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Abstract

Let $H$ be an HD0L-system. We show that the number of primitive words $v$ such $v^k$ for any $k$ is an element of the factorial language of $H$ is bounded. In particular, this result applies to the set of all factors of a morphic word.

1 Introduction

We study HD0L systems and their factorial language, i.e., the set of words that their generate while taking a its factor closure. In particular we are interested in words that cause the repetitiveness of the language. These are words that appear in the language with any power, i.e., any repetition of such word can be found. Our main result is that there is only finitely many primitive words with this property in any HD0L system.

This result is related to the HD0L ultimate periodicity problem which is studied in [2] by F. Durand (and later [3] by I. Mitrofanov). The authors show that this problem is decidable.

Recently, J. Bell and J. Shallit proved in [1] the very same result, but for other language: a factorial language with sublinear factor complexity has finitely many primitive words that occur in any power. In particular for $k$-automatic words, they give an algorithm for explicit construction of such words.

2 Preliminaries

An alphabet is a finite set of letters. A (finite) word is a finite sequence over $\mathcal{A}$. The length of a finite word $w$ is denoted by $|w|$. The empty word, which is the unique word of length 0, is denoted by $\varepsilon$. The set of all finite words over $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^*$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$. We use the following
notation $w^k = \underbrace{www \cdots w}_k$ to denote the concatenation of $k$ words $w$. A word $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ is primitive if $v^k = w$ implies $k = 1$.

An infinite word is an infinite sequence over $\mathcal{A}$. If $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ is a finite word, the infinite word which is produced by infinite concatenation of $w$ is denoted by $w^\omega = \underbrace{www \cdots}_\omega$. A finite word $w$ is a factor of a finite or infinite word $z$ if we have $z = pws$ with $p$ being a finite word and $s$ a finite or infinite word. We also say that $w$ occurs in $z$.

A morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is mapping such that for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ we have $\varphi(vw) = \varphi(v)\varphi(w)$. A morphism $\varphi$ is primitive if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, the letter $b$ occurs in $\varphi^k(a)$.

The triple $G = (\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$, with $\mathcal{A}$ an alphabet, $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ a morphism and $w \in \mathcal{A}$, is a D0L-system. The set of all factors of words of $\{\varphi^n(w) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is denoted by $L_G$ and called the (factorial) language of $G$. We assume throughout the paper that we deal only with reduced D0L-systems: every letter $a$ occurs in $L_G$. A letter $b \in \mathcal{A}$ is bounded if $|\varphi^n(b)|$ is bounded. Otherwise, the letter $b$ is unbounded. The set of all bounded letters of $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_b$. If $L_G$ contains infinitely many elements of $\mathcal{A}_b^*$, then we say that $G$ is pushy, otherwise it is non-pushy.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an alphabet and $\Psi : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ a morphism. If $G = (\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$ is a D0L-system, then $H = (\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w, \Psi)$ is an HD0L-system and its (factorial) language is denoted by $L_H$ and equals to the set $L_H = \text{fact}(\Psi(L_G)) = \bigcup_{w \in L_G} \text{fact}(\Psi(w))$. If $\Psi$ is a letter-to-letter morphism, then it is called a coding and $H$ is a CD0L-system.

In what follows the letter $G$ denotes a reduced D0L-system $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w)$ and $H$ a HD0L-system $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, w, \Psi)$ with $\Psi : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$. Moreover, we assume that $\varphi$ is non-erasing, that is, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi \neq \varepsilon$.

We are interested in infinite repetitions $v^\omega$ in $L_H$, i.e., primitive words $v$ such that $v^k \in L_H$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In [4] we prove that there is at most finite number of such primitive words in any D0L-system (i.e. in $L_G$). We say that $w \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{N}$ is an infinite factor of $L_G$ if every prefix $p$ of $w$ belongs to $L_G$.

A factorial language $L$ is recurrent if for all $w, v \in L$ there exists an element $u \in L$ such that $uvv \in L$. A factorial language is uniformly recurrent if for any $n$ there is an integer $K_n$ so that any element of the language of length $K_n$ contains all elements of length $n$. It is well known that if the morphism $\varphi$ is primitive, then $L_G$ is uniformly recurrent. It also follows from [4] that if $L_G$ contains an infinite repetition, then $L_G$ has bounded factor complexity or is not uniformly recurrent. We say that a factorial language is linearly recurrent if it is recurrent and there is a constant $C$ such that for elements $w$ of the language, its successive occurrences in the elements of the language are bounded by $C|w|$.

The number of infinite repetitions in $L_G$ cannot exceed the number of infinite repetitions in $L_H$. However, the converse statement is not true.
Consider $G$ with a primitive morphism $\varphi : a \to abc, b \to bbc, c \to bac$, it follows from [4] that $L_G$ does not contain any infinite repetition. Let $\Psi$ be a coding given by these rules: $a \to 0, b \to 0, c \to 1$, then $L_H$ contains an infinite repetition of the (primitive) factor 001, in fact, $L_H$ equals the set of all factors of the purely periodic word 001001001 \ldots.

We set $|\varphi^n| = \min_{a \in A} |\varphi^n(a)|$ and $||\varphi^n|| = \max_{a \in A} |\varphi^n(a)|$.

If $G$ is non-pushy, the length of elements of $L_G$ over bounded letters is bounded by a constant. This constant is denoted $Q_G$. If $G$ is pushy, by the definition, no such constant exists. However, we know how (long enough) factors over bounded letters look like.

**Theorem 1** ([4], Theorem 12). If $G$ is a pushy D0L-system, then there exist $R_G \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite set $\mathcal{U}$ of non-empty words over bounded letters such that any element of $L_G$ containing only bounded letters is of one of the following three forms:

(i) $w_1$,
(ii) $w_1u_1^{k_1}w_2$,
(iii) $w_1u_1^{k_1}w_2u_2^{k_2}w_3$,

where $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}$, $|w_j| < R_G$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^\ast$.

In [3], the authors proved that a D0L-system is pushy if and only if it satisfies the edge condition: there exist $a \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^\ast$, $w \in A^\ast$ and non-empty word $u$ over bounded letters such that

$$\varphi^k(a) = wau \text{ or } \varphi^k(a) = uaw. \quad (1)$$

### 3 When the language $L_G$ is uniformly recurrent

In what follows, if not stated otherwise, we keep the notation of the previous section, primarily for the systems $G$ and $H$.

If $L_G$ is uniformly recurrent, then it has bounded factor complexity or it does not contain any infinite repetition. As we have seen above, it does not imply that there are no infinite repetitions in $L_H$. However, we may prove that the structure of $L_H$ is easily described.

**Lemma 2.** If $L_G$ is uniformly recurrent and $L_H$ contains an infinite repetition $v^\omega$, then $L_H$ equals the set of all factors of $v^\omega$.

**Proof.** Let $w \in L_H$ be a word such that there exists $r \in L_G$ with $w = \Psi(r)$. As $L_G$ is uniformly recurrent there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all elements of $L_G$ of length $n$ contain words of $L_G$ of length $|r|$, especially they contain the word $r$. As $v^\omega$ is an infinite repetition of $L_H$, there exists $z \in L_G$ of length $n$ such that its $\Psi$-image is a factor of $v^\omega$. As $z$ contains $r$, the word $w$ is a factor of $v^\omega$ as well. \qed
The last lemma implies that there is at most one infinite repetition \( v^\omega \) in \( L_H \), up to conjugation of \( v \). In [2], it is shown that ultimate periodicity is decidable for HD0L-systems. However, the complexity of the algorithm in the paper is not evaluated.

4 Infinite repetitions in general

The connection between \( L_G \) and \( L_H \) is very tight. The following procedure is inspired by [3] where authors deal with repetitions in D0L-systems.

For \( a \in A \), set \( A_{i,a} = \text{alph}(\varphi^i(a)), i \geq 1 \). By Theorem 1.1. in [6], the sequence \( (A_{i,a})_{i=1}^{+\infty} \) is eventually periodic. Thus, there exist integers \( p_a > 0 \) and \( q_a \geq 0 \) such that \( A_{i,a} = A_{i+p_a,a} \) for every \( i \geq q_a \). Let \( q = \max\{q_a: a \in \text{alph}(w)\} \) and \( p \) be the least common multiple of \( \{p_a: a \in \text{alph}(w)\} \) strictly greater than \( q_a \). Set

\[
A_i = \bigcup_{a \in \text{alph}(w)} A_{i,a}
\]

and

\[
G_i = (A_i, \varphi^p, \varphi^i(w)) \quad (2)
\]

for \( 0 \leq i < q + p \). Note that \( (A_i)_{i=q}^{+\infty} \) is again periodic with period \( p \). We have

\[
L_G = \bigcup L_{G_i} \quad \text{and} \quad L_H = \bigcup \text{fact}(\Psi(L_{G_i})).
\]

Example 3. Let \( G = (A, \varphi, a) \) where \( A = \{a, b, c, d\} \) and \( \varphi : a \rightarrow abc, b \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow a, d \rightarrow b \). We have that \( p_a = q_a = 1, p_b = p_b = 2, q_d = q_b = 0 \) and \( p_c = 1, q_c = 2 \) and so \( p = 4 \) and \( q = 2 \). For instance \( G_3 = (A, \varphi^4, \varphi^3(a)) \) where \( \varphi^4 : a \rightarrow abcdabacaabccda, b \rightarrow b, c \rightarrow abcdabc, d \rightarrow d \) and \( \varphi^3(a) = abcdabc \).

Lemma 4. If \( w \) is an infinite factor of \( L_G \), then there exists \( i \) such that \( i \geq q \) and \( w \) is an infinite factor of \( L_{G_i} \).

Proof. Let \( v_N \) be a prefix of \( w \) of length \( N \). Let \( k_N \) be the least integer such that \( \varphi^{k_N}(w) \) contains \( v_N \) as a factor. Since \( k_N \) is increasing and goes to infinity as \( N \rightarrow +\infty \), there is \( j \) with \( 0 \leq j < p \) such that \( k_N \equiv q + j \) (mod \( p \)) for infinitely many \( N \). As \( (L_{G_i})_{i=q}^{+\infty} \) is periodic with period \( p \), the word \( v_N \) is an element of \( L_{G_{q+j}} \) for infinitely many \( N \). Therefore \( w \) is an infinite factor of \( L_{G_{q+j}} \).

Corollary 5. If \( w^\omega \) is an infinite repetition of \( L_H \), then there exists an infinite factor \( w \) of \( L_{G_i} \) for some \( i \) such that \( i \geq q \) and \( \Psi(w) = u^\omega \) where \( u' \) is a conjugate of \( u \).

The last corollary allows us to restrict ourselves to the systems \( G_i \) for \( i \geq q \) when studying infinite repetitions of \( L_H \). Moreover, the choice of \( p \) and \( q \)
implies that for every $i \geq q$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\text{alph}(\varphi^{pn}(a)) = \text{alph}(\varphi^p(a))$ for every $n \geq 1$. Thus, we make the following additional assumption for the rest of this section.

**Assumption**: for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\text{alph}(\varphi^{pn}(a)) = \text{alph}(\varphi(a))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

*Example 6* (continued). For the D0L-system $G$ from Example 3 Assumption * is not valid. However, if we consider $G_i = (\mathcal{A}, \varphi^i, \varphi'(a))$ for $i \geq 3$, Assumption * is satisfied: $\text{alph}(\varphi^{2n}(a)) = \text{alph}(\varphi^2(a)) = \text{alph}(\varphi^{4n}(a)) = \text{alph}(\varphi^4(a)) = \mathcal{A}$, $\text{alph}(\varphi^{4n}(b)) = \text{alph}(\varphi^4(b)) = \{b\}$ and $\text{alph}(\varphi^{4n}(d)) = \text{alph}(\varphi^4(d)) = \{d\}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$

For $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}$ we set $\text{alph}(\varphi(\mathcal{B}_1)) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : x$ occurs in $\varphi(y)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{B}_1\}$. We define a graph $T_\varphi$ as follows:

1. The set of vertices is the set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of all non-empty subsets $\mathcal{B}_1$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\text{alph}(\varphi(\mathcal{B}_1)) = \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ contains an unbounded letter $a$ such that $\mathcal{B}_1 = \text{alph}(\varphi(a))$;
2. The graph $T_\varphi$ is a (rooted) tree;
3. The root of $T_\varphi$ is $\mathcal{A}$;
4. $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a descendant of $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ if $\mathcal{B}_2 \subsetneq \mathcal{B}_1$.

We call $T_\varphi$ the *tree of invariant subalphabets*.

*Example 7*. If $\varphi$ is a primitive morphism, the tree $T_\varphi$ contains only one vertex, namely the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$.

If $\mathcal{A}'$ is an leaf, then for every unbounded letters $a$ and $b$, the letter $b$ is contained in $\varphi(a)$. Indeed, if $b \notin \text{alph}(\varphi(a))$, then $\text{alph}(\varphi(a))$ would be a descendant of $\mathcal{A}'$ containing the unbounded letter $a$. As for all $n$ and every letter $a$ we have $\text{alph}(\varphi^n(a)) = \text{alph}(\varphi(a))$, it follows that for every $c \in \mathcal{A}_b$ we have that $c \in \text{alph}(\varphi^2(a))$ for some unbounded letter $a$. It follows that for all unbounded letter $a \in \mathcal{A}'$, we have $\text{alph}(\varphi^2(a)) = \mathcal{A}'$.

*Example 8* (continued). For the D0L-system $G_i$ from Example 3 the tree of invariant subalphabets contains 2 vertices: $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\{b, d\}$.

**Lemma 9**. Suppose $G$ is pushy. Any element of $L_G$ that is over bounded letters and of length at least $3R_G$ is of the form

1. $w_1z_1w_2$, or
2. $w_1z_1w_2z_2w_3$

with $|w_1| < R_G$ and $z_i \in \mathcal{B}_i^*$ with $\mathcal{B}_i$ containing only bounded letters and $\mathcal{B}_i = \text{alph}(\varphi(\mathcal{B}_i))$. 
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Proof. Theorem 1 implies that any factor over bounded letters of length at least \(3R_G\) is of the form \(w_1u_1^{k_1}w_2\) or \(w_1u_1^{k_1}w_2u_2^{k_2}w_3\), where \(|w_i| < R_G\) for all \(i, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+\) and \(u_1, u_2\) are some words.

We set \(z_i = u_1^{k_i}\). Using Assumption \(*\), one can deduce from the proof of Theorem 12 in [3] (which is stated here as Theorem 1) that \(\text{alph}(z_i) = \text{alph}(\phi(z_i))\) for \(i \in \{1, 2\}\). Thus, \(B_i = \text{alph}(z_i)\). □

Lemma 10. Let \(f \in L_G\) such that \(|f| = N\). If \(G\) is not pushy, set \(D = \max\{Q_G + 2, |w|\}\); otherwise set \(D = \max\{3R_G + 1, |w|\}\). There exists a growing function \(n(N), n(N) \to +\infty \text{ as } N \to +\infty\), so that if \(n(N) > D\), then there exists a leaf \(A'\) such that \(f\) contains a factor over \(A'\) of length greater than or equal to \(\frac{3}{2} - C\) for some constant \(C\).

Proof. Set \(n(N) = \left\lceil \frac{W(N)}{\ln||\phi||} \right\rceil\), where \(W\) is the Lambert function. To simplify the notation, we write \(n = n(N)\). Since \(n \ln||\phi|| \leq W(N)\), we have

\[N = W(N)e^{W(N)} \geq n \ln||\phi||e^{n \ln||\phi||} = n \ln(||\phi||)||\phi||^n \geq n||\phi||^n.\]

Since we assume that \(n > D \geq |w|\), the factor \(f\) can appear in \(\phi^k(w)\) only for \(k > n\). It follows that we can find a factor \(cvd\) with \(c, d \in \mathcal{A}\) such that \(cvd \in L_G\) is a \(\phi^n\)-preimage of \(f\) satisfying the following two conditions:

1. \(f\) is a factor of \(\phi^n(cvd)\);
2. \(f\) is not a factor of \(\phi^n(cv)\) nor \(\phi^n(vd)\).

The conditions imply that \(\phi^n(v)\) is a factor of \(f\) and \(|cvd| \geq n\).

Assume that \(v\) contains an unbounded letter. Assumption \(*\) implies that \(\text{alph}(\phi(v)) = \text{alph}(\phi^i(v))\) for all \(i > 1\). As \(\text{alph}(\phi(v))\) contains an unbounded letter, there exists a leaf \(A' \subseteq \text{alph}(\phi(v))\) and an unbounded letter \(x \in A'\) such that \(x \in \text{alph}(\phi(v))\). Set \(G' = (A', \phi_{|A'}, x)\). It follows that \(\phi^n(v)\) contains a factor of \(L_{G'}\) of length \(|\phi^{n-1}(x)|\). We conclude that

\[|\phi^{n-1}(x)| \geq n.\]

Note that if \(G\) is not pushy, we have \(|v| \geq n - 2 > Q_G\), and thus the word \(v\) contains an unbounded letter and the proof for pushy \(G\) is finished.

Assume \(G\) is pushy. If \(v\) contains only bounded letters, then \(f\) contains a factor over bounded letters of length \(|v| \geq n - 2 \geq 3R_G\). Lemma 3 implies that there is a set \(A' \subset A\) containing only bounded letters with \(\text{alph}(\phi(A')) = A'\) such that \(f\) contains a factor over \(A'\) of length greater than or equal to \(\frac{n-2-3R_G}{2}\). Since every such set \(A\) is a subset of a leaf, the claim follows. □

Corollary 11. Let \(A' \subseteq \tilde{A}\) be a leaf, \(g \in A'\) be an unbounded letter and \(G' = (A', \phi_{|A'}, g)\) be a pushy D0L-system. Every infinite factor of \(L_{G'}\) contains arbitrarily long factors over bounded letters.
Proof. We keep the notation from the previous lemma and its proof. If \( v \) contains only bounded letters, the claim follows directly from the previous proof: the factor \( f \) of length \( N \) is a prefix of the infinite factor.

Assume that \( v \) contains an unbounded letter \( x \). The edge condition (1) and implies that there exist \( a \in A' \), \( k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( w \in A^* \) and non-empty word \( u \) over bounded letters such that \( \varphi^k(a) = wau \) or \( \varphi^k(a) = uaw \). Assumption * implies \( k = 1 \) and \( a \in \text{alph}(\varphi(x)) \). Therefore, the word \( \varphi^{n-1}(a) \) is a factor of \( f \). It follows that \( f \) contains a factor over bounded letters of length at least \( (n-1)|u| \geq n-1 \), which concludes the proof.

Lemma 12. Let \( A' \in \tilde{A} \) be a leaf. Let \( g \in A' \) be an unbounded letter and set \( G' = (A', \varphi|_{A'}, g) \). The number of infinite repetitions in \( \text{fact}(\Psi(L_{G'})) \) is finite.

Proof. For this proof, by abuse of notation, we set \( \varphi|_{A'} = \varphi \).

If \( A' \) consists of unbounded letters only, then \( \varphi \) is primitive and \( L_{G'} \) is uniformly recurrent and the claim follows from Lemma 2.

Recall that \( \text{alph}(\varphi^2(a)) = A' \) for any unbounded letter \( a \in A' \).

Suppose now that \( G' \) is not pushy. It implies that the edge condition (1) is not satisfied. It follows that the morphism \( \varphi \) has a periodic point \( u = \varphi^\ell(u) \) for some integer \( \ell \) such that \( u = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^{\ell n}(a) \) for some unbounded letter \( a \). Moreover, the set of all factors of \( u \) coincides with \( L_{G'} \).

Let \( u = u_0u_1u_2 \ldots \) with \( u_i \in A' \). We have \( u = \varphi^{2\ell n}(u_0)\varphi^{2\ell n}(u_1)\varphi^{2\ell n}(u_2) \ldots \) for every \( n \). Let \( b \in A' \) be unbounded. Since \( b \) occurs in \( \varphi^{2\ell}(c) \) for all unbounded letters \( c \in A' \) and the length of factors of \( u \) over bounded letters only is bounded by \( Q_{G'} \), the letter \( b \) occurs in \( u \) infinitely many times with bounded gaps. Thus, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the factors \( \varphi^{2\ell n}(b) \) also occur infinitely many times with bounded gaps in \( u \). Since any factor of \( u \) is a factor of \( \varphi^{2\ell n}(b) \) for some \( n \) and \( b \in A' \) unbounded, it also occurs infinitely many times with bounded gaps. Thus, \( L_{G'} \) is uniformly recurrent and we may use Lemma 2 to obtain the claim.

Assume that \( G' \) is pushy. Let \( u^\omega \) be an infinite repetition in \( \text{fact}(\Psi(L_{G'})) \) such that there exists an infinite factor of \( L_{G'} \), denoted \( w \), such that \( \Psi(w) = u^\omega \) (this is done without loss of generality by picking the right conjugate of \( u \)). Corollary 11 states that \( w \) contains arbitrarily long factors over bounded letters. The structure of such factors is described by Theorem 1. In detail, there is a finite set of words over bounded letters such that a long enough factor over bounded letters contains an arbitrarily long repetition of a factor from this finite set. We conclude that the number of infinite repetitions in \( \Psi(L_{G'}) \) is also finite.

Theorem 13. The number of infinite repetitions of \( H \) is finite.

Proof. Let \( u^\omega \) be an infinite repetition in \( L_H \) and let \( w \) be an infinite factor of \( L_G \) such that \( \Psi(w) = u^\omega \). (Again, this is done without loss of generality...
by picking the right conjugate of \( u \).) Lemma 10 implies there exists a leaf \( A' \) and an unbounded letter \( x \in A' \) such that \( w \) contains arbitrarily long factors from \( L_{G'} \) with \( G' = (A', \varphi|_{A'}, x) \). It follows that \( u^\omega \) is an infinite repetition of \( \text{fact}(\Psi(L_{G'})) \). By Lemma 12, the number of infinite repetitions in \( \text{fact}(\Psi(L_{G'})) \) is finite.

As the number of leaves in the tree of invariant subalphabets is also finite, the total number of infinite repetitions in \( L_H \) is finite. \( \square \)
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