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Abstract

We introduce the conception of matched pairs of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebras, construct an \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebra through them. We prove that the cocycle twist of a matched pair of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebras can also be matched.
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1 Introduction and Preliminaries

A generalized Lie algebra in the comodule category of a cotriangular Hopf algebra which included Lie superalgebras and Lie color algebras as special cases has been studied by many authors, see [1, 2] and the references therein.

On the other hand, there is a general theory of matched pairs of Lie algebras which was introduced and studied by Majid in [4, 5]. It says that we can construct a new Lie algebra through a matched pair of Lie algebras.

In this note, we introduce the conception of matched pairs of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebras, construct an \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebra through them. Furthermore, we prove that the cocycle twist of a matched pair of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebras can also be matched.

We now fix some notation. Let \(H\) be a Hopf algebra, write the comultiplication \(\Delta : H \to H \otimes H\) by \(\Delta(h) = \sum h_{1} \otimes h_{2}\). When \(V\) is a left \(H\)-comodule with coaction \(\rho : V \to H \otimes V\), we write \(\rho(v) = \sum v_{(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)}\). We frequently omit the summation sign in the following context.

A pair \((H, \beta)\) is called a cotriangular Hopf algebra, if \(H\) is a Hopf algebra, \(\beta : H \otimes H \to k\) is a convolution-invertible bilinear map satisfying for all \(h, g, l \in H\),

\[(CT1) \quad \beta(h_{1}, g_{1})g_{2}h_{2} = \beta(h_{2}, g_{2})h_{1}g_{1};\]
\[(CT2) \quad \beta(h, gl) = \beta(h, g)\beta(h_{2}, l);\]
\[(CT3) \quad \beta(hg, l) = \beta(g, l_{1})\beta(h, l_{2});\]
(CT4) \( \beta(h_1, g_1)\beta(g_2, h_2) = \varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(h) \).

A map satisfying (CT2)–(CT4) is called a skew-symmetric bicharacter. Throughout this note, we always assume \( H \) is a cotriangular Hopf algebra that is commutative and cocommutative.

A convolution invertible map \( \sigma : H \otimes H \to k \) is called a left cocycle if for all \( h, g, l \in H \),
\[
\sigma(h_1, g_1)\sigma(h_2, l_1) = \sigma(g_1, l_1)\sigma(h_2, l_2),
\]
and a right cocycle if
\[
\sigma(h_1, l_1)\sigma(g_1, l_2) = \sigma(h, g_1)\sigma(l_1, g_2) = \sigma(h, g_2)\sigma(l_2, g_1).
\]

**Definition 1.1.** Let \((H, \beta)\) be a cotriangular Hopf algebra. An \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebra is a left \( H \)-comodule \( L \) together with a Lie bracket \([,] : L \otimes L \to L \) which is an \( H \)-comodule morphism satisfying, for all \( a, b, c \in L \)

1. \( \beta \)-anticommutativity:
\[
[a, b] = -\beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[b_{(0)}, a_{(0)}],
\]
2. \( \beta \)-Jacobi identity:
\[
[[a, b], c] + \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[c_{(0)}, a_{(0)}] + \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(0)})[c_{(0)}, a_{(0)}] + \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[c_{(0)}, a_{(0)}] = 0.
\]

When \( H = k\mathbb{Z}_2 \), \( \beta(x, y) = (-1)^{xy} \), for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \), this is exactly Lie superalgebra. When \( H = kG \), where \( G \) is an abelian group with a bicharacter \( \beta : G \times G \to k^* \) such that \( \beta(h, g) = \beta(g, h)^{-1} \) for all \( h, g \in G \), this is exactly Lie color algebra studied in [3, 6].

**Example 1.2.** Let \( A \) be a left \( H \)-comodule algebra. Define \([,]_{\beta} \) to be \([a, b]_{\beta} := ab - \sum \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)}) b_{(0)} a_{(0)} \). Then \((A, [\ , \]_{\beta})\) is an \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebra and is denoted by \( A_{\beta} \).

**Definition 1.3.** Let \((H, \beta)\) be a cotriangular Hopf algebra. An \((H, \beta)\)-Lie coalgebra is a left \( H \)-comodule \( A \) together with a Lie cobracket \( \delta : A \to A \otimes A \) which is an \( H \)-comodule morphism satisfying,

1. \( \beta \)-anticocommutativity:
\[
\delta(a) = -\beta(a_{[1](-1)}, a_{[2](-1)}) a_{[2](0)} \otimes a_{[1](0)},
\]
2. \( \beta \)-co-Jacobi identity:
\[
\begin{align*}
& a_{[1][1]} \otimes a_{[1][2]} \otimes a_{[2]} + \beta(a_{[1][1]}(-1) a_{[1][2]}(-1), a_{[2]}(-1)) a_{[2]} \otimes a_{[1][1]} \otimes a_{[1][2]} + \\
& \quad \beta(a_{[1][1]}(-1), a_{[1][2]}(-1) a_{[2]}(-1)) a_{[1][2]} \otimes a_{[2]} \otimes a_{[1][1]} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

where we use the notion \( \delta(a) = \sum a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]} \) for all \( a \in A \).
Example 1.4. Let $C$ be a left $H$-comodule coalgebra. Define $\delta_\beta : C \to C \otimes C$ to be

$$\delta_\beta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2 - \beta(c_1(-1), c_2(0)) c_2(0) \otimes c_1(0).$$

Then $(C, \delta_\beta)$ is an $(H, \beta)$-Lie coalgebra and is denoted by $(C_\beta, \delta_\beta)$.

Proposition 1.5. Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra with a skew-symmetric bicharacter $\beta : H \otimes H \to k$, and suppose $\sigma : H \otimes H \to k$ is a left cocycle.

(a) Define $H_\sigma$ to be $H$ as a coalgebra, with multiplication defined to be

$$h \cdot_\sigma l := \sigma^{-1}(h_1, l_1) h_2 l_2 \sigma(h_3, l_3).$$

Then $H$ (with a suitable antipode) is a Hopf algebra.

(b) Define the map $\beta_\sigma : H_\sigma \otimes H_\sigma \to k$ by, for all $h, l \in H$,

$$\beta_\sigma(h, l) := \sigma^{-1}(l_1, h_1) \beta(h_2, l_2) \sigma(h_3, l_3).$$

If $(H, \beta)$ is cotriangular, then $(H_\sigma, \beta_\sigma)$ is also cotriangular.

(c) If $A$ is a left $H$-comodule algebra, define $A^\sigma$ to be $A$ as a vector space and $H_\sigma$-comodule, with multiplication given by:

$$a \cdot^\sigma b := \sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)}) a_{(0)} b_{(0)}.$$

Then $A^\sigma$ is an $H_\sigma$-comodule algebra.

Definition 1.6. An $(H, \beta)$-Lie bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is a vector space equipped simultaneously with an $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebra structure $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and an $(H, \beta)$-Lie coalgebra $(\mathcal{H}, \delta)$ structure such that the following compatibility condition is satisfied: (LB):

$$\delta([a, b]) = [a, b_{[1]}] \otimes b_{[2]} + \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{[1](-1)}) b_{[1](0)} \otimes [a_{(0)}, b_{[2]}] + a_{[1]} \otimes [a_{[2]}, b] + \beta(a_{[2](1)}, b_{(-1)}) [a_{[1]}, b_{(0)}] \otimes a_{[2](0)}.$$

We denoted it by $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot], \delta)$.

2 Matched Pair of $(H, \beta)$-Lie Algebras

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}$ be both $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebras. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $h, g \in \mathcal{H}$, denote maps $\triangleright : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, $\triangleleft : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}$, by $\triangleright(h \otimes a) = h \triangleright a$, $\triangleleft(h \otimes a) = h \triangleleft a$. If $\mathcal{H}$ is an $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebra and the map $\triangleright : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$[h, g] \triangleright a = h \triangleright g \triangleright a - \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)}) g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a,$$
then $A$ is called a left $H$-module. Note that when considering $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebras, all action maps must be $H$-comodule maps. Thus for $h \in H, a \in A$, we have

$$\rho(h \triangleright a) = \sum h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)} \otimes h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}.$$ 

If $A$ is an $H$-module Lie algebra, then

$$h \triangleright [a, b] = [h \triangleright a, b] + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b]$$

and if $A$ is an $H$-module Lie coalgebra, then

$$\delta(h \triangleright a) = h \triangleright a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]} + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{[1]}(1))a_{[1](0)} \otimes h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{[2]}.$$ 

**Definition 2.1.** Let $A$ and $H$ be $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebras. If $A$ is a left $H$-module, $H$ is a right $A$-module, and the following (BB1) and (BB2) hold, then $(A, H)$ is called a matched pair of $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebras.

**(BB1):**

$$h \triangleright [a, b] = [h \triangleright a, b] + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright b] + (h \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b - \beta(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h \triangleleft b_{(0)}) \triangleright a_{(0)},$$

**(BB2):**

$$[h, g] \triangleleft a = [h, g \triangleleft a] + \beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[h \triangleleft a_{(0)}, g_{(0)}] + h \triangleleft (g \triangleright a) - \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft (h_{(0)} \triangleright a).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** If $(A, H)$ is a matched pair of $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebras, then the double cross sum $A \bowtie H$ form an $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebra which equals to $A \oplus H$ as linear space, but with Lie bracket

$$[a \oplus h, b \oplus g] = ([a, b] + h \triangleright b - \beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})$$

$$\oplus ([h, g] + h \triangleleft b - \beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}).$$

**Proof.** We show that the $\beta$-Jacobi identity holds for $A \bowtie H$. By definition,

$$[h, a] = h \triangleright a + h \triangleleft a, [a, h] = -\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})a_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} - \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})a_{(0)} \triangleleft h_{(0)}.$$ 

Thus we have

$$[[h, g], a] = [h, g] \triangleright a + [h, g] \triangleleft a,$$

for all $h, g \in H, a \in A$, and for the second item of $\beta$-Jacobi identity

$$\beta(h_{(-1)}g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)}], g_{(0)}]$$

$$= \beta(h_{(-2)}g_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})\beta((h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}), g_{(-1)})$$

$$g_{(0)} \triangleright (h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) + \beta(h_{(-2)}g_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})$$

$$[h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}, g_{(0)}] + \beta(h_{(-2)}g_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})$$

$$\beta((h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft (h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)}$$
The right hand side is equal to:

1st of RHS

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta(h_{(-3)}a_{(-3)}a_{(-2)}, h_{(-2)}a_{(-2)}, g_{(0)}) & \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\
\beta(h_{(-3)}, h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}) & \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\
\beta(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, h_{(-2)}) & \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\
\beta(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, g_{(0)} & \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\
\beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(0)} & \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\
& \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleright h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where we use the fact that $\triangleright : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a left $H$-comodule map in the first equality, (CT2) and (CT3) for $\beta$ in second equality, the cocommutative of $H$ in the fourth equality and (CT4) for $\beta$ in the fifth equality. Similarly,

\[
3rd \ of \ RHS = \beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)})g_{(0)} \triangleleft (h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}). 
\]

and

\[
2nd \ of \ RHS = -\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-2)}, a_{(-3)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}; g_{(0)}] \\
= -\beta(g_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[h \triangleleft a_{(0)}; g_{(0)}]. 
\]

As for the third item of $\beta$-Jacobi identity,

\[
\beta(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)},)[[g_{(0)}, a_{(0)}], h_{(0)}] \\
= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})\beta((g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, h_{(0)})h_{(0)} \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
-\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})\beta((g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(-1)}, h_{(0)})h_{(0)} \triangleleft (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})_{(0)} \\
+\beta(h_{(-1)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})[g_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}, h_{(0)}] \\
\]

The right hand side is equal to

1st of RHS

\[
\begin{align*}
-\beta(h_{(-2)}g_{(-2)}a_{(-2)}a_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}h_{(-1)}h_{(0)} & \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})) \\
-\beta(h_{(-4)}, g_{(-2)}h_{(-3)}a_{(-2)}a_{(-1)}h_{(-1)} & \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})) \\
\times \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} & \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) \\
-\beta(h_{(-4)}, g_{(-2)}h_{(-3)}a_{(-2)}a_{(-1)}h_{(-1)} & \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})) \\
\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})h_{(0)} & \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}) \\
-\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-2)}a_{(-1)}h_{(-1)}h_{(0)} & \triangleright (g_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})) \\
- h \triangleright (g \triangleright a) \\
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly,

$$2\text{nd of RHS} = -h \lhd (g \triangleright a)$$

and

$$3\text{rd of RHS} =$$

$$-\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)})\beta((g_{(0)} \lhd a_{(0)})(-1), h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)}, (g_{(0)} \lhd a_{(0)})(0)]$$

$$= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-2)}a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \lhd a_{(0)}]$$

$$= -\beta(h_{(-4)}, g_{(-2)}a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})$$

$$\times \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-2)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \lhd a_{(0)}]$$

$$= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, g_{(-2)}a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(g_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})$$

$$\times \beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-4)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \lhd a_{(0)}]$$

$$= -\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})[h_{(0)}, g_{(0)} \lhd a_{(0)}]$$

$$= -[h, g \lhd a].$$

Now by (BB2) and $\mathcal{A}$ is a left $\mathcal{H}$-module we have that the sum of three item equals to zero. The other cases can be checked similarly. □

**Proposition 2.3.** Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are $(H, \beta)$-Lie bialgebras, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ is a matched pair of $(H, \beta)$-Lie algebras; $\mathcal{A}$ is a left $\mathcal{H}$-module Lie coalgebra; $\mathcal{H}$ is a right $\mathcal{A}$-module $(H, \beta)$-Lie coalgebra. If $(id_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lhd)(\delta \otimes id_{\mathcal{A}}) + (\triangleright \otimes id_{\mathcal{A}})(id_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \delta_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$, i.e.

$$(BB3): \sum h_{[1]} \otimes h_{[2]} \triangleright a + \sum h_{(0)} \lhd a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]} = 0,$$

then $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H}$ becomes an $(H, \beta)$-Lie bialgebra.

**Proof.** The Lie algebra structure is as in theorem 2.2. The Lie cobracket is the one inherited from $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}$. $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are also $(H, \beta)$-Lie sub-bialgebras of $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{H}$. So we only check equation (LB) on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. For $h \in \mathcal{H}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \delta[h, a] = \delta(h \triangleright a) + \delta(h \lhd a)$, and by the ad-action on tenor product

$$h \triangleright \delta(a) + \delta(h) \lhd a$$

$$= h \triangleright a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]}(1) + h \lhd a_{[1]} \otimes a_{[2]}(2) + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{[1]}(1))$$

$$a_{[1]}(0) \otimes h(0) \triangleright a_{[2]}(3) + \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{[1]}(1))a_{[1]}(0) \otimes h(0) \lhd a_{[2]}(4)$$

$$+ h_{[1]} \otimes h_{[2]} \lhd a(5) + h_{[1]} \otimes h_{[2]} \triangleright a(6) + \beta(h_{[2]}(1), a_{(-1)}$$

$$h_{[1]} \lhd a_{(0)} \otimes h_{[2]}(0)(7) + \beta(h_{[2]}(1), a_{(-1)})h_{[1]} \triangleright a_{(0)} \otimes h_{[2]}(0)(8)$$

By (BB3), (2) + (6) = 0, (4) + (8) = 0. For the remaining four terms, $\delta(h \triangleright a) = (1) + (3)$ and $\delta(h \lhd a) = (5) + (7)$. □
3 Cocycle Twists of Matched Pairs of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie Algebras

The cocycle twist \(A^\sigma\) of an \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebra \(A\) was introduced in [1]. It is an \((H, \beta_\sigma)\)-Lie algebra with the map \([,]^{\sigma} : A \otimes A \to A\) given by

\[
[a, b]^{\sigma} = \sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}],
\]

where \(\forall a, b \in A\). If in addition, \(A\) is a left \(H\)-module \(\triangledown : H \otimes A \to A\), then we obtain that \(A^\sigma\) is a right \(H^\sigma\)-module \(\triangledown^\sigma : H \otimes A \to A\),

\[
h \triangledown^\sigma a = \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown a_{(0)}.
\]

See [1] Proposition 4.7. Similarly, \(A\) is a right \(H\)-module \(\triangledown : A \otimes H \to H\), then we obtain a right \(A^\sigma\)-module \(\triangledown^\sigma : H \otimes A \to H\) by

\[
h \triangledown^\sigma a = \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown a_{(0)}.
\]

We now prove that the cocycle twist of a matched pair of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebras can also matched.

**Theorem 3.1.** If \((A, H)\) is a matched pair of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebras, then \((A^\sigma, H^\sigma)\) is a matched pair of \((H, \beta_\sigma)\)-Lie algebras. Furthermore, their double cross sum \(A^\sigma \bowtie H^\sigma\) form an \((H, \beta_\sigma)\)-Lie algebra.

**Proof.** Note that the bracket in \(A^\sigma \bowtie H^\sigma\) is given by

\[
[a, b]^{\sigma} = \sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}],
\]

\[
[h, a]^{\sigma} = \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown a_{(0)} + \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown a_{(0)},
\]

\[
[a, h]^{\sigma} = -\sigma(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown a_{(0)} - \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})\beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown a_{(0)}.
\]

We check that the matched pair conditions (BB1) and (BB2) are valid on \((A^\sigma, H^\sigma)\). We want to obtain that

\[
h \triangledown^\sigma [a, b]^{\sigma} = [h \triangledown^\sigma a, b]^{\sigma} + \beta_\sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangledown^\sigma b]^{\sigma} + (h \triangledown^\sigma a) \triangledown^\sigma b - \beta_\sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown^\sigma a_{(0)}.
\]

In fact,

\[
h \triangledown^\sigma [a, b]^{\sigma} = \sigma(h_{(-1)}, [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}]_{(-1)})\sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})h_{(0)} \triangledown [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}]_{(0)}
\]

\[
= \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)}b_{(-1)})\sigma(a_{(-2)}, b_{(-2)})h_{(0)} \triangledown [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}]
\]

\[= \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)}b_{(-1)})\sigma(a_{(-2)}, b_{(-2)})h_{(0)} \triangledown [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}]
\]

\[= \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)}b_{(-1)})\sigma(a_{(-2)}, b_{(-2)})h_{(0)} \triangledown [a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}]
\]
Similarly, we get
\[ [h \triangleright^\sigma a, b] = \sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})\sigma((h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})(-1), b_{(-1)})[(h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)})(0), b_{(0)}] \]
\[ = \sigma(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\sigma(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h_{(0)} \triangleright a_{(0)}, b_{(0)}) \]
Similarly, we get
\[ (h \triangleleft a) \triangleright^\sigma b = \sigma(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\sigma(h_{(-1)}a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h_{(0)} \triangleleft a_{(0)}) \triangleright b_{(0)}. \]
Also,
\[
\beta\sigma(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})[a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b]^\sigma
\]
\[
= \sigma(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-3)})\beta(h_{(-2)}, a_{(-2)})\sigma(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})(a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b)^\sigma
\]
\[
= \sigma(h_{(-5)}, a_{(-5)})\beta(h_{(-4)}, a_{(-4)})\sigma(a_{(-2)}, h_{(-3)})(a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b)^\sigma
\]
\[
= \sigma(h_{(-5)}, a_{(-5)})\beta(h_{(-4)}, a_{(-4)})\sigma(a_{(-1)}, h_{(-1)})(a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b)^\sigma
\]
\[
= \sigma(h_{(-5)}, a_{(-5)})\beta(h_{(-4)}, a_{(-4)})\sigma(a_{(-2)}, h_{(-3)})(a_{(0)}, h_{(0)} \triangleright^\sigma b)^\sigma
\]
Similarly, we get
\[
\beta\sigma(a_{(-1)}, b_{(-1)})(h \triangleleft^\sigma b_{(0)}) \triangleright^\sigma a_{(0)}
= \sigma(h_{(-3)}, a_{(-3)})\sigma(h_{(-2)}a_{(-2)}, b_{(-2)})
\times \beta(h_{(-1)}, a_{(-1)})(h_{(0)} \triangleleft b_{(0)}) \triangleright a_{(0)}. \]

Now by the cocycle condition of \( \sigma \) and (BB1) on \((A, H)\), we get the result. Similar argument can be performed for (BB2) on \((A^\sigma, H^\sigma)\). \( \square \)

We now give the relationship between the \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebra \(A^\sigma \bowtie H^\sigma\) and \(A \bowtie H)^\sigma\) by the following theorem, the proof can easily be seen from their construction so we omit it.

**Theorem 3.2.** If \((A, H)\) is a matched pair of \((H, \beta)\)-Lie algebras, then \(A^\sigma \bowtie H^\sigma \cong (A \bowtie H)^\sigma\).
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