arXiv:2108.10655v1 [math.NA] 24 Aug 2021

A change of measure enhanced near exact Euler Maruyama scheme for the solution to nonlinear stochastic dynamical systems

Tapas Tripura
Department of Applied Mechanics
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
tapas.t@am.iitd.ac.in

Mohammad Imran
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati
mimran@iitg.ac.in

Budhaditya Hazra
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati
budhaditya.hazra@iitg.ac.in

Souvik Chakraborty
Department of Applied Mechanics
School of Artificial Intelligence (ScAI)
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
souvik@am.iitd.ac.in

Abstract

The present study utilizes the Girsanov transformation based framework for solving a nonlinear stochastic dynamical system in an efficient way in comparison to other available approximate methods. In this approach, a rejection sampling is formulated to evaluate the Radon-Nikodym derivative arising from the change of measure due to Girsanov transformation. The rejection sampling is applied on the Euler Maruyama approximated sample paths which draw exact paths independent of the diffusion dynamics of the underlying dynamical system. The efficacy of the proposed framework is ensured using more accurate numerical as well as exact nonlinear methods. Finally, nonlinear applied test problems are considered to confirm the theoretical results. The test problems demonstrates that the proposed exact formulation of the Euler-Maruyama provides an almost exact approximation to both the displacement and velocity states of a second order non-linear dynamical system.

Keywords Stochastic differential equations · Euler Maruyama · change of measure · non-linear oscillator · stochastic exponential

1 Introduction

Modeling engineering dynamical systems and determining their response is becoming more versatile as new approaches for solving nonlinear engineering systems are being discovered frequently. Since engineering models are typically uncertain in nature, modeling errors or naturally occurring noise terms are inevitable. As a result, research on numerical approaches for the approximation of stochastically driven oscillators has been a focused area [1, 2]. Both linear and non-linear oscillators related to physics and engineering fields are reducible to first order stochastic differential equations (SDEs) through suitable state transformation. In general, SDEs are extremely difficult to solve analytically. Although for some cases it is possible to find stationary density functions of the oscillators by using Fokker Planck Equation (FPK) [3], many of the approaches for determining the analytical solution of stochastically driven nonlinear oscillators suffer from the curse of dimensionality [4]. Since analytical steady-state solutions are not always possible, therefore their existence imposes limits on exploratory dynamics of the oscillators. As a result, many attempts have been made to develop efficient numerical methods. Monte Carlo simulation (MCS) aided with direct stochastic numerical integration is a more extensively used but less elegant for solving issues of substantially higher complexity as the dimension of the problem increases. Nonetheless, the precision of direct integration schemes and the highly repetitive computation over a potentially large ensemble limit the utility of MCS approaches. Numerical integration techniques for stochastically driven oscillators are frequently constructed using stochastic Taylor expansions,

which have a computational disadvantage due to the difficulties of computing numerous multiple stochastic integrals (MSIs) [5]. A few schemes in this category are Euler-Maruyama [3], Milstein [6], stochastic Heun [7], stochastic Runge-Kutta [8], Strong Taylor 1.5 [9] and Weak order 3.0 Taylor $O(\Delta t^3)$ [10] etc. Being the simplest and easiest among the available stochastic integration techniques, Euler-Maruyama (EM) is of primary interest and an improvement based on change of measure is the aim of this work.

There exist approximate analytical methods which can determine the statistical responses of the stochastic systems. For reference Socha [11] has provided a comprehensive review of equivalent and statistical linearization of nonlinear oscillators. Generally, most linearization methods such as Equivalent Linearization, higher order linearization [12], equivalent non-linearization [13], stochastic averaging [14], Gaussian equivalent linearization[15] globally replace the nonlinear drift field by an equivalent, time-variant and linear function such that the error in the replacement is minimized in a mean square sense. All of these methods use iterative approaches, however, it should be emphasized that the scope of global linearization for nonlinear oscillators are relatively limited and can become unacceptably imprecise at times since obtaining the linearized coefficients of the linear function is dependent on the order of optimization [16].

Another numerical approach for solving non-linear systems under additive stochastic excitation is the Girsanov based formulation of the local transversal linearization (LTL) schemes [17, 18]. In this family of methods, the errors in the non-linearity approximation by local linearization schemes are absorbed inside the stochastic diffusion term. The modification in the diffusion is evaluated using the change of measure of the process referred to as the Girsanov transformation. The change of measure arising due to this adjustment in diffusion helps in formulating a correction process that improves the low order of convergence of LTL schemes. The change of measure applied over probability measures is related by a density function known as the Radon-Nikodym derivative. This Radon-Nikodym derivative can be evaluated directly through Itô-Taylor expansion of the stochastic exponential or through stochastic filtering and rejection sampling. These schemes have shown efficacy and early promise over Itô-Taylor based numerical integration schemes, however, for higher dimensional problem yet to be examined. Inspite of the advantage over higher order Itô-Taylor schemes, the LTL schemes are computationally expensive due to evaluation of stochastic matrix exponential. The present work emphasizes development of more efficient and accurate yet simple alternatives utilizing the change of measure.

In the case of EM method, simulating a multi-dimensional stochastic system using the EM discretization is a simple and efficient numerical task since it does not involve computation of any matrix exponential. Apart from the computational inefficiency, the stochastic matrix exponential involve stochastic Brownian integrals which are not straightforward to evaluate and EM scheme is advantageous in such situations. Many efficient improvements in the EM method have been done for solving different types of SDEs such as composite EM [19], implicit Euler–Taylor [20], truncated EM method [21], composite previous-current-step EM [22]. Inspite of the modifications, these schemes are still approximate in nature. A new framework for near exact simulation of SDEs using EM is presented in this study which overcomes the absence of higher order terms from Itô-Taylor expansion in EM approximation and even for high dimensional systems assumes no approximation and provides highly efficient computation. In the proposed framework, a Girsanov's change of measure is adopted over the EM discretization, where the Radon-Nikodym derivative is evaluated using rejection sampling [23]. The change of measure between two probability measures generates a dynamic probability density function at every ensemble step and when the rejection sampling is performed appropriately, it returns near exact draws from any finite-dimensional distribution of the EM solution for the SDE in interest. Since, the mathematical aspect of the process dynamics is handled by the EM, the draws from rejection sampling is independent of the diffusion dynamics. Altogether, the idea then centers around the restriction that the solution paths of EM at each step must satisfy the density function (or, Radon-Nikodym derivative). Finally, one can achieve both computationally efficient and near exact solutions through the proposed framework, since sample generation using EM requires less computational time and the rejection sampling on the generated samples can be done suitably by defining appropriate events following standard approaches [23].

From this onward the paper is arranged as follows: **Section 2**: a short background on types of SDE and change of measure, the way it serves the current interest is provided. **Section 3**: the proposed change of measure framework for Euler-Maruyama and rejection sampling algorithm for evaluating Radon-Nikodym derivative is presented. **Section 4**: numerical illustration using a fairly representative class of non-linear oscillators excited using zero mean Gaussian white noise are provided. **Section 5**: the paper is concluded by highlighting key achievements of the work.

2 Background on SDE and Radon-Nikodym derivative for Brownian process

2.1 Euler-Maruyama approximation of Stochastic differential equation

Let the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, with natural filtration $((\mathcal{F}_t), 0 \leq t \leq T)$ be constructed from sub σ-algebras of $\mathcal{F}$. Consider, an m-dimensional n-factor SDE which has a deterministic dynamics modeled as drift driven by the additive volatile component:

$$d\boldsymbol{X}_t = \mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, dt + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{f}_j(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) d\boldsymbol{W}_j(t)\,; \qquad \boldsymbol{X}(t = t_0) = \boldsymbol{X}_0; \qquad t \in [0, T] \tag{1}$$

where, $\boldsymbol{X}_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denotes the $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable state vector, $\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$ is drift function and $\mathbf{f}_j(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$ for $j = 1, \ldots n$ is volatility coefficient function. Here, $\{\boldsymbol{W}_j(t) : j = 1, \ldots n\}$ is the independent Brownian process with respect to the probability measure P. The bound and uniqueness of the solution vector $\mathbf{X}_t$ are defined by the following criterion [24]:

1. Lipschitz continuity condition: the functions $\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)$ and $\mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)$ must be partially differentiable with respect to $\boldsymbol{X}_t$,

$$|\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) - \mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{Y}_t)| + |\mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) - \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{Y}_t)| \leq \mathcal{D}\,|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{Y}| \tag{2}$$

2. Boundness condition on the growth of the diffusion process:

$$|\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)| + |\mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)| \leq C_1 + C_2\,|\boldsymbol{X}| \tag{3}$$

3. For a well defined initial point $\boldsymbol{X}(t_0)$:

$$E\left[|\boldsymbol{X}(t_0)|^2\right] < \infty \tag{4}$$

where, $\boldsymbol{X}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}(t)$ are real variables and $\mathcal{D}$, C_1 and C_2 are some positive constants. Under the uniqueness property of the solution, the Euler-Maruyama (EM) approximation to the time evolution of the SDE in Eq. (1) over the time interval $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$, is given as [3]:

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{t_i} = \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}} + \mathbf{g}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}})\,\Delta t + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{f}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}}) \Delta \boldsymbol{W}_j(t_i) \tag{5}$$

where, $\boldsymbol{X}_t$ represents the EM based approximated state vector, Δt=$(t_i - t_{i-1})$ is the time increment and $\Delta \boldsymbol{W}_{t_i} = (\boldsymbol{W}_{t_i} - \boldsymbol{W}_{t_{i-1}})$ is the Brownian increment having a Gaussian distribution $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Delta t)$. In the absence of multiple Brownian integrals of higher order of smallness, the EM scheme has a strong order of convergence of $O(\Delta t^{0.5})$ and a weak order $O(\Delta t^1)$, which can be verified from the order of $\Delta \boldsymbol{W}$ and Δt, respectively.

2.2 Change of measure

Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}[0, 1]$ be a standard normal variable under the probability space be $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, whose density and distribution functions are represented by $p(x)$ and $P(x)$. The density and distribution functions are related by the relation: $dP(x) = p(x)dx$. Let us define an event A:= $\alpha \leq X \leq \beta$ under the probability measure P. Further let us assume that a shift in the intervals by a constant μ is applied, which is formally represented as:

$$P[\underbrace{\alpha - \gamma \leq X \leq \beta - \gamma}_{A-\gamma}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\alpha-\gamma}^{\beta-\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) dx \tag{6}$$

Without invoking the effect of the shift in the interval to the law of evolution of random variable X, a new probability measure can suitably be determined through modification of the distribution function. A simple mathematical substitution of variables yield:

$$P[\alpha - \gamma \leq X \leq \beta - \gamma] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \gamma)^2\right) dx = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \exp\left(x\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\right) dP(x) \tag{7}$$

In the above equation, it can be seen that the shift in the intervals is absorbed into the probability distribution function. The shift in the distribution function causes the change of measure $P \rightarrow Q$ as:

$$P\left[A-\gamma\right] = \int_{A} \exp\left(x\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\right) dP(x) = E^{p}\left[\exp\left(x\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\right) I_{[\alpha,\beta]}\right] = E^{p}\left[\Lambda(x) I_{A}\right] = Q[A] \tag{8}$$

where, $E^{p}[.]$ is the expectation with respect to the probability measure P and I_A is the indicator function which returns 1 if X lies in the specified interval $[\alpha,\beta]$, otherwise 0. The above equation means, the RV $X-\gamma$ under probability measure $Q \sim \mathcal{N}[0,1]$ has identical property as in measure P. The term $\Lambda(x) = \exp\left(x\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\right)$ defines the relationship between two probability measures P and Q, often called as Radon-Nikodym derivative:

$$\frac{dQ(x)}{dP(x)} = \Lambda(x) \tag{9}$$

The Radon-Nikodym derivative is indeed a density function with the property $\Lambda(x) > 0$ and $E^{P}\left[\Lambda(x)\right] = 1$. The former one can be easily verified since $\Lambda(x)$ is a exponential function of X and the later one is proved by taking expectation of $\Lambda(x)$ in the measure P. To calculate the probability of the path of the random variable (RV) X, let us consider the path $\boldsymbol{X} = \{X(t) : 0 \leq t \leq t_N, X(t_0) = 0\}$ for N-partitions between the interval $t \in [0, t_N]$. With the initial condition $X(t_0) = 0$, let us assume that the increments of the RV X are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) with normal distribution having mean 0 and variance Δt i.e. $\Delta X_i \sim \mathcal{N}[X(t_0), \Delta t]$. If the incremental process is given as: $X_i = X_{i-1} + \Delta X_i$, then, the increments will have normal distribution with $\{\Delta X_1 \sim N[X_0, \Delta t_1], \Delta X_2 \sim N[X_1, \Delta t_2], \ldots, \Delta X_N \sim N[X_{N-1}, \Delta t_N]\}$. For uniform Δt this can be represented by $\Delta X_i \sim N[X_{i-1}, \Delta t]$. With this knowledge, given a starting value the density function of the process at a target point X_i over the interval Δt can be expressed as a conditional distribution as follows,

$$P(X_i - X_{i-1}\,|X_{i-1}\,) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta t}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\Delta t}(x_i - x_{i-1})^2\right) \tag{10}$$

In order to find the density function of the complete path of the RV X, one simply needs to find the N-product of the density function in Eq. (10), since the increments are i.i.d. Then the complete probability of the process can be found by performing integration over the density function. Towards this, let us consider an event A as, $A := \left[(\alpha_1 \leq X_1 \leq \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_N \leq X_N \leq \beta_N)\right]$. For large N, the distribution for the event A can be represented by following infinite dimensional integral over the N-product of density functions in Eq. (10):

$$P[A] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta t}} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \int_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} \ldots \int_{\alpha_N}^{\beta_N} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\Delta t}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(x_i - x_{i-1})^2\right) dx_1 dx_2 \ldots dx_N \tag{11}$$

A stochastic process for the RV X under the above finitely large collection of densities is guaranteed due to the Kolmogorov extension theorem [2]. To introduce the change of measure, let us apply a shift in the event A as follows:

$$\left[A - y_k\right] = \left[\left((\alpha_1 - \mu_1\Delta t) \leq X_1 \leq (\beta_1 - \mu_1\Delta t)\right), \ldots, \left(\left(\alpha_N - \sum \mu_i \Delta t\right) \leq X_N \leq \left(\beta_N - \sum \mu_i \Delta t\right)\right)\right] \tag{12}$$

The shift, $y_k = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i \Delta t$, in the event A introduces mutation in the distribution function, which can be expressed in the limits of original event A as the following:

$$P[A - y_k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta t}} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \int_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} \ldots \int_{\alpha_N}^{\beta_N} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\Delta t}\sum_{i=1}^{2}(\Delta x_i)^N\right) \underbrace{\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\gamma_i \Delta x_i - \frac{1}{2\Delta t}\sum_{i=1}^{N}{\gamma_i}^2 \Delta t\right)}_{\Lambda(t_N)} dx_1 dx_2 \ldots dx_N \tag{13}$$

Noting, $P[A - y_k] = \int_A \Lambda_n dP(x)$ and defining a new measure $Q[A] = P[A - y_k]$, the Radon-Nikodym derivative for a multi-dimensional random variable can be defined as:

$$\Lambda_N = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\gamma_i \Delta x_i - \frac{1}{2\Delta t}\sum_{i=1}^{N}{\gamma_i}^2 \Delta t\right) \tag{14}$$

As the number of partitions N$\rightarrow \infty$ in the interval $[t_0 \dots t_N]$, the Radon Nikodym derivative in the Eq. (14) becomes a integral:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i \Delta x_i - \frac{1}{2\Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} {\gamma_i}^2 \Delta t \equiv \int_0^t \gamma_s dx_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t {\gamma_s}^2 ds \tag{15}$$

Thus, the measure P process X_N under probability measure Q tends to a distribution $\sim \mathcal{N}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i \Delta t, \Delta t\right]$. This also refers to the drift removed process $X_N - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_i \Delta t$ under the measure P with the distribution $\sim \mathcal{N}[0, \Delta t]$ under the probability measure Q.

2.3 Application of change of measure to SDEs

Consider that under the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, there exists an SDE of the form Eq. (1) and $\boldsymbol{B}_t = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\boldsymbol{B}_t)_t, P)$ be an n-dimensional Brownian process under the probability measure P. It is to be noted that a shift in the drift under the measure P, when applied to the SDE in Eq. (1) results an equivalent SDE in a new measure Q. This can be formalized by absorbing the shift in the diffusion term as follows:

$$d\boldsymbol{X}_t = \mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, dt + \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, d\boldsymbol{B}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) \left(\frac{\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)}{\mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)} dt + d\boldsymbol{B}_t \right) = \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, d\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t \tag{16}$$

Let, $\gamma(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)$ is a $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued process as defined before and there exists a progressively measurable m-dimensional process $\gamma(s, \boldsymbol{X}_s)$ with the density Λ_t on measure Q under the probability measure Q, ($dQ = \mathbf{Z}_t dP$). Then the associated Radon Nikodym derivative for this change of measure $P \rightarrow Q$ is identified by substituting $\boldsymbol{X}(t) = \boldsymbol{B}(t)$ as ([2, 25]):

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(t) = \frac{dQ}{dP} = \exp\left(\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t} \gamma(s, \boldsymbol{X}_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t} |\gamma(s, \boldsymbol{X}_s)|^2 ds \right) \tag{17}$$

In Eq. (16), $\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t$ is the Brownian motion in measure Q, whose evolution is given by the differential equation: $d\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t = \gamma(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, dt + d\boldsymbol{B}_t$, where $\gamma(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) = \frac{\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)}{\mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)}$. Here, it can be noticed that the change of measure is purely due to the shift: $\gamma(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, dt$. Effected by the shift, the evolution of Q-Brownian motion $\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t$ follows the following rule:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t = \boldsymbol{B}_t + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma(s, \boldsymbol{X}_s)\, ds; \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}(t = t_0) = 0; \quad t \geq 0 \tag{18}$$

It is easy to check that by substituting the relation $d\boldsymbol{B}_t = d\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t - \gamma(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, dt$ in Eq. (1) will also yield the SDE in Q-measure. As a result the SDE becomes,

$$d\boldsymbol{X}_t = \mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, dt + \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) \left(d\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t - \frac{\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)}{\mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)} dt \right) = \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)\, d\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}}_t \tag{19}$$

The above discussion provides an idea of change of measure theory for Brownian motion, which can be suitably manipulated in a new probability measure to modify the drift for ease in treatment. This paves way to the idea of how the EM approximation error for the non-linear terms in the drift can be absorbed in the diffusion and correspondingly a change of measure can be formulated for the modified Brownian motion.

3 A change of measure framework for near Exact Euler Maruyama (n-EEM)

In the aforementioned sections, it is understood that the convergence of Euler Maruyama (EM) scheme in its classical form is quite low due to absence of higher order MSIs [5, 10], since there is no suitable mechanism to treat the drift term appropriately. The inappropriate treatment results in the approximation error particularly due to inadequate attention on the non-linear part of the draft. This non-linear approximation error can be absorbed in the volatility component of the diffusion introducing a shift which can be treated using change of measure of Brownian motion. If the non-linear approximation error is $\mathcal{F}$-measurable then the change of measure due to this error can be formulated using Girsanov transformation. In this section it is shown how one can use the Girsanov change of measure to formulate a framework to treat the errors arising due to approximate treatment of the non-linear terms. Further, the use of rejection sampling to approximate the estimate of stochastic exponential integrals and to evaluate the Radon-Nikodym derivative arising from the change of measure is portrayed. With a little abuse of notations, let us assume that $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}$ represents the

displacement and velocity states of a second order multivariate dynamical system, which is different from the symbolic representation of X in previous sections. Then, without loss of generality let us consider the m-dimensional oscillator purely excited by n-dimensional Brownian process:

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\boldsymbol{X}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\boldsymbol{X}} + \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(t, \boldsymbol{X}, \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{F}_k(t, \boldsymbol{X}, \dot{\boldsymbol{X}})\dot{B}_k(t) \tag{20}$$

where, $\boldsymbol{X} = \{X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m\}^T$ is the response vector subjected to initial conditions $\boldsymbol{X}_{t_0} = \{X_0, X_0, \cdots, X_0\}$, $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$ are respective constant mass, damping and stiffness matrices, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(t, \boldsymbol{X}, \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}\right)$ is a non-linear function of states (not necessarily smooth) but ensures a unique solution to Equation 20 at least in the weak sense, $\{\mathbf{F}_k : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is the set of n diffusion vectors (additive), B_k for $k \in [1, n]$ is independently evolving zero-mean Brownian processes. The description of the oscillator as in Eq. (20) is entirely formal (due to non-differentiability of the Brownian process, which implies that $\dot{B}_k$ exists merely as a valid measure, but not as a mathematical function). Introducing the state-space transformation $\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{X}_1$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{X}} = \boldsymbol{X}_2$, the corresponding system of $2m$-first order Itô-SDEs for Eq. (20) can be obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_{1j}(t) &= X_{2j}dt \\ dX_{2j}(t) &= g_j(t, \boldsymbol{X})\,dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)dB_k \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where, X_{1j} and X_{2j} are the displacement and velocity states at the $j-(th)$ DOF for $j = \{1, 2, 3.....m\}$, m being the number of DOF. Noting the normalization $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{C}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{K}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, the drift term is identified as,

$$g_j(t, \boldsymbol{X}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{C}_{jk}X_{2k} - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{K}_{jk}X_{1k} - \tilde{\Omega}_j(t, \boldsymbol{X}) \tag{22}$$

The complete state vector is given by $\boldsymbol{X}_j = \left\{X_{1j}, X_{2j}\right\}^T$ and σ_{jk} is the (j,k)-th element of diffusion matrix $\mathbf{F}$. Assuming that the drift vector $g_j(t, \boldsymbol{X})$ can be decomposed into linear and non-linear parts as: $g_j(t, \boldsymbol{X}) = g_j^l(t, \boldsymbol{X}) + g_j^{nl}(t, \boldsymbol{X})$, the Eq. (21) can be rephrased as:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_{1j}(t) &= X_{2j}dt \\ dX_{2j}(t) &= \left(g_j^l(t, \boldsymbol{X}) + g_j^{nl}(t, \boldsymbol{X})\right)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)dB_k \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The evolution of state X_{1j} is comparatively straightforward as compared to that of X_{2j}, and does not require any special treatment. At this stage, one can approximate the non-linear function $g_j^{nl}(t, \boldsymbol{X})$ over $t \in [t_i, t_{i-1}]$ by Itô-Taylor expansion as:

$$g_j^{nl}(t, \boldsymbol{X}) = g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \mathfrak{I}^0\left(g_j^{nl}(s, \boldsymbol{X}_s)\right)ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \mathfrak{I}^1\left(g_j^{nl}(s, \boldsymbol{X}_s)\right)dB \tag{24}$$

where, $\mathfrak{I}^0(.)$ and $\mathfrak{I}^1(.)$ are the SDE generators [10]. Since, the aim this work is to keep the EM as simple and computationally efficient as its traditional form, by retaining the first term in the above expansion of $g_j^{nl}(t, \boldsymbol{X})$, one can obtain the modified SDE of the Eq. (21) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_{1j}(t) &= X_{2j}dt \\ dX_{2j}(t) &= \left(g_j^l(t, \boldsymbol{X}) + g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)dB_k \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

A comparison between Eq. (23) and Eq. (25) provides an error process: $\delta_j = g_j^l(t, \boldsymbol{X}) - g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})$. Then, by incorporating the error process in Eq. (23) a change of measure for Brownian process can be effected by modifying the P-Brownian motion $B(t)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} dX_{2j}(t) &= \left(g_j^l(t, \boldsymbol{X}) + g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}) + \delta_j\right)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)dB_k \\ &= \left(g_j^l(t, \boldsymbol{X}) + g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j dt + f_{jk}(t)dB_k\right) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Without any loss of generality, applying: $\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\delta_j dt + f_{jk}(t)dB_k\right) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)\left(f_{jk}^{-1}(t)\delta_j dt + dB_k\right)$ and substituting the appropriate error process, the following SDEs in the measure P can be easily obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} dX_{1j} &= X_{2j}dt \\ dX_{2j} &= \left(g_j^l(t,\boldsymbol{X}) + g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1},\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)\left\{f_{jk}^{-1}(t)\left(g_j^n(t,\boldsymbol{X}) - g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1},\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)dt + dB_k(t)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The main aim of this work is to simulate the system in an equivalent form where the error gets eliminated without effecting the dynamics of the system. This means that the present framework must remove the error so that the SDE in measure Q takes the following form:

$$dX_{2j} = \left(g_j^l(t,\boldsymbol{X}) + g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1},\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)d\tilde{B}_k(t) \tag{28}$$

Referring to Eq. (18) the scalar valued shifting process, $\gamma(t,\boldsymbol{X})$ can be identified from the integral representation of above equation as, $\gamma(t,\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}^{-1}(t)\left(g_j^l(t,\boldsymbol{X}) - g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1},\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)$. The Q-Brownian process $\tilde{B}_k(t)$ is formulated as:

$$d\tilde{B}_k(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}^{-1}(t)\left(g_j^l(t,\boldsymbol{X}) - g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1},\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)dt + dB_k(t) \tag{29}$$

The change of measure $P \rightarrow Q$ is then constructed using the Radon-Nikodym derivative as follows:

$$\Lambda(t_i) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\gamma_k(s,\boldsymbol{X})\,d\tilde{B}_k(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}(\gamma_k(s,\boldsymbol{X}))^2 ds\right)\right) \tag{30}$$

For ease of understanding, let the Radon-Nikodym derivative are computed as: $\Lambda(t_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n}\Lambda_k(t_i)$. Noting that $\gamma_k(t,\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{jk}^{-1}(t)\delta_j(t,\boldsymbol{X}_t,\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})$ for $k = \{1,\ldots,n\}$, the term $\Lambda_k(t_i)$ is given as:

$$\Lambda_k(t_i) = \exp\left(\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\gamma_k(s,\boldsymbol{X})d\tilde{B}_k - \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}(\gamma_k(s,\boldsymbol{X}))^2 ds\right) \tag{31}$$

The first integral term can be further simplified by expanding the stochastic exponential into a series of Itô integrals. Towards this, let us exploit the Itô-product rule (stochastic integration by parts):

$$d\left(\gamma_k\tilde{B}_k\right) = \gamma_k d\tilde{B}_k + \tilde{B}_k d\gamma_k + d\left[\gamma_k\tilde{B}_k\right] \tag{32}$$

Rearranging the terms, the integral representation of the above expression then follows:

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\gamma_k d\tilde{B}_k = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} d\left(\gamma_k\tilde{B}_k\right) - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_k d\gamma_k - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} d\gamma_k d\tilde{B}_k \tag{33}$$

Here, the Itô lemma for 2-dimensions with quadratic identities [24]: $dt^2 = 0$, $dB_t^2 = dt$ and $dB_t dt = 0$, can be applied to evaluate $d\gamma_k$ by incorporating the diffusions from Eq. (21) as:

$$d\gamma_k = \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_k}{\partial x_1}\right)^T dx_1 + \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_k}{\partial x_2}\right)^T dx_2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_k}{\partial x_2^2}dx_2\right)^T dx_2 \tag{34}$$

Upon substitution of Eq. (34) in to Eq. (33), the following is obtained (more details are available in [18]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\gamma_k d\tilde{B}_k = \; & \left[\gamma_k\tilde{B}_k\right]_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} - \left[\tilde{B}_k^2(\partial_{x_2}\gamma_k)^T f_k\right]_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}(\partial_{x_2}\gamma_k)^T f_k ds - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_k(\partial_{x_1}\gamma_k)^T x_2 ds \\ & - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_k(\partial_{x_2}\gamma_k)^T\tilde{g}_j ds - \tfrac{1}{2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_k\left(\partial_{x_2}^2\gamma_k\right)^T f_k ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}_k^2\left[\partial_{x_1x_2}^2\gamma_k\right]f_k\right)^T x_2 ds \\ & + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}_k^2\left[\partial_{x_2}^2\gamma_k\right]f_k\right)^T\tilde{g}_j ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}_k\left[\partial_{x_2}^2\gamma_k\right]f_k\right)^T f_k ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}_k^2\left[\partial_{x_2}^2\gamma_k\right]f_k\right)^T f_k d\tilde{B}_k \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Here, $\partial_{x_i}(.)$ and $\partial^2_{x_i}(.)$ are the first and second order partial derivatives with respect to state x_i. For the non-linear systems in structural and mechanical science, the second order derivative with respect to the state variable x_2 gets nullified, hence the Itô-integral in Radon-Nikodym derivative gets eliminated. For further treatment the boundary and integral terms can be separated and presented as $\Lambda_k(t_i) = \Lambda_k^{(1)}(t_i)\Lambda_k^{(2)}(t_i)$, thus the Radon-Nikodym derivative can be now obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(t_i) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n} \Lambda_k^{(1)}(t_i)\Lambda_k^{(2)}(t_i) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n} \underbrace{\exp\left(\left[\gamma_k \tilde{B}_k\right]_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} - \left[\tilde{B}_k^2(\partial_{x_2}\gamma_k)^T f_k\right]_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\right)}_{\Lambda_k^{(1)}(t_i)} . \underbrace{\exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi_k(\boldsymbol{X}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}, \tilde{B}_s)ds\right)}_{\Lambda_k^{(2)}(t_i)}\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Recalling, $\gamma_k(t, \boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{jk}^{-1}(t)\delta_j(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})$, the term $\phi_k(\boldsymbol{X}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}, \tilde{B}_t)$ is identified from Eq. (35) as:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_k(\boldsymbol{X}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}, \tilde{B}_t) = &-(\partial_{x_2}\gamma_k)^T f_k - \tilde{B}_k(\partial_{x_1}\gamma_k)^T X_2 - \tilde{B}_k(\partial_{x_2}\gamma_k)^T \tilde{g}_j - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{B}_k\left(\partial^2_{x_2}\gamma_k\right)^T f_k \\ &+ \left(\tilde{B}_k^2\left[\partial^2_{x_1x_2}\gamma_k\right]f_k\right)^T X_2 + \left(\tilde{B}_k^2\left[\partial^2_{x_2}\gamma_k\right]f_k\right)^T \tilde{g}_j + \left(\tilde{B}_k\left[\partial^2_{x_2}\gamma_k\right]f_k\right)^T f_k - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_k(s, \boldsymbol{X}))^2\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Although $\Lambda_k^{(2)}(t_i)$ does not explicitly contains stochastic terms, the integrals are still a function of Brownian process. The use of rejection sampling for evaluation of stochastic integrals reduce the complexity in such situation. The concept of rejection sampling for evaluation of $H(X_t) = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi(s, B_s)ds$ type of integrals can be pursued in detail in [23]. Here, the idea is to form a binary indicator $\mathcal{I}$ such that $P(\mathcal{I} = 1 \,|\boldsymbol{X}_t) = \exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi ds\right)$, where X_t is finite collection of sample trajectory simulated between $t_{i-1} \to t_i$. The particle is accepted with probability: $\exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi ds\right)$, otherwise, rejected with probability: $1 - \exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi ds\right)$. To ensure that the error is bounded i.e. $\left\|\delta_j\right\|_2 < \infty$, a constraint on $\phi(t, B_t)$ is formed as:

$$0 \leq \phi(t, B_t) \leq \Delta_t^{-1} \tag{38}$$

where, $\Delta_t = t_i - t_{i-1}$ is the time step. In order to ensure that acceptance of particles are more, the particles having probability: $\exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi ds\right)$ can be obtained by drawing random variables $(u, v) \sim \mathcal{U}\left([t_{i-1}, t_i] \times \left(0, \Delta_t^{-1}\right)\right)$ such that $\{\phi(u) \geq v\}$ will have the desired probability. The RN-derivative $\Lambda(t)$ is a positive quantity (> 0) and it can be easily shown that for $B(t_0) = 0$ the RN-derivative $\Lambda(t_0) = 1$. The aim of the rejection sampling is to sample a path such that the error $\left\|\delta_j\right\|_2$ is minimized thereby the process $\|\gamma\|_2$ becomes infinitesimal small quantity. This automatically renders the RN-derivative $\Lambda(t)$ close to 1, ensuring a higher sample acceptance ratio in the rejection sampling. The indicator function $\mathcal{I}$ is constructed as $w \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$. Then the occurrence of the event having probability: $1 - \exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi ds\right)$ suffices following condition [23]:

$$\phi(X(u)) < v \quad \text{or} \quad w > \frac{1}{k!} \tag{39}$$

For the dicretization $(t_{i-1} = t_1) \leq t_2 \ldots \leq (t_n = t_i)$, one can find an ordered sequence $\phi(\boldsymbol{X}(t_r)); r \in [1, n]$ for simulated uniform random numbers within $[t_i, t_{i-1}]$. Then with the aid of rejection sampling the accepted paths are determined. The accepted paths are then resampled using the weight $\Lambda_k^{(1)}(t_r)$ for the set $\{t_{i-1} < t_t < t_i : r \in [1, n]\}$, which completes the correction of the EM approximated samples paths. Finally, required resampling of the set of paths $X(t_r)$ are done in order to obtain the target moments. The EM sample paths for the SDE in Eq. (21) over interval $t \in [t_i, t_{i-1}]$ are created using the following discretization:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{1j}(t_i) &= X_{1j}(t_{i-1}) + X_{2j}(t_{i-1})dt \\ X_{2j}(t_i) &= X_{2j}(t_{i-1}) + \left(g_j^n(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}) + g_j^{nl}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\right)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{jk}(t)dB_k\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

A pseudo code for the proposed framework, following the line of development in [23] is provided in 1.

4 Numerical demonstrations:

This section extends the understanding of the aforementioned framework and demonstrates application of near Exact Euler-Maruyama (n-EEM) method over few class of nonlinear oscillators. Three nonlinear systems have been taken:

Algorithm 1 Pseudo code for proposed near Exact Euler-Maruyama framework

$X(t_0) = 0$, and form N partition for interval [0,T]
for $t_i \in [t_1, t_N]$ **do**
  Generate a sequence within $t_i := t_r \in \{t_{i-1} = t_1 \leq t_2 \ldots \leq t_n = t_i\}$
  Draw w ~ Unif(0, 1) for the binary indicator $\mathcal{I}$
  Set a sequence counter k = 0
  for $r \in [1, n]$, within the time instant t_i **do**
    Draw $(u, v) \sim \text{Unif}\left(0, \Delta_{t_i}^{-1}\right)$
    Update the counter k = k+1
    Find $\Delta B_r = B(t_r) - B(t_{r-1})$
    Find the Euler-Maruyama trajectory $\boldsymbol{X}(u(t_t))$
    Evaluate $\phi\left(X\left(u_{t_r}\right)\right)$ at instant t_r
    if $\phi\left(\boldsymbol{X}\left(u_{t_r}\right)\right) < v_{t_r}$ or $w > \frac{1}{k!}$ **then**
      if k is even, **then**
        $\phi\left(X\left(u_{t_r}\right)\right)$ is retained
        $\Lambda_k^{(2)}(t_i) = \exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \phi_k ds\right)$
      else
        $\mathcal{I}$=0, and $\phi\left(X\left(u_{t_r}\right)\right)$ is rejected
    else
      Repeat Step. 7→17
  Perform the resampling using the weight $\Lambda_k^{(1)}(t_i)$ to the accepted particle sets $\{X(t_t) : r \in [1, n]\}$

(i) A Rayleigh Duffing-Van der pol (RDVP) oscillator driven by additive stochastic noise, (ii) A Duffing-Van der pol (DVP) oscillator driven by multiplicative noise and deterministic sinusoidal excitation (iii) a 2-DOF non-linear system. To evaluate the improvement over the existing GCLM method, the solutions are compared with the available exact method like the FPK equation [26] and more accurate approximate methods like Itô Taylor weak 3.0 scheme [10].

4.1 Rayleigh Van der pol (RVP) oscillator driven by additive stochastic noise

The Rayleigh Van der pol oscillator is taken from the study [26], whose governing equation of motion is:

$$\ddot{X}(t) + \left(h_1 + h_3 X^2(t) + h_3 \dot{X}^2(t)\right)\dot{X}(t) + X(t) = \sigma \dot{B}(t) \tag{41}$$

where, h_1 and h_3 are the scalar valued parameters of the RVP oscillator, σ is the noise intensity of the stochastic force $B(t)$. The stochastic force is modeled as zero mean Gaussian white noise ($\mathcal{N} \sim (0, 1)$). Using the state-space transformation $X = X_1$ and $\dot{X} = X_2$ Eq. (41) can be rewritten in the form of Itô-diffusion SDE as:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_1(t) &= X_2(t)dt \\ dX_2(t) &= \left(\left(-h_1 - h_3 X_1^2(t) - h_3 X_2^2(t)\right)X_2(t) - X_1(t)\right)dt + \sigma dB(t) \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

with respective drift and diffusion matrix as:

$$\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) = \begin{bmatrix} X_2(t) \\ \left(-h_1 - h_3 X_1^2(t) - h_3 X_2^2(t)\right)X_2(t) - X_1(t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \tag{43}$$

Let the time of integration be $t \in [0, T]$ and the interval is partitioned into N steps $0 = t_0 < \ldots < t_i < \ldots < t_N = T$ for $i = 1 \ldots N$. If the increments are defined as $\Delta B_i = (B_{i+1} - B_i)$ and $\Delta t_i = (t_{i+1} - t_i)$, then one can generate the sample paths from the Euler Maruyama mapping of the above Itô-SDEs as:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(t_i) &= X_1(t_{i-1}) + g_1\left(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}}\right)\Delta t \\ X_2(t_i) &= X_2(t_{i-1}) + g_2\left(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}}\right)\Delta t + f_2 \Delta B_i \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Considering the same form of Itô diffusion equation as in Eq 42, the linear $\mathbf{g}^l$ and nonlinear $\mathbf{g}^{nl}$ coefficient of the drift term are obtained as:

$$\mathbf{g}^l = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -h_1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{g}^{nl} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -h_3 X_1^2 - h_3 \dot{X}_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{45}$$

Applying the Girsanov's transformation over the diffusion Eq 42, new diffusion equation with a different measure is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_1(t) &= X_2(t)dt \\ dX_2(t) &= \left(-X_1(t) - h_1X_2(t) - h_3X_{1,i-1}^2X_{2,i-1} - h_3X_{1,i-1}^3\right)dt + \sigma d\tilde{B}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

with, $\delta = h_3X_1^2(t)X_2(t) + h_3X_2^3(t) - h_3X_{1,i-1}^2X_{2,i-1} - h_3X_{2,i-1}^3$. Here, $\tilde{B}(t)$ is the equivalent Brownian motion in Q-measure, defined as:

$$d\tilde{B}(t) = -\sigma^{-1}\left(h_3X_1^2(t)X_2(t) + h_3X_2^3(t) - h_3X_{1,i-1}^2X_{2,i-1} - h_3X_{2,i-1}^3\right)dt + dB(t) \tag{47}$$

Identifying, $\tilde{g} = -X_1(t) - h_1X_2(t) - h_3X_{1,i-1}^2X_{2,i-1} - h_3X_{2,i-1}^3$ and
$\gamma(\boldsymbol{X}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}) = -\sigma^{-1}\left(h_3X_1^2(t)X_2(t) + h_3X_2^3(t) - h_3X_{1,i-1}^2X_{2,i-1} - h_3X_{2,i-1}^3\right)$, the associated Radon Nikodym derivative Λ_t originating due to this change of measure $P \to Q$ can be obtained by substituting k=1 in Eq. (31). As it is already discussed that the form of Λ_t which being a probability density function in Eq. (31) can not be obtained explicitly as it contains the stochastic exponential terms, needs to expanded using Itô-Taylor expansion. After evaluation of the terms in the Eq. (35), provided in A, Λ_t can be obtained as an exponential product of terms involving boundary and integral expressions as:

$$\Lambda_{t_i} = \underbrace{\exp\left(\gamma\tilde{B}\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} - \tilde{B}^2\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_2}\sigma\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\right)}_{\Lambda_t{}^{(1)}} . \underbrace{\exp\left(-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\phi\left(\boldsymbol{X}_s, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}, \tilde{B}_s\right)ds\right)}_{\Lambda_t{}^{(2)}} \tag{48}$$

where, $\Lambda_t^{(1)}$ and $\Lambda_t^{(2)}$ can be found as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{t_i}^{(1)} &= \exp\left[\begin{array}{l} -\sigma^{-1}\left\{\begin{array}{l} \left(h_3X_{1,i}^2X_{2,i} + h_3X_{2,i}^3\right)\tilde{B}_i - \left(h_3X_{1,r}^2X_{2,r} + h_3X_{2,r}^3\right)\tilde{B}_r \\ -\left(h_3X_{1,r}^2X_{2,r} + h_3X_{2,r}^3\right)\left(\tilde{B}_i - \tilde{B}_r\right) \end{array}\right\} \\ -\tilde{B}_i^2\left(h_3X_{1,i}^2 + 3h_3X_{2,i}^2\right) + \tilde{B}_r^2\left(h_3X_{1,r}^2 + 3h_3X_{2,r}^2\right) \end{array}\right] \\ \Lambda_{t_i}^{(2)} &= \exp\left\{-\int\phi(s)\,dt\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Here, $B_r = B_{t_r}$, $X_{1,i} = X_1(t_i)$, $X_{1,r} = X_1(t_r)$ and $B_i \approx (B_i - B_r) \sim N\left(0, \sqrt{t_i - t_r}\right)$ are the re-sampled Brownian increments, $t_r = (t_{i-1} \ldots t_i)$ is the re-sampled time instants. The term $\phi(t)$ at each time instant t_i is evaluated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) = &-\left(h_3X_{1,i}^2 + 3h_3X_{2,i}^2\right) - \tilde{B}_t\sigma^{-1}\left(2h_3X_{1,i}X_{2,i}\right)X_{2,i} - \tilde{B}_t\sigma^{-1}\left(h_3X_{1,i}^2 + 3h_3X_{2,i}^2\right)\tilde{g} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\tilde{B}_t\left(6h_3X_{2,i}\right)\sigma + \tilde{B}_r^2\left(2h_3X_{1,i}\right)X_{2,i} + \tilde{B}_t^2\left(6h_3X_{2,i}\right)\tilde{g}dt + \tilde{B}_t\left(-6h_3X_{2,i}\right)\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The exact stationary density function for Rayleigh Van der pol oscillator can be found in [26] as:

$$p(X_1, X_2) = C\exp\left\{-\frac{\eta_3}{2}{X_2}^4 - (\eta_1 + 2\eta_3U(X_1))\left({\eta_2}^2 + U(X_1)\right) - \eta_1U(X_1)\right\} \tag{51}$$

with following terms,

$$\eta_3 = \frac{h_3}{2\sigma^2D_{11}} > 0, \quad \eta_1 = \frac{h_1 + 2h_3U(X_1)}{2\sigma^2D_{11}}, \quad U(X_1) = \frac{{X_1}^2}{2}$$

The value of constant C is obtained by the property that $\int\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(X_1, X_2)\,dX_1dX_2 = 1$. Once the density is available, the mean squared moments of system responses can be found with no effort.

The mean time responses of $E\left[X^2\right]$ and $E\left[\dot{X}^2\right]$ are computed using $\Delta t = 0.01$s with an ensemble size of 100. An initial condition of $\left(X_0, \dot{X}_0\right) = (0.01, 0.01)$ is assumed for the simulation. The parameters of the oscillator were taken as: $h_1 = 1$, $h_3 = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$. The squared moments of system states along with the acceptance ratio from rejection sampling are portrayed in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. It is evident from Fig. 1 that, as the time history progresses the second moment trajectory obtained using proposed n-EEM scheme approximates the stationary solution, whereas, the moment trajectories obtained from the EM and GCLM diverges far from the stationary solution. In Fig. 2, the acceptance ratios obtained using GCLM and the proposed n-EEM are shown. It is noted that the proposed approach yields a higher

acceptance ratio with comparatively less fluctuation; this is because the EM based proposal density used in this paper and the target density resides in close proximity of each other. On the contrary, the proposal density used in GCLM is a crude approximation of the target density and hence, fluctuations in acceptance ratio is observed. This comparison of the acceptance ratio for the proposed n-EEM and available GCLM scheme demonstrates the computational efficiency of the proposed scheme over available GCLM method that also shares a similar rejection sampling scheme.




Figure 1: Sample averaged mean squared moments of displacement and velocity state of the RVP oscillator for $h_1 = 1$, $h_3 = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$




Figure 2: Acceptance ratio of the sample paths in rejection sampling for RVP oscillator for $h_1 = 1$, $h_3 = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$

4.2 Duffing-Van der pol (DVP) oscillator driven by stochastic and sinusoidal excitation

The system is taken from the literature [10] where the non-linearity arises due to an additive cubic dissipation force. The dynamics of the system is governed by the following equation:

$$m\ddot{X}(t) + c\dot{X}(t) - kX(t) + \alpha X^3(t) = \rho X(t)\dot{B}(t) + A\sin(2\pi\omega t) \tag{52}$$

where, m, k and c are mass, stiffness and damping of the system, respectively, α is the parameter of the DVP oscillator, ρ is the noise intensity of the stochastic force $\dot{B}(t)$. The force $B(t)$ is modeled as a zero mean Gaussian white noise and ω is the frequency of sinusoidal excitation. Through the state-space transformation $X = X_1$ and $\dot{X} = X_2$ Eq. (52) can be rewritten in the form of Itô-diffusion SDE as:

$$d\begin{bmatrix} X_1(t) \\ X_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} X_2(t) \\ -\frac{1}{m}\left(-kX_1(t) + cX_2(t) + \alpha {X_1}^3(t)\right) + \frac{A}{m}\sin(2\pi\omega t) \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{g}(t,\boldsymbol{X}_t)} dt + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\rho X_1(t)}{m} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{f}(t,\boldsymbol{X}_t)} dB(t) \tag{53}$$

One can find the Euler Maruyama mapping for the system follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(t_i) &= X_1(t_{i-1}) + g_1(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}})\Delta t \\ X_2(t_i) &= X_2(t_{i-1}) + g_2(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}})\Delta t + f_2(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{t_{i-1}})\Delta B_i \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The linear and nonlinear drift coefficient matrices: $\mathbf{g}^l$ and $\mathbf{g}^{nl}$ are identified from the drift matrix $\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)$ as:

$$\mathbf{g}^l = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{k}{m} & -\frac{c}{m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g}^{nl} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{\alpha {X_1}^3(t)}{m} \end{bmatrix} \tag{55}$$

An equivalent SDE of the diffusion in Eq. (53) in the Q-measure through Girsanov transformation is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_1(t) &= X_2(t)dt \\ dX_2(t) &= \left(\tfrac{k}{m}X_1(t) - \tfrac{c}{m}X_2(t) - \tfrac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\right)dt + \tfrac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\left[-\left(\tfrac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\right)^{-1}\left(\tfrac{\alpha}{m}X_1^3(t) - \tfrac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\right)dt + \tfrac{X_1(t)}{m}dB(t)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

One can verify that the Q-Brownian motion is given as:

$$d\tilde{B}(t) = -\left(\frac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{m}X_1^3(t) - \frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\right)dt + dB(t) \tag{57}$$

Further, noting that $\tilde{g} = \left(\frac{k}{m}X_1(t) - \frac{c}{m}X_2(t) - \frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\right)$ and $\gamma(\boldsymbol{X}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}) = -\left[\left(\frac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{m}X_1^3(t) - \frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\right)\right]$, the Λ_t associated with this change of measure has the form in Eq. (31). The detailed calculation for the estimate of the term: $\exp\left\{\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\gamma(\boldsymbol{X}_s, \boldsymbol{X}_{i-1})\,d\tilde{B}(s)\right\}$ is given in B. Noting that Λ_{t_i} can be reduced in to the form: $\Lambda_{t_i} = \Lambda_{t_i}^{(1)}\Lambda_{t_i}^{(2)}$, they are found as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{t_i}^{(1)} &= \exp\left[-\left(\tfrac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\right)^{-1}\left(\tfrac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i}^3\tilde{B}_i - \tfrac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,r}^3\tilde{B}_r - \tfrac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\left(\tilde{B}_i - \tilde{B}_r\right)\right)\right] \\ \Lambda_{t_i}^{(2)} &= \exp\left\{-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}_s\left(-3\left(\tfrac{\rho X_1(s)}{m}\right)^{-1}\tfrac{\alpha}{m}X_1^2(s)\right)X_2(s) + \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma^2\right)dt\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The second moments $E\left[X^2\right]$ and $E\left[\dot{X}^2\right]$ for the system are computed using $\Delta t = 0.01$s with an effective ensemble size of 100. The parameters were taken as, m =1kg, k = 60N/m, α = 2, c =1.5kNs/m and ρ = 0.5. The initial conditions were: $\left(X_0, \dot{X}_0\right) = (0.01, 0.01)$. In absence of the exact stationary solution, the reference second-moment time histories of system displacement and velocity are generated using the weak 3.0 Itô-Taylor scheme with 1000 Monte Carlo. The second order moment of the responses for this case study is presented in Fig. 3, which clearly shows that, as the time progresses the second moments obtained using the proposed n-EEM scheme converges to the reference solution, whereas, the second moments of the EM and GCLM solutions does not converges to the reference solution. In Fig. 4 the acceptance ratios in proposed n-EEM is compared with the available GCLM method. initially, a relatively lower acceptance ratio is observed for n-EEM. This is because the EM based proposal density yields a poor approximation of the target density. However, as time progresses, the quality of the EM based proposal density improves as evident from the higher acceptance ratio. In case of GCLM method, the lower acceptance ratio throughout the time indicates its inefficiency.




Figure 3: Mean squared responses of displacement and velocity states of DVP oscillator for $m = 1$kg, $k = 60$N/m, $\alpha = 2$, $c = 1.5$Ns/m and $\rho = 0.5$




Figure 4: Sample acceptance ratio from rejection sampling for DVP oscillator $m = 1$kg, $k = 60$N/m, $\alpha = 2$, $c = 1.5$Ns/m and $\rho = 0.5$

4.3 A 2-DOF non-linear system driven by stochastic excitation

This example demonstrates the possible extension of the proposed method towards an MDOF system. A 2-DOF non-linear oscillator is considered here whose dynamical equation of motions are sa follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\ddot{X}_1(t) + (c_1 + c_2)\,\dot{X}_1(t) - c_2\dot{X}_2(t) + (k_1 + k_1)\,X_1(t) - k_2X_2(t) + \alpha X_1^2(t)\dot{X}_1(t) = \sigma_1\dot{B}_1\,(t) \\ &\ddot{X}_2(t) - c_2\dot{X}_1(t) + c_2\dot{X}_2(t) - k_2X_1(t) + k_2X_2(t) + \beta X_2^3(t) = \sigma_2\dot{B}_2\,(t) \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where, c_i and k_i are the respective damping and stiffness of the i^{th} DOF of the oscillator, α and β are the co-efficient of non-linear dissipation forces, σ_i is the noise intensity of the Brownian motion $\dot{B}_i(t)$ at i^{th}-DOF. A state-space model containing four variables: $X_1 = Y_1, \dot{X}_1 = Y_2, X_2 = Y_3, \dot{X}_2 = Y_4$, helps to construct the first order Itô-SDEs for the system in the following form: $d\boldsymbol{Y}(t) = \mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{Y}_t)\, dt + \mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{Y}_t)\, d\boldsymbol{B}(t)$, where, the respective drift and diffusion matrices for the system is:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}(t, \boldsymbol{Y}_t) &= \begin{bmatrix} Y_2(t) \\ -\left\{(c_1 + c_2)\, Y_2(t) - c_2 Y_4(t) + (k_1 + k_1)\, Y_1(t) - k_2 Y_3(t) + \alpha Y_1^2(t) Y_2(t)\right\} \\ Y_4(t) \\ -\left\{-c_2 Y_2(t) + c_2 Y_4(t) - k_2 Y_1(t) + k_2 Y_3(t) + \beta Y_3^3(t)\right\} \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{f}(t, \boldsymbol{Y}_t) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

One can find the Euler Maruyama mapping for the above SDE as:

$$\boldsymbol{Y}(t_i) = \boldsymbol{Y}(t_{i-1}) + \mathbf{g}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{t_{i-1}})\, \Delta t_i + \mathbf{f}(t_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{t_{i-1}})\, \Delta \boldsymbol{B}(t_i) \tag{61}$$

For the proposed method the drift matrix can be decomposed into linear and nonlinear matrices as:

$$\mathbf{g}^l = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -(k_1 + k_2) & -(c_1 + c_2) & k_2 & c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ k_2 & c_2 & -k_2 & -c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g}^{nl} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\alpha Y_1^2(t) Y_2(t) \\ 0 \\ -\beta Y_3^3(t) \end{bmatrix} \tag{62}$$

The transformed system of SDEs in Q-measure is then given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
dY_1(t) &= Y_2(t)dt \\
dY_2(t) &= -\left\{(c_1 + c_2)\, Y_2(t) - c_2 Y_4(t) + (k_1 + k_1)\, Y_1(t) - k_2 Y_3(t) + \alpha Y_1^2(t) Y_2(t)\right\} dt + \sigma_1 d\tilde{B}_1(t) \\
dY_3(t) &= Y_4(t)dt \\
dY_4(t) &= -\left\{-c_2 Y_2(t) + c_2 Y_4(t) - k_2 Y_1(t) + k_2 Y_3(t) + \beta Y_3^3(t)\right\} dt + \sigma_2 d\tilde{B}_2(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where, the Q-Brownian motions are given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\tilde{B}_1(t) &= -\sigma_1^{-1} \alpha \left(Y_1^2(t) Y_2(t) - Y_1^2(t_{i-1}) Y_2(t_{i-1})\right) dt + dB_1(t) \\
d\tilde{B}_1(t) &= -\sigma_2^{-1} \beta \left(Y_3^3(t) - Y_3^3(t_{i-1})\right) dt + dB_2(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

Identified that $\gamma_1(\boldsymbol{Y}_t, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1}) = -\sigma_1^{-1} \alpha \left(Y_1^2(t) Y_2(t) - Y_1^2(t_{i-1}) Y_2(t_{i-1})\right)$ and $\gamma_2(\boldsymbol{Y}_t, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1}) = -\sigma_2^{-1} \beta \left(Y_3^3(t) - Y_3^3(t_{i-1})\right)$, the Radon Nikodym derivative associated with this change of measure for the 2-DOF system can be verified as:

$$\Lambda_{t_i} = \exp\left\{ \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma_1(\boldsymbol{Y}_s, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1})\, d\tilde{B}_1(s) + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma_2(\boldsymbol{Y}_s, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1})\, d\tilde{B}_2(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(\gamma_1(\boldsymbol{Y}_s, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1})^2 + \gamma_2(\boldsymbol{Y}_s, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1})^2\right) ds \right\} \tag{65}$$

The evaluation of the stochastic exponential: $\exp\left\{\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma_2(\boldsymbol{Y}_s, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1})\, d\tilde{B}_2(s)\right\}$ and $\exp\left\{\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma_1(\boldsymbol{Y}_s, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1})\, d\tilde{B}_1(s)\right\}$ are provided in C. The reduced form of $\Lambda(t)$ can then be obtained as:

$$\Lambda_{t_i} = \underbrace{\exp \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left(\gamma_k \tilde{B}_k \Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} - \tilde{B}_k^2 \frac{\partial \gamma_k}{\partial y_{2k}} \sigma_k \Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \right)}_{\Lambda_{t_i}^{(1)}} \underbrace{\exp\left(- \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_k(\boldsymbol{Y}_s, \boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1}, \tilde{B}_s) ds \right)}_{\Lambda_{t_i}^{(2)}} \tag{66}$$

where, $\varepsilon_1(t) = Y_1^2(t)Y_2(t) - Y_1^2(t_{i-1})Y_2(t_{i-1})$ and $\varepsilon_2(t) = Y_3^3(t) - Y_3^3(t_{i-1})$. Further, $\Lambda_{t_i}^{(1)}$ and $\Lambda_{t_i}^{(2)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{t_i}^{(1)} = \; & \exp\Big\{-\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha\left(Y_{1,i}^2 Y_{2,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i} - Y_{1,r}^2 Y_{2,r}\tilde{B}_{1,r} - Y_{1,r}^2 Y_{2,r}\left(\tilde{B}_{1,i} - \tilde{B}_{1,r}\right)\right) \\
& -\sigma_2^{-1}\beta\left(Y_{3,i}^3 - Y_{3,r}^3 - Y_{3,r}^3\left(\tilde{B}_{1,i} - \tilde{B}_{1,r}\right)\right)\Big\} \\
\Lambda_{t_i}^{(2)} = \; & \exp\left\{-\int \psi\,(t)\,dt\right\}, \text{with} \\
\phi(t) = \; & -\alpha Y_1^2(t) - 2\tilde{B}_1(t)\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1(t)Y_2^2(t) - \tilde{B}_1(t)\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1^2(t)\tilde{g} - 2B_1^2(t)\alpha Y_1(t)Y_2(t) - 3\sigma_2^{-1}\beta Y_3^2(t)Y_4(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

The simulation results for the 2-DOF oscillator is obtained using a Monte-Carlo ensemble of size 1000 at a time rate of $\Delta t = 0.01$s. The system parameters are taken as: $m_1 = m_2 = 1$kg, $k_1 = k_2 = 100$N/m, $c_1 = c_2 = 7.75$Ns/m, $\alpha = \beta = 100$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$. An initial condition as: $(\mathbf{X}_0) = (0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01)$ is applied to the system. The reference solution obtained using higher order weak 3 Itô-Taylor method. The second moment time histories of the first-DOF: $E\left[X_1^2\right]$ and $E\left[\dot{X}_1^2\right]$ are portrayed in Fig. 5 and that of the second-DOF: $E\left[X_2^2\right]$ and $E\left[\dot{X}_2^2\right]$ are presented in Fig. 6. It can be observed from Figs. 5 and 6 that as the time progresses, the proposed near exact EM method provides almost exact estimation of the second moments of both displacement and velocity states which finally converges to the solution of weak 3 Taylor method.




Figure 5: Mean squared histories of the displacement and velocity states of the 2-DOF oscillator (first DOF) with parameters: $m_1 = m_2 = 1$kg, $k_1 = k_2 = 100$N/m, $c_1 = c_2 = 7.75$Ns/m, $\alpha = \beta = 100$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$




Figure 6: Mean squared histories of the displacement and velocity states of the 2-DOF oscillator (second DOF) with parameters: $m_1 = m_2 = 1$kg, $k_1 = k_2 = 100$N/m, $c_1 = c_2 = 7.75$Ns/m, $\alpha = \beta = 100$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$

But in cases of the existing GCLM scheme, the solutions only provides good approximation in case of second order displacement moments: $E\left[X_1^2\right]$ and $E\left[X_2^2\right]$, and shows a poor estimation in case of second order velocity moments. In Fig. 7, the acceptance ratio of the samples in rejection sampling for the proposed n-EEM scheme is compared with the GCLM method. Since, the solution of EM scheme without any correction lies in the proximity of the higher order solution, the acceptance ratios of the sample paths are almost 1.




Figure 7: Acceptance ratio of the samples in rejection sampling for the 2-DOF oscillator




Figure 8: Amount of time involved in the rejection sampling to populate sample set at each time instant between the interval $t \in [0, 40]$s with $\Delta t = 0.01$

Additionally it is observed that the time required for sample generation to populate the sample set in rejection sampling is almost identical for both the scheme. But, GCLM scheme exploits the local transversal scheme to generate the sample path for rejection sampling which is computationally expensive due to the need for computation of matrix exponential. The proposed n-EEM framework exploits a relatively efficient EM scheme to generate the sample paths for rejection sampling. Therefore, even for similar acceptance ratio, the proposed approach is computationally efficient as compared to the existing GCLM (see Fig. 8).

5 Conclusions

This work provides a new framework for the near exact simulation of the non-linear stochastic differential equations using Euler-Maruyama by formulating a change of measure based sampling strategy. In the simulation of non-linear systems, the errors mainly arise due to the limitation in the treatment of the non-linear functions rather than the linear function. In this work, the error in the non-linear approximation is eliminated from the solution by formulating a change of measure for the Brownian process through Girsanov's transform. The change of measure is computed in terms of a Radon-Nikodym derivative using a rejection sampling framework. The algorithm stipulates that the sample paths must satisfy the RN-derivative arsing due to the Girsanov's transformation. The efficacy of this proposed framework in solving nonlinear oscillators is studied using few non-linear stochastic systems and compared with stationary solutions wherever possible. In absence of a stationary solution, a comparison is made with higher order Weak 3.0 Taylor method. The method is further compared with a similar method namely GCLM. Since, the EM method is effective and efficient than the local transversal scheme the acceptance ratio of sample paths in the proposed near exact Euler-Maruyama (n-EEM) scheme is naturally higher than the available Girsanov based LTL techniques. This provides good computational efficiency since very less time simulation is required to populate the sample path in rejection sampling. Further, whenever the available EM and Girsanov based LTL schemes fails to correctly approximate the higher order solution, the proposed n-EEM method provides almost near exact estimate for displacement and velocity states of a dynamical system. Thus it can be conjectured that in cases of a large variety of non-linear systems the proposed algorithm will provide an improvement over both classical EM and advanced Girsanov corrected techniques without involving high computational and derivational involvement like Itô-Taylor Weak-3 scheme.

A Stochastic exponential for RVP oscillator

The stochastic exponential: $\exp\left\{\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\gamma\left(\boldsymbol{X}_s,\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}\right)d\tilde{B}\left(s\right)\right\}$ in Eq. (31) for the RVP oscillator can be expanded noting that $\gamma\left(\boldsymbol{X}_t,\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}\right)=-\sigma^{-1}\left(\varepsilon\right)$, where, $\varepsilon=h_3X_1^2(t)X_2(t)+h_3X_2^3(t)-h_3X_{1,i-1}^2X_{2,i-1}-h_3X_{2,i-1}^3$, using the Itô-product rule as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\gamma\tilde{B}\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}=-\sigma^{-1}\left[\left(h_3X_{1,i}^2X_{2,i}+h_3X_{2,i}^3\right)\tilde{B}_i-\left(h_3X_{1,r}^2X_{2,r}+h_3X_{2,r}^3\right)\tilde{B}_r-\left(h_3X_{1,r}^2X_{2,r}+h_3X_{2,r}^3\right)\left(\tilde{B}_i-\tilde{B}_r\right)\right]\\
&\tilde{B}^2\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_2}\sigma\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}=-\left[\tilde{B}_i^2\left(h_3X_{1,i}^2+3h_3X_{2,i}^2\right)-\tilde{B}_{i-1}^2\left(h_3X_{1,r}^2+3h_3X_{2,r}^2\right)\right]\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_2}\sigma ds=\int-\left(h_3X_1^2+3h_3X_2^2\right)ds, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_1}a_1ds=\int\tilde{B}\left[-\sigma^{-1}\left(2h_3X_1X_2\right)\right]X_2ds\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_2}\tilde{g}ds=\int\tilde{B}\left[-\sigma^{-1}\left(h_3X_1^2+3h_3X_2^2\right)\right]\tilde{g}ds, \quad \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\sigma ds=\int\frac{1}{2}\tilde{B}\left(-6h_3X_2\right)\sigma ds\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}^2\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_1\partial X_2}\sigma\right)a_1ds=\int\tilde{B}^2\left(-2h_3X_1\right)X_2ds, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\tilde{g}ds=\int\tilde{B}^2\left(-6h_3X_2\right)\tilde{g}ds\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\sigma ds=\int\tilde{B}\left(-6h_3X_2\right)\sigma ds, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\sigma dB_s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

B Stochastic exponential for DVP oscillator

Similar to the previous case, the stochastic components in the Radon-Nikodym derivative in Eq. (31) for this oscillator can be alternatively evaluated by noting, $\gamma\left(\boldsymbol{X}_t,\boldsymbol{X}_{i-1}\right)=-\left(\frac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{m}X_1^3(t)-\frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\right)$ and $\varepsilon=\frac{\alpha}{m}X_1^3(t)-\frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\gamma\tilde{B}\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}=-\left(\frac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i}^3\tilde{B}_i-\frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,r}^3\tilde{B}_r-\frac{\alpha}{m}X_{1,i-1}^3\left(\tilde{B}_i-\tilde{B}_r\right)\right)\\
&\tilde{B}^2\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_2}\sigma\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}=0\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_2}\sigma ds=0, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_1}a_1ds=\int\tilde{B}\left(-\frac{3\alpha}{m}\left(\frac{\rho X_1(t)}{m}\right)^{-1}X_1^2\right)X_2ds\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial X_2}\tilde{g}ds=0, \qquad \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\sigma ds=0\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}^2\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_1\partial X_2}\sigma\right)a_1ds=0, \quad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\tilde{g}ds=0\\
&\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\sigma ds=0, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma}{\partial X_2^2}\sigma\right)\sigma dB_s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

C Stochastic exponential for 2-DOF oscillator

In this case, it is a straightforward extension of previous calculations to two-dimension. Noting that, $\gamma_1\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_t,\boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1}\right)=-\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha\left(Y_1^2(t)Y_2(t)-Y_1^2(t_{i-1})Y_2(t_{i-1})\right)$ and $\gamma_2\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_t,\boldsymbol{Y}_{i-1}\right)=-\sigma_2^{-1}\beta\left(Y_3^3(t)-Y_3^3(t_{i-1})\right)$, the followings are

obtained:

$$\gamma_1\tilde{B}_1\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} = -\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha\left(Y_{1,i}^2Y_{2,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i} - Y_{1,r}^2Y_{2,r}\tilde{B}_{1,r} - Y_{1,r}^2Y_{2,r}\left(\tilde{B}_{1,i}-\tilde{B}_{1,r}\right)\right)$$
$$\gamma_2\tilde{B}_2\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} = -\sigma_2^{-1}\beta\left(Y_{3,i}^3 - Y_{3,r}^3 - Y_{3,r}^3\left(\tilde{B}_{1,i}-\tilde{B}_{1,r}\right)\right)$$
$$\tilde{B}_1^2\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial Y_2}\sigma_1\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} = -\alpha\left[\tilde{B}_{1,i}^2Y_{1,i}^2 - \tilde{B}_{1,r}^2Y_{1,r}^2\right], \qquad \tilde{B}_2^2\frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial Y_4}\sigma_2\Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} = 0$$
$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial Y_2}\sigma_1 ds = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(-\alpha Y_1^2(t)\right)ds, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial Y_4}\sigma_2 ds = 0,$$
$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial Y_1}g_1 ds = \int\tilde{B}_1\left(-2\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1Y_2^2\right)ds, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_2\frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial Y_3}g_3 ds = \int\tilde{B}_2\left(-3\sigma_2^{-1}\beta Y_3^2Y_4\right)ds$$
$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial Y_2}\tilde{g}_1 ds = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1\left(-\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1^2\tilde{g}\right)ds, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_2\frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial Y_4}\tilde{g}_2 ds = 0,$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_1}{\partial Y_2^2}\sigma_1\right)\sigma_1 ds = 0, \qquad \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_2}{\partial Y_4^2}\sigma_2\right)\sigma_2 ds = 0$$
$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}_1^2\frac{\partial^2\gamma_1}{\partial Y_1\partial Y_2}\sigma_1\right)g_1 ds = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1^2\left(-2\alpha Y_1\right)Y_2 ds, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\left(\tilde{B}_2^2\frac{\partial^2\gamma_2}{\partial Y_3\partial Y_4}\sigma_2\right)g_3 ds = 0,$$
$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_1}{\partial Y_2^2}\sigma_1\right)\tilde{g}_1 ds = 0, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_2^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_2}{\partial Y_4^2}\sigma_2\right)\tilde{g}_2 ds = 0$$
$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_1}{\partial Y_2^2}\sigma_1\right)\sigma_1 ds = 0, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_2}{\partial Y_4^2}\sigma_2\right)\sigma_2 ds = 0,$$
$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_1^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_1}{\partial Y_2^2}\sigma_1\right)\sigma_1 dB_1(s) = 0, \qquad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\tilde{B}_2^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\gamma_2}{\partial Y_4^2}\sigma_2\right)\sigma_2 dB_2(s) = 0$$

Identifying the errors: $\varepsilon_1(t) = Y_1^2(t)Y_2(t) - Y_1^2(t_{i-1})Y_2(t_{i-1})$ and $\varepsilon_2(t) = Y_3^3(t) - Y_3^3(t_{i-1})$ it can be found that: $\phi_1(t) = -\alpha Y_1^2(t) - 2\tilde{B}_1(t)\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1(t)Y_2^2(t) - \tilde{B}_1(t)\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1^2(t)\tilde{g} - 2B_1^2(t)\alpha Y_1(t)Y_2(t)$ and $\phi_2(t) = -3\sigma_2^{-1}\beta Y_3^2(t)Y_4(t)$. Then, one can find the $\phi(t)$ though the relations: $\varepsilon(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\varepsilon_k(t)$ and $\phi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\phi_k(t)$ as:

$$\phi(t) = -\alpha Y_1^2(t) - 2\tilde{B}_1(t)\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1(t)Y_2^2(t) - \tilde{B}_1(t)\sigma_1^{-1}\alpha Y_1^2(t)\tilde{g} - 2B_1^2(t)\alpha Y_1(t)Y_2(t) - 3\sigma_2^{-1}\beta Y_3^2(t)Y_4(t)$$

Acknowledgements: SC acknowledges the financial support received from IIT Delhi in form of seed grant.

Declarations

Funding

The corresponding author received funding from IIT Delhi in form of seed grant.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Code availability

The MATLAB codes written for this work are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

[1] Iosif Ilyich Gikhman and Anatoli Vladimirovich Skorokhod. Stochastic differential equations. In *The Theory of Stochastic Processes III*, pages 113–219. Springer, 2007.

[2] Bernt Oksendal. *Stochastic differential equations: an introduction with applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[3] Gisiro Maruyama. Continuous markov processes and stochastic equations. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 4(1):48, 1955.
[4] YK Lin and Guoqiang Cai. Exact stationary response solution for second order nonlinear systems under parametric and external white noise excitations: Part ii. 1988.
[5] Peter E Kloeden and Eckhard Platen. Stochastic differential equations. In *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, pages 103–160. Springer, 1992.
[6] Grigorii Noikhovich Milstein. *Numerical integration of stochastic differential equations*, volume 313. Springer Science & Business Media, 1994.
[7] TC Gard. Introduction to stochastic differential equations. 1988. *New York and Basel: Marcel Dekker*, 1988.
[8] W Rüemelin. Numerical treatment of stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 19(3):604–613, 1982.
[9] Peter E Kloeden and Eckhard Platen. Higher-order implicit strong numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations. *Journal of statistical physics*, 66(1-2):283–314, 1992.
[10] Tapas Tripura, Ankush Gogoi, and Budhaditya Hazra. An ito-taylor weak 3.0 method for stochastic dynamics of nonlinear systems. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 2020.
[11] Lesław Socha. Statistical and equivalent linearization techniques with probability density criteria. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics*, 37(2):369–382, 1999.
[12] R Narayana Iyengar. Higher order linearization in non-linear random vibration. *International journal of nonlinear mechanics*, 23(5-6):385–391, 1988.
[13] TK Caughey. On the response of non-linear oscillators to stochastic excitation. *Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics*, 1(1):2–4, 1986.
[14] Shu-Jun Liu and Miroslav Krstic. *Stochastic averaging and stochastic extremum seeking*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
[15] ND Anh and LX Hung. An improved criterion of gaussian equivalent linearization for analysis of non-linear stochastic systems. *Journal of sound and vibration*, 268(1):177–200, 2003.
[16] Philip Barnes Burt. *Quantum mechanics and nonlinear waves*, volume 1. CRC Press, 1981.
[17] R Biscay, JC Jimenez, JJ Riera, and PA Valdes. Local linearization method for the numerical solution of stochastic differential equations. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 48(4):631–644, 1996.
[18] Tara Raveendran, D Roy, and RM Vasu. A nearly exact reformulation of the girsanov linearization for stochastically driven nonlinear oscillators. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 80(2), 2013.
[19] Kevin Burrage and Tianhai Tian. The composite euler method for stiff stochastic differential equations. *Journal of computational and applied mathematics*, 131(1-2):407–426, 2001.
[20] Tianhai Tian and Kevin Burrage. Implicit taylor methods for stiff stochastic differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 38(1-2):167–185, 2001.
[21] Xuerong Mao. The truncated euler–maruyama method for stochastic differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 290:370–384, 2015.
[22] Kazem Nouri, Hassan Ranjbar, and Leila Torkzadeh. Improved euler–maruyama method for numerical solution of the itô stochastic differential systems by composite previous-current-step idea. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 15(3):1–12, 2018.
[23] Alexandros Beskos, Gareth O Roberts, et al. Exact simulation of diffusions. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 15(4):2422–2444, 2005.
[24] Uwe Hassler et al. Stochastic processes and calculus. *Springer Texts in Business and Economics*, 2016.
[25] P Baldi. *Stochastic Calculus: An Introduction Through Theory and Exercises. Universitext*. Springer, Cham, 2017.
[26] KI Mamis and GA Athanassoulis. Exact stationary solutions to a class of non-linear stochastic oscillators. establishing new benchmark cases for testing numerical solution schemes. *Procedia Computer Science*, 66:33–42, 2015.