ON BORSUK–ULAM THEOREMS AND CONVEX SETS

M. C. CRABB

Abstract. The Intermediate Value Theorem is used to give an elementary proof of a Borsuk-Ulam theorem of Adams, Bush and Frick \cite{1} that, if \( f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \) is a continuous function on the unit circle \( S^1 \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) such that \( f(z) = -f(z) \) for all \( z \in S^1 \), then there is a finite subset \( X \subseteq S^1 \) of diameter at most \( \pi - \pi/(2k+1) \) (in the standard metric in which the circle has circumference of length \( 2\pi \)) such the convex hull of \( f(X) \) contains \( 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \).

1. Introduction

We shall use the Intermediate Value Theorem to give an elementary proof of the following Borsuk-Ulam theorem of Adams, Bush and Frick in which \( k \geq 1 \) is a natural number, \( \zeta = e^{2\pi i/(2k+1)} \in \mathbb{C} \) and the metric \( d \) on the unit circle, \( S(\mathbb{C}) \), in \( \mathbb{C} \) is given by \( d(z, e^{i\theta}z) = |\theta| \) if \( |\theta| \leq \pi \).

Theorem 1.1. (\cite{1} Theorems 1 and 5). Let \( f : S^1 = S(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \) be a continuous map such that \( f(-z) = -f(z) \) for all \( z \in S(\mathbb{C}) \). Then there exist \( e_i \in \{ \pm 1 \} \), \( i = 0, \ldots, 2k \), and \( z \in S(\mathbb{C}) \) such that \( 0 \) lies in the convex hull of the image \( f(X) \) of the finite set \( X = \{ e_i \zeta^i z \mid i = 0, \ldots, 2k \} \), which is a subset of \( S(\mathbb{C}) \) with diameter at most \( \pi - \pi/(2k+1) \).

Moreover, there is an example of such a map \( f \) with the property that any finite subset \( X \subseteq S(\mathbb{C}) \) such that \( 0 \) lies in the convex hull of \( f(X) \) has diameter greater than or equal to \( \pi - \pi/(2k+1) \).

Cohomological methods, using little more than knowledge of the \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-cohomology of a real projective space, will also, in Section 3, establish a Borsuk–Ulam theorem for higher dimensional spheres strengthening \cite{1} Theorem 3. The unit sphere \( S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is equipped with the standard metric \( d : \cos(d(u, v)) = \langle u, v \rangle, 0 \leq d(u, v) \leq \pi \).

Theorem 1.2. Let \( m, n \geq 1 \) be positive integers such that \( m \leq 2^r \leq n < 2^{r+1} \), where \( r \geq 0 \) is a non-negative integer. Suppose that \( f : S^{n-1} = S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1} \) is a continuous map such that \( f(-v) = -f(v) \) for all \( v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then there exists a finite subset \( X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with cardinality at most \( m + n \) and diameter at most \( \pi - \arccos(1/n) \) such that \( 0 \) lies in the convex hull of \( f(X) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1} \).

Moreover, there is an example of such a map \( f \) with the property that any finite subset \( X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( 0 \) lies in the convex hull of \( f(X) \) has diameter greater than or equal to \( \pi - \arccos(1/n) \).
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2. The Intermediate Value Theorem

Lemma 2.1. Let \( f : S(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \) be a continuous map such that \( f(-z) = -f(z) \). Suppose that \( w_0, \ldots, w_{2k} \) are any \( 2k+1 \) points in \( S(\mathbb{C}) \). Then there exist \( \varepsilon_i \in \{-1\}, \lambda_i \geq 0, \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, 2k \), with \( \sum \lambda_i = 1 \), and \( z \in S(\mathbb{C}) \), such that \( \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \lambda_i f(e_i z w_i) = 0 \).

If \( f(w_0), \ldots, f(w_{2k}) \) lie in a \( 2k \)-dimensional subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \), we can require that \( z = 1 \).

Proof. Consider the determinant map
\[
\varphi : S(\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{2k+1} \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}, z \mapsto f(z w_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge f(z w_{2k}).
\]
Then \( \varphi(-z) = (-1)^{2k+1} \varphi(z) = -\varphi(z) \). So, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, \( \varphi \) has a zero.

If \( \varphi(z) = 0 \), the vectors \( f(z w_i) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \) are linearly dependent and there exist \( \mu_i \in \mathbb{R} \), not all zero such that \( \sum_i \mu_i f(w_i) = 0 \). We may assume, by scaling, that \( \sum |\mu_i| = 1 \). Choose \( \lambda_i \geq 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \) so that \( \lambda_i \varepsilon_i = \mu_i \). \( \square \)

Lemma 2.2. For \( z \in S(\mathbb{C}) \) and \( \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \), \( i = 0, \ldots, 2k \), the distance \( d(e_i z \zeta^i, e_j z \zeta^j) \) is less than or equal to \( \pi/2k+1 \).

Example 2.3. (\[1\] Theorem 5). Let \( P \) be the \( k \)-dimensional complex vector space of complex polynomials \( p(z) \) of degree \( \leq 2k-1 \) such that \( p(-z) = -p(z) \). Let \( g : S(\mathbb{C}) \to P^* \) be the evaluation map to the dual \( P^* = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(P, \mathbb{C}) \). Suppose that \( w_0, \ldots, w_{2k} \) are points of \( S(\mathbb{C}) \) such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the \( g(w_i) \). Then \( d(w_i, w_j) \geq \pi - \pi/(2k+1) \) for some \( i, j \).

For the sake of completeness we include a concise version of the proof in \[1\].

Proof. Assume that the \( 2k+1 \) points \( w_i^2 \) are distinct. By relabelling we may arrange that \( w_1 = e^{i \theta} w_0 \), where \( \theta \) is the minimum of the distances \( d(w_i, w_j) \), \( i \neq j \).

Suppose that \( \sum \lambda_i g(w_i) = 0 \), where the \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \) are not all equal to zero. This means that \( \sum \lambda_i p(w_i) = 0 \) for each \( p \in P \), and hence, since \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \), that \( \sum \lambda_i p(w_i^{-1}) = 0 \) too. For \( r \neq s, 0 \leq r, s \leq 2k \), we may write
\[
z^{-2k+1} \prod_{j \neq r, j \neq s} (z^2 - w_j^2) = p_+(z) + p_-(z^{-1}),
\]
with \( p_+, p_- \in P \). Then we find that
\[
\lambda_r w_r^{-2k+1} \prod_{j \neq r, j \neq s} (w_r^2 - w_j^2) + \lambda_s w_s^{-2k+1} \prod_{j \neq r, j \neq s} (w_s^2 - w_j^2) = 0.
\]
It follows that, for some non-zero \( c \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\lambda_i \delta_i = c, \text{ where } \delta_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (w_i w_j^{-1} - w_i^{-1} w_j),
\]
for all \( i \). In particular, all the \( \lambda_i \) are non-zero.

Now assume further that all \( \lambda_i \) are positive. Then the \( \delta_i \in \mathbb{R} \) all have the same sign. We show that there is some \( i \) such that \( w_i = -e^{i \theta} w_0 \) for \( 0 < t < 1 \).

Indeed, write \( \psi(t) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq 2k} (e^{i \theta} w_0 w_j^{-1} - e^{-i \theta} w_0^{-1} w_j) \in \mathbb{R}_i, \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \).
Then \( \delta_0 = (w_0 w_1^{-1} - w_0^{-1} w_1) \psi(0) \) and \( \delta_1 = (w_1 w_0^{-1} - w_1^{-1} w_0) \psi(1) \). So, by the Intermediate Value theorem again, \( \psi(t) = 0 \) for some \( t \), and then \( w_i^2 = (e^{i \theta} w_0)^2 \) for some \( i, 1 < i < 2k \). But \( w_i \neq e^{i \theta} w_0 \), by the minimality of \( \theta \). So \( w_i = -e^{i \theta} w_0 \).
Now \(d(w_i, w_0) = \pi - t\theta\) and \(d(w_i, w_1) = \pi - (1 - t)\theta\). But clearly \(\theta \leq 2\pi/(2k + 1)\) and either \(t \geq 1/2\) or \(1 - t \geq 1/2\). \(\square\)

Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, together with Example 2.3, establish Theorem 1.1 using the fact that, if \(Y\) is a finite subset of a real vector space such that the convex hull of \(Y\) contains 0 but no proper subset of \(Y\) has this property, then the set \(Y\) is affinely independent.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \(m \geq 0\) and \(n > 1\) be integers. Then there is a non-negative real number \(\delta < 1\) with the property that, for any continuous map \(f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1}\) such that \(f(-v) = -f(v)\) for all \(v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)\), there is a finite subset \(X\) of \(S(\mathbb{R}^n)\) of cardinality at most \(m + n\) and diameter at most \(\pi - \arccos(\delta) < \pi\) such that 0 lies in the convex hull of \(f(X)\).

The classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem deals with the case \(m = 0\): we may take \(\delta = 0\) so that \(X\) consists of a single point.

**Proof.** By restricting \(f\) to \(S(\mathbb{R}^2) \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)\) and including \(\mathbb{R}^{m+n-1}\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}\) for the smallest \(k \geq 1\) such that \(m + n - 1 \leq 2k + 1\), we see from Theorem 1.1 that the assertion is true with \(\delta = \cos(\pi/(2k + 1))\). \(\square\)

There is an easy extension of Lemma 2.1 to higher dimensions.

**Lemma 2.5.** For integers \(n, k \geq 1\), suppose that \(f : S(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}\) is a continuous map such that \(f(-v) = -f(v)\) for all \(v \in S(\mathbb{C}^n)\). Then, for any \(2k + 1\) vectors \(w_0, \ldots, w_{2k}\) in \(S(\mathbb{C}^n)\), there exist \(e_i \in \{\pm 1\}\), \(\lambda_i \geq 0\), for \(i = 0, \ldots, 2k\), with \(\sum \lambda_i = 1\), and \(z \in S(\mathbb{C})\) such that \(\sum_{i=0}^{2k} \lambda_i f(e_i z w_i) = 0\).

**Proof.** This can be established by looking at the function \(\varphi : S(\mathbb{C}) \to \Lambda^{2k+1} \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}\) defined by \(\varphi(z) = f(zw_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge f(zw_{2k})\). \(\square\)

**Example 2.6.** (Compare [1] Theorem 2 and [2] Remark 4.2.) For \(n \geq 1\), write \(e_1, \ldots, e_n\) for the standard orthonormal \(\mathbb{C}\)-basis of \(\mathbb{C}^n\) and let \(\eta = e^{2\pi i/(2l+1)}\) where \(l \geq 1\) is a positive integer. Fix an integer \(r\): \(1 \leq r \leq n\).

Write \((2l+1)\binom{r}{l} = 2k + 1\) or \(2k + 2\) according to the parity of the binomial coefficient, and choose distinct vectors \(w_0, \ldots, w_{2k}\) from the set
\[
\{(\sum_{s=1}^{r} \eta^{a_s} e_i)/\sqrt{r} : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \cdots < i_r \leq n, \ a_s = 0, \ldots, 2l\} \subseteq S(\mathbb{C}^n).
\]

Then \(|(w_i, w_j)| \leq \delta = 1 - (1 - \cos(\pi/(2l+1)))/r\) for \(i \neq j\). Hence \(d(e_i z w_i, e_j z w_j) \leq \pi - \arccos(\delta)\).

If \(r = 1\), we have \(\arccos(\delta) = \pi/(2l+1)\). For general \(r\), if \(l\) is large, \(\arccos(\delta)\) is close to \(\pi/(2l+1)\).

### 3. Cohomological methods

In this section we shall use cohomological methods in higher dimensions.

---

1We have \(\lambda_y > 0\), \(y \in Y\), such that \(\sum \lambda_y y = 0\). Suppose that \(\mu_y \in \mathbb{R}\), \(y \in Y\), satisfy \(\sum \mu_y y = 0\) and \(\sum \mu_y = 0\). Then, for any \(t \in \mathbb{R}\) such that \(\lambda_y > |t\mu_y|\) for all \(y\), \(\sum (\lambda_y - t\mu_y) y = 0\), \(\lambda_y \pm t\mu_y \geq 0\), and so \(\lambda_y > |t\mu_y|\), that is, \(\lambda_y > |t\mu_y|\), for all \(y\). Hence \(\mu_y = 0\) for all \(y\).
Theorem 3.1. (Compare [11 Theorem 3]). For an integer $n > 1$, let $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ be the vertices of an inscribed regular $n$-simplex in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Write $n = 2^r + s$, where $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s < 2^r$. An involution $\tau$ in the orthogonal group $O(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is specified by: $\tau(v_i) = v_{i+s}$ for $i < s$ and $\tau(v_i) = v_i$ for $i = 2s, \ldots, n$. Let $V_+$ and $V_-$ be the $\pm 1$-eigenspaces of $\tau$ with dimensions $n-s = 2^r$ and $s$ respectively. The special orthogonal group $SO(V_+)$, which is isomorphic to $SO(\mathbb{R}^{2^r})$, is included in $SO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $g \mapsto (g, 1) : V_+ \oplus V_- = \mathbb{R}^n \to V_+ \oplus V_- = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$ be a continuous map such that $f(-v) = -f(v)$ for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exist $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, and an element $g$ of $SO(V_+) \subseteq SO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the image $f(X)$ of the finite subset $X = \{e_i v_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, n\} \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which has diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$.

Moreover, the example of the inclusion $f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$ has the property that any finite subset $X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of $f(X)$ has diameter greater than or equal to $\pi - \arccos(1/n)$.

Proof. Consider the map $\sigma : SO(V_+) \times S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \to \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$

\[(g, (\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_n)) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu_i f(g v_i), \quad g \in SO(V_+), \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu_i^2 = 1.\]

We shall show that $\sigma$ has a zero.

Clearly $\sigma(g,-\mu) = -\sigma(g,\mu)$. Now notice that $-g \in SO(V_+)$ maps to $(-g,1) = -(g,1)^T \tau \in SO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So $\sigma(-g,\mu) = -\sigma(g,T \mu)$, where $T$ is the linear involution of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying, for $\mu = (\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $(T \mu)_i = \mu_{i+s}$ for $i < s$ and $(T \mu)_i = \mu_i$ for $i = 2s, \ldots, n$.

Let $L$ be the real line bundle over the projective special orthogonal group $PSO(V_+)$ associated with the double cover $SO(V_+) \to PSO(V_+) = SO(V_+)/\{\pm 1\}$. And let $E$ be the $(n+1)$-dimensional real vector bundle over $PSO(V_+)$ associated to the involution $-T$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, that is, $E$ is the quotient of $SO(V_+) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by the involution $(g, \mu) \mapsto (-g, -T \mu)$. The bundle $E$ is thus isomorphic to the direct sum $(L \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2^r+1}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^s$ of $2^r + 1$ copies of $L$ and the trivial bundle with fibre $\mathbb{R}^s$.

Let $H$ denote the Hopf line bundle over the projective bundle $P(E)$ of $E$. To be precise, $P(E)$ is the quotient of the sphere bundle $S(E)$ of $E$ by the involution $-1$: $P(E) = S(E)/\{\pm 1\}$; elements of $P(E)$ can be written as $[u]$, where $u \in S(E)$, and the fibre of $H$ at $[u]$ is the line $\mathbb{R}u$ generated by $u$.

The map $\sigma$ determines a section $s$ of $H \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}$:

\[s([g, \mu]) = [g, \mu] \otimes \sigma(g, \mu), \quad \text{for } g \in SO(V_+), \mu \in S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}),\]

where the element $[[g, \mu]] \in P(E)$ is determined by the class $[g, \mu] \in S(E)$ of $(g, \mu) \in SO(V_+) \times S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We shall show that $s$ has a zero, and hence that $\sigma$ has a zero, by proving that the mod 2 Euler class $e(H \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n+2^r-1}) = e(H)^{n+2^r-1} \in H^{n+2^r-1}(P(E); \mathbb{F}_2)$ is non-zero.

Let us write $x = e(L)$ and $y = e(H)$. Now, as we recall in Proposition A.1, \(x^{2^r-1} \neq 0\) and $x^{2^r} = 0$. So the total Stiefel-Whitney class $w(E)$ of $E$ is equal to $w(L)^{2^r+1} = (1 + x)^{2^r+1} = 1 + x^3$ (because $(1 + x)^{2^r} = 1 + x^{2^r} = 1$). Hence

\[H^*(P(E); \mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(PSO(V_+); \mathbb{F}_2)[y]/(y^{n+1} + xy^n).\]

Using the relation $y^{n+1} = xy^n$, we conclude that $y^{n+2^r-1} = x^{2^r-1}y^n$ is non-zero.
Hence \( \sigma \) has a zero, that is, there exist \( g \in \text{SO}(V) \) and \( \mu_0, \ldots, \mu_n \), not all zero, such that \( \sum_i \mu_i f(gv_i) = 0 \). The existence of the required \( e_i \in \{ \pm 1 \} \) follows as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

The \( n+1 \) points \( v_i \) satisfy \( \sum_i v_i = 0 \) and \( \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = c \), say, for \( i \neq j \). Since \( \sum_{i=0}^n \langle v_0, v_i \rangle = 0 \), we see that \( 1 + nc = 0 \), so that \( c = -1/n \). Hence \( d(v_i, v_j) = \pi - \arccos(1/n) \) and \( d(v_i, -v_j) = \arccos(1/n) \) if \( i \neq j \).

So \( d(e_i gv_i, e_j gv_j) = d(e_i v_i, e_j v_j) \leq \pi - \arccos(1/n) \) for \( i \neq j \).

The final assertion follows, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 from Lemma 3.2 below.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that \( w_0, \ldots, w_n \) are points of \( S(\mathbb{R}^n) \), such that \( \sum \lambda_i w_i = 0 \), where \( \lambda_i \geq 0 \) and \( \sum \lambda_i = 1 \). Then \( d(w_i, w_j) \geq \pi - \arccos(1/n) \) for some \( i, j \).

**Proof.** Put \( d = \min \{ \langle w_i, w_j \rangle \mid i \neq j \} \). Then

\[
0 = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_j \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i \langle w_i, w_i \rangle + \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j \langle w_i, w_j \rangle \geq 1 + nd.
\]

So \( d \leq -1/n \). If \( \langle w_i, w_j \rangle \leq -1/n \), then \( d(w_i, w_j) \geq \pi - \arccos(1/n) \).

The result in Theorem 3.1 is optimal when \( n = 2^r \) is a power of 2 and so \( n + 2^r - 1 = 2n - 1 \), as the following construction demonstrates.

**Proposition 3.3.** For any even integer \( n > 1 \), there is a map \( f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) such that for every finite subset \( X \) of \( S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with diameter \( \leq \pi - \arccos(1/n) \) the zero vector \( 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) does not lie in the convex hull of \( f(X) \).

**Proof.** Consider a map \( f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \) of the form \( f(v) = (v, \varphi(v)v) \), where \( \varphi : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function such that \( \varphi(-v) = \varphi(v) \).

Suppose that \( X \subseteq S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a finite subset of diameter at most \( \pi - \arccos(1/n) \) such that \( 0 = (0,0) \) lies in the convex hull of \( f(X) \).

Considering the first component, we see that there are points \( w_0, \ldots, w_m \) of \( X \) for some \( m \) with \( 1 \leq m \leq n \) and \( \lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_m \geq 0 \) with \( \sum \lambda_i = 1 \), such that \( \sum \lambda_i w_i = 0 \) and \( \langle w_i, w_j \rangle \geq -1/n \) for all \( i, j \).

Then, for each \( i, -\lambda_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j \langle w_i, w_j \rangle \geq -(1 - \lambda_i)/n \), and so \( \lambda_i \leq 1/(n+1) \). Hence \( m = n \) and \( \lambda_i = 1/(n+1) \) for all \( i \). And then \( \sum_{j \neq i} \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = -1 \). We conclude that \( \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = -1/n \) for \( i \neq j \). Hence \( w_0, \ldots, w_n \) are the vertices of an inscribed regular \( n \)-simplex in \( S(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

If \( v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a vector distinct from each of \( w_0, \ldots, w_n \), then \( \langle v, w_i \rangle < -1/n \) for some \( i \). (Indeed, if \( v = \sum_{j \neq i} t_j w_j \), where \( t_j \geq 0 \), then \( \langle v, w_i \rangle < -1/n \). For \( 1 = \|v\|^2 = (\sum_{j \neq i} t_j)^2 - (n+1)/n \sum_{j \neq i, j \neq i} t_j t_j' \). So \( \sum t_j > 1 \). Hence \( \langle v, w_i \rangle = -1/(\sum_{j \neq i} t_j)/n < -1/n \). Thus \( X \) is precisely the set \( \{w_0, \ldots, w_n\} \).

Since the \( w_i \) are the vertices of a regular \( n \)-simplex, if \( \sum \mu_i w_i = 0, \mu_i \in \mathbb{R} \), then the coefficients \( \mu_i \) must be equal. So, looking now at the second component of \( f \), we conclude that the real numbers \( \varphi(w_i), i = 0, \ldots, n \), are equal.

To construct a map \( f \) such that \( 0 \) does not lie in the convex hull of \( f(X) \) for any finite set \( X \) with diameter at most \( \pi - \arccos(1/n) \), we write down a function \( \varphi \) which is not constant on the vertices \( w_0, \ldots, w_n \) of any regular \( n \)-simplex. For example, choose a non-zero vector \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and take \( \varphi(v) = \langle u, v \rangle^2 \). Then \( 0 = \sum \langle u, w_i \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^n \epsilon_i \sqrt{\varphi(w_i)} \), where \( \epsilon_i = \pm 1 \). Since \( n + 1 \) is odd, if \( \varphi(w_i) = c^2 \) for all \( i \), then \( c = 0 \), which is impossible because \( u \neq 0 \). 

\( \square \)
Proof of Theorem 1.3. This follows at once from Theorem 3.1 by including \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+2r-1} \).

Methods similar to those used to derive Theorem 3.1 give the following generalization of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 3.4. For some integers \( r \geq 0 \) and \( n, k \geq 1 \), suppose that \( f : S(\mathbb{R}^{2r+n}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2r+k+2r-1} \) is a continuous map such that \( f(-v) = -f(v) \) for all \( v \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2r+n}) \). We include the special orthogonal group \( \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \) in \( \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r+n}) \) by the diagonal homomorphism \( \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \to \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times \cdots \times \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \subseteq \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r+n}) \). Then, for any \( 2r+k+1 \) vectors \( w_0, \ldots, w_{2r+k} \) in \( S(\mathbb{R}^{2r+n}) \), there exist \( e_i \in \{ \pm 1 \} \), \( \lambda_i \geq 0 \), for \( i = 0, \ldots, 2r+k \), with \( \sum \lambda_i = 1 \), and \( g \in \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \), such that \( \sum_{i=0}^{2r+k} \lambda_i f(e_i gw_i) = 0 \).

If, for some \( \delta < 1 \), the vectors \( w_i \) satisfy \( |(w_i, w_j)| \leq \delta \) for all \( i \neq j \), then \( d(e_i gw_i, e_j gw_j) \leq \pi - \arccos(\delta) < \pi \) for \( i \neq j \).

Proof. We assume that \( r \geq 1 \). The simpler case \( r = 0 \) is discussed in Remark 3.5. Consider the map \( \sigma : \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times S(\mathbb{R}^{2r+k+1}) \to \mathbb{R}^{2r+k+2r-1} \)

\[
(g, (\mu_0, \ldots, \mu_{2r+k})) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{2r+k} \mu_i f(gw_i), \quad g \in \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}), \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2r+k} \mu_i^2 = 1.
\]

Since \( \sigma(-g, \mu) = -\sigma(g, \mu) = \sigma(g, -\mu) \), the map \( \sigma \) determines a section \( s \) of the vector bundle \( \mathbb{R}^{2r+k+2r-1} \otimes (L \otimes H) \) over the space \( (\text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})/\{ \pm 1 \} \times (S(\mathbb{R}^{2r+k+1})/\{ \pm 1 \}) \), where \( L \) and \( H \) are the line bundles associated with the double covers \( \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \to \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r})/\{ \pm 1 \} \) and \( S(\mathbb{R}^{2r+k+1}) \to S(\mathbb{R}^{2r+k+1})/\{ \pm 1 \} \) of \( \text{PSO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \) and the real projective space \( P(\mathbb{R}^{2r+k+1}) \), respectively.

The \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-Euler class of \( \mathbb{R}^{2r+k+2r-1} \otimes (L \otimes H) \) is equal to

\[
e(L \otimes H)^{2r+k+2r-1} = (x + y)^{2r+k+2r-1} \in H^{2r+k+2r-1}(\text{PSO}(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \times P(\mathbb{R}^{2r+k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2),
\]

where \( x = e(L) \) and \( y = e(H) \). Since \( x^{2r-1} \neq 0 \) and \( x^2 = 0 \), \( y^{2r-1} \neq 0 \) and \( y^2 + 1 = 0 \),

\[
(x + y)^{2r+k+2r-1} = (x + y)^{2r-1}(x^2 + y^2)^k = (x + y)^{2r-1}y^{2r} = x^{2r-1}y^{2r}
\]

is non-zero. Hence the section \( s \) has a zero, and, therefore, so too has \( \sigma \). The proof is completed as before.

Remark 3.5. When \( r = 0 \), so that \( g = 1 \) (because \( \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{1}) \) is trivial), the result specializes to the main theorem of [2] Theorem 1. In that case, the map \( \sigma \) determines a section \( s \) of \( \mathbb{R}^k \otimes H \) over \( P(\mathbb{R}^{k+1}) \), and \( s \) has a zero just because \( y^k = e(H)^k \) is non-zero in \( H^k(P(\mathbb{R}^{k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2) \).

The case \( r = 1 \) is precisely Lemma 2.3 with the identifications \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{2}) = \text{U}(\mathbb{C}) = S(\mathbb{C}) \).

When \( r = 2 \), we can write \( \mathbb{R}^{4n} = \mathbb{H}^n \) and use quaternion multiplication to show that the element \( g \in \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}^{4}) \) may be chosen to lie in the subgroup \( \text{Sp}(\mathbb{H}) = S(\mathbb{H}) \) of unit quaternions. (And, when \( r = 3 \), we could use Cayley multiplication.)

Corollary 3.6. Let \( m, n \geq 1 \) be integers with \( m \leq n \). Then there are \( m+n \) vectors \( w_0, \ldots, w_{m+n-1} \) in \( S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with the property that, for any continuous map \( f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n-1} \) with \( f(-v) = -f(v) \), there exist \( e_0, \ldots, e_{m+n-1} \in \{ \pm 1 \} \) such that \( 0 \) lies in the convex hull of the image \( f(X) \) of the finite set \( X = \{ e_i w_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, m+n-1 \} \) with cardinality \( m+n \) and diameter at most \( \pi - \arccos(1/|n/m|) \).
Notice that the vectors $w_0, \ldots, w_{m+n-1}$ are independent of $f$: compare Theorem 1.2. The construction we use can be seen as a special case of [4, Lemma 16]. Compare, also, Example 2.6.

Proof. Write $n = qm + l$, where $q = \lceil n/m \rceil > 1$ and $0 \leq l < m$. We can assume that $q > 1$. Let $u_0, \ldots, u_q$ be the vertices of an inscribed regular $q$-simplex in $S(R^n)$ and let $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ be an orthonormal basis of $R^m$. We give $R^q \otimes R^m$ the standard inner product: $\langle u \otimes v, u' \otimes v' \rangle = \langle u, u' \rangle \cdot \langle v, v' \rangle$. In other words, $R^q \otimes R^m$ is the orthogonal direct sum $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m R^q \otimes R e_j$. Thus $\langle u_i \otimes e_j, u_{i'} \otimes e_{j'} \rangle$ is equal to 1 if $i = i', j = j'$, equal to $-1/q$ if $i \neq i', j = j'$, and is zero otherwise.

Let $w_0, \ldots, w_{k-l} \in R^{qm} \subseteq R^{qm} \oplus l = R^n$, where $k = n+m-1$, correspond to the vectors $u_i \otimes e_j$, in some order, under a chosen isometric isomorphism $R^{qm} \cong R^q \otimes R^m$ and let $w_{k-l+1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of $l \subseteq R^{qm} \oplus l = R^n$. The result follows from Lemma 3.4 with $r = 0$ and $\delta = 1/q$. \hfill $\square$

4. Conclusion

We finish with a reformulation of the main results.

Definition 4.1. Given integers $m \geq 1$ and $n > 1$, set $k = m + n - 1$. Let $\delta(m, n)$ be the smallest real number $\delta \in (0, 1]$ with the property that, for any continuous map $f : S(R^n) \to \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfying $f(-v) = -f(v)$ for all $v \in S(R^n)$, there is a finite subset $X \subseteq S(R^n)$ of cardinality less than or equal to $m + n$ and diameter at most $\pi - \arccos(\delta)$ such that 0 lies in the convex hull of $f(X)$.

The existence of $\delta(m, n)$ follows from a compactness argument. For a given $f$, the subspace

$$\{(\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_k, w_0, \ldots, w_k) \in [0,1]^{k+1} \times S(R^n)^{k+1} | \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i = 1, \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i f(w_i) = 0\}$$

of $[0,1]^{k+1} \times S(R^n)^{k+1}$ is compact and non-empty. So the subset $\Delta(f) \subseteq [0,1]$ consisting of those $\delta$ for which there is a subset $X$ of diameter $\leq \pi - \arccos(\delta)$ such that 0 is in the convex hull of $f(X)$ is closed. Hence $\bigcap_f \Delta(f)$ is closed (and contains 1).

The example of the inclusion of $S(R^n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ shows, by Lemma 3.2, that $\delta(m, n) \geq 1/n$. Corollary 2.2 asserts that $\delta(m, n) < 1$.

Theorem 4.2. The function $\delta(m, n)$ defined for $m \geq 1$ and $n > 1$ has the following properties.

(i). For all $m$ and $n$, $1/n \leq \delta(m, n) < 1$.

(ii). For $k \geq 1$, $\delta(2k-1, 2) = \delta(2k, 2) = \cos(\pi/(2k+1))$ (Theorem 1.4, Example 2.3).

(iii). If there is an integer $r$ such that $1 \leq m \leq 2^r \leq n$, $\delta(m, n) = 1/n$ (Theorem 1.2).

(iv). For $k \geq 1$, $\delta(2k + 2k) > 1/(2k)$ (Proposition 3.8).

(v). For fixed $m \geq 1$, $\delta(m, n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\pi - \arccos(\delta(m, n)) \to \pi/2$. (Compare [2], Corollary 3.1.)

(vi). For fixed $n > 1$, $\delta(m, n) \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$ and $\pi - \arccos(\delta(m, n)) \to \pi$. 


Proof. Part (v) follows at once from (iii). Only (vi) still requires proof. If \( m \leq m' \), then clearly \( \delta(m, n) \leq \delta(m', n) \).

Given \( n \) and a positive integer \( l \geq 1 \), set \( m + n - 1 = k = \binom{n+2l}{n-1} \). We shall establish the easy estimate \( \delta(m, n) \geq 1/k^{1/(2l+1)} \). Since \( k \), the dimension of the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree \( 2l+1 \) in \( n \) variables, is clearly bounded by \( 2l+1 \leq k \leq (2l+1)^{n-1} \), we see that, as \( l \to \infty \) (with \( n \) fixed), \( m \to \infty \) and \( k^{1/(2l+1)} \to 1 \).

Consider the map \( f : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^{(2l+1)} \) given by the \( (2l+1) \)-th power \( f(v) = v \otimes \cdots \otimes v \) and mapping into the \( k \)-dimensional vector subspace fixed by the permutation action of \( \mathcal{S}_{2l+1} \). Give \( (\mathbb{R}^n)^{(2l+1)} \) the standard inner product:

\[
\langle u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{2l+1}, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{2l+1} \rangle = \prod_i \langle u_i, v_i \rangle,
\]

so that each vector \( f(v) \) lies in the unit sphere.

By Lemma 3.2 if \( w_0, \ldots, w_k \) are points of \( S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that 0 lies in the convex hull of the unit vectors \( f(w_0), \ldots, f(w_k) \), then \( \langle f(w_i), f(w_j) \rangle = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle (2l+1) \leq -1/k \) for some \( i \neq j \). So \( \langle w_i, w_j \rangle \leq -1/k^{1/(2l+1)} \). □

Remark 4.3. The example used to prove part (vi) of Theorem 4.2 shows more precisely that, for any real number \( \alpha < 1/(n-1) \),

\[
m^n(1 - \delta(m, n)) \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.
\]

Proof. The construction shows that \( \delta((2l+1)^{n-1}, n) \geq 1/(2l+1)^{(n-1)/(2l+1)} \). Write \( x = 1 - \delta((2l+1)^{n-1}, n) \). Then

\[
(2l+1)^{n-1} \geq (1-x)^{(2l+1)} = \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{2l+s}{s} x^s \geq \binom{2l+r}{r} x^r \geq (2l+1)^r x^r / r!
\]

for any \( r \geq 1 \). Thus \( x \leq (r!)^{1/r} (2l+1)^{(n-1)/r-1} \). □

Remark 4.4. In the opposite direction, an example shows that, for any real number \( \alpha > 2/(n-1) \),

\[
m^n(1 - \delta(m, n)) \to \infty \text{ as } m \to \infty.
\]

Proof. We shall use Lemma 3.4 with \( r = 0 \).

Let \( V = \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1 \} \) and let \( \Delta \subseteq V \) be the simplex \( \{(t_i) \in V \mid t_i \geq 0 \} \). The map \( \pi : V \to S(\mathbb{R}^n), v \mapsto v/\|v\| \) gives a diffeomorphism from \( V \) to an open subspace of the space mapping geodesics (straight line segments) to geodesics (segments of great circles). Hence, there is a constant \( c_0 > 0 \) such that \( d(\pi(u), \pi(v)) \geq c_0 \|u - v\| \) for all \( u, v \) in the compact subspace \( \Delta \).

Fix an integer \( l \geq 1 \) and let \( W = \{(t_i) \in \Delta \mid lt_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \} \). For \( u, v \in W \), \( u \neq v \), we see that \( \|u - v\|^2 \geq 2/l^2 \). List the vectors \( \pi(v) \), \( v \in W \), as \( w_0, \ldots, w_k \), where \( k + 1 = \binom{l+n-1}{n-1} \geq l^{n-1}/(n-1)! \). So, for \( i \neq j \), \( d(w_i, w_j) \geq c_0 \sqrt{2}/l \).

This shows that \( \delta(k+1-n, n) \leq \cos(c_0 \sqrt{2}/l) = 1 - c_0^2/l^2 + \cdots \). Finally, observe that \( m = k + 1 - n \geq 1/(2l)^{n-1} / (n-1)! \) if \( l \) is sufficiently large.. □

Appendix A. On the cohomology of \( \text{PO}(\mathbb{R}^r) \)

In this appendix we give an elementary proof of the result from [3] Lemma (8.1)] that we used in the proofs of Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.4.
Proposition A.1. Write $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ is odd. Let $L$ be the real line bundle over the projective orthogonal group $PO(V)$ associated with the double cover $O(V) \to PO(V)$. Then $e(L) \in H^1(PO(V); \mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfies $e(L)^{2r-1} \neq 0$, $e(L)^2 = 0$. Moreover, the restriction of $e(L)^{2r-1}$ to the projective special orthogonal group $PSO(V)$ is non-zero.

Proof. Notice, first, that the vector bundle $L \otimes V$ is trivial: it has a natural trivialization $L \otimes V \to V$ mapping $g \otimes v$, where $g$ is an element of $O(V)$, regarded as a generator of the fibre of $L$ at $[g] \in PO(V)$, and $v \in V$. Hence $1 = w(L \otimes V) = (1 + e(L))^{2n} = (1 + e(L)^2)^n$ and, since $n$ is odd, $e(L)^2 = 0$.

The diagonal map $O(\mathbb{R}^{2r}) \to (O(\mathbb{R}^{2r}))^n \leq O(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ induces an inclusion of $PO(\mathbb{R}^{2r})$ in $PO(V)$. To prove that $e(L)^{2r-1}$ is non-zero it is, therefore, enough to deal with the case $n = 1$, and for the remainder of the discussion we take $V = \mathbb{R}^{2r}$.

Given a Euclidean vector bundle $\xi$ over a base $B$, we write $O(\mathbb{R}^i, \xi)$ for the Stiefel bundle over $B$ with fibre at $b \in B$ the Stiefel manifold $O(\mathbb{R}^i, \xi_b)$ of isometric linear maps from $\mathbb{R}^i$ to the fibre $\xi_b$ of $\xi$ at $b \in B$.

Let $H$ be the Hopf bundle over the real projective space $P(V)$ of $V$. Now there is a natural projection

$$\pi : O(V, H \otimes V) \to PO(V)$$

taking an isometric linear map $v \mapsto u \otimes g(v) : V \to \mathbb{R}u \otimes V$, where $g \in O(V)$, in the fibre over $[u] \in P(V)$ to $[g] \in PO(V)$. The pullback $\pi^*L$ is identified with the lift of $H$.

In terms of $x = e(H) \in H^1(P(V); \mathbb{F}_2)$, the total Stiefel-Whitney class of $H \otimes V$ is equal to $(1 + x)^{2r} = 1$, so that $w_j(H \otimes V) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$.

For each $i$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^r$, the fibration

$$p_i : O(\mathbb{R}^{i+1}, H \otimes V) \to O(\mathbb{R}^i, H \otimes V)$$

is injective. In the top dimension $i = 2^r - 1$, when $\zeta_i$ is a line bundle with $w_1 = 0$, $p_i$ is trivial with fibre $S^0$ and $p_i^*$ is an injection on each component.

Hence, $(\pi^*e(L))^{2r-1}$, which is the image of $e(H)^{2r-1} = x^{2r-1} \in H^*(P(V); \mathbb{F}_2)$ under the composition

$$p_0 \circ \cdots \circ p_{2r-1} : O(V, H \otimes V) = O(\mathbb{R}^{2r}, H \otimes V) \to P(V) = O(\mathbb{R}^0, H \otimes V),$$

is non-zero on each of the two components. □

Remark A.2. Simpler proofs can be given in the low dimensional cases $V = \mathbb{R}^{2r}$, $r = 1, 2, 3$. In those dimensions there is a bilinear map $\cdot : V \times V \to V$ satisfying $\|u \cdot v\| = \|u\| \cdot \|v\|$ for $u, v \in V$, given by complex, quaternionic or Cayley multiplication. This determines a map $S(V) \to O(V)$ taking $u \in S(V)$ to the orthogonal transformation $v \mapsto u \cdot v$, which induces an embedding of $P(V)$ into $PO(V)$. The line bundle $L$ restricts to the Hopf bundle over $P(V)$, and so we see that $e(L)^{2r-1} \neq 0$. 
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