Parametric decay of Alfvénic wave packets in nonperiodic low-beta plasmas
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ABSTRACT

The parametric decay of finite-size Alfvén waves in nonperiodic low-beta plasmas is investigated using one-dimensional hybrid simulations. Compared with the usual small periodic system, a wave packet in a large system under the absorption boundary condition shows different decay dynamics, including reduced energy transfer and localized density cavitation and ion heating. The resulting Alfvén wave dynamics are influenced by several factors of the instability including the growth rate, central wave frequency, and unstable bandwidth. A final steady state of the wave packet may be achieved when the instability does not have enough time to develop within the residual packet, and the packet size shows well-defined scaling dependencies on the growth rate, wave amplitude, and plasma beta. Under the proper conditions enhanced secondary decay can also be excited in the form of a narrow, amplified wavepacket. These results may help interpret laboratory and spacecraft observations of Alfvén waves, and refine our understanding of associated energy transport and ion heating.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Alfvén waves (AWs) are of fundamental importance in magnetized plasmas. Due to their little geometrical attenuation, the waves may efficiently carry fluctuating magnetic energy over long distances, playing crucial roles in the energy transport found in space plasmas (De Pontieu et al. 2007), astrophysical plasmas (Jatenco-Pereira 1995), and fusion plasmas (Chen & Zonca 2016). However, at large amplitudes, the AWs may dissipate energy via nonlinear wave-wave and wave-particle interactions, which might be relevant to several important problems in space plasmas such as corona heating (Heyvaerts & Priest 1983), solar wind acceleration (Suzuki & Inutsuka 2005), and minor ion heating (Fu et al. 2020). Parametric instabilities are a class of such nonlinear interactions that have gained considerable interest for the past half century (Sagdeev & Galeev 1969). Dependent on the plasma beta ($\beta = c_s^2/v_A^2$ where $c_s$ is the sound wave speed and $v_A$ the Alfvén speed), several instability types have been identified, including the decay, modulational, and beat instabilities (Sakai & Sonnerup 1983; Longtin & Sonnerup 1986; Hollweg 1994). In low-beta plasmas, the parametric decay instability (PDI) predominates over the other two for its considerably higher growth rates. In the PDI, a forward pump AW decays to a backward AW and a forward sound wave. The generation of strong backward AWs in the PDI may trigger MHD (magnetohydrodynamic) turbulence via the interaction of counter-propagating AWs (Goldreich & Sridhar 1995). The PDI may also strongly heat plasma through damping of the compressible sound wave (e.g., Fu et al. 2018; González et al. 2020).

The basic physics of PDI of AWs have been theoretically studied under various conditions. Sagdeev & Galeev (1969) first analyzed the instability for linearly polarized AWs in the low-amplitude low-$\beta$ limit. Derby (1978) and Goldstein (1978) extended the theory to finite wave amplitudes for circular polarization under the single-fluid MHD framework. Dispersive effects from ion cyclotron motion were later included under the two-fluid framework, where the dispersion gives rise also to the modulational instability by enabling the interaction of forward propagating AW sidebands (Sakai & Sonnerup 1983; Longtin & Sonnerup 1986; Wong & Goldstein 1986; Hollweg 1994). The PDI process has also been studied via either MHD (e.g., Del Zanna et al. 2001), hybrid (e.g., González et al. 2020), or particle-in-cell simulations (e.g., Nariyuki et al. 2008), under different dimensions (Ghosh et al. 1993; Del Zanna et al. 2001), driven by either monochromatic or broadband waves (Malara & Velli 1996; Shoda et al. 2018), coher-
ent or incoherent waves (Umeki & Terasawa 1992), with either parallel or oblique wave propagation (Verscharen et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2013a), single or multiple ion species (Gao et al. 2013b), and in quiescent or turbulent background (Shi et al. 2017; Fu et al. 2018). In the context of the Earth’s foreshock and the solar wind, studies also show observational evidence of PDI (e.g., Spangler et al. 1997; Narita et al. 2007; Dorfman et al. 2017; Bowen et al. 2018), which has been simulated to illustrate, for example, the generation of density fluctuations (Tanaka et al. 2007), the origin of low-frequency AWs (Réville et al. 2018), the effect of wind acceleration/expansion (Shoda et al. 2018), and the evolution of switchbacks (Tenerani et al. 2020).

Despite these extensive studies, most of the theoretical treatment and simulations have essentially considered a periodic infinite system. This is achieved using the periodic boundary condition where the waves repeatedly interact with a localized plasma (e.g., Del Zanna et al. 2001; Narityuki et al. 2008; Gao et al. 2013a; Shi et al. 2017; Tenerani et al. 2020; González et al. 2020). While this setup is useful for addressing the temporal dynamics of the instability, e.g., confirming the temporal growth rates (Shi et al. 2017), actual AWs observed in space and laboratory are usually finite in time and space, and the associated interactions nonperiodic (Gigliotti et al. 2009). These discrepancies may complicate the interpretation of laboratory or spacecraft observation of AW dynamics; for example, a modulational-like instability was recently observed in a laboratory plasma, while the PDI was mysteriously missing despite its significant growth rates in the low-beta environments (Dorfman & Carter 2016). Pruneti & Velli (1997) first lifted the periodic-boundary constraint by considering wave injection into an open system using 1D/2D MHD simulations. Del Zanna et al. (2001) further extended it to 3D. However, these simulations involved only a few Alfvén wavelengths along the wave direction, thus were limited in capturing any large-scale dynamics pertinent to the nonperiodic interactions. Notice that the open boundary condition has been used in some solar-wind simulations to account for the global wind structure, where the MHD framework is adopted to handle the vast space-time scales at the expense of ignoring kinetic effects (e.g., Tanaka et al. 2007; Shoda et al. 2018; Réville et al. 2018).

The objective of this paper is to address how a finite-size AW packet decays in a large-scale nonperiodic system of uniform plasmas. This basic setup has fundamental interest and can also be realized in laboratory experiments with well-controlled plasma and wave conditions (e.g., Gigliotti et al. 2009). To that end, we consider a wave packet of tens of Alfvén wavelengths in size and examine its nonperiodic interaction with a low-beta plasma over a large time scale. This study is carried out using hybrid simulations with an absorption boundary condition for the wave fields. The large simulation domain considered here removes the constraints on the integer wave numbers as imposed under the periodic system. The hybrid simulation also allows us to keep the ion kinetic effects (e.g., wave damping, ion heating) that are absent in the MHD simulations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the simulation model, in particular the implementation of field masking for the absorption boundary condition. Novel PDI dynamics from the nonperiodic interactions are then detailed in Section 3, as well as its influences on the wave propagation. In Section 4, we discuss the results in relation to spacecraft and laboratory observations, and briefly mention future directions.

2. SIMULATION MODEL

The simulations are performed with H3D, a three-dimensional hybrid code that self-consistently advances individual ion particles while treating electrons as a massless neutralizing fluid (Karimabadi et al. 2006). Periodic boundary conditions were assumed by the code. In order to realize the absorption boundary condition, we apply a masking technique (Umeda et al. 2001) to the field equations,

\[ E = -\frac{u_i \times B}{c} - \frac{B \times (\nabla \times B)}{4\pi q_i n_i} - \frac{\nabla P_e}{q_i n_i} + \frac{c}{4\pi} \eta \nabla \times B, \]  

\[ B^{n+1} = B^n - c\Delta t(\nabla \times E), \]  

where \( E \) is the electric field, \( B \) magnetic field, \( \eta \) resistivity, \( P_e \) ion temperature, and \( u_i, n_i, q_i \) are the ion fluid velocity, density, and charge, respectively. The superscript \( n \) represents the time step and \( \Delta t \) the step size. The mask consists of a layer on either side of the \( z \) boundaries (\( z \) is along the mean magnetic field), and its profile \( F_m \) is defined as

\[ F_m = \begin{cases} 
1 - \left( \frac{z - \delta_m}{\delta_m} \right)^2, & z \leq \delta_m \\
1, & \delta_m < z < L_z - \delta_m \\
1 - \left( \frac{z - L_z + \delta_m}{\delta_m} \right)^2, & L_z - \delta_m \leq z \leq L_z 
\end{cases} \]

where \( L_z \) is the domain size along \( z \), \( \delta_m \) the length of either mask layer, and \( r \) a ratio factor controlling the slope of the mask. In H3D time subcycling is used for advancing the \( B \) field with Equation (2), thus the masking is applied only at the final subcycle. It is important
that the background magnetic field $B_z$ is not masked. Equation (1) is also left unmasked as the $E$ field will be automatically damped with $B$. It is found that the masking works significantly better with smaller $r$ (i.e., smaller gradients of $F_m$) but requires thicker mask layers to fully damp the waves, as was also observed for full Maxwellian field solvers (Umeha et al. 2001). Using $r = 0.1, \delta_m = 5d_i$ we achieved 99% absorption of the field energy upon $\sim 3d_i$ propagation into the mask layers, where $d_i = c/\omega_{pi}$ is the ion inertial length and $\omega_{pi}$ the ion plasma frequency. Notice that particles are still periodic making the simulation less reliable at high wave amplitudes where strong plasma heating may induce particle circulation in the simulation domain.

We carry out essentially 1D simulations by using one cell for the $x$ direction, four cells for $y$, and considerably more cells along the wave direction $z$. The results have little dependence on $y$ and are therefore averaged over this coordinate. We take $z_{\text{max}} = 4400d_i \approx 110\lambda_0$ for simulations with the field masking, where $\lambda_0 = 2\pi v_A/\omega_0 = 40d_i$ is the Alfvén wavelength. In all simulations, the cell size is $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 1d_i$ and time step $\Delta t = 0.01\Omega_{ci}^{-1} = 4\omega_{pi}^{-1}$ where $\Omega_{ci}$ is the ion cyclotron frequency satisfying $\omega_{pi}/\Omega_{ci} = 400$. This implies weakly dispersive AWs with $\omega_{pi}/\Omega_{ci} = 0.157$. A forward propagating (along $+z$) circularly-polarized AW packet of magnetic/velocity field

$$\delta\vec{B}/B_0 = (\delta B_x/B_0) \chi(z) \cos(k_0 z - \omega_0 t) \hat{x} + (\delta B_y/B_0) \chi(z) \sin(k_0 z - \omega_0 t) \hat{y},$$

$$\delta\vec{u} = -v_A \delta\vec{B}/B_0,$$

is initialized inside the domain next to the left mask layer (i.e., $z \geq \delta_m$), where $\delta B_x = \delta B_y = \delta B$ and $B_0 = 1$. The wave $E$ field is self-consistently determined from the field equations. The wave envelope $\chi(z)$ consists of sine-square-shaped ramps on sides and a plateau in between, i.e.,

$$\chi = \begin{cases} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi(z - \delta_m)}{2l_r} \right), & 0 \leq z - \delta_m < l_r \\ 1, & 0 \leq z - \delta_m - l_r < l_p \\ \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi(z - z')}{2l_r} \right), & 0 \leq z - z' < l_r \end{cases},$$

where $z' = \delta_m + l_r + l_p$, and $l_r, l_p$ are the length of the ramp and plateau segment, respectively. The full packet size is $S_z = l_p + 2l_r$. The plasma ions (protons) are sampled by 1000 macroparticles per cell. The initial density noise, $\delta p/\rho_0 \sim 0.5\%$, is suitable for triggering the PDI over a reasonable time scale. We have confirmed very similar results using 64 particles per cell where the PDI develops slightly earlier due to larger initial noises. Electrons have the same initial temperature as ions and follow the adiabatic equation of state $T_e/n_e^{-1} = \text{const}$ where $\Gamma = 5/3$.

### 3. RESULTS

Figure 1 first presents an overview of two contrast simulations, highlighting the difference between the more realistic absorption boundary condition (upper panels a-c) and the usual periodic system (lower panels d-f). In the former case, a square wave packet of full size $S_z = 40\lambda_0$ and small ramps $l_r = 1\lambda_0$ is launched at $5\lambda_0 \leq z \leq 45\lambda_0$. The latter periodic case has a smaller simulation domain, $z_{\text{max}} = 5\lambda_0$, which is fully occupied by a wave of constant amplitude initially. Both cases have the same wave amplitude $\delta B/B_0 = 0.1$ and plasma beta $\beta = 0.01$. Significant differences are found in the space-time evolution of density fluctuation ($a, d$) and wave magnetic field ($b, e$). Under the absorption boundary condition the wave packet undergoes a strong decay at the early stage ($t < 25T_0$ where $T_0 = \lambda_0/v_A$) with the packet head being little distorted. Correspondingly, the density fluctuation is largely localized and constrained to $z < 50\lambda_0$. In contrast, the periodic case shows mainly temporal dynamics where the density fluctuation grows or decays almost uniformly across the domain. The wave field is dominated by either forward- or backward-going waves at a time.

To measure the strength of PDI, we separate the waves into forward- and backward-going components using the normalized Elsasser variables $z^\pm = \frac{1}{2\sigma_A} (\delta u \mp \delta B/\sqrt{4\pi p})$, and define the associated wave energies and cross helicity as $E^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \sum |z^\pm|^2, \sigma = E^+ E^- - E^+ E^- \sigma_\text{max}$, where the summation integrates over space and, in the absorption boundary case, includes also the absorbed waves in order to ensure energy conservation. By comparing between Figure 1(c) and (f), it is seen that about 60% of the pump energy ($E^+$) is decayed during the early stage in the absorption boundary case, while virtually the entire $E^+$ is depleted for the periodic system. This dramatic difference is also revealed by the evolution of the cross helicity which flips exactly in the latter case, indicating the dominance of backward waves as also seen in Figure 1(e) during $15T_0 < t < 30T_0$. Despite this difference, 80% of the energy lost by the pump is transferred to the backward wave in both cases. To interpret that, we cast the frequency matching condition for the PDI in the quantum form $\hbar \omega_{\text{max}} = \hbar \omega + \hbar \omega_-$. It illustrates the energy apportioning between the sound wave ($\omega$) and backward lower-sideband AW ($\omega_-$). For $\beta = 0.01$ in the weakly nonlinear regime, the mode of the maximum growth rate corresponds to $\omega/\omega_0 = 18\%$ and $\omega_-/\omega_0 = 82\%$ (Derby Jr 1978). The consistency in en-
ergy apportioning thus suggests that both cases contain the same PDI nature despite of very different dynamics. The two cases also share similar growth rates of the density wave (not shown), but their maximum density fluctuation amplitudes differ as the two start with different pump energies.

The absorption boundary case quickly evolves towards a steady state ($\sigma = 0.1$ in this case) after the initial strong decay. However, the periodic system could undergo several flips of $\sigma$ until the wave energies in both directions exhaust. This latter phenomenon is apparently due to the repeated wave interaction with the local plasma forced by the periodic boundary condition. Thus, investigations using a periodic system will significantly overestimate the energy conversion from pump to daughter waves in the PDI, and are therefore of limited use in unraveling the PDI dynamics of finite-size AWs in more realistic nonperiodic systems.

Let us now focus on the absorption boundary case and identify how the instability emerges, cascades, and saturates. Figure 2(a) shows progressively the wave separation and associated density fluctuation. Initially, the wave is dominated by the square pump sitting close to the left boundary. The maximum growth rate for the present case is $\gamma_{\text{max}} \sim 0.13\omega_0$. As a result, the PDI grows exponentially following $\exp(\gamma_{\text{max}}t)$ in the linear stage. At $t = 20T_0$, it is seen that the instability is already strongly developed: the back portion of the wave is much depleted, a significant backward wave appears, and a large-amplitude density fluctuation excited. Despite that, the pump front remains largely intact, but is gradually tailored to have a low-amplitude precursor and a smooth down-ramp profile towards the back. We term this entire front wave portion as the residual packet, because it stays rather stable against further propagation as shown at $t = 40, 60T_0$. The formation of this steady state may be qualitatively understood as follows. In the frame comoving with the AW packet, its front portion is always fed with unperturbed plasmas. During the transit of the plasma through the wave, the density perturbation grows in a convective manner, becoming stronger at later times or towards the back of the wave. The density perturbation develops to sufficient levels once it reaches the position corresponding to the back end of the residual packet. This leads to the decay of the back portion of the pump wave, leaving only the residual packet intact.
Notice that the residual packet propagates at a speed smaller than the nominal Alfvén speed $v_A = B_0/\sqrt{4\pi \rho_0}$ as seen by the delay relative to the dashed envelopes. About half of the delay is found to be attributed to the finite-frequency effect, i.e., we obtain the group velocity $v_g = d\omega/dk_\| = (\omega/k_\|)/(1 + k_\|^2d_i^2)^2 \simeq 0.96v_A$ from the dispersion relation $\omega/k_\| = v_A\sqrt{1 - (\omega/\Omega_{ci})^2}$ where $k_\|$ is the parallel wave vector along the background magnetic field. The wave dispersion of the finite packet is among the other effects that would cause the additional delay.

Another remarkable feature is the emergence of a wave spike, trailing behind the pump (i.e., outside the assumed unperturbed envelope outlined by the dashed line). It has a large amplitude, twice that of the pump wave. This is the secondary decay, or inverse cascade as termed in some studies with the periodic system (e.g., Del Zanna et al. 2001). It was generated by a secondary PDI process where the backward AW serves as the pump. This secondary decay mostly occurs when the initial decay was strongly developed around $t = 20T_0$. While secondary decays are also found in the periodic system, they never form such isolated shapes, involving both an amplification in amplitude and a narrow duration/size. Thus, it may imply new potentials for secondary decays in real nonperiodic environments. The detailed mechanism of their formation is worthy of a separate study elsewhere.

Once the final steady state of the pump is reached, the PDI does not have enough time to develop as evidenced by the negligible density fluctuations ($\delta\rho/\rho_0 < 5\%$) excited within the modulated wave envelope. On the other hand, the large-amplitude density waves produced during the initial decay remain localized because of the small wave speed under the low-beta condition ($c_s = \sqrt{3}v_A = 0.1v_A$); see also the slope of the wave trace in Figure 1(a). However, at later times, the density fluctuations gradually evolve into large-scale cavitation as indicated by the magenta curves in Figure 2(a). This is caused by localized ion heating. To see that, Figure 2(b) presents how the parallel electric field (black curves) develops with the compressible sound wave. Eventually the parallel wave field vanishes due to Landau damping of the sound wave. As a consequence, the ions are heated up to a parallel temperature $T_\|$ (orange) several times of the initial value $T_0^\parallel$, whereas the perpendicular temperature remains low throughout. The locally heated ions spread out longitudinally due to thermal expansion, causing the density cavitation. This long-term dynamics is also a reflection of the pressure balance, $P \propto nT$, where a higher-temperature region tends to evolve into lower densities.
The spatio-temporal (instead of just temporal) dynamics, along with the reduced energy transfer and localized density fluctuation/cavitation and ion heating, constitute our central new insights into the PDI process under the more realistic nonperiodic conditions. The spatial separation of Alfvénic fluctuations from density fluctuations and our new estimates of the efficiency of energy conversion and new insights on ion heating may have important implications for laboratory or spacecraft observations. For these applications, it may be imperative to understand better the Alfvénic propagation, especially how the final steady state depends on the plasma and wave conditions.

As a first step, we investigate the effects of the wave envelope profile and packet size on the Alfvénic propagation. Figure 3 displays the final steady states of several cases (from top to bottom) at $t = 60 T_0$, where $S_z$ is the full packet size and $S_z^{\text{eff}}$ the effective packet size measured at FWHM.

Figure 3. Snapshots of $|z^+|$ (black), $|z^-|$ (red), $\delta \rho/\rho_0$ (blue), and the initial wave envelope (translated to the current time step at the Alfvén speed, dashed line) for different cases (from top to bottom) at $t = 60 T_0$, where $S_z$ is the full packet size and $S_z^{\text{eff}}$ the effective packet size measured at FWHM.

With the above insights, we next focus on the simple square wave profile and extend the investigation to a broad range of wave amplitude and plasma beta. The simulation results are summarized in Figure 4(a-c) in terms of the final states and their corresponding density fluctuations. Furthermore, following the single-fluid theory (Derby Jr 1978; Goldstein 1978) which is justified here due to the small pump dispersion, the parameters $\delta B/B_0$ and $\beta$ adequately define the dispersion relation of the PDI, $\omega = \omega(k)$. The latter can be solved to find the growth rate, central frequency, and unstable bandwidth of the instability measured by the sound wave. These calculations, central to understanding the PDI and the resulting Alfvénic propagation, are presented in Figure 4(d-f) in terms of the maximum growth rate $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ and the bandwidth corresponding to non-negative $\gamma$. Of the most importance is the growth rate which defines the timescale relevant for the PDI development. In general, more significant decays happen with increasing growth rates, corresponding to larger $\delta B/B_0$ and lower $\beta$ following Figure 4(d). This is verified by the overall pattern of the simulation results orderly arranged in Figure 4(a-c).
Closer to the top-right having smaller $\delta B/B_0$ and higher $\beta$, the wave is less prone to PDI. The trend reverses towards bottom-left (having larger $\delta B/B_0$ and smaller $\beta$) where strong decays are found even at the early stage of the interactions.

Despite its importance, the growth rate does not exclusively define the PDI-driven Alfvénic evolution. As seen in Figure 4(e-f), the central $\omega$ and $k$ of the sound wave (solid curves) corresponding to the maximum growth rates also show strong dependence with $\beta$. For weakly nonlinear regimes (i.e., not too large $\delta B/B_0$), one has $\omega/\omega_0 < 1$ and $k/k_0 \sim 2/(1 + \sqrt{\beta})$, where $\omega/\omega_0$ increases and $k/k_0$ decreases with $\beta$, respectively. Therefore, following the energy apportioning relation $N\hbar\omega_- = N\hbar\omega_0 - N\hbar\omega$, more energy goes into the backward AWs at smaller $\beta$ for a given pump depletion (i.e., fixed $N$ units of energy quanta). In addition, following $|k_-| = k - k_0$, one has $|k_-/k_0| \sim \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\beta}} < 1$ which means $|k_-/k_0|$ keeps cascading into smaller numbers upon each secondary decay. The more limited $k$-space at higher $\beta$ (which corresponds to smaller initial $|k_-/k_0|$) may make secondary decays more difficult. This phenomenon was identified in periodic systems (Del Zanna et al. 2001) where the minimum wavenumber is limited by the box size. It may also hold for the present nonperiodic condition when the packet size is large enough to allow for multiple decays. From the above analysis, both the frequency and wavenumber matching conditions suggest that the secondary wave decays favor smaller $\beta$ where more energy goes into the backward wave having larger $k_-/k_0$. This is supported by the simulation results where the secondary decays are stronger from column (c) to column (a) with decreasing $\beta$; for example, notice the prominent spike observed for $\beta = 0.01, \delta B/B_0 = 0.1$. We have checked that the wave power in the low-frequency range (corresponding to the secondary decays) indeed increases with decreasing $\beta$ even in cases without a clearly visible cascade spike.

A final contributing factor to the Alfvénic dynamics is the unstable mode bandwidth, i.e., the shaded areas in Figure 4(e-f). The theory predicts rapidly increasing bandwidths with $\delta B/B_0$, becoming even larger than the central frequency/wavenumber as $\delta B/B_0 \to 1$. The presence of multiple modes may cause strong competition among each other and make the wave envelopes more spiky. These features can be seen by comparing top to bottom panels in Figure 4(a-c).
narrow bandwidths and smooth envelopes). These phenomena would favor low-beta plasmas where the central frequency is small and the wavenumber is large, such that more energy goes into the backward wave with sufficiently large wavenumbers. Besides, the wave amplitude should not be too large, involving much mode competition and making the interactions less coherent. It should neither be too small requiring a large timescale for the PDI to develop.

Finally, we have assumed in the above analyses a certain pump depletion, which corresponds to the total energy lost to both the backward wave and sound wave. It can be defined as $D = (S_{z}^{\text{eff}} - S_{r}^{\text{eff}})/S_{z}^{\text{eff}}$. The quantity $1 - D = S_{r}^{\text{eff}}/S_{z}^{\text{eff}}$ determines the portion of energy that can be stably transported over large distances and should be useful for estimating the energy transport efficiency and the limit of PDI-related plasma heating. As identified above, several factors may contribute to the Alfvénic dynamics, making analytical treatment of $D$ or $S_{r}^{\text{eff}}$ a challenge. Here, we resort to simulations for its dependencies on the wave amplitude and plasma beta. We scan over a range of parameters where the maximum growth rate falls within $0.07 < \gamma_{\text{max}}/\omega_{0} < 0.22$. Higher growth rates typically involve large $\delta B/B_{0}$ and broad mode competition, making the final state less distinguishable. Even lower growth rates may require a long time for PDI to develop sufficiently, a challenge to the simulations. Our results are summarized as point markers in Figure 5(a). Despite the complexity of the interactions, a simple inverse-square dependence of the residual packet size versus the maximum growth rate is found as

$$\frac{S_{r}^{\text{eff}}}{\lambda_{0}} = C(\beta) \left( \frac{\gamma_{\text{max}}}{\omega_{0}} \right)^{-2},$$ (4)

where the coefficient $C(\beta)$ has a square-root dependence on $\beta$, i.e., $C(\beta) \approx 1.8\sqrt{3}$, as displayed in Figure 5(b).

To more vividly illustrate the $\beta$-dependence, the second row of Figure 4(a-c) shows three cases of different $\delta B/B_{0}$ and $\beta$ designed to have the same growth rate [marked as the dashed lines in Figure 4(d-f)]. Despite this, the final evolved states of the three cases are quite different, with significantly more pump depletion at smaller $\beta$.

In the low-amplitude low-beta limit, an approximated form of the growth rate was suggested to be $\gamma_{\text{max}}/\omega_{0} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta B}{B_{0}} \frac{1}{\beta^{1/4}}$ (Sagdeev & Galeev 1969). By substituting it into Equation (4), we arrive at

$$\frac{S_{r}^{\text{eff}}}{\lambda_{0}} = 8C(\beta) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{(\delta B/B_{0})^{2}} \simeq 14.4 \frac{\beta}{(\delta B/B_{0})^{2}},$$ (5)

which explicitly associates the residual packet size with the plasma beta and wave amplitude. Notice a difference of $\sqrt{2}$ in wave amplitude when applying Sagdeev & Galeev’s scaling who studied linearly polarized AWs. We have verified Equation (5) by arranging all simulation data in the combined axis $\beta/(\delta B/B_{0})^{2}$ as displayed in Figure 5(c). It is seen that they follow the linear scaling reasonably well, especially when the uncertainty in the coefficient of Equation (5) is considered (the shaded area). The $\beta = 0.1$ cases are slightly off, confirming the applicability of Equation (5) to only the low-beta limit. In addition, high-amplitude cases typically involve broad mode bandwidths and spiky modulations of the wave envelope, making identification of the residual state more difficult; their detailed effects on long-term AW dynamics need more refined studies in the future.

4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we have relaxed the usual periodic boundary condition and investigated the parametric decay dynamics of finite-size AWs in a large-scale low-beta plasma under the more realistic nonperiodic boundary condition. By simulating the dynamics over large space and time scales, our 1D hybrid simulations unravel novel dynamics that were not appreciated in the periodic system before, including strongly space-time dependent evolution, reduced pump energy transfer, and localized density fluctuation/cavitation and ion heating. These results may have important implications for spacecraft and laboratory observations.

The robustness and importance of the PDI have stimulated the search of their existence in space over the past decades, for example, in the ultra-low-frequency wave field upstream of the Earth’s bow shock (Spangler et al. 1988; Narita et al. 2007). However, observational signatures in the magnetic spectrum has been limited due to the dominance of the pump wave and the close separation of the pump and sidebands in frequency space (Tsurutani et al. 1987; Agim et al. 1995). The density spectral feature, on the other hand, may provide another independent signature of PDI because it is clearly separated from the magnetic signature in both wavenumber and frequency in low-beta plasmas (Spangler et al. 1997). As our simulations have shown, however, simultaneous observation of both magnetic and density signatures may not always be possible because the density fluctuation can be separated in space and time from the Alfvénic fluctuation. This may explain the missing “decay line” signature reported in Spangler et al. (1997) and help improve the search by establishing proper detection criteria. Furthermore, the conjunction observation from multiple spacecraft in the heliosphere (Velli et al. 2020) may identify missing signatures for PDI by correlating magnetic and density signatures at different locations along the same field line.
In addition, our simulations have shown that the front portion of the wave packet may evolve into a steady state under suitable wave and plasma parameters. This is because the instability has not enough time to grow in the wave packet. It also indicates efficient energy transport of a short wave train over long distances even in an environment where the PDI has a considerable growth rate. By combining simplified theories and numerical scans, we have identified well-behaved scaling dependencies of the residual packet size with both the growth rate, wave amplitude, and plasma beta. These scaling laws are valid for relatively low-beta low-amplitude regimes and should be useful for further analytical treatment on the energy transport. The AW propagation under the absorption boundary condition in higher-beta higher-amplitude regimes, which may apply to certain regions of the heliosphere (Gary 2001), is beyond the scope of the present work.

Finally, we have elucidated the major factors and corresponding parameter space that would influence the strength of decays and cascades. These insights should stimulate new interest in the basic PDI dynamics, especially in their highly nonlinear regime, for example, the modification to the spectral evolution of inverse cascade (Chandran 2018). However, it should be pointed out that while our study has adopted a basic setup of fundamental importance, it is limited by the 1D geometry. High-dimensional effects such as finite wave $k_{\perp}$ (Dorfman & Carter 2016), oblique wave propagation (Viñas & Goldstein 1991; Verscharen et al. 2012), filamentation, magnetosonic decays, and perpendicular turbulence cascades (Del Zanna et al. 2001; González et al. 2020) are among immediate next steps to check on the features identified in this work. We may also consider more realistic wave injection from the boundary for a finite period of time (Del Zanna et al. 2001). The latter would have direct correspondence with laboratory experiments (Gigliotti et al. 2009) and may help resolve some puzzles related to the AW parametric instabilities (e.g., Dorfman & Carter 2016).
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