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Abstract

We study biharmonic hypersurfaces and biharmonic submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold. One of interesting problems in this direction is Chen’s conjecture which says that any biharmonic submanifold in a Euclidean space is minimal. From the invariant equation for biharmonic submanifolds, we derive a fundamental identity involving the mean curvature vector field, and using this, we prove Chen’s conjecture on biharmonic submanifolds in a Euclidean space. More generally, it is proved that any biharmonic submanifold in a space form of nonpositively sectional curvature is minimal. Furthermore we provide affirmative partial answers to the generalized Chen’s conjecture and Balmuş-Montaldo-Oniciuc conjecture.
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1 Introduction

Since the study of harmonic maps was initiated in 1964 by Eells and Sampson [15], the theory of harmonic maps has been widely investigated and developed. It is well-known that harmonic maps between two Riemannian manifolds $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ are critical points of the energy functional

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\varphi|^2 dv_g,$$

where $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, N)$ and $dv_g$ denotes the volume element of $g$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy functional $E$ is given by

$$\tau(\varphi) = \text{tr}_g \nabla d\varphi = 0,$$

where $\tau(\varphi)$ is called the tension field of $\varphi$. In 1983, as a natural extension of harmonic maps, Eells and Lemaire [14] proposed to study the $k$-harmonic maps which are critical points of the functional

$$E_k(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \| (d + d^*)^k \varphi \|^2 dv_g$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, when $k = 2$, the functional $E_2$ is given by

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\varphi)|^2 dv_g.$$
which is called the *bienergy* functional. Jiang [22] derived the first and the second variational formulas of the bienergy functional. The Euler-Lagrange equation of $E_2$ is given by

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = \text{tr}_g(\nabla^\varphi \nabla^\varphi - \nabla^\varphi_M)\tau(\varphi) - \text{tr}_g R^N(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi = 0,$$

where $\nabla^\varphi$ denotes the pull-back connection on $\varphi^{-1}TN$ and $R^N$ denotes the curvature operator on $(N, h)$. Here $\tau_2(\varphi)$ is called the *bi-tension* field of $\varphi$. A smooth map $\varphi: (M, g) \to (N, h)$ is called a biharmonic map if $\tau_2(\varphi) = 0$. We note that the above biharmonic map equation is a system of the fourth-order semilinear elliptic partial differential equations.

If an isometric immersion $\varphi: (M, g) \to (N, h)$ is biharmonic, then $M$ is called a biharmonic submanifold in $N$. In the recent decades, there has been an increasing attention on biharmonic submanifolds and a lot of important results on biharmonic submanifolds have been obtained.

We refer the readers to two well-written books [36] by Ou-Chen and [39] by Urakawa for recent developments on this area (see also a recent survey article [35] by Ou and references therein).

In his pioneering work of submanifolds of finite type in a Euclidean space, B.-Y. Chen [10, 11] provided an alternative definition of biharmonic submanifolds of a Euclidean space by using harmonic mean curvature vector field. According to his definition, $M$ is called a biharmonic submanifold if an isometric immersion $\varphi: M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

$$\Delta_M H = 0,$$

where $\Delta_M$ denotes the Laplacian of $M$ and $H$ denotes the mean curvature vector field on $M$. It is obvious that these two definitions are equivalent in case where the ambient space is a Euclidean space. It is clear that a harmonic map is automatically a biharmonic map and hence a minimal submanifold is a biharmonic submanifold. For this reason, a non-harmonic map is called a *proper* biharmonic map and similarly a non-minimal biharmonic submanifold is called a *proper* biharmonic submanifold. There are some known examples of proper biharmonic submanifolds in the unit sphere (see [4,7,8,22,32,38] for instance). See also [33,34] for examples of proper biharmonic hypersurfaces in conformally flat spaces.

In contrast, it is unknown whether there exists a proper biharmonic submanifold in a Euclidean space. In this point of view, Chen [11] in 1991 proposed the following well-known conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Chen’s conjecture). *Any biharmonic submanifold in a Euclidean space is minimal.*

Chen [11] in 1985 and Jiang [23] in 1987 independently proved that any biharmonic surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is minimal. In 1995, Hasanis-Vlachos [24] proved that any biharmonic hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is minimal. Defever [13] in 1998 gave a different proof of the result by Hasanis and Vlachos. Very recently, Fu-Hong-Zhan [20] showed that Chen’s conjecture is true for biharmonic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$ by transferring the biharmonic equations into a system of algebraic differential equations. See also [1,3,6,8,12,16,19,24,31,33,34,37,40] for partial results on Chen’s conjecture under additional geometric conditions on biharmonic submanifolds.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove Chen’s conjecture. In Section 3, we study some rigidity properties of biharmonic hypersurfaces in an Einstein manifold. From the invariant equations for biharmonic hypersurfaces due to Ou [33], we derive a fundamental identity which the mean curvature function on biharmonic hypersurfaces in an Einstein manifold satisfies (see Proposition 3.2). Using this identity, we are able to prove Chen’s conjecture for biharmonic hypersurfaces in an Einstein manifold with nonpositive scalar curvature as follows:

**Theorem A** (see Theorem 3.3) Any biharmonic hypersurface in an Einstein manifold with nonpositive scalar curvature is minimal.
Moreover we prove that any compact biharmonic hypersurface (without boundary) in an Einstein manifold must have constant mean curvature (see Theorem 3.3). In Section 4, we study biharmonic submanifolds in space forms. We derive a fundamental identity on the mean curvature vector field on biharmonic submanifolds in space forms (see Proposition 4.2) from the invariant equations for biharmonic submanifolds due to Balmuş-Montaldo-Oniciuc [6]. By using this identity, we show that any biharmonic submanifold in a space form of nonpositive sectional curvature is minimal (see Theorem 4.3). As a consequence, we give a complete solution to Chen’s conjecture as follows.

**Theorem B** (see Corollary 4.4) Any biharmonic submanifold in a Euclidean space is minimal.

On the other hand, Chen’s conjecture can be generalized to more general ambient spaces. The following conjecture was proposed by Caddeo-Montaldo-Oniciuc [7] in 2001.

**Conjecture 1.2** (the generalized Chen’s conjecture). *Any biharmonic submanifold in a Riemannian manifold with nonpositive sectional curvature is minimal.*

There are many affirmative partial results on the generalized Chen’s conjecture. For example, Caddeo-Montaldo-Oniciuc [8] showed that any biharmonic submanifold in 3-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$ is minimal. Later, Balmuş-Montaldo-Oniciuc [5] proved that the generalized Chen’s conjecture is true for biharmonic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{H}^4$. See also [3, 26, 30, 31] for related results about the generalized Chen’s conjecture with additional geometric conditions. Despite a lot of affirmative partial answers to Conjecture 1.2, it turned out that this conjecture is false by Ou-Tang [37] in 2012. Indeed, they constructed a family of counterexamples of 4-dimensional proper biharmonic hypersurfaces in a conformally flat space with negative sectional curvature. It should be mentioned that these counterexamples are incomplete biharmonic submanifolds in the ambient space with nonconstant nonpositive sectional curvature. In Section 4, we give an affirmative partial answer to the generalized Chen’s conjecture as follows:

**Theorem C** (see Corollary 4.5) Any biharmonic submanifold in a hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ is minimal.

On the contrary, although examples of proper biharmonic submanifolds in spheres are rather rich as mentioned before, they all have constant mean curvature. Motivated by this observation, Balmuş-Montaldo-Oniciuc [5] proposed the following.

**Conjecture 1.3** (BMO conjecture). *Any biharmonic submanifold in $S^n$ has constant mean curvature.*

Recently, Maeta-Ou [29] proved that a complete hypersurface $M^n$ with constant scalar curvature in a sphere is biharmonic if and only if it is minimal or it has nonzero constant mean curvature and $|A|^2 = n$, where $|A|^2$ denotes the squared norm of the second fundamental form. In [16], Fetcu-Loubeau-Oniciuc showed that Conjecture 1.3 is true for compact proper biharmonic hypersurfaces in a sphere with constant scalar curvature. See also [3, 5, 7, 9, 28] for more results on biharmonic submanifolds in a sphere. Finally we give a partial answer to BMO conjecture for compact biharmonic submanifolds in a sphere.

**Theorem D** (see Corollary 4.7) Any compact biharmonic submanifold in a sphere has constant mean curvature.
2 Preliminaries

We begin with some basic facts and notions about biharmonic submanifolds. Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ be an isometric immersion. For each $p \in M$, the tangent space $T_{\varphi(p)}N$ splits as an orthogonal direct sum

$$T_{\varphi(p)}N = d\varphi(T_pM) \oplus d\varphi(T_pM)^{\perp}$$

and the normal bundle of $M$ in $N$ is given by $NM = \bigcup_{p \in M} d\varphi(T_pM)^{\perp}$. We denote by $\nabla$ and $\nabla^N$ the Levi-Civita connections on $M$ and $N$, respectively, and by $\nabla^\varphi$ the induced connection on the pull-back bundle $\varphi^{-1}TN = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_{\varphi(p)}N$. Recall that the bienergy of $\varphi$ is defined by

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\varphi)|^2 dv_g,$$

where $\tau(\varphi) = \text{tr}_g \nabla d\varphi$ is the tension field of $\varphi$ which vanishes for a harmonic map. The Euler-Lagrange equation associated to $E_2$ is given by

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = \Delta^\varphi \tau(\varphi) - \text{tr}_g R^N(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi = 0,$$

where $\tau_2(\varphi)$ is called the bitension field of $\varphi$ and $R^N$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor of $(N, h)$ given by

$$R^N(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X^N \nabla_Y^N Z - \nabla_Y^N \nabla_X^N Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^N Z$$

for any tangent vector fields $X, Y, Z$ of $N$. Here we use the following sign convention:

$$\Delta^\varphi \tau(\varphi) = \text{tr}_g (\nabla^\varphi \nabla^\varphi - \nabla_{\varphi^\varphi}^\varphi) \tau(\varphi).$$

As $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is an isometric immersion, we are able to identify $d\varphi(X)$ with $X \in C(TM)$ at any point $p \in M$ and identify $M$ with its image, where $C(TM)$ denotes the space of the sections of the tangent bundle $TM$. We also denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the induced metric $\varphi^{-1}h$. The shape operator $A_\nu$ for a unit normal vector field $\nu$ on $M$ is defined by

$$A_\nu X = - (\nabla_X^N \nu)^\top$$

for all $X \in C(TM)$ and $\nu \in C(NM)$. With these notations in mind, we write the Gauss and Weingarten formulas as

$$\nabla^N_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)$$

$$\nabla^N_X \nu = -A_\nu X + \nabla_X \nu,$$

where $B$ denotes the second fundamental form and $\nabla^\perp$ denotes the normal connection on the normal bundle $NM$ of $M$ in $N$. Moreover, we have

$$\langle B(X, Y), \nu \rangle = \langle A_\nu X, Y \rangle$$

for all $X, Y \in C(TM)$ and $\nu \in C(NM)$. For any $p \in M$, we choose a local field of orthonormal frames $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$ in $N$ such that, restricted to $M$, the vectors $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ are tangent to $M$ (and consequently, the remaining vectors $e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n$ are normal to $M$). Then the second fundamental form can be written as

$$B(X, Y) = \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^n B_\alpha(X, Y)e_\alpha.$$
The (normalized) mean curvature vector field \( H \) of \( M \) at \( p \) is given by

\[
H(p) = \frac{1}{m} \text{tr}_g B = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} B(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n} H_\alpha(p)e_\alpha,
\]

where the function \( H_\alpha \) is defined by

\[
H_\alpha(p) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} B_\alpha(e_i, e_i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle A_{e_\alpha}(e_i), e_i \rangle.
\]

We note that the tension field \( \tau(\varphi) \) of \( \varphi \) can be written as

\[
\tau(\varphi) = mH.
\]

Therefore we see that the submanifold \( M \) in \( N \) is biharmonic if and only if

\[
\Delta^\varphi H - \sum_{i=1}^{m} R^N(d\varphi(e_i), H)d\varphi(e_i) = 0.
\]

3 Biharmonic hypersurfaces in an Einstein manifold

In this section, we study rigidity properties of biharmonic hypersurfaces in an Einstein manifold. For this purpose, let \( \varphi : M^m \to (N^{m+1}, h) \) be an isometric immersion with the unit normal vector field \( \nu \). Choose a local orthonormal frame \( \{e_1, \cdots, e_m\} \) on \( M \). By (1), the tension field \( \tau(\varphi) \) is given by

\[
\tau(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\nabla_{e_i} \nu) = mH\nu,
\]

where \( H \) denotes the mean curvature and \( \nabla \) denotes the Levi-Civita connection on \( N \). The following result due to Y.-L. Ou [33] is the invariant form of the biharmonic equations (2) for biharmonic hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold.

**Lemma 3.1** (Ou [33]). Let \( \varphi : M^m \to N^{m+1} \) be an isometric immersion of codimension one with mean curvature vector \( H = H\nu \). Then \( \varphi \) is biharmonic if and only if it satisfies

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta H - H|A|^2 + H \text{Ric}^N(\nu, \nu) = 0, \\
2A_{\nu}(\nabla H) + mH\nabla H - 2H \left( \text{Ric}^N(\nu) \right)^T = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \Delta \) and \( \nabla \) denote the Laplacian and the gradient on \( M \), respectively. Here \( \text{Ric}^N : T_q N \to T_q N \) denotes the Ricci operator of the ambient space \( N \) defined by \( \langle \text{Ric}^N(X), Y \rangle = \text{Ric}^N(X, Y) \), and \( \nu \) is the unit normal vector field on \( M \).

In fact, both equations in (3) can be derived by decomposing (2) into the tangential and normal parts. The divergence of the second equation in (3) gives a fundamental identity for the mean curvature function on biharmonic hypersurfaces in Einstein manifolds, which is quite simple but turns out to be very useful.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( \varphi : M^m \to N^{m+1} \) be a biharmonic isometric immersion of a hypersurface \( M \) with mean curvature vector \( H = H\nu \) into an Einstein manifold \( N \). Then

\[
3|\nabla H|^2 + H\Delta H = 0.
\]
Proof. Observe that $\mathrm{Ric}^N(\nu)^\top = 0$, since $N$ is Einstein. Taking the divergence of the second equation in (3), we have

$$2 \text{div} A_\nu(\nabla H) + m|\nabla H|^2 + mH\Delta H = 0.$$  

Now fix a point $p \in M$ and choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_m, \nu\}$ of $N$ which is normal at $p$ so that $\langle \nabla e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle |_p = 0$, where $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$ and $e_{m+1} = \nu$. Here $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on $N$.

Observe that $\text{Ric}$ is minimal.

Proof. Theorem 3.3. Any biharmonic hypersurface in an Einstein manifold with nonpositive scalar curvature is minimal.

Applying Proposition 3.2, we are able to prove Chen’s conjecture for biharmonic hypersurfaces in an Einstein manifold with nonpositive scalar curvature.

Theorem 3.3. Any biharmonic hypersurface in an Einstein manifold with nonpositive scalar curvature is minimal.

Proof. Let $M^m$ be an $m$-dimensional biharmonic hypersurface in an Einstein manifold $(N^{m+1}, h)$ with $\text{Ric}^N = \lambda h$ for some constant $\lambda \leq 0$, where $\text{Ric}^N$ denotes the Ricci curvature tensor of $N$. Note that the constant $\lambda$ is given by $\lambda = \frac{\text{Scal}^N}{m+1}$, where $\text{Scal}^N$ denotes the scalar curvature of $N$.

The first equation in (3) becomes

$$\Delta H - H|A|^2 + \lambda H = 0. \tag{5}$$

Combining (5) with Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$0 \leq 3|\nabla H|^2 + H^2|A|^2 = \lambda H^2 \leq 0,$$

which implies that $H = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3. □
As another application of Proposition 3.2 we prove that any compact biharmonic hypersurface (without boundary) in an Einstein manifold has constant mean curvature.

**Theorem 3.4.** Any compact biharmonic hypersurface \( M^m \) in an Einstein manifold \( N^{m+1} \) has constant mean curvature. In particular, if the Einstein manifold \( N \) has nonpositive scalar curvature, then \( M \) is minimal.

**Proof.** Since \( \Delta H^2 = 2H \Delta H + 2|\nabla H|^2 \), it follows from Proposition 3.2 that

\[
\Delta H^2 = -4|\nabla H|^2.
\]

This implies that the function \( H^2 \) is superharmonic and hence it is constant because \( M \) is compact. Since \( H \) is constant, the first equation in (3) shows that

\[
H \left( |A|^2 - \text{Ric}^N(\nu, \nu) \right) = 0.
\]

(6)

In particular, when the Einstein manifold \( N \) has nonpositive scalar curvature, we may assume that \( H \) is a nonzero constant. Then, from (6), it follows that

\[
0 \leq |A|^2 = \text{Ric}^N(\nu, \nu) \leq 0,
\]

which \( \nu \) is the unit normal vector field on \( M \). This shows that \( A = 0 \) and thus \( H = 0 \), which is a contradiction.

As a corollary, we give an affirmative partial answer to Conjecture 1.3 (BMO conjecture) as follows.

**Corollary 3.5.** Any compact biharmonic hypersurface in a sphere has constant mean curvature.

In general, when the target manifold is not necessarily Einstein, we obtain the following result, which is a generalized version of Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \( \varphi : M^m \to N^{m+1} \) be a biharmonic isometric immersion with mean curvature vector \( H = H \nu \) into a Riemannian manifold \( N \). Then

\[
3m|\nabla H|^2 + mH \Delta H - 2\text{Ric}^N(\nabla H, \nu) - H\langle \text{Scal}^N, \nu \rangle + 2H\nabla_\nu \text{Ric}^N(\nu, \nu) = 0,
\]

where \( \text{Scal}^N \) denotes the scalar curvature of \( N \).

**Proof.** Taking the divergence of the second equation in (3) gives

\[
2\text{div} A_\nu(\nabla H) + m|\nabla H|^2 + mH \Delta H - 2\text{Ric}^N(\nabla H, \nu) - 2H\text{divRic}^N(\nu, \nu) = 0.
\]

(7)

As in the proof of Proposition 3.2 we have

\[
\text{div} A_\nu(\nabla H) = m|\nabla H|^2.
\]

(8)

Now fix a point \( p \in M \) and choose a local frame \( \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m, \nu\} \) of \( N \) which is normal at \( p \) so that \( \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta \rangle_p = 0 \), where \( \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \ldots, m+1 \) and \( e_{m+1} = \nu \). Since \( \text{Ric}^N(\nu) = \text{Ric}^N(\nu, e_i) e_i \), we compute, at the point \( p \),

\[
\text{divRic}^N(\nu) = \left\langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} \text{Ric}^N(\nu), e_\beta \right\rangle
\]

\[
= \left\langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} \left( \langle R^N(\nu, e_i) e_i, e_j \rangle e_j \right), e_\beta \right\rangle
\]

\[
= e_\beta \langle \langle R^N(\nu, e_i) e_i, e_j \rangle, e_j \rangle - \langle R^N(\nu, e_i) e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_k \rangle
\]

\[
= e_\beta \langle R^N(\nu, e_i) e_i, e_k \rangle - \text{Ric}^N(\nu, e_j) \langle e_j, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_k \rangle
\]

\[
= e_\beta \left( \text{Ric}^N(\nu, e_k) \right) = \nabla_{e_\alpha} \text{Ric}^N(\nu, e_k)
\]

\[
= \text{divRic}^N(\nu) - \nabla_\nu \text{Ric}^N(\nu, \nu)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla \text{Scal}^N, \nu \rangle - \nabla_\nu \text{Ric}^N(\nu, \nu),
\]

(9)
where we used the identity $2 \text{div} \text{Ric}^N = d \text{Scal}^N$ in the last equality. Substituting (8) and (9) into (7), we get the conclusion.

Applying Proposition 3.6, we prove the following rigidity result for biharmonic hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold with nonpositive parallel Ricci curvature and with constant scalar curvature, which can be regarded as a generalization of Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $M^m$ be a biharmonic hypersurface in a Riemannian manifold $N^{m+1}$. Assume that $N$ has nonpositive parallel Ricci tensor and constant scalar curvature. Then $M$ is minimal.

**Proof.** Since $\nabla \text{Scal}^N = 0$ and $\nabla \nu \text{Ric}^N = 0$ by assumption, combining the first equation of (8) with Proposition 3.6 yields that

$$3|\nabla H|^2 + H^2 |A|^2 = 2 \text{Ric}^N(\nabla H, \nu) + H^2 \text{Ric}^N(\nu, \nu).$$

Since $N$ has nonpositive Ricci curvature, we conclude that $H = 0$.

4 Biharmonic submanifolds in space forms

In this section, we consider biharmonic submanifolds of higher codimension in space forms. We start with the invariant equations for biharmonic submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold due to Balms-Montaldo-Oniciuc [6], which is a higher-codimensional version of Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 4.1** (Balms-Montaldo-Oniciuc [6]). Let $\varphi : M^m \rightarrow N^n$ be an isometric immersion of an $m$-dimensional submanifold $M$ into an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $N$ with the mean curvature vector field $H$. Then $\varphi$ is biharmonic if and only if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta^\perp H + \text{tr} B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + \text{tr} \left( R^N(d\varphi(\cdot), H) d\varphi(\cdot) \right)^\perp = 0, \\
2 \text{tr} A_{\nabla^\perp H} + m |H| \text{grad} |H| + 2 \text{tr} \left( R^N(d\varphi(\cdot), H) d\varphi(\cdot) \right)^\top = 0,
\end{cases}$$

where $A$ denotes the shape operator on $M$, $B$ denotes the second fundamental form on $M$, and $\Delta^\perp$ and $\nabla^\perp$ denote the Laplacian and connection on the normal bundle of $M$, respectively.

Let $\varphi : M^m \rightarrow N^{m+n}$ be a biharmonic submanifold in a Riemannian manifold $N$, where $n \geq 2$. Choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_m\}$ on $M$ and $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_n\}$ on the normal bundle $NM$ such that the mean curvature vector field $H$ is given by

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \nu_{\alpha}.$$ 

Recall that

$$\langle B(X, Y), H \rangle = \langle A_H X, Y \rangle$$

for any tangent vectors $X, Y$ on $M$. Thus

$$\langle B(e_i, A_H e_i), H \rangle = \langle A_H e_i, A_H e_i \rangle = \langle (\nabla_{e_i} H)^\top, (\nabla_{e_i} H)^\top \rangle = \langle (\nabla_{e_i} H)^\top \rangle^2.$$
Proof. By taking the divergence of the second equation in (10), we obtain
\[
\langle \nabla \cdot H, e_i \rangle = \langle H, R^N(e_i, H) \rangle = R^N(e_i, H, e_i, H) = \langle R^N(e_i, H) e_i, H \rangle.
\]
In case where \(N\) is a space form, we have
\[
\langle R^N(e_i, H) e_i, H \rangle^T = \langle R^N(e_i, H) e_i, e_j \rangle e_j = 0. \tag{11}
\]

In the following, we obtain a fundamental identity for the mean curvature vector field on biharmonic submanifolds in space forms, which is a key ingredient for the proof of Chen’s conjecture.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \(\varphi : M^m \to N^{m+n}\) be a biharmonic submanifold \(M\) in a space form \(N\). Then the mean curvature vector field \(H\) on \(M\) satisfies
\[
\langle \Delta^\perp H, H \rangle + 3|\nabla^\perp H|^2 = 0.
\]

**Proof.** By taking the divergence of the second equation in (10), we obtain
\[
2 \text{div} \left( \text{tr} A_{\nabla^\perp} H \right) + m|\nabla|H|^2 + m|H|\Delta|H| = 0,
\]
where we used the equation (11) because the ambient space \(N\) is a space form. Moreover, since
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|H|^2 = \langle H, \Delta^\perp H \rangle + |\nabla^\perp H|^2,
\]
it is equivalent to
\[
2 \text{div} \left( \text{tr} A_{\nabla^\perp} H \right) + m\langle \Delta^\perp H, H \rangle + m|\nabla^\perp H|^2 = 0. \tag{12}
\]

Fix a point \(p \in M\) and choose a local orthonormal frame \(\{e_1, \cdots, e_m, v_1, \cdots, v_n\}\) on \(N\) which is normal at \(p\), i.e., \((\nabla_{e_a} e_\beta)\big|_p = 0\) for any \(e_a\) and \(e_\beta\). Here \(e_\alpha = v_l\) for \(\alpha = m+l\), where \(l = 1, \cdots, n\). Then, at \(p \in M\),
\[
\text{div} \left( \text{tr} A_{\nabla^\perp} H \right) = \text{div} \left( \text{tr} A_{\nabla^\perp} H (e_j) \right) = \langle \nabla e_i \left( \text{tr} A_{\nabla^\perp} H (e_j) \right), e_i \rangle = -\langle \nabla e_i , \left( \nabla e_j (\nabla e_i H) \right)^T, e_i \rangle = -e_i \langle \nabla e_j (\nabla e_i H), e_i \rangle = e_i \langle \nabla e_i e_j, \nabla e_i H \rangle - \langle \nabla e_i H, \nabla e_j e_i \rangle = \langle \nabla e_i e_j e_i, \nabla e_i H \rangle + \langle \nabla e_i e_j, \nabla e_i \nabla e_j H \rangle - \langle \nabla e_i H, \nabla e_j e_i \rangle = \langle \nabla e_i e_j e_i, \nabla e_i H \rangle + \langle \nabla e_i e_j, \nabla e_i \nabla e_j H \rangle + \langle R^N(e_i, e_j) e_i, \nabla e_j H \rangle + \langle \nabla e_i e_j e_i, \nabla e_i \nabla e_j H \rangle - \langle \nabla e_i e_j e_i, \nabla e_j H \rangle.
\]
Since \((\nabla e_\alpha e_\beta)|_p = 0\) and \(N\) is a space form, we see that
\[
\text{div} \left(\text{tr} A \nabla^\perp H\right) = \langle \nabla e_i \nabla e_i, \nabla^\perp H\rangle - \langle \nabla e_j \nabla e_i, \nabla^\perp H\rangle
\]
\[
= \langle \nabla^\perp e_i, \nabla^\perp e_j, \nabla^\perp e_i, \nabla^\perp e_j\rangle
\]
\[
= m |\nabla^\perp e_i| H^2
\]
\[
= m |\nabla^\perp H|^2.
\]
Hence it follows from (12) that
\[
\langle \Delta^\perp H, H\rangle + 3 |\nabla^\perp H|^2 = 0,
\]
which completes the proof.

Now we are ready to prove Chen’s conjecture on biharmonic submanifolds in space forms of nonpositive sectional curvature.

**Theorem 4.3.** Any biharmonic submanifold in a space form with nonpositive sectional curvature is minimal.

**Proof.** Let \(\varphi : M^m \to N^{m+n}\) be a biharmonic isometric immersion of submanifold \(M\) into a space form \(N\) with nonpositive sectional curvature. Take the inner product of both sides of the first equation in (10) with the mean curvature vector field \(H\). Then we have
\[
\langle \Delta^\perp H, H\rangle - \langle (\nabla e_i) H, H\rangle^\perp |^2 - H^2 (R^N(e_i, \nu_\alpha) e_i, \nu_\alpha) = 0.
\]
Combining this with Proposition 4.2, we obtain
\[
0 \leq |(\nabla e_i H)^\perp|^2 + 3 |\nabla^\perp H|^2 = - H^2 (R^N(e_i, \nu_\alpha) e_i, \nu_\alpha) \leq 0,
\]
where we used the assumption that \(N\) has nonpositive sectional curvature. Therefore it follows that \(H = 0\), which completes the proof.

As a consequence, we see that Chen’s conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) on biharmonic submanifolds in a Euclidean space is true.

**Corollary 4.4.** Any biharmonic submanifold in a Euclidean space is minimal.

Moreover even though there exist counterexamples constructed by Ou-Tang [37], we are still able to give an affirmative partial answer to the generalized Chen’s conjecture (Conjecture 1.2) in case where the ambient space is a hyperbolic space.

**Corollary 4.5.** Any biharmonic submanifold in a hyperbolic space \(\mathbb{H}^n\) is minimal.

Finally, as another application of Proposition 4.2, we prove the following.

**Theorem 4.6.** Any compact biharmonic submanifold in a space form must have constant length of the mean curvature vector field.

**Proof.** Let \(\varphi : M^m \to N^{m+n}\) be a biharmonic isometric immersion of a compact submanifold \(M\) into a space form \(N\). By Proposition 4.2, the mean curvature vector field \(H\) satisfies
\[
\frac{1}{2} \Delta |H|^2 + 2 |\nabla^\perp H|^2 = 0,
\]
which shows that the function \(|H|^2\) is superharmonic on \(M\). Since \(M\) is compact, the maximum principle shows that \(|H|^2\) is constant. This completes the proof.
As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.6, one can show that BMO conjecture (Conjecture 1.3) is true for compact biharmonic submanifolds in a sphere.

**Corollary 4.7.** Any compact biharmonic submanifold in a sphere has constant mean curvature.

**Acknowledgment:** The first-named author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2021R1A2C1003365) and the second-named author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1A2C1004948).

**References**


Keomkyo Seo  
Department of Mathematics and Research Institute of Natural Science  
Sookmyung Women’s University  
Cheongpa-ro 47-gil 100, Yongsan-gu, Seoul, 04310, Korea  
E-mail:kseo@sookmyung.ac.kr  
URL: [http://sites.google.com/site/keomkyo/](http://sites.google.com/site/keomkyo/)

Gabjin Yun (corresponding author)  
Department of Mathematics and the Natural Science of Research Institute  
Myongji University  
Myongji-ro 116, Cheoin-gu, Yongin, 17058, Korea  
E-mail:gabjin@mju.ac.kr