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Abstract

We define and analyze a stochastic process in anti-de Sitter Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity, induced by the quantum dynamics of the boundary and whose random variable takes values in $AdS_2$. With the boundary in a thermal state and for appropriate parameters, we take the asymptotic limit of the quantum process at short time scales and flat space, and show associated classical joint distributions have the Markov property. We find that Einstein’s equations of the theory, sans the cosmological constant term, arise in the semi-classical limit of the quantum evolution of probability under the asymptotic process. In particular, in flat Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity, the area of compactified space solved for by Einstein’s equations can be identified as a probability distribution evolving under the Markovian process.
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1 Introduction

Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) gravity is a simple model of gravity in (1 + 1)-dimensions [1, 2], in which we can consider the spacetime as factorized into a rigid two-dimensional space and a compactified sphere at each point—see Fig 1. Allowing for some minimally coupled matter, we can write the action as

$$I_{JT+\text{matter}}[g, \Phi, \chi] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M d^2x \sqrt{-g} \Phi (R - 2\Lambda) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial M} ds \sqrt{-h} \Phi K + I_{\text{matter}}[g, \chi]$$ (1)

where the dilaton field $\Phi$ represents the area of the sphere at each point and is fixed to be some constant value at the boundary, $\Phi|_{\partial M} = \Phi^\ast$. The two-dimensional spacetime is constrained to have constant curvature $R = 2\Lambda$ and is thus locally fixed, while Einstein’s equations obtained by varying the action with respect to the metric,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 - g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \right) \Phi + T_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$ (2)

solve for the dilaton. The setting for our discussions will be the anti-de Sitter case of the theory with $\Lambda = -1$, where we have set the radius of curvature to be 1. However, we will also be able to reach conclusions pertaining the flat case of the theory with $\Lambda = 0$, by zooming in to short distances where the spacetime looks flat.

The gravitational theory thus specified is topological—in (1) the bulk action vanishes identically after integrating out $\Phi$, and the only dynamical degrees of freedom are those governed by the boundary action. The boundary action can be characterized as follows: in two dimensions the extrinsic curvature of a curve, up to a total derivative, can be written as an interaction between a spin connection and the unit flow of the curve, $K \equiv \pm \omega_\mu \dot{X}^\mu$ (see [3]), so the action is in fact (up to a constant) the world-line action of a particle with spin proportional to $\Phi^\ast$ [4]. Here spin refers to the eigenvalue of quantum wavefunctions of the particle under the generator of local Lorentz transformations. In [4], the theory of this action was regularized in a cutoff-independent manner and quantized so as to yield a canonical ensemble for a single boundary—with each quantum state realized as a particle wavefunction in $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2$.

In this paper and a sequel [5], the gravitational theory and the quantum theory of its dynamical degrees of freedom described above will be of interest to us as arguably the simplest possible example of holographic duality, or more generally, emergence of a gravitational theory from a...
Figure 2: There is a non-trivial holographic duality that maps between a) the total evolution of the boundary particle system in a quantum state close to the thermofield double state and b) corresponding dilaton solution to Einstein’s equations, in the limit of large \( \gamma \) and low temperature.

quantum theory. Namely, there is a \((0 + 1)\)-dimensional theory describing a boundary particle which can be quantized and solved exactly, which is “dual” to the \((1+1)\)-dimensional gravitational theory involving the dilaton field appearing in (1) and (2). (We note the particle theory and its quantum correlators can also be viewed as describing the low-energy dynamics of a microscopic quantum model, the SYK model [6, 7, 8, 9].)

Although in this context there is a trivial equality of actions between the two theories, there is still a non-trivial duality to be understood, which captures important semi-classical aspects of what has been studied as the AdS/CFT correspondence [10, 11, 12] in general dimensions. In language close to that traditionally used in the literature, there is a mapping between the total evolution of a two-sided boundary particle system in some set of quantum states—excitations around the thermofield double state—and corresponding dilaton solutions to Einstein’s equations, in the limit of large coefficient of the boundary action \( \gamma = \Phi_s / (2\pi) \) and low temperature, with \( \gamma \) larger than inverse temperature. See Figure 2.

A particularly sharp manifestation of this duality and its information-related nature is the ubiquitous Ryu-Takayanagi formula [13, 14]: adapted to our context, it states that the extremal value of the dilaton solution to Einstein’s equations equals the von Neumann entropy of the density matrix of one boundary,

\[
\Phi_{\text{ext}} = -\text{Tr}(\rho \log \rho).
\]

This formula highlights the fact that dilaton configurations in JT gravity, despite not contributing to the action, carry non-trivial information.\(^1\) It also motivates us to ask the following questions: What aspects of the boundary quantum theory are Einstein’s equations in JT gravity expressing, such that a formula like (3) could be true? Can we clearly state the significance of general, non-extremal values of the dilaton in the bulk spacetime, and also of the spacetime itself, relative to the quantum theory? And lastly, what kind of observables or expectation values in the quantum theory are relevant to answering these questions?

Effectively, we would like to “deconstruct” gravity in this example, i.e. arrive at a sufficiently new understanding of it such that we can reproduce Einstein’s equations from our knowledge of the

\(^1\)One way to view dilaton solutions in JT gravity is as approximations to solutions in a theory slightly perturbed away from JT gravity—for example with a potential \( U(\Phi) \) added to the bulk Lagrangian in (1)—which do solve a dynamical problem, that of extremizing with respect to \( R \neq 2\Lambda \) metric fluctuations.
quantum theory of the boundary. In our investigations, we have found this goal can be achieved by building bridges between quantum theory and notions in probability theory and stochastic processes, the latter crucially having to do with dynamics of probabilities.

In this paper, we present a general framework for this deconstruction, and an explicit demonstration that actually pertains to flat JT gravity.\footnote{In most cases of our usage, JT gravity without any qualifications will be referring to anti-de Sitter JT gravity.} Our starting point is to formulate stochastic processes in quantum theory, or quantum stochastic processes, using joint quantum distributions which are generalizations of joint probability distributions for a classical random variable. Given a quantum system and some observable of the system, joint quantum distributions of the observable are realized as expectation values of products of projectors (EVPP’s), taking the form

\[
q_{T_1}(x_1) = \text{Tr}(\rho e^{iHT_1} P(x_1)e^{-iHT_1}), \quad q_{T_2, T_1}(x_2, x_1) = \text{Tr}(\rho e^{iHT_1} P(x_1)e^{-iH(T_1-T_2)} P(x_2)e^{-iHT_2}), \quad \ldots
\]

(4)

where \( x_i \) are eigenvalues of the operator \( X \) corresponding to the observable, and \( P(x_i) \) is the projection operator onto the eigenspace of the Hilbert space with eigenvalue \( x_i \).

In the setting of JT gravity, we proceed to define a quantum stochastic process in which the observable takes values in \( \text{AdS}_2 \), using EVPP’s in the quantum theory of a single boundary. We note that from the perspective of the boundary theory, \( \text{AdS}_2 \) is actually a measure space in which a quantum observable—“position of particle”—takes values, and the volume measure of \( \text{AdS}_2 \) viewed as a spacetime is actually a probability measure for the observable which is a manifestation of the Hilbert space of the theory, e.g. it is the measure for integrating the particle wavefunction squared.

In the case that we put the boundary theory in a thermal state, and take the limit of large \( \gamma \) and low temperature, we can derive explicit analytic expressions for the EVPP’s (4) using results from quantizing the boundary particle obtained in [4]. Furthermore, in the semi-classical limit, which corresponds to taking \( \gamma \) to be larger than inverse temperature, we can evaluate integrals involving EVPP’s using the saddle-point method. In particular, we can access classical joint probability distributions \( p_{T_1}(x_1), p_{T_2, T_1}(x_2, x_1), \ldots \), which unlike the EVPP’s are positive everywhere,\footnote{As is manifest in the EVPP expressions in (4), the single-time quantum distribution \( q_{T_1}(x_1) \) is always positive, while the higher-order joint quantum distributions are in general complex, being neither positive nor real.} while accounting for leading saddle-point evaluations of their integrals. Quite generally, we propose that joint probability distributions \( p_{T_1}(x_1), p_{T_2, T_1}(x_2, x_1), \ldots \) arising in this way from integrals of joint quantum distributions \( q_{T_1}(x_1), q_{T_2, T_1}(x_2, x_1), \ldots \) define the classical limit of the quantum stochastic process specified by the latter.

Our discussion thus far applies at all time scales of the dynamics of the boundary system of JT gravity, ranging from short (compared to the radius of curvature of \( \text{AdS}_2 \), which has been set to 1) to long. When we zoom in to short time scales—and correspondingly, short distances near a point of \( \text{AdS}_2 \)—to extract the behavior of the position observable in flat space, we find that the classical stochastic process produced by the quantum stochastic process as described above is in fact a Markov process.

We then proceed to consider the generator equation of the quantum stochastic process, which characterizes the local (in time) evolution of probability under the process. What we find, working in the same asymptotic, flat limit as before, is that components of the generator equation, at leading non-vanishing order in large \( \gamma \) in the semi-classical limit, are in fact Einstein’s equations (2) of flat JT gravity, with the dilaton field in the gravitational theory identified as a probability
distribution evolving under the quantum stochastic process! Precisely, we have

\[
\lim_{T_2 \to 0^+} -\partial_{T_1} \int D x_1 \frac{q_{T_2, T_1}(x_2, x_1)}{q_{T_1}(x_1)} \Phi(x_1) = \lim_{T_2 \to 0^+} \lim_{T_0 \to 0^+} \frac{1}{T_0} \times 
\left( \int D x_1 D x_0 \frac{q_{T_2, T_1, T_0}(x_2, x_1, x_0)}{q_{T_0}(x_0)} \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{(k)}(x_1)}{k!} (x_0 - x_1)^k - \int D x_1 \frac{q_{T_2, T_1}(x_2, x_1)}{q_{T_1}(x_1)} \Phi(x_1) \right)
\]

(5)

\[\text{semi-classical limit} \quad \frac{d X^\mu}{d l} \frac{d X^\nu}{d l} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Phi(x_2) = \frac{d X^\mu}{d l} \frac{d X^\nu}{d l} \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 \right) \Phi(x_2)
\]

(6)

where \(l(X^\mu)\) is an appropriately scaled geodesic distance between the point \(\{X^\mu\}\) and \(x_2\). We note the generator equation (5) expresses the marginalization of the joint quantum distribution \(q_{T_2, T_1, T_0}(x_2, x_1, x_0)\) over \(x_1\), and is the quantum completion of Einstein’s equations—expanding it to higher order in the saddle-point expansion, we will obtain equations at each order in the semi-classical expansion in large \(\gamma\), involving increasingly higher derivatives of \(\Phi\).

We are thus led to propose the following: JT gravity is in fact a theory concerning a quantum stochastic process, where an observable takes values in a two-dimensional measure space. The dilaton or area of compactified space solved for by Einstein’s equations is a probability distribution\(^4\) evolving under the process. In the flat case of the theory, the measure space is flat and the process is Markov in the classical limit, as well as satisfying a weaker condition of local Markovianity which persists to next order in the semi-classical expansion.\(^5\) The anti-de Sitter case differs in that we have access to a boundary quantum system which induces the relevant quantum stochastic process,\(^6\) and also that local Markovianity is broken, though at subleading order in the semi-classical expansion. The resulting term in the generator equation (5) is precisely the cosmological constant term in Einstein’s equations and can be derived using the full propagator of the position observable rather than its flat asymptotics. This will be discussed in [5].

Extrapolating our analysis encompassing JT gravity with and without a cosmological constant, it is natural to conjecture the following. General relativity arises in the semi-classical limit of the evolution of probability with respect to quantum stochastic processes, with the volume measure of spacetime being a probability measure in the target space of a quantum observable. In general, the probability measure will both evolve under and enter the stochastic process governing the observable, and therefore satisfy a non-linear generator equation expressing the marginalization of joint quantum distributions. (JT gravity is a special case in which the generator equation is linear because the spacetime factorizes into a base and compactified space, with the volume measure of the base non-fluctuating.) In the leading non-vanishing order in the semi-classical limit, the generator equation has components which are in fact Einstein’s equations.

Sections in the rest of the paper are organized as follows: in Section 2, we highlight as well as review and discuss aspects of JT gravity that are relevant to our main analysis. In Section

\(^4\)Note that we use the term probability even when a measure or distribution does not integrate to one. See Section 3 for an explanation of our usage.

\(^5\)This is implicit in the generator equation (6) and will be discussed in Section 4.3.

\(^6\)In classical probability theory, a stochastic process may be induced by a dynamical system of matter, but is intrinsically defined without reference to any such system; see Section 3. We have proposed to define a quantum stochastic process in a similarly self-contained manner, in terms of joint quantum distributions that integrate to one and satisfy marginalization relations.

4
3, we introduce some basic notions from probability theory for the reader with a high-energy background. In Section 4 we present our main analysis outlined in the previous paragraphs. In Section 5, we present conclusions and an outline of future research directions.

2 Aspects of JT gravity

Here we highlight certain features of Einstein’s equations in JT gravity which motivated us to relate its dilaton solution to a probability distribution associated with the boundary. We also review aspects of the quantum theory of the boundary [4, 3] and discuss the evaluation of EVPP’s in the theory.

2.1 Einstein’s equations

Let us start by writing the action for anti-de Sitter JT gravity [15, 16, 17], with a counterterm added to the boundary relative to (1) (and again, having set the AdS radius to 1):

\[ I_{JT+\text{matter}}[g, \Phi, \chi] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \Phi (R + 2) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} ds \sqrt{-\bar{h}} \Phi (K - 1) + \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}[g, \chi]. \]  

(7)

The natural boundary condition for the dilaton is Dirichlet: we set \( \Phi|_{\partial \mathcal{M}} = \Phi^* \). With this condition and the boundary term involving extrinsic curvature \( K \), the variational problem with respect to the bulk metric is well-defined. Since the two-dimensional spacetime \( \mathcal{M} \) is constrained to have constant curvature \( R = -2 \), we can embed \( \mathcal{M} \) in \( \text{AdS}_2 \) and solve Einstein’s equations there. As we will see below, the boundary counterterm we have added in (7) is natural in that it renormalizes the energy density of the boundary so that it vanishes (in the absence of any matter) when the boundary coincides with the boundary of \( \text{AdS}_2 \).

The variations of (7) with respect to the bulk and boundary metric, respectively, are

\[ \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}} \frac{\delta I}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 + g_{\mu\nu} \right) \Phi + T_{\mu\nu}, \]  

(8)

\[ \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} \frac{\delta I}{\delta h^{ss}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \Phi^* - N \cdot \partial \Phi \right) \]  

(9)

where \( N \) is the unit normal vector of the boundary with respect to which the extrinsic curvature \( K \) is defined, \( \hat{X}^\nu \nabla_\nu \hat{X}^\mu = KN^\mu \) where \( \hat{X} \) is a unit tangent vector. In the following, we will consider arbitrary level curves of a dilaton solution to the Einstein’s equations

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 + g_{\mu\nu} \right) \Phi + T_{\mu\nu} = 0. \]  

(10)

In doing so, we will prefer to use a locally-defined normal vector \( n \) obtained by clock-wise rotation of \( \hat{X} \), and corresponding extrinsic curvature \( \kappa \).

Assuming conservation of the energy-momentum tensor \( T_{\mu\nu} \) (which holds when the matter configuration is classical, i.e. solves equations of motion), the equations (10) solved on \( \text{AdS}_2 \) can

\[ ^7 \text{This normal vector } N \text{ is the “outward” one with respect to connected components of } \mathcal{M}. \]
be reduced to equations for level curves of \( \Phi \), on which \( \Phi = \Phi_c \) for some constant \( \Phi_c \). They can be put into the form

\[
\Phi_c - n \cdot \partial \Phi = (\kappa - 1)n \cdot \partial \Phi - 2\pi n^\alpha n^\beta T_{\alpha\beta},
\]

(11)

\[
\frac{d}{ds}(\Phi_c - n \cdot \partial \Phi) = 2\pi \hat{X}^\alpha n^\beta T_{\alpha\beta}.
\]

(12)

Given (9), we can identify the expression \( \Phi_c - n \cdot \partial \Phi \) as the energy density of the curve. Furthermore, \( \hat{X}^\alpha n^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} \) is the flux of energy, and \( n^\alpha n^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} \) the flux of transverse momentum. The term \( (\kappa - 1)n \cdot \partial \Phi \) in (11) can be interpreted as a tension contribution to the energy density, which vanishes as the level curve goes to the boundary of \( \tilde{\text{AdS}}_2 \) (where \( \kappa = 1 \)). Finally, we can see from (11) that the effect of the counterterm in (7), or equivalently the term \( \Phi^* \) in the energy density (9), is to shift the energy density of the boundary as it goes to the boundary of \( \tilde{\text{AdS}}_2 \), from \( -\Phi^* \) to 0.

What (11) and (12) show is roughly that e.g. time-like level curves of a dilaton solution, which include as a special case the boundary curve \( \Phi = \Phi^* \), coincide with possible trajectories of a conserving entity, i.e. the boundary particle. We emphasize this identification does not rely on the symmetries of the vacuum with \( T_{\mu\nu} = 0 \); not only do time-like level curves of a dilaton solution coincide with possible particle trajectories in the vacuum, they respond to matter in the same way. This is a striking feature of the equations (10), which motivated us to try to connect dilaton solutions of Einstein’s equations to probability distributions associated with the evolution of the boundary particle.

For completeness, we record the \( \Phi^* \gg 1 \), \( K - 1 \ll 1 \) limit (called the Schwarzian limit in [4]) of (11) and (12) as applied to \( \Phi_c = \Phi^* \), although for our purposes it is important to consider the boundary particle at an arbitrary point of \( \tilde{\text{AdS}}_2 \), and not just near its boundary:

\[
\Phi^* - N \cdot \partial \Phi = \Phi^*(K - 1) - 2\pi N^\alpha N^\beta T_{\alpha\beta}
\]

(13)

\[
\frac{d}{ds}(\Phi^*(K - 1) - 2\pi N^\alpha N^\beta T_{\alpha\beta}) = 2\pi \hat{X}^\alpha N^\beta T_{\alpha\beta}.
\]

(14)

### 2.2 Quantum theory of the boundary

#### 2.2.1 Renormalization and parameters

As explained in the introduction, the starting point for quantizing the dynamical, boundary degrees of freedom of JT gravity (whose action is given in (7)) is to recognize its boundary action as a world-line action of a particle with spin. Specifically, for any curve in two dimensions we may write \( \pm \hat{K} = \kappa = \omega_\mu \hat{X}^\mu + \dot{\alpha} \), where \( \omega_\mu = (e_1)_\mu \nabla_\mu (e_0)_\nu \nu \) is the gauge field associated with a frame field \( \{e_0, e_1\} \) and \( \alpha \) is the angle between \( e_0 \) and unit tangent vector \( \hat{X} \).

Then the spin of the particle \( \nu \) is given in terms of the coefficient of the boundary action, \( \nu = \mp i\gamma, \gamma = \frac{\Phi^*}{2\pi} \). In our discussions we will fix \( \nu = -i\gamma \).

In order to produce a canonical ensemble of quantum states in the Lorentzian spacetime \( \tilde{\text{AdS}}_2 \), one proceeds by regularizing in the hyperbolic plane \( H^2 \) the path integral of single-winding closed loops.

---

8A special case of the following equations with specific matter content have appeared in [16].

9We have set \( e_1 \) to be clock-wise rotated from the time-like \( e_0 \). The expression for \( \kappa \) says the following: \( \kappa \), or the rate of change of \( \hat{X} \) along the curve, is given by the sum of the rate of change of \( e_0 \) and the rate of change of angle between \( e_0 \) and \( \hat{X} \). See e.g. Appendix A of [3] for its derivation.
curves with some fixed length $L$. Note the parameter $L$ is the inverse temperature of the canonical ensemble. According to our discussion above, the boundary action of JT gravity applied to such a curve is given by $I_b = -\gamma \left( \int dX^\mu \omega_\mu + 2\pi - L \right)$ (we have used a subscript $b$ standing for bare). The path integral is regularized by replacing smooth paths with jagged ones consisting of straight segments of length a certain cutoff $\epsilon$. As shown in Section 3 of [4], this results in a quadratic term in the action so that the regularized action is

$$I[X] = \int_0^\beta d\tau \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu - \gamma \omega_\mu \dot{X}^\mu \right)$$

(15)

with some renormalized inverse temperature $\beta$.\(^{10}\)

Let us discuss the cutoff-independent renormalization scheme that was determined in the same reference. The scheme is relevant to the fact that we’ll be able to extract the physics of flat space at short (proper) times $T_b \ll 1$. There are two non-universal, possibly cutoff-dependent parameters that enter the renormalization between the bare thermal partition function defined using $I_b$, and its renormalized counterpart defined using $I$. One is the scaling between $L$ and $\beta$, and the other is an overall scaling between the two partition functions. Importantly, in the limit

$$\gamma \gg 1, \quad L \gg 1,$$  

(16)

we can take the cutoff $\epsilon$ to satisfy $\gamma^{-1} \ll \epsilon \ll 1$ in which case the two parameters are cutoff-independent. In particular, the renormalized inverse temperature is given by

$$\beta = L/\gamma.$$  

(17)

The physics of this renormalization scheme is that at short distances (or short time scales) compared to the radius of curvature, typical paths are almost straight i.e. reproduce the physics of flat JT gravity, where there is no curvature and thus no spin coupling in e.g. (15). (In contrast, if one takes $\epsilon \to 0$ or $\epsilon \ll \gamma^{-1}, L$, typical paths are jagged.)

In [4], the limit (16) was called the Schwarzian limit. However, in hindsight this is a slight misnomer, as a Schwarzian action (see e.g. Sections 1, 2 of [4]) describes the dynamics of the boundary particle in the limit (16), but only at long time scales $T_b \gg 1$. In particular, for our purposes it will be important to probe the Lorentzian dynamics of the particle at short time scales $T_b \ll 1$ in the same limit, where we emphasize the Schwarzian action is not applicable (but the renormalization scheme we have described above is valid). Thus we prefer to call (16) the holographic limit, in the sense that it is in this limit we will be able to make connections between the boundary quantum theory and a two-dimensional theory of the bulk, e.g. reproduce Einstein’s equations from the quantum theory.

Before discussing the Lorentzian theory, let us note the translation of parameters of the particle theory to the microscopic SYK model:

$$\gamma = \alpha_S N, \quad L = \beta_{\text{SYK}} J, \quad \beta = \frac{\beta_{\text{SYK}} J}{\alpha_S N}.$$  

(18)

Here $N$ is the number of fermions, $J$ is the coupling in the many-body Hamiltonian, and $\alpha_S$ is an order one numerical coefficient in front of the Schwarzian action; see [9]. We note the holographic

\(^{10}\)The constants appearing in the bare action are cancelled in the renormalized thermal partition function in the scheme we describe below.
limit is the analogue of the limit of large $N$ and large 't Hooft coupling in higher-dimensional examples of AdS/CFT. We also note the time scales we will be probing in the particle theory, $T_b \ll 1$, correspond to ultra-short time scales $T_{\text{SYK}} \ll J^{-1}$ in the SYK model (as opposed to short time scales $T_{\text{SYK}} \sim J^{-1}$) in the language of [9].

2.2.2 Lorentzian theory and EVPP’s

The Lorentzian theory in $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2$ is defined by analytically continuing the renormalized action in (15), $S = \int dT \left( \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + \gamma \omega_\mu \dot{X}^\mu \right)$. In particular, a complete basis of quantum wavefunctions for the boundary particle is obtained by solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation $H \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \psi = E' \psi$, where $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \nu \omega_\mu$ is the covariant derivative acting on spinors with spin $\nu$. The energy $E'$ conjugate to renormalized time $T$ agrees with the fully renormalized energy $E$ up to a scheme-dependent additive constant. Using the scheme in the holographic limit described in the previous section, $E' = E - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \frac{1}{8}$. Furthermore, using the relation between the Casimir $Q$ of the isometry group $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the Laplacian acting on $\nu$-spinors, $-\nabla^2 = Q + \nu^2$,

$$E = \frac{s^2}{2}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} + is$, $s > 0$ is the Casimir eigenvalue of irreps forming the Hilbert space.\footnote{Note relative to [4], we have changed notation as $E \rightarrow E'$, $E_{\text{Sch}} \rightarrow E$.} The inner product defining the Hilbert space is an integral over all of $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2$, $\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = \int_{\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2} d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \psi_1^*(x) \psi_2(x)$. See Section 4 in [4] for a complete description of the Hilbert space, $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant operators on the Hilbert space, and the trace of such operators.

For our purposes we will need to consider expectations values of products of projectors of the form given in (4), evaluated with a thermal density matrix $\rho$. We will factor out of projectors which integrate to the identity $P(x) = \sqrt{-g(x)} |x\rangle\langle x|$ the non-fluctuating volume $\sqrt{-g}$, absorbing the latter into integration measures. Using the trace operation defined in the particle theory which factors out the infinite volume of $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ from an integral over the Hilbert space,\footnote{The trace operation also contains a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ having to do with there being two boundaries of $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2$.} e.g. the one-point and two-point EVPP’s are evaluated as

$$q(x_1) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( \rho |x_1\rangle\langle x_1| \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle x_1 | \rho | x_1 \rangle}{\text{vol}(\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R}))}$$

$$q_T(x_2, x_1) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( \rho |x_1\rangle\langle x_1| e^{iHT} |x_2\rangle\langle x_2| e^{-iHT} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle x_2 | e^{-iHT} \rho | x_1 \rangle \langle x_1 | e^{iHT} | x_2 \rangle}{\text{vol}(\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R}))}.$$  

Two $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant operators (note such an operator $\Psi$ is diagonal in energy, $\Psi = \int dE \Psi_E$) whose matrix elements were obtained in [4] enter these expressions: the operator $P$ encoding the density of states of the system $\rho(E)$, $\rho = \int dE Z^{-1} e^{-\beta E} P_E$, $Z = \int dE e^{-\beta E} \rho(E)$, and the identity operator $I$ whose matrix elements give the particle propagator in $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2$. In terms of their matrix elements $\langle x_1 | \Psi | x_2 \rangle \equiv \Psi(x_1; x_2)$ we have

$$\langle x_2 | e^{-iHT} \rho | x_1 \rangle = \int dE \frac{e^{-\beta E - iET}}{Z} P_E(x_2; x_1), \quad \langle x_1 | e^{iHT} | x_2 \rangle = \int dE e^{iET} I_E(x_1; x_2).$$

\[\text{(22)}\]
Let us discuss these two-point functions more explicitly. As detailed in [4], an $\tilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant two-point function $\Psi(x_1; x_2)$ is singular and discontinuous across lightrays emanating from $x_2$ and reflecting from the boundaries of $\tilde{\text{AdS}}_2$, see Figure 3a. In our time scales of interest $T_b \ll 1$ and in the semi-classical limit, the two-point functions (22) localize to points $x_1$ that are in immediate time-like regions relative to $x_2$, $(x_1; x_2) \in$ regions 3, 4. Thus we will only need their expressions in regions 3, 4. Let us introduce coordinates $(\phi, \theta)$ in $\tilde{\text{AdS}}_2$ in terms of which the metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -\frac{d\phi^2 + d\theta^2}{\cos^2 \theta}, \quad -\infty < \phi < \infty, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$ \hfill (23)

For a pair of points $(x; x')$, a cross-ratio measuring geodesic distance can be defined: $w = (\varphi_{13}\varphi_{24})/(\varphi_{14}\varphi_{23})$ where

$$\varphi_{ij} = 2 \sin \left( \frac{\varphi_i - \varphi_j}{2} \right)$$ \hfill (24)

and

$$\varphi_1 = \phi - \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi_2 = \phi + \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi_3 = \phi' - \theta' + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi_4 = \phi' + \theta' - \frac{\pi}{2}.$$ \hfill (25)

In our calculations in Section 4, we will find it convenient to use as relative coordinates a different cross-ratio $z$ with the property that $0 < z < 1$ in regions 3, 4, together with a relative Schwarzschild time $t$ having the range $-\infty < t < \infty$ in each region,

$$z = \frac{w}{w - 1} = \frac{\varphi_{13}\varphi_{24}}{\varphi_{12}\varphi_{34}}, \quad t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\left| \varphi_{14}\varphi_{23} \right|}{\left| \varphi_{13}\varphi_{24} \right|} \right).$$ \hfill (26)

See Figure 3b,c. Then we note that

$$\hat{P}_E(x; x') = \rho(E)(-2)\hat{C}_{\lambda, \nu}(w), \quad \hat{I}_E(x; x') = (2\pi)^{-2}(-2)\hat{C}_{\lambda, \nu}(w) \quad \text{in regions 3, 4}$$ \hfill (27)

The ring on the two-point functions denotes a choice of gauge for the spinor wavefunctions $\psi$ (the disk gauge defined in [4]). Here we will not explain the gauge, but simply note EVPP’s such as (20), (21) are gauge-invariant.
where
\[ \rho(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sinh(2\pi s) \] (28)
and \( \tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu} \) is a function that we choose to present here for \textit{general} values of \( \gamma \) and \( s \) (recall \( \nu = -i\gamma \), \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2} + is \)), rather than in the holographic limit (16) which can be rewritten in the Lorentzian setting as
\[ \gamma \gg 1, \quad s^2 \ll \gamma^2, \] (29)
\[ \tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) = \lim_{m \to \nu} \frac{\tilde{A}_{\lambda,m,-\nu}(w) - \tilde{A}_{\lambda,-m,\nu}(w)}{m - \nu} \frac{\psi(\lambda + \nu) + \psi(1 - \lambda + \nu) + \psi(\lambda - \nu) + \psi(1 - \lambda - \nu)}{2} \tilde{A}_{\lambda,\nu,-\nu}(w) \] (30)
where \( \tilde{A}_{\lambda,l,r}(w) = z^{l+r}(1-z)^{-l-r} \mathbf{F}(\lambda + r, 1 - \lambda + r, 1 + l + r; z) \) with \( \mathbf{F}(a, b, c; x) \) the regularized hypergeometric function.

The result (30) was obtained in [4]. It is possible [5] to systematically expand it in powers of \( \gamma \) by first taking the holographic limit (29), then the semi-classical limit
\[ s^2 \gg 1, \] (31)
which is useful for reproducing Einstein’s equations in anti-de Sitter JT gravity with a non-vanishing cosmological constant term. For purposes of this paper, where our goal is to reproduce Einstein’s equations in flat JT gravity by extracting the flat-space asymptotics of (30) in the holographic limit, it turns out to be sufficient to make a cruder approximation where we work at small distances \( z \ll 1 \) and do not keep full track of \( s^2/\gamma^2 \) corrections. Furthermore, it is more convenient to work with the differential equation solving for (30),
\[ -(1-w)^2(w\partial_w^2 + \partial_w) - \nu^2(1-w)) f = \lambda (1-\lambda) f, \] (32)
than with the solution itself. We will present the results in Section 4.2.

Finally, let us comment on infinities appearing in (20), (21). The two-point function \( \langle x_1|\rho|x_2 \rangle \) is singular as \( x_1 \to x_2 \), so the numerator \( \langle x_1|\rho|x_1 \rangle \) in (20) is infinite. However, we can formally evaluate it as follows. Inserting a factor of the identity \( 1 = \int \mathcal{D}x \ x|x|, \mathcal{D}x = d^2x\sqrt{-g} \) into the equation for unit trace of \( \rho \),
\[ 1 = \int \mathcal{D}x \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\rho |x|x|) = \int \mathcal{D}x \frac{1}{2} \langle x|\rho|x|/\text{vol}(\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R})) \text{so} \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \langle x|\rho|x| = \frac{\text{vol}(\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R}))}{\text{vol}(\text{AdS}_2)} = \text{vol}(H) \] (33)
where \( H \subset \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R}) \) is the isotropy subgroup of the (left) action of \( \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R}) \) on \( \text{AdS}_2 \). Elements of \( H \) fix a point \( x' \) while acting as boosts within the regions defined by light rays from \( x' \), so we may write \( \text{vol}(H) = \int_{\text{all regions}} dt \) where \( t \) is the relative Schwarzschild time we defined in (26). The infinities \( \text{vol}(H), \text{vol}(\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R})) \) appearing in EVPP’s will cancel against infinities coming from integrations over \( \text{AdS}_2 \) in the integrals we consider in Section 4.

\(^{14}\text{Note in the (renormalized) Lorentzian theory the bare inverse temperature } L \text{ does not appear directly, only } \beta. \text{ The condition } L \gg 1 \text{ can be converted to } s^2 \ll \gamma^2 \text{ by using the relation between the proper length and energy of classical curves in } H^2, \text{ see Section 4.1 of [4].}\)
Figure 4: Depiction of a) the three components \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\) of a probability space, and b) a random variable.

3 Notions in probability theory

Here we review some notions in probability theory and stochastic processes. The goal is to introduce the bare minimum needed for understanding our analysis of JT gravity. Much of what we present is a layperson’s summary of select material from [18, 19], which we have found to be valuable sources of introduction to the subject. Finally, we note the discussion here is entirely concerned with classical probabilities. A crucial step in our task of deconstructing JT gravity will be to make contact with some of the following notions starting from a quantum theory.

**Measure space, probability space:** A set of outcomes \(\Omega\) together with an algebra \(\mathcal{F}\) of subsets of \(\Omega\) constitute a measurable space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F})\). A measure space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\) has the additional component of a measure function \(\mu : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}^+\) characterized by additivity on disjoint elements of \(\mathcal{F}\). See Figure 4a. In the special case that \(\mu(\Omega) = 1\), \(\mu\) is called a probability, and \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\) a probability space.

Note in our physics discussions we will use the term probability liberally, sometimes applying it to measures that do not integrate to 1 in order to emphasize that they are defined in the context of probability theory. For example, in Section 1 we stated that the volume measure of AdS\(_2\) defined in the context of general relativity is actually a probability measure from the point of view of the quantum theory of the boundary of JT gravity. When we are discussing probabilities that integrate to 1 it will be clear from the context.

**Random variable, stochastic process:** A random variable is a function \(X : \Omega \to \Xi\) from a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\) to a measurable space \((\Xi, \mathcal{X})\) such that \(X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}\) for each \(B \in \mathcal{X}\), i.e. the preimage of a measurable set is measurable. See Figure 4b. It induces a measure on its target space, \(\mu_X(B) = P(X^{-1}(B))\) \(\forall B \in \mathcal{X}\), which is called its probability distribution.

A stochastic process \(\{X_t\}_{t \in T}\) is a collection of random variables \(X_t\) indexed by a set \(T\), taking values in a common measurable space \((\Xi, \mathcal{X})\). Natural objects characterizing a stochastic process are its finite-dimensional distributions or the joint probability distributions \(\mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} \in B_1, X_{t_2} \in B_2, \ldots, X_{t_n} \in B_n)\) for \(n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \in T,\) and \(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{X}\). A set of finite-dimensional distributions obeying marginalization relations\(^{15}\) uniquely specifies a stochastic process, as long as the distributions are sufficiently nice.\(^{16}\)

---

\(^{15}\)That is, \(\mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} \in B_1, \ldots, X_{t_n} \in B_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_1} \in B_1, \ldots, X_{t_n} \in B_n, X_{t_{n+1}} \in \Xi, \ldots, X_{t_m} \in \Xi)\) for \(m > n\).

\(^{16}\)The technical requirement is that the finite distributions can be obtained by chaining together
We note that a stochastic process may be induced by a dynamical system of matter, but is intrinsically defined without reference to any such system. Explicitly, suppose \( \{X_t\}_{t \in T} \) are observables of a dynamical system of matter measured at different times, so that each \( X_t : \Omega \to \Xi \) is a function defined on the state space \( \Omega \) of the system which has probability function \( P \). Then the joint probability distributions of the stochastic process are computed using \( \Omega \) and \( P \) as
\[
P(X_{t_1} \in B_1, \ldots, X_{t_n} \in B_n) = P(X_{t_1}^{-1}(B_1) \cap \cdots \cap X_{t_n}^{-1}(B_n)).
\] (34)
However, the definition of the stochastic process only involves the resulting distributions, i.e. we can forget about \( \Omega \) and \( P \). This will be relevant to our understanding of flat JT gravity vis-à-vis anti-de Sitter JT gravity.

**Probability kernel, Markov operator:** A probability kernel from a measurable space \((\Xi, \mathcal{X})\) to another measurable space \((\Upsilon, \mathcal{Y})\) is a map \( \mu : \mathcal{Y} \times \Xi \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) that captures the notion of conditional probability. In particular, \( \forall x \in \Xi, \mu(Y; x) \) is a probability measure on \((\Upsilon, \mathcal{Y})\). Two probability kernels are multiplied as \((\mu_2 \mu_1)(dz; x) = \int \mu_2(dz; y)\mu_1(dy; x)\).

It will be important for us that a probability kernel \( \mu(dy; x) \) induces a Markov operator that maps measures to measures,
\[
(M \nu)(dy) = \int \mu(dy; x)\nu(dx).
\] (35)
Equivalently, it induces a transition operator on the space of functions dual to the space of measures,
\[
(Kf)(x) = \int f(y)\mu(dy; x).
\] (36)
Note the Markov and transition operators are adjoints of each other with respect to the inner product between measures and functions, \( \int f(y)(M \nu)(dy) = \int (Kf)(x)\nu(dx) \).

**Markov process, generator:** A stochastic process indexed by one continuous parameter is a Markov process, if it models deterministic dynamics where the value of a random variable at a given time determines its distribution at all future times. In particular, a Markov process is fully characterized by its probability kernels \( \mu_{t_2, t_1}(dx_2; x_1) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_2} \in dx_2 | X_{t_1} = x_1) \), which form a semi-group:

1. For all \( t, \mu_{t,t}(\cdot; x) = \delta_x(\cdot) \).
\] (37)

2. For all \( t_3 \geq t_2 \geq t_1, \mu_{t_3, t_1} = \mu_{t_3, t_2} \mu_{t_2, t_1} \).
\] (38)

Let us consider a homogeneous Markov process for which \( \mu_{t_2, t_1} = \mu_{t_2 - t_1, 0} \equiv \mu_{t_2 - t_1} \) for all \( t_2 \geq t_1 \). The Markov operators \( M_t \) induced by the probability kernels \( \mu_t \) also form a homogenous semi-group, for which a generator \( G \) can be defined which acts on measures \( \nu \) in the measurable space of the Markov process,
\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{M_t \nu - M_0 \nu}{t} \equiv G \nu.
\] (39)
There is a sense in which \( M_t \) can be obtained as exponentials of \( G \), so the generator obtained as in (39) again fully characterizes the Markov process.

\[\text{conditional probabilities, i.e. } \mathbb{P}(dx_1) = \kappa(dx_1), \mathbb{P}(dx_2, dx_1) = \kappa_2(dx_2; x_1)\kappa(dx_1), \mathbb{P}(dx_3, dx_2, dx_1) = \kappa_3(dx_3; x_2, x_1)\kappa_2(dx_2; x_1)\kappa(dx_1), \ldots \text{ This can fail if conditional probabilities do not converge appropriately.}\]

\[\text{See the Hille-Yoshida theorem in e.g. Ch. 12 of [19].}\]
**Generator equation** In our analysis of JT gravity, we will derive Einstein’s equations (2) (in the vacuum) by taking the semi-classical limit of an exact equation expressing the instantaneous time derivative of the action of (the kernel of) a quantum stochastic process on a probability distribution Φ. In view of (39), the latter is the quantum version of a generator equation, where the term generator should now be understood in the context of arbitrary stochastic processes for which the Markov operators between consecutive times do not necessarily form a semi-group. Roughly, it generates the evolution of probability in a sense local in time.

Let us write down the generator equation for a classical stochastic process with probability kernels \( μ_{t_j,t_i}(x_j;x_i) \), \( t_j \geq t_i \). We find it mathematically most concise\(^{18}\) to consider the action of a probability kernel on the space of functions dual to the space of measures (see (36)), \( (K_{t_2,t_1}f)(x_1) = \int dx_2 f(x_2)μ_{t_2,t_1}(x_2;x_1) \), and to take the derivative with respect to increasing \( t_2 \). A generator equation on measures can then be obtained by taking the adjoint. For an arbitrary function \( f \), we have\(^{19}\)

\[
\lim_{t_{21} \to 0+} \frac{∂}{∂t_2}(K_{t_2,t_1}f)(x_1) = \lim_{t_{21} \to 0+} \lim_{t_{32} \to 0+} \frac{1}{t_{32}} \left( \int dx_3 f(x_3)μ_{t_3,t_1}(x_3;x_1) - \int dx_2 f(x_2)μ_{t_2,t_1}(x_2;x_1) \right) \\
= \lim_{t_{21} \to 0+} \lim_{t_{32} \to 0+} \frac{1}{t_{32}} \left( \int dx_3 \int dx_2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{∞} \frac{f^{(k)}(x_2)}{k!}(x_3-x_2)^k \right) μ_{t_3,t_2,t_1}(x_3,x_2;x_1) - \int dx_2 f(x_2)μ_{t_2,t_1}(x_2;x_1) \right)
\]

(40)

where we have denoted \( t_{j,i} = t_j - t_i \) and \( μ_{t_{j,j-1},t_{i,i-1}}(x_{j,j-1},x_{i,i-1}) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t_j} = x_j, X_{t_{j-1}} = x_{j-1}) \). In the second line we have used the definition of a derivative, and in the third line, the marginalization of joint probabilities \( ∫ dx_2 μ_{t_3,t_2,t_1}(x_3,x_2;x_1) = μ_{t_3,t_1}(x_3;x_1) \), and finally the Taylor expansion of \( f(x_3) \) about \( x_3 = x_2 \). For a sufficiently “macroscopic” process for which as \( t_3 \to t_2 \), \( μ_{t_3,t_2,t_1}(x_3,x_2;x_1) \) is supported at correspondingly short distances \( x_3 \to x_2 \), integrals of third-order or higher derivative terms in the Taylor expansion of \( f(x_3) \) are suppressed on the RHS of (40). One is then left with terms involving at most second derivatives of \( f \) at \( x_2 \), where for a Markov process, there is also a cancellation of non-derivative terms involving \( f(x_2) \). The resulting equation, in its adjoint form involving a measure \( ν \) rather than a function \( f \), is none other than the instantaneous limit of the Fokker-Planck or forward-Kolmogorov equation.

As a demonstration, let us derive this reduced form of the generator equation in the simple example of the Wiener process (corresponding to Brownian motion of particles in one dimension). The random variable \( X(t) ∈ \mathbb{R} \) of the Wiener process has independent increments \( X(t_2) - X(t_1) \), each of which follow a Gaussian distribution with width \( t_{21} \). The probability kernels are given by

\[
μ_{t_2,t_1}(dx_2,x_1) = dx_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2πt_{21}}} e^{-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{2t_{21}}},
\]

(41)

and the generator equation (40) reduces to

---

\(^{18}\) In terms of identifying the cancellation that occurs for Markov processes.

\(^{19}\) For brevity, we have used notation suitable to the target space of the process being one-dimensional.
4.1 Formulation in quantum theory

We begin by noting there are direct analogues in quantum theory of the classical notions of a probability space and a random variable. This was elaborated in Chapter I of [20]; here we explain the bare minimum needed for our purposes, and relegate a more rigorous summary to Appendix A. Essentially, ingredients of a quantum system as known by physicists can be reworked in the language of probability theory; this shift in perspective, although subtle, will be valuable to us in deconstructing JT gravity.

Recall from Section 3 the three components \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \) of a probability space. A quantum probability space is specified by three analogous components \( (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \rho) \): \( \mathcal{H} \) is a Hilbert space, \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) \) is the set of all projections on \( \mathcal{H} \) (an operator \( T \) is a projection iff \( T = T^* = T^2 \)), and \( \rho \) is a density matrix. See Figure 5. We note the probability for an event \( E \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) \) is given by \( \text{Tr}(\rho E) \) (cf. the probability for an event \( B \in \mathcal{F} \) is \( P(B) \)). Finally, the analogue of a random variable is an observable, defined as follows: given a measurable space \( (\Xi, \mathcal{X}) \), a \( \Xi \)-valued observable \( \xi \) is a projection-valued measure on \( (\Xi, \mathcal{X}) \), i.e. a mapping \( \xi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) \) satisfying \( \xi(\bigcup_j F_j) = \sum_j \xi(F_j) \) if \( F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset \) for \( i \neq j \), and \( \xi(\Xi) = 1 \).

When the target space \( \Xi \) is a topological space, we can take \( \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_\Xi \) to be the algebra generated by the open subsets of its topology. Then we note the definition above is inclusive of—and more general—than the usual physicist’s notion of a quantum observable: a self-adjoint operator \( T \) is in one-to-one correspondence with a \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued observable \( \xi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) \) via \( T = \int \mathbb{R} x \xi(dx) \), and for example a bounded normal operator \( T \) is in one-to-one correspondence with a bounded \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued observable \( \xi \) via \( \xi(x) = x \).

\[ \lim_{t_{21} \to 0^+} \left( \frac{dx_3}{dx_2} \frac{dx_3}{dx_2} f''(x_2)(x_3 - x_2)^2 \mu_{t_3,t_2}(x_3; x_2) + O(t_{32}^2) \right) \mu_{t_2,t_1}(x_2; x_1) = \frac{1}{2} f''(x_1) \]
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valued observable $\xi : \mathcal{X}_C \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ via $T = \int_{\{z||z|| \leq ||T||\}} z \, \xi(dz)$. (As an aside, we also note the given definition of an observable encompasses both the case of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ being finite, and of having a countably infinite basis. In the former case, e.g. a self-adjoint operator $X$ corresponds to the observable $\xi(B) = \sum_{x \in B} \xi_x \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{X}_R$, where $\xi_x$ is the projector onto the eigenspace $\{u|Xu = xu\} \subset \mathcal{H}$.)

We are now ready to state the significance of the “emergent spacetime” $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2$ relative to the quantum system of the boundary of JT gravity we described in Section 2.2: it is a measure space

$$\left(\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2, \mathcal{X}_{\text{AdS}}_2, \mu\right), \quad \mu(d^2x) = \sqrt{-g} \, d^2x \quad (43)$$

in which an observable $X$ of the quantum system—corresponding to “position of particle”—takes values. The measure $\mu$, or the “volume” measure of $\text{AdS}_2$ as viewed in general relativity, is in fact a manifestation of the Hilbert space of the quantum theory, which can be characterized as $L^2_{\nu}(\mu)$—the space of complex-valued functions on $\widetilde{\text{AdS}}_2$ transforming as $\nu$-spinors, which are absolutely square-summable under the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_\mu = \int f^*(x)g(x) \mu(d^2x)$, $f, g \in L^2_{\nu}(\mu)$. Stated otherwise, $\mu$ is fundamentally a probability measure, e.g. the probability of a particle with wavefunction $\psi$ to be found in some region $B \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{AdS}}_2$ is given by $\int_B \psi^*\psi \, \mu(d^2x)$. (Formally, this is expressed in the projection-valued measure and operator corresponding to the observable, $\xi(d^2x) = |x\rangle\langle x| \, \mu(d^2x)$, $X = \int_{\text{AdS}}_2 x \, \xi(d^2x) = \int_{\text{AdS}}_2 x |x\rangle\langle x| \, \mu(d^2x)$.)

Our next step is to define a one-parameter stochastic process consisting of the observable $X$ at different proper times. Recall from Section 3 that a classical stochastic process induced by a dynamical system is specified by its joint probability distributions (34) in target space. In our quantum setting, we have the quantum probability space $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \rho)$ of the boundary particle in place of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Thus we propose to define a stochastic process using expectation values of products of projectors (which we abbreviate as EVPP’s) which are quantum analogues of joint probability distributions for the particle to be at point $x_1$ at $T_1$, ..., and $x_n$ at $T_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.\footnote{In the following expression, for simplicity we have assumed the Hamiltonian of the quantum system $H$ is time-independent.}

$$q_{T_1}(x_1) = \text{Tr}(\rho e^{iHT_1} |x_1\rangle\langle x_1| e^{-iHT_1}), \quad q_{T_2,T_1}(x_2, x_1) = \text{Tr}(\rho e^{iHT_1} |x_1\rangle\langle x_1| e^{-iH(T_1-T_2)} |x_2\rangle\langle x_2| e^{-iHT_2}), \ldots \quad (44)$$

Here we have factored out of projectors $P(x) = \sqrt{-g(x)} \, |x\rangle\langle x|$ which integrate to the identity the non-fluctuating measure $\sqrt{-g}$, which we will absorb into integration measures.

Now, note the EVPP’s $q^{(n)} \equiv q_{T_n,\ldots,T_1}(x_n, \ldots, x_1)$ specified as above integrate to 1 by completeness of projectors $1 = \int d^2x \, |x\rangle\langle x|$ and unit trace of density matrix $\rho$, and also satisfy marginalization relations by virtue of the former property. However, unlike actual joint probability distributions, $q^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 2$ are in general neither real nor positive ($q^{(1)}$ is always positive).

$$q_{T_1}(x_1) = \text{Tr}(\rho e^{iHT_1} |x_1\rangle\langle x_1| e^{-iHT_1}), \quad q_{T_2,T_1}(x_2, x_1) = \text{Tr}(\rho e^{iHT_1} |x_1\rangle\langle x_1| e^{-iH(T_1-T_2)} |x_2\rangle\langle x_2| e^{-iHT_2}), \ldots \quad (44)$$
In general, we propose to consider a sequence of distributions $q_{T_1n,n-1,\ldots,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1)$, $n = 1, 2, 3,\ldots$ having these properties to be joint quantum distributions, a natural generalization of the notion of joint probability distributions, and to define a quantum stochastic process by a set of joint quantum distributions. Then an important question is whether and how contact can be made with a classical stochastic process defined by positive joint distributions.

In [21], what amounts to partial integrals of EVPP’s were discussed in the context of attempting to construct a classical version of a sequence of quantum observables. There, and in the literature cited therein, it was imposed that all partial integrals (and thus effectively the EVPP’s) must be positive as a precondition of defining an associated classical stochastic process. Here, we take the point of view that this is too stringent of a requirement, and that it is in fact sufficient to be able to extract effective joint distributions which are positive and which account for total integrals of joint quantum distributions (unit probability), and in the classical limit.

Specifically, we propose to extract positive joint distributions $p_{T_2,T_1}(x_2,x_1)$, $p_{T_3,T_2,T_1}(x_3,x_2,x_1)$,\ldots, for ordered times $T_1 \leq T_2 \leq T_3 \leq \cdots$ from leading saddle-point evaluations of total integrals of corresponding joint quantum distributions $q_{T_2,T_1}(x_2,x_1)$, $q_{T_3,T_2,T_1}(x_3,x_2,x_1)$,\ldots.

$$\int \mathcal{D}x_1\ldots\mathcal{D}x_n q_{T_1n,n-1,\ldots,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1) \approx \text{leading sadd. pt. eval.} \int \mathcal{D}x_1\ldots\mathcal{D}x_n p_{T_1n,n-1,\ldots,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1). \quad (45)$$

The $p^{(n)} \equiv p_{T_1n,n-1,\ldots,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1)$ defined as such are guaranteed to be positive, essentially because in the leading saddle-point approximation an integral is evaluated along a path of constant phase for the integrand. It is also evident that they integrate to 1 by construction, and inherit marginalization relations. Thus they are bona fide probability distributions which define a classical stochastic process in the target space of $X$. We note this procedure for extracting a classical stochastic process from joint quantum distributions (or EVPP’s) is quite general, and can be expected to apply broadly to observables of quantum systems in the classical limit. In the next section, we will see it working in a concrete scenario.

### 4.2 Markov process in local limit

In this section, we formulate conditions stating that classical joint probability distributions produced by a quantum stochastic process—as explained in the previous section—form a Markov process. We then show that the dynamics of the position observable of JT gravity in flat space, which we extract by zooming in near a point of AdS$_2$ in the holographic limit of the boundary quantum system, produce a Markov process in such a manner.

#### 4.2.1 Conditions for a Markov process

We consider a thermal density matrix $\rho$ for the boundary particle. Then our discussion simplifies because $\rho$ commutes with the Hamiltonian and thus the EVPP’s are homogeneous in time. Evaluating as in (20), (21), and (33),

$$q(x_1) = \frac{1}{\text{vol(AdS}_2)}, \quad (46)$$

$$q_{T_1n,n-1,\ldots,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle x_n|e^{-iHT_{n-1}}\rho|x_1\rangle\langle x_1|e^{iHT_1}|x_2\rangle\ldots\langle x_{n-1}|e^{iHT_{n-1}}|x_n\rangle}{\text{vol(SL(2,R))}} \quad (n \geq 2) \quad (47)$$
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where \( T_{j,k} = T_j - T_k \) are differences in proper times \( T_j \) associated with \( x_j \). We consider an increasing sequence of times, i.e. \( T_{j+1,j} \geq 0 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \).

Now, let us consider conditions under which the joint probability distributions \( p(x_1) = q(x_1) \) and \( p_{T_{n-1},...,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1) \) for \( n \geq 2 \) arising from (47) via the saddle-point approximation form a Markov process as was defined by (37), (38). The two-point probability kernel is given by

\[
\kappa_T(x_2; x_1) = \frac{p_T(x_2, x_1)}{p(x_1)} = \frac{f_T(z_{21})}{\text{vol}(H)},
\]

where the finite kernel \( f_T(z_{21}) \equiv p_T(x_2, x_1)\text{vol}((\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})) \) appears in the integral of \( q_T(x_2, x_1) \) as

\[
\int \mathcal{D}x_2 \mathcal{D}x_1 q_T(x_2, x_1) = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}x_2 \mathcal{D}x_1 \frac{\langle x_2 | e^{-iHT} \rho | x_1 \rangle \langle x_1 | e^{iHT} | x_2 \rangle}{\text{vol}(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}z_{21} \langle x_2 | e^{-iHT} \rho | x_1 \rangle \langle x_1 | e^{iHT} | x_2 \rangle \text{leading sadd. pt. eval.} \int \mathcal{D}z_{21} f_T(z_{21}).
\]

Then with respect to the requirement (37) that the probability kernel induces the identity operator as \( T \to 0 \), we see in fact a stronger statement holds, that the exact quantum kernel

\[
\kappa_T(x_2; x_1) = \frac{q_T(x_2, x_1)}{q(x_1)} = \frac{1}{2} \langle x_2 | e^{-iHT} \rho | x_1 \rangle \langle x_1 | e^{iHT} | x_2 \rangle \text{vol}(H)
\]

satisfies \( \kappa_0(x_2; x_1) = \delta^2(x_2 - x_1)/\sqrt{-g(x_2)} \).

The requirement (38) corresponding to the actual Markov property can be restated as follows: a joint probability is produced by iterations of the probability kernel,

\[
p_{T_{n-1},...,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1) = \mu_{T_{n-1}}(x_n, x_{n-1}) \cdots \mu_{T_{21}}(x_2, x_1)p(x_1).
\]

In our quantum context, this translates to the non-trivial condition

\[
\int \mathcal{D}x_n \cdots \mathcal{D}x_1 q_{T_{n-1},...,T_{21}}(x_n,\ldots,x_1)
= \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}x_n \cdots \mathcal{D}x_1 \mathcal{D}z_{21} \langle x_n | e^{-iHT_{n-1}} \rho | x_1 \rangle \langle x_1 | e^{iHT_{21}} | x_2 \rangle \cdots \langle x_{n-1} | e^{iHT_{n-1}} | x_n \rangle
\approx \int \mathcal{D}z_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{D}z_{21} f_{T_{n-1}}(z_{n-1}) \cdots f_{T_{21}}(z_{21}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.
\]

\textbf{4.2.2 Flat asymptotics}

We will now outline how the Markov property as captured by (49), (52) holds for the dynamics of the position observable in flat space, which we can extract from the asymptotics of the observable

---

\textsuperscript{23} In the second equality of (49), we have used \( \int \mathcal{D}x = \int \mathcal{D}z dt \) in terms of relative coordinates \((z, t)\) with respect to some arbitrary point. We will use this repeatedly in our evaluation of integrals.

\textsuperscript{24} For a non-homogeneous process homogeneous probability kernels should be replaced by non-homogeneous probability kernels labeled by two different times.
at short distances of \( \tilde{\text{AdS}}_2 \) in the holographic limit. (Recall from Section 2.2.1 the holographic limit and associated renormalization scheme make the latter possible.) See Figure 6.

Our starting point is to obtain the \( 0 < z \ll 1 \) asymptotics of the particle propagator (30) in the holographic, semi-classical limit \( \gamma \gg s \gg 1 \). As we show in Appendix B, this is accomplished by taking the asymptotic limit

\[
\gamma \to \infty, \quad |z| \ll 1
\]

of the equation (32), then using the saddle-point approximation in integral representations for the solutions, which are Whittaker functions \( M_{-i\nu,0}(i\xi), W_{-i\nu,0}(i\xi) \) where

\[
\eta = \frac{\gamma^2 - s^2}{2\gamma}, \quad \xi = 2\gamma z.
\]

The results are given by

\[
\tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) \approx \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2(\eta\xi)^{1/4}} \left\{ e^{\frac{3}{4}\pi i} e^{-2\sqrt{\eta\xi}} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{16} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta\xi}} \right) + \text{c.c.} \right\} + O\left( \frac{1}{\gamma^{5/2}} \right).
\]

In the limit (53), \((\xi, t)\) are relative coordinates in flat space (cf. \((z, t)\) in \( \tilde{\text{AdS}}_2 \) given by (26)). In terms of null coordinates of Minkowski space \( u = \frac{\phi - \theta}{2}, v = \frac{\phi + \theta}{2}, ds^2 = -d\phi^2 + d\theta^2, \)

\[
\begin{align*}
&u - u' \approx \pm \sqrt{|\xi|} e^{-t}, \quad v - v' \approx \pm \sqrt{|\xi|} e^t, \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad &\xi \approx (u - u')(v - v'), \quad t \approx \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{v - v'}{u - u'} \right|.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, we note only the leading terms in \( \gamma \) in (55) are relevant to confirming the Markov property of the resulting classical stochastic process. The increased accuracy afforded by the subleading term will be required when reproducing Einstein’s equations in the next section.
Let us first obtain the finite 2-point probability (or probability kernel) \( f_T(\xi) \) defined by (49). Using (22), (27), and the semi-classical approximations

\[
\rho(E) = \frac{\sinh 2\pi s}{2\pi} \approx \frac{e^{2\pi s}}{(2\pi)^2}, \quad Z = \int dE e^{-\beta E} \rho(E) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right)^\frac{3}{2} e^{\frac{2\pi^2}{2}},
\]

we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}z_2 \langle x_2 | e^{-iHT} \rho | x_1 \rangle \langle x_1 | e^{iHT} | x_2 \rangle \approx \frac{1}{2} \int_{3,4} \frac{d\xi}{\gamma} \int ds \frac{e^{-(\beta + iT)s^2/2 + 2\pi s}}{(2\pi)^\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{e^{2\pi^2/\beta} (\eta \xi)^{1/4}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{4} \pi i - 2i \sqrt{\eta \xi}} + c.c. \right)
\times \int ds' \frac{e^{iT s'^2/2}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(\eta' \xi')^{1/4}} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{4} \pi i - 2i \sqrt{\eta' \xi'}} + c.c. \right).
\]

We proceed to evaluate the integral (58) by saddle-point approximation. For \( T = \frac{T_b}{\gamma} > 0 \), only the term in (58) with exponential \( e^{-p} \),

\[
p(s, s', \xi) = (\beta + iT) \frac{s^2}{2} - 2\pi s + 2i\sqrt{\eta' \xi} - iT \frac{s'^2}{2} - 2i\sqrt{\eta' \xi}, \tag{59}
\]

contributes. The saddle-point is given by

\[
s_* = s'_* = \frac{2\pi \gamma}{L}, \quad \xi_* = \eta_* T_b^2. \tag{60}
\]

In order for \( \xi_* = 2\gamma z_* \) to agree with the short-time asymptotics of the classical trajectory of the particle obtained from its bare action (see Appendix C), \( z_* = \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sinh^2 \left( \frac{\pi T_b}{L} \right) \approx \frac{1}{4} T_b^2 \), we need to take the limit \( 25 \)

\[
L = \frac{2\pi \gamma}{s_*} \rightarrow \infty. \tag{61}
\]

Thus the propagator (55) is seen to be valid in the local limit \( z \ll 1, L \rightarrow \infty \). The local limit captures the notion of “zooming in” near a point, after which the geometry is flat and the infrared cutoff of the spacetime (bare inverse temperature \( L \)) has moved to infinity. With the understanding that we remove the cutoff as (61) in the final stage of a calculation, after expanding the exponent (59) to quadratic order about its saddle-point and integrating over \( s, s' \), we obtain

\[
\int \mathcal{D}x_2 \mathcal{D}x_1 q_T(x_2, x_1) \approx \int_{\text{regions } 3,4} d\xi f_T(\xi), \quad f_T(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \gamma T_b^3}} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \gamma T_b^2 (\xi - \frac{T_b}{2})^2}. \tag{62}
\]

Next, we study the geometry of three consecutively causally-connected points \( (x_3, x_2, x_1) \) in flat space, which is needed to verify \( n \)-point probabilities for \( n \geq 3 \) decompose as in (52).

---

25 Technically, this has to do with the fact that we did not retain subleading \( s^2 \) dependences (in the step of taking limit of differential equation) in deriving the asymptotic form (55).
Specifically, we solve for $\xi_{31}, t_{31}$ as functions of $\xi_{32}, t_{32}, \xi_{21}, t_{21}$ in the limit (53). Only the case $\text{sgn}(\phi_{32})\text{sgn}(\phi_{21}) > 0$ will be relevant to saddle-points of integrals of $n$-point EVPP’s:

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{31} & \approx \xi_{32} + \xi_{21} + 2\sqrt{\xi_{32}\xi_{21}} \cosh(t_{32} - t_{21}), \\
t_{31} & \approx \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{32}}e^{t_{32}} + \sqrt{\xi_{21}}e^{t_{21}}}{\sqrt{\xi_{32}}e^{-t_{32}} + \sqrt{\xi_{21}}e^{-t_{21}}} \right). 
\end{align*}
$$

Using the asymptotic propagator (55), the associated limit (61), and the geometry of flat space as reflected in (63), it is possible to show the Markov property (52) holds with probability kernel given by (62). We give a detailed proof in Appendix D. In the semi-classical approximation, applying the saddle-point approximation, for $T_{j+1,j} > 0, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ only the phase term $e^{-p}$ with

$$
p(\xi_{n,n-1}, t_{n,n-1}, \ldots, \xi_{32}, t_{32}, \xi_{21}, s, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}) = (\beta + iT_{n,n-1}) \frac{s^2}{2} - 2\pi s + 2i\sqrt{\eta \xi_{n,n-1}} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( iT_{j+1,j} \frac{s_j^2}{2} + 2i\sqrt{\eta_j \xi_{j+1,j}} \right).
$$

contributes. There are two saddle-points with $\text{sgn}(\phi_{j+1,j})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ either all positive or negative, and otherwise specified by

$$
\begin{align*}
s_* = s_1^* = \cdots = s_{n-1}^* &= \frac{2\pi \gamma}{L}, \\
t_{n,n-1}^* = \cdots = t_{32}^* = t_{21} &= \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{j+1,j}^*}{\eta_*}} = (T_h)_{j+1,j} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n - 1.
\end{align*}
$$

The relations (67) express the linearity of the classical motion of the particle in flat space. After expanding the exponent (65) to quadratic order about its saddle-point and integrating over $t_{n,n-1}, \ldots, t_{32}, s, \text{and} s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1},$ we indeed obtain that the $n$-point probability distribution factorizes as

$$
\int Dx_n \cdots Dx_1 q_{T_{n,n-1}, \ldots, T_{21}}(x_n, \ldots, x_1) \approx \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \int d\xi_{j+1,j} f_{T_{j+1,j}}(\xi_{j+1,j}).
$$
We note the above Markov property depends crucially on the linearity of the geometry and motion of particle in flat space, and will fail to hold for the stochastic process of the particle in anti-de Sitter space, at finite times. However, a weaker condition of local Markovianity, applying locally in time and which will be quantified in the next section, will continue to hold in the classical limit even in the anti-de Sitter case [5].

4.3 Evolution of probability

Having examined the classical limit of the quantum stochastic process given by (46), (47), we now turn to the generator equation of the process, which characterizes the evolution of probability under the action of the quantum kernel (50), locally in time. We will again work with the flat limit of the particle propagator given in (55) which does not include corrections due to the curvature of $\text{AdS}_2$.

For an arbitrary quantum stochastic process with joint quantum distributions $q_{T_0,\ldots,T_1}(x_n,\ldots,x_1)$, we may write down an exact generator equation as we did for a classical stochastic process in (40). We again choose to consider the action of the quantum kernel $\kappa_{T_3,T_2,T_1}(x_2;x_1) = q_{T_3,T_2,T_1}(x_2,x_1)/q_{T_1}(x_1)$ on the space of functions dual to the space of probability measures. Given a function $F$, we have

$$\lim_{T_1 \to 0^+} \int Dx_2 F(x_2) \partial_{T_2} \left( \frac{q_{T_2,T_1}(x_2,x_1)}{q_{T_1}(x_1)} \right) = \lim_{T_2 \to 0^+} \lim_{T_3 \to 0^+} \frac{1}{T_3} \times$$

$$\left( \int Dx_3 Dx_2 \sum_{|k| = 0}^{\infty} \frac{F(x_2)}{k!} (x_3 - x_2)^k \right) \frac{q_{T_3,T_2,T_1}(x_3,x_2,x_1)}{q_{T_1}(x_1)} - \int Dx_2 F(x_2) \frac{q_{T_3,T_2,T_1}(x_2,x_1)}{q_{T_1}(x_1)}$$

(69)

where we have used the notation $|k| = \sum_i k_i$, $k! = \prod_i k_i!$, $x^k = \prod x_i^{k_i}$, and $F^{(k)}(x) = \partial^{k_i} F(x)/\partial x_i^{k_i}$. The equation expresses the marginalization of $q_{T_3,T_2,T_1}(x_3,x_2,x_1)$ over $x_2$. We may take the adjoint with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \Phi, F \rangle = \int Dx \Phi(x) F(x)$$

(70)

to obtain a generator equation on a probability measure $\Phi$. In JT gravity, we factor out of the probability measure the non-fluctuating measure already determined by the operator expression for the position observable in the quantum theory as explained below (43) (the “volume” measure of the rigid two-dimensional spacetime), absorb it into $Dx$, and refer to the remaining factor $\Phi$ as a probability distribution.

We now proceed to evaluate (69) for the asymptotic quantum stochastic process we have extracted from the quantum theory of the boundary of JT gravity, working in the holographic, semi-classical limit $\gamma \gg s \gg 1$ and expanding in large $\gamma$. We will find that in the first non-vanishing order which is at $\gamma^0$, we recover Einstein’s equations (2) with the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ set to zero, with the probability distribution $\Phi$ being the dilaton, or area of compactified space, in the gravitational theory!

---

26Alternatively, we could start from the generator equation on $\Phi$ given in (5). Without turning on sources in the quantum theory, we have a homogeneous (in time) stochastic process, so “forward” and “backward” generator equations are indistinguishable. In the presence of sources, it is possible they may be distinct.
Precisely, we first evaluate the integrals on each side of (69) for finite $T_{21} > 0$ and $T_{32}, T_{21} > 0$, where in the semi-classical limit the propagator (55) applies (and enters the joint quantum distributions $q_{T, T, T, T_1}, q_{T, T_1}$ as in (20), (21), (22), (27)) and we can also use the saddle-point method. We then continue the resulting expressions to $T_{21} \to 0^+$ and $T_{21}, T_{32} \to 0^+$. We need to go up to sub-subleading order in the saddle-point expansion, so it is convenient to use a closed formula for the saddle-point expansion of a multi-variable integral which we derive in Appendix E. For $\mathcal{N}$ variables $z_1, \ldots, z_N$,

$$
\int dz \left( \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} f_k(z - z_*)^k \right) \exp \left( - \left( p(z_*) + \sum_{M=1}^{\mathcal{N}} p_M (z_M - z_M^*)^2 + \sum_{|k|=3}^{\infty} p_k (z - z_*)^k \right) \right) = e^{-p_*} \times
$$

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{2(m+j)} \sum_{|i|=3}^{2(m+j)} (-1)^i \frac{j!}{i!} B_{ij}(p) \sum_{|k|=2(m+j)-|i|}^{\mathcal{N}} f_k \prod_{M=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + (-1)^{(k+i)_M} \right) p_M^{-(k+i)_M+1/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{(k+i)_M+1}{2} \right)
$$

(71)

where the star scripts indicate evaluation at the saddle point, and $B_{ij}(x), x : \mathbb{N}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ are multivariate Bell polynomials [22] which are coefficients in the expansion of powers of a multi-variate polynomial—for $S = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^N} x_i z_i^j, S^j = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^N} B_{ij}(x) z_i^j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The Bell polynomials can be evaluated using the recursion relation

$$
\hat{B}_{ij}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\delta_{i,0} & j = 0 \\
x_i & j = 1 \\
| i - J | \hat{B}_{i,j-1}(x) \hat{B}_{i-r,1}(x) & j \geq 2
\end{cases}
$$

(72)

where $J$ is an integer s.t. $x_i = 0$ for $|i| < J$. In our case with $x_i = p_i, J = 3$. Let us also note the formula (71) assumes that the matrix of second derivatives of the exponent $p$ is diagonal in the variables $z$.

We can thus evaluate (69) in the semi-classical limit to $O(\gamma^0)$ accuracy, via calculations similar to those outlined in (58), (59) and (64), (65). Some points of note in the calculation are i) saddle-points exist, and thus the integrals are supported, only in the relative regions $(x_2; x_1) \in$ region 3 (or 4), and $(x_3; x_2), (x_2; x_1) \in$ region 3 (or 4) (see Figure 7), ii) we should make the exponents which appear in the integrals analytic, by changing variables from $\xi_{j,i}$ to the scaled geodesic distance $l_{j,i} = \sqrt{x_{j,i}}$, iii) in applying (71) variables must be used which diagonalize the matrix of second derivatives of the exponent, iv) the infinite factor $q_{T_1}(x_1) = 2\text{vol}(H)$ must be factored out consistently across terms in (69) as $(\text{vol}(H))^{-1} = \delta(t_{21} - t)$, and v) in evaluating the first integral on the RHS of (69), we convert the Taylor expansion of $F$ in absolute coordinates to an expansion in relative coordinates $l_{32}, t_{32}$, where we use the flat geometry at short distances (56).

Collecting terms to one side, we obtain

$$
\sum_{(x;x') \in \text{regions 3,4}} \lim_{x \to x'} \frac{i\gamma}{8} l^{-2} \partial_{l,t}^2 F(l, t) = 0 \implies \text{adjoint} \sum_{(x;x') \in \text{regions 3,4}} \lim_{x \to x'} \frac{i\gamma}{8} l^{-2} \partial_{l,t}^2 \Phi(l, t) = 0
$$

(73)
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where \( l, t \) are relative coordinates of \((x; x')\) given by (25), (26), (54), and

\[
l = \sqrt{\xi}. \tag{74}
\]

In taking the adjoint in (73), we have used that the inner product (70) in relative coordinates is given by

\[
\langle \Phi, F \rangle = \frac{2}{\gamma} \int ldl dt \Phi(l, t) F(l, t). \tag{70}
\]

As a final step, let us write the equation (73) with respect to absolute coordinates \(X^\mu\) of \(x\). (The reference point \(x'\) remains fixed.) It is convenient to employ the null coordinates \(x = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\) defined in (25). Then in our limit of interest \(x \to x'\), we find

\[
\partial_l \to \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \cos \theta' (e^{-t} \partial_{\varphi_1} + e^t \partial_{\varphi_2}) \quad \text{(upper sign: region 3)}
\]

\[
\text{lower sign: region 4)}
\]

(75)

cancel between regions 3 and 4, and

\[
 l^{-2} (\partial^2_t - l \partial_t) \to \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial l} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial l} (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2) \tag{76}
\]

where the tensorial components \((\partial X^\mu / \partial l)(\partial X^\nu / \partial l)\) vary independently as we vary \(t\), for example

\[
\partial \varphi_1 / \partial l = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \cos \theta' e^{-t}, \quad \partial \varphi_2 / \partial l = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \cos \theta' e^t.
\]

The relative coordinate \(t\) corresponds to the “direction of inflow of probability” we are examining (see Figure 8), and was fixed to an arbitrary value by factoring out the volume of the symmetry group \(\text{vol}(H)\) in \(Q_{\text{geneq}}\). Thus we conclude that for the generator equation

\[
\sum_{(x; x') \in \text{regions } 3, 4} \lim_{x \to x'} \frac{i\gamma}{8} l^{-2} \partial^2_t \Phi(l, t) = \frac{i\gamma}{4} \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial l} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial l} (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2) \Phi(x') = 0, \tag{77}
\]

to hold independently of the arbitrary choice, its individual components must vanish. The latter are Einstein’s equations of JT gravity with zero cosmological constant, once we identify the constrained probability distribution \(\Phi\) of the quantum theory with the area of compactified space in the gravitational theory.

\[\text{In the following, we are able to retain Christoffel symbols in covariant derivatives corresponding to the curvature of \(\text{AdS}_2\), by taking the asymptotic limit } x \to x' \text{ after taking derivatives involved in rewriting } \partial^2_t, \]

\[
\partial^2_t = \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial t} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial t} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial t} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial t} \right) \partial_\nu.
\]
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Let us clarify and further discuss several aspects of our results so far. First, it is a non-trivial check of the robustness of our construction that we can reproduce the Christoffel symbols inside covariant derivatives in (77), when using the full relative coordinate $t$ in $\text{AdS}_2$ defined in (26). See footnote 27. However, to be consistent with our use of the asymptotic, flat propagator (55), we should only use the flat asymptotics of $l, t$ given in (56), i.e. take the limit $x \rightarrow x'$ before rewriting the derivative $\partial^2$ using absolute coordinates. Then what we recover in (77) are in fact Einstein’s equations in flat JT gravity.

Let us recap the logic of our deconstruction which has led us to identify Einstein’s equations in flat JT gravity as the leading semi-classical approximation to an exact quantum equation. We started out with a quantum stochastic process defined by EVPP’s (46), (47) governing the position observable in the quantum theory of the boundary of anti-de Sitter JT gravity. We then extracted the flat asymptotics of the quantum propagator of the observable, and therefore of the EVPP’s. This gave us a consistent set of joint quantum distributions defining a new quantum stochastic process, of which the generator equation gives Einstein’s equations in flat JT gravity, with a dilaton configuration of the latter being identifiable as probability distribution evolving under the quantum stochastic process.

Our framework of quantum stochastic processes is thus seen to give a natural answer to what has been a long-term puzzle: how the main implication of AdS/CFT, namely that gravity arises from quantum physics without gravity, can be extended to gravity in flat space where there is no time-like boundary where a “dual” quantum system can reside.\textsuperscript{28} Our answer is that gravity fundamentally has to do with quantum stochastic processes, where the latter can be induced by a quantum system—i.e. the joint quantum distributions computed using the Hilbert space, density matrix, Hamiltonian, and projectors of an actual quantum system as in (4)—but that a set of consistent joint quantum distributions, which in particular satisfy marginalization relations, can be specified without referring to any such quantum system.\textsuperscript{29} The marginalization property results

\textsuperscript{28}In the case of flat JT gravity, after setting the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ to zero in (1), the rigid two-dimensional space is flat and the boundary action involving curvature disappears.

\textsuperscript{29}Recall from below (44) that other consistency conditions we have imposed are that each distribution
in the generator equation of the process, which we are proposing is the quantum completion of Einstein’s equations.

Next, let us discuss how Markovianity manifests in the generator equation (69). We note cancellation between the two terms involving \( F(x_2) \) on the right-hand-side is a quantitative measure of a local Markovianity of the underlying quantum stochastic process, which applies locally in time\(^{30}\) and can be tested to each order in the semi-classical expansion. In Section 4.2.2, we verified that in the strict classical limit, that is at \( O(\gamma) \) on the RHS of (69), the flat propagator (55) and in flat space yields a Markov process even at finite times. In deriving (73), we are able to confirm that at minimum the weaker condition of local Markovianity persists to next order, at \( O(\gamma^0) \).\(^31\) However, in the presence of corrections to the propagator (55) in the full quantum stochastic process of anti-de Sitter JT gravity, local Markovianity will fail at this subleading order, leading to a non-derivative term proportional to \( \Phi \) in the generator equation (77). (As mentioned in the introduction, this will be shown in [5].) This is precisely the cosmological constant term in Einstein’s equations, which we see corresponds to a non-Markovian correction to the local evolution of probability in flat JT gravity.

Let us also briefly comment on the form of quantum corrections to Einstein’s equations in JT gravity, i.e. the generator equation (69) at higher orders in \( \gamma^{-1} \). At \( O(\gamma^0) \) at which we recovered Einstein’s equations, we see only up to second derivatives of \( \Phi \) (equivalently \( F \)). At higher orders, higher-order derivatives of \( \Phi \) will appear, as among other effects, powers of \( l_{32} \) (which translate to powers of \( T_{32} \)) are stripped away by the taking of derivatives in the saddle-point expansion in (71).

Finally, extrapolating our analysis encompassing JT gravity with and without a cosmological constant, we conjecture the following. General relativity arises in the semi-classical limit of the evolution of probability with respect to quantum stochastic processes, with the “volume” measure of “spacetime” being a probability measure in the target space of a quantum observable. In contrast to the simple limiting case of JT gravity we have studied above, in general, the probability measure will both evolve under and enter the quantum stochastic process governing the observable, and therefore satisfy a non-linear generator equation. The generator equation expresses the marginalization of joint quantum distributions, and provides a quantum completion of Einstein’s equations, which are none other than components of the generator equation in the leading non-vanishing order in the semi-classical limit.

\[^{30}\text{In other words the limit } T_{21} \to 0^+ \text{ is taken in the generator equation.}\]

\[^{31}\text{Precisely,}\]

\[
\lim_{T_{21} \to 0^+} \lim_{T_{32} \to 0^+} \frac{1}{T_{32}} \int \mathcal{D}x_3 \mathcal{D}x_2 F(x_2) \frac{q_{T_3,x_3,x_2,T_1}(x_3,x_2,x_1)}{q_{T_1}(x_1)} = \]

\[
\lim_{T_{32} \to 0^+} \frac{1}{(T_b)_{32}} \sum_{\text{regions } 3,4} \lim_{x \to x'} \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{i}{4 \sqrt{\eta}} \partial_l \right) F(l,t) + O(\gamma^{-1}) \quad (78)
\]

is cancelled by \( \lim_{T_{21} \to 0^+} \lim_{T_{32} \to 0^+} \frac{1}{T_{32}} \int \mathcal{D}x_2 F(x_2) \frac{q_{T_2,x_2,T_1}(x_2,x_1)}{q_{T_1}(x_1)} \) to \( O(\gamma^0) \).
5 Conclusions

Motivated by holographic duality and the Ryu-Takayanagi formula, and by the simple, controlled setting afforded by JT gravity and the quantum description of its boundary degrees of freedom, we have attempted to elucidate how quantum theory can give rise to gravity. We have proposed a framework based on defining quantum stochastic processes, which are analogous in appropriate ways to stochastic processes in classical probability theory, and discovered that it is broad enough to encompass both gravity with and without a cosmological constant.

In this framework, the volume measure of a spacetime is identified as a probability measure in the target space of a quantum observable, and is constrained with respect to the quantum stochastic process governing the observable, with the generator equation of the process providing a quantum completion of Einstein’s equations. As a by-product of our proposal, we are able to demystify or recast holographic duality as a mapping from a quantum probability space to a measure space, see Figure 9.

Below, we outline three lines of inquiry to be pursued in the future.

• Significance of local Markovianity in the classical limit

In the setting of JT gravity, we have discovered that the stochastic process of the theory occurring in flat space is characterized by Markovianity in the classical limit, and that a weaker condition of local Markovianity in the classical limit holds even for the stochastic process occurring in anti-de Sitter space. Thus we suspect that local Markovianity in the classical limit of a quantum stochastic process may be a condition corresponding to the local flatness defining a manifold ("spacetime"), when the manifold is made out of probability residing in the measurable space of the process.

• Probabilistic interpretation of action principle for gravity

In our deconstruction, we have reproduced Einstein’s equations from the generator equation of a quantum stochastic process. However, traditionally, general relativity has been formulated with an action, which one varies with respect to the metric to obtain Einstein’s equations. Thus it is natural ask to what the interpretation of this action principle is in our probabilistic framework. More concretely, we can ask about the interpretation of the curvature scalar, and the metric.

With this information, one may attempt—in a general theory of gravity—to either reconstruct the generator equation, or build an effective quantum theory order by order in the
semi-classical expansion.

Relatedly, one would like a probabilistic interpretation of the energy-momentum tensor, which may be explored by turning on sources in the Hamiltonian of the boundary theory of anti-de Sitter JT gravity, and tracing its effects to the generator equation.

- Derivation of the Ryu-Takayanagi formula

Previously, the Ryu-Takayanagi formula and its generalizations have been argued for (see e.g. [23]) by assuming the existence of a duality between a gravitational theory and a quantum system on its boundary. Given our direct identification (in anti-de Sitter gravity) of the volume measure as a probability measure satisfying a generator equation determined by, among other ingredients, the density matrix of a corresponding quantum system, (integration over a codimension-two surface produces a probability associated with spatial volume in the quantum theory) it should be possible to derive the Ryu-Takayangi formula from our construction.
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A Quantum probability space and observables

We summarize definitions of a quantum probability space and of a quantum observable which are formulated [20] in analogy to notions of a probability space and random variable in classical probability theory. See Section 3 for a summary of the latter.

A.1 Quantum probability space \((\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \rho)\)

- \(\mathcal{H}\): Hilbert space

An operator on \(\mathcal{H}\) is a linear map on \(\mathcal{H}\). The norm of an operator \(T\) is given by

\[
\|T\| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|Tu\|.
\]  

(79)

A sequence \(\{T_n\}\) is said to converge in operator norm if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_n - T\| = 0.
\]  

(80)

It is said to converge strongly if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_n u - Tu\| = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}
\]  

(81)
and we write $s.lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = T$. It is said to converge weakly if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle u, T_n v \rangle = \langle u, T v \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}
\] (82)
and we write $w.lim_{n \to \infty} T_n = T$.

- $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$: set of all projections on $\mathcal{H}$

An operator $T$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a projection iff
\[
T = T^* = T^2.
\] (83)

Note $T$ is a positive, self-adjoint, bounded operator.

A projection $E$ corresponds to an event. Let us denote range of $E$ by $R(E)$. Given a family of projections $E_\alpha$,
\[
\bigvee_{\alpha} E_\alpha : \text{Projection on the smallest closed subspace containing } \bigcup_{\alpha} R(E_\alpha)
\]
\[
\bigwedge_{\alpha} E_\alpha : \text{Projection on the smallest closed subspace containing } \bigcap_{\alpha} R(E_\alpha)
\] (84)
are operations analogous to taking the intersection and union of events as sets in classical probability. Simple limiting cases are

- A sequence of events $\{E_n\}$ have ranges which are mutually orthogonal, i.e. $E_i E_j = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bigvee_n E_n = E_1 + E_2 + \cdots$
- $\{E_n\}$ are mutually commuting $\quad \Rightarrow \quad \bigwedge_n E_n = s.lim_n E_1 E_2 \cdots E_n$

- $\rho$: a positive operator with unit trace (density matrix)

A probability distribution on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is a map
\[
\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) \to [0, 1]
\] (85)
s.t. for every sequence $\{P_n\}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ which have ranges which are mutually orthogonal,
\[
\mu \left( \bigvee_n P_n \right) = \mu \left( \sum_n P_n \right) = \sum \mu(P_n)
\] (86)
and $\mu(1) = 1$. A probability distribution on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 3$ is in one-to-one correspondence with a density matrix,
\[
\mu(P) = \text{tr}(\rho P) \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}).
\] (87)
A.2 Observables

- Given a measurable space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F})\), an \(\Omega\)-valued observable \(\xi\) is a projection-valued measure on \((\Omega, \mathcal{F})\), i.e. a mapping
\[
\xi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})
\]
satisfying \(\xi(\bigcup_j F_j) = \sum_j \xi(F_j)\) if \(F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset\) for \(i \neq j\), and \(\xi(\Omega) = 1\). Note whenever \(\Omega\) is a topological space, \(\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\Omega\) can be taken to be the Borel \(\sigma\)-algebra generated by the open subsets of its topology.

- A bounded normal operator \(T\) is in one-to-one correspondence with a bounded \(\mathbb{C}\)-valued observable \(\xi : \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})\) via
\[
T = \int_{\{z||z|\leq\|T\|\}} z \xi(dz)
\]
where
- \(T\) unitary \(\iff\) \(\xi\) is supported on \(\{z : |z| = 1\}\)
- \(T\) self-adjoint \(\iff\) \(\xi\) is supported on \([-\|T\|, \|T\|]\)
- \(T\) positive \(\iff\) \(\xi\) is supported on \([0, \|T\|]\).

A self-adjoint operator \(T\) (not necessarily bounded) is in one-to-one correspondence with a \(\mathbb{R}\)-valued observable \(\xi : \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})\) via
\[
T = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \xi(dx).
\]

- Canonical \(\Omega\)-valued observable

Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\) be a \(\sigma\)-finite measure space where \(\mathcal{F}\) is countably generated. Note on a \(\sigma\)-finite measure space the Hilbert space \(L^2(\mu)\) is the space of (\(\mu\)-equivalent classes of) complex-valued, absolutely square-summable functions on \(\Omega\) with inner product
\[
\langle f,g \rangle = \int f^*(x)g(x)\mu(dx), \quad f, g, \in L^2(\mu).
\]
The canonical \(\Omega\)-valued observable \(\xi^\mu : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})\) is given by
\[
(\xi^\mu(B)f)(w) = I_B(w)f(w), \quad f \in L^2(\mu)
\]
where \(I\) is the indicator function. For example, let \(\Omega\) be \(\text{AdS}_2\), with \(\mu(d^2x) = d^2x\sqrt{-g}\). Then
\[
(\xi^\mu(B)f)(y) = \int_B \delta(x-y)f(y) = \begin{cases} f(y) & \text{if } y \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin B \end{cases}.
\]
Writing \(f(x) = \langle x|f\rangle\), from (92) \(1 = \int \mu(d^2x) |x\rangle \langle x|\) and \(\int \mu(d^2x) |x\rangle \langle y| = |y\rangle \Rightarrow \langle x|y\rangle = \delta^2(x-y)/\sqrt{-g}\), so that the canonical variable \(\xi^\mu\) is given by
\[
\xi^\mu(d^2x) = \mu(d^2x) |x\rangle \langle x|.
\]
B Propagator of boundary particle in local limit

B.1 Asymptotic propagator at short distances

For \((x; x') \in \) regions 3, 4, the two-point function of the boundary particle in JT gravity is given in terms of \(\hat{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w)\) in (30). We will obtain an asymptotic form for \(\hat{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w)\) by taking the limit \(\gamma^2 \gg s^2 \gg 1, z \ll 1\) in the differential equation solved by the function.

The regularized hypergeometric function \(F(a, b, c; z)\) solves the differential equation

\[
\left( \partial_z^2 + \frac{(c - (a + b + 1)z)}{z(1-z)} \partial_z - \frac{ab}{z(1-z)} \right) F(z) = 0. \tag{96}
\]

To eliminate the first-order derivative term, we define the function

\[
h(z) = F(z)(1 - z)^{-\alpha} z^{-\beta}, \quad \alpha = \frac{c - (a + b + 1)}{2}, \quad \beta = -\frac{c}{2} \tag{97}
\]

which solves the equation

\[
h''(z) = \left( \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{(ab + ac)}{z(1-z)} - \frac{(\beta^2 + (c-1)\beta)}{z^2} \right) h(z). \tag{98}
\]

For the hypergeometric function appearing in

\[
\hat{A}_{\lambda,\nu,-\nu}(w) = (1 - z)^{-\nu} F(\lambda - \nu, 1 - \lambda - \nu, 1; z) \tag{99}
\]

with \(a = \lambda - \nu, b = 1 - \lambda - \nu, c = 1\) and \(\alpha = \nu - \frac{1}{2}, \beta = -\frac{1}{2}\), we have

\[
h''(z) = (\gamma^2 f(z) + g(z)) h(z),
\]

\[
f(z) = -\frac{1}{z(1-z)^2}, \quad g(z) = \frac{\lambda(1-\lambda)}{z(1-z)} - \frac{1}{4z^2(1-z)^2}. \tag{100}
\]

Next, we transform the differential equation s.t. the large parameter \(\gamma\) multiplies a simple pole plus a constant: setting \(H = \left(\frac{dz}{d\zeta}\right)^{-1/2}\),

\[
\frac{d^2H}{d\zeta^2} = (\gamma^2 f(z)\dot{z}^2 + \psi(\zeta)) H,
\]

\[
\psi(\zeta) = g(z)\dot{z}^2 + \dot{z}^{1/2} \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} \left( \dot{z}^{-1/2} \right) \tag{101}
\]

where \(\zeta\) is determined by \(f(z)\dot{z}^2 = -\left( \frac{1}{\zeta} + c \right)\) and \(c\) is a constant we can choose. Then

\[
z = \zeta + \frac{1}{3} (-2 + c) \zeta^2 + \frac{1}{45} (17 - c(20 + c)) \zeta^3 + O(\zeta^4) \tag{102}
\]

and

\[
\gamma^2 f(z)\dot{z}^2 + \psi(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{4\zeta^2} \left( \frac{\gamma^2 - \lambda(1-\lambda) + \frac{c+1}{6}}{\zeta} \right) - c \left( \gamma^2 - \lambda(1-\lambda) + \frac{1}{6} \right) - \lambda (1-\lambda) + \frac{9c^2}{20} + \frac{11}{60} + O(\zeta). \tag{103}
\]
We choose $c = 1$ so that the $O(\zeta^0)$ term in (103) does not depend on $\lambda$. (This ensures that the scaling of the argument of the two-point function does not depend on the energy of the particle.) We also assume $s^2 \gg 1$ and $\zeta \ll 1$, and choose to neglect $O(s^2\zeta)$ terms. This gives

$$\frac{d^2 H}{d\zeta^2} \approx \left( -\frac{1}{4\zeta^2} - \frac{(\gamma^2 - s^2)}{\zeta^2} - \gamma^2 \right) H. \quad (104)$$

The equation (104) has two independent solutions which are Whittaker functions,

$$M_{-i\eta,0}(i\xi), \quad W_{-i\eta,0}(i\xi) \quad (105)$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{\gamma^2 - s^2}{2\gamma}, \quad \xi = 2\gamma\zeta. \quad (106)$$

We can match their small-$z$ asymptotics with that of $\tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w)$:

$$W_{-i\eta,0}(i\xi) \sim \frac{(-i\xi)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta)} \left( \ln(i\xi) + \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta\right) - 2\psi(1) \right) + \cdots$$

$$M_{-i\eta,0}(i\xi) \sim (i\xi)^{1/2} + \cdots \quad (107)$$

while

$$\tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) \approx \ln z - 2\psi(1) + \frac{\psi(\lambda + \nu) + \psi(1 - \lambda + \nu) + \psi(\lambda - \nu) + \psi(1 - \lambda - \nu)}{2}. \quad (108)$$

Then noting $\frac{1}{2} (\psi(\lambda + \nu) + \psi(1 - \lambda + \nu) + \psi(\lambda - \nu) + \psi(1 - \lambda - \nu)) = \ln(2\gamma\eta) + O(\gamma^{-2})$, we have to leading order in small $z$,

$$\tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) \approx \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta)}{(-i\xi)^{1/2}} W_{-i\eta,0}(i\xi) + \frac{1}{(i\xi)^{1/2}} M_{-i\eta,0}(i\xi)(-i\pi) + O(\gamma^{-2}). \quad (109)$$

### B.2 Exponential form

To derive a further simplified exponential form of the propagator (109), we use integral representations for confluent hypergeometric functions related to Whittaker functions as

$$M_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z}z^{\frac{1}{4}+\mu}M\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu; z\right),$$

$$W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z}z^{\frac{1}{4}+\mu}U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu; z\right), \quad (110)$$

$$M(a, b, z) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b - a)} \int_0^1 dt e^{zt}t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-a-1} \quad \text{Re} \ b > \text{Re} \ a > 0,$$

$$U(a, b, z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it} dt e^{zt}t^{a-1}(1+t)^{b-a-1} \quad \text{Re} \ a > 0, -\pi < \phi < \pi. \quad (111)$$
Figure 10: Deformation and substitution of the contour of integration, for a) the integral (114), and b) the integral (118).

(See Ch. VI of [24], relative to which we have changed notation as $\Phi \to M$, $\Psi \to U$.) In (111), the functions $t, 1 - t$ in the integrand assume their principal values. In terms of the functions (110),

$$\tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) \approx -i\pi e^{-\frac{i}{2}\xi}M \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta, 1; i\xi\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta\right) e^{-\frac{i}{2}\xi}U \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta, 1; i\xi\right) + O(\gamma^{-2}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (112)

Note we have the relations

$$\text{ph} \left( e^{-\frac{i}{2}\xi}M \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta, 1; i\xi\right) \right) = 0,$$

$$2\text{Im} \left( -\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta\right) e^{-\frac{i}{2}\xi}U \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta, 1; i\xi\right) \right) = \frac{2\pi}{1 + e^{-2\pi\eta}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\xi}M \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta, 1; i\xi\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (113)

which imply (112) is real. We proceed to evaluate the integrals for $M$ and $U$ functions using the saddle-point method, valid due to $\gamma \gg 1, \eta \gg 1$.

- **$M$ integral**

  We have

  $$e^{-\frac{i}{2}\xi}M \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta, 1; i\xi\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\eta\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\eta\right)} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\cosh\pi\eta} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\xi + i\xi t} t^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\eta}(1 - t)^{-\frac{1}{2} - i\eta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (114)

  where the exponent is

  $$p_M(t) = i \left( \xi \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) - \eta (\ln t - \ln (1 - t)) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (115)

  and the saddle-points are at

  $$t_* = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\eta}{\xi}} \pm 1 \right) \equiv \pm t_\pm, \quad t_+ > 1, \ t_- > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (116)
After deforming the contour of the integral to pass by the saddle-points as shown in Fig. 10 (over the paths $1, 2, 3, 4$), then expressing the integral over pieces of the path in terms of that over substitute pieces ($1 \to 1', 4 \to 4'$), we obtain

$$e^{-\frac{i}{2} M (\frac{1}{2} + i \eta, 1; i \xi)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \xi \sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}}}} \times \left\{ e^{\left( -\frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}} \right) \eta ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}} - 1} \right)} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{24 \eta} \frac{12 \eta^2}{\xi^2} + \frac{12 \eta}{\xi} + 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right) \right) + c.c. \right\}. \quad (117)$$

We refer to Appendix E for the formula used to calculate the subleading term in the saddle-point expansion.

- **$U$ integral**

We have

$$-\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \eta \right) e^{-\frac{i}{2} \xi U} \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \eta, 1; i \xi \right) = \int_{\delta e^{i \phi}}^{0} dt \, \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2} \xi - i \xi t}}{e^{-p_U(t)} t^{-1/2} (1 + t)^{-1/2}} \omega(t) \frac{1}{\xi \sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}}} \left( 1 - \frac{i}{24 \eta} \frac{12 \eta^2}{\xi^2} + \frac{12 \eta}{\xi} + 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right) \right) + c.c. \quad (118)$$

where the exponent is

$$p_U(t) = i \left( \xi \left( \frac{1}{2} + t \right) - \eta (\ln t - \ln (1 + t)) \right) \quad (119)$$

and the saddle-points are at

$$t_* = \frac{1}{2} \left( -1 \mp \sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}} \right) \equiv \mp t_{\pm}. \quad (120)$$

After deforming the contour of the integral to pass by the saddle-points as shown in Fig. 10 (over the paths $2 \to 2', 3 \to 3', 4 \to 4'$), we obtain

$$-\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \eta \right) e^{-\frac{i}{2} \xi U} \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \eta, 1; i \xi \right) = \frac{2 \pi}{\xi \sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}}} \times \left\{ e^{\left( \frac{3 \pi}{4} - \frac{\xi}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}} - \eta ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4 \eta}{\xi}} - 1} \right) \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{24 \eta} \frac{12 \eta^2}{\xi^2} + \frac{12 \eta}{\xi} + 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right) \right) \right\}. \quad (121)$$
Using (117) and (121), we can write (112) as
\[
\tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) = \Re \left( \tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) \right) \approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\xi}} 	imes 
\left\{ e^{i\left(\frac{i\pi}{2} - \frac{\xi}{2}\sqrt{1 + \frac{4\eta}{\xi}}\right)} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{24\eta} \frac{12\eta^2 + 12\eta + 1}{(1 + \frac{4\eta}{\xi})^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right) \right) + \text{c.c.} \right\}.
\]

Only retaining lowest-order terms in small $\xi/\eta \approx 4z$,
\[
\tilde{C}_{\lambda,\nu}(w) \approx \sqrt{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\eta\xi)^{1/4}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{i}} e^{-2i\sqrt{\eta\xi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{16\sqrt{\eta\xi}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right) \right) + \text{c.c.} \right) \right\}.
\]

\[\text{C Classical trajectories of boundary particle}\]

Here we solve for classical trajectories of the boundary particle of JT gravity. In particular, we calculate the invariant distance $z(T_b)$ that the particle travels in bare proper time $T_b$, defined by
\[
z(x; x') = \frac{\varphi_{13} \varphi_{24}}{\varphi_{12} \varphi_{34}}
\]
where we are using coordinates and notation given in (23), (24), and (25).

We use the ambient space for AdS
\[
ds^2 = -(dX^0)^2 + (dX^1)^2 - d(X^2)^2
\]
where
\[
X^0 = \frac{\cos \phi}{\cos \theta}, \quad X^1 = \tan \theta, \quad X^2 = \frac{\sin \phi}{\cos \theta}.
\]

Vectors in the ambient space and on AdS are related by
\[
V^A = e^A_\mu v^\mu, \quad v_\mu = e^A_\mu V_A, \quad A = 0, 1, 2, \quad \mu = \phi, \theta,
\]
where
\[
e^0_\phi = -\frac{\sin \phi}{\cos \theta} = -X^2, \quad e^0_\theta = \frac{\sin \theta \cos \phi}{\cos^2 \theta} = X^0 X^1
\]
\[
e^1_\phi = 0, \quad e^1_\theta = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} = (X^0)^2 + (X^2)^2
\]
\[
e^2_\phi = \frac{\cos \phi}{\cos \theta} = X^0, \quad e^2_\theta = \frac{\sin \theta \sin \phi}{\cos^2 \theta} = X^1 X^2.
\]
The equations of motion of the boundary particle resulting from the JT action in (1) were obtained in ambient coordinates in Appendix B of [3]. For a time-like trajectory,

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{X}^A - K N^A &= -\mathcal{X}^A \\
N^A - K \mathcal{X}^A &= Q^A = \text{const}
\end{align*}
\]  

(130)

where \(\dot{X}^A = e^{A}_\mu \dot{X}^\mu\), \(N^A = e^A_\mu N^\mu\) are the lifts of unit tangent and normal vectors to the trajectory, and \(K\) is its constant curvature. Inserting the second equation into the first, we obtain

\[
\ddot{X}^A - \left( K^2 - 1 \right) X^A - K Q^A = 0.
\]  

(131)

The general solution is

\[
\mathcal{X}^A(T_b) = -\frac{K Q^A}{K^2 - 1} + c_1^A e^{\sqrt{K^2 - 1}T_b} + c_2^A e^{-\sqrt{K^2 - 1}T_b}
\]  

(132)

where in terms of initial conditions \(\mathcal{X}^A(0), \dot{\mathcal{X}}^A(0)\),

\[
\begin{align*}
c_1^A &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{X}^A(0) + \frac{\dot{\mathcal{X}}^A(0)}{\sqrt{K^2 - 1}} + \frac{K Q^A}{K^2 - 1} \right), \\
c_2^A &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{X}^A(0) - \frac{\dot{\mathcal{X}}^A(0)}{\sqrt{K^2 - 1}} + \frac{K Q^A}{K^2 - 1} \right).
\end{align*}
\]  

(133)

Let us fix initial conditions as follows.

\[
X^\mu(0) = (0, 0), \quad \dot{X}^\mu(0) = (1, 0), \quad N^\mu(0) = (0, 1).
\]  

(134)

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}^A(0) &= (1, 0, 0), \quad \dot{\mathcal{X}}^A(0) = e^A_\mu \dot{X}^\mu(0) = (0, 0, 1), \quad N^A(0) = e^A_\mu N^\mu(0) = (0, 1, 0), \\
Q^A &= N^A - K \mathcal{X}^A = (-K, 1, 0).
\end{align*}
\]  

(135)

Substituting (135) into (133),

\[
\begin{align*}
c_1^0 &= c_2^0 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{K^2 - 1}, \quad c_1^1 = c_2^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{K}{K^2 - 1}, \quad c_1^2 = -c_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K^2 - 1}}
\end{align*}
\]  

(136)
\[ \mathcal{X}^0 = \frac{1}{K^2 - 1} \left( K^2 - \cosh \left( \sqrt{K^2 - 1} T_b \right) \right), \]
\[ \mathcal{X}^1 = \frac{K}{K^2 - 1} \left( -1 + \cosh \left( \sqrt{K^2 - 1} T_b \right) \right), \]
\[ \mathcal{X}^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K^2 - 1}} \sinh \left( \sqrt{K^2 - 1} T_b \right). \] (137)

Using (134), (127), (137) in (125),
\[ z = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\cos \phi}{\cos \theta} \right) = \frac{\sinh^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{K^2 - 1}}{2} T_b \right)}{K^2 - 1}. \] (138)

Using the relation between inverse temperature and curvature obtained in [4], \( L = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{K^2 - 1}} \), we have
\[ z(T_b) = \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sinh^2 \left( \frac{\pi T_b}{L} \right). \] (139)

D Proof of Markov property in flat space

Here we show that the Markov property (52) holds for the dynamics of the position observable (boundary) in flat space, using the asymptotic propagator (55), resulting two-point probability distribution (62), and the geometry of flat space (63). Recall when using (55) we also remove the cutoff that is inverse temperature as (61) in the final stage of a calculation.

The saddle-point equations for the integral of the \( n \)-point EVPP given in (64) are
\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial s} = (\beta + iT_{n,1}) s - 2\pi - i \frac{s}{\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{n,1}}{\eta}}, \] (140)
\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial s_j} = -is_j \left( T_{j+1,j} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{j+1,j}}{\eta_j}} \right) \quad \text{for } i \leq j \leq n - 1, \] (141)
\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_{j+1,j}} = -i \sqrt{\frac{\eta_j}{\xi_{j+1,j}}} + i \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\xi_{n,1}}} \frac{\partial \xi_{n,1}}{\partial \xi_{j+1,j}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n - 1, \] (142)
\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} = i \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\xi_{n,1}}} \frac{\partial \xi_{n,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq n - 1. \] (143)

The saddle-point is determined as follows. Equations (140), (141) imply
\[ \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{n,1}}{\eta}} = (T_b)_{n,1} - i \left( L - \frac{2\pi\gamma}{s_*} \right), \quad \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{j+1,j}}{\eta_j}} = (T_b)_{j+1,j}. \] (144)

Meanwhile, (63) generalize to
\[ \xi_{k+1,1} = \xi_{k+1,k} + \xi_{k,1} + 2\sqrt{\xi_{k+1,k}\xi_{k,1}} \cosh(t_{k+1,k} - t_{k,1}), \] (145)
\[ t_{k+1,1} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{k+1,k}e^{t_{k+1,k}} + \xi_{k,1}e^{t_{k,1}}}}{\sqrt{\xi_{k+1,k}e^{-t_{k+1,k}} + \xi_{k,1}e^{-t_{k,1}}}} \right) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n - 1. \] (146)
We note
\[ \frac{\partial \xi_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{k+1,k}} = -\frac{\partial \xi_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{k,1}} = 2\sqrt{\xi_{k+1,k}} \xi_{k,1} \sinh (t_{k+1,k} - t_{k,1}) \]  
and for \( 1 \leq j \leq k - 1 \),
\[
\frac{\partial \xi_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} = \frac{\partial \xi_{k+1,1}}{\partial \xi_{k,1}} \frac{\partial \xi_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} + \frac{\partial \xi_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{k,1}} \frac{\partial t_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{k+1,1}}{\xi_{k,1}}} \cosh (t_{k+1,k} - t_{k,1}) \frac{\partial \xi_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} - 2\sqrt{\xi_{k+1,k}} \xi_{k,1} \sinh (t_{k+1,k} - t_{k,1}) \frac{\partial t_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}}.
\]  

In particular,
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \xi_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_{t_{k+1,k}=t_{k,1}=t_{j+2,j+1}=t_{j+1,1}} = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{k+1,1}}{\xi_{j+1,j}}} \xi_{j+1,j} \sinh (t_{j+1,j} - t_{j,1})
\]  
for \( 1 \leq j \leq k \) by induction on \( n = k - j \geq 0 \). Then it follows from (143) and (149), and (145), (146) that
\[
t_{j+1,j}^* = t_{j,1}^* = t_{21} \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq j \leq n - 1, \quad \sqrt{\xi_{j+1,j}^*} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{\xi_{j+1,j}^*}.
\]  

These relations express the linearity of the motion of the particle in flat space, and can be used to easily evaluate derivatives at the saddle point at fixed \( \{t_{j+1,j}\} \). For example,
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \xi_{n,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{j+1,j}^*}{\xi_{j+1,j}}}
\]  
so that (142) implies
\[
\eta^* = \eta_1^* = \cdots = \eta_{n-1}^*.
\]  
Collecting (144), (150), and (152), the saddle-point is given by
\[
s_* = s_1^* = \cdots = s_{n-1}^* = \frac{2\pi}{\beta},
\]
\[
t_{j+1,j}^* = t_{21} \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq j \leq n - 1, \quad \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{j+1,j}^*}{\eta^*}} = (T_b)_{j+1,j} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n - 1.
\]  

Let us now consider the expansion of the exponent to quadratic order about the saddle point. From (65) and (153), \( p_* = -\frac{2\pi^2}{\beta} \). From (140)-(143) and (148), (150), we find the non-vanishing second derivatives are
\[
a = \left. \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial s^2} \right|_* = \frac{2\pi}{s_*} - i \left( \frac{s_*}{\gamma} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\eta_*} \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{n,1}^*}{\eta^*}},
\]
\[
a_j = \left. \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial s_j^2} \right|_* = i \left( \frac{s_*}{\gamma} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\eta_*} \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{j+1,j}^*}{\eta^*}},
\]
\[
b_j = \left. \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial s_j \partial \xi_{j+1,j}^*} \right|_* = -i \left( \frac{s_*}{\gamma} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\eta_*} \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{j+1,j}^*}{\eta^*}},
\]
for \( 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \).
and

\[
 c_{jk} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t_{j+1,j} \partial t_{k+1,k}} \right|_* = i \sqrt{\frac{\eta^*}{\xi^*_{n,1}}} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{n,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j} \partial t_{k+1,k}} \right|_*
\]

\[
= 2i \sqrt{\frac{\eta^*}{\xi^*_{n,1}}} \left\{ \sqrt{\xi^*_{j+1,j}} \left( \sqrt{\xi^*_{n,1}} - \sqrt{\xi^*_{j+1,j}} \right) \right. j = k
\]

\[
\left. - \sqrt{\xi^*_{j+1,j}} \xi^*_{k+1,k} \right|_{j \neq k}
\]

for \(2 \leq j, k \leq n-1\).

The calculation of (155) proceeds as follows. Let us show in sequence

\[
\left. \frac{\partial t_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{j+1,j}}{\xi^*_{k+1,1}}} \quad \text{for} \ j \leq k,
\]  
\[
\left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{j+1,j}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}^2} \right|_* = 2 \sqrt{\xi^*_{j+1,j}} \left( \sqrt{\xi^*_{k+1,1}} - \sqrt{\xi^*_{j+1,j}} \right) \quad \text{for} \ j \leq k,
\]  
\[
\left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j} \partial t_{k+1,k}} \right|_* = -2 \sqrt{\xi^*_{j+1,j} \xi^*_{k+1,k}} \quad \text{for} \ j, k \leq l, j \neq k
\]

by use of induction on \(n = k - j\) or \(n = l - k\), respectively.

- (156): The case \(n = 0\) holds by taking the derivative of (146) with \(k = 2\), with respect to \(t_{32}\). Suppose (156) holds for some \(n \geq 0\). Then for \(j = k - n - 1\),

\[
\left. \frac{\partial t_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = \frac{\partial t_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{k,1}} \left. \frac{\partial t_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* + \frac{\partial t_{k+1,1}}{\partial t_{k,1}} \left. \frac{\partial \xi_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{k+1,1}}{\xi^*_{k,1}}} \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{j+1,j}}{\xi^*_{k,1}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{j+1,j}}{\xi^*_{k+1,1}}}.
\]  

- (157): The case \(n = 0\) holds by (147). Suppose (157) holds for some \(n \geq 0\). Then for \(j = k - n - 1\), we have from (148), (149), and (150),

\[
\left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{k+1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}^2} \right|_* = \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{k+1,k}}{\xi^*_{k,1}}} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}^2} \right|_* + 2 \sqrt{\xi^*_{k+1,k}} \xi^*_{k,1} \left( \left. \frac{\partial t_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* \right)^2 = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{j+1,j}}{\xi^*_{k+1,1}}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{k+1,1}}{\xi^*_{j+1,j}}} - \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{j+1,j}}{\xi^*_{j+1,j}}} \right).
\]

- (158): Without loss of generality, let \(j < k\). Consider \(n = l - k = 0\). From (147),

\[
\left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{l+1,1}}{\partial t_{k+1,k} \partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = -2 \sqrt{\xi^*_{k+1,k}} \xi^*_{k,1} \left. \frac{\partial t_{k,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = -2 \sqrt{\xi^*_{k+1,k}} \xi^*_{j+1,j}.
\]

Now suppose (158) holds for some \(n \geq 0\). Then for \(k = l - n - 1, j < k\), we have from (148)

\[
\left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{l+1,1}}{\partial t_{k+1,k} \partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = \sqrt{\frac{\xi^*_{l+1,1}}{\xi^*_{l,1}}} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{l,1}}{\partial t_{k+1,k} \partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* + 2 \sqrt{\xi^*_{l+1,l}} \xi^*_{l,1} \left. \frac{\partial t_{l,1}}{\partial t_{k+1,k}} \right|_* \left. \frac{\partial t_{l,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j}} \right|_* = -2 \sqrt{\xi^*_{k+1,k}} \xi^*_{j+1,j}.
\]
From (157) and (158), we have
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \xi_{n,1}}{\partial t_{j+1,j} \partial t_{k+1,k}} \bigg|_* = \begin{cases} 
2\sqrt{\xi_{j+1,j}^*} \left( \sqrt{\xi_{n,1}^*} - \sqrt{\xi_{j+1,j}^*} \right) & j = k \\
-2\sqrt{\xi_{j+1,j}^*} \xi_{k+1,k}^* & j \neq k 
\end{cases}
\]
and thus (155).

Denoting \( x = s - s_\ast, \ x_j = s_j - s_j^\ast, \ y_j = \xi_{j+1,j} - \xi_{j+1,j}^\ast, \) and \( z_j = t_{j+1,j} - t_{j+1,j}^\ast, \) we have found the exponent (65) has the expansion
\[
p - p_\ast \approx \frac{1}{2a} \left( x + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_j y_j}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \left( x_j - \frac{b_j y_j}{a_j} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} -b_j^2 \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a_j} \right) y_j^2 \\
- \frac{1}{a} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n-1} b_j b_k y_j y_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=2}^{n-1} \left( z_j c_{jk} z_k \right).
\]
Taking the limit (61), in the leading saddle-point approximation we can neglect the cross terms in second derivatives of \( y_j \) with coefficients \(-\frac{b_j b_k}{a} \sim O\left( \frac{s_\ast^3}{\gamma} \right)\). We also note
\[
\det(c_{jk}) = (2i\sqrt{\eta^*})^{n-2} \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_{j+1,j}^*/\xi_{n,1}^*}.
\]
Then the leading saddle-point evaluation of (64) leads to the Markov property (68) with two-point probability distributions given by (62).

### E Closed formulae for the saddle-point method

#### E.1 Single-variable case

Campbell, Fröman, and Walles [25] gave a closed formula for the coefficients in the saddle-point expansion of an integral in a single variable. This is given as follows:

We consider an integral of the form
\[
I = \int_C dz \ f(z) e^{-p(z)}
\]
where \( p(z) \) is holomorphic and proportional to a large parameter, and there is a saddle point at \( z = z_\ast, \ p'(z_\ast) = 0. \) If the exponent function has first non-vanishing derivative
\[
p(z) = p(z_\ast) + \frac{1}{\mu!} p^{(\mu)}(z_\ast)(z - z_\ast)^\mu + \cdots
\]
and the integration contour \( C \) approaches and leaves the saddle-point at steepest-descent angles
\[
\theta_l = -\arg\left( p^{(\mu)}_\ast / \mu \right) + 2\pi l \quad (l \in \mathbb{Z})
\]
with $l = k_1$ and $l = k_2$, respectively,

$$I = e^{-p_0} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \Gamma \left( \frac{s+1}{\mu} \right) \alpha_s \left( e^{2\pi ik_2(s+1)/\mu} - e^{2\pi ik_1(s+1)/\mu} \right) + O \left( p^{-(s+1)/\mu} \right) \right\},$$

$$\alpha_s = \frac{1}{\mu s!} \frac{d^s}{dz^s} \left\{ f(z) \left( \frac{p(z) - p(z_*)}{(z - z_*)^\mu} \right)^{-(s+1)/\mu} \right\} \bigg|_{z = z_*}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (169)

Using the partial ordinary Bell polynomials

$$(p_1 x + p_2 x^2 + p_3 x^3 + \cdots)^j = \sum_{i=j}^\infty \hat{B}_{i,j}(p_1, p_2, \ldots) x^i$$ \hspace{1cm} (170)

and expansion of functions

$$\frac{p(z) - p(z_*)}{(z - z_*)^\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k(z - z_*)^k, \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (z - z_*)^k,$$ \hspace{1cm} (171)

one has

$$\alpha_s = \frac{1}{\mu^s p_0^{-(s+1)/\mu}} \sum_{i=0}^s f_{s-i} \sum_{j=0}^i p_0^{-j} \left( -\frac{(s+1)/\mu}{j} \right) \hat{B}_{i,j}(p_1, \ldots, p_{i-j+1}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (172)

Specializing to the case of $\mu = 2$ ($k_1 = -1, k_2 = 0$),

$$I = e^{-p(z_*)} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \Gamma \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \right) p_0^{-\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \sum_{i=0}^{2m} f_{2m-i} \sum_{j=0}^i p_0^{-j} \left( -\frac{m + \frac{1}{2}}{j} \right) \hat{B}_{i,j}(p_1, \ldots, p_{i-j+1}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (173)

### E.2 Multi-variable case

Here we derive a closed formula for the coefficients of the saddle-point expansion of a multi-variable integral. To our knowledge, this result has not previously appeared in the literature.

Let $N$ be the number of variables. We use the notation that for $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^N$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N$,

$$\mathbf{i}! = \prod_{M=1}^N i_M!, \quad |\mathbf{i}| = \sum_{M=1}^N i_M, \quad \mathbf{z}^\mathbf{i} = \prod_{M=1}^N z_M^{i_M}$$ \hspace{1cm} (174)

and $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}$ are the vectors of 0’s and 1’s, respectively, in $\mathbb{N}^N$. We will also use multivariate Bell polynomials $\hat{B}_{ij}(x)$, $x : \mathbb{N}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ [22] whose definition we gave above (72).

We seek to evaluate

$$I = \int d\mathbf{z} f(\mathbf{z}) e^{-p(\mathbf{z})},$$ \hspace{1cm} (175)

the multivariate generalization of (166). Let us assume that the matrix of second derivatives $\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial z_L \partial z_M}$ at the saddle point is non-degenerate and diagonalizable. (It is clear our formula below
can be generalized to analogous cases where the first non-vanishing derivatives appear at order \( \mu \geq 3 \). Then let us expand

\[
p(z) - p(z_\ast) = \sum_{M=1}^{\mathcal{N}} p_M(z_M - z^*_M)^2 + \sum_{|k|=3}^{\infty} p_k(z - z_\ast)^k. \tag{176}
\]

The main idea of our derivation is to expand the exponential

\[
\exp\left(-\sum_{|k|=3}^{\infty} p_k(z - z_\ast)^k\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \left(\sum_{|k|=3}^{\infty} p_k(z - z_\ast)^k\right)^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \sum_{|i|=3j}^{\infty} \hat{B}_{ij}(p)(z - z_\ast)^i. \tag{177}
\]

When the integral (175) is performed, higher-order terms in the series are further suppressed in large \( p \) because they carry sufficiently more powers of \( z_M - z^*_M \).

Also expanding

\[
f(z) = \sum_{|k|=0}^{\infty} f_k(z - z_\ast)^k \tag{178}
\]

and using

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz e^{-p(z-z_\ast)^2}(z-z_\ast)^n = \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^n) p^{-(n+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \quad \text{for } \text{Re} \ p > 0, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{179}
\]

then collecting terms with fixed powers of \( p \) in (175),

\[
p^{-(m+\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2})}, \ m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{with} \quad |k| + |i| = 2(j + m), \tag{180}
\]

we arrive at the final formula

\[
I = e^{-p_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{2m} \sum_{|i|=3j}^{2(m+j)} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \hat{B}_{ij}(p) \times \sum_{|k|=2(m+j)-|i|}^{\infty} f_k \prod_{M=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^{(k+i)_M}) p^{-(k+i)_M+1}/2 \Gamma\left(\frac{(k+i)_M+1}{2}\right). \tag{181}
\]

In the case \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \), we can verify our formula reproduces that of Campbell, Fröman, and Walles, (173). Writing \( i = i' + 2j \) and noting \( \hat{B}_{i'+2j,j}(0,0,p_1,p_2,\ldots) = \hat{B}_{i',j}(p_1,p_2,\ldots) \),

\[
I = e^{-p_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{2m} \sum_{i'=0}^{i'} f_{2m-i'} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \hat{B}_{i',j}(p_1,p_2,\ldots) p^{-(m+\frac{1}{2})-j} \Gamma\left(m + \frac{1}{2} + j\right) \tag{182}
\]

\[
= e^{-p_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{-(m+\frac{1}{2})} \Gamma\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{i'=0}^{i'} f_{2m-i'} \sum_{j=0}^{2m-i'} \sum_{j=0}^{p_0} \hat{B}_{i',j}(p_1,\ldots,p_{i'+j+1}).
\]
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