \Omega \) and \( a(\mu) \leq p - 1 \). If \( p = 2 \), then the weight \( \mu \) takes each value \( 2\omega_i, i \leq n \) for \( \omega' = \omega_n \) and \( \mu = 2\omega_1 \) or \( \omega_2 \) for \( \omega' = \omega_1 \). (This can be easily verified taking into account that \( C_n(P) \cong B_n(P) \) and that \( \omega_n \) a miniscule weight of \( B_n(P) \).) Therefore, (2) is equivalent to the fact that \( \omega' = \omega_n \), \( \psi = \phi(\omega_1) \otimes Fr \circ \psi' \) where \( \psi' \in \text{Irr}_G \).

Let \( G = G_2(P) \). Then one can directly verify that \( \mu \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2, 2\omega_1\} \) for \( \omega' = \omega_1 \) and \( \mu \in \{\omega_2, 2\omega_2, 3\omega_1\} \) for \( \omega' = \omega_2 \), \( p = 3 \); all these options are realized. It is clear that (2) holds in the following cases only: \( p = 2 \), \( \omega' = \omega_1 \), \( \psi = \rho \otimes Fr \circ \psi' \) and \( p = 3 \), \( \omega' = \omega_2 \), \( \psi = \phi(\omega_1) \otimes Fr \circ \psi' \), \( \psi' \in \text{Irr}_G \).

Let \( G \neq C_n(P), A_n(P), \text{or } G_2(P) \). In this situation \( a(\rho) = 1 \) for \( \omega' \in \Omega \). So \( a(\mu) \leq 2 \). Since for \( G = D_n(P), E_6(P) \) or \( E_7(P) \), the weight \( \lambda \in X^+(G) \) is not radical if \( a(\lambda) = 1 \), and \( p > 2 \) for \( G = B_n(P) \) or \( F_4(P) \), the condition (2) does not hold.

Taking into account that representations \( \psi \) and \( Fr \circ \psi \) from \( \text{Irr}_G \) simultaneously belong or do not belong to \( I(G) \), we complete the proof by induction on \( k \).
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