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Abstract. We prove that every locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with subobject classifier has a strict initial object and disjoint and universal binary coproducts.

1. Introduction

1.1. Elementary Toposes and Finite Colimits. Categorical logic uses results and constructions from category theory to study type theory, set theory and other concepts in mathematical logic. One key concept in categorical logic is that of an elementary topos. Elementary toposes admit a natural interpretation of higher-order logic [Joh02b, Chapter D4], and also give rise to models of set theories [MLM94, JM95].

Elementary toposes were defined by Lawvere and Tierney as a generalization of Grothendieck toposes, which are always locally presentable and hence have infinite limits and colimits [sga72]. Hence, the first definitions of elementary toposes generalizing Grothendieck toposes assumed the existence of both finite limits and finite colimits [Law70, Tie72]. It was later realized that the existence of finite colimits could in fact be deduced from the other axioms and concretely that we have the following result: Every finitely complete Cartesian closed category with subobject classifier has finite colimits [Mik72, Par74, Mik76].

In the recent decades we have witnessed significant advances in the study of homotopy invariant mathematics. In particular, there are is now a well developed theory of homotopy invariant categories, known as higher categories, $(\infty,1)$-categories or simply $\infty$-categories [Ber10], which have been used extensively in many areas relevant to homotopy theory, such as homotopy coherent algebraic structures or derived geometry [Lur17]. In addition to that we also now have a homotopy invariant type theory, known as homotopy type theory [Uni13], leaving us with the task of developing higher categorical logic and in particular $(\infty,1)$-categorical versions of elementary toposes.

Grothendieck topos theory has been successfully generalized to the higher categorical setting, both in the context of model categories [Rez10] and quasi-categories [Lur09]. Based on their definitions, there are now generalizations known as elementary $(\infty,1)$-toposes and similar to the initial development of the 1-categorical case, the current axiomatizations all assume the existence of finite colimits [Shu17, Ras18].

This leaves us with the natural question whether, similar to the classical case, we can recover colimits from the remaining axioms. While not completely settling this question, we will take an important step in this direction, by proving that every locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with subobject classifier has strict initial objects and finite disjoint coproducts. Notice that this result does not just imply that the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ has finite coproducts, but additional properties. In particular, the fact that $\mathcal{C}$ is locally Cartesian closed also implies that coproducts are universal [Lur09, Definition 6.1.1.2]. The combination of universal and disjoint coproducts
moreover gives an equivalence of $\infty$-categories
\[(i^*_A, i^*_B) : \mathcal{E}/_A \coprod B \to \mathcal{E}/_A \times \mathcal{E}/_B,\]
and in fact a more general equivalence (Corollary 5.5), that is a specific case of the descent condition [Rez10, 6.5 (P1)]. In the context of 1-categories, this is also known as finite extensivity [CLW93].

1.2. Partial Elements and Type Theory. Our initial hope might be that we could reproduce the classical proof in the context of $(\infty, 1)$-categories. This, however, turns out not to be possible and it is instructive to understand why that is the case in order to motivate our proof.

In [Par74] Paré proves that for a given elementary topos $\mathcal{E}$, the power object functor $\Omega(-) : \mathcal{E}^{op} \to \mathcal{E}$ is adjoint to itself and the resulting adjunction is monadic using Beck’s theorem, deducing that $\mathcal{E}^{op}$ has finite limits.

Although there is an $\infty$-categorical analogue of Beck’s theorem [Lur17], this proof cannot be generalized as the corresponding functor of $\infty$-categories $\Omega(-) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{C}$ is not monadic and in fact the functor is not even conservative for the most simple examples. Indeed, if $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{S}$, the $\infty$-category of spaces, then $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$, the two element set, and the functor $\Omega(-) : \mathbb{S}^{op} \to \mathbb{S}$ takes every connected space to $\Omega$, and every map between connected spaces to an equivalence.

A second approach – possibly due to Mikkelsen [Mik72, Mik76], for a nice exposition see [Str04, pg. 94] – uses the fact that elementary toposes are models for higher order logic to give explicit constructions for the initial object, coproduct and coequalizer. Unfortunately, we again cannot simply generalize this approach to the $(\infty, 1)$-categorical setting for several reasons.

Firstly, up until this point we cannot prove that all locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-categories are models of homotopy type theory with $\Pi$-types. In fact we can currently only prove this if the $\infty$-category is presentable and in that case we use the fact that we can reduce it to model categories [GK17]. This in particular means there is no particular way to generalize the proof as general $\infty$-categories cannot be obtained from model categories.

We might hope that we could manually translate the necessary constructions from type theory into category theory and so obtain the result in the $\infty$-categorical context. However, this effort is also doomed to fail. A key aspect of the construction of coproducts is the fact that for every object $A$ in an elementary topos $\mathcal{E}$ there is a monomorphism $A \to \Omega^A$. Using this monomorphism we can embed two arbitrary objects $A, B$ into a larger object $\Omega^A \times \Omega^B$ and then extract the coproduct as the join of those subobjects.

In the $\infty$-categorical setting the corresponding map $A \to \Omega^A$ can’t be monic in general, since $\Omega^A$ is always $0$-truncated. So, even a manual translation of the type theoretic argument would not work.

The solution is to carefully adjust the relevant arguments to avoid all these pitfalls.

1. Given a locally cartesian closed $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ with subobject classifier $\Omega$ we can use classical arguments from second order logic to show that all its subobject lattices have finite joins (Theorem 3.4).
2. In particular, every object has a least subobject, and using ideas from homotopy type theory, in particular the object of contractibility (Definition 2.6), we can prove that any such least subobject is in fact initial (Corollary 4.3).
3. For any object $A$ we construct the object of partial elements $\bar{A}$ (a.k.a. partial map classifier or partial map representer [Joh02a, pg. 101]) and prove that there is a monomorphism $A \to \bar{A}$. In other words $\bar{A}$ replaces the object $\Omega^A$ used in the above argument. (Lemma 5.1).
Finally, again using the object of contractibility we can deduce that the join of two disjoint subobjects \( A, B \) inside \( \bar{A} \times \bar{B} \) is in fact the coproduct (Theorem 5.4).

### 1.3. Why not pushouts? Where to go from here?

Recall that in the context of elementary toposes we could recover all finite colimits using the remaining axioms. In order to obtain a similar result for \( \infty \)-categories we would have to prove the existence of coequalizers, or equivalently pushouts. However, in the last section we show that, unlike the 1-categorical situation, assuming the existence of a subobject classifier in fact does not suffice to prove the existence of pushouts in locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-categories (Example 6.3).

We can in fact give a more conceptual argument why it is possible to recover coproducts from the subobject classifier but not pushouts: The universal property of coproducts in \( \infty \)-categories only depends on the homotopy types of the mapping spaces, since the diagram used for coproducts is discrete and so cannot involve any higher homotopies. On the other side the diagram used to construct pushouts \((\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet)\) is not discrete which means that the universal property of pushouts necessarily involves the notion of homotopy coherent diagram [Lur09, Section 1.2.6].

Hence, it remains to determine what precise conditions we need to add to a locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-category with a subobject classifier to be able to construct all finite colimits. The current hope is that we can obtain this result by additionally assuming the existence of universes.

### 1.4. \( \infty \)-Categorical Conventions

Throughout we will use \( \infty \)-categorical language and results via the model of quasi-categories as developed in [Lur09]. However, the results proven here only rely on ‘model independent’ properties of higher categories such as finite limits and locally Cartesian closure and so also hold analogously in any other \( \infty \)-cosmos [RV17].
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## 2. Some Facts about Locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-Categories

Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be an \( \infty \)-category with finite limits. Then for every morphism \( f : A \to B \), the pullback functor \( f^* : \mathcal{C}/B \to \mathcal{C}/A \) has a left adjoint \( f_! : \mathcal{C}/A \to \mathcal{C}/B \) given by post-composition. On the other hand it does not always have a right adjoint. If the right adjoint \( f_* : \mathcal{C}/A \to \mathcal{C}/B \) exists for all \( f \) then \( \mathcal{C} \) is called locally Cartesian closed. If \( B \) is the terminal object, we informally identify \( \mathcal{C} \) with \( \mathcal{C}/1 \) (see [Lur09, 1.2.12.4]) and simply write \( A ! \dashv A * \dashv A _* \) for the adjoint string of functors along the terminal projection \( A \to 1 \).

**Lemma 2.1** (Beck–Chevalley condition). Given a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
P & \rightarrow & A \\
\downarrow k & & \downarrow f \\
B & \rightarrow & C
\end{array}
\]

in an \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \) with pullbacks, the canonical transformation

\[
h_! \circ k^* \to f^* \circ g_!
\]
is an equivalence. If \( \mathcal{C} \) is locally Cartesian closed, then the canonical natural transformation
\[
f^* \circ g_* \to h_* \circ k^*
\]
is an equivalence.

**Proof.** This is proven for the \( \infty \)-category of spaces in [GHK21, Lemma 2.1.6], but the proof only relies on \( \mathcal{C} \) being locally Cartesian closed. \( \square \)

Recall that \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \) is called Cartesian closed if it has finite products and for every \( A \in \mathcal{C} \) the product functor \( (\_ \times A) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \) has a right adjoint commonly written \( A(!) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \). Every locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-category is Cartesian closed since \( (\_ \times A) \) can be decomposed as \( A(!) \circ A^* \), and both \( A(!) \) and \( A^* \) have right adjoints. Since slices of locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-categories are obviously locally Cartesian closed, we can conclude that all slices of locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-categories are Cartesian closed. Moreover, we can deduce from the Beck–Chevalley condition that exponentiation commutes with pullback functors:

**Lemma 2.2.** Given morphisms \( f : B \to A \), \( g : C \to A \), and \( h : D \to A \) in a locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( g, h \in \mathcal{C}/A \), we have \( f^*(hg) \simeq (f^*h)(f^*g) \).

**Proof.** Form the pullback square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
P & \xrightarrow{f} & C \\
f^*g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
B & \xrightarrow{f} & A
\end{array}
\]
of \( g \) along \( f \) and denote the ‘exponentiation by \( g \)’ functor \( h \mapsto g_*(g^*h) \) by \( E_g \). We have
\[
\begin{align*}
f^* \circ E_g & \simeq f^* \circ g_* \circ g^* \\
& \simeq (f^*g)_* \circ f^* \circ g^* \\
& \simeq (f^*g)_* \circ (f^*g)^* \circ f^* \\
& \simeq E_{f^*g} \circ f^*
\end{align*}
\]
\( \square \)

### 2.1. Truncation and monomorphisms.

For \( n \geq -2 \), recall that an object \( A \) in an \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \) is called \( n \)-truncated if the mapping space \( \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A) \) is \( n \)-truncated for all objects \( X \in \mathcal{C} \). The object is called contractible or terminal if it is \((-2)\)-truncated, and subterminal if it is \((-1)\)-truncated.

A map \( f : A \to B \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) is called \( n \)-truncated if for all \( X \in \mathcal{C} \) the postcomposition map \( \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, f) : \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A) \to \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, B) \) is an \( n \)-truncated map in \( \mathcal{S} \), i.e. if its fibers are \( n \)-truncated spaces. If \( \mathcal{C} \) has a terminal object \( 1 \) then an object \( A \) is \( n \)-truncated iff the morphism \( A \to 1 \) is \( n \)-truncated. Conversely, \( f : A \to B \) is \( n \)-truncated as a morphism in \( \mathcal{C} \) iff it is \( n \)-truncated as an object in \( \mathcal{C}/B \).

A morphism \( f : A \to B \) is \((-2)\)-truncated iff it is an equivalence. If \( \mathcal{C} \) has pullbacks, then \( f : A \to B \) is \((n+1)\)-truncated iff its diagonal \( \delta_f : A \to A \times_B A \) is \( n \)-truncated.

Maps that are \((-1)\)-truncated are also called monomorphisms. Thus, \( f : A \to B \) is a monomorphism iff its diagonal \( \delta_f : A \to A \times_B A \) is an equivalence, i.e. the commutative square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{id} & A \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow f \\
A & \xrightarrow{f} & B
\end{array}
\]
is a pullback.
Lemma 2.3. Let $m : U \to A$ be a monomorphism in an $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ with finite limits.

1. For every $f : B \to U$, the commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \xrightarrow{id} & B \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
U & \xrightarrow{m} & A
\end{array}
$$

is a pullback.

2. The adjunction $m \dashv m^*$ is a coreflection, i.e. its unit is an equivalence.

3. If $\mathcal{C}$ is locally Cartesian closed then the adjunction $m^* \dashv m_*$ is a reflection, i.e. its counit is an equivalence.

Proof. The first claim follows from the pullback lemma since both small squares in the following diagram are pullbacks.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \xrightarrow{id} & B \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
U & \xrightarrow{id} & U \\
\downarrow id & & \downarrow m \\
U & \xrightarrow{m} & A
\end{array}
$$

The second claim follows from the first since the unit of $m \dashv m^*$ at $f : U \to A$ is the canonical map from $f$ to $m^*(m \circ f)$. The third claim follows from the second since the rightmost functor in an adjoint triple is fully faithful if the leftmost is. □

Lemma 2.4. Two subterminal objects $A, B$ in an $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ are equivalent whenever there exist maps $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to A$.

Proof. This follows since all parallel maps into a subterminal are homotopic, in particular every endomorphism is homotopic to the identity. □

Lemma 2.5. Let $A$ and $B$ be 0-truncated objects in an $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$, and let $m : A \to B$, $e : B \to A$ such that $e \circ m = \text{id}_A$ in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $m$ is a monomorphism.

Proof. We give a proof in $S$, the proof in general $\infty$-categories reduces to $S$ by applying corepresentable functors $\text{Map}(X, -)$.

We have to show that $\delta_m : A \to A \times_B A$ is an equivalence. This map may be viewed as a map in the slice category over $A \times A$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \times_B A \\
\downarrow \delta_A & & \downarrow \delta_B \\
A \times A & \xrightarrow{m \times m} & B \times B
\end{array}
$$

Since $\delta_A$ and $\ker(m)$ are monomorphisms it’s sufficient by Lemma 2.4 to exhibit a map over $A \times A$ in the opposite direction of $\delta_m$. Such a map is given by the mediating map in the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{e} & B \\
\downarrow \delta_A & & \downarrow \delta_B \\
A \times A & \xrightarrow{m \times m} & B \times B
\end{array}
$$

where the front square is a pullback since $(e \times e) \circ (m \times m) \simeq \text{id}$. □
2.2. The object of contractibility. Finally we will make use of the object of contractibility, motivated from homotopy type theory.

**Definition 2.6.** Given an object $A$ in a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$, we define the object $\text{isContr}(A)$ by $\text{isContr}(A) = A(\pi_*\delta_A)$, where $\delta_A : A \to A \times A$ is the diagonal and $\pi : A \times A \to A$ is the first projection.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category and let $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

1. The object $\text{isContr}(A)$ is always subterminal.
2. $A$ is terminal iff $\text{isContr}(A)$ is terminal.
3. Given a second object $B$, we have $B^*(\text{isContr}(A)) \simeq \text{isContr}(B^*A)$ in $\mathcal{C}/B$.

**Proof.** For 1,2 see [Ras21, Subsection 4.8].

The third claim follows from the Beck–Chevalley condition for the pullback squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B \times A \times A & \to & B \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A \times A & \to & A \\
\end{array}
\]

and the equivalences

- $\mathcal{C}/A \simeq \mathcal{C}$
- $\mathcal{C}(B \times A) \simeq (\mathcal{C}/B)/(B^*A)$
- $\mathcal{C}(B \times A \times A) \simeq (\mathcal{C}/B)/(B^*A \times B^*A)$,

the latter two being special cases of the dual of [Lur09, 2.1.2.5]. □

For more details on the object of contractibility in locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-categories see [Ras21, Subsection 4.8].

3. Subobject Classifiers in $\infty$-Categories

3.1. Subobject lattices and the indexed poset. Assume again that $\mathcal{C}$ has pullbacks. The subobject lattice $\text{Sub}(A)$ of an object $A$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{/A}$ spanned by monomorphisms. $\text{Sub}(A)$ is closed under finite limits in $\mathcal{C}_{/A}$, and since parallel maps between subterminals are always homotopic, $\text{Sub}(A)$ is (equivalent to the nerve of) a poset, whence the finite limits are actually finite ‘meets’ (infima), and $\text{Sub}(A)$ is a meet-semilattice.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is locally Cartesian closed then the Cartesian closure of its slices $\mathcal{C}_{/A}$ is inherited to the subobject lattices $\text{Sub}(A)$, since exponentiation preserves truncatedness as a right adjoint. We shall refer to Cartesian closed posets as Heyting semilattices.

The Cartesian exponentiation operation is called Heyting implication in the posetal case, and denoted $(- \Rightarrow -)$. For $f : B \to A$, the pullback functor $f^* : \mathcal{C}_{/A} \to \mathcal{C}_{/B}$ restricts to a monotone and finite-meet-preserving map between subobject lattices.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sub}(B) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \text{Sub}(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{C}_{/B} & \leftrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{/A}
\end{array}
\]

If $\mathcal{C}$ is locally Cartesian closed, then $f^*$ furthermore preserves Heyting implication by Lemma 2.2, i.e. it is a morphism of Heyting semilattices.

Since homotopic maps in $\mathcal{C}$ induce equal maps between subobject lattices, the assignment $A \mapsto \text{Sub}(A)$ is functorial on the homotopy category – i.e. it gives rise to a contravariant functor

$\text{Sub}(-) : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \to \text{HSLat}$
into the category $\mathrm{HSLat}$ of Heyting semilattices.

The postcomposition maps $f : \mathcal{C}_B \to \mathcal{C}_A$ do not generally restrict to subobject lattices (only if $f$ itself is a monomorphism), but if $\mathcal{C}$ is l.c.c. then the right adjoints $f^*$ restrict to monomorphisms, so that for each $f : B \to A$, the adjunction between slices restricts to an adjunction between subobject lattices.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sub}(B) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \text{Sub}(A) \\
\downarrow \varpi_f & & \downarrow \varpi_f \\
\mathcal{C}_B & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{C}_A
\end{array}
$$

In other words, for each $f : B \to A$ in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$, the monotone map $f^* : \text{Sub}(A) \to \text{Sub}(B)$ has a right adjoint which we denote $\forall_f : \text{Sub}(B) \to \text{Sub}(A)$.

By uniqueness of adjoints, this ‘universal quantification’ operation gives rise to a covariant functor of type $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{SLat}$ with the same object part as $\text{(3.1)}$.

3.2. Subobject classifiers. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be again an $\infty$-category with pullbacks. We define $\text{cMono}(\mathcal{C})$ to be the non-full subcategory of the arrow category $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$ with monomorphisms as objects and pullback squares as morphisms.

The codomain projection $p : \text{cMono}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ is a Cartesian fibration, and in fact it is even a right fibration [Lur09, 6.1.3.4].

Remark 3.1. Equivalently, subobject classifiers can be defined as generic predicates in $\text{Sub}$.

A subobject classifier in $\mathcal{C}$ is by definition a terminal object in $\text{cMono}(\mathcal{C})$ [Lur09, 6.1.6.1].

Theorem 3.2. Let $t t : U \to \Omega$ be a subobject classifier in an $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ with pullbacks. Then $U$ is terminal and $\Omega$ is 0-truncated.

Proof. $\Omega$ is 0-truncated since it classifies the underlying presheaf of $\text{Sub}$, which is a presheaf of 0-types. $U$ is terminal since it classifies maximal subobjects. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $m : A \to B$, $e : B \to A$ be maps in a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ such that $e \circ m = \text{id}_A$ in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$. Then given $U \in \text{Sub}(B)$, we have $\forall_e U \leq m^* U$ in $\text{Sub}(A)$.

Proof. By adjunction we have $U \leq \forall_m m^* U$, and therefore we can argue $\forall_e U \leq \forall_e \forall_m m^* U \leq \forall_m m^* U \leq m^* U$ by functoriality of $\forall$ on $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$. □

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with subobject classifier $\mathfrak{u} \in \text{Sub}(\Omega)$. Then for every object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ the poset $\text{Sub}(A)$ has finite joins.

Proof. Given $A \in \mathcal{C}$ we claim that a least element of $\text{Sub}(A)$ is given by

$$
\bot = \forall_{\pi_1} \pi_2^* \mathfrak{u},
$$

where $A \xleftarrow{\pi_1} A \times \Omega \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \Omega$ is a product span. Let $U \in \text{Sub}(A)$, and let $f : A \to \Omega$ with $f^* \mathfrak{u} = U$. Then we have

$$
\bot = \forall_{\pi_1} \pi_2^* \mathfrak{u} \leq (\text{id}_A, f)^* \pi_2^* \mathfrak{u} \leq f^* \mathfrak{u} \leq U
$$

by Lemma 3.3

by functoriality of $(\cdot)^*$
Given \( U, V \in \text{Sub}(A) \) we claim that a binary join is given by

\[ U \lor V = \forall_{\pi_i} ((\pi^*_1 U \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \land (\pi^*_1 V \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}). \]

The derivation

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi^*_1 U \land (\pi^*_1 U \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) & \leq \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U} \\
\Rightarrow \pi^*_1 U \land (\pi^*_1 U \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \land (\pi^*_1 V \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) & \leq \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U} \\
\Rightarrow \pi^*_1 U \leq (\pi^*_1 U \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \land (\pi^*_1 V \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) & \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U} \\
\Rightarrow U & \leq \forall_{\pi_i} ((\pi^*_1 U \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \land (\pi^*_1 V \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U})
\end{align*}
\]

shows that \( U \) is indeed smaller than \( U \lor V \), and similarly for \( V \). To show that \( U \lor V \) is a least upper bound let \( W \in \text{Sub}(A) \) with \( U \leq W \) and \( V \leq W \), and let \( g : A \rightarrow \Omega \) with \( g^* \mathbf{U} = W \). Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
U \lor V &= \forall_{\pi_i} ((\pi^*_1 U \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \land (\pi^*_1 V \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \\
&\leq (\langle d_A, g \rangle) (\pi^*_1 U \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \land (\pi^*_1 V \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \Rightarrow \pi^*_2 \mathbf{U}) \quad \text{by Lemma 3.3} \\
&= (U \Rightarrow W) \land (V \Rightarrow W) \Rightarrow W \\
&= W
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \square \]

Remark 3.5. The argument in the previous proof is well known from second order logic, and in its categorical incarnation from tripos theory [HJP80, Pitts1] and elementary topos theory [Str04, Theorem 13.5]. It works in general whenever we have a presheaf \( \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{HSLat} \) of Heyting semilattices on a 1-category with finite products, such that

1. reindexing maps along product projections have right adjoints, and
2. \( \mathcal{H} \) has a generic predicate, i.e. the category of elements of the underlying presheaf of sets of \( \mathcal{H} \) has a weakly terminal object.

4. Initial Objects

In this section we prove that every locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-category with subobject classifier has a strict initial object.

Definition 4.1. An initial object in an \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \) is an object 0 such that \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(0, A) \) is contractible for all \( A \in \mathcal{C} \). The initial object is called strict, if \( \mathcal{C}_{/0} \) is equivalent to the terminal \( \infty \)-category.

The following theorem gives a characterization of initial objects.

Theorem 4.2. Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a locally Cartesian closed \( \infty \)-category and \( I \) an object of \( \mathcal{C} \). Then the following are equivalent.

1. \( I \) is initial in \( \mathcal{C} \).
2. \( \mathcal{C}_{/I} \) is equivalent to the terminal \( \infty \)-category.
3. \( \text{Sub}(I) \) is equivalent to the terminal preorder.

Proof. Evidently both (1) and (2) imply (3). Conversely, assume that \( \text{Sub}(I) \simeq 1 \).

To show that \( I \) is initial we have to show that the mapping space \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(I, X) \) is terminal for all \( X \in \mathcal{C} \). Since \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(I, X) \simeq \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(1, X^I) \) and \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(1, -) \) preserves finite limits, it is enough to show that \( X^I \) is terminal in \( \mathcal{C} \). Since \( X^I = \Pi_I X \) and \( \Pi_I : \mathcal{C}_{/I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) preserves limits, it is enough to show that \( I^X \) is terminal in \( \mathcal{C}_{/I} \). For this it is enough to show that \( \text{isContr}(I^X) \) is maximal in \( \text{Sub}(I) \), which follows from the assumption.

Finally, if \( I \) has no non-trivial sub-object then for any \( X \rightarrow I \), \( \text{isContr}(X) \rightarrow I \) is the maximal subobject, meaning that \( X \rightarrow I \) is an equivalence. \[ \square \]
Corollary 4.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally cartesian closed $\infty$-category with subobject classifier. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has a strict initial object.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, the terminal object of $\mathcal{C}$ has a least subobject $0 \rightarrow 1$. Since any subobject of a least subobject is trivial we have $\text{Sub}(0) \simeq 1$, and Theorem 4.2 implies that 0 is a strict initial object. □

5. Binary Coproducts

In this section we prove every locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with subobject classifier $\Omega$ has finite coproducts by using the fact that $\Omega$ has finite joins (Theorem 3.4).

Lemma 5.1. Let $A$ be an object in a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ with subobject classifier $\mathcal{U} : 1 \rightarrow \Omega$. Then there exists an object $\overline{A}$ admitting disjoint monomorphisms of $A$ and 1, i.e. there exists a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \longrightarrow & \overline{A}
\end{array}
\]

where all sides are monomorphisms and the upper left object is initial.

Proof. Let $a : A \rightarrow 1$ be the terminal projection, and define $(\pi : \overline{A} \rightarrow \Omega) = \mathcal{U} \ast a$. Then by Lemma 2.3(3) we have $\mathcal{U} \ast \pi \simeq a$, i.e. there is a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & \overline{A} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega
\end{array}
\]

The lower map is a monomorphism by Lemma 2.5, and the upper map is a monomorphism by pullback stability. Now let

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega
\end{array}
\]

be the classifying pullback square of the least subobject $0 \rightarrow 1$ of 1, such that $\mathcal{F} : 1 \rightarrow \Omega$ represents the truth value ‘false’. Again, $\mathcal{F}$ is a monomorphism by Lemma 2.5. The upper and left maps can be chosen to be equal since $\text{Map}_C(0, 1)$ is a contractible space.

Forming the pullback in the arrow category $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ we obtain a commutative cube

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I & \longrightarrow & J \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \longrightarrow & \overline{A} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
0 & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

in which the left and right sides are pullbacks, since pullbacks are computed pointwise in functor categories. We already know that the front and bottom squares are pullbacks, and conclude that the remaining two are as well by the pullback lemma. The map $i$ is an equivalence by Theorem 4.2. Furthermore we have

\[
j \simeq \mathcal{F}^\ast (\mathcal{U} \ast a) \simeq e \ast (e^\ast a) \simeq e \ast i
\]
by the Beck–Chevalley condition (Lemma 2.1), which means that \( j \) is an equivalence as well since terminal objects are preserved by right adjoints.

Finally, \( k \) is a monomorphism as a pullback of \( \mathcal{F} \) and the desired square is recovered on the top of the cube. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \( U, V \in \text{Sub}(1) \) be subterminals in a locally Cartesian closed infinite category \( \mathcal{C} \), such that \( U \lor V = \top \) in \( \text{Sub}(1) \). An object \( A \in \mathcal{C} \) is contractible whenever \( U^* A \) is contractible in \( \mathcal{C}_{/U} \) and \( V^* A \) is contractible in \( \mathcal{C}_{/V} \).

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show \( \text{isContr}(A) \geq U \) and \( \text{isContr}(A) \geq V \) in \( \text{Sub}(1) \), or equivalently that \( U^* \text{isContr}(A) \simeq 1 \) and \( V^* \text{isContr}(A) \simeq 1 \) in \( \mathcal{C}_{/U} \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{/V} \), respectively. This follows from the assumption together with Proposition 2.7(2) since we have \( U^*(\text{isContr}(A)) \simeq \text{isContr}(U^* A) \) and \( V^*(\text{isContr}(A)) \simeq \text{isContr}(V^* A) \) by Proposition 2.7(3). \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( i : U \rightarrow A \) and \( j : V \rightarrow B \) be a cospan of monomorphisms in a l.c.c. infinite category \( \mathcal{C} \), such that \( U \land V \) is a least subobject of \( A \), and \( \top \) is a least upper bound of \( U \) and \( V \) in \( \text{Sub}(A) \). Then \( i \) and \( j \) exhibit \( A \) as a coproduct of \( U \) and \( V \).

**Proof.** Since the forgetful functor \( A : \mathcal{C}_{/A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) preserves coproducts as a left adjoint we may w.l.o.g. work in the slice category and thus assume that \( A = 1 \).

We have to show that for all objects \( X \in \mathcal{C} \) and arrows \( f : U \rightarrow X \), \( g : V \rightarrow X \), the pullback of the cospan

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(1, X) \\
\downarrow^{(\text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(i, X), \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(j, X))} \\
1 \xrightarrow{(f, g)} \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(U, X) \times \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(V, X)
\end{array}
\]

in \( \mathcal{S} \) is contractible. This cospan is equivalent to the image of the cospan

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \\
\downarrow^{(c, d)} \\
1 \xrightarrow{(f, g)} X^U \times X^V
\end{array}
\]

under \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(1, -) \), where \( c \) and \( d \) are exponential transposes of projection maps. Since \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(1, -) \) preserves limits, it suffices to show that the pullback of the latter cospan is terminal in \( \mathcal{C} \). By Lemma 5.2 and since pullback functors preserve limits, it suffices to show that the images of (5) under \( U^* \) and \( V^* \) are contractible in \( \mathcal{C}_{/U} \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{/V} \), respectively. By symmetry, it is enough to consider the first case. We have

\[
U^*(X^U) = U^*(U_*(U^* X)) \simeq U^* X
\]

since \( U^* \dashv U_* \) is a reflection (Lemma 2.3), and by applying the Beck–Chevalley condition for the pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{i} & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \xrightarrow{j} & 1
\end{array}
\]

we get

\[
U^*(X^V) = U^*(V_*(V^* X)) \simeq i_* (i^* (V^* X)) \simeq i_* 1 \simeq 1,
\]

since all objects over 0 are terminal (Theorem 4.2). Furthermore one can show that modulo the equivalence (5) we have \( U^*(c) \simeq \text{id} \), and since \( U^* \) preserves limits we conclude

\[
U^* \left( \begin{array}{c}
X \\
\downarrow^{(c, d)} \\
1 \rightarrow X^U \times X^V
\end{array} \right) \simeq \left( \begin{array}{c}
U^* X \\
\downarrow^{\text{id}} \\
U^* 1 \rightarrow U^* X
\end{array} \right).
\]
The pullback of the right hand cospan is contractible in $\mathcal{C}/U$ since $U^*1$ is, and equivalences are stable under pullback.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with a subobject classifier. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has disjoint binary coproducts.

**Proof.** Let $A$ and $B$ be objects of $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 5.3 it is sufficient to find an object $C$ admitting monomorphisms $A \hookrightarrow C$ and $B \hookrightarrow C$ such that $A \land B = \bot$ and $A \lor B = \top$ in $\text{Sub}(C)$.

By Lemma 5.1 we have pullback squares

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \longrightarrow & \overline{A}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B & \longrightarrow & \overline{B}
\end{array}
$$

Forming the ‘transposed product’

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \rightarrow 1 \\
\downarrow \\
A \rightarrow \overline{A}
\end{pmatrix}
\times
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \rightarrow B \\
\downarrow \\
A \rightarrow \overline{A}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \rightarrow B \\
\downarrow \\
A \rightarrow \overline{A} \times \overline{B}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

of these two pullbacks yields a pullback square exhibiting $A$ and $B$ as disjointly embedded in an object $A \times \overline{B}$. The desired cospan $A \hookrightarrow C \twoheadrightarrow B$ is obtained by setting $C = A \lor B$ in $\text{Sub}(A \times \overline{B})$. □

The following corollary summarizes our results.

**Corollary 5.5.** Let $\{A_k\}_{k \in I}$ be a finite family of objects in a l.c.c. $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ with subobject classifier. Then the coproduct $\coprod_{k \in I} A_k$ exists, and the inclusion maps $i_k : A_k \rightarrow \coprod_{k \in I} A_k$ give rise to an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

\[(i^*_{k})_{k \in I} : \mathcal{C}/\coprod_{k \in I} A_k \rightarrow \coprod_{k \in I} \mathcal{C}/A_k.\]

**Proof.** If $I$ is empty, then this is precisely the statement that the initial object exists and is strict (Corollary 4.3). For $I$ non-empty, this is a direct consequence of the fact that coproducts exists and are disjoint (Theorem 5.4) and universal, as $\mathcal{C}$ is locally Cartesian closed and left adjoints preserve colimits [Lur09, Proposition 5.2.3.5]. □

### 6. Coproduct and Pushouts in an Elementary $\infty$-Topos

In this final section we apply our result to the theory of elementary $\infty$-toposes. Following [Ras18, Shu17] we consider the following definition.

**Definition 6.1.** An elementary $\infty$-topos $\mathcal{E}$ is a finitely bicomplete locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with subobject classifier and enough universes.

Corollary 5.5 immediately give us the following.

**Corollary 6.2.** $\mathcal{E}$ is an elementary $\infty$-topos if and only if it is a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with coequalizers, subobject classifier and sufficient universes.

This result moves us closer to the modern definition of elementary toposes, with the main difference being that we still assume the existence of coequalizers. The final question is whether we can construct coequalizers from the remaining axioms.

The following example shows that a subobject classifier certainly does not suffice to construct pushouts.


**Example 6.3.** Let $S^{tr}$ be the full subcategory of $S$ consisting of truncated spaces and notice this is a locally Cartesian closed $\infty$-category with subobject classifier, where the subobject classifier is the two element set $\{0,1\}$. We will show that the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
* \\
& S^1 & \\
& -
\end{array}
$$

does not have a pushout in $S^{tr}$. First, note the pushout of this diagram in $S$ is just $S^2$. This implies that the $n$-truncation $\tau_{\leq n}S^2$ is the pushout of this diagram in the subcategory $S^{\leq n}$ of $n$-truncated spaces.

Now, let us assume it has a pushout $C$ in $S^{tr}$. Let $Y$ be another object in $S^{tr}$ and let $Y$ be $n$-truncated. Then we have an equivalence

$$
\text{Map}_{S^{\leq n}}(\tau_{\leq n}C,Y) \simeq \text{Map}_{S^{tr}}(C,Y) \simeq \text{Map}_{S^{\leq n}}(\tau_{\leq n}S^2,Y)
$$

Thus $C$ is a truncated space that has the property $\tau_{\leq n}C \simeq \tau_{\leq n}S^2$, which is impossible, as $S^2$ is not truncated [Gra69].

**References**


