Characterization of a Spatially Resolved Multi-Element Laser Ablation Ion Source

K. Murray\textsuperscript{a}, C. Chambers\textsuperscript{a}, D. Chen\textsuperscript{a}, Z. Feng\textsuperscript{a}, J. Fraser\textsuperscript{a,1}, Y. Ito\textsuperscript{a,2}, Y. Lan\textsuperscript{a}, S. Mendez\textsuperscript{d,3}, M. Medina Peregrina\textsuperscript{a}, H. Rasiwala\textsuperscript{a}, L. Richez\textsuperscript{a}, N. Roy\textsuperscript{a}, R. Simpson\textsuperscript{a,4}, J. Dilling\textsuperscript{b,c}, W. Fairbank, Jr.\textsuperscript{e}, A.A. Kwiatkowski\textsuperscript{b} and T. Brunner\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}McGill University, Montreal, Canada
\textsuperscript{b}TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada
\textsuperscript{c}University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
\textsuperscript{d}Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands
\textsuperscript{e}Physics Department, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

A laser ablation ion source (LAS) is a powerful tool by which diverse species of ions can be produced for mass spectrometer calibration, or surface study applications. It is necessary to frequently shift the laser position on the target to selectively ablate materials in a controlled manner, and to mitigate degradation of the target surface caused by ablation. An alternative to mounting the target onto a rotation wheel or $x - y$ translation stage, is to shift the laser position with a final reflection from a motorized kinematic mirror mount. Such a system has been developed, assembled and characterized with a two-axis motorized mirror and various metal targets. In the system presented here, ions are ablated from the target surface and guided by a 90 degree quadrupole bender to a Faraday cup where the ion current is measured. Spatially resolved scans of the target are produced by actuating the mirror motors, thus moving the laser spot across the target, and performing synchronous measurements of the ion current to construct 2D images of a target surface which can be up to 50 mm in diameter. The spatial resolution of the system has been measured by scanning the interfaces between metals such as steel and niobium, where it was demonstrated that the LAS can selectively ablate an area of diameter $\approx$50 $\mu$m. This work informs the development of subsequent LAS systems, that are intended to serve as multi-element ion sources for commercial and custom-built time-of-flight mass spectrometers, or to selectively study surface specific regions of samples.

1. Introduction

The use of lasers in the production of ion beams, whether by resonance ionisation or ablation, has been demonstrated in many applications, see e.g. [1–9]. Ions may be produced in vacuum, or at higher pressures with different species of ambient gases [10]. Since the process of ablation is inherently destructive, it is typically necessary to shift the laser position on a target as the target surface degrades. Moreover, it may be desirable to selectively ablate specific areas of a multi-element target for applications such as mass spectrometer calibration. In high-precision mass-spectrometry applications, the positioning of a laser beam on the target surface is typically achieved by mounting the target onto a rotating wheel or $x - y$ translation stage [11–18]. In a recent development, the laser position was randomised by the movement of an external focusing lens which was mounted onto an $x - y$ translation stage [19]. An alternative method, presented here, is to shift the laser position on the target with the final reflection into the vacuum chamber, using a high-precision motorized kinematic mirror mount.

The Laser Ablation Ion Source (LAS) presented in this work is being developed to provide ions with well known mass to calibrate a multi-reflection time of flight mass spectrometer [20]. This development is part of the Ba-tagging development [21] that is being pursued for a potential upgrade to the nEXO detector [22].

The LAS uses a pulsed UV laser to produce ion bunches from a custom target in an Ultra-High Vacuum (UHV) system. For ablation to occur, an incident laser fluence of approximately $0.1 - 10$ J/cm$^2$ is required [23–27]. Target materials are chosen such that they span a large mass range for the purposes of mass-spectrometer calibration. The resulting ion bunches are guided by a quadrupole bender to a Faraday cup where the ion current is measured to characterize the performance of the setup. A 2D image of the target can be reproduced by rastering the ablation laser across the surface of the target and recording the ion current at each location. Since the ablation yield is material dependent [28], the measured ion current changes for different materials, thus it is possible to distinguish physical features of the target. The resolution of physical features of the target allows for the establishment of a coordinate system on the target surface, which can be used to selectively ablate different materials. This work characterizes the spatial resolution with which the LAS may selectively ablate the surface of a target.
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Figure 1: Schematic of the LAS layout. The optical components guide a 349 nm Nd:YLF UV laser (a), and a 532 nm green laser (b), into a 6-way CF cross. These lasers are for ablation and alignment purposes, respectively, and a flip mirror (d) is used to toggle between both beams. The laser light is reflected by a series of UV enhanced aluminium mirrors (c)(e)(h), and passes through a pair of diverging (f) and converging (g) lenses. The beam is then focused onto a target with a \( f = 750 \) mm UVFS plano-convex lens (j), which is mounted onto a motorised stage parallel to the optical axis. A knife-edge (i) and power meter (k) are used to measure the laser beam profile and energy. The beam is reflected onto the target by a UV-enhanced mirror mounted in a motorized kinematic mirror mount (l), which allows for precise manipulation of the laser on the target. The laser enters into the vacuum chamber through a UV transparent window (m), to strike the target (n). Once ions are produced from the target, they are accelerated by a series of ring electrodes. The ions then pass through a 90 degree quadrupole bender (o), to either a Faraday cup (p), or through an einzel lens (q) to another device. For measurements of ion transport efficiency, the diverging and converging lenses were replaced with a Thorlabs BE10-UVB beam expander (s).

2. Experimental Setup

The LAS consists of a vacuum chamber where the ions are produced and measured, attached to an optical breadboard where the laser optics are located. The vacuum chamber is a 6-way DN160 CF cross, which houses the ion-source assembly, 90 degree DC quadrupole deflector and a Faraday cup. UHV conditions are achieved by a turbomolecular pump attached to the bottom flange of the cross. The pressure is monitored with a cold cathode gauge, and is typically in the range of \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \) to \( 1 \times 10^{-8} \) mbar. A schematic drawing of the setup is shown in Fig. 1.

2.1. Laser Scanning

Ions are produced by a pulsed 349 nm neodymium-doped yttrium lithium fluoride (Nd:YLF) UV Explorer-349-120. A series of mirrors and lenses focus the laser into the vacuum chamber. The UV laser can be pulsed with a variable frequency ranging from 1 Hz to 5 kHz, with a nominal energy of 120 \( \mu \)J per pulse, and a pulse width < 5 ns [29]. A visible laser is included in the setup to ease the alignment process. The mirror motors are controlled by a LabVIEW program that steps the laser in a rasterized grid across the target’s surface, while recording the ion current measured at each point in the grid. In this manner, one and two dimensional scans of the targets may be produced.

2.2. Ion Source and Ion Optics

The ion-source assembly, shown in Fig. 2, enables the mounting of custom targets in a stainless steel target-holder. Ions produced by ablation are accelerated by a series of five extraction electrodes, after which they are deflected by a 90° quadrupole bender to either a Faraday cup or another device such as a mass spectrometer. The 90° quadrupole bender is formed by 25.4 mm diameter stainless steel rods, which form a square with a center-to-center spacing of 59.1 mm between
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Figure 2: Photograph of the ion-source assembly with a view from the side (left) and from the front (right). The ion source consists of a steel target-holder, which is followed by 5 electrodes that create an accelerating DC field. The target-holder and electrodes have an outer-diameter of 50.8 mm, an inter-electrode spacing of 6.4 mm, and an inner diameter of 12.7 mm. The assembly is mounted onto a DN40 CF flange with ceramic standoffs.

Adjacent rods. The quadrupole bender’s widely spaced electrodes allow surface scans over ranges as large as 50 mm in width.

DC potentials are applied to the ion source, quadrupole bender and Faraday cup with Rohde and Schwarz HMC804 power supplies, which can output up to 32V per channel. In the current work, only the Faraday cup, labeled as (p) in Fig. 1, has been used since the mass spectrometer is still under development. The ion current produced by the ion source is typically measured with an Agilent 34465A digital multimeter connected to the Faraday-cup. For direct measurement of the ion-transport efficiency through the ion-source assembly and the quadrupole bender, the ion currents leaving the target and arriving at the Faraday cup were measured simultaneously using two Keithley 6485 picoammeters. For measurement of the ion-transport efficiency, the LAS had been upgraded by replacing the diverging and converging lenses with a Thorlabs BE10-UVB beam expander to create a smaller laser spot size on the target. A cylindrical Cu target was used to investigate the homogeneity of the ion transport efficiency across the target surface with a 2D scan.

3. Target Scanning in 2D

The actuated mirror moves the laser beam in two directions across the target surface. The directions of movement are to first order perpendicular to each other. The system is calibrated so that the distance actuated by the mirror stages can be converted to distance travelled on the target surface. The inner diameter of the stainless steel target holder is used as a reference to construct the target coordinate system from a 2D scan of the target. This coordinate system can be described simply with the following equation

\[(x', y') = (S_x x, S_y y),\]

(1)

where \((x, y)\) are the coordinates of the mirror stages, \((S_x, S_y)\) are scaling factors, and \((x', y')\) are the target coordinates.

A multi-element target consisting of gold, niobium and copper (Au-Nb-Cu) was scanned in 2D by the LAS. Scans of the Au-Nb-Cu target are used here to demonstrate a measurement of the scaling factors described by Eq. (1). The Au-Nb-Cu target is formed by a hollow niobium cylinder, with a rectangular copper rod in its centre held in place by stainless steel set screws. A 0.1 mm thick piece of gold foil covers one half of the niobium face. The target is shown mounted into the target holder in Fig. 3. These metals have been chosen such that ions from the target span a significant mass range, for the benefit of mass-spectrometer calibration.

3.1. Determination of Scaling Factors

The scaling factors were calculated for each mirror, by creating a 2D scan of the target and fitting an ellipse to the diameter of the target holder. Two examples are shown in Fig. 4, for the PI and Thorlabs mirror mounts on the top and bottom respectively. The ellipse is fit to a total of 30 points which are selected manually at the interface of the target and...
the target holder. The diameter of the target holder was measured as $D_{TH} = 7.8(1)$ mm. $S_x$ and $S_y$ were calculated as the ratio of $D_{TH}$ with the best-fit values for the semi-minor and semi-major axes respectively. The measured scaling factors for both mirrors are summarized in Table 1, where the smallest step size on the target surface for each mirror is also presented.

$$\text{Table 1: The measured scaling factors for } x \text{ and } y \text{ for the PI and Thorlabs actuated mirrors, obtained through the fit of an ellipse as demonstrated in Fig. 4.}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mirror Mount</th>
<th>Scaling Factor</th>
<th>Smallest Step Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_x$</td>
<td>$S_y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL KS1-Z8</td>
<td>19.2(3)</td>
<td>13.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI N472</td>
<td>21.8(3)</td>
<td>14.9(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The large spacing between the quadrupole electrodes allows for scanning over ranges as large as 50 mm. To demonstrate this, the focusing lens, which is mounted onto a motorized stage, was adjusted so that the laser was focused on the surface of the final accelerating electrode. A 2D scan of the full electrode is shown on top in Fig. 5. By fitting an ellipse to the inner diameter, the scaling factors were measured as $S_x = 19.0(3)$ and $S_y = 12.8(2)$ for the PI mirror. The scan of the full electrode was taken over the period of a day with step sizes of 475(8) $\mu$m and 320(5) $\mu$m in $x$ and $y$ respectively. A detailed scan of one of the bolts securing the electrode is shown at the bottom of Fig. 5 with a 10-fold smaller step size. The scaling factors were found to remain consistent between measurements, but the system needs to be re-calibrated if there are changes to the optical setup.

3.2. Measurement of Laser Step Size

An optical microscope was used to directly measure the distance between ablated spots, to confirm that the scaling factors accurately predict the laser step size on the target surface. A thin Cu foil was scanned over the full target range using the PI mirror mount, with a laser energy of 18.1(2) $\mu$J per pulse at 500 Hz and a fixed step size of 20 $\mu$m in mir-
ror coordinates in both the \( x \) and \( y \) directions. Since the laser emitted pulses while being moved on the target surface, there were ablation craters as well as tracks that connect them. To include scans at different laser energies, two opposite quarters of the target were scanned a second time with a higher laser energy of 28.8(3) \( \mu J \) and 36.1(6) \( \mu J \) per pulse. It was found that the size of craters and tracks created by 28.8(3) \( \mu J \) and 36.1(6) \( \mu J \) laser pulses were indistinguishable under the microscope, but distinguishable from craters and tracks made by 18.1(2) \( \mu J \) pulses.

The distances in elliptical shape, with the average of the four major and minor axes measured as 441.6(6) \( \mu m \) and 305.1(9) \( \mu m \) respectively. The thinner track in the center was made by a different scan with a laser energy of 18.1(2) \( \mu J \) per pulse and is not relevant to the presented step-size measurements.

The average \( x \) and \( y \) distances between the centre positions of 4 elliptical ablation craters, which are indicated with red dashed lines in Fig. 6, were measured at \( \times 20 \) magnification with an optical microscope. The ablation craters are elliptical in shape, with the average of the four major and minor axes measured as 68(5) \( \mu m \) and 39(2) \( \mu m \) respectively. The distance in \( x \) between ablation points was measured as \( \Delta x = 441.6(6) \mu m \), and the distance in \( y \) was measured as \( \Delta y = 305.1(9) \mu m \). This measured distance is in agreement with the predicted distances travelled in \( x \) and \( y \) of 438(6) \( \mu m \) and 302(4) \( \mu m \), respectively, calculated using the scaling factors \( S_x = 21.9(3) \) and \( S_y = 15.1(2) \). It was found that the first step taken in \( y \), after the mirror had reversed the scanning direction, was shorter than the subsequent steps in \( y \). Thus there is a shift in \( y \) between adjacent scan lines. The difference in the \( y \) position of adjacent craters was measured as 66(2) \( \mu m \).

**Figure 6:** Image of ablation craters and tracks on the surface of Cu foil recorded with an optical microscope at \( \times 20 \) magnification. The distances in elliptical shape, with the average of the four major and minor axes measured as 441.6(6) \( \mu m \) and 305.1(9) \( \mu m \) respectively. The thinner track in the center was made by a different scan with a laser energy of 18.1(2) \( \mu J \) per pulse and is not relevant to the presented step-size measurements.

### 4. Spatial Resolution

The spatial resolution at which surface features can be resolved with the LAS system is limited by either the diameter of the ablated crater, or the smallest step size that the laser beam can move on the target surface. The diameter of the ablated crater can be measured directly with an optical microscope, for comparison with the smallest achievable steps calculated in Table 1. Alternatively, the diameter of the ablated crater can be estimated theoretically with the following method.

#### 4.1. Ablation Diameter

The diameter of the ablated crater is related to the focused \( 1/e^2 \) beam diameter, \( D_f \), which can be calculated from a measurement of the laser width before the focusing lens, using the relationship \([30, 31]\)

\[
D_f = \frac{4M^2\lambda f}{\pi D},
\]

(2)

where \( f \) is the lens’ focal length, \( \lambda \) the laser wavelength, \( D \) is the \( 1/e^2 \) diameter of the beam as it enters the lens and \( M^2 \) is the beam quality parameter. It is important to note that material is only ablated where the laser fluence is higher than the ablation threshold of the material being ablated. The relationship between the ablated crater diameter, \( D_a \), and the threshold fluence, \( F_{ih} \), is given by \([32–34]\)

\[
D_a^2 = \frac{D_f^2}{2} \ln \left( \frac{F_0}{F_{ih}} \right),
\]

(3)

where the peak fluence, \( F_0 \), is

\[
F_0 = \frac{8E_T}{\pi D_f^2}
\]

(4)

and \( E_T \) is the total beam energy per pulse. It is also important to note that the absorptivity of the irradiated zone changes after multiple pulses. The threshold fluence after \( N \) pulses, \( F_{ih}(N) \), is related to the single-shot threshold fluence by a power law \([35]\)

\[
F_{ih}(N) = F_{ih}(1)N^\zeta - 1,
\]

(5)

where \( \zeta \) is known as the incubation coefficient, and \( F_{ih}(1) \) is the fluence threshold of the first laser shot on a fresh target. Since the threshold fluence changes, \( D_a \) will vary depending on the number of times a particular spot has been ablated, and damage accumulation will affect the spatial resolution for repeated pulses.

The profile of the laser beam before focusing was measured by mounting a razor blade on a micrometer stage and moving the blade in steps of 0.25 mm across the beam, while measuring the average laser energy that passes the blade for 10 s at each step. The laser energy was measured after the focusing lens and before reflection by the motorized mirror. The resulting profile was fit with an integrated Gaussian function, from which the \( 1/e^2 \) diameter \( D = 3.9(1) \) mm was extracted.
The $M^2$ beam quality parameter was specified for the laser as $M^2 < 1.3$ [29]. The $M^2$ parameter was also measured, by deflecting the laser beam after focusing away from the motorized mirror mount, and taking knife-edge measurements of the beam intensity profile near and around the focus, as outlined in [31]. The beam quality was measured as $M^2 = 1.32(7)$, which agrees with the laser specifications. Thus $D_f$ was calculated using Eq. (2), with $f = 750(8)$ mm, $\lambda = 349$ nm, and $M^2 = 1.32(7)$ as $D_f = 113(7) \mu m$, with a peak fluence of $0.9$ J/cm$^2$. The focus of the laser on the target surface was optimized prior to this profile measurement by adjusting the position of the focusing lens, and maximizing the ion current produced from the steel target holder.

To calculate the size of the ablated crater with Eq. (3), $F_{th}(1)$ and $\zeta$ must be known. The incubation parameter $\zeta$ is sensitive to the pulse duration, and will typically have a value between 0.8-0.9 for femtosecond and nanosecond pulses respectively [36]. However, the single-shot fluence threshold $F_{th}(1)$ can vary by orders of magnitude depending on the wavelength [37–39], pulse duration and repetition rate [40], material composition and beam size [41, 42]. Thus it is difficult to choose a value for $F_{th}(1)$ when calculating the ablated crater size for this experiment.

### 4.2. Scanning Metal Junctions

The metals of the multi-metal targets can be distinguished by the amplitude of emitted ion current produced at constant laser power. This fact is utilized to perform a measurement of the spatial resolution for the setup. When the laser was scanned across the junction of two metals, the ion current appeared as a smeared step function. The width of this transition, $D_y$, was measured by fitting an integrated Gaussian function to the resulting distribution, from which the spatial resolution was extracted as the $1/e^2$ diameter. Both motorized mirror mounts were capable of repeatable increments smaller than 4 $\mu m$ on the target surface, which is significantly smaller than the focused laser spot size on the target. Thus the resolution was dominated by the laser spot size on the target, and both mirror actuator types were found to have similar spatial resolutions.

The spatial resolution was measured with the Au-Nb-Cu target as well as an Al-Si-Au target. The Al-Si-Au target consisted of a $0.4$ mm thick piece of aluminum foil and $0.1$ mm thick gold foil on top of a silicon wafer, such that the upper portion of the face is covered with gold and the lower portion is covered by aluminum, with a $2$ mm gap of silicon in between. This target provides straight edges of gold and aluminum that span the diameter of the target, allowing for more scans of metal transitions to be made before needing to replace the target. For the experiments presented here, the ion current was averaged over a time window of $0.25$ s for each scan position, and the standard deviation was calculated and is presented as the statistical uncertainty. The laser repetition rate was fixed at $1000$ Hz and measurements of the laser energy were taken at the location just before the beam reflects from the motorized mirror. The following measurements were performed with the PI mirror mount by scanning targets in the $y$ direction, thus the scaling factor $S_y$ = 14.9(2) is used to calculate the results. The junction between the steel target-holder and the niobium component of the Au-Nb-Cu target was scanned, and the resulting profile fit with an integrated Gaussian function. The $1/e^2$ full width of the transition was extracted as $D_y = 50(3) \mu m$, with the laser energy per pulse measured as $33(1)$ $\mu J$. The Al-Si-Au target was then installed such that the aluminum and gold edges were perpendicular to the $y$ direction. The junction of silicon and gold foil was scanned, and the width extracted as $D_y = 47(7) \mu m$, with the laser energy measured as $42(1) \mu J$. These scans are displayed on the top and bottom of Fig. 7 for stainless steel-niobium and silicon-gold foil interfaces, respectively. Under the described experimental conditions no ablation was observed on the Al target.

### 5. Ion Transport Efficiency

The ion transport efficiency, $T_{eff}$, is measured as the ratio of the ion current arriving at the Faraday cup, $I_{FC}$, to the ion current leaving the target holder, $I_{TH}$. 

![Figure 7: A representative scan of the transition between steel and niobium (top), and silicon and gold foil (bottom). The profiles are fit with integrated gaussian functions from which the $1/e^2$ diameters are extracted as $50(3)$ $\mu m$ and $47(7)$ $\mu m$ respectively.](image-url)
\[ T_{\text{eff}} = \frac{I_{\text{FC}}}{I_{\text{TH}}} \]  

(6)

However, the process of laser ablation does not only release singly charged ions, but electrons, neutrals and multiply-charged ions as well [43]. Thus, Eq. (6) is only an accurate measurement of the ion transport efficiency if the electrons are re-captured by the target-holder, and the distribution of ionisation states in the ablated material is dominated by +1. To prevent electrons escaping the target holder, a positive DC bias of 32 V is applied to the target holder. For the ablation of Cu with a 308 nm laser at a fluence of 3 J/cm\(^2\), a study has shown that the charge state distribution has a Cu\(^{+1}/Cu^{2+}\) ratio of 15.2 [44]. This experiment has similar ablation conditions and thus the singly charged Cu ions are expected to dominate the ablated material.

The laser energy and DC biases applied to the ion source were adjusted to maximise the transport efficiency, while ensuring that the potential gradient between the target holder and the first extraction electrode was sufficient to re-capture electrons on the target holder.

Replacing the diverging and converging lenses with the Thorlabs beam expander increased the diameter of laser beam as it enters the focusing lens from 3.9(1) mm to 9.6(1) mm, thus reducing the calculated spot size on the target to \( D_f = 45(1) \mu m \). The laser repetition rate was fixed at 500 Hz for the experiment and the laser energy measured as 18.1(1) \( \mu J \) per pulse, which calculates to a peak fluence of 2.3 J/cm\(^2\). The laser energy was measured by placing the energy meter at the target’s location, but without the target in place. The Cu target was scanned with a step size of 109(2) \( \mu m \) in \( x \) and 75(1) \( \mu m \) in \( y \). The current from the target holder and Faraday cup is measured over 8 s at each point of the scan.

For 5741 scan points across the surface of the Cu target, the average current measured leaving the target holder was 121(17) nA with the uncertainty taken as the standard deviation. The average current measured arriving at the Faraday cup for the same scan points was 2.6(2) nA. From Eq. (6), the average ion transport efficiency across the target surface was calculated to be 2.2(7)\%. A plot of the calculated efficiency for each scan point is shown in Fig. 8. The efficiency is fairly homogeneous across the surface of the target, with the left side slightly less efficient than the right. This small gradient could be caused by the difference in proximity to the bending quadrupole electrodes.

![Figure 8: A 2D scan of the ion transport efficiency, calculated with Eq. (6), across the surface of a solid Cu target, with a step size of 109(2) \( \mu m \) in \( x \) and 75(1) \( \mu m \) in \( y \).](image)

6. Conclusions

The use of high-precision motorised kinematic mirror mounts in laser ablation is a simple and cost-effective solution for positioning the laser beam on a target, without the need to move the target inside a vacuum chamber. A 2D scan of the ion current may be used to establish a coordinate system on the surface of the target, by a simple transformation of the mirror mount motor positions. Once a coordinate system is established, different target materials may be selected and the ablated ions may be guided to another device such as a mass spectrometer. Multi-element targets can be designed from 1 mm diameter stock materials and selectively ablated for mass spectrometer calibration. The establishment of a coordinate system also allows for the monitoring and management of damage accumulation on the target surface.

The PI and Thorlabs mirror mounts are capable of laser spot displacements less than 4 \( \mu m \) on the target surface. However, the spatial resolution of the presented LAS system is limited by the focused laser beam diameter on the target, which is one of the many factors affecting the diameter of ablated crater. In principle, the diameter of the ablated crater is also dependent on the material and sensitive to the total optical energy deposited on the target. Systems may be designed to leverage this for a specific application. By measuring the transitions between metals, the ablation spot size was shown to be as low as 40-50 \( \mu m \) for this particular device. The calculated laser beam diameter on the target was reduced by \( \approx 40\% \) by expanding the beam to 9.6(1) mm before focusing. The spatial resolution and resolving power of the LAS could be further improved by decreasing the focal length of the focusing lens.

The ion transport efficiency of the ion-source assembly and quadrupole bender was measured as 2.2(7)\% for Cu, with a slight gradient in the observed in the \( x \) direction. This
deviation from homogeneity likely due to a difference in proximity between the ion trajectories and the quadrupole electrodes.
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