NON-ALMOST REGULAR QUATERNARY m-GONAL FORMS

DAYOON PARK

Abstract. The maximal $n$ which admits a non-almost regular $m$-gonal form of rank $n$ is 4. In this article, we consider the $m$-gonal forms of rank 4 which is not almost regular.

1. Introduction

The $m$-gonal number which is defined as a total number of dots to constitute a regular $m$-gon is one of the classical objects in the number theory. More precisely, we call the total number of dots of regular $m$-gon with $x$ dots for each side

$$P_m(x) := \frac{m-2}{2}(x^2 - x) + x$$

as $x$-th $m$-gonal number. One of the most famous stories about $m$-gonal number is a Fermat’s Conjecture which states that every positive integer may be written as a sum of at most $m$ $m$-gonal numbers. This conjecture was resolved by Lagrange, Gauss, and Cauchy for $m = 4$, $m = 3$, and $m \geq 3$, respectively. As a generalization of Gauss’s work, Liouville classified the 3-tuples $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ for which every positive integer can be written as a sum $a_1P_3(x_1) + a_2P_3(x_2) + a_3P_3(x_3)$ for some $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$. And as a generalization of Lagrange’s work, Ramanujan classified the 4-tuples $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ for which every positive integer can be written as a sum $a_1P_4(x_1) + a_2P_4(x_2) + a_3P_4(x_3) + a_4P_4(x_4)$ for some $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{N}_0^4$, but one mistake was found later in the Ramanujan’s list.

We call a weighted sum of $m$-gonal numbers

$$F_m(x) = a_1P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_nP_m(x_n)$$

where $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ as $m$-gonal form. We simply write the $m$-gonal form $a_1P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_nP_m(x_n)$ as $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)_m$. But exceptionally we adopt a notation $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)_4$ for the square (4-gonal) form (i.e., diagonal quadratic form) instead of $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)_4$ true to the tradition of the theory of quadratic form. By the definition of original $m$-gonal number, only positive rational integer $x$ would be admitted in (1.1). But as a generalized $m$-gonal number version, we admit every rational integer $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ in (1.1) in this article. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if the diophantine equation

$$F_m(x) = N$$

has an integer solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then we say that the $m$-gonal form $F_m(x)$ (globally) represents $N$. As is well known, the problem of determining the solvability of non-linear diophantine equation is not easy in general. In an effort to understand the representability of $N$ by $F_m(x)$, we consider the congruence equation

$$F_m(x) \equiv N \pmod{r}.$$  

When the congruence equation (1.4) has an integer solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say that $F_m(x)$ locally represents $N$. The solvability of congruence equation

$$F_m(x) \equiv N \pmod{p^r}$$

for every prime $p$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ would be enough to derive the local representability of $N$ by $F_m(x)$ in virtue of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Note that for some prime
Theorem 1.2. For any $m$-gonal form $F_m(x) \equiv N \pmod{p^r}$ has an integer solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $F_m(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$. When $F_m(x) \equiv N$ has a $p$-adic integer solution $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, we say that $F_m(x)$ represents $N$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Obviously, the representability implies the local representability, but the converse does not hold in general. Especially, when the converse also holds, i.e., an $m$-gonal form $F_m(x)$ represents every positive integer $N$ which is locally represented by $F_m(x)$, we say that $F_m(x)$ is regular. When $F_m(x)$ represents every positive integer $N$ which is locally represented by $F_m(x)$ but finitely many, we say that $F_m(x)$ is almost regular.

The concept regular was firstly introduced by Dickson in [3]. In [11], Watson proved that there are only finitely many primitive positive definite regular ternary quadratic forms by introducing very nice Watson’s $\Lambda$-transformation which is widely applied in the study of regular form. In [5], Jagy, Kaplansky and Schiemann who used the Watson’s $\Lambda$-transformation classified 913 candidates for primitive positive definite regular ternary quadratic forms. And they claimed the regularity of all of them but 22. Oh [8] showed the regularity of 8 forms of unconfirmed 22 forms. And Lemke Oliver [7] proved the regularity of the remaining 14 candidates under the assumption of generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

The finiteness of primitive regular ternary triangular forms was shown by Chan and Oh [1] and all the finitely many primitive regular ternary triangular forms was determined by Kim and Oh. As an improvement of the result in [1], Chan and Ricci [2] proved the finiteness of primitive regular ternary quadratic polynomials with a fixed conductor in [2]. As another version’s improvement of the work in [1], He and Kane showed the finiteness of primitive regular ternary polynomial forms. Which implies that there are only finitely many $m$ which admits a regular $m$-gonal form of rank 3. In [6], Kim and the author showed that for each $n \geq 4$, there are only finitely many $m$ which admits a regular $m$-gonal form of rank $n$. Moreover in [6], they completely determined the type of regular $m$-gonal forms of rank $n \geq 4$ for all $m \geq 14$ with $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $m \geq 28$ with $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ by introducing $\Lambda_p$-transformation which is well designed to trace the regular $m$-gonal forms.

On the other hand, by Theorem 4.9 (1) in [1], every $m$-gonal form of rank $n \geq 5$ is almost regular. On the other words, every $m$-gonal form of rank $n \geq 5$ represents all the sufficiently large integers which are locally represented by the form. In [13], the author considered about the sufficiently large integers. And then one may notice that the largest $n$ which admits a non-almost regular $m$-gonal form of rank $n$ would be at most 4. In this short article, we consider non-almost regular $m$-gonal forms of rank 4. The following two theorems are the main goal in this article.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 1$.

1. If the quaternary quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is isotropic for every prime $p$, then the quaternary $m$-gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is almost regular for every $m \geq 3$.
2. Otherwise, there are infinitely many $m \geq 3$ for which $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ is not almost regular.

**Theorem 1.2.** For any $m \geq 7$, there are infinitely many primitive quaternary $m$-gonal forms which are not almost regular.

In order to avoid the appearance of unnecessary duplications, we always assume that an $m$-gonal form $\langle a_1, \cdots, a_n \rangle_m$ is primitive, i.e., $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = 1$ in this article.
Remark 1.3. By Theorem 1.2, we have that for each 4-tuple \((a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4\), either

\[
(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)_m \text{ is almost regular for every } m \geq 3
\]
or

\[
(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)_m \text{ are not almost regular for infinitely many } m \geq 3.
\]

Throughout this article, we adopt the arithmetic theory of quadratic forms. Before we move on, we briefly set our languages and terminologies which are used throughout this article.

If a quadratic form (or \(m\)-gonal form) represents every \(p\)-adic integer in \(NZ_p\) over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\), then we say that the quadratic form (or \(m\)-gonal form) is \(NZ_p\)-universal and especially, when \(NZ_p = \mathbb{Z}_p\), we say that universal over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\). If a quadratic form (or \(m\)-gonal form) is universal over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\) for every prime \(p\), then we say that the quadratic form (or \(m\)-gonal form) is locally universal.

We say that a quadratic form \(Q(x)\) is isotropic if the equation \(Q(x) = 0\) has a non-trivial solution \(x \not\equiv 0\) and anisotropic otherwise. It is well known that any quadratic form \(Q(x)\) of rank \(n \geq 5\) is isotropic over local ring \(\mathbb{Z}_p\). This property is critical in the regularity of arbitrary quadratic form of rank \(n \geq 5\).

Any unexplained notation and terminology can be found in [10].

2. Preliminaries

We may get an easy and important observation that

\[
N = a_1 P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_n P_m(x_n)
\]

if and only if

\[
8(m - 2)N + (m - 4)^2(a_1 + \cdots + a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(2(m - 2)x_i - (m - 4))^2.
\]

Which allows transfer the issue of the representability by \(m\)-gonal form to the issue of the representability by diagonal quadratic form with a congruence condition. By using the following proposition, one may effectively determine the representability of integer by an \(m\)-gonal form over local ring with help of known results in the quadratic form theory.

Proposition 2.1. Let \(F_m(x) = a_1 P_m(x_1) + \cdots + a_n P_m(x_n)\) be a primitive \(m\)-gonal form.

1. When \(p\) is an odd prime with \(p|m - 2\), \(F_m(x)\) is universal over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\).
2. When \(m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}\), \(F_m(x)\) is universal over \(\mathbb{Z}_2\).
3. When \(p\) is an odd prime with \((p, m - 2) = 1\), an integer \(N\) is represented by \(F_m(x)\) over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\) if and only if the integer \(8(m - 2)N + (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)(m - 4)^2\) is represented by the diagonal quadratic form \((a_1, \cdots, a_n)\) over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\).
4. When \(m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\), an integer \(N\) is represented by \(F_m(x)\) over \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) if and only if the integer \(\frac{m-2}{4}N + (a_1 + \cdots + a_n) \left(\frac{m-4}{4}\right)^2\) is represented by the diagonal quadratic form \((a_1, \cdots, a_n)\) over \(\mathbb{Z}_2\).

Proof. See Proposition 3.1 in [13].

Remark 2.2. Now, we may need understanding the behavior of representation by a quadratic form which is anisotropic over a local ring.
First, assume that \( u \) unimodular (i.e., the quadratic forms are unit \( \mathbb{Z} \)). It would be enough to show the claim only for \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). We will prove the Theorem 1.1 by assigning a suitable \( m \) and Theorem 1.2 by concretely constructing a non-almost regular \( m \)-gonal form of rank 4.

1. First, we consider a quadratic form which is anisotropic over a non-dyadic local ring \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). A quaternary anisotropic diagonal quadratic form over a non-dyadic local ring \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) is the form of

\[
\langle ap^{2r_1+1}, aup^{2r_1+1}, bp^2r_3, bu'p^{2r_4} \rangle
\]

where \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \), \( r_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), and \( -u, -u' \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) are non-residues. So one may get that for a quadratic form \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \) which is anisotropic over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), when

\[
\text{ord}_p(a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_3x_3^2 + a_4x_4^2) \geq r
\]

for some \( (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^4 \) (so also in \( \mathbb{Z}_p^4 \)), \( \text{ord}_p(a_i x_i^n) \geq r \) for each \( i \).

2. A primitive quaternary anisotropic diagonal quadratic form over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is the form of either

\[
\langle a_12^{2r_1}, a_22^{2r_2}, a_32^{2r_3+1}, a_42^{2r_4+1} \rangle
\]

where \( r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \) with
\( a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv \frac{a_3+a_4}{2} \pmod{4} \)

or

\[
\langle a_12^{2r_1}, a_22^{2r_2}, a_32^{2r_3}, a_42^{2r_4} \rangle
\]

where \( r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \) with
\( a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv a_3 \equiv a_4 \pmod{4} \)

and
\( a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \). So one may get that for a quadratic form \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \) which is anisotropic over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), when

\[
\text{ord}_p(a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + a_3x_3^2 + a_4x_4^2) \geq r + 2
\]

for some \( (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \) (so also in \( \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \)), \( \text{ord}_2(a_i x_i^n) \geq r \) for each \( i \).

**Proposition 2.3.** A quaternary quadratic form

\[
\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p
\]

is \( p_{\max 1 \leq i \leq 4} \text{ord}_p(a_i) \mathbb{Z}_p \)-universal for every prime \( p \).

**Proof.** First, assume that \( p \) is an odd prime. By 92:1b in [10], a ternary unimodular (i.e., \( u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \)) quadratic form \( \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) is \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-universal and a binary unimodular (i.e., \( u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \)) quadratic form \( \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) represents every \( p \)-adic unit \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). Which may yield the claim.

Now assume that \( p = 2 \). One may show that for arbitrary 2-adic units \( u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \), the quadratic forms

\[
\langle u_1, 2u_2, 2u_3, 2u_4 \rangle, \langle u_1, u_2, 2u_3, 2u_4 \rangle, \langle u_1, u_2, u_3, 2u_4 \rangle, \langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \rangle
\]

are \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-universal over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). For two 2-adic units \( u, u' \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \) since

\[
u \equiv u' \pmod{8} \iff u \in u'(2_2^4)^2,
\]

it would be enough to show the claim only for \( u_i \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\} \). The tedious processing is omitted in this article. \( \square \)

3. **Non-almost regular quaternary \( m \)-gonal forms**

Now we are ready to prove our main goals. At the end, in this last section, we prove the Theorem 1.1 by assigning a suitable \( m \) and Theorem 1.2 by concretely constructing a non-almost regular \( m \)-gonal form of rank 4.

**proof of Theorem 1.1** (1) Theorem 4.9 (2) yields the claim.

(2) Let \( T \) be a finite set (by Proposition 2.3) of all odd primes \( q \) for which the quaternary quadratic form \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) is not universal (\( T \) could be empty set).
First, assume that there is an odd prime $p$ for which $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is anisotropic. Now take an odd $m \geq a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4}(\ord_p(a_i))} + 4$ such that
\[
\begin{cases}
m - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q} & \text{for every } q \in T \setminus \{p\} \\
m - 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}
\end{cases}
\]
(note that there are infinitely many such $m$). The $m$-gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ would not represent anything between $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 1$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4}(\ord_p(a_i))} < m - 3$ since the smallest $m$-gonal number is $m - 3$ except 0 and 1. So we may take $N_0 \in [a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 1, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4}(\ord_p(a_i))}]$ for which
\[
\begin{cases}
N_0 \not\rightarrow \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \\
8(m - 2)N_0 + (m - 4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4}(\ord_p(a_i))}}.
\end{cases}
\]
For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying
\[
p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8(m - 2)}
\]
(the existence such $k \in \mathbb{N}$ comes from $(p, 8(m - 2)) = 1$), the positive integers
\[
N_n := p^{2kn}\{8(m - 2)N_0 + (m - 4)^2(a_1 + \cdots + a_4) \} - (m - 4)^2(a_1 + \cdots + a_4)
\]
are locally represented by the $m$-gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ but not globally represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ for all $n \geq 0$. For recall that
\[
N = a_1P_m(x_1) + a_2P_m(x_2) + a_3P_m(x_3) + a_4P_m(x_4)
\]
if and only if
\[
8(m - 2)N + (m - 4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i(2(m - 2)x_i - (m - 4))^2.
\]
By Proposition 2.1, the $m$-gonal form would be $\mathbb{Z}_q$-universal for all primes $q \neq p$. And since the quadratic form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is $p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4}(\ord_p(a_i))}\mathbb{Z}_p$-universel by Proposition 2.3, for every $n \geq 0$, the integer $N_n$ satisfying $8(m - 2)N_n + (m - 4)^2(a_1 + \cdots + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4}(\ord_p(a_i))}}$ would be represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for $2(m - 2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. As the above arguments, we have that the positive integer $N_n$ is locally represented by the $m$-gonal form $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Now we show that the integer $N_n$ is not (globally) represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ for every $n \geq 0$. For a contradiction, we suppose that $N_n$ is represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e.,
\[
8(m - 2)N_n + (m - 4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i(2(m - 2)x_i - (m - 4))^2
\]
for some $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since
\[
8(m - 2)N_n + (m - 4)^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2kn + \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4}(\ord_p(a_i))}}
\]
and $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is anisotropic, we have that
\[
2(m - 2)x_i - (m - 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}
\]
by Remark 2.2. From our choice of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8(m - 2)}$, we have that
\[
2(m - 2)x_i - (m - 4) = 2(m - 2)y_i - (m - 4)
\]
for some $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. And then $N_0 = a_1P_m(y_1) + a_2P_m(y_2) + a_3P_m(y_3) + a_4P_m(y_4)$ which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.
Secondly, assume that \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \) is isotropic for every odd prime \( p \) and \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is anisotropic. Now take an \( m \geq a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 2^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \{\text{ord}_2(a_i)\} + 1} + 4 \) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
m - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q} & \text{for every } q \in T \\
m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}
\end{cases}
\]

(note that there are infinitely many such \( m \)). By the same token with the above, we may take \( N_0 \in [a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 1, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + 2^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \{\text{ord}_2(a_i)\} + 1}] \) for which

\[
\begin{align*}
& N_0 \not\equiv \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \\
& \frac{m - 2}{2}N_0 + \left(\frac{m - 4}{4}\right)^2 (a_1 + \cdots + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \{\text{ord}_2(a_i)\} + 1}}.
\end{align*}
\]

One may show that for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfying

\[
2^k \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{m - 2}{2}},
\]

the positive integers

\[
N_n := \frac{4^{kn}}{2} \left\{ \frac{m - 2}{2}N_0 + \left(\frac{m - 4}{4}\right)^2 (a_1 + \cdots + a_4) \right\} - \left(\frac{m - 4}{4}\right)^2 (a_1 + \cdots + a_4)
\]

are locally represented by the \( m \)-gonal form \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \) but not globally represented by \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \) for all \( n \geq 0 \) through similar arguments with the above. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** Let \( T \) be a finite set of all primes \( p \) for which \( p \mid m - 2 \).

First, assume that there is an odd prime \( q \) for which \( q \mid m - 4 \). For some suitable two different odd primes \( p' \neq q \), \( p'' \neq q \) and \( r(p) \geq 0 \), we may construct a quadratic form

\[
\left\langle \prod_{p \in T} p^{r(p)}, p^{r(p)}, 2q, p'^{r(p)}q \right\rangle := \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle
\]

with \( \prod_{p \in T} p^{r(p)} > q \) which is anisotropic over \( \mathbb{Z}_q \) (note that there are infinitely many such \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \)). And then we have that the \( m \)-gonal form

\[
\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m
\]

is locally universal from Proposition 2.1.

By our construction, \( a_i > q \) for each \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). So there is an \( N_0 \in [1, q] \) which is not represented by \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \) and

\[
8(m - 2)N_0 + (m - 4)^2 \left( \prod_{p \in T} p^{r(p)} + p^{r(p)}, 2q, p'^{r(p)}q \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}
\]

holds. Through similar processings with the proof of Theorem 1.1 one may see that for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfying \( q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8(m - 2)} \), the positive integer

\[
N_n = \frac{2^{kn}}{2} \left\{ 8(m - 2)N_0 + (m - 4)^2 (a_1 + \cdots + a_4) \right\} - (m - 4)^2 (a_1 + \cdots + a_4)
\]

is not represented by the \( m \)-gonal form \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \) for every \( n \geq 0 \). As a result, we conclude that the \( m \)-gonal form \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \) is locally universal, but there are infinitely many positive integers \( N_n \) which are not (globally) represented by the \( m \)-gonal form \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \) and we could construct infinitely many such \( m \)-gonal forms \( \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m \) through the above processings. Which completes our claim.
Secondly, assume that $m - 4$ is a power of 2. In this case, we have that

$$N = a_1 P_m(x_1) + a_2 P_m(x_2) + a_3 P_m(x_3) + a_4 P_m(x_4)$$

if and only if

$$\frac{m - 2}{2} N + \left( \frac{m - 4}{4} \right)^2 (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \left( \frac{m - 2}{2} x_i - \frac{m - 4}{4} \right)^2.$$

Take four different odd primes $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ (note that such primes are infinitely many). Then the $m$-gonal form $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ is locally universal by Proposition 2.1. Through similar processings with the proof of Theorem 1.1, one may show that for $N_0 \in [1, 4]$ with $\frac{m-2}{2} N_0 + \left( \frac{m-4}{4} \right)^2 (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, every positive integer

$$N_n := 4^{kn} \left( \frac{m-2}{2} N_0 + \left( \frac{m-4}{4} \right)^2 (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \right) - \left( \frac{m-4}{4} \right)^2 (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)$$

is not represented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle_m$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $2^k \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{m-2}{2}}$ (for the existence of $k$, note that $\frac{m-2}{2}$ is odd). Which completes the proof. \(\square\)
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