On the derivation of guiding center dynamics without coordinate dependence
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The application of conventional guiding-center theory is obstructed by the globally existence problem of coordinates. A general coordinate independent geometric representation of the guiding center theory is developed, which clearly defined the procedure for the gyro-averaging and the Lie perturbation without special coordinates introduced. The unperturbed Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein one-form is calculated to the second order without coordinates dependent. To solve the obsession caused by the conventional guiding-center coordinates, a the Cartesian coordinates implementation of guiding-center dynamics is presented.
I. INTRODUCTION

Guiding-center theory is an important tool for understanding magnetized plasma. In a strong magnetic field, the motion of charged particle can be separated into two temporal-spatial scales, a short scale gyration around guiding center, and a long scale drift motion of the guiding center. The kinetics of guiding centers, gyrokinetics describes the collective behavior of magnetized plasmas. The accuracy of guiding center dynamics determine the accuracy of gyrokinetics. To described the global or multi-scale behavior of the magnetized plasma, such as the evolution of plasmas in tokamaks, the global validity and the long term accuracy of guiding-center dynamics must be guaranteed. However, the conventional guiding center theory cannot complete fulfill these requirements.

The conventional guiding center coordinates \((X, u, \mu, \theta)\) is point-wisely defined at the guiding center with the help of a local, orthogonal frame \(\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \hat{b}\). Here, \(\hat{b} \equiv B/B\) is a unit vector along the magnetic field, and the gyrophase \(\theta\) is defined on the \((\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2)\) plane. In certain cases, two perpendicular axes \(\hat{e}_1\) and \(\hat{e}_2\) cannot be defined globally, and the global existence of gyrophase is questionable. The inhomogeneity of magnetic field also introduces the inhomogeneity of coordinates axes. However, the inhomogeneity of coordinates axes does not affect the validity of the zero order guiding center dynamics, because the zero order dynamics only depends on the define of the magnetic field \(\hat{b}\), and the gyrophase \(\theta\) is eliminated by gyro-averaging. However, the axes \(\hat{e}_1\) and \(\hat{e}_2\) are explicitly contained in formulas of the first and higher order guiding-center dynamics. The global validity of higher order guiding center dynamics cannot always be guaranteed. For a local problem, the inhomogeneity of coordinates may introduce an extra geometric phase, so-called the Berry phase, into the gyrophase. The gyrophase is not ignorable in high frequency gyrokinetic, such as g-gauge kinetics.

The long term accuracy of guiding center dynamics is determined by its order of approximation. The trajectory of guiding center is an averaged trajectory, which is truncate at certain order \(O(\varepsilon^r)\), where \(\varepsilon\) is the ratio of the gyro-radius to the scale length, \(\varepsilon \sim \rho/L \ll 1\). In guiding center dynamics, this small parameter \(\varepsilon\) represents the inhomogeneity of the background fields. The averaged trajectory can correctly describe the evolution over a large interval of time \((0 < t < 1/\varepsilon^r)\), which is large enough for the most regular perturbation problems. But, the time scale of the physics of magnetized plasma covers several orders
of magnitude. If we intend to use gyrokinetic simulation to study the long term global evolution of the magnetized fusion plasmas, the theoretical accuracy of the guiding center dynamics must be guaranteed. Especially, in a complex magnetic field with an orderatly small $\varepsilon$, the guiding center motion equation need to be calculated to higher order. The modern guiding center dynamics is derived from the Hamiltonian or Lagrangian of charged particles. Currently, most theories are only calculated to second or first order accuracy. One of the possible reasons for this situation is that the derivation of higher-order guide center dynamics is complex. The ordering and reduction of Lagrangian theories is difficult. Some mathematical tricks are inevitable in the derivation process.\textsuperscript{5,7–9,13}

In this paper, a general geometric procedure is developed to carry out the derivation of the guiding center dynamics. The ordering and reduction of the Lagrangian is proceed in a coordinates independent way, and the level of algebraic manipulation minimized. In magnetized plasmas, particles with the same gyrocenter, gyro-radius and parallel velocity have similar phase space trajectories. These particles constitute a ring called \textit{Kruskal Ring}.\textsuperscript{13} The Kruskal ring does not vanish but are only slightly deformed, as long as particles are not resonant with fields. Ignoring the short scale deformation of rings, the kinetics of particles is approximately represented as kinetics of Kruskal rings, which has five dimensional phase space. In other words, the gyro-kinetics is the kinetics of Kruskal rings. The dynamics of Kruskal ring is represented by the phase space trajectory of its center. The coordinate of Kruskal ring’s center is the average coordinates of ringmates. The Kruskal ring’s center is the guiding center.\textsuperscript{12} The gyro-average is an average of ringmates. The phase space trajectory of charge particles can be analytically or numerically solved from a Lagrangian one-form, so-called the Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein one-form.\textsuperscript{13,14,17}

The dynamics of guiding center is obtained from the gyro-averaged Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein one-form, which is calculated along the Kruskal ring and independent of the gyro-phase coordinate. First, the Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein one-form of ringmate is pull-backed to the guiding center. The pull-back transformation is expressed as an exponent of Lie derivative, and expanded at the gyrocenter in power of small parameter $\varepsilon$. Because, after the pull-back transformation, only gyro-radius is gyrophase dependent in the formula, the gyro-average is calculated by rotating the gyro-radius from 0 to $2\pi$ degree. Second, the Lie perturbation method is applied to decouple high order gyrophase dependent perturbations. The geometric representation of the unperturbed one-form is calculated to the second order.
for the first time. The geometric representation may be implemented into different coordinates system. The global Cartesian coordinates is introduced to avoid the obsession caused by conventional local guiding-center coordinates. With the benefits of this geometrical representation, this procedure is clearer and simpler than the conventional approach. The high order guiding-center dynamics is obtained in a relatively easy manner.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, the procedure of Lie perturbation and gyro-averaging is reconsidered from the viewpoints of Kruskal ring. In Sec. III, the Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein $\gamma$ is specialized to a charged particle in the electromagnetic field, and a general geometric representation of the guiding-center dynamics is obtained. In Sec. IV, the coordinates systems of the geometric representation of $\Gamma$ is specialized to local gyrocenter coordinates and global Cartesian coordinates. Finally, the conclusion is made in Sec V.

II. LIE PERTURBATION OF THE KRUSKAL RING

In this section, the Lie perturbation and averaging methods for Kruskal rings are described. In a slowly varying magnetic field, the trajectory of a charged particle is a helical line. The temporal periodicity of the charged particle motion introduces symmetry in the trajectory in phase space. Particles with the same gyroscopic center, gyroscopic radius and parallel velocity have symmetric phase space trajectories. These particles form a ring called Kruskal’s ring. The particles in the same Kruskal ring are called ring-mates. A Kruskal ring is a circle in the spatial space and its center is called guiding-center. Ring-mates are uniformly distributed on the Kruskal ring. The coordinates of the guiding-center is obtained from the average coordinates of the ring-mates. As long as the particles do not resonate with the field, the Kruskal ring will not break. The dynamics of the Kruskal ring can be represented by the phase space trajectory of its center.

In Fig. II, the dark helical line $\lambda$ represents the trajectory of the particle at $z$, the gray helical line $\lambda'$ represents the trajectory of its ringmate $z'$. The ringmates may be obtained from one particle through an one-parameter transformation,

$$\Phi_\xi : (z) \mapsto (z') ,$$  

which is called as gyro-transformation. The parameter ($\xi$ is not the same as the conventional gyrophase) $\xi$ only represents the position of ring-mate on the Kruskal ring. Because the
Kruskal ring is a closed curve, the transformation $\Phi_\xi$ is periodic with $\xi_{\text{max}}$,

$$
\Phi_0 (z) = \Phi_{\xi_{\text{max}}} (z),
$$

The Kruskal ring is defined by varying $\xi$ from 0 to $\xi_{\text{max}}$. For a quantity $\alpha$, the average of the ringmates is defined as

$$
\langle \alpha \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\xi_{\text{max}}} \int_{0}^{\xi_{\text{max}}} \Phi_\xi^* (\alpha) \, d\xi,
$$

where $\Phi_\xi^*$ is the pullback of gyro-transformation, and the angle brackets $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represents the gyro-average.

In the electromagnetic field, the trajectory of a charged particle is obtained from an action integral $\mathcal{A} \equiv \int_\lambda \gamma$, which is defined as a line integral of Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein $\gamma$ along the phase-space trajectory $\lambda$. The action integral of ring-mate may be written as

$$
\mathcal{A} = \int_{\lambda^*} \gamma = \int_{\Phi_\xi (\lambda)} \gamma = \int_\lambda \Phi_\xi^* (\gamma) = \int_\lambda \gamma,
$$

which means the Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein $\gamma$ should be invariant under the transformation $\Phi_\xi^* (\gamma) = \gamma$.

However, the perturbation will introduce deformation on the Kruskal ring and break the gyro-symmetry, which need be decoupled from the trajectory of particle. The object without perturbation is called as unperturbed object, and is represented by the superscript
bar, such as unperturbed trajectory $\bar{\lambda}$. To obtain a gyro-symmetry unperturbed trajectory, the unperturbed one-form $\Gamma$ is constructed from the gyro-average $\Gamma \equiv \langle \gamma \rangle$.

To compare the objects on different ring-mates, they must be transformed to the same position. A reasonable choice is to calculate the gyro-average at the guiding center, which is the mean spatial position of ring-mates with guiding-center $X \equiv \langle x \rangle$. The transformation from ring-mate to the ring center is defined by the transform along the gyro-radius $\rho$,

$$\Phi_\rho : z = (t, x, v) \mapsto Z = (t, X, V), \quad X = x - \rho(t, x, v), \quad V = v$$ (5)

To decouple the perturbation and obtain the unperturbed trajectory, another transformation $\Phi_\varepsilon$ is introduced, where the small parameter $\varepsilon$ is used to measure the inhomogeneity of electromagnetic fields. Then, the action of particle is rewritten as an integral along an unperturbed trajectory

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_\lambda \gamma = \int_{\Phi_\rho^{-1} \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\bar{\lambda})} \gamma = \int_\lambda \Phi_{-1}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{-1}^{-1} (\gamma) = \int_\lambda \Gamma,$$ (6)

where the unperturbed one-form $\Gamma$ is obtained from pull-back transformation

$$\Gamma \equiv \Phi_{-1}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{-1}^{-1} (\gamma)$$ (7)

The unperturbed trajectory is solved from Hamiltonian equation

$$i_\tau d\Gamma = 0,$$ (8)

where $\tau$ is the Hamiltonian vector field.

The pull-back $\Phi_{-1}^{-1}$ may be expressed as the exponent of the Lie derivative along the gyro-radius

$$\Phi_{-1}^{-1} = \exp \left( L_{\rho, \partial} \right).$$ (9)

And the pull-back $\Phi_{-1}^{-1}$ is expressed in the term of $G_n$

$$\Phi_{-1}^{-1} = \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \varepsilon^n L_n \right),$$ (10)

where $L_n \equiv L_{G_n}$ denotes the Lie derivative along the $n$th-order vector fields $G_n$. The pull-back is rewritten as

$$\Gamma = \Phi_{-1}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{-1}^{-1} (\gamma) = \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^\infty \varepsilon^n L_n \right) \exp \left( L_{\varepsilon, \rho} \right) (\gamma)
\quad = \left( 1 - \varepsilon L_{G_1} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 L_{G_1}^2 - \varepsilon^2 L_{G_2} + \cdots \right) \left( \gamma_0 + \varepsilon \gamma_1 + \varepsilon^2 \gamma_2 + \cdots \right).$$ (11)
Figure 2. The perturbation of Kruskal ring. The solid line is the perturbed Kruskal ring $\lambda$, the dark dash line is unperturbed Kruskal ring $\bar{\lambda}$, and the gray dash line is averaged Kruskal ring $\langle \lambda \rangle$.

where $\gamma_n$ is the $n$th order term of $\Phi \rho \gamma$.

Figure 2 shows the perturbation of Kruskal ring, the solid line is the perturbed Kruskal ring $\lambda$, the dark dash line is the unperturbed Kruskal ring $\bar{\lambda}$, and the gray dash line is the averaged Kruskal ring $\langle \lambda \rangle$. The perturbation of Kruskal ring is described by the vector $s \varepsilon G_n$, which may be separated into two parts, $G_n = \langle G_n \rangle + \Delta G_n$. The gyro-average of perturbation $\langle G_n \rangle$ represents the shift between the unperturbed ring and the averaged ring, and the deformation compared to the averaged ring are represented by the $\Delta G_n$. Usually, $\Delta G_n$ are small quantities compared to the gyro-radius. However, it is possible that ring-mates are resonant with the electromagnetic fields perturbation or waves, $\Delta G_n$ on these ring-mates are comparable or bigger than the gyro-radius, and the Kruskal ring will vanish. The perturbation vectors $G_n$ contain the cumulative effect of the field perturbation along the unperturbed trajectory. The resonant problem is more important when particles interactive with the high frequency waves, which is out of range of this paper. In this paper, we focus on the long scale collective behavior of Kruskal ring. At longer temporal scale, the bias of unperturbed Kruskal ring is given by $\langle G_n \rangle$. In other words, the $\langle G_n \rangle$ reflects the accuracy degree of guiding center trajectory. Conventionally, the high order terms of Eq.(11) are absorbed by $G_n$, only the zero order is reserved as the unperturbed one-form $\Gamma$, and gyro-average of perturbation is not zero $\langle G_n \rangle \neq 0$. Therefore, the conventional guiding-center dynamics only has zero order accuracy. To obtain higher order accuracy guiding center trajectory, we apply a stronger constraint condition $\langle G_n \rangle \equiv 0$. It means that $G_n$ only absorb the gyrophase dependent perturbations.

$\Gamma$ may be represented as an asymptotic series, $\Gamma = \sum_n \varepsilon^n \Gamma_n$,

$$\Gamma_n = -iG_n d\gamma_0 + \eta_n + dS_n = \langle \eta_n \rangle \quad (12)$$
where $\eta_n$ is the $n$th order term of Eq. (12). To fulfill constraint condition $\langle G_n \rangle \equiv 0$, the averaged one-form $\langle \eta_n \rangle$ is chosen as the unperturbed one-form $\Gamma_n$. Up to the second order, the unperturbed one-form is expressed as
\[
\Gamma = \langle \gamma_0 \rangle + \varepsilon \langle \gamma_1 \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \left\langle \gamma_2 - \frac{1}{2} i_{G_1} d\gamma_1 \right\rangle + O \left( \varepsilon^3 \right)
\] (13)
where
\[
\Gamma_0 \equiv \gamma_0 = \langle \gamma_0 \rangle ,
\]
\[
\Gamma_1 \equiv -i_{G_1} d\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + dS_1 = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle ,
\]
\[
\Gamma_2 \equiv -i_{G_2} d\gamma_0 + \gamma_2 - i_{G_1} d\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( i_{G_1} d \right)^2 \gamma_0 + dS_2 = \left\langle \gamma_2 - \frac{1}{2} i_{G_1} d\gamma_1 \right\rangle .
\] (16)
The first two order unperturbed one-forms, $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_1$, are not dependent with the perturbation vector $G_n$. Because the gyro-average of the perturbation vector are chosen to vanish $\langle G_n \rangle = 0$, the first-order trajectory can be directly calculated from the unperturbed one-form $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 = \langle \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \rangle$. Here, $\langle \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \rangle$ is gyro-independent and calculated on guiding-center. Therefore, up to the first-order accuracy, the dynamics of Kruskal ring can be seen as the dynamics of guiding-center.

However, the two and higher orders of unperturbed one-form contain nonlinear terms of $G_n$, such as $i_{G_1} d\gamma_1$, which do not vanish under gyro-average. $G_n$ is solved from Eq. (11),
\[
G_n^a = J_0^{abc} (\tilde{\eta}_n + dS_n)_b .
\] (17)
where $J$ is the inverse matrix of zero order Lagrangian two form $d\gamma_0$. The components of $d\gamma_0$ constitute a $7 \times 7$ antisymmetric matrix. The component of $J$ satisfy the identity equation
\[
J_0^{ca} (d\gamma_0)_{ab} = \delta_b^c
\] (18)
where $a, b, c \in [0, 7]$. where $\tilde{\eta}_n \equiv \eta_n - \langle \eta_n \rangle$ is the gyro-depend part of $\eta_n$. The zero order unperturbed trajectory $\tau_0$ is solved from the Hamilton equation $i_{\tau_0} d\gamma_0 = 0$. Putting $i_{\tau_0}$ on the both side of Eq. (12) yields
\[
i_{\tau_0} dS_n = -i_{\tau_0} \tilde{\eta}_n ,
\] (19)
The identity $i_{G_n} i_{\tau_0} + i_{\tau_0} i_{G_n} \equiv 0$ is applied in the derivation. The solution of Eq. (19) is an integral along the zero-order unperturbed trajectory $\lambda_0$
\[
S_n = - \int_{\lambda_0} \tilde{\eta}_n .
\] (20)
ηₙ only dependent on the lower order perturbation. Gₙ and Sₙ can be analytically solved from Eq. (17) and Eq. (20) order by order.

The gauge functions Sₙ represents the cumulative effect of the perturbation along the unperturbed trajectory, the value of gauge function Sₙ depend on the history of particle’s motion. The Sₙ introduce the path-dependence to the guiding-center or Kruskal ring dynamics, which will break the “memorylessness” in the Vlasov equation. The unperturbed trajectory integral of Sₙ must be calculated on each ringmates. If the gyro-averaging can not remove the gyro-dependence of gauge function, the Kruskal ring dynamics do not only represents the dynamics of guiding-center, but also include the information on each ringmates. The conventional gyrokinetics theory and algorithm do not calculate the evolution of gauge function, can not be applied to two or higher order problem. In our previous works, the kinetics and algorithm to describe the evolution of distribution function and gauge function were developed, so-called guiding-center gauge (g-gauge) kinetics. The initial purpose of g-gauge kinetics is to recover the high frequency wave-particle interaction problem. Now, it also could be an optional solution to the high order gyrokinetics, which is an interesting subject in the future research. In present work, we focus on the dynamics of guiding-center.

III. GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF THE GUIDING-CENTER DYNAMICS

In this section, the Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein γ is specialized to a charged particle in the electromagnetic field. The procedure of Lie perturbation and gyro-averaging are carried out in a geometrical manner without special coordinates system. The coordinates independent formula of unperturbed one-form Γ is derived to the second order.

The phase space of single particle is a seven-dimensional manifold

\[ P = \{ (t, x, v) \mid (t, x) \in M, v \in T_{(t,x)}M, g^{-1}(p, p) = -m^2c^2 \} , \]  

where M is the four-dimensional space-time, \( T^*_xM \) is the three-dimensional cotangent space at a point \( (t, x) \in M \). In the electromagnetic field, the Lagrangian one-form of charged particle is called as Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein one-form:

\[ \gamma = A + p , \]  

\[ \gamma = A + p , \]
which is the summation of four-dimensional electromagnetic potential \( A \) and four-momentum \( p \). For convince, we let \( c = e = m = 1 \). Using natural Cartesian coordinate \((x, v, t)\), four-dimensional electromagnetic potential is wrote as

\[
A = A \cdot dx - \phi dt ,
\]

where \( A \) is the vector potential and \( \phi \) is the scalar potential. Here, the bold letters are used to represent three dimensional vector. For non-relativistic charged particle four-momentum \( p \) is wrote as

\[
p = v \cdot dx - \frac{v \cdot v}{2} dt .
\]

We define two vector fields, the \( E \times B \) drift motion

\[
D \equiv \frac{E \times B}{B \cdot B} ,
\]

and the gyro-radius

\[
\rho (x, v) \equiv - \frac{[v - D (x)] \times B (x)}{B (x) \cdot B (x)} .
\]

The velocity is separated into three parts \( v = D + v_\parallel + v_\perp \), the \( E \times B \) drift velocity \( D \), parallel velocity

\[
v_\parallel \equiv \frac{v \cdot BB}{B \cdot B} ,
\]

and the perpendicular velocity

\[
v_\perp \equiv \rho \times B = - \frac{(v - D) \times B \times B}{B \cdot B} .
\]

The inner product between configuration space vector \( \rho \equiv \rho^j \partial_{x^j} \), \((j = 1, 2, 3)\) and the electromagnetic two form \( dA \) is expressed as

\[
i_\rho dA = i_{\rho} d (A \cdot dx - \phi dt) \\
= -\rho \times B \cdot dx + \rho \cdot E dt \\
= -v_\perp \cdot dx + (v - D) \cdot D dt .
\]

The Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein one-form \( \gamma \) may be rewritten as

\[
\gamma = A - i_{\rho} dA + p_c
\]
where
\[
p_c \equiv \left( \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}} + D \right) \cdot d\mathbf{x} - \frac{(\mathbf{v} - D)^2 + D^2}{2} dt. \tag{31}
\]

To calculate the average Poincaré-Cartan-Einstein one-form, the one-form \( \gamma \) of ring-mates must be pull-backed to a common position, which is the guiding center \( \mathbf{X} \). If the inhomogeneity of fields compared to the gyro-radius is small quantity \( \rho \cdot \nabla B/B \sim \varepsilon \), the inverse transform of \( \Phi_\rho \) may be defined as
\[
\Phi_\rho^{-1} : \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X} + \rho (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{V}), \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{V}, \tag{32}
\]

Pull-backing the \( \gamma \) to the guiding center \( \mathbf{X} \) yields
\[
(\Phi_\rho^{-1} \gamma)(t, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{V}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (i\rho d^n) A + (p_c - i\rho d A)
= A + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[ (i\rho d^n p_c - \frac{n}{n+1} (i\rho d^{n+1}) A \right], \tag{33}
\]

where all exact forms are ignored.

The series in the Eq. (33) is not an asymptotic expansion series of \( \varepsilon \). The operator \( i\rho d \) preserves the tensional nature of the object it operates on, but yields two different order terms. The exterior differential operator \( d \) operates on the seven-dimensional phase space. In the configuration space \( M \), the differential operator is expressed as
\[
d_M \equiv dt \wedge L_{\partial_t} + dX_1 \wedge L_{\partial X_1} + dX_2 \wedge L_{\partial X_2} + dX_3 \wedge L_{\partial X_3}. \tag{34}
\]

And the differential operator in the cotangent space \( T^*_x M \) is \( d_V \equiv d - d_M \). Because the spatial-temporal inhomogeneity of the electromagnetic fields is a small, the operator \( i\rho d_M \) will generate a smaller order object \( i\rho d_M \sim \varepsilon \). But, the operator \( i\rho d_V \) will generate a same order object \( i\rho d_V \sim 1 \) as it operating on. Substituting \( i\rho d_M \) and \( i\rho d_V \) back to the Eq. (33), the asymptotic expansion of \( \gamma \) is rewritten as
\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} A + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[ (i\rho d_V + \varepsilon i\rho d_M)^n p_c - \frac{n}{n+1} (i\rho d_V + \varepsilon i\rho d_M)^{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} A \right) \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n \gamma_n \tag{35}
\]
where
\[\gamma_0 = A + p_c - \frac{1}{2}i\rho dVi\rho dMA\] (36)
\[\gamma_n = \frac{1}{n!}\left[(i\rho dM)^n p_c - \frac{n}{n+1} (i\rho dM)^{n+1} A\right]
+ \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{n} (i\rho dM)^{n-m} i\rho dV (i\rho dM)^m p_c - \frac{n+1}{n+2} \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} (i\rho dM)^{n+1-m} i\rho dV (i\rho dM)^m A\right]\] (37)

In Eq. (36-37), \(p_c\) and \(A\) are gyro-independent, only the gyro-radius \(\rho\) is gyrophase dependent.

The gyro-average is an average of the ringmates, which are defined by the one-parameter gyro-transformation \(\Phi_\xi\). Figure 1 shows that the parameter \(\xi\) may be defined as the angle between two ring-mates’ gyro-radius,
\[\xi \equiv \angle xXx', \xi \in [0, 2\pi)\]. (38)

Then, the gyro-transformation \(\Phi_\xi\) can be expressed as a rotation of gyro-radius \(\rho\),
\[\Phi_\xi : \rho \mapsto \rho \cos\xi + \rho \times \hat{b} \sin\xi,\] (39)
where \(\hat{b}\) is the unit vector along the magnetic field line. And the gyro-average of an arbitrary quantity \(\alpha(\rho)\) is written as
\[\langle \alpha \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\xi \Phi_\xi^* [\alpha(\rho)]\] (40)

Then, the gyro-average of Eq. (33) is written as
\[\langle \gamma \rangle = A + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\xi \left[\cos \xi i\rho d + \sin \xi i\rho \times \hat{b} d\right]^n p_c - \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\cos \xi i\rho d + \sin \xi i\rho \times \hat{b} d\right)^{n+1} A\] (41)

As we discussed previously, the unperturbed one-form \(\Gamma_n\) is obtained from the gyro-average of the pull-back of perturbed one-form \(\gamma_n\) order by order.

For the zero order, \(\gamma_0\) is a gyro-invariant quantity
\[\Gamma_0 = \langle \gamma_0 \rangle = A + p_c - \frac{1}{2}i\rho dVi\rho dMA.\] (42)

Using the conventional gyro-center coordinates, Eq. (42) is consistent with the classical theory.
The perturbed one-form $\gamma_1$ is obtained from Eq. (37). The unperturbed one-form $\Gamma_1$ is obtained from the gyro-average of $\gamma_1$

$$\Gamma_1 = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\xi \Phi^*_\xi(\gamma_1) = -\frac{1}{4} \left[ (i_\rho d_M)^2 + \left( i_{\rho \times b} d_M \right)^2 \right] A + \frac{1}{2} i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M p_c \quad (43)$$

And the gyro-depend part of $\gamma_1$ is expressed as

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \gamma_1 - \langle \gamma_1 \rangle = i_\rho d_M p_c - \frac{1}{4} \left[ (i_\rho d_M)^2 A - \left( i_{\rho \times b} d_M \right)^2 A \right] - \frac{1}{3} (i_\rho d_M i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M + i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M i_\rho d_M) A \quad (44)$$

The second order unperturbed one-form constitutes two parts, $\Gamma_2 = \langle \gamma_2 - \frac{1}{2} i_{G_0} d\gamma_1 \rangle$. One is the gyro-average of the $\gamma_2$

$$\langle \gamma_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (i_\rho d_M)^2 + \left( i_{\rho \times b} d_M \right)^2 \right] p_c - \frac{1}{16} \left[ (i_\rho d_M)^2 + \left( i_{\rho \times b} d_M \right)^2 \right] i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M A - \frac{1}{16} i_\rho d_M i_\rho d_V \left( i_\rho d_M \right)^2 A + i_\rho d_M i_\rho d_M i_{\rho \times b} d_M \left( i_{\rho \times b} d_M \right)^2 A - \frac{1}{16} (i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M) \left[ (i_\rho d_M)^2 + \left( i_{\rho \times b} d_M \right)^2 \right] A \quad (45)$$

The other term $\langle i_{G_0} d\gamma_1 \rangle$ is a second nonlinear term of first order perturbation $\tilde{\gamma}_1$. Using Eqs. (17) and (20), the nonlinear term $\langle i_{G_0} d\gamma_1 \rangle$ is expressed in terms of $\tilde{\gamma}_1$

$$\langle i_{G_0} d\gamma_1 \rangle = J_{ab}^0 \left( \tilde{\gamma}_1 - d \int_{\lambda_0} \tilde{\gamma}_1 \right)_b \left[ (d\tilde{\gamma}_1)_{ac} - (d\tilde{\gamma}_1)_{ca} \right] dz^c, \quad a, b, c \in [0, 7] \quad (46)$$

Pull-back gyro-transformation of $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ can be expressed as a trigonometric series

$$\Phi^*_\xi(\tilde{\gamma}_1) = \tilde{\gamma}_1^0 \cos \xi + \tilde{\gamma}_1^1 \sin \xi + \tilde{\gamma}_1^2 \cos 2\xi + \tilde{\gamma}_1^3 \sin 2\xi \quad (47)$$

where

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1^0 \equiv i_\rho d_M p_c - \frac{1}{3} (i_\rho d_M i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M + i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M i_\rho d_M) A \quad (47a)$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1^1 \equiv i_{\rho \times b} d_M p_c - \frac{1}{3} (i_{\rho \times b} d_M i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M + i_\rho d_V i_\rho d_M i_{\rho \times b} d_M) A \quad (47b)$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1^2 \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \left( (i_\rho d_M)^2 - \left( i_{\rho \times b} d_M \right)^2 \right) A \quad (47c)$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1^3 \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \left( i_\rho d_M i_{\rho \times b} d_M + i_{\rho \times b} d_M i_\rho d_M \right) A \quad (47d)$$

Then, the gyro-average $\langle i_{G_0} d\gamma_1 \rangle$ is expressed in the terms of the coefficients of trigonometric series $\gamma_1^m$

$$\langle i_{G_0} d\gamma_1 \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} J_{ab}^0 \sum_{m=0}^{3} \int_{\lambda_0}^{3} \left( \tilde{\gamma}_1^m - d \int_{\lambda_0} \tilde{\gamma}_1^m \right)_b \left[ (d\tilde{\gamma}_1^m)_{ac} - (d\tilde{\gamma}_1^m)_{ca} \right] dz^c \quad (48)$$
Because the constraint condition $\langle G_n \rangle \equiv 0$ is applied in the derivation, the perturbation vector $G_n$ does not appear in the first order unperturbed one-form $\Gamma_1$, which means the dynamics are only determined by the local electromagnetic fields. $\Gamma_1$ can be seen as a high order correction of conventional guiding-center dynamic. However, the perturbation vector $G_1$ unavoidably appear in the two order unperturbed one-form $\Gamma_2$. The unperturbed trajectory integral in the gauge function $\Gamma_2$ represents the path-dependence of the guiding-center dynamics. The conventional numerical algorithm of guiding-center dynamics can not be simply extended to two and higher order. The numerical solution of two and higher order guiding-center dynamics still is an open questions.

The geometric formulas of $\Gamma_n$ presented in this section are coordinates free. According to the requirement of different application, these representations can be implemented into different coordinates. The dynamics equation can be explicitly solved from the Hamilton equation $i_*d\Gamma = 0$, and numerically solved. But, if the formula of $\Gamma$ is complicate, it is very difficult to solve the Hamilton equation explicitly. On this situation, the dynamics can be implicitly solved from the $\Gamma$ by the variational symplectic algorithm\textsuperscript{14,17}.

IV. GYROCENTER DYNAMICS IN DIFFERENT COORDINATES

In this section, the coordinates systems of the geometric representation of $\Gamma$ is specialized. Essentially, the physics is independent with the choice of coordinates system. The trajectory of guiding-center can be seen as a smooth manifold in the phase-space, which do not require a global existent coordinates system. However, it will be very inconvenience, if the coordinates can not be globally defined. Because, that means we need more than one coordinates chart to cover whole phase-space, and the dynamics equations can not be used to describe the global trajectory of particles. The conventional guiding-center coordinates is not a globally valid coordinates system. Its velocity space coordinates is the cylindrical coordinates system defined at the guiding-center, which the cylindrical axis point the direction of magnetic field line. Years ago, Sugiyama\textsuperscript{18} and Krommes\textsuperscript{6} discussed the global validity of the high order guiding-center dynamics. Recently, Bury\textsuperscript{4} prove that in certain case, the gyrophase can not be globally defined. Therefore, a global existent coordinates system is required to describe the high order global guiding-center dynamics. Instinctively, the homogenous Cartesian coordinates is the most natural option. In the following, the unperturbed guiding-center
one-form $\Gamma$ is implemented to both the local guiding-center coordinates and the global Cartesian coordinates.

A. Local guiding-center coordinates

In the conventional guiding-center coordinates system, the coordinates of the velocity space is defined on three mutual orthogonal vectors at the guiding-center

$$
\hat{b}(X) \equiv \frac{B}{\sqrt{B \cdot B}}, \quad \hat{a}(X) \equiv \sin \theta \hat{e}_1 + \cos \theta \hat{e}_2, \quad c(X) \equiv \hat{a} \times \hat{b}.
$$

where $\hat{b}$ represents the direction of local magnetic field line, $\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2$ and $\hat{a}, \hat{c}$ are two pairs of orthogonal vectors lying in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field $B$. The guiding-center coordinates $Z = (X, u, \mu, \theta)$ is defined as

$$
x = X + \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{B}} \hat{a}, \quad v = u\hat{b} + \sqrt{\frac{2\mu B}{\hat{c}}} + D
$$

where $u$ is the velocity paralleling to magnetic field line, $\mu = \sqrt{v_r^2/2B}$ is the magnetic momentum, and $\theta$ is the gyrophase. Then, the zero order unperturbed one-form Eq.42 is expressed in the guiding-center coordinates as

$$
\Gamma_0 = \left( A + u\hat{b} + D \right) \cdot dX - \left( \phi - \frac{u^2 + 2\mu B + D^2}{2} \right) + \mu d\theta
$$

and the dynamics equation is solved from Hamilton equation as

$$
\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{1}{B^\parallel} \left( E^\parallel \times b + uB^\parallel \right) \quad (51a)
$$

$$
\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{E^\parallel \cdot B^\parallel}{B^\parallel} \quad (51b)
$$

$$
\frac{d\mu}{dt} = 0 \quad (51c)
$$

$$
\frac{d\theta}{dt} = B_0 \quad (51d)
$$

where

$$
B^\parallel \equiv B + \nabla \times \left( u\hat{b} + D \right) \quad (52)
$$

$$
E^\parallel \equiv E - \partial_t \left( u\hat{b} + D \right) - \nabla \frac{2\mu B + D^2}{2} \quad (53)
$$
This result is exactly the same as the classical theory. Because, the choice of local coordinates \( \hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2 \) do affect the zero order dynamics guiding-center, the global existence problem of guiding-center coordinates appears in the first-order unperturbed one-form \( \Gamma_1 \), which is obtained from Eq. (43) as

\[
\Gamma_1 = - \mu (R \cdot dX + R_0 dt + \nu d\theta) \tag{54}
\]

where

\[
R \equiv \nabla \hat{c} \cdot \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{c} \cdot \nabla \hat{a} - \hat{a} \cdot \nabla \hat{c} + \frac{\hat{b} \times \nabla B}{2B} \right) \tag{55}
\]

\[
R_0 \equiv \partial_t \hat{c} \cdot \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{E \times \hat{b} \times \hat{b}}{B} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \cdot \hat{b} \right) \hat{b} \cdot \frac{E}{B} \tag{56}
\]

\[
\nu \equiv \frac{\hat{b} \cdot \nabla \times (u\hat{b} + D)}{B} \tag{57}
\]

Here, \( R, R_0 \) and \( \nu \) are gyro-independent quantity, are not exactly the same as conventional result\(^{3,13}\). The difference between Eq. (16) and conventional result are caused by the difference in the define of perturbation \( G_n \).

**B. Global Cartesian coordinates**

To avoid the obsession caused by local guiding-center coordinates, a global homogenous Cartesian coordinates is applied to the velocity space, and the coordinates transformation is expressed

\[
x = X + \rho = X - \frac{(V - D) \times B}{B \cdot B}, \quad v = V. \tag{58}
\]

The zero order unperturbed one-form Eq. (42) is expressed as

\[
\Gamma_0 = \left( A + D + \frac{V \cdot BB}{B \cdot B} \right) \cdot dX - \left[ \phi + \frac{D^2 + (V - D)^2}{2} \right] dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(V - D) \times B}{B \cdot B} \cdot dV \tag{59}
\]

and the dynamics is solved as

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ -\frac{M \cdot B}{B \cdot B} \times E^\dagger + \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \rho + V - D \right) \cdot \left( \frac{BB^\dagger}{B \cdot B} + \|M\|^{-1} \right) \right] \tag{60}
\]

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ M_B^\dagger \times \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \rho + V - D \right) - \left( \frac{BB^\dagger}{B \cdot B} + \|M\|^{-1} \right) \cdot E^\dagger \right] \tag{61}
\]
where
\[ B^\dagger \equiv B + \nabla \times \left( D + \frac{V \cdot BB}{B \cdot B} \right) \]  
(62)
\[ E^\dagger \equiv E - \partial_t \left( D + \frac{V \cdot BB}{B \cdot B} \right) - \nabla \frac{D^2 + (V - D)^2}{2} \]  
(63)
\[ M \equiv \frac{BB}{B \cdot B} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \rho \]  
(64)
\[ \alpha \equiv \frac{B^\dagger \cdot M \cdot B}{B \cdot B} + \|M\| \]  
(65)

The first unperturbed order one-form is expressed as

\[ \Gamma_1 = -\frac{1}{4} \left[ \rho \times \nabla \times (\rho \times B) - \rho \times \hat{b} \times \nabla \times (B \rho) \right] \cdot dX \]
\[-\frac{1}{4} \left[ -2 \rho \times B \cdot \partial_t \rho + \rho \cdot \nabla (\rho \cdot E) + \rho \times \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \left( \rho \times \hat{b} \cdot E \right) \right] dt \]
\[-\frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{\nabla B}{B} \cdot \rho \rho + \hat{b} \cdot \nabla \times (\rho \times \hat{b}) \rho \right] \cdot dV \]  
(66)

Using the Cartesian coordinates, the problem of phase anholonomy disappeared, but two other problems arise. First, there are six variables need be calculated in dynamics equations on Cartesian coordinates. The dynamics equations on the guiding-center coordinates only push five variables, the gyrophase is ignored. In the zero order dynamics, the local guiding-center coordinates system need not be explicitly defined. Then, the guiding-center coordinates has its advantage in the computational efficiency. However, if the information of gyrophase is not ignorable, or the dynamics need to be calculated to higher order, the local guiding-center coordinates need be explicitly defined. Comparing to the complexity introduced by the local guiding-center coordinates, the cost of one additional variable is still acceptable.

Second problem is how to solve the dynamics equations, which will limit the length of time step. Ignoring first order small parameter \( \nabla \rho \) and \( \partial_t \rho \), the zero order dynamics equation Eq.(60) and (61) are rewritten as

\[ \frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{E^\dagger \times B + V \cdot BB^\dagger}{B^\dagger \cdot B} \]  
(67)
\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = V \times B + E + B \left( \frac{E^\dagger B^\dagger}{B^\dagger \cdot B} - \frac{EB}{B \cdot B} \right) \]  
(68)

where Eq.(67) is gyro-independent and Eq.(68) may be implicitly solved by Boris algorithm. For higher order dynamics, the dynamics can be directly solved from the unperturbed one-form \( \Gamma \) by the variational symplectic algorithm.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In present work, a general geometric representation of the guiding center theory is developed. The coordinate free representation for the gyro-averaging and the Lie perturbation are clearly defined, and the unperturbed one-form is calculated to the second order for the first time. The conventional gyrocenter coordinates is not a necessary condition for the validity of the guiding center theory or gyro-kinetics. The geometric representation is implemented to a global Cartesian coordinates.

The guiding center theory is to describe the long temporal-spatial scale behavior of the particles. The accuracy degree of the trajectory determine the valid temporal scale of the theory. The longer temporal scale problem need higher order trajectory. The Lie perturbation method is used to decouple the short temporal-spatial scale perturbation of the trajectory. However, the derivation shows that, when the accuracy degree higher then two order, the short scale gyrophase dependent perturbation are not ignorable. It means that long term accumulate effect of the perturbations will eventually change the accuracy degree of guiding center. In consequence, the reliable time-scale for the gyrokinetic theory and simulation is limited. To push the simulation to the longer temporal scale, the gyrokinetic theory and simulation algorithm must be improved or changed.

In conclusion, the geometric representation of the guiding center theory or gyro-kinetics may reveal more physics feature than the coordinate specialized representation. We believe the geometric procedure developed in this paper may have a lot interesting applications in the future.
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12There are two very similar terminologies used to refer this averaged coordinates of particles, "guiding center" and "gyrocenter". We do not distinguish them in this paper, and only use the older terminology "guiding center". Strictly speaking, the center of Kruskal ring is the gyrocenter.


