ON WEYL’S SUBCONVEX BOUND FOR CUBE-FREE HECKE CHARACTERS: TOTALLY REAL CASE
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Abstract. We prove a Weyl-type subconvex bound for cube-free level Hecke characters over totally real number fields. Our proof relies on an explicit inversion to Motohashi’s formula. Schwartz functions of various kinds and the invariance of the relevant Motohashi’s distributions discovered in a previous paper play central roles.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Historical Remarks and Organization of Paper. In [18] Motohashi discovered a formula relating the fourth moment of the Riemann zeta function and the cubic moment of the \( L \)-functions associated with the automorphic representations for \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), where the transform formula from the weight functions on the fourth moment side to the cubic moment side is explicitly given. In [5] Conrey-Iwaniec established a Motohashi-type formula in the inverse direction, bounding a certain cubic moment of a family of automorphic \( L \)-functions of \( \text{GL}_2 \) by the fourth moment of the corresponding dual family of Dirichlet \( L \)-functions. Since the cubic moment for \( L \)-functions of Eisenstein series is the sixth moment of some Dirichlet \( L \)-functions, their result implies the Weyl-type subconvex bounds in the level aspect for Dirichlet \( L \)-functions of quadratic characters. Their method was recently refined and generalized by Petrow-Young to obtain the hybrid Weyl for cube-free level Dirichlet characters [24], then for all level Dirichlet characters [23]. Note that such methods are based on approximating the cubic moment side via the approximate functional equation and the Petersson-Kuznetsov formula, hence no explicit formula in the inverse direction of Motohashi’s formula has been obtained.

In [17, §4.5.3] Michel-Venkatesh sketched a way of putting Motohashi’s formula in the framework of some generalized Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, realizing both sides of the formula as two different paths of automorphic restrictions of the product of two Eisenstein series. This approach was independently pursued by Nelson [20] and the third author of this paper [33]. Nelson went a step further to study the generalization of Petrow-Young’s cube-free result over number fields. However his weight functions at the archimedean places select only long families, hence his final result does not give Weyl-type quality at the archimedean places. It is unclear to us whether his method can give an explicit formula in the inverse direction of Motohashi’s formula. The third author’s previous work [33] views all terms in Motohashi’s formula as tempered distributions of the Schwartz-Bruhat functions over the two-by-two matrix algebra over the adeles of a number field, which satisfy certain invariance property. In this paper, we continue the analysis of the third author’s version of Motohashi’s formula, and give the proper generalization of Petrow-Young’s cube-free result to the totally real number fields. In particular, we establish the proper inversion of Motohashi’s transform formula of weight functions at the real places, together with a sufficiently large class of weight functions on the cubic moment side. Unlike in the case of the Petersson-Kuznetsov formula (or the Selberg trace formula), this class of functions is naturally offered by the Schwartz functions, hence no extension is needed for application.

The paper is organized as follows:

After fixing notation and conventions, we state precisely our main results in §1.3 and §1.4. This includes a precise inverse Motohashi’s formula at the real places (Theorem 1.6); a bound of the fourth moment side (Theorem 1.8) which follows and refines a period approach of Sarnak, and replaces the role of the classical spectral large sieve inequality; and the Weyl-type subconvex bound (Theorem 1.9).

Some preliminary results are given in §2. In particular, the two major innovations are emphasized: the compatibility of the space of Schwartz functions on the group \( \text{GL}_2 \) and the space of Schwartz function on the matrix algebra \( M_2 \), and the important local invariants for the relevant tempered distributions.

In §3, we give all necessary local results at the real places, completing the proof of Theorem 1.6 in particular. The rapid decay in the desired region of the dual weight functions is proved with details. The uniform bound is technical and postponed to §6, which can be read independently, and has its own interest: it should be regarded as the archimedean counterpart of the bound of the algebraic exponential sums encountered in the Conrey-Iwaniec and Petrow-Young approach.

In §4, we give all necessary local results at the non-archimedean places. The algebraic exponential sums we encounter are very similar but not identical to those encountered by Conrey-Iwaniec and Petrow-Young. However, they can be analyzed in the same way and the major difficulties were already resolved in [24].

In §5 we give bounds of the degenerate terms.

In §7, we establish the bound of the relevant dual fourth moment. As mentioned above, the proof relies on the period interpretation of the main formula, which is an intermediate step in one of the two approaches of establishing the formula but can surprisingly not be discarded. In fact, Sarnak’s method is the departure
point of Michel-Venkatesh’s method for $GL_2 \times GL_1$, which can yield Burgess-type subconvex bounds (see \cite{14,31,32,37}).

In §8 we bound the dual side of the Motohashi formula by the fourth moment bound established in §7. A difficulty related only to number fields other than $Q$ occurs: when the number field has the rank of the unit group $\geq 1$, the fourth moment side contains a discrete infinite sum indexed by Hecke characters combined with the usual integral. We dis-adelize the structure of the Hecke characters to transform the problem to one with the geometry of numbers, and solve it by trivial lattice point counting.

1.2. Notation and Conventions.

1.2.1. Special Functions. It will be notationally convenient to express the formulae in terms of the following variant of the hypergeometric functions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $(p,q) = (2,1)$ or $(3,2)$. We introduce $pI_q$ by

$$pI_q \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p ; \ z \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_q \end{array} \right) := \frac{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2) \cdots \Gamma(a_p)}{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2) \cdots \Gamma(b_q)} F_q^\ast \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p ; \ z \\ b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_q \end{array} \right).$$

In the case $p = 2$ and $q = 1$ we also write $2I_1(a,b;c;z)$ resp. $2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ for the same function above.

For example, we have for $Rc > Rb > 0$

$$2I_1(a,b;c;z) = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-zt)^{-a} dt, \quad |\arg(1-z)| < \pi$$

where the integral is Euler’s integral for hypergeometric functions. We will encounter many such functions with $c = a + b$ in this paper.

We denote by $K_v(x)$ the standard modified Bessel function, given by (see \cite{22}, 10.32.9)

$$K_v(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x \cosh(t)} \cosh(vt) dt, \quad |\arg(x)| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

1.2.2. Number Theoretic Notation. Throughout the paper, $F$ is a (fixed) totally real number field with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}$ and of degree $v = [F : Q]$. Let its absolute discriminant be $D_F$. $V_F$ resp. $V_\infty$ denotes the set of places resp. infinite places of $F$ and for any $v \in V_F$, $F_v$ is the completion of $F$ with respect to the absolute value $|.|_v$ corresponding to $v$. $|x|_v$ is the additive Haar measure on $\mathbb{R}^\times \vDash F_v$, identifying $\mathbb{R}^\times$ as a subgroup of $\text{Ad}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence any character of $\mathbb{R}^\times$ is identified with a character of $F^\times \vDash F^\times$.

We put the standard Tamagawa measure $dx = \prod_v d_x v$ on $\mathbb{A}$ resp. $d^\times x = \prod_v d^\times x v$ on $\mathbb{A}^\times$. We recall their constructions. Let $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_Q}$ be the trace map, extended to $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}_Q$. Let $\psi_v$ be the additive character of $\mathcal{O}_Q$ trivial on $Q$, restricting to the infinite place as

$$\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^{(1)}, \quad x \mapsto e^{2\pi i x}.$$
These are integral representations of the complete Dedekind zeta function \( \zeta \) and equip it with the Haar probability measure.

We pick the standard maximal compact subgroup \( K \) of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) with the counting measure. Then we have

\[
\text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times) = \zeta_p^*,
\]

where \( \zeta_p^* \) is the residue at 1 of the Dedekind zeta function \( \zeta_p \).

For \( p < \infty \), let \( S(\mathbb{F}_p) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{F}_p) \). We call \( S(\mathbb{A}) = \otimes_v S(\mathbb{F}_v) \) the space of Schwartz functions over \( \mathbb{A} \).

Let \( \chi \in \mathbb{F}^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times \) be a unitary Hecke character, and let \( f \in S(\mathbb{A}) \). Tate’s global zeta function is defined by

\[
Z(s, \chi, f) := \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} f(x) \chi(x) |x|_A^s dx.
\]

These are integral representations of the complete Dedekind zeta function \( \Lambda_p(s) \), which has residue \( \zeta_p^* \) at \( s = 1 \). If \( f = \otimes_v f_v \) is decomposable, then \( Z(s, \chi, f) = \prod_{v \in \mathbb{V}_p} Z_v(s, \chi_v, f_v) \) is decomposable, too. For \( v \mid \infty \), we recall the local functional equation

\[
Z_v(s, 1, f_v) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{s}{2} \right)} Z_v(1 - s, 1, f_v), \quad \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} \right) := \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} \right),
\]

where \( f_v(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_v(y) \psi_v(xy) dy \) is the usual Fourier transform of \( f_v \).

We also use \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) for the Fourier transform defined via \( \psi \) or \( \psi_v \) above. If the transform is defined with respect to a variable indexed by \( j \), we write \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_j \) for the partial Fourier transform.

### 1.2.3. Automorphic Representation Theoretic Notation.

For \( R \in \{ \mathbb{F}_v \mid v \in \mathbb{V}_p \} \cup \{ \mathbb{A} \} \), we define the following subgroups of \( \text{GL}_2(R) \)

\[
\mathbb{Z}(R) = \left\{ z(u) := \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \bigg| u \in R^\times \right\}, \quad \mathbb{N}(R) = \left\{ n(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bigg| x \in R \right\},
\]

\[
\mathbb{A}(R) = \left\{ a(y) := \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bigg| y \in R^\times \right\}, \quad \mathbb{A}(R) \mathbb{Z}(R) = \left\{ d(t_1, t_2) := \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} \bigg| t_1, t_2 \in R^\times \right\},
\]

and equip them with the Haar measures on \( R^\times, R, R^\times \) respectively. The product \( \mathbb{B} := \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{N} \mathbb{A} \) is a Borel subgroup of \( \text{GL}_2 \). We pick the standard maximal compact subgroup \( \mathbb{K} = \prod_v \mathbb{K}_v \) of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \) by defining

\[
\mathbb{K}_v = \begin{cases} \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } v \mid \infty \\ \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) & \text{if } v = p < \infty \\ \end{cases}
\]

and equip it with the Haar probability measure \( d\kappa_v \). Note that at \( v \mid \infty \), this measure coincides with

\[
dg = \frac{dX}{|det X|^2} = \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4}{|x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3|^2}, \quad g = X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}).
\]

We then define and equip the quotient space

\[
[\text{PGL}_2] := \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}) \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}) \setminus \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}) \setminus \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})
\]

with the product measure \( d\bar{g} := \prod_v d\bar{g}_v \) on \( \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \) quotient by the discrete measure on \( \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}) \).

At \( v \mid \infty \), we call an admissible irreducible representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_v) \) spherical resp. strongly spherical if it contains a vector invariant by \( \mathbb{K}_v \) resp. \( \text{O}_2(\mathbb{R}) \). The latter necessarily has the form \( \pi(\cdot | \cdot) \cdot \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{C} \).

Let \( L^2(\text{PGL}_2) \) denote the (Hilbert) space of Borel measurable functions \( \varphi \) satisfying

\[
\left\{ \varphi(\gamma g) = \varphi(g), \; \forall \gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}), \; z \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}), \; g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}), \right\}
\]

\[
\int_{[\text{PGL}_2]} |\varphi(g)|^2 d\bar{g} < \infty.
\]

Let \( L^2_0(\text{PGL}_2) \) denote the subspace of \( \varphi \in L^2(\text{GL}_2, \omega) \) such that its constant term

\[
\varphi_N(g) := \int_{\mathbb{F}^\times} \varphi(n(x)g) dx = 0, \quad \text{a.e. } \bar{g} \in [\text{PGL}_2].
\]
$L^2_0(\text{PGL}_2)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\text{PGL}_2)$. $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ acts on $L^2_0(\text{PGL}_2)$ resp. $L^2(\text{PGL}_2)$, giving rise to a unitary representation $R_0$ resp. $R$. The ortho-complement of $R_0$ in $R$ is the orthogonal sum of the one-dimensional spaces

$$
\mathbb{C}\langle \xi \circ \det \rangle : \quad \xi \text{ Hecke character such that } \xi^2 = 1
$$

and $R_c$, which can be identified as a direct integral representation over the unitary dual of $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times \simeq \mathbb{R}_+ \times (F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^{(1)})$. Precisely, let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\chi$ be a unitary character of $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^{(1)}$ regarded as a unitary character of $F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times$ via trivial extension, we associate a unitary representation $\pi(\chi, i\tau)$ of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ on the following Hilbert space $V_{\chi,i\tau}$ of functions via right regular translation

$$
f \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} t_1 & x \\ 0 & t_2 \end{array} \right) \right) g = \chi(t_1)^{-1}(t_2) \left| \frac{t_1}{t_2} \right|^{\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} f(g), \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{A}, x \in \mathbb{A}, g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A});
$$

absolutely convergent for $\Re s > 1/2$ and admits a meromorphic continuation regular at $s = i\tau$.

Let $\pi$ be any irreducible component of $R_0$ or $R_c$, called cuspidal or continuous automorphic representation. Let $e_2 \in V_\pi^\infty$, the subspace of the underlying Hilbert space of $\pi$ consisting of smooth vectors, and let $e_1 \in V_\pi^\infty$ be an element of the smooth dual space of $V_\pi^\infty$. The associated function on $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$

$$
\beta(g) = \beta(e_2, e_1)(g) := \langle \pi(g)e_2, e_1 \rangle
$$

is called a matrix coefficient of $\pi$. For $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_2(\mathbb{A}))$, the Godement-Jacquet zeta function

$$
Z(s, \Psi, \beta) := \int_{GL_2(\mathbb{A})} \Psi(g)|\beta(g)|\det g|^{s+\frac{3}{2}}dg
$$

is absolutely convergent for $\Re s \gg 1$ and admits a meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\varphi \in V_\pi^\infty$, the Hecke-Jacquet-Langlands zeta function

$$
Z(s, \varphi) := \int_{F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} (\varphi - \varphi_N)(a(y))|y|_\mathbb{A}^s d^\times y
$$

is absolutely convergent for $\Re s \gg 1$ and admits a meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Both zeta functions have obvious analogue for $\pi(\chi, s)$ with arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and for flat sections, in which case we write $\beta_s(e_2, e_1)$ for $\beta(e_2, e_1)$.

At a finite place $p$, we introduce the subgroups $K_0[p^n]$ and $K_1[p^n]$ of $K_p$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$
K_0[p^n] = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in K_p \mid c \in p^n \right\}, \quad K_1[p^n] = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in K_p \mid c, d \in 1 - p^n \right\}.
$$

For an admissible irreducible representation $\pi$ of $GL_2(F_p)$, the conductor exponent $c(\pi)$ is the least integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\pi$ contains a non zero vector invariant by $K_1[p^n]$. The conductor $C(\pi) = N(\pi)^{c(\pi)}$.

1.2.4. Other Notation. $M_2(\mathbb{A})$ admits an action of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ which induces an action on $\mathcal{S}(M_2(\mathbb{A}))$

$$
L_{g_1, g_2}, \Psi(x) := \Psi \left( g_1^{-1}xg_2 \right), \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}), \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_2(\mathbb{A})).
$$

This is a smooth Fréchet-representation. We also have the obvious local version. The derived action of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ at an archimedean place is written as $L(X)$ resp. $R(X)$. 
1.3. Explicit Inverse Motohashi’s Formula. Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A})$. For any cuspidal resp. continuous automorphic representation $\pi \subseteq \pi(\chi^1, \chi^{-1})$ of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, let $\mathcal{B}(\pi)$ resp. $\mathcal{B}(\chi)$ be an orthogonal basis of $\pi(\chi, \chi^{-1})$ and define

$$M_3(\pi | \pi) = \sum_{e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\pi)} Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \Psi, \beta(e_2, e_1^\vee) \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, e_1 \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, e_2^\vee \right),$$

$$M_3(\pi | \chi, s) = \sum_{e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)} Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \Psi, \beta_s(e_2, e_1^\vee) \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, E(s, e_1) \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, E(-s, e_2^\vee) \right),$$

$$M_3(\Psi) := \sum_{\pi \subseteq \text{cuspidal}} M_3(\pi | \pi) + \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_3(\pi | \chi, is) \frac{d\tau}{4\pi},$$

where in the notation

- for $e \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\pi)$ or $\mathcal{B}(\chi)$, $e^\vee$ is the dual element such that $\langle e, e^\vee \rangle = 1$ and $\langle e', e^\vee \rangle = 0$ for all $e \neq e' \in \mathcal{B}$,
- $\beta_s$ is the matrix coefficient for $\pi(\chi, s)$,
- $Z(s, \Psi, \beta_s)$ resp. $Z(s, \Psi, \beta_e)$ is the Godement-Jacquet zeta integral,
- $Z(s, e)$ or $Z(s, E(s', e))$ is the standard zeta integral.

We also define, with Tate’s zeta integral

$$M_4(\pi | \chi, s) = \int_{(\mathbb{A}^\times)^4} \Psi \left( \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_3 x_4} \right) \chi \left( \frac{x_1 x_4}{x_2 x_3} \right) |x_1 x_4|^s |x_2 x_3|^1 \prod_{i=1}^{4} d^\times x_i,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_4(\Psi) = \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathbb{F}}} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mathbf{F}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} \int_{(s=1/2)} M_4(\pi | \chi, s) \frac{ds}{2\pi i},$$

Remark 1.2. We also use the alternative notation $M_4(\pi | \chi) := M_4(\pi | \chi, \frac{1}{2})$ and their local versions.

As a special case of our previous work\cite{33}, we established the following Motohashi-type formula

$$M_3(\Psi) + DS(\Psi) = M_4(\Psi) + DG(\Psi),$$

where the degenerate terms are related with the main terms as

$$DG(\Psi) = \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathbb{F}}} \left\{ \text{Res}_{s=1} M_4(\pi | 1, s) - \text{Res}_{s=0} M_4(\pi | 1, s) \right\},$$

$$DS(\Psi) = \frac{1}{\zeta_{\mathbb{F}}} \text{Res}_{s=1/2} M_3(\pi | 1, s).$$

Let $S$ be a set of places of $\mathbb{F}$ containing the set $S_{\infty}$ of all archimedean places and $p | D_{\mathbb{F}}$. We are going to work with special choices of $\Psi = \Psi_v$ of all archimedean places and $p \notin S$.

We introduce the following decomposition as product of local components of $M_3$ and $M_4$ (see\cite{23})

$$M_3(\pi | \pi) = \frac{1}{2L(1, \pi, Ad)} \cdot \prod_{v \text{ real}} M_{3,v}(\Psi_v | \pi_v) \prod_{p \in S-S_{\infty}} M_{3,p}(\Psi_p | \pi_p) \frac{L(1, \pi_p \times \pi_p)}{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_p^3)},$$

$$M_3(\Psi | \chi, s) = \frac{L(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi)^3 L(\frac{1}{2} - s, \chi)^3}{L(1 + 2s, \chi^2) L(1 - 2s, \chi^{-2})} \cdot \prod_{v \text{ real}} M_{3,v}(s_v, \pi_v) \prod_{p \in S-S_{\infty}} M_{3,p}(\Psi_p | \chi_p, s) \frac{L(1 + 2s, \chi_p^2) L(1 - 2s, \chi_p^{-2})}{L(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi_p^3) L(\frac{1}{2} - s, \chi_p^3)}.$$
Let \( \mathbb{C} \) be the generalized hypergeometric special value

\[
M_4(\Psi \mid \chi, s) = L(s, \chi^2) L(1 - s, \chi^{-1})^2.
\]

\[
\prod_{v \text{ real}} M_{4,v}(s_v, \varepsilon_v) \prod_{p \in S - S_{\infty}} M_{4,p}(\Psi_p \mid \chi_p, s) \frac{1}{L(s, \chi_p^2) L(1 - s, \chi_p^{-1})^2},
\]

where \( s_v \in \mathbb{C}, \varepsilon_v \in \{0, 1\} \) are defined for real place \( v \) if

\[
\chi_v(t)|t|_v^s = |t|^s \text{sgn}(t)^{\varepsilon_v}.
\]

**Remark 1.3.** The above terms of product over \( v \in S - S_{\infty} \) are entire functions of \( s \) by the local theory of the relevant zeta integrals.

**Definition 1.4.** \( M_{3,v}(s, \varepsilon) \) is called admissible, if it is a finite linear combination of functions of the form \( \cos(\pi s) m_1(s)m_2(s) \), where \( m_j(s) \) are even entire functions such that \( e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|s|}\text{sgn}(s)^{i \varepsilon} \) has rapid decay in any vertical region of the form \( a \leq \Re(s) \leq b \) (see Definition 3.6 for more precise meaning).

**Remark 1.5.** \( M_{3,v}(\cdot \mid \pi_v) \) resp. \( M_{3,v}(\cdot \mid \chi_v, s) \) depends only on the isomorphism class of \( \pi_v \) resp. \( \pi(\chi_v|_{v}, s)^{1}|-s) \) resp. \( \chi_v|_{v}^{s} \). This justifies the legitimacy of our notation, and the evenness of the admissible weight functions.

Our first main result is the following explicit inverse Motohashi formula for weight functions at the archimedean places, which is simply a reformulation of Proposition 3.18 (2) by (2.5).

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \( M_{3,v}(s, \varepsilon) \) be admissible as in Definition 1.4. Let \( K(x, \tau) \) be the generalized hypergeometric special value

\[
K(x, \tau) := \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - i x\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i \tau\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - ix + i \tau, 1 + 2ix}.
\]

Define four auxiliary functions

\[
K_{1, +}(x, \tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi x) \sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau),
\]

\[
K_{1, -}(x, \tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi x) \sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau),
\]

\[
K_{2, +}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau) - \frac{1}{\pi i \sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau),
\]

\[
K_{2, -}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_1 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau) + \frac{1}{\pi i \sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau).
\]

Then we have the formula

\[
M_{4,v}\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix, \varepsilon\right) = (-1)^{\varepsilon'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}} K_{1, \text{sgn}(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)}(x, \tau) M_{3,v}(i \tau, \varepsilon) \right) \tau \tan(\pi \tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi^2} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}} K_{2, \text{sgn}(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)}(x, \tau) M_{3,v}(i \tau, \varepsilon) \right) \tau \tan(\pi \tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi^2}.
\]
Lemma 1.7. Bounding the dual weights, we establish the following result. Motohashi formula (1.6) in the opposite direction, variant and generalization of Sarnak’s method [26].

(1) At any \( v \mid \infty \), \( \pi_v \simeq \pi(\mu v, v^{-1}) \) is in the principal series, then

\[
|\mu - T_v| \ll (1 + |T_v|)^\epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad |\mu + T_v| \ll (1 + |T_v|)^\epsilon;
\]

(2) At any \( p < \infty \), we have \( c(\pi_p \otimes \chi_p^{-1}) \leq c(\chi_{0,p}) \) (for the current paper we assume \( c(\chi_{0,p}) \leq 2 \)).

We define another set \( B^v(\chi_0, \epsilon) \) of (unitary) Hecke characters \( \chi \) of \( \mathcal{F}^x \) as follows:

(1) At any \( v \mid \infty \), writing \( \chi_v(t) = t^{iv} \) for \( t > 0 \), we have (recall \( r = [\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{Q}] \))

\[
|\mu| \leq C(\chi_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |T_v|) \log(1 + |T_v|); 
\]

(2) At any \( p < \infty \), we have \( c(\pi_p) \leq c(\chi_{0,p}) \).

Note that \( \pi(\chi_0, \chi_0^{-1}) \in B(\mathcal{X}, \epsilon) \). For any (unitary) Hecke character \( \chi \) of \( \mathcal{F}^x \) we also write \( \chi \in B(\chi_0, \epsilon) \) or \( \mathbb{I}_{B(\chi_0, \epsilon)}(\chi) = 1 \) instead of \( \pi(\chi, \chi^{-1}) \in B(\chi_0, \epsilon) \). We will study some specific test functions \( M_{3,\nu}(s, \nu, \chi) \) for \( v \mid \infty \) (see \[8,12\]) and \( \Psi_p \) for \( p \in S - S_\infty \) (see \[4,11\]), and bound the dual weights. Precisely, at every \( v \mid \infty \) write \( \Delta_v = (1 + |T_v|)^\epsilon \) and choose

\[
M_{3,\nu}(i\tau, \epsilon) = \sqrt{\frac{-\cosh(\pi \tau)}{2 \Delta_v}} \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau - T_v)^2}{2 \Delta_v} - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right) + \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau + T_v)^2}{2 \Delta_v} + \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right) \right\}^2.
\]

At any \( p < \infty \) such that \( c(\chi_{0,p}) = 0 \), choose \( \Psi = 1_{M_2(\epsilon)} \); while at any \( p < \infty \) such that \( c(\chi_{0,p}) = n_p > 0 \), choose

\[
\Psi_p = \text{Vol}(K_0(p^n))^{-2} L_{n(\pi_p^{-n})} R_{n(\pi_p^{-n})} \phi_0, \quad \phi_0 \left( \begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{array} \right) = \chi_{0,p} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} x_4 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_1 \end{array} \right) \mathbb{1}_{K_0(p^n)} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{array} \right).
\]

Bounding the dual weights, we establish the following result.

**Lemma 1.7.** For any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have

\[
\sum_{\pi \in B(\chi_0, \epsilon)} L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \right)^3 + \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}^x \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \setminus A^x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi \right) \right|^6 \mathbb{I}_{B(\chi_0, \epsilon)}(\chi) d\tau 
\ll \epsilon \quad C(\chi_0)^{1+\epsilon} + C(\chi_0)^{\epsilon} \quad \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}^x \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \setminus A^x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi \right) \right|^4 \mathbb{I}_{B(\chi_0, \epsilon)}(\chi) d\tau.
\]

In order to bound the fourth moment appearing on the right hand side, we apply a period version of Motohashi formula \[14\] in the opposite direction, variant and generalization of Sarnak’s method \[28\]. Precisely, given an integral ideal \( \mathfrak{m} \) and a number \( T_v \geq 1 \) for every place \( v \mid \infty \), we define \( B(T_v, \mathfrak{m}) \) to be the set of (unitary) Hecke characters \( \chi \) of \( \mathcal{F}^x \) such that:

(1) At any \( v \mid \infty \), we have \( C(\chi_v) \leq T_v; \)

(2) At any \( p < \infty \), we have \( c(\pi_p) \leq \text{ord}_p(\mathfrak{m}) \).

**Theorem 1.8.** Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be any number field (not necessarily totally real). We have a bound for any \( \epsilon > 0 \)

\[
\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}^x \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \setminus A^x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi \right) \right|^4 \mathbb{I}_{B(T_v, \mathfrak{m})}(\chi) d\tau 
\ll \epsilon \quad \prod_{v \mid \infty} T_v \cdot \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{m})^{1+\epsilon}.
\]

Combining Lemma 1.7 and Theorem 1.8 one easily deduces

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi_0 \right) \right|^6 d\tau 
\ll \epsilon \quad C(\chi_0)^{1+\epsilon}
\]

by positivity of every term on the cubic moment side. We readily deduce the central result.
Theorem 1.9. Let $\chi_0$ be a Hecke character of a totally real number field $F$ with cube-free level. Then we have for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_0\right) \ll_{\epsilon} C(\chi_0)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$ 

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Local Distributions. It is worth giving a proof of the decomposition of the global distributions into local product (1.9) and (1.10).

The local versions of (1.1) and (1.2) are given as

$$(2.1) \quad M_{3,v}(\Psi_v \mid \pi_v) = \sum_{W_1, W_2 \in K(\pi_v, \psi_v)} Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Psi_v, \beta(W_2, W_1^\vee)\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_1\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_2^\vee\right),$$ 

where $K(\pi_v, \psi_v)$ is the Kirillov model of $\pi_v$ with respect to the additive character $\psi_v$ of $F_v$, and the dual is defined in terms of the norm in the Kirillov model;

$$(2.2) \quad M_{3,v}(\Psi_v \mid \chi_v, s) = \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in B(\chi_v)} Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Psi_v, \beta_s(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1)\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_1\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_2, s\right),$$ 

where $W_{j,s}$ resp. $W_{j,s}^\vee$ is the Kirillov function of the flat section $\epsilon_{j,s}$ resp. $\epsilon_{j,s}^\vee$, and the dual is defined in terms of the norm in the induced model of $\pi(\chi_v, \chi_v^{-1})$. For two (global) cuspidal forms $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \pi$, the norm identification \cite{34} Proposition 2.13] implies

$$(2.3) \quad \|\epsilon_j\|^2 = 2\Lambda_F(2)L(1, \pi, Ad) \prod_{\nu \mid \infty} \|W_{j,v}\|^2 \prod_{p < \infty} \frac{\|W_{j,p}\|^2}{\ell(1, \pi_p \times \sigma_p)},$$ 

$$\beta(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1) = 2\Lambda_F(2)L(1, \pi, Ad) \prod_{\nu \mid \infty} \beta(W_{2,v}, W_1) \prod_{p < \infty} \frac{\beta(W_{2,p}, W_{1,p})}{\ell(1, \pi_p \times \sigma_p)}.$$ 

Therefore we obtain the following formal decomposition

$$M_3(\Psi \mid \pi) = \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in B(\pi)} Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Psi, \beta(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1)\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, \epsilon_1\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, \epsilon_2\right) \cdot \|\epsilon_1\|^{-2} \|\epsilon_2\|^{-2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\Lambda_F(2)L(1, \pi, Ad)} \prod_{\nu \mid \infty} M_{3,v}(\Psi_v \mid \pi_v) \cdot \prod_{p < \infty} M_{3,p}(\pi_p \mid \pi_p)L(1, \pi_p \times \sigma_p),$$ 

and (1.9) is just the convergent version of the above equation. Similarly, (1.10) follows from the following formal decomposition and the computation at the unramified places (see \cite{34} Theorem 4.6.5)

$$M_3(\Psi \mid \chi, s) = \prod_{\nu} M_{3,v}(\Psi_v \mid \chi_v, s)$$

by writing $M_{3,v}(s_v, \epsilon_v) = M_{3,v}(\Psi_v \mid \chi_v, s_v)$.

Assume $\pi_v \simeq \pi(\chi_v, \chi_v^{-1}, \chi_v^{-1})$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is a principal series representation. Then we have the relation of norms for $\epsilon_j \in B(\chi_v, \chi_v^{-1})$ (see \cite{34}, Lemma 2.8)

$$(2.4) \quad \|\epsilon_j\|^2 = \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(1)^2} \|W_j\|^2 \Rightarrow \beta(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1) = \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(1)^2} \beta(W_2, W_1).$$
They imply readily
\[
M_{3,v}(\Psi_v | \chi_v, i\tau) = \sum_{e_1, e_2 \in B(\mathbb{X} | \left| e_1 \right|)} Z \left( \frac{1}{2} \Psi_v, \beta(e_2, \bar{e}_1) \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2} W_1 \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2} \bar{W}_2 \right) \cdot \left| \|e_1\|^{-2} \|e_2\|^{-2} \right|
= \zeta_v(1)^2 \zeta_v(2) \sum_{e_1, e_2 \in B(\mathbb{X} | \left| e_1 \right|)} Z \left( \frac{1}{2} \Psi_v, \beta(W_2, W_1^\vee) \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2} W_1 \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2} W_2^\vee \right)
(2.5)
= \frac{\zeta_v(1)^2}{\zeta_v(2)} M_{3,v}(\Psi_v | \pi_v).
\]
Note that (2.5) continues to hold for \( \tau \in (-1/2, 1/2)i \), i.e., for \( \pi_v \) in the complementary series. In fact, although the inner product is tricky, the pairing between \( \pi_v \) and \( \pi_v^\vee \) is continuous in \( \tau \).

**Remark 2.1.** At \( v \mid \infty \), we do not need to consider an analogue of (2.5) for \( \pi_v \) a discrete series representation in this paper, because our \( \Psi_v \) will be chosen to select only spherical series.

### 2.2. Compatibility of Schwartz Functions

If \( F = \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \), we define a norm \( \|g\| \) on \( GL_2(F) \) by
\[
\|g\| := Tr(gg^*) + Tr(g^{-1}g^{-1})^*, \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\| := \sum_{i=1}^{4} |x_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{|x_i|^2}{|x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3|^2}.
\]
Recall (see [32, §7.1.2]) that a Schwartz function \( \phi \in S(GL_2(F)) \) is a smooth one such that for any \( X, Y \) in the enveloping algebra of the complexified Lie algebra of \( GL_2(F) \) and any \( r \geq 0 \)
\[
\sup_{g \in GL_2(F)} \|g\|^r |L(X)R(Y)\phi(g)| < +\infty.
\]
It is closed under convolution [32, Theorem 7.1.1].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( F \) be any local field. Any \( \phi \in S(GL_2(F)) \) can be extended by 0 to a function \( \Psi \in S(M_2(F)) \).

**Proof.** The non archimedean case is easy. Assume \( F = \mathbb{R} \) for example. Let \( \Psi \) be the extension by 0 of \( \phi \). Let \( E_i \) be the matrix whose \( x_j \) entry is 1 if \( j = i \) and 0 otherwise. Write \( L_i \) for \( L(E_i) \) and \( \partial_i \) for \( \partial / \partial x_i \). Then we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_3 \\ L_2 & L_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 & \partial_3 \\ \partial_2 & \partial_4 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
By induction on the degree of a polynomial \( P \), we easily show the existence of finitely many polynomials \( Q_{\vec{a}} \) such that
\[
P(\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4) = \sum_{\vec{a}} Q_{\vec{a}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, (\det)^{-1})L_1^{a_1}L_2^{a_2}L_3^{a_3}L_4^{a_4},
\]
where \( \vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4 \) and \( \det := x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3 \). The smoothness of \( \Psi \) on \( GL_2(F) \) follows readily. Let \( m_0 \in M_2(F) - GL_2(F) \) and \( m \in GL_2(F) \) with each entry in the ball of radius \( \delta \) of the corresponding entry of \( m_0 \), then \( |\det m| \ll m_0 \delta \). Since \( \|m\| \geq 2^{-1} |\det m|^{-1} \), we get
\[
2^{-1} |\det m|^{-1} |P(\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4)\Psi(m)| \ll \sum_{\vec{a}} \sup_{g \in GL_2(F)} \|g\| \cdot (1 + \|g\|)^{\deg Q_{\vec{a}}} |L_1^{a_1}L_2^{a_2}L_3^{a_3}L_4^{a_4} \phi(m)| < \infty.
\]
Hence \( |P(\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4)\Psi(m)| \ll |\det m| \ll m_0 \delta \), proving its continuity at \( m_0 \). The rapid decay is easy to verify by definition. \( \Box \)

**Remark 2.3.** Write \( R_i \) for \( R(E_i) \), then we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} R_1 & R_2 \\ R_3 & R_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 & \partial_3 \\ \partial_2 & \partial_4 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence the \( R_i \)’s are expressible in terms of the \( L_i \)’s with coefficients polynomial in \( x_i \)’s and \( (\det)^{-1} \), and reciprocal. This shows that in the definition of \( S(GL_2(F)) \), one may use only the left or right derivatives.
Lemma 2.4. Let $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\text{GL}_2(F))$ be given as
\[ \phi \left( \begin{pmatrix} z & \ast \\ z & \ast \end{pmatrix} \right) = \ell(z)s(\kappa)f(x)h(y) \]
for some functions $\ell, h \in S(F^\times), f \in S(F)$ and $s \in C^\infty(K)$. Then $\phi \in S(\text{GL}_2(F))$.

Proof. We treat the real case. The complex case is only notationally more complicated. Then $\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$. By induction on the degree of a polynomial $P$, we can show the existence of finitely many polynomials $Q_\alpha$ such that
\[ P(\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4) = \sum Q_\alpha(z, y, \cos \theta, \sin \theta)\partial^{\alpha_1}_z \partial^{\alpha_2}_\theta \partial^{\alpha_3}_y \partial^{\alpha_4}_y, \]
the initial step being given by [4, Proposition 2.2.5]. The statement then follows from
\[ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} z \\ z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\| \ll |z|^2(1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2) + \frac{1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2}{|yz|^2}. \]

2.3. Motohashi Invariants. Let $F$ be a local field. All local distributions are on $\text{M}_2(F)$ and invariant with respect to the action of $H = (F^\times)^3$, realized as the left and right multiplication of the diagonal torus in $\text{GL}_2(F)$, up to some common factor with a certain covariance property. There is no properly defined invariant function on the whole $\text{M}_2(F)$, but two densely defined ones are important.

Definition 2.5. We introduce two Motohashi invariants as functions on open dense subsets of $\text{M}_2(F)$:
\[ m : \text{GL}_2(F) \to F, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{x_1x_4}{\det x}, \]
\[ t : \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{M}_2(F) \mid x_2x_3 \neq 0 \right\}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{x_1x_4}{x_2x_3}. \]
They are invariant under the left and right multiplication by the diagonal torus of $\text{GL}_2(F)$.

For $t \in F$ and $\Psi \in S(\text{M}_2(F))$, we define
\[ (2.6) \quad I(t, \Psi) := \zeta_F(1)^3 \int_{|\mathfrak{F} - (0)|^3} \Psi \left( \begin{pmatrix} zt_1t_2 \\ zt_2 \\ zt \end{pmatrix} \right) |z|^{1-\frac{3}{2}} dzdt_1dt_2. \]

Remark 2.6. $I(t, \Psi)$ should be considered as the (relative) orbital integral with respect to the Motohashi invariant $t$ introduced in Definition 2.5. In the opposite direction, Motohashi’s formula [4, (4.7.2)] is such a two-step process that the weight function on the cubic moment side is expressed in terms of $I(t, \Psi)$, which in turn is expressed via (Mellin-)Fourier transform in terms of the weight function on the fourth moment side. We shall achieve our goal at archimedean places by reversing this process.

For simplicity of notation, we write for $\chi$ a unitary character of $F^\times$
\[ M_4(\Psi \mid \chi) := M_4(\Psi \mid \chi, \frac{1}{2}). \]

Proposition 2.7. Let $dg$ be the Haar measure on $\text{GL}_2(F)$ given by
\[ dg = \frac{dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4}{|x_1x_4 - x_2x_3|^2}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}. \]
The restriction of $M_4(\cdot \mid \chi)$ to $S(\text{GL}_2(F))$ is represented by $G_\chi(g)|\det g|dg$, where $G_\chi(g)$ is the locally integrable function on $\text{PGL}_2(F)$ determined by
\[ G_\chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} zab \\ zb \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} za \\ zb \end{pmatrix} \right) = \zeta_F(1)^4\chi(1/2)|c|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|c - 1|. \]
Proof. This is an easy consequence of the definition. Note that \( t = \frac{m}{\log t} \) and 

\[
G_{\chi}(x) = \chi(t(x))|t(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}}|t(x) - 1|
\]

is a function of the Motohashi invariant(s). □

Lemma 2.8. For any \( \Psi \in S(M_2(F)) \), \( M_4(\Psi \mid \chi) \) and \( I(t, \Psi) \) determine each other via (Mellin-)Fourier transforms as 

\[
M_4(\Psi \mid \chi) = \int_{\mathbb{F}^\times} I(t, \Psi) \chi(t)|t|^\frac{1}{2}d^\times t.
\]

Proof. A direct change of variables gives 

\[
M_4(\Psi \mid \chi) = \zeta(\mathbb{F})^4 \int_{\mathbb{P}^4} \Psi \left( \begin{array}{lcl} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{array} \right) \chi \left( \frac{x_1x_3}{x_2x_4} \right) |x_1x_2x_3x_4|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod dx_i
\]

\[
= \zeta(\mathbb{F})^4 \int_{(\mathbb{F} - \{0\})^4} \Psi \left( \begin{array}{lcl} zt_1t_2 & zt_1 \\ zt_2 & zt \end{array} \right) \chi(t)|t|^\frac{1}{2}|z|dzdt_1dt_2dt
\]

which obviously is the equal to the right hand side of the desired equality. □

3. Real Places

3.1. Analysis with Test Function.

3.1.1. Choice of Test Function. Consider \( \phi_j, j = 1, 2 \) given by

\[
\phi_j(\kappa n(x)t(y)z) = \ell_j(z)f_j(x)h_j(y), \quad t(y) := \left( \begin{array}{c} y^\frac{1}{2} \\ y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right), \quad \kappa \in SO_2(\mathbb{R})
\]

for some \( \ell_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times), h_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^\times), f_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \). We take \( \Psi = \phi_1^\vee \ast \phi_2 \), where \( \phi_1^\vee(g) = \phi_1(g^{-1}) \) and the convolution is taken over \( GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+ \). By an obvious variant of Lemma 2.4, we have \( \phi_j \in \mathcal{S}(GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+) \). Hence \( \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_2(\mathbb{R})) \) by Proposition 2.2.

Definition 3.1. For \( \phi \in \mathcal{S}(GL_2(\mathbb{R})) \), we denote by \( v(\phi \mid \pi) \) (see [23, Proposition 3.2]) the smooth vector in \( \pi \) which represents the linear functional on \( V_{\pi}^\infty \)

\[
\langle v(\phi \mid \pi), f \rangle = Z\left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee(\phi^\vee), f \right), \quad \forall f \in V_{\pi}^\infty.
\]

Lemma 3.2. Recall the local spectral Motohashi distribution [27]

\[
M_3(\Psi \mid \pi) = \sum_{e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\pi)} Z\left( \frac{1}{2}, \Psi, \beta(e_2, e_1^\vee) \right) \cdot Z\left( \frac{1}{2}, W_{e_1} \right) \cdot Z\left( \frac{1}{2}, W_{e_2^\vee} \right)
\]

For \( \Psi = \phi_1^\vee \ast \phi_2 \) with any \( \phi_j \in \mathcal{S}(GL_2(\mathbb{R})) \), we have

\[
M_3(\Psi \mid \pi) = \langle v(\phi_2 \mid \pi), v(\phi_1 \mid \pi^\vee) \rangle.
\]

Proof. The definition of \( v(\phi \mid \pi) \) implies

\[
v(\phi \mid \pi) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}(\pi)} Z\left( \frac{1}{2}, W_{e^\vee} \right) \cdot \pi(\phi), e,
\]
where $e$ traverses any orthogonal basis. Hence we get
\[
M_3(\Psi \mid \pi) = \sum_{c_1, c_2 \in B(\pi)} \int_{GL_2(F)^2} \phi_1(g_1)\phi_2(g_2)(g_1g_2, e_2)dg_1dg_2 \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_{e_1}\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_{e_2}\right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{c_1, c_2 \in B(\pi)} \langle \pi(\phi_2)e_2, \pi^{\vee}(\phi_1)e_1 \rangle \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_{e_1}\right) \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, W_{e_2}\right) = \langle v(\phi_2 \mid \pi), v(\phi_1 \mid \pi^{\vee}) \rangle.
\]

Since $\phi_j$ is left-invariant by $SO_2(\mathbb{R})$, $v(\phi_2 \mid \pi)$ resp. $v(\phi_1 \mid \pi^{\vee})$ is a spherical vector. Let $w_0 \in V_\pi^\infty$ resp. $w_0^{\vee} \in V_\pi^{\infty}$ be a pair of dual unitary spherical vector such that $\langle w_0, w_0^{\vee} \rangle = 1$, whose Kirillow function is denoted by $K_\pi$ resp. $K_{\pi^{\vee}}$. Then we get
\[
\langle v(\phi_2 \mid \pi), w_0^{\vee} \rangle = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^\times)^2} \phi_2(n(x)a(y)z)K_\pi(ty^{-1})\psi_\pi(-txy^{-1})d^2ydx \left| z \right|^2d^2zdt.
\]
Similarly, we have
\[
\langle w_0, v(\phi_2 \mid \pi^{\vee}) \rangle = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^\times)^2} \phi_2(\bar{n}(x)a(y)z)\bar{K}_{\pi^{\vee}}(ty^{-1})\psi_{\pi^{\vee}}(-txy^{-1})d^2ydx \left| z \right|^2d^2zdt.
\]
Since $\langle v(\phi_2 \mid \pi), v(\phi_1 \mid \pi^{\vee}) \rangle = \langle v(\phi_2 \mid \pi), v(\phi_1 \mid \pi^{\vee}) \rangle$, we deduce the formula
\[
\langle v(\phi_2 \mid \pi), v(\phi_1 \mid \pi^{\vee}) \rangle = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^\times)^2} \phi_2(n(x)a(y)z)K_\pi(ty^{-1})\psi_\pi(-txy^{-1})d^2ydx \left| z \right|^2d^2zdt.
\]

3.1.2. A Distributional Fourier Transform. We parametrize the spherical series by $i\mathbb{R} \times \{\pm 1\} \ni \pi(\cdot) \rightarrow \pi(|\cdot|^{\tau} \operatorname{sgn}\frac{\pi}{\tau}, -|\cdot|^{\tau} \operatorname{sgn}\frac{\pi}{\tau})$, and write $K_\pi = K_{\pi^{\tau}}$. They are related to the classical Whittaker functions which satisfy the following equations (see [4, §2 (8.2)])
\[
\Delta K_{\pi}^{\tau} = -\left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right) K_{\pi}^{\tau}, \quad \Delta := t^2 \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - 4\pi^2\right);
\]
\[
K_{\pi}^{\tau}(-t) = e \cdot K_{\pi}^{\tau}(t).
\]
In fact, an explicit choice can be made in terms of the $K$-Bessel functions $K_\lambda$ as (unitary in the Kirillow model)
\[
K_{\pi}^{\tau}(t) = \sqrt{\cosh(\pi \tau)} |t|^{\frac{\tau}{2}} K_{2\pi|t|}, \quad K_{\pi^{\tau}}(t) = \sqrt{\cosh(\pi \tau)} \operatorname{sgn}(t) |t|^{\frac{\tau}{2}} K_{2\pi|t|}.
\]
Consequently, $t \mapsto |t|^{-1} K_{\pi}^{\tau}(t)$ are eigenfunctions for the differential operator
\[
\Delta := t^{-1} \circ \Delta \circ t = t^2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + 2t \frac{d}{dt} - (2\pi)^2 t^2
\]
which is self-dual for $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dt)$. Their distributional Fourier transform are eigen-distributions of
\[
D := \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = (t^2 + 1) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + 2t \frac{d}{dt}
\]
with eigenvalue $-\left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)$. These distributions are represented by smooth functions $e_{\pi}^{\tau}$ satisfying
\[
D e_{\pi}^{\tau} = -\left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right) e_{\pi}^{\tau}.
\]
since $D$ is elliptic. Hence $e^+_{i\tau}(t)$ resp. $e^-_{i\tau}(t)$ is proportional to (see [3, (1.9) & (1.10)] or verify directly)

$$F_+(\tau, t) := 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -t^2\right) \quad \text{resp.} \quad F_-(\tau, t) := t \cdot 2F_1\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -t^2\right),$$

which are real valued and satisfy

$$(F_+(\tau, 0), \partial_t F_+(\tau, 0)) = (1, 0), \quad (F_-(\tau, 0), \partial_t F_-(\tau, 0)) = (0, 1).$$

Lemma 3.3. For our choice (3.3), the Fourier transform of $|t|^{-1}K_{i\tau}(t)$, namely $e_{i\tau}$ are equal to

$$e^+_{i\tau}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\cosh(\pi \tau)}}{\pi} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}\right)^2 F_+(\tau, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\cosh(\pi \tau)}{\pi}} \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}} (1 - x)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\tau}{2}} (1 + xt^2)^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}} dx,$$

$$e^-_{i\tau}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\cosh(\pi \tau)}}{\pi} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}\right)^2 F_-(\tau, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\cosh(\pi \tau)}{\pi}} \cdot t \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}} (1 - x)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\tau}{2}} (1 + xt^2)^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}} dx.$$

Proof. We treat the + case only, the other one being similar. Our proof is based on Tate’s local functional equation. Recall the Mellin transforms (see [15, §3.8.3] and [14, §6.9 (3)]) of

$$\int_0^\infty K_{i\tau}(t) t^s d\tau = 2^{-2s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s - i\tau}{2}\right), \quad \Re s > 0;$$

$$\int_0^\infty 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -t^2\right) t^s d\tau = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\tau}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\tau}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad 0 < \Re s < \frac{1}{2}.$$

The Mellin inversion formula and the local functional equation then give for any $0 < c < 1/2$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} f(t) K_{i\tau}^+(t) d\tau = \int_0^\infty \left(f(t) + f(-t)\right) t^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\cosh(\pi \tau)}{\pi}} K_{i\tau}(2\pi t) d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} - \frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2} - \frac{s}{2}\right) Z(s, 1, f) \right. d\tau_{s=c} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{4})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} - \frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2} - \frac{s}{2}\right) Z(1 - s, 1, f) \right. d\tau_{s=c} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{4})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left| \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{\cosh(\pi \tau)}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty 2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -t^2\right) \left(f(t) + f(-t)\right) dt,$$

justifying the desired equality. □

3.1.3. Admissible Weights. The transforms $f \mapsto F^\epsilon$ on $S(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$F^\epsilon(\tau) = F^\epsilon[f](\tau) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} f(t) K_{i\tau}^+(t) d\tau t^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{2}} K_{i\tau}(t) dt$$

are intimately related to the classical Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (see [35, §6])

$$K[f](\tau) := \int_0^\infty f(t) t^{-\frac{1}{4}} K_{i\tau}(t) dt.$$

In fact, it is easy to see (recall (3.3))

$$F^\epsilon[f](\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\cosh(\pi \tau)}{2}} \int_0^\infty \left(f\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) + \epsilon f\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)\right) t^{-\frac{1}{4}} K_{i\tau}(t) dt.$$

We shall be interested in the following subspace of Schwartz functions.
Definition 3.4. Let $S_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset S(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of Schwartz functions $f$ satisfying $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Write $S^0(\mathbb{R})$ for the image of $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ under Fourier transform. Let $S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ be the space of restrictions of functions in $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ to $[0, \infty)$. Let $S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^\times)$ be the space of smooth functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$
\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \left| (t + t^{-1})^m f^{(n)}(t) \right| < \infty.
$$

It is easy to see that for either $\epsilon = \pm 1$

$$
S_0(\mathbb{R}) \to S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}), \quad f \mapsto F(t) := f \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right) + \epsilon f \left( -\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)
$$
is a surjective map. Hence the space of functions $F^\epsilon[f](\tau)$ contains $K[f](\tau)$ for $f \in S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$.

Lemma 3.5. For $f \in S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, define the transforms

$$
g(x) := \int_0^\infty \sin(tx) f(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt, \quad h(y) := g \left( \frac{1}{2}(y - y^{-1}) \right).
$$

Then $K[f]$ is given in terms of the Mellin transform of $h$ as

$$
\sin \left( \frac{i\pi \tau}{2} \right) K[f](\tau) = \mathcal{M}[h](i\tau) := \int_0^\infty h(y) y^{is} dy, \quad y > 0.
$$

Proof. This is essentially [38, (6.86)], based on the following integral representation [38, (6.84)] of $K_{i\tau}(t)$ due to A. Erdelyi

$$
\sin \left( \frac{i\pi \tau}{2} \right) K_{i\tau}(t) = \int_0^\infty \sin(t \sinh(u)) \sin(\tau u) du,
$$

where the integral is in the Cauchy principal sense. We also recall the estimation

$$
\left| \int_0^N \sin(\tau u) \sin(t \sinh(u)) du \right| \leq \frac{1}{t \cosh(N)} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^N \frac{\tau \cosh(u) + \sinh(u)}{\cosh^2(u)} du
$$

which justifies the change of order of integrations in

$$
\sin \left( \frac{i\pi \tau}{2} \right) K[f](\tau) = \int_0^\infty \sin(\tau u) \left( \int_0^\infty \sin(t \sinh(u)) f(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \right) du
$$

by Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem.

Definition 3.6. Let $H^\star(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of even entire functions $m(s)$ such that for any given real number $a < b$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have the following bound uniform in $r = 3(s) \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
|m(\sigma + ir)| \ll e^{-\frac{2}{3}|\tau|(1 + |\tau|)^{-N}}, \quad a \leq \sigma \leq b.
$$

Proposition 3.7. If $f \in S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, then $K[f](is) \in H^\star(\mathbb{C})$. Conversely, for any $m(s) \in H^\star(\mathbb{C})$, there is $f \in S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ such that $m(s) = K[f](is)$.

Proof. When $f$ traverses $S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, $f(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ also traverses $S(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, and can be extended to an odd function $f_- \in S(\mathbb{R})$ whose derivatives $f_-^{(n)}(0) = 0$ vanishes at 0. Using notation from Lemma 3.3,

$$
g(x) = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{itx} f_-(t) dt
$$

traverses odd functions in $S(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^\infty g(x)x^{2n+1} dx = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
$$
It is easy to see that $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(t - t^{-1})$ is a $C^\infty$-diffeomorphism. Hence $h(y) = g \left( \frac{1}{2}(y - y^{-1}) \right)$ traverses functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ satisfying $h(y^{-1}) = -h(y)$ and

$$\int_0^\infty h(y)(y - y^{-1})^{2n+1}(y + y^{-1}) \frac{dy}{y} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$ 

By induction in $n$, the last condition above is equivalent to

$$\int_0^\infty h(y)y^{2n} \frac{dy}{y} = \pm \int_0^\infty h(y)y^{-2n} \frac{dy}{y} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$ 

Hence $\sin \left( \frac{\pi s}{2} \right) K[f](-is) = 2\Re [h](s)$ traverses the space of odd entire functions

- with rapid decay in $\Im(s)$ as $\Re(s)$ lying in any given compact interval,
- satisfying $2\Re [h](2n) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $\sin \left( \frac{\pi s}{2} \right)$ is odd and has precisely $s = 2n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as simple zeroes, this is equivalent to $K[f](-is) \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$. \hfill $\Box$

**Corollary 3.8.** For either $\epsilon \in \{ \pm 1 \}$, the space of functions $F^\epsilon[f](\tau)$ contains functions of the form

$$\sqrt{\frac{e^{i\tau} + e^{-i\tau}}{4\pi}} \cdot m(i\tau)$$

where $m(s) \in H^*(\mathbb{C})$ (see Definition 3.6).

**Proof.** This follows directly from Proposition 3.7. \hfill $\Box$

### 3.2. Dual Weight Formula.

We would like to express $M_4(\Psi | \chi)$ in terms of $M_3(\Psi | \pi)$ for $\Psi = \phi_1^\vee \ast \phi_2$ as constructed in 3.1 above. By Lemma 2.8, we are reduced to expressing $I(t, \Psi)$ in terms of $M_3(\Psi | \pi)$. The idea is to view $I(t, \Psi)$ as a tempered distribution on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence we take $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and consider

$$b(\Psi, g) := \int_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})} \Psi(X)g(m(X))|\det X|^{-1}dX,$$

where $dX$ is the additive Haar measure on $M_2(\mathbb{R})$, with which a Haar measure

$$dg := \frac{dX}{|\det X|^2}$$

on $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is associated. One checks easily that it is consistent with the Haar measure given via the Iwasawa decomposition. We shall compute $b(\Psi, g)$ in two ways. The first one is the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.** For any $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_2(\mathbb{R}))$, we have

$$b(\Psi, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} I \left( \frac{y + \frac{1}{2}}{y - \frac{1}{2}}, \Psi \right) g \left( y + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left| y - \frac{1}{2} \right|^{-1} dy.$$ 

**Proof.** The same change of variables as in the proof of Lemma 2.8 gives

$$b(\Psi, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} I(t, \Psi)g \left( \frac{t}{t-1} \right) |t - 1|^{-1} dt.$$ 

Another change of variables $t = (y + 1/2)(y - 1/2)^{-1}$ gives the desired equality. \hfill $\Box$

To derive another form of $b(\Psi, g)$ and link it to $M_4(\Psi | \pi)$, we specialize $\Psi$ to the form $\Psi = \phi_1^\vee \ast \phi_2$. Using the coordinates of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ given in 3.1 (a variant of Iwasawa decomposition), we get
Remark 3.12. It is easy to deduce and is worth writing another expression of
\(K(3.7)\) and conclude.

Lemma 3.13. For any \(g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})\) and any \(\epsilon > 0\), we have the bounds
\[
|K_g(x_1, x_2)| \ll_{\epsilon, x_1} (1 + |x_2|)^{-1+\epsilon}, \quad |\frac{\partial K_g}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2)| \ll_{\epsilon, x_1} (1 + |x_2|)^{-1+\epsilon}
\]
with implied constants bounded by some Schwartz norm of \(g\). Consequently, the linear maps \(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}, g \mapsto \lambda_g^+(\tau)\) are tempered distributions.
Proof. Note that we may and will assume $|x_2|$ to be larger than any constant depending only on $\epsilon, x_1$.

(1) We first treat the bound for $K_\theta$. For simplicity of notations, write

$$a(x_1, x_2) := \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(1 + x_1^2)(1 + x_2^2)}, \quad \epsilon(x_1, x_2) := \frac{1 - x_1 x_2}{\sqrt{(1 + x_1^2)(1 + x_2^2)}}.$$ 

Introduce $\epsilon_0 = \min(1/16, \epsilon_0)$ with

$$\epsilon_0 := \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{|x_1|}{\sqrt{1 + x_1^2}}\right) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{1 + x_1^2}}.$$ 

Note that $\lim_{x_2 \to \pm \infty} \epsilon(x_1, x_2) \in \{1 - 4\epsilon_0, -1 + 4\epsilon_0\}$. For any constant $0 < \delta < 1$, we can assume $|x_2|$ to be sufficiently large, so that

$$\epsilon(x_1, x_2) \in [-1 + 3\epsilon_0, 1 - 3\epsilon_0], \quad \frac{(1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a(x_1, x_2)} \leq \epsilon_0.$$ 

Let $I_+ \text{ resp. } I_-$ be the subset of $[0, \pi)$ such that

$$\theta \in I_+ \text{ resp. } I_- \iff |\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2)| \geq \epsilon_0.$$ 

In particular, $I_-$ is an interval of length $|I_-| \ll_{\delta, x_1} (1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0}}$, implying

$$\int_{I_-} g(a(x_1, x_2)(\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2))) \frac{d\theta}{\pi} \ll_{\delta, x_1} (1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0}}.$$ 

While on $I_+$ we have the bound $|g(a(x_1, x_2)(\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2)))| \ll (1 + x_2^2)^{-\frac{\Delta A}{\Delta A}}$ for any constant $A > 0$, we deduce

$$\int_{I_+} g(a(x_1, x_2)(\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2))) \frac{d\theta}{\pi} \ll_{\delta, A, x_1} (1 + x_2^2)^{-\frac{\Delta A}{\Delta A}}$$

and conclude by choosing $\delta$ sufficiently small and $A$ sufficiently large.

(2) We maintain the notation and assumption in (1). Note that

$$\frac{\partial K_\theta(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} = \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{x_2}{2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{2} \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1 + x_1^2}} \sqrt{1 + x_2^2}\right) g'(a(x_1, x_2)(\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2))) \frac{d\theta}{\pi}.$$ 

Write $I_- = (\theta_-, \theta_+)$. Then we have

$$1 - 2\epsilon_0 \geq \cos \theta_- = \frac{(1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a(x_1, x_2)} - \epsilon(x_1, x_2) > \cos \theta_+ = -\frac{(1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a(x_1, x_2)} - \epsilon(x_1, x_2) \geq -1 + 2\epsilon_0.$$ 

By integration by parts, we get for any constant $A > 0$

$$\int_{I_-} \left(-\frac{x_2}{2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{2} \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1 + x_1^2}} \sqrt{1 + x_2^2}\right) g'(a(x_1, x_2)(\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2))) \frac{d\theta}{\pi}$$

$$= \frac{x_2}{\pi \sqrt{1 + x_2^2}} \cos \theta_+ - \frac{x_1}{\pi \sqrt{1 + x_1^2}} \sin \theta_+ g((1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

$$- \frac{x_2}{\pi \sqrt{1 + x_2^2}} \cos \theta_- - \frac{x_1}{\pi \sqrt{1 + x_1^2}} \sin \theta_- g((1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

$$\ll_{\delta, A, x_1} (1 + x_2^2)^{-\frac{\Delta A}{\Delta A}} + (1 + x_2^2)^{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0}}.$$ 

While on $I_+$ we have the bound $|g'(a(x_1, x_2)(\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2)))| \ll (1 + x_2^2)^{-\frac{\Delta A}{\Delta A}}$ for any constant $A > 0$, we deduce

$$\int_{I_+} \left(-\frac{x_2}{2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{2} \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1 + x_1^2}} \sqrt{1 + x_2^2}\right) g'(a(x_1, x_2)(\cos \theta + \epsilon(x_1, x_2))) \frac{d\theta}{\pi} \ll_{\delta, A, x_1} (1 + x_2^2)^{-\frac{\Delta A}{\Delta A}}.$$
and conclude by choosing $\delta$ sufficiently small and $A$ sufficiently large. 

\textbf{Remark 3.14.} The implicit constants in the above bounds depends polynomially on $x_1$.

\textbf{Lemma 3.15.} Let $g \in S(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\hat{f}^{(n)}(0) = 0$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(x_1)} K_g(x_1,x_2) f(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) \lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) \left| F^\epsilon[\hat{f}](\tau) \right|^2 d\tau$$

where $\lambda^\epsilon_g$ is given by (recall $F^\pm(\tau,t)$ given in (5.5))

$$\lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_g(0,t) F^\epsilon(\tau,t) dt, \quad \lambda^{-\epsilon}_g(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x K_g(0,t) F^{-\epsilon}(\tau,t) dt$$

with absolutely convergent integrals.

\textbf{Proof.} The inversion formula for Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (see [38, (6.3)]) for example implies

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) F^\epsilon[\hat{f}](\tau) \overline{e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x)} d\tau \cdot |x|^{-1}.$$ 

Taking Fourier inversion yields

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) F^\epsilon[\hat{f}](\tau) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x) d\tau.$$ 

Applying the above formula for $f(x_2)$ gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1) K_g(x_1,x_2) f(x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) F^\epsilon[\hat{f}](\tau) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(x_1)} K_g(x_1,x_2) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \right) d\tau.$$ 

By [6, (1.19) & (1.20)], we have $|F^\pm(\tau,t)| \ll |x|^{-1/2}$ as $x \to \pm \infty$. By Lemma 3.10 & 3.13 the smooth function $h(x_1) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_g(x_1,x_2) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_2) dx_2$ satisfies

$$Dh(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_2 K_g(x_1,x_2) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_2) dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_g(x_1,x_2) De^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_2) dx_2 = \left( \frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right) h(x_1),$$

$$h(-x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_g(x_1,-x_2) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_2) dx_2 = eh(x_1).$$

Hence $h(x_1) = \lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_1)$ for some $\lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}$ by the uniqueness of the solutions. Since $e^\epsilon_{1\tau}$ is proportional to $F_\epsilon$ given in (3.3) (see Lemma 3.3), we have

$$\lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) F_\epsilon(\tau,x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_g(x_1,x_2) F_\epsilon(\tau,x_2) dx_2.$$ 

Evaluating the above equation resp. its derivative at $x_1 = 0$ for $\epsilon = +1$ resp. $\epsilon = -1$, we get the desired formulas for $\lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau)$. We have obtained

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_g(x_1,x_2) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_2) dx_2 = \lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_1).$$ 

Taking Proposition 3.7 into account, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1) K_g(x_1,x_2) f(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) \lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) \left( \lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1) e^\epsilon_{1\tau}(x_1) \right) d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) \lambda^\epsilon_g(\tau) \left| F^\epsilon[\hat{f}](\tau) \right|^2 d\tau.$$ 

\qed
Lemma 3.16. (1) For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x^2)^{-\frac{s+i\tau}{2}} F_+(\tau, x) dx = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s+\tau}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-\tau}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^2}.
\]
(2) For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re s > \frac{3}{2}$, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x^2)^{-\frac{s-i\tau}{2}} F_-(\tau, x) dx = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s+\tau}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-\tau}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^2}.
\]
(3) Define a function $Q_+(t; \tau) = Q_1^+(t; \tau) \mathbb{1}_{|t|<\frac{1}{2}} + (Q_2^+(t; \tau) + Q_3^+(t; \tau)) \mathbb{1}_{|t|>\frac{1}{2}}$ with
\[
Q_1^+(t; \tau) = \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot 2I_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; 4t^2 \right),
\]
\[
Q_2^+(t; \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 2I_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; 4t^2 \right),
\]
Then the (tempered) distribution $g \mapsto \lambda_g^+(\tau)$ is represented by $Q_+(t; \tau)$ in the sense that
\[
\lambda_g^+(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) Q_+(t; \tau) dt.
\]
(4) Define a function $Q_-(t; \tau) = Q_1^-(t; \tau) \mathbb{1}_{|t|<\frac{1}{2}} + (Q_2^-(t; \tau) + Q_3^-(t; \tau)) \mathbb{1}_{|t|>\frac{1}{2}}$ with
\[
Q_1^-(t; \tau) = \frac{4}{\pi} \cdot 2I_1 \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{3}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; 4t^2 \right),
\]
\[
Q_2^-(t; \tau) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \cdot 2I_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; 4t^2 \right),
\]
Then the (tempered) distribution $g \mapsto \lambda_g^-(\tau)$ is represented by $Q_-(t; \tau)$ in the sense that
\[
\lambda_g^-(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) Q_-(t; \tau) dt.
\]

Proof. (1) We apply Pfaff’s relation (see [22 15.8.1]) and insert the Taylor expansion
\[
F_+(\tau, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j \right)^2}{\Gamma \left( \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j \right)^2} \frac{x^{2j}}{(1 + x^2)^{j + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j}}.
\]
Since we have (see [22 5.12.3])
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x^2)^{j + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{4}} dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{j + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{4}} (1 + y)^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{4} + j} dy = \frac{\Gamma \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j \right)},
\]
we can change the order of the integration and the summation for $\Re s > 1/2$ to obtain
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + x^2)^{-\frac{s+i\tau}{2}} F_+(\tau, x) dx = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j \right)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j \right)^2}{\Gamma \left( \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j \right)^2} \frac{x^{2j}}{(1 + x^2)^{j + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} + j}}
\]
and conclude the first equation by Gauss’s summation theorem (see [1 15.1.20] for example).
(2) The proof is quite similar to the one of (1). We only remark
\[ x F_-(\tau, x) = x^2_2 F_1 \left( \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\tau}{2}; \frac{3}{2} \right) - x^2 \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} + j \right)^2 \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + j \right)} \] Applying Mellin inversion formula, we get for \( \tau > 0 \)
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty \left( g \left( \frac{t}{a} \right) + g \left( \frac{-t}{a} \right) \right) e^{itx} \frac{dt}{t} = a^s \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t + b|t|) t^s e^{itx} t. \]
Applying Mellin inversion formula, we get for \( 0 < c < 1 \)
\[ K_g(x_1, x_2) = \int_0^\pi g \left( \frac{1 - x_1 x_2}{2} \cos \theta \right) \frac{d\theta}{\pi} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g \left( \frac{1 - x_1 x_2}{2} \right) |t|^s e^{itx} t. \]
It follows that for \( 1/2 < c < 1 \), we get by (1)
\[ \lambda_+^2 (\tau) = \int_\mathbb{R} K_g(0, x) F_+(\tau, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g \left( \frac{1 - x_1 x_2}{2} \right) |t|^s e^{itx} t \frac{ds}{2\pi i}. \]
If \( g \) has support contained in \( \{ t + 1/2 \mid |t| < 1/2 \} \), then we can shift the contour to \( +\infty \), picking up the residues at \( s = 1 + 2Z_{\geq 0} \) and get
\[ \lambda_+^2 (\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma \left( n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\tau}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\tau}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) n!} \int_{|t| < \frac{1}{2}} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) (2|t|)^{2n+1} e^{itx} t. \]
If \( g \) has support contained in \( \{ t + 1/2 \mid |t| > 1/2 \} \), then we can shift the contour to \( -\infty \), picking up the residues at \( s = 1/2 + i\tau - 2Z_{\geq 0} \) and get (note \( \sin(i\tau) = i\sin(x) \))
\[ \lambda_+^2 (\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \pm i\tau \right) \Gamma(n + 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2})} \frac{\Gamma \left( n + \frac{1}{4} \mp \frac{i\tau}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( n + \frac{1}{4} \right) n!} \int_{|t| > \frac{1}{2}} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) (2|t|)^{\frac{1}{2} \pm i\tau - 2n} e^{itx} t. \]
Let \( \ell(g) := \lambda^{(n)}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau) \) where \( \dot{g}(t) := g(t + 1/2) \). Then \( D := \ell - Q_+(t; \tau)dt \) is an even tempered distribution with support contained in \( \{ \pm 1/2 \} \). Thus \( D \) must be a finite linear combination of \( \delta_{1/2}^{(n)} + \delta_{-1/2}^{(n)} \), where \( \delta_{t} \) is the Dirac measure at \( t \). On the one hand, the (even) Mellin transform (on \( \mathbb{R}^\times \)) for \( 1/2 < Rs < 1 \), in the sense of distributions is

\[
\mathfrak{M}_+ [D] (-s) = \pi^{2-s} \Gamma \left( \frac{1-s}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s+it-1/2}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-2it-1/2}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} Q_+(t; \tau) |t|^{1-s} dt.
\]

Due to the usual asymptotic estimation of hypergeometric functions, the above integral is absolutely convergent. Hence by Stirling’s estimation of the gamma functions and the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, we have \( \lim_{\delta \to \pm \infty} \mathfrak{M}_+ [D] (-s) = 0 \). On the other hand, we have

\[
\mathfrak{M}_+ \left[ \delta_{1/2}^{(n)} + \delta_{-1/2}^{(n)} \right] (-s) = (-1)^n s(s + 1) \cdots (s + n - 1) 2^{s+n}.
\]

whose finite linear combination has limit 0 as \( \delta \to \pm \infty \) for any \( 1/2 < Rs < 1 \) only if it is the trivial linear combination 0. Hence \( D = 0 \).

(4) Similar to (3), we have for \( 0 < c < 1 \)

\[
\frac{\partial K_0}{\partial x_1}(0, x) = -x \int_0^\pi g' \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{2} \sqrt{1 + x^2} \right) \frac{d\theta}{\pi}
\]

\[
= -x \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} \frac{2^{s-1} \Gamma \left( \frac{1-s}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-2it-1/2}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{2} \right)} \left( 1 + x^2 \right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) |t|^{s-1} \text{sgn}(t) dt^x \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.
\]

By integration by parts, we have for \( Rs > 1 \)

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} g' \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) |t|^{s-1} \text{sgn}(t) dt^x = -(s-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) |t|^{s-1} \text{sgn}(t) dt^x t^x \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.
\]

Thus we can shift the contour to \( 1 < c < 2 \) and obtain

\[
\frac{\partial K_0}{\partial x_1}(0, x) = -x \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} \frac{2^{s-1} \Gamma \left( \frac{1-s}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-2it-1/2}{2} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{2} \right)} \left( 1 + x^2 \right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) |t|^{s-1} \text{sgn}(t) dt^x t^x \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.
\]

It follows that for \( 3/2 < c < 2 \) we get by (2)

\[
\lambda_g(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_0(0, x) F_-(\tau, x) dx
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{C}} 2^{s} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3-s}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-2it-1/2}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1-s}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{s-2it-1/2}{2} \right)} \left( 1 + x^2 \right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} g \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) |t|^{s-1} \text{sgn}(t) dt^x \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.
\]

The rest of the proof is quite similar to the proof of (3). We leave the details to the reader.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.17.** We introduce four integrals

\[
I_1^-(x, \tau) = \int_0^\infty 2F1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{(1-y)}{1+y} \right) \frac{y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}}}{1+y} dy,
\]

\[
I_1^+(x, \tau) = \int_0^\infty 2F1 \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{(1-y)}{1+y} \right) \frac{1-y y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}}}{1+y 1+y} dy;
\]

\[
I_2^-(x, \tau) = \int_0^1 2F1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{3}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; \frac{1+y}{2}; \frac{(1-y)}{1+y} \right) (1-y)^{-\frac{1}{2}-i\tau} (1+y)^{\frac{1}{2}+i\tau} y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}} dy,
\]

\[
I_2^+(x, \tau) = \int_1^\infty 2F1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}; \frac{1-y}{2}; \frac{(1-y)}{1+y} \right) (y-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}-i\tau} (1+y)^{\frac{1}{2}+i\tau} y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}} dy.
\]
Then we have the formulae

\[
I_1^-(x, \tau) = \frac{-\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)} \cdot \left( 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1 \right) \right), \\
I_2^-(x, \tau) = \frac{-\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)} \cdot \left( 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1 \right) \right), \\
I_1^+(x, \tau) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( 1 + ix - i\tau \right)} \cdot \left( 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1 - 2i\tau \right) \right), \\
I_2^+(x, \tau) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( 1 - ix - i\tau \right)} \cdot \left( 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1 - 2i\tau \right) \right).
\]

Proof. For the first integral, the quadratic relation \[22, 15.8.27\] implies

\[2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + i\tau, \frac{1}{4} - i\tau; 1; \frac{1}{1+y} \right) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} + ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} \left( 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1; \frac{1}{1+y} \right) + 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1; \frac{y}{1+y} \right) \right).
\]

Inserting the Taylor series expansion, we get

\[\int_0^\infty 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1; \frac{1}{1+y} \right) \frac{y^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{1+y} dy = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right)_n^2}{(n!)^2} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)_n^2}{\Gamma(n+1)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + n \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau + n \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)} \Gamma(n+1).
\]

(3.8)

The following integral is obtained by the change of variables \(y \mapsto 1/y, x \mapsto -x\) in the previous one

\[\int_0^\infty 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1; \frac{y}{1+y} \right) \frac{y^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{1+y} dy = \left| \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} \right|^2 \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau + n \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right)} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau + n \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)} \Gamma(n+1).
\]

We thus obtain the first formula. To prove the second formula we apply \[22, 15.8.28\]

\[\frac{1-y}{1+y} \cdot 2F_1 \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{3}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; \frac{1}{1+y} \right) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} + ix \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} \cdot \left( 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1; \frac{y}{1+y} \right) - 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1; \frac{1}{1+y} \right) \right).
\]

Therefore, using \(\Gamma(-1/2) = -2\Gamma(1/2)\), we obtain

\[I_2^- (x, \tau) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} + ix \right)}{4\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)} \int_0^\infty 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1; \frac{1}{1+y} \right) \frac{y^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{1+y} \frac{y^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{1+y} dy.
\]

Then (3.8) yields the second formula.

The change of variables \(y \mapsto 1/y\) easily identifies \(I_2^-(x, \tau) = I_1^+(x, \tau)\). Hence it suffices to treat \(I_1^+(x, \tau)\). The quadratic relation \[22, 15.8.13\] implies

\[2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}, \frac{3}{4} - \frac{i\tau}{2}; \frac{1}{1+y} \right) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} (1+y)^{\frac{1}{2} - i\tau} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; 1 - 2i\tau; 1 - y \right).
\]
Inserting the Taylor series expansion, we get
\[
\frac{I_{\tau}^+(x, \tau)}{2^{-\frac{x}{2}+i\tau}} = \int_0^1 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, 1 - 2i\tau, 1 - y \right) (1 - y)^{-\frac{1}{2} - i\tau} y^{ix} dy
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)_n^2 \int_0^1 (1 - y)^{n-\frac{1}{2} - i\tau} y^{ix} dy
\]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)}{\Gamma \left( 1 + ix - i\tau \right)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau, 1 - 2i\tau, 1 \right)_n
\]
and conclude the proof. \(\square\)

**Proposition 3.18.** Let \(Q_\pm(t; \tau)\) be defined in Lemma 3.16 (3) \& (4). Write \(\pi(\tau, \epsilon)\) for
\[
\pi(\cdot; |\tau\operatorname{sgn}\frac{i}{\epsilon} - \cdot; |\tau\operatorname{sgn}\frac{i}{\epsilon})
\]
for simplicity of notation.

1. The function \(I(y, \Psi)\) is given as
\[
I(y, \Psi) = |y - 1|^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\pm} Q_\pm \left( \frac{y + 1}{2(y - 1)} ; \tau \right) \right) M_3 \left( \Psi | \pi(\tau, \pm) \right) \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi}.
\]

2. Let \(\chi(t) = |t|^{i\epsilon} \operatorname{sgn}(t)\epsilon'\) for some \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(\epsilon' \in \{0, 1\}\). Define
\[
K_{1,+}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon x \right) 3I_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon x, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, 1 - 2i\tau, 1 \right),
\]
\[
K_{1,-}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon x \right) 3I_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon x, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, 1 - 2i\tau, 1 \right);
\]
\[
K_{2,+}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon_1 x \right) \frac{i \sinh(\pi \epsilon_2 \tau)}{i \sinh(\pi \epsilon_2 \tau)} 3I_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon_2 \tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon_2 \tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon_2 \tau, 1 + i\epsilon_1 x - i\epsilon_2 \tau, 1 - 2i\epsilon_2 \tau, 1 \right),
\]
\[
K_{2,-}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \epsilon_1 \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon_1 x \right) \frac{1 - i \sinh(\pi \epsilon_2 \tau)}{i \sinh(\pi \epsilon_2 \tau)} 3I_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon_2 \tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon_2 \tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon_2 \tau, 1 + i\epsilon_1 x - i\epsilon_2 \tau, 1 - 2i\epsilon_2 \tau, 1 \right).
\]
We identify \(M_4(\Psi \mid \chi)\) as
\[
M_4(\Psi \mid \chi) = (-1)^{\epsilon'} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\pm} K_{1,\pm}(x, \tau) M_3 (\Psi | \pi(\tau, \pm)) \right) \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\pm} K_{2,\pm}(x, \tau) M_3 (\Psi | \pi(\tau, \pm)) \right) \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi}.
\]

**Proof.** (1) According to Lemma 3.3.

\[
b(\Psi, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} I \left( \frac{y + \frac{1}{2}}{y - \frac{1}{2}}, \Psi \right) \left| y - \frac{1}{2} \right|^{-1} \cdot g \left( y + \frac{1}{2} \right) dy.
\]
Combining (3.12), (3.14), Lemma 3.15 and 3.16 we get

\[ b(\Psi, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\pm} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \tanh(\pi \tau) \chi^\pm(\tau) M_3(\Psi|\pi(i\tau, \pm)) d\tau \]

(3.13)

which gives the first summand of the formula.

Inserting the first two formulae in Lemma 3.17, we get

\[ \Gamma(1 + K) \]

(3.14)

which are

(3.15)

By Lemma 2.8, we can decompose

(2) By Lemma 3.18 we can decompose

(3.16)

Consider the first integral in (3.14). It follows from (3.9) that

\[ M_4(\Psi | \chi) = \chi(-1) \int_0^\infty I(-y, \Psi)y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}} dy + \int_0^\infty I(y, \Psi)y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}} dy. \]

(3.17)

For \( y > 0 \) we have \( \frac{y - 1}{2(y + 1)} < \frac{1}{2} \), hence by Lemma 3.16

(3.18)

Inserting the first two formulae in Lemma 3.17 we get

\[ \int_0^\infty Q_+^1 \left( \frac{y - 1}{2(1 + y)}; \tau \right) (y + 1)^{-1} y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}} dy = \frac{2}{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} \right) \left[ \frac{3}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} \right] \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i\tau}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{3}{2} - i\tau, 1 \right), \]

(3.19)

which are \( K_{1,+}(x, \tau) \) resp. \( K_{1,-}(x, \tau) \) by Legendre duplication formula for Gamma functions

(3.20)

Hence we get by (3.15)

(3.21)

which gives the first summand of the formula.
Next consider the second integral in (3.14). It follows from (3.14) that

\[(3.18) \quad \int_0^\infty I(y, \Psi)y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}}dy = \int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \int_0^\infty Q_\pm \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; \tau \right) \frac{y^{ix-1/2}dy}{|1-y|} M_3(\Psi|\pi(i\tau, \pm)) \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi}. \]

Similarly for \( y > 0 \), we have \( \left| \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)} \right| > \frac{1}{2} \), hence by Lemma 3.16,

\[ Q_\pm \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; \tau \right) = Q_\pm^2 \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; \tau \right) + Q_\pm^2 \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; -\tau \right). \]

Inserting the definitions of \( Q_\pm^2 \) and \( I_k^\pm(x, \tau), k = 1, 2 \) (see Lemma 3.16 and 3.17), we get

\[ \int_0^\infty Q_+^2 \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; \tau \right) \frac{y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}}}{|y-1|} dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon_1 \in \{\pm\}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon_1 x \right) i\sinh(\pi\tau) + \frac{1}{\pi i \sinh(\pi\tau)} \Im \left( \frac{1}{1 + i\epsilon_1 x - i\epsilon_2\tau, 1 - 2i\epsilon_2\tau}; 1 \right). \]

Therefore,

\[ \int_0^\infty \left( Q_+^2 \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; \tau \right) + Q_-^2 \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; -\tau \right) \right) \frac{y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}}}{|y-1|} dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon_1 x \right) i\sinh(\pi\epsilon_2\tau) + \frac{1}{\pi i \sinh(\pi\epsilon_2\tau)} \Im \left( \frac{1}{1 + i\epsilon_1 x - i\epsilon_2\tau, 1 - 2i\epsilon_2\tau}; 1 \right), \]

which is \( K_{2,+}(x, \tau) \). Similarly, we obtain

\[ \int_0^\infty \left( Q_+^2 \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; \tau \right) + Q_-^2 \left( \frac{y+1}{2(y-1)}; -\tau \right) \right) \frac{y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}}}{|y-1|} dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \epsilon_1 \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon_1 x \right) \frac{1 - i\sinh(\pi\epsilon_2\tau)}{\pi i \sinh(\pi\epsilon_2\tau)} \Im \left( \frac{1}{1 + i\epsilon_1 x - i\epsilon_2\tau, 1 - 2i\epsilon_2\tau}; 1 \right), \]

which is \( K_{2,-}(x, \tau) \). Hence we get by (3.18)

\[(3.19) \quad \int_0^\infty I(y, \Psi)y^{ix-\frac{1}{2}}dy = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left( \sum_{\pm} K_{2,\pm}(x, \tau) M_3(\Psi|\pi(i\tau, \pm)) \right) \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi}. \]

Substituting (3.17) and (3.19) to (3.14), we complete the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.19.** Applying [22, (7.4.4.3)], we can rewrite \( K_{1,\pm}(x, \tau) \) as

\[ K_{1,+}(x, \tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \epsilon_2 \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\epsilon_1 x \right) \frac{\cosh(\pi\tau)}{\cosh(\pi x)} \Im \left( \frac{1}{1 + i\epsilon_2\tau - i\epsilon_1 x, 1 + 2i\epsilon_2\tau}; 1 \right), \]

\[ K_{1,-}(x, \tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - i\epsilon_1 x \right) \frac{\cosh(\pi\tau)}{\cosh(\pi x)} \Im \left( \frac{1}{1 + i\epsilon_2\tau - i\epsilon_1 x, 1 + 2i\epsilon_2\tau}; 1 \right). \]
Remark 3.20. If we introduce the function
\[ F_2(\tau, t) := t^{\frac{1}{2} + i\tau} 2 I_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau; t \right), \]
then it is easy to get the following integral formulae by [3.10] & [3.11] (or [22, 16.5.2])
\[
K_{2,+}(x, \tau) = \frac{4i}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left\{ \Re(F_2(\tau, t)) - \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi \tau)} \Im(F_2(\tau, t)) \right\} \cdot \Re((1 - t)^i \tau) \frac{dt}{t \sqrt{1 - t}},
\]
\[
K_{2,-}(x, \tau) = -\frac{4i}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left\{ \Im(F_2(\tau, t)) + \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi \tau)} \Im(F_2(\tau, t)) \right\} \cdot \Im((1 - t)^i \tau) \frac{dt}{t \sqrt{1 - t}}.
\]
If we introduce
\[ \Lambda_2(\tau, t) := t^{-\frac{1}{2} - i\tau} 2 I_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau; -t^{-1} \right), \]
then we have the relation
\[ F_2(\tau, t) = \Lambda_2(\tau, t^{-1} - 1), \quad 0 < t < 1, \]
and we can rewrite
\[
K_{2,+}(x, \tau) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \Re(\Lambda_2(\tau, t)) - \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi \tau)} \Im(\Lambda_2(\tau, t)) \right\} \cdot \Re((1 + t^{-1})^i \tau) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(t + 1)}},
\]
whose kernel is identified with Motohashi’s one in [14, (4.7.2)].

3.3. Dual Weight Estimation.

3.3.1. Qualitative Decay. From Proposition 3.18 (2) and Remark 3.19, we see that the transform \( M_3(\Psi | \pi(i\tau, \pm)) \rightarrow M_4(\Psi | \chi) \) is based on the following transform \( h(i\tau) \rightarrow \tilde{h}(ix) \) given by
\[ \tilde{h}(ix) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x, \tau) h(i\tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi}, \]
where the kernel function \( K(x, \tau) \) is
\[ K(x, \tau) := \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix \right) 3 I_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau; 1 \right). \]

Remark 3.21. Precisely, we have
\[
K_{1,+}(x, \tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi \tau)} \frac{\cosh(\pi x)}{\sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau),
\]
\[
K_{1,-}(x, \tau) = \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi \tau)} \frac{\cosh(\pi x)}{\sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau),
\]
\[
K_{2,+}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau) - \frac{1}{\pi i \sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau),
\]
\[
K_{2,-}(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_1 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau) + \frac{1}{\pi i \sinh(\pi \tau)} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}} \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 K(\varepsilon_1 x, \varepsilon_2 \tau).
\]

Lemma 3.22. Suppose h(s) is a holomorphic function in \( \Re s > -\delta \) for some \( \delta > 0 \), which has rapid decay in vertical region \( 0 \leq \Re s \leq c \) for any \( c > 0 \). Define for \( 0 < \Re s < 1/2 \) a function
\[ h^*(s) := \Gamma(2s) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau - s \right) h(i\tau) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi}, \]
Then \( h^*(s) \) has analytic continuation to \( \Re s > 0 \) with rapid decay in any vertical region \( 0 < a \leq \Re s < b \).
Proof. For any $c > 0$ we have by contour shifting
\[
h^*(s) = \Gamma(2s) \int_0^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c - \sigma + i(\tau_1 - \tau) \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c + \sigma + i(\tau_1 + \tau) \right)} = \Gamma(2s) \int_0^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c - \sigma + i(\tau_1 - \tau) \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c + \sigma + i(\tau_1 + \tau) \right)} ds.
\]
The right most expression is a well defined holomorphic function in $0 < \Re s < 1/2 + c$. To see the rapid decay in $a \leq \Re s \leq b$, we take $c > b$ large enough. Write $s_1 = c + i\tau_1, s = \sigma + i\tau$ with $a \leq \sigma \leq b$. We treat the case $\tau > 0$ in detail, leaving the case $\tau < 0$ as an exercise. Stirling’s bound (10, (B.8)) implies the existence of constants $A_j > 0$ depending only on $a, b, c$ such that
\[
\left| \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c - \sigma + i(\tau_1 - \tau) \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c + \sigma + i(\tau_1 + \tau) \right)} \right| \ll a, b, c. e^{\pi \tau (1 + |\tau|)^{-C}}.
\]
Invoking the rapid decay of $h(s_1)$, we easily see for any $C > 0$
\[
\int_0^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c - \sigma + i(\tau_1 - \tau) \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c + \sigma + i(\tau_1 + \tau) \right)} ds \ll a, b, c. e^{\pi \tau (1 + |\tau|)^{-C}}.
\]
For example, we have
\[
\int_0^{1/2} e^{\pi \tau_1 (1 + |\tau_1|)^{-C}} d\tau_1 \ll \int_0^{1/2} e^{\pi \tau_1} d\tau_1 + \int_0^{1/2} e^{\pi \tau_1} (1 + \left| \frac{\tau}{2} \right|)^{-C} d\tau_1 \ll C e^{\pi \tau (1 + |\tau|)^{-C}}.
\]
The desired rapid decay of $h^*(s)$ follows readily by applying Stirling’s bound to $\Gamma(2s)$. \hfill \square

**Proposition 3.23.** The transform $h \to \tilde{h}$ given by (3.20) has the following properties.

1. The kernel function $K(x, \tau)$ satisfies the following uniform bound
   \[
   |K(x, \tau)| \ll (1 + |\tau|)^{-1}.
   \]
2. The kernel function $K(x, \tau)$ has an alternative expression, valid for any $0 < c < 1/2$, as
   \[
   K(x, \tau) = \int_c \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c + i\tau - s \right) \Gamma(s)^2}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + c + i\tau + s \right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau - s \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right)} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.
   \]
3. Suppose $h(s)$ is a holomorphic function in $\Re s > -\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, which has rapid decay in any vertical region $0 \leq \Re s \leq a$. Then $\tilde{h}(ix)$ has rapid decay as $|x| \to \infty$.

**Proof.** (1) The proof is highly technical. We postpone it to $\S 9$
(2) By Remark 15.52.20 (or [22, 16.5.2]), we see
\[
K(x, \tau) = \int_0^1 F_2(\tau, t)(1 - t)^{ix} \frac{dt}{t^{1/2} - t} = \int_0^\infty \Lambda_2(\tau, t) \frac{t^{ix} \tau}{(1 + t)^{ix}} \frac{dt}{t}.
\]
For $0 < \Re s < 1/2$, we have the Mellin transforms
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{ix} - s}{(1 + t)^{ix}} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix - s \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right)}
\]
\[
\int_0^\infty \Lambda_2(\tau, t)t^s \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^\infty 2\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix, \frac{1}{2} + ix : t \right) \frac{t^{ix} - s}{(1 + t)^{ix}} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix - s \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix + s \right)}.
\]
The desired formula follows by Mellin inversion formula.
(3) Using $h^*(s)$ defined in Lemma 3.22, we have by contour shifting
\[
\tilde{h}(ix) = \int_c h^*(s) \cdot \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix - s \right) ds}{\Gamma(2s) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + ix \right) 2\pi i}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h^* \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix + k \right) \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix + k \right)^3}{k! \Gamma (1 - 2ix + 2k) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix \right)} + \int_c h^*(s) \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix - s \right) ds}{\Gamma(2s) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix \right) 2\pi i}
\]
(3.22)
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h^* \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix + k \right)
\]
for any integer \( n \geq 1 \). Each summand indexed by \( k \) above has rapid decay by Lemma \ref{lem:quantitative-decay} and Striling’s bound. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Lemma \ref{lem:quantitative-decay}, we see

\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} h^* (s) \frac{\Gamma(s)^3 \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} - \frac{i x - s}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(2s) \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} - i x \right)} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \right| \ll (1 + |x|)^{-c-n}.
\]

Since \( n \) can be taken arbitrarily large, we conclude the desired rapid decay.

3.3.2. Quantitative Decay. For simplicity of notation, we shall write \( M_3(i \tau, \pm) \) resp. \( \chi(-1) M_4(ix, 1) + M_4(ix, 2) \) instead of \( M_3(\Psi \mid \pi(i \tau, \pm)) \) resp. \( M_4(\Psi \mid \chi) \). By Corollary \ref{cor:quantitative-decay} for any large parameters \( T \gg 1 \) and \( \Delta = T' \) the following function is admissible and non-negative for \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \cup i(-1/2, 1/2) \)

\[
M_3(i \tau, \pm) = \frac{\cosh(\pi \tau)}{2 \sqrt{\pi \Delta}} \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau - T)^2}{2 \Delta^2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau + T)^2}{2 \Delta^2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^2.
\]

Equivalently, we need to consider \( h(i \tau) \) of the form

\[
h_j(i \tau) = \frac{w_j(\tau)}{2 \sqrt{\pi \Delta}} \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau - T)^2}{2 \Delta^2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau + T)^2}{2 \Delta^2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^2,
\]

where the functions \( w_j \) are given by

\[
w_1(\tau) = \tau \sinh(\pi \tau), \quad w_2(\tau) = \tau \cosh(\pi \tau), \quad w_3(\tau) = \tau,
\]

and to bound the corresponding dual weights \( \tilde{h}_j(ix) \). It would be convenient to introduce

\[
g(\tau; T, \Delta) := \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \Delta}} \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau - T)^2}{2 \Delta^2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \exp \left( -\frac{(\tau + T)^2}{2 \Delta^2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^2,
\]

whose Fourier transform is given by

\[
\hat{g}(x; T, \Delta) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\tau; T, \Delta) e^{-i x \tau} d\tau
\]

\[
= \frac{e^{-\pi T}}{2} \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4} (\Delta(x - \pi i))^2 \right) e^{-i T x} + \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4} (\Delta(x + \pi i))^2 \right) e^{i T x} \right\}
\]

\[
+ e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4} (\Delta)^2 \right).
\]

We easily compute its derivative with respect to \( x \)

\[
\hat{g}'(x; T, \Delta) = -e^{-\pi T} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4} (\Delta(x - \pi i))^2 \right) e^{-i T x} \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \Delta^2 x - \frac{i T}{2} \right\}
\]

\[
- e^{-\pi T} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4} (\Delta(x + \pi i))^2 \right) e^{i T x} \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \Delta^2 x + \frac{i T}{2} \right\}
\]

\[
- e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{4} (\Delta^2 x)^2 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Delta^2 x.
\]

**Lemma 3.24.** There is an absolute constant \( \delta > 0 \) such that for \( |\theta| \leq \delta \) and for all \( \lambda \geq 0 \) we have

\[
f(\lambda, \theta) := \frac{1}{4} (\log(1 + 2\lambda \cos \theta + \lambda^2))^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\log(1 + \lambda))^2 - (\min(|\theta|, \lambda \sin |\theta|))^2 \geq 0.
\]

**Proof.** This is \[19, (5.1.17)]. We leave the elementary details to the reader. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.25.** Let \( h_j^*(s) \) be the transform introduced in Lemma \ref{lem:quantitative-decay} of \( h_j \). Write \( \tilde{g}(x) \) resp. \( \tilde{g}'(x) \) for \( \hat{g}(x; T, \Delta) \) resp. \( \hat{g}'(x; T, \Delta) \) for simplicity of notation.
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Proof. We assume Proposition 3.26. Let $\tilde{C} > 0$ be any constant 
Arguing similarly as for the discrete sum above, we find

\[
|\tilde{h}_3(s)| \ll e^{-\frac{|s|}{\tilde{C}^2}} \left\{ \Delta^2 e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{C}^2}} + T e^{-\pi T} \right\}
\]

\[
\cdot \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2R_s}}{(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda)^{R_s + \frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta^2}{8} (\log(1 + \lambda))^2 \right) (\log(1 + \lambda) + 1) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}
\]

\[
\ll \Delta^{-2R_s} e^{-\frac{|s|}{\tilde{C}^2}} \left\{ \Delta^2 e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{C}^2}} + T e^{-\pi T} \right\},
\]

where the implied constants depend only on $R_s$, and we have used the inequalities for $\Delta \geq 1$

\[
\Delta \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta} \right) \geq \log(1 + \lambda) \geq 0, \quad \left( \frac{\Delta}{2} + \lambda \right)^{R_s + \frac{1}{2}} \geq \left( \frac{\Delta}{2} \right)^{R_s + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta} \right) \leq \log(1 + \lambda).
\]

\[\square\]

Proposition 3.26. Let $\tilde{h}_j(ix)$ be the transforms of $h_j(s)$ given by (3.20). Suppose $|x| \geq T \log^2 T$. Then for any constant $C > 0$, we have

\[
|\tilde{h}_j(ix)| \ll_C |x|^{-C}.
\]

Proof. We assume $|x| \geq T$ in the sequel. We only write details for $h_{1,2}$, since the case for $h_3$ is quite similar. We apply (3.22) to $h = \tilde{h}_j$ and bound the discrete sum in absolute value by Lemma 3.25 as

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_{1,2}^* \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix + k \right) \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix + k \right)}{k! (1 - 2ix + 2k) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - ix \right)}
\]

\[
\ll_n T e^{-\frac{|x|}{\Delta}} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}},
\]

For any constant $C > 0$, we write $y = |x|/T$ and find that if $y \geq \log^2 T$

\[
(1 + |x|)^C \cdot T e^{-\frac{|x|}{\Delta}} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\ll T^{C+n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-y(1 + y)^{C+n-\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

\[
\leq \begin{cases} 
   e^{-\frac{C}{2}} (1 + y)^{C+n-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \log T \geq 2(C + n - 1/2) \\
   \ll_{n,C} 1 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Hence these terms are bounded by $(1 + |x|)^{-C}$ as required. Writing $m = c + n$, we bound the remaining integral using Lemma 3.25 and Stirling’s bound as

\[
\left| \int_{(c+n)} h_{1,2}^* \Gamma(s)^3 \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - is - s \right)}{\Gamma(2s) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - is \right)} 2\pi i \right|
\]

\[
\ll \Delta^{-2m} T e^{-\frac{|x|}{\Delta}} \ll_{n,C} (1 + |x|)^{-m-1} (1 + |x + \tau|)^{-m} d\tau,
\]

The major contribution of the common integral above comes from $|\tau + x/2| \leq |x|/2$, for which we have

\[
\int_{|\tau + x/2| \leq |x|/2} e^{-\frac{|x|}{\Delta}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{C}^2} (|\tau| + |x + \tau| - |x|)(1 + |\tau|)^{m-1}(1 + |x + \tau|)^{-m}} d\tau
\]

\[
\ll_m (1 + |x|)^{-m} \int_{|\tau + x/2| \leq |x|/2} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{C}^2}} (1 + |\tau|)^{m-1} d\tau + e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{C}^2}} (1 + |x|)^{m-1} \int_{|\tau + x/2| \leq |x|/2} (1 + |x + \tau|)^{-m} d\tau
\]

\[
\ll (1 + |x|)^{-m} T m e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{\tilde{C}^2}} (1 + |x|)^{m-1}.
\]

Arguing similarly as for the discrete sum above, we find

\[
\Delta^{-2m} T e^{-\frac{|x|}{\Delta}} \ll_{n,C} (1 + |x|)^{-C}, \quad \text{if } |x| \geq T \log^2 T.
\]
Assuming \( n \) large so that \( m > C \) and writing again \( y = |x|/T \geq 1 \), we find
\[
\Delta^{-2m}T^{(1 + |x|)C - mT^m} \leq \Delta^{-2m}T^{C+1}y^{C - m} \leq \Delta^{-2m}T^{C+1}.
\]
We take \( n \) so large that \( \Delta^{-2m}T^{C+1} \leq 1 \), and conclude the proof. \( \square \)

4. Non Archimedean Places

4.1. Choice of Test Function. Recall that a unitary character \( \chi_0 \) of \( \mathbb{F}^\times \) is fixed as parameter. Write \( c(\chi_0) = n \geq 1 \), \( t := |\bar{\varpi}|^{-n} \) and \( q = |\varpi|^{-1} \). Take
\[
\Psi = L_{n(t)} R_{n(t)} \phi_0, \quad \phi_0 \left( \begin{array}{cc} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{array} \right) = \chi_0 \left( \frac{x_1}{x_3} \right) \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{K}_0[p^n]} \left( \begin{array}{cc} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{array} \right).
\]
Since \( \phi_0 \) is a character on its support (group) \( \mathbb{K}_0[p^n] \), we have \( \phi_0 * \phi_0 = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{K}_0[p^n]) \phi_0 \), where the convolution is taken over \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}) \). Consequently, we have
\[
\Psi = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{K}_0[p^n])^{-1} (R_{n(t)} \phi_0^\vee)^\vee * (R_{n(t)} \phi_0).
\]
The proof of Lemma \ref{lem:choice_of_test_function} applies, giving for any irreducible admissible representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}) \)
\[
M_3(\Psi | \pi) = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{K}_0[p^n])^{-1} \langle v(R_{n(t)} \phi_0 | \pi), v(R_{n(t)} \phi_0^\vee | \pi^\vee) \rangle,
\]
where we recall for any \( \phi \in C_c^\infty(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})) \)
\[
v(\phi | \pi) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}(\pi)} \mathbb{Z} \left( \frac{1}{2}, W_{e^\vee} \right) \cdot \pi(\phi).e.
\]

**Lemma 4.1.** \( \pi(\phi_0) \neq 0 \) resp. \( \pi^\vee(\phi_0^\vee) \neq 0 \) only if \( c(\pi \otimes \chi_0^{-1}) \leq n \). Under this condition, we have
\[
\langle v(R_{n(t)} \phi_0 | \pi), v(R_{n(t)} \phi_0^\vee | \pi^\vee) \rangle \gg_\theta q^{-3n},
\]
where \( \theta \) is any constant towards the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture. Consequently, we have
\[
M_3(\Psi | \pi) \gg_\theta q^{-2n}.
\]

**Proof.** \( \pi(\phi_0) \neq 0 \) only if \( V_n \) contains a vector \( e \) satisfying
\[
\pi \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right).e = \chi_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ d \end{array} \right) e, \quad \forall \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{K}_0[p^n].
\]
This is equivalent to
\[
(\pi \otimes \chi_0^{-1}) \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right).e \otimes \chi_0^{-1} = \chi_0^{-2}(d) \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{K}_0[p^n]} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right), \quad \forall \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{K}_0[p^n].
\]
The last condition is obviously equivalent to \( c(\pi \otimes \chi_0^{-1}) \leq n \) by definition since the central character of \( \pi \otimes \chi_0^{-1} \) is \( \chi_0^{-2} \). The case for \( \pi^\vee \) is similar. Moreover, the space of vectors satisfying (4.2) is a \( \mathbb{K}_0[p^n] \)-isotypic subspace. We can find an orthogonal basis \( \mathcal{B}_0 \), containing a new vector \( e_0 \) of \( \pi \otimes \chi_0^{-1} \), of this space and extend it to an orthogonal basis of \( \pi \). We obtain
\[
v(R_{n(t)} \phi_0 | \pi) = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{K}_0[p^n]) \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_0} \mathbb{Z} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_0^{-1}, n(t).W_{e^\vee} \right) \cdot n(t).(e \otimes \chi_0).
\]
Similarly, we have
\[
v(R_{n(t)} \phi_0^\vee | \pi^\vee) = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{K}_0[p^n]) \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}_0} \mathbb{Z} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_0, n(t).W_e \right) \cdot n(t).(e^\vee \otimes \chi_0^{-1}).
\]
Consequently, we get
\[ \langle v(R_n(t)\phi_0 | \pi), v(R_n(t)\phi_0^\vee | \pi^\vee) \rangle = \text{Vol}(K_0[p^n])^2 \cdot \sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}_0} Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_0^{-1}, n(t), W_c \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_0, n(t), W_c \right) \]
\[ \geq \text{Vol}(K_0[p^n])^2 \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_0^{-1}, n(t), W_0 \right) \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_0, n(t), W_0 \right), \]
where we have written \( W_0 = W_{e_0} \), and have used the positivity of each summand. For an unramified character \( \chi \), define \( f_\chi(x) = \chi(x)I_n(x) \). By the new vector theory, we can take
\[ W_0(x) = \begin{cases} f_{x_1}(x)|x|^\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \pi \approx \pi(\chi, \chi^{-1}) \text{ with } \chi_1 := \chi \chi_0^{-1} \text{ or } \chi_1 := \chi^{-1} \chi_0^{-1} \text{ unramified} \\ (f_{x_1} * f_{x_2})(x)|x|^\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \pi \approx \pi(\chi, \chi^{-1}) \text{ with } \chi_1 := \chi \chi_0^{-1} \text{ and } \chi_2 := \chi^{-1} \chi_0^{-1} \text{ unramified}, \\ \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}^*}(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
where the convolution is taken for \((F^\times, d^\times x)\). It follows that (see [34, Proposition 4.6] for example)
\[ \left| Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_0, n(t), W_0 \right) \right| = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta_p(1), \quad \|W_0\|^2 \ll_{\theta} 1. \]
The desired bound follows readily. \( \square \)

### 4.2. Dual Weight Estimation

#### 4.2.1. Preliminary Reductions
We need to estimate the local weight \( M_4(\Psi | \chi, s) \) for \( \Re s = 1 / 2 \). Since \( M_4(\Psi | \chi, 1/2 + it) = M_4(\Psi | \chi, |\cdot|^\mu, 1/2) \), we only need to study \( M_4(\Psi | \chi) := M_4(\Psi | \chi, 1/2) \). By a simple change of variables, we get
\[ \frac{M_4(\Psi | \chi)}{\zeta_p(1)^4} = \int_{F^4} \phi_0 \left( \begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \end{array} \right) \chi \left( \frac{(x_1 + tx_3)(x_4 - tx_3)}{(x_2 - t(x_1 - x_4) - t^2 x_3 x_3)} \right) \prod dx_i \]
\[ \left( |x_1 + tx_3| |x_4 - tx_3| |x_2 - t(x_1 - x_4) - t^2 x_3 x_3| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]
Under the change of variable
\[ x_3 \mapsto x_3(1 + u), \quad x_1 \mapsto x_1 - tx_3, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_2 - t^2 ux_3, \quad x_4 \mapsto x_4 + tx_3, \]
for an arbitrary \( u \in \mathbb{p}^n \), we have \( M_4(\Psi | \chi) = \chi(1 + u)^{-1} M_4(\Psi | \chi) \). Hence \( M_4(\Psi | \chi) \neq 0 \) only if \( m := c(\chi) \leq n \), which we assume from now on.

We notice that \( \phi_0 \) is given in the coordinates \((z, u, x, y) \in (F^\times)^2 \times F^2\) of an open dense subset of \( M_2(F) \) (actually of \( \text{GL}_2(F) - wB(F) \)) by a product of functions in each variable as
\[ \phi_0 \left( \begin{array}{cccc} z & 1 & y & 1 \\ x & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \mathbb{1}_{(z,x) \in (2,2)(\mathbb{w}^{-n} x, y) \chi_0(u)^{-1}}, \]
Making the change of variable
\[ \left( \begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ z & z & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} u & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} z u & z y & z u x & z(1 + y) \end{array} \right), \]
whose Jacobian is equal to \( |z^3 u| \), we get
\[ \frac{M_4(\Psi | \chi)}{\zeta_p(1)^4} = q^{-n} \int_{(z,y)^2} \int_{\mathbb{a}_n} \chi \left( \frac{(1 + x)(1 - x(u - \overline{w} y))}{x(1 - (1 + x)(u - \overline{w} y))} \right) \chi_0(u)^{-1}. \]
\[ \frac{|x(1 + x)(1 - x(u - \overline{w} y))(1 - (1 + x)(u - \overline{w} y))|^\frac{1}{2}}{|x(1 + x)(1 - x)(1 - (1 + x)u)|^\frac{1}{2}}. \]
where we have used \( \chi_0(u) = \chi_0(u - w^n y) \) for \( u \in \sigma^\times, y \in \sigma \) in the last line. The consecutive changes of variables \( u \mapsto u^{-1} \) and \( u \mapsto 1 + x + y \) then gives

\[
\frac{M_4(\Psi | \chi)}{\zeta_\Psi(1)^4} = q^{-n} \int_{\sigma^\times} \int_{\sigma} \chi \left( \frac{(1+x)(u-x)}{xy(u-1-x)} \right) \chi_0(u) \cdot \frac{dudx}{|x(1+x)(u-x)(u-1-x)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

Introducing the function (note the symmetry \( f(x, y) = f(y, x) \))

\[
f(x, y) = f(x, y | \chi) := \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x + y) \chi_0(1 + x + y) \chi \left( \frac{(1+x)(1+y)}{xy} \right) \frac{1}{(1+x)(1+y)|xy|^{\frac{1}{2}}},
\]

we rewrite the above equation as

\[
(4.3) \quad \frac{M_4(\Psi | \chi)}{\zeta_\Psi(1)^4} = q^{-n} \int_{\sigma^2} f(x, y) dxdy.
\]

Note that if \( xy \notin \sigma^\times \) (hence \( p \)) then \( 1 + x + y \in \sigma^\times \) implies \( 1, x, 1 + y \in \sigma^\times \). Hence

\[
\int_{\sigma^2-(\sigma^\times)^2} f(x, y) dxdy = \int_{\sigma^2-(\sigma^\times)^2} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x + y) \chi_0(1 + x + y) \chi \left( \frac{(1+x)(1+y)}{xy} \right) \frac{1}{|xy|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

On the other hand, we have (by the change of variables \( x \mapsto x - 1, y \mapsto y - 1 \) in the second integral below)

\[
\int_{\sigma^\times} f(x, y) dxdy
\]

\[
= \int_{\sigma^\times} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x + y) \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x) \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + y) \chi_0(1 + x + y) \chi \left( \frac{(1+x)(1+y)}{xy} \right) dxdy
\]

\[
+ \int_{\sigma^2-(\sigma^\times)^2} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(-1 + x + y) \chi_0(-1 + x + y) \chi \left( \frac{xy}{(-1+x)(-1+y)} \right) \frac{dxdy}{|xy|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

\[
= \int_{\sigma^\times} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x + y) \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x) \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + y) \chi_0(1 + x + y) \chi \left( \frac{(1+x)(1+y)}{xy} \right) dxdy
\]

\[
+ \int_{\sigma^2-(\sigma^\times)^2} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x + y) \chi_0(1 + x + y) \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{(1+x)(1+y)}{xy} \right) \frac{dxdy}{|xy|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

We introduce for \( k, \ell \geq 0 \)

\[
g(x, y) = g(x, y | \chi) := \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + x) \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + y) f(x, y | \chi),
\]

\[
M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi) := \int_{\omega_k \sigma^\times \times \omega_{\ell} \sigma^\times} g(x, y | \chi) dxdy
\]

\[
= \frac{q^{-1}}{\chi(\omega)^{k+\ell}} \int_{\sigma^\times} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + \omega^k u + \omega^\ell v) \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + \omega^k u) \mathbb{1}_{\sigma^\times}(1 + \omega^\ell v).
\]

\[
\chi_0(1 + \omega^k u + \omega^\ell v) \chi \left( \frac{(1 + \omega^k u)(1 + \omega^\ell v)}{uv} \right) dudv.
\]

and rewrite [4.3] as

\[
(4.4) \quad \frac{M_4(\Psi | \chi)}{\zeta_\Psi(1)^4} = q^{-n} \left\{ M_4^0(\chi_0 | \chi) + \sum_{k,\ell \geq 0, (k,\ell) \neq (0,0)} \left( M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi) + M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{-1}) \right) \right\}.
\]

We are thus reduced to bounding \( M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) \).
4.2.2. Case $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. We claim that only the terms for $k = \ell = n - m$ is non-vanishing. In fact, if $\ell > n - m$, then for any $y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, $\delta \in (1 + p^{m-1}) \cap \mathcal{O}^\times$ and $1 + x + y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ we have

$$1 + y \delta \in (1 + y)(1 + p^m), \quad 1 + x + y \delta \in (1 + x + y)(1 + p^m).$$

Hence $g(x, y \delta | \chi^\pm) = \chi^\pm(\delta)g(x, y | \chi^\pm)$. Thus $M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^\pm) = 0$. While if $\ell < n - m$, then for any $y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, $\delta \in p^m$ and $1 + x + y, 1 + x, 1 + y \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ we have

$$1 + y(1 + \delta) \in (1 + y)(1 + p^m), \quad 1 + x + y(1 + \delta) \in (1 + x + y)(1 + p^{m+\ell}).$$

Hence $g(x, y(1 + \delta)) = \chi_0(1 + y \delta(1 + x + y)^{-1})g(x, y)$, implying

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times} g(x, y)dxdy = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(p^m)} \int_{p^m} \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times} g(x, y(1 + \delta))dxdyd\delta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(p^m)} \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times} g(x, y) \left( \int_{p^m} \chi_0 \left( 1 + \frac{y \delta}{1 + x + y} \right) d\delta \right) dxdy = 0,$$

since as $\delta$ traverses $p^m$, $1 + y \delta(1 + x + y)^{-1}$ traverses $1 + p^{m+\ell} \subseteq 1 + p^{n-1}$ on which $\chi_0$ is non-trivial. The claim is proved.

A change of variables

$$x = \mathcal{O}^{n-m}u, \quad y = \mathcal{O}^{n-m}v, \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{O}^\times$$

transforms the term(s) for $k = \ell = n - m \geq 1$ as

$$M_4^{n-m,n-m}(\chi_0 | \chi) = \frac{q^{-n-m}}{\chi(\mathcal{O})^{2(n-m)}} \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times} \chi_0(1 + \mathcal{O}^{n-m}u + \mathcal{O}^{n-m}v) \chi(\frac{1 + \mathcal{O}^{n-m}u(1 + \mathcal{O}^{n-m}v)}{uv}) du dv.$$

We will give the proof of the following lemma in the next subsection.

**Lemma 4.2.** Recall $c(\chi_0) = n$, $c(\chi) = m$ and assume $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Then we have

$$|M_4^{n-m,n-m}(\chi_0 | \chi^\pm)| \ll q^{-n},$$

where the implied constant either is absolute if $2 \in \mathcal{O}^\times$, or depends only on $[\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{Q}_2]$ if $2 \in \mathfrak{p}$.

**Remark 4.3.** By the following transformation

$$M_4^{n-m,n-m}(\chi_0 | \chi) = \frac{q^{-n-m}}{\chi(\mathcal{O})^{2(n-m)}} \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times} \chi_0 \left( \frac{uv - \mathcal{O}^{2(n-m)}}{(u - \mathcal{O}^{n-m})(v - \mathcal{O}^{n-m})} \right) \chi(uv) du dv$$

$$= \frac{q^{-n-m}}{\chi(\mathcal{O})^{2(n-m)}} \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times \times \mathcal{O}^\times} \chi_0 \left( \frac{1 - \mathcal{O}^{2(n-m)}uv}{(1 - \mathcal{O}^{n-m}u)(1 - \mathcal{O}^{n-m}v)} \right) \chi^{-1}(uv) du dv,$$

Lemma 4.2 corresponds precisely to [23, Lemma 2.8 & 2.11] in the cases $\mathbf{F} = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

By (4.3), we deduce

$$|M_4(\chi_0 | \chi)| \ll q^{-2n}.$$
It follows that
\[
\int_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + \varpi^k u + \varpi^\ell v) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + \varpi^k u) \chi_0(1 + \varpi^k u + \varpi^\ell v) du
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{p}^{n-k})} \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{n-k}} \int_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + \varpi^k u + \varpi^\ell v) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + \varpi^k u) \chi_0(1 + \varpi^k u(1 + \delta) + \varpi^\ell v) dud\delta
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathfrak{p}^{n-k})} \int_{\mathfrak{o}} \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + \varpi^k u + \varpi^\ell v) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + \varpi^k u) \chi_0(1 + \varpi^k u + \varpi^\ell v)
\int_{\mathfrak{p}^{n-k}} \chi_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\varpi^k u \delta}{1 + \varpi^k u + \varpi^\ell v} \right) d\delta du = 0,
\]

since as \( \delta \) traverses \( \mathfrak{p}^{n-k}, 1 + \varpi^k u \delta(1 + \varpi^k u + \varpi^\ell v)^{-1} \) traverses \( 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{n-1} \), on which \( \chi_0 \) is non trivial. Hence \( M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) = 0 \).

(2) \( \min(k, \ell) \geq n \). The integrand is equal to 1 and we simply have
\[
M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) = \chi(\varpi)^{\mp(k+\ell)} q^{-\frac{k+\ell}{2}} \cdot \zeta_\mathfrak{p}(1)^{-1}.
\]

Consequently, we get
\[
\sum_{\min(k, \ell) \geq n} \left| M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) \right| \ll q^{-n}.
\]

(3) \( \min(k, \ell) = n - 1, \max(k, \ell) \geq n \). Say \( k = n - 1, \ell \geq n \). Then we have
\[
M_4^{n-1,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) = \chi(\varpi)^{\mp(n+1+\ell)} q^{-\frac{n+\ell+1}{2}} \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{p}^n} \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + \varpi^{n-1} u) \chi_0(1 + \varpi^{n-1} u) du
\]
\[
= -\chi(\varpi)^{\mp(n+1+\ell)} \zeta_\mathfrak{p}(1)^{-1} q^{-\frac{n+\ell+1}{2}}.
\]

Consequently, we get
\[
\sum_{\min(k, \ell) = n - 1} \left| M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) \right| \ll q^{-n-\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

(4) \( k = \ell = n - 1 \) and \( n \geq 2 \). We integrate step by step and get
\[
M_4^{n-1,n-1}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) = \chi(\varpi)^{\mp 2(n-1)} q^{-n-1} \int_{\mathfrak{o}^2} \chi_0(1 + \varpi^{n-1}(u + v)) dudv
\]
\[
= -\chi(\varpi)^{\mp 2(n-1)} q^{-n} \int_{\mathfrak{p}^n} \chi_0(1 + \varpi^{n-1} u) du = \chi(\varpi)^{\mp 2(n-1)} q^{-n-1}.
\]

(5) \( k = \ell = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \). We integrate step by step and get
\[
M_4^{0,0}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}^2} \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + u + v) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + u) \mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{o} \times (1 + v) \chi_0(1 + u + v) dudv
\]
\[
= -q^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{o}^2} (\chi_0(u) + \chi_0(1 + u)) du = q^{-2} \{ \chi_0(-1) + \chi_0(1) \}.
\]

We conclude by (1.2) that
\[
|M_4(\Psi | \chi)| \ll q^{-2n}.
\]

4.2.4. Case \( m = n \). The same argument as in the beginning of the case for \( 1 \leq m \leq n - 1 \) shows that if \( (k, \ell) \neq (0, 0) \) then \( M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0 | \chi^{\pm 1}) = 0 \).

**Definition 4.4.** For any \( \xi \in \mathfrak{o}^* \) and any integer \( \alpha \geq 1 \), we denote
\[
r(\xi, \mathfrak{p}^\alpha) := \{ \delta \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^\alpha \mid \delta^2 + \delta - \xi^2 \in \mathfrak{p}^\alpha \}.
\]

For any \( \Delta \in \mathfrak{o} \), we denote
\[
\rho(\Delta, \mathfrak{p}^\alpha) := \{ \delta \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^\alpha \mid \delta^2 \equiv \Delta \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^\alpha} \}.
\]
Lemma 4.7. We associate to solvability mod and that the required bound of the dual weight follows from Lemma 4.7 by noting that (2) & (3) do not apply, is separable, since (1) is the same as [23, Lemma 3.1]. For (2), we note that the polynomial

\[ p \in \mathbb{Z} \]

is separable, since \( \mathfrak{o}/p \) has characteristic 2. By Hensel’s lemma, its solvability mod \( p^\alpha \) is the same as its solvability mod \( p \), with the same number of solutions 0 or 2. Hence we have the stated bound. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.8. Assume \( \chi, \chi \in \mathfrak{o}^\times/(1 + p[\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}]) \) such that \( \chi(\exp(x)) = \chi_0(\exp(\xi x)) \) for \( x \in p[\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}] \) (with \( n \) sufficiently large if \( p \mid 2 \), see Lemma 4.9.

1. If \( n = m = 2\alpha \) with \( \alpha \geq 1 \), then we have

\[ |M_4^{0,0}(\chi_0 | \chi) | \leq |r(\xi, p^\alpha) | \cdot q^{-n}. \]

2. If \( n = m = 2\alpha + 1 \) with \( \alpha \geq 1 \), and \( p \nmid 2 \), writing \( \Delta := 1 + 4\xi^2 \), then we have

\[ |M_4^{0,0}(\chi_0 | \chi) | \leq q^{-n} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
q^2 & \text{if } \Delta \notin p \\
\Omega_{\Delta \in p^2} \left| \rho(\varpi^{-2} \Delta, p^\alpha - 1) \right| & \text{if } \Delta \in p
\end{array} \right. \]

3. If \( n = m = 2\alpha + 1 \) with \( \alpha \geq 1 \), and \( p \mid 2 \), then

\[ |M_4^{0,0}(\chi_0 | \chi) | \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
q^{2|M(p, q_2)| + 1} \cdot q^{-n} & \text{if } \alpha \geq \alpha_F \\
1 & \text{if } \alpha \leq \alpha_F
\end{array} \right. \]

where \( \alpha_F := \max(\text{ord}(2) + 1, (3\text{ord}(2) + 1)/2) \).

4. If \( n = m = 1 \), then

\[ |M_4^{0,0}(\chi_0 | \chi) | \ll \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
q^{-1} & \text{if } p \nmid 2 \\
1 & \text{if } p | 2.
\end{array} \right. \]

We give the proof in the next subsection. For the moment, we draw the following consequence.

Corollary 4.8. Assume \( n \leq 2 \). Then we have \( M_4(\Psi | \chi) \neq 0 \) only if \( \mathfrak{c}(\chi) \leq n \). Under this condition, we have the bound

\[ M_4(\Psi | \chi) \ll q^{-2n}, \]

where the implied constant is absolute if \( p \nmid 2 \), or depends only on the degree of the residue field extension if \( p | 2 \).

Proof. If \( \mathfrak{c}(\chi) < n \), then this is simply a summary of the discussion before Lemma 4.7. If \( \mathfrak{c}(\chi) = n \), then the required bound of the dual weight follows from Lemma 4.7 by noting that (2) & (3) do not apply, and that \( |r(\xi, p) | \leq 2 \) by Lemma 4.6. \( \square \)
4.3. Auxiliary Bounds of Exponential Sums.

Lemma 4.9. Recall that for any integer $\alpha \geq 1$, $U_\alpha := 1 + p^\alpha$ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{o}^\times$.

(1) For any integers $\beta \geq \alpha \geq 1$, the following two maps

\[ \log : U_\beta/U_{\beta+\alpha} \to p^\beta/p^{\beta+\alpha}, \quad 1 + x \mapsto x, \]

\[ \exp : p^\beta/p^{\beta+\alpha} \to U_\beta/U_{\beta+\alpha}, \quad y \mapsto 1 + y \]

are inverse to each other and establish group isomorphisms.

(2) For integers $\alpha \geq \max(\text{ord}(2) + 1, (3\text{ord}(2) + 1)/2)$, the following two maps

\[ \log : U_\alpha/U_{2\alpha+1} \to p^\alpha/p^{2\alpha+1}, \quad 1 + x \mapsto x - \frac{x^2}{2}, \]

\[ \exp : p^\alpha/p^{2\alpha+1} \to U_\alpha/U_{2\alpha+1}, \quad y \mapsto 1 + y + \frac{y^2}{2} \]

are inverse to each other and establish group isomorphisms.

Proof. Elementary. □

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We distinguish three cases: $m = 2\alpha$, $m = 2\alpha + 1$ with $\alpha \geq 1$, and $m = 1$. It will be convenient to introduce the following function on $\mathfrak{o}/p^m \times \mathfrak{o}/p^m$:

\[ h(u, v) := \chi_0(1 + \omega^{n-m}u + \omega^{n-m}v)\chi \left( \frac{(1 + \omega^{n-m}u)(1 + \omega^{n-m}v)}{uv} \right). \]

The assertion is equivalent to

\[ \left| \sum_{u, v \in \mathfrak{o}/p^m} h(u, v) \right| \ll q^m. \]

(Case 1) $m = 2\alpha$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. By Lemma 1, there exist unique $l_0, l \in \mathfrak{o}^\times/(1 + p^\alpha)$ such that

\[ \chi_0(1 + \omega^{n-\alpha}x) = \psi(\omega^{-\alpha}l_0x), \quad \chi(1 + \omega^\alpha x) = \psi(\omega^{-\alpha}lx), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{o}. \]

Representing $(u, v) \pmod{p^m}$ uniquely as $(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1)$ with $u_0, v_0 \in (\mathfrak{o}/p^\alpha)^\times$ and $u_1, v_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/p^\alpha$, we get

\[ h(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1) = h(u_0, v_0) \cdot \psi(\omega^{-\alpha}(Au_1 + Bu_1)), \]

where $A, B \in \mathfrak{o}/p^\alpha$ are given by

\[ (4.5) \quad A = \frac{l_0}{1 + \omega^{n-m}(u_0 + v_0)} - \frac{l}{u_0(1 + \omega^{n-m}u_0)}, \quad B = \frac{l_0}{1 + \omega^{n-m}(u_0 + v_0)} - \frac{l}{v_0(1 + \omega^{n-m}v_0)}. \]

The integral/sum

\[ \sum_{u, v \in \mathfrak{o}/p^m} h(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1) \]

is non-vanishing only if $A, B \in p^\alpha$. But $A - B \in p^\alpha$ is equivalent to

\[ \frac{l(1 + \omega^{n-m}u_0 + \omega^{n-m}v_0)}{u_0v_0(1 + \omega^{n-m}u_0)(1 + \omega^{n-m}v_0)}(u_0 - v_0) \in p^\alpha \iff u_0 - v_0 \in p^\alpha; \]

under which condition $A \in p^\alpha$ is equivalent to

\[ \frac{l_0(u_0 - ll_0^{-1} + \omega^{n-m}(u_0^2 - 2l_0^{-1}u_0))}{u_0(1 + \omega^{n-m}u_0)(1 + \omega^{n-m}2u_0)} \in p^\alpha \iff \omega^{n-m}(u_0 - ll_0^{-1})^2 + (u_0 - ll_0^{-1}) - \omega^{n-m}(ll_0^{-1})^2 \in p^\alpha, \]

which has a unique solution $u_0 \equiv \delta \pmod{p^\alpha}$ by Hensel’s lemma. We deduce and conclude this case by

\[ \sum_{u, v \in \mathfrak{o}/p^m} h(u, v) = q^{2\alpha}h(\delta, \delta) \ll q^{2\alpha} = q^m. \]

(Case 2) $m = 2\alpha + 1$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. If $\alpha < \max(\text{ord}(2) + 1, (3\text{ord}(2) + 1)/2)$, then necessarily $2 \in p$. We bound $h(u, v)$ trivially by 1 to get

\[ \left| \sum_{u, v \in \mathfrak{o}/p^m} h(u, v) \right| \leq q^{2m} \leq q^{4\text{ord}(2)}q^m = 2^{4|\mathbb{P} : \mathbb{Q}|}q^m. \]
Suppose $\alpha \geq \max(\operatorname{ord}(2)+1, (3\operatorname{ord}(2)+1)/2)$. By Lemma 1.1(1), there exist unique $l_0, l \in \mathfrak{o}^\times/(1+\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1})$ such that for all $x \in \mathfrak{o}$

$$
\chi_0(1 + \mathfrak{w}^{-\alpha-1}x) = \psi((\mathfrak{w}^{-(\alpha+1)}l_0)x), \quad \chi(1 + \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha}x) = \psi\left(\mathfrak{w}^{-(\alpha+1)}l - \frac{l}{2\mathfrak{w}x^2}\right).
$$

Representing $(u, v) \mod \mathfrak{p}^m$ uniquely as $(u_0 + \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha}u_1, v_0 + \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha}v_1)$ with $u_0, v_0 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \times$ and $u_1, v_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1}$, we get

$$
h(u_0 + \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha}u_1, v_0 + \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha}v_1) = h(u_0, v_0) \cdot \psi((\mathfrak{w}^{-(\alpha+1)}(Au_1 + Bv_1)) \cdot \psi\left(\frac{l}{2\mathfrak{w}} (Pu_1^2 + Qv_1^2)\right),
$$

where $A, B \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1}$ are given by the same formula in (1.5), and $P, Q \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha} \times$ are given by

$$
P = \frac{1}{u_0^2} - \frac{\mathfrak{w}^{2(n-m)}}{(1 + \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}u_0)^2}, \quad Q = \frac{1}{v_0^2} - \frac{\mathfrak{w}^{2(n-m)}}{(1 + \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}v_0)^2}.
$$

Denote $\alpha_0 := 1 + \operatorname{ord}(2)$ and write $u_1 = \tilde{u}_1 + \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha_0}u_2$ for $\tilde{u}_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha_0}, u_2 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}$. We see that

$$
\sum_{u_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1}} \psi\left((\mathfrak{w}^{-(\alpha+1)}Au_1 + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{w}}Pu_1^2)\right)
= \sum_{\tilde{u}_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha_0}} \psi\left((\mathfrak{w}^{-(\alpha+1)}A\tilde{u}_1 + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{w}}Pu_1^2\right) \sum_{u_2 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}} \psi((\mathfrak{w}^{-(\alpha+1-\alpha_0)}Au_2),
$$

and the second factor is non-vanishing only if $A \in \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}$. Similarly, the sum over $v_1$ is non-vanishing only if $B \in \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}$. Arguing as in the previous case, we get

$$
A, B \in \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ (u_0, v_0) \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}, \quad \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}(u_0 - l_0^{-1})^2 + (u_0 - l_0^{-1}) - \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}(l_0^{-1})^2 \in \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0} \right\}.
$$

Such a pair $(u_0, v_0)$ is uniquely determined mod $\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}$ by Hensel's lemma, say $(u_0, v_0) \equiv (\delta, \delta) \mod \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}$. Under this condition, we can write $A = \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha+1}(2\mathfrak{w})^{-1}A_1$ resp. $B = \mathfrak{w}^{\alpha+1}(2\mathfrak{w})^{-1}B_1$ for some $A_1, B_1 \in \mathfrak{o}$, and get

$$
\sum_{u_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{1}{2\mathfrak{w}} (A_1 \tilde{u}_1 + P\tilde{u}_1^2) \right) \ll q^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} q^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } 2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \\ q^{\alpha_0} & \text{if } 2 \in \mathfrak{p} \end{array} \right.
$$

We have a similar bound for the sum over $v_1$. We deduce and conclude this case by

$$
\left| \sum_{u,v \in (\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^m)^2} h(u,v) \right| = q^{2(\alpha+1-\alpha_0)} \left| \sum_{(u_0,v_0) \equiv (\delta,\delta)} \sum_{\tilde{u}_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha_0}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2\mathfrak{w}} (A_1 \tilde{u}_1 + P\tilde{u}_1^2) \right) \sum_{\tilde{v}_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha_0}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2\mathfrak{w}} (B_1 \tilde{v}_1 + Q\tilde{v}_1^2) \right) \right|
$$

$$
\ll \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} q^{2\alpha+1} = q^m & \text{if } 2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \\ q^{2\alpha+1} \leq q^m |F| q^m & \text{if } 2 \in \mathfrak{p} \end{array} \right.
$$

(Case 3) $m = 1$. The function

$$
(u, v) \mapsto \chi_0(1 + \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}u + \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}v)\chi((1 + \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}u)(1 + \mathfrak{w}^{n-m}v))
$$

is an additive character. The resulted sum is either trivial or a product of two Gauss sums, implying the desired bound.

\[ \square \]

\textbf{Proof of Lemma 4.7.} We distinguish three cases: $m = 2\alpha$, $m = 2\alpha + 1$ with $\alpha \geq 1$, and $m = 1$. Let $S = \{(u,v) \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \mathfrak{o}^\times \mid 1 + u + v, 1 + u, 1 + v \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \}$.
It is stable under multiplication by $U_1 \times U_1$. We introduce the following function on $(\mathfrak{o}/p^n)^\times \times (\mathfrak{o}/p^n)^\times$

$$h(u, v) := \chi_0(1 + u + v) \chi \left( \frac{(1 + u)(1 + v)}{uv} \right),$$

and will deduce the desired bounds from the corresponding ones of

$$\sum_{(u, v) \in S/(U_n \times U_n)} h(u, v).$$

(Case 1) $m = 2\alpha$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. By Lemma 1.9 (1), there exist unique $l_0, l \in \mathfrak{o}^\times/(1 + p)^\times$ such that

$$\chi_0(1 + \omega^\alpha x) = \psi(\omega^{-\alpha} l_0 x), \quad \chi(1 + \omega^\alpha x) = \psi(\omega^{-\alpha} l x), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{o}.$$

Representing $(u, v)$ (mod $p^n$) uniquely as $(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1)$ with $u_0, v_0 \in S/(U_n \times U_n)$ and $u_1, v_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/p^\alpha$, we get

$$h(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1) = h(u_0, v_0) \cdot \psi(\omega^{-\alpha}(Au_1 + Bu_1)),$$

where $A, B \in \mathfrak{o}/p^\alpha$ are given by $1 + \mathfrak{o}/(1 + p)^\times$. The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1.9 (1) shows that the sum over $(u_1, v_1)$ is non-vanishing only if $(\xi := ll_0^{-1})$

$$u_0 - v_0 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times, \quad (u_0 - \xi)^2 + (u_0 - \xi) - \xi^2 \in p^\alpha.$$

The solutions are $(u_0, v_0) = (\delta + \xi, \delta + \xi)$ for $\delta \in \tau(\xi, \mathfrak{o}^\times)$. We deduce and conclude this case by

$$\left| \sum_{u, v \in \mathfrak{o}/p^m} h(u, v) \right| = q^{2\alpha} \left| \sum_{\delta \in \tau(\xi, \mathfrak{o}^\times)} h(\delta + \xi, \delta + \xi) \right| \leq |\tau(\xi, \mathfrak{o}^\times)| \cdot q^m.$$

(Case 2) $m = 2\alpha + 1$ with $\alpha \geq 1$. The case $\alpha < \max(\text{ord}(2) + 1, (3\text{ord}(2) + 1)/2)$ can be treated trivially as in the previous lemma. Suppose $\alpha \geq \max(\text{ord}(2) + 1, (3\text{ord}(2) + 1)/2)$. By Lemma 1.9 (2), there exist unique $l_0, l \in \mathfrak{o}^\times/(1 + p^{\alpha+1})$ such that for all $x \in \mathfrak{o}$

$$\chi_0(1 + \omega^\alpha x) = \psi \left( \omega^{-(\alpha+1)} l_0 x - \frac{l_0}{2\omega} x^2 \right), \quad \chi(1 + \omega^\alpha x) = \psi \left( \omega^{-(\alpha+1)} l x - \frac{l}{2\omega} x^2 \right).$$

Representing $(u, v)$ (mod $p^m$) uniquely as $(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1)$ with $u_0, v_0 \in S/(U_n \times U_n)$ and $u_1, v_1 \in \mathfrak{o}/p^{\alpha+1}$, we get

$$h(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1) = h(u_0, v_0) \cdot \psi(\omega^{-(\alpha+1)}(Au_1 + Bu_1)) \cdot \psi \left( \frac{1}{2\omega} (Pu_1^2 + Qv_1^2 + Ru_1 v_1) \right),$$

where $A, B \in \mathfrak{o}/p^{\alpha+1}$ and $P, Q, R \in \mathfrak{o}/p^\alpha$ are given by

$$A = \frac{l_0}{1 + u_0 + v_0} - \frac{l}{u_0(1 + u_0)}, \quad B = \frac{l_0}{1 + u_0 + v_0} - \frac{l}{v_0(1 + v_0)}; \quad R = \frac{2l_0}{(1 + u_0 + v_0)^2},$$

$$P = \frac{l}{u_0} - \frac{l}{(1 + u_0)^2} - \frac{l_0}{(1 + u_0 + v_0)^2}, \quad Q = \frac{l}{v_0} - \frac{l}{(1 + v_0)^2} - \frac{l_0}{(1 + u_0 + v_0)^2}.$$

Denote $\alpha_0 := 1 + \text{ord}(2)$ and argue as before, we see that the non-vanishing of

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{(u_1, v_1) \in \mathfrak{o}/p^{\alpha+1} \times \mathfrak{o}/p^{\alpha+1}} h(u_0 + \omega^\alpha u_1, v_0 + \omega^\alpha v_1)$$

implies $A, B \in p^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}$, which is equivalent to

$$u_0 - v_0 \in p^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0} \quad \& \quad (u_0 - \xi)^2 + (u_0 - \xi) - \xi^2 \in p^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0}.$$

The solutions are $(u_0, v_0) = (\delta + \xi, \delta + \xi)$ for $\delta \in \tau(\xi, p^{\alpha+1-\alpha_0})$. We distinguish two cases. (Case 2.1) $p \mid 2$. In this case, $\alpha_0 = 1$. We note that

$$P \equiv Q \equiv l_0 \cdot \left\{ \frac{\xi}{(\delta + \xi)^2} - \frac{\xi}{(1 + \delta + \xi)^2} - \frac{1}{(1 + 2\delta + 2\xi)^2} \right\} \pmod{p^\alpha},$$

$$R \equiv -2l_0 \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + 2\delta + 2\xi)^2} \pmod{p^\alpha}.$$

Hence the following quadratic form over $\mathfrak{o}/p$

$$Pu_1^2 + Qv_1^2 + Ru_1 v_1$$
is degenerate only if \( P = \pm R/2 \pmod{p} \), which in turn is equivalent to

\[
\frac{\xi(1 + 2\delta + 2\xi)}{(\delta + \xi)^2(1 + \delta + \xi)^2} \equiv \frac{2}{(1 + 2\delta + 2\xi)^2} \pmod{p}.
\]

Note that \((\delta + \xi)(1 + \delta + \xi) \equiv \xi(1 + 2\delta + 2\xi) \pmod{p^\alpha}\). The above condition is thus equivalent to \(1 + 2\delta \in p\). This is possible only if \(1 + 4\xi^2 \notin p\).

**Case 2.1.1** In other words, if \(1 + 4\xi^2 \notin p\), then the above quadratic form is never degenerate. Hence

\[
\left| \sum_{u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{C}/p^{n+1}} \psi(w^{-(\alpha+1)}(Au_1 + Bv_1)) \cdot \psi\left(\frac{1}{2w} (Pu_1^2 + Qv_1^2 + Ru_1v_1)\right) \right| = q^{2\alpha+1},
\]

and we deduce from Lemma 4.6

\[
\left| \sum_{u, v \in \mathbb{C}/p} h(u, v) \right| \leq q^{1+2\alpha} \left| \sum_{\delta \in r(\xi, p^n)} h(\delta + \xi, \delta + \xi) \right| \leq 2q^m.
\]

**Case 2.1.2** Otherwise if \(1 + 4\xi^2 \in p\), then any \(\delta \in r(\xi, p^n)\) satisfies \((2\delta + 1)^2 \equiv 1 + 4\xi^2 \pmod{p^\alpha}\), hence \(2\delta + 1 \in p\). The quadratic form over \(\mathbb{C}/p\)

\[
P u_1^2 + Qv_1^2 + Ru_1v_1 \equiv -2^{-1}R(u_1 - v_1)^2 \pmod{p}
\]

has rank 1 with the isotropic space \(u_1 \equiv v_1 \pmod{p}\). The non-vanishing condition of Lemma 4.6 is re-enforced to \(A, B \in p^n\) and \(A + B \in p^{n+1}\). Write \(t_0 := (u_0 + v_0)/2 \in \delta + \xi + p^\alpha\). Since \(u_0 - v_0 \in p^\alpha\) with \(\alpha \geq 1\), we get

\[
u_0v_0 = \left(\frac{u_0 + v_0}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u_0 - v_0}{2}\right)^2 \equiv t_0^2 \pmod{p^{n+1}}.
\]

In particular, \(t_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times\). Consequently,

\[
(A + B)^{-1} l_0^{-1} = \frac{2}{1 + u_0 + v_0 - \xi} \cdot \frac{u_0 + v_0 + u_0^2 + v_0^2}{u_0v_0(1 + u_0 + v_0 + u_0v_0)}
\]

\[
\equiv \frac{2}{1 + 2t_0 - \xi} \cdot \frac{2t_0 + 2t_0^2}{t_0^2 (1 + t_0)} \equiv 2 \cdot \frac{t_0^2 + t_0 - \xi(1 + 2t_0)}{t_0(1 + t_0)(1 + 2t_0)} \pmod{p^{n+1}}.
\]

Thus \(A + B \in p^{n+1}\) is equivalent to (note that \(2\delta + 1 \in p\))

\[
(t_0 - \xi)^2 + (t_0 - \xi) - \xi^2 \equiv \delta^2 + \delta - \xi^2 \in p^{n+1}.
\]

By Lemma 4.6, the number of such \(\delta \pmod{p^n}\) is \(1 + 4\xi^2 \in \mathbb{C}/p(\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha+1)}(1 + 4\xi^2), p^{\alpha-1})\). Now that

\[
\left| \sum_{u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{C}/p^{n+1}} \psi(w^{-(\alpha+1)}(Au_1 + Bv_1)) \cdot \psi\left(\frac{1}{2w} (Pu_1^2 + Qv_1^2 + Ru_1v_1)\right) \right| = q^{2\alpha + 2},
\]

we deduce

\[
\left| \sum_{u, v \in \mathbb{C}/p^n} h(u, v) \right| \leq q^{2\alpha + 2} \cdot 1_{1 + 4\xi^2 \in \mathbb{C}/p} \left| \rho(\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha+1)}(1 + 4\xi^2), p^{\alpha-1}) \right|.
\]

**Case 2.2** \(p \mid 2\). In this case, we bound Lemma 4.6 trivially and conclude via Lemma 1.6 by

\[
\left| \sum_{u, v \in \mathbb{C}/p^n} h(u, v) \right| \leq q^{2\mbox{ord}(2)} \cdot \left| \rho(\mathbb{C}^{(\alpha+1)}(1 + 4\xi^2), p^{\alpha-1}) \right| \cdot q^{2(\alpha + 1)} \leq 2^{[\mathbb{F} : q_{2^{n+1}}]} \cdot q^m.
\]

**Case 3** \(m = 1\). The case \(p \mid 2\) is trivial. To prove the case \(p \nmid 2\), we can assume \(q := N_r(p)\) is larger than any fixed number. We follow [24] §9.1.
By the change of variables $x = -(u + 1)$ and $y = -(v + 1)$, we have
\[ h(u, v; \chi^{-1}) = \chi_0(xy - 1)\chi^{-1}\left(\frac{xy}{(x + 1)(y + 1)}\right). \]
Hence we are reduced to bounding
\[ g'(\chi; \chi_0) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\backslash\{0, -1\}} \overline{\chi}(x)\chi(x + 1) \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\backslash\{-x^{-1}, 0, -1\}} \overline{\chi}(y)\chi(y + 1)\chi_0(xy - 1)\right). \]

Our exponential sum $g'(\chi; \chi_0)$ differs from the $g(\chi, \psi)$ of [24, §9.1] only by a complex conjugate for the first summand of the outer sum over $x$. Hence all arguments in [24, §9.1] go through, replacing $\psi$ by $\chi_0$. For example, [24, (9.3)] becomes (using notation from [24, §9.1])
\[ g'(\chi; \chi_0) = - \sum_{x \in W(\mathbb{F}_q^* \backslash\{0, -1\})} t_{F_1}(x) t_{\bar{\psi}}(x) + O(q). \]
The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1$, whose trace function is equal to $t_{F_1}(x)$, is associated to $\chi^{-1}$ the same way as $\mathcal{F}_1$ to $\chi$. Hence it is also pure of weight 0 and rank 1, thus not isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore, as the final part of [24, §9.1], we only need a slight variation of [3, Lemma 13.2] to conclude, which we state as
\[ \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_{\psi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} |g'(\chi, \psi)|^2 = q^2 - 2q - 2 \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\backslash\{0, -1\}} \chi\left(\frac{(x + 1)^2}{x}\right) \right|^2 \leq q^2 - 2q - 2, \]
where the sum is over all characters of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. We leave this elementary proof to the reader. \hfill \Box

5. Degenerate Terms

We first bound $DS(\Psi)$, which is easier. Via [15.8], this amounts to explicitly computing $M_3(\Psi | 1, s)$.

**Lemma 5.1.** For our choice of test function $\Psi$, $DS(\Psi) = 0$ if $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$ or if $\chi_0$ is ramified at some $p < \infty$. While if $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\chi_0$ is unramified at all finite places, we have $|DS(\Psi)| \ll A C(\chi(0))^{-A}$ for any $A > 1$.

**Proof.** If $\chi_0$ is ramified at some $p < \infty$, then $M_{3, p}(\Psi_p | 1, s) = 0$ by Lemma [2.1] and [2.5], since $\nu(\pi\{p\} | 1, s) \chi_{0, p}^3 = 2\nu(\chi_{0, p}) > 2\nu(\chi_{0, p})$. Consequently, $M_3(\Psi | 1, s) = 0$, implying $DS(\Psi) = 0$ by [1.8]. Assume $\chi_0$ is ramified at every finite place. Then we have (see [1.10])
\[ M_3(\Psi | 1, s) = D_{\mathbb{F}}^{-2} \zeta_{\mathbb{F}}(1 + s)^3 \zeta_{\mathbb{F}}(1 - 2s) \prod_{\nu \mid \infty} M_{3, \nu}(s, 0), \]
where the factor $D_{\mathbb{F}}^{-2}$ is the contribution of the places $p \in S - S_{\infty}$ (and we leave the detail of verification to the reader). Recall the choice of $M_{3, \nu}$ made in [22.4], implying via [2.5]
\[ M_{3, \nu}(s, 0) = \sqrt{\pi} \cos(\pi s) \frac{1}{2 \Delta_v} \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{(s - iT_v)^2}{2 \Delta_v^2} + i \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \exp \left( \frac{(s + iT_v)^2}{2 \Delta_v^2} - i \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^2, \]
which vanishes at $s = 1/2$ to order one. Hence the order of vanishing of $M_3(\Psi | 1, s)$ is $-3 + 2(r - 1) + r = 3r - 5$, where $r = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. This is $\geq 1$ if $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Thus by [1.8] $DS(\Psi) = 0$ if $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Assume $F = \mathbb{Q}$, then $r = 1$. For any $A > 1$ and any integer $n \geq 0$, it is easy to see
\[ \left| \frac{d^n}{ds^n} M_{3, \nu} \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \right| \ll_{n, A} (1 + |T_v|)^{-A}. \]
The desired bound of $|DS(\Psi)|$ follows readily from the above bounds via [1.8]. \hfill \Box

\footnote{It seems that (the counterpart of) the last term of the right hand side was missing in Conrey-Iwaniec’s paper. However, this does not affect the validity of [3, Lemma 13.1].}
To bound $DG(\Psi)$, we need to compute $M_4(\Psi \mid 1, s)$ and apply (1.7), which we recall in the form

$$M_4\left(\left|\frac{1}{2} + s\right|\right) = D\zeta^2 \zeta F \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right) \zeta F \left(\frac{1}{2} - s\right) \prod_{\nu|\infty} M_{4,\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2} + s, 0\right) \prod_{p \in S - S_\infty} \tilde{M}_{4,p} \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right),$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_{4,p} \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right) := M_{4,p} \left(\Psi \mid 1, \frac{1}{2} + s\right) \zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right) \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} - s\right).$$

The computation of $M_{4,p}$ is treated in §4.2.3 replacing $\chi$ there by $|\cdot|^p$. Writing $n_\nu = c(\chi_0, p), q_\nu = \text{Nr}(p)$, and assuming $n_\nu \geq 1$ (otherwise $\tilde{M}_{4,p}(\cdot) = 1$ for $n_\nu = 0$), we have by (4.4)

$$M_{4,p} \left(\Psi \mid 1, \frac{1}{2} + s\right) = \zeta p(1) q_{n_\nu}^{-1} \left\{ M_4^{1,0}(\chi_0, 0 \mid s) + \sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, (k, \ell) \neq (0, 0)} \left( M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0, p \mid s) + M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0, p \mid -s) \right) \right\},$$

where $M_4^{k,\ell}$ are given by:

1. If $\min(k, \ell) \geq n_\nu$, then $M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0, p \mid s) = \zeta p(1)^{-2} q_\nu^{(k+\ell)(s-\frac{1}{2})};$
2. If $\min(k, \ell) = n_\nu - 1, \max(k, \ell) \geq n_\nu$, then $M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0, p \mid s) = -\zeta p(1)^{-1} q_\nu^{-1} q_\nu^{(k+\ell)(s-\frac{1}{2})};$
3. If $k = \ell = n_\nu - 1$ and $n_\nu \geq 2$, then $M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0, p \mid s) = q_\nu^{2} q_\nu^{(k+\ell)(s-\frac{1}{2})};$
4. If $k = \ell = 0$ and $n_\nu = 1$, then $M_4^{k,\ell}(\chi_0, p \mid s) = q_\nu^{-2}(\chi_0, 1 + \chi_0, -1)).$

It follows readily that

$$\tilde{M}_{4,p} \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right) = \zeta p(1) q_{n_\nu}^{-1} \left\{ -2 q_\nu^{-n_\nu} \zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right)^{-2} + q_\nu^{-2 n_\nu} \zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} - s\right)^{-2} \right\} -$$

$$\frac{2\zeta p(1)^2 q_\nu^{-1-n_\nu}}{\zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} - s\right) \zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right)} \left\{ -q_\nu^{-2} \zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right)^{-1} + q_\nu^{-2 n_\nu} \zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} - s\right)^{-1} \right\} +$$

$$\zeta p(1)^3 \frac{q_\nu^{-2-n_\nu}}{\zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} - s\right) ^2 \zeta p \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right)} \left\{ (q_\nu^{-n_\nu}(1-2s) + q_\nu^{-n_\nu}(1+2s)) \chi_0(1) + \chi_0(-1) \right\} \quad \text{if } n_\nu \geq 2,$$

$$\text{if } n_\nu = 1.$$

We deduce the bound for any integer $n \geq 0$

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} \tilde{M}_{4,p}(1) \right| & \left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} \tilde{M}_{4,p}(0) \right| \ll_n q_{n_\nu}^{n_\nu} (\log q_\nu)^n.$$

To analyze $M_{4,\nu}(1/2+s, 0)$ at $s = \pm 1/2$, we need to revisit §3.3.2. With the notation in §3.3.2 $M_{4,\nu}(1/2+s, 0)$ is a linear combination of $\tilde{h}_{1,2}(\pm s)$ and $\cos(\pi s)^{-1} \tilde{h}_3(\pm s)$ by Theorem 1.6. For $h = h_j$ we have for any $0 < c < 1/2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (see §3.2.2)

$$\tilde{h}(ix) = \int_{(c)} h^*(s) \cdot \frac{\Gamma(s) \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - ix - s\right)}{\Gamma(2s) \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} - ix\right)} \frac{ds}{2\pi i},$$

$$h^*(s) = \Gamma(2s) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} + it - s\right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)} h(it) \frac{dt}{2\pi i}.$$
Lemma 5.2. (1) $h^*(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 0$. Introducing the Laurent expansion

$$h^*(s) = \frac{(h^*)^{(-1)}(0)}{s} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(h^*)^{(n)}(0)}{n!} s^n,$$

we have for any integer $n \geq 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ a bound

$$\left|(h^*)^{(-1)}(0)\right| \ll T\Delta, \quad \left|(h^*)^{(n)}(0)\right| \ll_{\epsilon, n} T^{1+\epsilon}\Delta.$$

(2) Write $\Gamma^{(n)}(s)$ for the $n$-th derivative of the Gamma function. For any integer $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\left|\int_{(c)} h^*(s) \cdot \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma^{(n)}(1-s)}{\Gamma(2s)} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \right| \ll_{\epsilon, n} T^{1+\epsilon}\Delta^{-2\epsilon},$$

$$\left|\int_{(c+1)} h^*(s) \cdot \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma^{(n)}(-s)}{\Gamma(2s)} \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \right| \ll_{\epsilon, n} T^{1+\epsilon}\Delta^{-2-2\epsilon}.$$

Proof. (1) The integral over $\tau$ in the defining formula of $h^*(s)$ is absolutely convergent near $s = 0$, hence is regular at $0$. While $\Gamma(2s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 0$, we get the first assertion. By induction on $n$, one easily shows

$$\frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left( \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau - s\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau + s\right)} \right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau - s\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau + s\right)} \sum_{a_i, k_i, b_j, \ell_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \omega(a_i, k_i; b_j, \ell_j) \cdot \prod_{i,j} \left( \frac{\Gamma(a_i)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau - s\right)} \right)^{k_i} \left( \frac{\Gamma(b_j)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau + s\right)} \right)^{\ell_j},$$

where $\omega(\cdots)$ are absolute constants depending only on $a_i, k_i, b_j, \ell_j$. Hence the desired bounds follows from the bounds

$$\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(i\tau) d\tau \right| \ll T\Delta,$$

$$\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i} \left( \frac{\Gamma(a_i)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau - s\right)} \right)^{k_i} h(i\tau) d\tau \right| \ll_{\epsilon, n} T^{1+\epsilon}\Delta,$$

where $\sum a_i k_i \leq n$. In fact, for $h = h_{1,2}$, $h(i\tau)$ is concentrated around $\tau = \pm T$ in an interval of size $O(\Delta)$, with absolute value bounded by $O(T)$, while by Stirling’s estimation the factor of Gamma functions is bounded by $(1 + |\tau|)^c$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence the above bounds follow readily.

(2) These bounds are direct consequences of Lemma 5.2(2).

Corollary 5.3. $\overline{h}(s)$ is regular at $s = -1/2$, and has a double pole at $s = 1/2$. Introducing the Laurent expansion for $a = \pm 1/2$

$$\overline{h}(s) = \frac{\overline{h}^{(-2)}(a)}{(s-a)^2} + \frac{\overline{h}^{(-1)}(a)}{s-a} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{h}^{(n)}(a)}{n!} (s-a)^n,$$

we have the bounds for any $n \geq -2$

$$\left|\overline{h}^{(n)}\left(\pm \frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \ll_{\epsilon, n} T^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. This follows from the lemma directly via (5.2).
Lemma 6.2. For any positive $M$ global weight

By Corollary 5.3, we see that $M_4$ has possible poles at $s = \pm 1/2$ of order 4.

Proof. By Corollary 5.3 we see that $M_{4,0}(s + 1/2, 0)$ has possible poles at $s = \pm 1/2$ of order 2. Hence the global weight $M_4(s + 1/2, 0)$ has possible poles at $s = \pm 1/2$ of order $2 - 2(r - 1) + 2r = 4$, proving (1). The bound in (2) is deduced via (6.4) from the local bounds of the coefficients in the Laurent expansion of local weights in (6.1) and Corollary 5.3.

6. Uniform Bound of A Generalized Hypergeometric Special Value

6.1. Easy Cases. In this section, we prove Proposition 5.23 (1), which we reformulate as follows.

Theorem 6.1. For any real $x, \tau$ we have

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that $x, \tau > 0$ and consider all four cases: $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1$ with

where $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$. Let $s = c + iy$. Using the Stirling formula for estimating the Gamma-functions, we can estimate (6.2) by the following integral

Now we are interested in proving the inequality $f(y) \leq 0$ and in finding the regions where $f(y)$ is close to zero. Note that the contribution of those $y$ such that

is bounded by $\ll_A (1 + x)^{-A}(1 + \tau)^{-A}$ for any $A > 1$. Moreover, by the relation of symmetry

it is enough to consider the cases $\epsilon_1 = -1, \epsilon_2 = 1$ and $\epsilon_1 = -1, \epsilon_2 = -1$.

Lemma 6.2. For any positive $x, \tau$ and any $A > 1$

Proof. Note that $f_{-1,-1}(y) < 0$ for $y \neq 0$, and that $f_{-1,-1}(y)$ is close to zero only if $|y|$ is small. Therefore, the product of Gamma functions in (6.2) is exponentially small unless

The integrand in (6.2) has poles at

Note that if $x, \tau < y_0$ then $x, \tau \ll 1$ and estimating (6.3) trivially we obtain (6.4).

Consider two cases: $\tau > x > y_0$ and $x > \tau > y_0$. We change the contour of integration in (6.2) to

where
\( K_1 = (c - i\infty, c - iy_0), K_2 = (c - iy_0, A - iy_0), K_3 = (A - iy_0, A + iy_0), \\
K_4 = (A + iy_0, c + iy_0), K_5 = (c + iy_0, c + i\infty). \)

While doing this we do not cross any poles at \( s_z(j), s_p(j) \). Since the product of Gamma functions in (6.2) is exponentially small if \( |y| \gg y_0 \), the integrals over \( K_1, K_2, K_4, K_5 \) are negligible. Therefore,

\[
K(-x, -\tau) \ll \frac{1}{(x\tau)^B} + \int_{-y_0}^{y_0} \left| \frac{\Gamma^3(1/2 - A + i(x - y))\Gamma(1/2 - A - i(\tau + y))}{\Gamma(1/2 + A - i(\tau - y))\Gamma(1/2 + i\tau)} \right| dy.
\]

Using the Stirling formula to estimate the Gamma functions in (6.6), we obtain

\[
K(-x, -\tau) \ll \frac{1}{(x\tau^2)^A}.
\]

where \( A > 1 \) is an arbitrary constant.

Consider the case \( \tau > y_0 > x \). In this situation, we cross the poles at \( s_z(j) \) while changing the contour \( \Re s = c \) in (6.2) to the contour (6.5). The integral over the contour (6.5) can be estimated as before, hence

\[
K(-x, -\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{[A]} \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma^3(1/2 - i\epsilon_1 x + j)\Gamma(i\epsilon_2 \tau + i\epsilon_1 x - j)}{\Gamma(1 + i\epsilon_2 \tau - i\epsilon_1 x + j)\Gamma(1/2 - i\epsilon_1 x)} + O\left( \frac{1}{\tau^A} \right) \ll \frac{1}{\tau}.
\]

Consider the case \( x > y_0 > \tau \). In the same way (by computing residues at the poles \( s_p(j) \)), we obtain

\[
K(-x, -\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{[A]} \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma^3(1/2 + i\epsilon_2 \tau + j)\Gamma(-i\epsilon_1 x - i\epsilon_2 \tau - j)}{\Gamma(1 + 2i\epsilon_2 \tau + j)\Gamma(1/2 - i\epsilon_1 x)} + O\left( \frac{1}{\tau^A} \right) \ll \frac{1}{(\tau x)^{1/2}}.
\]

Finally combining (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9), we prove (6.4). \( \square \)

Consider the case \( \epsilon_1 = -1, \epsilon_2 = 1 \) in (6.2), (6.3). We have \( f_{-1,1}(y) \leq 0 \) and \( f_{-1,1}(y) = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq y \leq \min(x, \tau) \). Note that arguing as before, it is not possible to obtain a uniform bound of the same strength as (6.1). For example, for \( \tau \sim x \) one can prove only the estimate

\[
K(-x, \tau) \ll \frac{1}{\tau^{1/2}}.
\]

Nevertheless, it is possible to prove an estimate of size \( 1/\tau \) in some ranges of \( x \) and \( \tau \).

**Lemma 6.3.** For \( \tau \ll x^{2/3-\varepsilon} \) or \( \tau \gg x^{3/2} \) we have

\[
|K(-x, \tau)| + |K(x, -\tau)| \ll \frac{1}{1 + \tau}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose first that \( \tau \ll x^{2/3-\varepsilon} \). Moving the contour of integration in (6.2) to the line \( \Re s = \sigma = 1+\delta \), we cross two poles getting

\[
K(-x, \tau) = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2 + i\tau - ix)}{\Gamma(1 + i\tau + ix)} + \frac{\Gamma^3(1/2 + i\tau)\Gamma(ix - i\tau)}{\Gamma(1 + 2i\tau)\Gamma(1/2 + i\tau)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{\Gamma^3(s)(1/2 + ix - s)\Gamma(1/2 + i\tau - s)}{\Gamma(1/2 + i\tau + s)\Gamma(1/2 + i\tau + s)} ds.
\]

Using the Stirling formula to estimate the Gamma functions in (6.10) and taking into account that \( \tau \ll x^{2/3-\varepsilon} \), we infer

\[
K(-x, \tau) \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{x\tau}} + \int_0^\tau \frac{(1 + y)^{3\varepsilon - 3/2}}{(x\tau)^\sigma(1 + \tau - y)^\sigma} dy \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{x\tau}} + \int_0^{\tau/2} \frac{y^{3\varepsilon - 3/2}}{(x\tau)^2} dy + \int_{\tau/2}^\tau \frac{\tau^{3\varepsilon - 3/2}}{(x\tau)^\sigma(1 + \tau - y)^\sigma} dy \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{x\tau}} + \frac{\tau^{\varepsilon - 1/2}}{x^\sigma} + \frac{\tau^{2\varepsilon - 3/2}}{x^\sigma} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{x\tau}} + \frac{\tau^{1/2 + 2\delta}}{x^{\sigma + \delta}} \ll \frac{1}{\tau}.
\]
Suppose then that $\tau \gg x^{3/2}$. Using the Stirling formula similarly, we obtain
\begin{align*}
K(-x, \tau) & \ll \frac{1}{\tau} + \int_0^x \frac{(1 + y)^{3\sigma - 3/2}}{\tau^{2\sigma}(1 + x - y)^\sigma} dy \ll \\
& \frac{1}{\tau} + \int_{x/2}^x \frac{y^{3\sigma - 3/2}}{(x\tau^2)^\sigma} dy + \int_{x/2}^x \frac{x^{3\sigma - 3/2}}{\tau^{2\sigma}(1 + x - y)^\sigma} dy \ll \\
& \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{x^{2\sigma - 1/2}}{\tau^2} + \frac{x^{3\sigma - 3/2}}{\tau^{2\sigma}} \ll \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{x^{3/2 + 3\delta}}{\tau^{2 + 2\delta}} \ll \frac{1}{\tau}.
\end{align*}

\[\Box\]

6.2. Difficult Case: Approximation. We are left to consider, for $K(-x, \tau)$, the interval
\begin{equation}
(6.11)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
x^{2/3 - \varepsilon} \ll \tau \ll x^{3/2}.
\end{equation}

In this range, we apply the following integral representation
\begin{equation}
(6.12)
K(-x, \tau) = \int_0^1 y^{-1/2 + i\tau}(1 - y)^{-1/2 + i\tau} 2I_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau; 1 + 2i\tau; y\right) dy.
\end{equation}

Remark 6.4. Using [22, (15.6.1)] we obtain
\begin{equation}
(6.13)
\left|2I_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau; 1 + 2i\tau; y\right)\right| = \left|\int_0^1 \frac{t^{-1/2 + i\tau}(1 - t)^{-1/2 - i\tau}}{(1 - yt)^{1/2 + i\tau}} dt\right| \\
\leq \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-1/2}(1 - t)^{-1/2}}{(1 - yt)^{1/2}} dt = 2I_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; y\right).
\end{equation}

Estimating (6.12) by absolute value using (6.13), we infer that
\begin{equation}
|K(-x, \tau)| \leq \int_0^1 y^{-1/2}(1 - y)^{-1/2} 2I_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; y\right) dy = \Gamma(1/2)3I_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 1; 1\right) \ll 1.
\end{equation}

We will apply the following lemma to perform integration by parts in (6.12).

Lemma 6.5. The function
\begin{equation}
(6.14)
T_\tau(y) = y^{1/2 + i\tau}(1 - y)^{1/2} 2I_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau; 1 + 2i\tau; y\right)
\end{equation}
satisfies the differential equation
\begin{equation}
(6.15)
T_\tau''(y) - (\tau^2 a(y) + b(y)) T_\tau(y) = 0, \quad \text{where}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(6.16)
a(y) = \frac{-1}{y^2(1 - y)}, \quad b(y) = -\frac{1}{4y^2(1 - y)^2} + \frac{1}{4y(1 - y)}.
\end{equation}

Proof. This follows from [2], Eqs. (8)-(9), p. 96]. \[\Box\]

Using (6.14) one can rewrite (6.12) as
\begin{equation}
(6.17)
K(-x, \tau) = \int_0^1 T_\tau(y)(1 - y)^{-1 + iy} dy.
\end{equation}

The main idea of estimating the integral in (6.12) is to approximate the hypergeometric function under the integral by some elementary function. Unfortunately, we do not know a uniform asymptotic formula for (6.12) valid in the range $0 < y < 1$. To overcome this difficulty, we split the integral (6.17) into two parts using the following smooth partition of unity:
\begin{align*}
\kappa_0(y) + \kappa_1(y) &= 1, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < 1, \\
\kappa_0(y) &= 1, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < 1 - 2\delta, \quad \kappa_0(y) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 - \delta < y < 1, \\
\kappa_1(y) &= 0, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < 1 - 2\delta, \quad \kappa_1(y) = 1, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 - \delta < y < 1,
\end{align*}
Lemma 6.6. The following estimate holds
\[ K_1(-x, \tau) \ll \left( 1 + \frac{\tau^2 \delta}{x^2} \right) \sqrt{\delta}. \]

Proof. Integrating by parts three times in (6.18) we obtain
\[ K_1(-x, \tau) \ll \frac{1}{x^3} \left| \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\kappa_1(y)}{y} T_\tau(y) \right)^\prime \prime (1 - y)^{2+ix} dy \right|. \]

For the sake of simplicity, we denote \( \frac{\kappa_1(y)}{y} =: h(y). \) Applying (6.19) we find that
\[ (h(y)T_\tau(y))^\prime\prime = \left( 3h''(y) + h(y)(\tau^2 a(y) + b(y)) \right) T_\tau(y) + \left( h''(y) + 3h'(y)(\tau^2 a(y) + b(y)) + h(y)(\tau^2 a'(y) + b'(y)) \right) T_\tau(y). \]

Therefore,
\[ K_1(-x, \tau) \ll \frac{1}{x^3} \left| \int_0^1 (3h''(y) + h(y)(\tau^2 a(y) + b(y))) T_\tau(y)(1 - y)^{2+ix} dy \right| + \frac{1}{x^3} \int_0^1 |T_\tau(y)|(1 - y)^2 \times |h''(y) + h'(y)(\tau^2 a(y) + b(y)) + h(y)(\tau^2 a'(y) + b'(y))| dy. \]

In the first integral on the right-hand side of (6.20) we integrate by parts once again showing that
\[ K_1(-x, \tau) \ll \frac{1}{x^3} \int_0^1 |h''(y) + h(y)(\tau^2 a(y) + b(y))|(1 - y)|T_\tau(y)| dy + \frac{1}{x^3} \int_0^1 |T_\tau(y)|(1 - y)^2 \times |h''(y) + h'(y)(\tau^2 a(y) + b(y)) + h(y)(\tau^2 a'(y) + b'(y))| dy. \]

It follows from (6.11), (6.13) and [22, 15.4.21] that
\[ T_\tau(y) \ll y(1 - y) \log(1 - y). \]

Applying this estimate and using (6.10) and \( h^{(k)}(y) \ll \delta^{-k}, \) we obtain
\[ K_1(-x, \tau) \ll \frac{(1 + \tau^2 \delta)\sqrt{\delta}}{x^2} + \frac{(1 + \tau^2 \delta)\sqrt{\delta}}{x^3}, \]

thus proving the lemma. \( \square \)

Corollary 6.7. For \( x^{2/3-\varepsilon} \ll \tau \ll x^{3/2} \) and \( \delta > \tau^{-2} \) the following estimate holds
\[ K_1(-x, \tau) \ll \frac{(\tau^{10/9}\delta)^{3/2}}{\tau}. \]

To estimate \( K_0(-x, \tau) \) we will first replace the hypergeometric function in (6.12) by an asymptotic formula. To derive it we will use the following result of Farid Khwaja and Olde Daalhuis [12].
Lemma 6.10. For \( z > (e^{1/4} - 1)^{-1} \) and \( \tau \to \infty \) we have

\[
\Gamma(1/2 + 2i\tau) \over \Gamma(1 + 2i\tau) \; _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1 + 2i\tau; -z \right) = \xi^{1/2}U(1/2, 1, \lambda\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_j}{\lambda^j} + O \left( \frac{\xi^{1/2}U(1/2, 1, \lambda\xi) + \xi^{3/2}U(1/2, 2, \lambda\xi)}{\lambda^n} \right),
\]

where \( U(a, b, z) \) is a confluent hypergeometric function (see [22, Section 13]) and

\[
\lambda = 1/2 + 2i\tau, \quad \xi = \log(1 + 1/z), \quad P_0 = e^{-\xi/2}, \quad Q_0 = \frac{1 - e^{-\xi/2}}{\xi}.
\]

Proof. See [12, Eqs. 4.3, 4.5, 5.8, 5.9].

Corollary 6.9. Assume that \( z > (e^{1/4} - 1)^{-1} \). For \( \tau \to +\infty \) uniformly for \( z \) such that \( \tau \xi \gg \tau^s \) the following asymptotic formula holds

\[
\Gamma(1/2 + 2i\tau) \over \Gamma(1 + 2i\tau) \; _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1 + 2i\tau; -z \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{3/2}\xi} \right).
\]

Proof. Applying (6.22) with \( n = 1 \) and using the asymptotic formula for the confluent hypergeometric function of large argument (see [22, Eq. 13.7.3]) we prove the lemma.

We will also use the following result first obtained by Zavorotny [39].

Lemma 6.8. There is an \( y_1 > 0 \) such that for \( \tau \to \infty \) uniformly for all \( y > y_1 \) we have

\[
_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, 1 + 2i\tau; \frac{-1}{y^2} \right) = (2y)^{2i\tau} e^{-2i\tau \log(y + \sqrt{1+y^2})} \\
\times \left( \frac{y^2}{1+y^2} \right)^{1/4} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8i\tau} \left( 1 - \frac{1 + 2y^2}{2y\sqrt{1+y^2}} \right) \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{y^{4\tau^2}} \right).
\]

Proof. This formula is proved in [33, Lemma 2.4].

Combining (6.23) and (6.24) we obtain the following asymptotic formula.

Lemma 6.11. For \( \tau \to \infty \) uniformly for all \( y \) such that \( 0 < y < 1 - \tau^{-2+\epsilon} \) we have

\[
_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, 1 + 2i\tau; y \right) = \frac{2^{2i\tau}(1 - y)^{-1/4}}{1 + \sqrt{1-y}^{2i\tau}} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau(1-y)^{3/4}} \right).
\]

Proof. The case \( 0 < y < (1 + y_1^2)^{-1} \) follows from (6.24) together with [22, 15.8.1]. Consider the case \( (1 + y_1^2)^{-1} < y < 1 - \tau^{-2+\epsilon} \). According to [3, (25), p.112]

\[
_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1 + i\tau; -z \right) = \frac{(1 + z)^{i\tau}}{(\sqrt{1+z} + \sqrt{z})^{1+2i\tau}} \times _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1 + i\tau; 1 + 2i\tau; \frac{4\sqrt{z}\sqrt{1+z}}{(1+z) + \sqrt{z}^2} \right).
\]

This can be rewritten as

\[
_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} + i\tau; y \right) = \frac{2^{2i\tau}}{(1 + \sqrt{1-y})^{2i\tau}(1-y)^{1/4}} \times _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1 + i\tau; \frac{(1 - \sqrt{1-y})^2}{4\sqrt{1-y}} \right).
\]

For the hypergeometric function on the right-hand side of (6.26) we apply (6.28) to prove the lemma.

Recall that we are left to estimate (6.18) with \( j = 0 \). To do this we substitute (6.14) and (6.28) to (6.18) showing that

\[
K_0(-x, \tau) = \Gamma^2(1/2 + 2i\tau) \; _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1 + 2i\tau; y \right) \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa_0(y)(1-y)^{-3/4 + i\epsilon} y^{-1/2 + i\epsilon}}{(1 + \sqrt{1-y})^{2i\tau}} \; dy + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^{3/2+\epsilon/4}} \right).
\]
Let
\[ \alpha = \frac{x}{\tau}, \quad q_0(y) = \frac{\kappa_0(y)}{y^{1/2}(1 - y)^{3/4}}, \]
\[ p_0(\alpha, y) = \alpha \log(1 - y) - 2 \log(1 + \sqrt{1 - y}) + \log y. \]
Then (6.27) can be rewritten as
\[ K_0(-x, \tau) = \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2 + i\tau)2^{2i\tau}}{\Gamma(1 + i\tau)} \int_0^1 q_0(y)e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y)}dy + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}\delta^{1/4}}\right). \]

**Lemma 6.12.** For \( \tau \sim x \) and \( \tau^{-2+\epsilon} \ll \delta \ll \tau^{-2/3-\epsilon} \)
\[ K_0(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}} e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y_0)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}\delta^{1/4}}\right), \]
where
\[ p_0(\alpha, y_0) = 2\alpha \log \frac{2\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}} - \log \left(2\alpha + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}\right). \]

**Proof.** We have
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p_0(\alpha, y) = \frac{1}{y\sqrt{1 - y}} - \frac{\alpha}{1 - y}. \]
Therefore, the saddle point (which is a solution of \( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} p_0(\alpha, y) = 0 \)) is equal to
\[ y_\alpha = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}}{2\alpha^2} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}}. \]
Note that \( \alpha y_\alpha = \sqrt{1 - y_\alpha} \) and that there exists the second saddle point
\[ y^{(-)}_\alpha = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}}{2\alpha^2}. \]
Since \( \alpha \sim 1 \) the saddle point \( y^{(-)}_\alpha \) is bounded away from the interval of integration in (6.30) and the saddle point \( y_\alpha \) is bounded away from zero and one. Therefore, we can apply a standard version of the saddle point method getting
\[ \int_0^1 q_0(y)e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y)}dy = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^{\pi i/4}q_0(y_\alpha)}{\sqrt{\tau}p_0''(\alpha, y_\alpha)}e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y_\alpha)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}}\right), \]
where
\[ p_0''(\alpha, y_\alpha) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} p_0(\alpha, y) \bigg|_{y=y_\alpha} = \frac{1}{2y_\alpha(1 - y_\alpha)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{y_\alpha^2\sqrt{1 - y_\alpha}} - \frac{\alpha}{(1 - y_\alpha)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}}{2\alpha^3 y_\alpha^4}. \]
For \( \delta \ll \tau^{-2/3-\epsilon} \) in the range (6.11) we have \( \kappa_0(y_\alpha) = 1 \). Substituting (6.28), (6.35) to (6.34) we have
\[ \int_0^1 q_0(y)e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y)}dy = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-\pi i/4}}{(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}\sqrt{\tau}}e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y_\alpha)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}}\right). \]
It follows from the Stirling formula that
\[ \frac{\Gamma^2(1/2 + i\tau)2^{2i\tau}}{\Gamma(1 + i\tau)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\tau}}e^{-\pi i/4} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3}}\right). \]
Substituting (6.37) to (6.30) and applying (6.36) we prove (6.31). Using (6.28), (6.29) and the relation \( \alpha y_\alpha = \sqrt{1 - y_\alpha} \) we obtain
\[ p_0(\alpha, y_\alpha) = 2\alpha \log(\alpha y_\alpha) - \log \frac{1 + \alpha y_\alpha^2}{y_\alpha} = 2\alpha \log \frac{2\alpha}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}} - \log \left(2\alpha + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}\right), \]
thus proving (6.32).
Corollary 6.13. For \( \tau \sim x \) we have
\[
K(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}} e^{i\pi\nu_0(\alpha, y_\nu)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{25/21}}\right).
\]

Proof. Substituting (6.31) and (6.21) to (6.19) we prove that for \( \tau \sim x \) and \( \tau^{-2} \ll \delta \ll \tau^{-2/3-\varepsilon} \) one has
\[
K(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}} e^{i\pi\nu_0(\alpha, y_\nu)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}\delta^{1/2}} + \frac{\tau^{5/3}\delta^{1/2}}{\tau}\right).
\]
The optimal choice for \( \delta \) is \( \delta = \tau^{-26/21} \). \( \square \)

Numerical computations show that (6.38) is valid in the whole range \( x^{2/3-\varepsilon} \ll \tau \ll x^{3/2} \). In order to prove this fact one should use more involved arguments to confirm (6.38).

First, we split the integral in (6.27) into two parts. Let
\[
k_{0,0}(y) + k_{0,1}(y) = k_0(y), \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < 1,
\]
\[
k_{0,0}(y) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < \delta_0, \quad k_{0,0}(y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 2\delta_0 < y < 1,
\]
\[
k_{0,1}(y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < \delta_0, \quad 1 - \delta < y < 1,
\]
\[
k_{0,1}(y) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 2\delta_0 < y < 1 - 2\delta_0.
\]

Therefore, we can rewrite (6.27) as
\[
K_0(-x, \tau) = \frac{\Gamma(2/(1 + i\tau))2^{2i\tau}}{\Gamma(1 + i\tau)} (K_{0,0}(x, \tau) + K_{0,1}(x, \tau)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}\delta^{1/2}}\right),
\]
where for \( j = 0, 1 \)
\[
K_{0,j}(x, \tau) = \int_0^1 k_{0,j}(y)(1 - y)^{-3/4 + ix} y^{-1/2 + i\tau} \frac{(1 + 1/y)^{2i\tau}}{1 + \sqrt{1/y}^{2i\tau}} dy.
\]

Lemma 6.14. For
\[
\delta_0 \ll \min\left(\frac{\tau}{x^{\tau^c}}, \frac{1}{\tau^c}\right)
\]
we have
\[
K_{0,0}(x, \tau) \ll \frac{1}{\tau^A}.
\]

Proof. Integrating by parts we estimate the integral (6.43) with \( k_{0,0}(y) \) in the following way
\[
K_{0,0}(x, \tau) \ll \frac{1}{(1 + \tau)^a} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^a}{\partial y^a} \left( \frac{k_{0,0}(y)(1 - y)^{-3/4 + ix} y^{-1/2 + i\tau}}{(1 + \sqrt{1/y}^{2i\tau})} \right) \right| y^{a-1/2} dy
\]
\[
\ll \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0}}{(1 + \tau)^a} (1 + (x\delta_0)^a + (\tau\delta_0)^a).
\]
The right-hand side of (6.46) is negligible if (6.44) is satisfied. \( \square \)

6.3. Difficult Case: Variant of Temme’s Method. Analysis of \( K_{0,1}(x, \tau) \) in the ranges \( \tau \ll x \) and \( \tau \gg x \) are slightly different. If \( \tau \gg x \) we make the change of variables \( y = (\cosh v)^{-2} \) so that with \( \alpha = x/\tau \)
\[
K_{0,1}(x, \tau) = 2^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\kappa_{0,1}(\cosh v)^{-2} (\tanh v)^{2ix}}{\sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} e^{2i\tau v} dv = 2^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\kappa_{0,1}(\cosh v)^{-2}}{\sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} e^{-2i\tau(v - x\alpha \log(\tanh v))} dv.
\]
If $\tau \ll x$ we make the change of variables $y = 1 - e^{-2v}$ so that with $\beta = \frac{\tau}{4x}$

(6.48) $K_{0,1}(x, \tau) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\kappa_{0,1}(1 - e^{-2v}) e^{-v/2} e^{-2ixv}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2v}}} \frac{e^{-2ixv} (1 - e^{-v})^{1+i\tau}}{(1 + e^{-v})^{1+i\tau}} dv = 2^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\kappa_{0,1}(1 - e^{-2v})}{\sqrt{\sinh(v)}} e^{ix(-2v + x^{-1} \log(\tanh(v/2)))} dv = 2^{3/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\kappa_{0,1}(1 - e^{-4v})}{\sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} e^{-4ix(v - \beta \log(\tanh v))} dv.$

We remark that both integrals in (6.47) and (6.48) have the following shape

(6.49) $\int_0^a q(v) \lambda^{-1} e^{-z p(v)} h(v) dv.$

The integrals of such type were extensively investigated by Temme, see [28, 29, 30, Ch. 25], [31, Theorem 3.2] obtained an asymptotic expansion of (6.49). Unfortunately, our choice of $h(v)$ does not allow us to apply the result of Temme directly (one of the reasons for this is that our $h(v)$ is not independent of $\lambda, z$ due to the presence of $\kappa_{0,1}(\cdot)$). Therefore, we reproduce the arguments in [29]. Also since we are not searching for a full asymptotic expansion of $K_{0,1}(x, \tau)$ we will estimate the remainder in a slightly different way than Temme. Consider the integral

(6.50) $I(q, h, \mu; z) := \int_0^\infty q(v) \lambda^{-1} e^{-z v} h(v) dv = \int_0^\infty e^{-z(v - \mu \log q(v)) f(v)} q(v) dv,$

where $\mu = \lambda/z$. To investigate (6.50), Temme suggested (see [29, (2.4)]) to make the following change of variables

(6.51) $v - \mu \log q(v) = t - \mu \log t + A(\mu).$

Properties of this transformation are discussed in [29, Sec. 2.3]. Accordingly,

(6.52) $I(q, h, \mu; z) = e^{-zA(\mu)} \int_0^\infty e^{-z(t - \mu \log t)} f(t) dt,$

where $t_0 = \mu$ is the saddle-point of the integral (6.52). If $\mu \gg 1$ then one can apply the Laplace method and when $\mu \to 0$ one can use the Wattson lemma (see discussions in [28, Sec.1]). But in our case we need a uniform asymptotic formula valid for all $0 < \mu < 1$. Such formula can be obtained using different methods, see [28, Sec. 3.4,5], [29, Sec. 3.6]. The idea is to express $f(t)$ in (6.52) as $f(t) = f(\mu) + (f(t) - f(\mu))$ and then integrate the second summand by parts.

**Lemma 6.15.** For $\Re z > 0$ and $\mu > 0$

(6.53) $I(q, h, \mu; z) = e^{-zA(\mu)} \frac{f(\mu) \Gamma(\lambda)}{z^\lambda} + e^{-zA(\mu)} \int_0^\infty e^{-z(t - \mu \log t)} \left( \frac{f(t) - f(\mu)}{t - \mu} \right)' dt.$

**Proof.** This follows from the elementary computation

$I(q, h, \mu; z) = e^{-zA(\mu)} f(\mu) \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-z t} dt + e^{-zA(\mu)} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(t)}{t} \left( f(t) - f(\mu) \right) \frac{e^{-z(t - \mu \log t)}}{z(t - \mu)} dt = e^{-zA(\mu)} \frac{f(\mu) \Gamma(\lambda)}{z^\lambda} + e^{-zA(\mu)} \int_0^\infty e^{-z(t - \mu \log t)} \left( \frac{f(t) - f(\mu)}{t - \mu} \right)' dt.$

We turn to the concrete case $q(v) = \tanh v$. The transformation (6.51) becomes

(6.54) $v - \mu \log \tanh v = t - \mu \log t + A(\mu).$

The saddle-point $v_\mu$ of the left-hand side of (6.54) is defined by

(6.55) $\sinh(2v_\mu) = 2\mu.$
The transformation \(6.54\) maps (see \(29\) (2.6))

\[
v = 0 \leftrightarrow t = 0, \quad v = v_\mu \leftrightarrow t = \mu, \quad v = +\infty \leftrightarrow t = +\infty,
\]

and according to \(29\) (2.7)

\[
A(\mu) = v_\mu - \mu \log \tanh v_\mu - \mu + \mu \log \mu = \\
\frac{1}{2} \log(2\mu + \sqrt{1 + 4\mu^2}) - \mu \log 2 + \mu \log(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\mu^2}) - \mu = \frac{\mu^3}{3} + O(\mu^5).
\]

Note that the Taylor expansion in \(6.57\) coincides with \(29\) (2.12). According to \(29\) (2.15)

\[
\text{let us choose}
\]

\[
q(v) = \tanh v, \quad h_1(v) = \frac{\kappa_{0,1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \tanh v}{\sqrt{\sinh(2v)}},
\]

\[
h_2(v) = \frac{\kappa_{0,1}(1 - e^{-4v}) \tanh v}{\sqrt{\sinh(2v)}}.
\]

Then \(6.57\) can be rewritten as

\[
K_{0,1}(x, \tau) = 2^{3/2}I(q, h_1, \alpha; 2i\tau) := 2^{3/2}f_1(\alpha; 2i\tau)
\]

and \(6.48\) can be rewritten as

\[
K_{0,1}(x, \tau) = 2^{3/2}I(q, h_2, \beta; 4ix) := 2^{3/2}f_2(\beta; 4ix).
\]

Applying \(6.54\) we obtain the following results.

**Lemma 6.16.** Consider the transformation \(6.54\) with \(\mu = \alpha\). Let

\[
f_1(t_\alpha) := \frac{\kappa_{0,1}((\cosh v)^{-2})}{\sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} t_\alpha \frac{dv}{dt_\alpha}.
\]

Then for \(\tau \gg x\)

\[
K_{0,1}(x, \tau) = e^{-2i\tau A(\alpha)} \frac{2^{3/2}f_1(\alpha)\Gamma(2ix)}{(2i\tau)^{2ix}} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\infty \left| \left( \frac{f_1(t_\alpha) - f_1(\alpha)}{t_\alpha - \alpha} \right) \right| dt_\alpha \right),
\]

where for \(\delta = o(\alpha^2)\)

\[
f_1(\alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}\sqrt{2}}.
\]

**Proof.** Formula \(6.62\) follows from \(6.59\), \(6.54\). Since \(\sinh(2v_\alpha) = 2\alpha\) one has

\[
\kappa_{0,1} \left( \frac{1}{\cosh^2 v_\alpha} \right) = \kappa_{0,1} \left( \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2}} \right).
\]

Therefore, using \(6.41\) and the condition on \(\delta\) we find that \(\kappa_{0,1}((\cosh v_\alpha)^{-2}) = 1\). Now \(6.63\) follows from \(6.61\) and \(6.68\) with \(\mu = \alpha\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 6.17.** Consider the transformation \(6.54\) with \(\mu = \beta\). Let

\[
f_2(t_\beta) := \frac{\kappa_{0,1}(1 - e^{-4v})}{\sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} t_\beta \frac{dv}{dt_\beta}.
\]

Then for \(\tau \ll x\)

\[
K_{0,1}(x, \tau) = e^{-4ixA(\beta)} \frac{2^{3/2}f_2(\beta)\Gamma(i\tau)}{(4ix)^{ix}} + O \left( \frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty \left| \left( \frac{f_2(t_\beta) - f_2(\beta)}{t_\beta - \beta} \right) \right| dt_\beta \right),
\]
where for $\delta_0 = o(\beta)$

\begin{equation}
(6.66) \\
\frac{f_2(\beta)}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{(1 + 4\beta^2)^{1/4}\sqrt{2}}.
\end{equation}

Proof. Formula (6.65) follows from (6.60), (6.53). Since $\sinh(2v_\beta) = 2\beta$ one has

\begin{equation}
\kappa_{0,1}(1 - e^{-4v_\beta}) = \kappa_{0,1}\left(\frac{4\beta}{2\beta + \sqrt{1 + 4\beta^2}}\right).
\end{equation}

Therefore, using (6.41) and the condition on $\delta_0$ we have $\kappa_{0,1}(1 - e^{-4v_\beta}) = 1$. Now (6.66) follows from (6.63) and (6.55) with $\mu = \beta$.

Substituting (6.62) and (6.45) into (6.42), evaluating the main term and estimating the error term in (6.62), we arrive at the following fine asymptotic formula.

Lemma 6.18. For $x < \tau \ll x^{3/2}$ and $\delta \ll \tau^{-1}$ we have

\begin{equation}
(6.67) \\
K_0(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}}e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y_0)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}\delta^{1/4}} + \frac{|\log \alpha| + 1}{\tau x^{1/2}}\right).
\end{equation}

Proof. Main Term: From Stirling’s formula for $x > 0$ we obtain

\begin{equation}
(6.68) \\
\Gamma(2iy) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{y}}e^{-\pi y}e^{i(2y \log(2y) - 2y - \pi/4)} + O(y^{-3/2}).
\end{equation}

Therefore, using (6.58), (6.63) (note that the condition $\delta = o(\alpha^2)$ is satisfied) and (6.68) with $y = x$ we show that

\begin{equation}
(6.69) \\
e^{-2i\tau A(\alpha)}f_1(\alpha)\Gamma(2ix) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}}}e^{-2i\tau A(\alpha)}e^{i(2x \log(2x) - 2x - \pi/4)}e^{-2ix \log(2\tau)}.
\end{equation}

It follows from (6.69), (6.62), (6.42), (6.37) and (6.45) that

\begin{equation}
(6.70) \\
K_0(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}}e^{i(-2\tau A(\alpha) + 2x \log(2x) - 2x - 2x \log(2\tau))} + \cdots + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}}\right). \\
\end{equation}

After some transformations we can prove that

\[ -2\tau A(\alpha) + 2x \log(2x) - 2x - 2x \log(2\tau) = \tau p_0(\alpha, y_0), \]

where $p_0(\alpha, y)$ is defined by (6.32). Therefore, we obtain the same main term as in (6.31). As a result,

\begin{equation}
(6.71) \\
K_0(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}}e^{i\tau p_0(\alpha, y_0)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}\delta^{1/4}}\right)
\end{equation}

+ \cdots + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}}\int_0^\infty \left|\frac{f_1(t_\alpha) - f_1(\alpha)}{t_\alpha - \alpha}\right| dt_\alpha\right).

We are left to estimate the integral in (6.71). To this end, we split it into two parts: $|t_\alpha - \alpha| < \delta_2$ and $|t_\alpha - \alpha| \geq \delta_2$, where the parameter $0 < \delta_2 \ll \alpha$ will be chosen later.

Remainder 1: Consider first the integral over $|t_\alpha - \alpha| < \delta_2$. By (6.61), we obviously have

\[ \int_0^\infty \left|\frac{f_1(t_\alpha) - f_1(\alpha)}{t_\alpha - \alpha}\right| dt_\alpha \ll \int_0^\infty \left|\frac{f_1(t_\alpha)}{t_\alpha - \alpha}\right| dt_\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\delta_2}. \]

Applying (5.54) (see 25 (2.5)) we show that

\begin{equation}
(6.71) \\
\frac{dv}{dt_\alpha} = \frac{(t_\alpha - \alpha) \sinh(2v)}{t_\alpha (\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha)}.
\end{equation}
which is uniformly bounded by (6.54). Substituting (6.71) to (6.61) we have

\[
\frac{f_1(t_\alpha)}{t_\alpha - \alpha} = \frac{\kappa_{0.1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \sqrt{\sinh(2v)}}{\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha},
\]

which is a function of \(v\). Changing the variable of integration to \(v\) and applying (6.71), we obtain

\[
(6.72) \quad \int_{|t_\alpha - \alpha| \geq \delta_2} \left| \frac{f_1(t_\alpha)}{t_\alpha - \alpha} \right| dt_\alpha \ll \int_{|v - v_\alpha| \gg \delta_2} \frac{|\kappa_{0.1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \sinh^{3/2}(2v)}{\cosh^{5/2}(2v) |\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha|} dv + \int_{|v - v_\alpha| \gg \delta_2} \frac{\kappa_{0.1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \cosh(2v) \sinh^{1/2}(2v)}{(\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha)^2} dv,
\]

where \(v_\alpha\) is defined as \(\sinh(2v_\alpha) = 2\alpha\). Note that due to the property (6.56) the change of variable moves the point \(t_\alpha = \alpha\) to the point \(\sinh(2v_\alpha) = 2\alpha\). Furthermore, due to the regularity of the transformation the interval \(|t_\alpha - \alpha| \geq \delta_2\) becomes \(|v - v_\alpha| \gg \delta_2\). It follows from (6.40), (6.41) that the second integral in (6.72) can be estimated as

\[
\int_{|v - v_\alpha| \gg \delta_2} \frac{\kappa_{0.1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \cosh(2v)}{|\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha| \sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} dv \ll \left( \int_{v_1}^{v_4} + \int_{v_3}^{v_4} \right) \frac{\cosh(2v) dv}{\sinh(2v) - \sinh(2v_\alpha) \sqrt{\sinh(2v)}}
\]

where \(c_j\) are some absolute constants. Splitting the first integral on the right-hand side of (6.75) at some fixed point \(c_3\), we obtain

\[
(6.76) \quad \int_{v_3}^{v_4} \frac{\cosh(2v) dv}{\sinh(2v) - \sinh(2v_\alpha) \sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} \ll \int_{v_3}^{v_4} \frac{dv}{(v - v_\alpha) \sqrt{v}} + \int_{v_3}^{v_4} e^{-v} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \ll \frac{\log \delta_2}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + 1.
\]

Splitting the second integral on the right-hand side of (6.75) at some point \(c_4\alpha\) (\(c_4\) is some small fixed absolute constant), we infer

\[
(6.77) \quad \int_{v_1}^{v_4 - c_4\delta_2} \frac{\cosh(2v) dv}{|\sinh(2v) - \sinh(2v_\alpha)| \sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} \ll \int_{v_1}^{c_4\alpha} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v}} + \int_{v_1}^{v_4 - c_4\delta_2} \frac{dv}{|v - v_\alpha| \sqrt{\alpha}} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \frac{\log \delta_2}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.
\]

Substituting (6.76) and (6.77) to (6.75) we obtain

\[
(6.78) \quad \int_{|v - v_\alpha| \gg \delta_2} \frac{\kappa_{0.1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \cosh(2v)}{|\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha| \sqrt{\sinh(2v)}} dv \ll \frac{\log \delta_2}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.
\]
We argue in the same way to estimate the third integral in (6.72)

\[
\int_{|v-v_\alpha|>\delta_2} \frac{\kappa_{0,1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \cosh(2v) \sinh^{1/2}(2v)}{(\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha)^2} dv
\]

\[
\ll \left( \int_{v_\alpha + c_1 \delta_2}^{v_\alpha} + \int_{v_\alpha - c_2 \delta_2}^{v_\alpha} \right) \frac{\cosh(2v) \sinh^{1/2}(2v)}{(\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha)^2} dv.
\]

Splitting the first integral on the right-hand side of (6.79) at some fixed point \(c_5\), we have, on noting \(v_\alpha = \log(2\alpha + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2})/2 \sim \alpha\) for \(0 < \alpha < 1\)

\[
\int_{v_\alpha + c_1 \delta_2}^{v_\alpha} \frac{\cosh(2v) \sinh^{1/2}(2v)}{(\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha)^2} dv \ll \int_{v_\alpha + c_1 \delta_2}^{c_5} \sqrt{\frac{dv}{v - v_\alpha}^2} + \int_{c_5}^{v_\alpha} e^{-v} dv \ll
\]

\[1 + \int_{v_\alpha + c_1 \delta_2}^{c_5} \sqrt{\frac{dv}{v - v_\alpha}^2} + \int_{c_5}^{v_\alpha} \frac{\sqrt{vdv}}{\alpha^2} \ll \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\delta_2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \]

where \(c_6 > 10\) is some fixed constant. Splitting the second integral on the right-hand side of (6.79) at some point \(c_7\alpha\) (\(c_7\alpha\) is some small fixed absolute constant), we show that

\[
\int_{v_\alpha - c_2 \delta_2}^{v_\alpha} \frac{\cosh(2v) \sinh^{1/2}(2v)}{(\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha)^2} dv \ll \int_{v_\alpha}^{c_7 \alpha} \sqrt{\frac{dv}{v - v_\alpha}^2} + \int_{c_7 \alpha}^{v_\alpha - c_2 \delta_2} \sqrt{\frac{dv}{v}^2} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\delta_2}.
\]

Substituting (6.80) and (6.81) to (6.79) yields

\[
\int_{v_\alpha - c_2 \delta_2}^{v_\alpha} \frac{\kappa_{0,1}((\cosh v)^{-2}) \cosh(2v) \sinh^{1/2}(2v)}{(\sinh(2v) - 2\alpha)^2} dv \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\delta_2}.
\]

Substituting (6.74), (6.78) and (6.82) to (6.72) and using (6.73), we infer

\[
\int_{|t_\alpha - \alpha| \geq \delta_2} \left| \frac{f_1(t_\alpha) - f_1(\alpha)}{t - \alpha} \right|^{r} dt_\alpha \ll \frac{|\log \delta_2|}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\delta_2}.
\]

**Remainder 2:** Let us consider the integral over \(|t_\alpha - \alpha| < \delta_2\). In this case, we represent \(f(t)\) using the Taylor expansion at the point \(t = \alpha\) showing that

\[
\int_{|t_\alpha - \alpha| < \delta_2} \left| \frac{f_1(t_\alpha) - f_1(\alpha)}{t - \alpha} \right|^{r} dt_\alpha \ll f_1''(\alpha) \delta_2 + \delta_2 \max_{|t_\alpha - \alpha| < \delta_2} f_1''(t_\alpha) \ll \frac{\delta_2}{\alpha^{3/2}} + \frac{\delta_2^2}{\alpha^{5/2}}.
\]

To prove the last estimate we evaluate the derivatives of \(f_1(t_\alpha)\) using (6.61). Note that in the neighborhood of the point \(t_\alpha = \alpha\) the derivatives of \(\kappa_{0,1}((\cosh v)^{-2})\) are equal to zero.

**Remainder Term:** Combining (6.83), (6.84) and choosing \(\delta_2 = \alpha/100\) we obtain

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f_1(t_\alpha) - f_1(\alpha)}{t_\alpha - \alpha} \right|^{r} dt_\alpha \ll \frac{|\log \alpha| + 1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.
\]

Substituting (6.85) to (6.70) we prove (6.67). \(\square\)

Similarly using Lemma (6.17) we obtain the next asymptotic formula.

**Lemma 6.19.** For \(x^{2/3} = \tau \ll x\) and \(\delta \ll \tau^{-1}\) we have

\[
K_0(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)^{1/4}} e^{ir\rho(\alpha, y_\rho)} + O \left( \frac{1}{\tau^{3/2}} + \frac{|\log \beta|}{\tau^{1/2}} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\delta_0 = \frac{\beta}{\tau}\). Therefore, (6.41) and the condition \(\delta_0 = o(\beta)\) in Lemma 6.17 are satisfied. Using Stirling's formula (6.68) with \(y = \tau/2\), (6.58) with \(\mu = \beta\), (6.60) we show that

\[
e^{-4iA(\beta) \frac{23/2}{(4\xi)^{3/2}} \Gamma(\tau/2)} = \frac{2^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi \beta}}{\sqrt{\tau(1 + 4\beta^2)^{1/4}}} \times e^{i(-4\xi A(\beta) + \tau \log(\tau) - \tau \log(4\xi) - \pi/4)}.
\]
Recall that $A(\beta)$ is defined by (6.32). One can prove that

$$-4\pi A(\beta) + \tau \log(\tau) - \tau - \tau \log(4\pi) = \tau p_0(\alpha, y_0),$$

where $p_0(\alpha, y)$ is defined by (6.32). Note that $\beta = \frac{1}{4\alpha}$. It follows from (6.87), (6.88), (6.5), (6.42) and (6.45) that

$$\int |v - \beta| \leq \delta'$$

and (6.89) that

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \int_{t(x)} \frac{f_2(t(x)) - f_2(t)}{t(x) - t} \right|^2 dt(x) = O\left( \frac{1}{\tau^{1/2}} \right).$$

The integral in (6.89) can be estimated in the same way as the integral in (6.70). The only difference between them is the argument of the function $\kappa(\cdot)$ (compare (6.61), (6.64)). We again split the integral into two parts: $|t_\beta - \beta| < \delta_2$ and $|t_\beta - \beta| \geq \delta_2$, where $0 < \delta_2 < \beta$ will be chosen later. The analogue of (6.72) is

$$\int_{|t_\beta - \beta| < \delta_2} \left| \frac{f_2(t_\beta)}{t_\beta - \beta} \right|^t dt_\beta \leq \int_{|v_\beta| > 2\delta_2} |\kappa(1 - e^{-4v})| \frac{dv}{\sinh(2v)},$$

where $v_\beta$ is defined as $\sinh(2v_\beta) = 2\beta$. It follows from (6.40), (6.41) that $|\kappa(1 - e^{-4v})| = 0$ unless

$$v_5 := -\log(1 - \delta_0) - \log(1 - 2\delta_0) =: v_6,$$

or $v_7 := -\log(2\delta_0) < v < -\log(1 + \delta_0) =: v_8$.

On these intervals $|\kappa(1 - e^{-4v})| \leq \delta_0^{-1}$ or $|\kappa(1 - e^{-4v})| \leq \delta^{-1}$, respectively. Note that the size of $v_5$ is approximately $\delta_0/4$. Since $\delta_0 = \frac{\beta}{\tau}$ we have

$$\int_{|v_\beta| > 2\delta_2} |\kappa(1 - e^{-4v})| \frac{dv}{\sinh(2v)} \leq \int_{v_5}^{v_6} \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0}}{\delta_0 \beta} dv + \int_{v_7}^{v_8} \frac{e^{-v}}{\delta} dv \leq \frac{1}{\tau^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{\tau^{1/2}}.$$

The second and the third integrals in (6.90) are integrals over the interval $(v_5, v_8)$ and can be estimated in the same way as the second the third integrals in (6.72). Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_{|t_\beta - \beta| \geq \delta_2} \left| \frac{f_2(t_\beta)}{t_\beta - \beta} \right|^t dt_\beta \leq \frac{1}{\tau^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{\tau^{1/2}}.$$

Let us consider the integral over $|t_\beta - \beta| < \delta_2$. Arguing in the same way as in the proof of the estimate (6.84) (again in the neighborhood of the point $t_\beta = \beta$ the derivatives of $\kappa(1 - e^{-4v})$ are equal to zero due to the choice of $\delta_0$), we conclude that

$$\int_{|t_\beta - \beta| < \delta_2} \left| \frac{f_1(t_\beta) - f_1(\beta)}{t_\beta - \beta} \right|^t dt_\beta \leq \delta_2 \frac{\delta_2}{\beta^{3/2}} + \delta_2^2 \frac{\delta_2^2}{\beta^{5/2}}.$$

Combining (6.91), (6.92) and choosing $\delta_2 = \beta/100$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\delta_2} \int \frac{f_1(t_\beta) - f_1(\beta)}{t_\beta - \beta} dt_\beta \leq \frac{\delta_2}{\beta^{3/2}} + \frac{\delta_2^2}{\beta^{5/2}}.$$

Substituting (6.93) to (6.89) we prove (6.86).

Finally, we prove the following result.
Lemma 7.1. we have applied the standard Rankin-Selberg unfolding. The subsequent transformation of integrals is a

For the existence and uniqueness of Remark 7.2.

Proof. Using \([6.19, 6.21, 6.67, 6.86]\) and \([6.39]\), we prove that for \(x^{2/3-\varepsilon} \ll \tau \ll x^{3/2}\) and \(\tau^{-2} \ll \delta \ll \tau^{-1}\)

\[
K(-x, \tau) = \frac{-2\pi i}{\tau(1 + 4\alpha^2)1/4} e^{i\tau \rho_0(y, \alpha)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^{25/21}}\right).
\]

The optimal choice for \(\delta\) is \(\delta = \tau^{-26/21}\) \(\square\)

Now Theorem \([6.1]\) follows from Lemmas \([6.2]\) and \([6.3]\) and Corollary \([6.20]\).

7. Fourth Moment Bound

7.1. Reduction to Local Estimation. We first revert our Motohashi formula \([1.0]\) to its period version.

Let \(\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_2(\mathbb{A}))\) be of the form

\[
\Psi(x_1/x_3, x_2/x_4) = \Phi_1(x_1, x_2)\Phi_2(x_3, x_4), \quad \Phi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^2).
\]

To \(\Phi_j\), we associate the Godement section and the Eisenstein series

\[
f_j(s, g) := |\det g|^{s+1/2} \int_{A^\times} \Phi_j((0, t)g)|t|^{2s+1} d^x t, \quad E_j(s, g) := \sum_{g \in B(F) \backslash GL_2(F)} f_j(s, g).
\]

We also write \(E_j(g) = E_j(0, g)\). We easily identify the zeta functional as

\[
Z(s, \chi, E_j) = \int_{A^\times} \Psi(x_1/x_3, x_2/x_4) \chi(x_1x_2)|x_1x_2|_A^s d^x x_1 d^x x_2.
\]

Consequently, we get by the global functional equation

\[
M_4(\Psi | s, \chi) = \int_{A^\times} \Psi(x_1/x_3, x_2/x_4) \chi(x_1x_2)|x_1x_2|_A^{1-s} d^x x_1 d^x x_2.
\]

Lemma 7.1. Let \(E\) be the (linear combination of non-unitary) Eisenstein series such that \(E_1E_2 - E \in L^2([PGL_2])\). Then we have

\[
M_3(\Psi | s, \chi) = \sum_{\phi \in B(\pi)} \int_{[PGL_2]} (E_1E_2 - E)(g)\phi(g)dg \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{\vee}\right),
\]

\[
M_3(\Psi | \tau, i\tau) = \sum_{e \in B(\chi)} \int_{[PGL_2]} (E_1E_2 - E)(g)E(i\tau, e)(g)dg \cdot Z\left(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{E(-i\tau, e^{\vee})}\right).
\]

Remark 7.2. For the existence and uniqueness of \(E\), see \([34], \text{Proposition 2.25}\).

Proof. Since \(\phi \in B(\pi)\) is cuspidal, hence orthogonal to any Eisenstein series and of rapid decay, we have

\[
\int_{[PGL_2]} (E_1E_2 - E)(g)\phi(g)dg = \int_{[PGL_2]} E_1(g)E_2(g)\phi(g)dg
\]

\[
= \int_{Z(\mathbb{A}) \backslash N(\mathbb{A}) \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A})} \overline{W_\phi(g)}W_1(g)f_2(s, g)dg \bigg|_{s=0},
\]

where \(W_j\) resp. \(W_\phi\) is the Whittaker function of \(E_j\) resp. \(\phi\) with respect to the additive character \(\psi\), and we have applied the standard Rankin-Selberg unfolding. The subsequent transformation of integrals is a
special case of the reduction of the Rankin-Selberg integrals from the pair GLₙ × GLₙ to GLₙ × GLₙ₋₁ in [11 §8.2]. Namely, we have for ℝs₁, ℝs₂ ≫ 1 and ℝs₂ − ℝs₁ ≫ 1

\[ \int Z(\alpha)N(\alpha)\backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}) W_\phi(g)W_1(s_1, g)f_2(s_2, g)dg = \int Z(\alpha)\backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}) W_\phi(g)f_1(s_1, wg)f_2(s_2, g)dg \]

\[ = \int Z(\alpha)\backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}) W_\phi(g) \left( \int J(\alpha)^2 \Psi \left( \begin{array}{c} t_1 \\ t_2 \end{array} \right) g \right) |t_1|^{2s_1+1} |t_2|^{2s_2+1} d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 |\text{det} g|^{s_1+s_2+1} dg \]

\[ = \int_{GL_2(\mathbb{A})} W_\phi(g) \left( \int_{\mathbb{A}^×} \Psi \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 1 \end{array} \right) g \right) |t|^{2s_1+1} d^\times t |\text{det} g|^{s_1+s_2+1} dg \]

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{A}^×} \left( \int_{GL_2(\mathbb{A})} \Psi(g)W_\phi \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 1 \end{array} \right) g \right) |\text{det} g|^{s_1+s_2+1} dg \right) |t|^{s_2-s_1} d^\times t. \]

But we have

\[ g.W_\phi = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}(\tau)} \langle \pi^\vee (g), \overline{\phi}, e \rangle W_{e^\vee}. \]

Hence we identify the last integral as

\[ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}(\tau)} Z \left( s_1 + s_2 + \frac{1}{2} \Psi, \beta(\overline{\phi}, e) \right) Z \left( s_2 - s_1 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^\vee \right). \]

Successively taking s₁ = 0 and s₂ = 0, we obtain

\[ \int_{[\text{PGL}_2]} (E₁E₂ - \mathcal{E})(g)\overline{\phi(g)}dg = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}(\tau)} Z \left( \frac{1}{2} \Psi, \beta(\overline{\phi}, e) \right) Z \left( \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^\vee \right). \]

The first equality, with π replaced by π^\vee, follows readily. To prove the second equality, we apply the theory of regularized integrals [36 §2] to get

\[ \int_{[\text{PGL}_2]} (E₁E₂ - \mathcal{E})(g)\overline{E(iτ, e)}(g)dg = \int_{[\text{PGL}_2]} \left( \overline{E(iτ, e)}E₁ - \mathcal{E}' \right)(g)E₂(g)dg \]

\[ - \int_{[\text{PGL}_2]} \mathcal{E}(g)\overline{E(iτ, e)}(g)dg + \int_{[\text{PGL}_2]} \mathcal{E'}(g)E₂(g)dg, \]

where \( \mathcal{E}' \) is the linear combination of Eisenstein series such that \( \overline{E(iτ, e)}E₁ - \mathcal{E}' \in L^2([\text{PGL}_2]) \). The two regularized integrals in the last line are zero. In fact, we are in a situation where the regularized integrals are GL₂(\( \mathbb{A} \))-invariant (see [36, Proposition 2.27 (2)]). Since \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \overline{E(iτ, e)} \) resp. \( \mathcal{E}' \) and E₂ have different eigenvalues with respect to the Hecke operator at a finite place p unramified for both functions, the regularized integrals are vanishing. We then unfold the first term on the right hand side by [35, Proposition 2.5], arriving at (7.1) with \( \phi \) replaced by \( E(iτ, e) \). Arguing as in the cuspidal case, we obtain the second equality.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 1.8. Let \( Φ₀ \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}^2) \) be the standard spherical function given by

\[ Φ₀,ν(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{-2π(|x|^2 + |y|^2)} & \text{if } F_v = \mathbb{C} \\ e^{-π(x^2 + y^2)} & \text{if } F_v = \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \Rightarrow Φ₀ \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_3 \end{array} \right) = Φ₀(x_1, x_2)Φ₀(x_3, x_4). \]

Write \( E₀(s, g) \) for the spherical Eisenstein series associated with \( Φ₀ \). Note that \( E₀(g) := E₀(0, g) \) is real valued. The Whittaker function \( W₀(s, g) \) of \( E₀(s, g) \) is given by

\[ W₀(s, g) = \int \! f₀(s, wn(x)g)ψ(-x)dx = \int \! \overline{φ₂}(Tg)(Φ₀(t, t^{-1})|t|^2d^\times t, \]

which is regular at \( s = 0 \) and has obvious decomposition into product of local components. Let \( r = [F : \mathbb{Q}] \) be as in the totally real case. We are going to choose \( B^{(j)} = (B^{(j)}_v)_{v ∈ \mathbb{A}, 1 ≤ j ≤ 2r} \) according to \( T, \mathfrak{r}, \)}
and consider the choice of test functions
\[ \Phi_j^{(1)} = \Phi_j^{(2)} = n(B(j)). \Phi_0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad E_j^{(1)} = E_j^{(2)} = n(B(j)).E_0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \Psi_j = R_{n(B(j))}.\Psi_0. \]

**Lemma 7.3.** For any \( \chi \rvert \alpha \in B(\tilde{T}, \mathfrak{M}) \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} \left| Z \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi, E_j^{(1)} \right) \right|^2 \gg \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi \right) \right|^4 \left( \prod_{v \mid \infty} T_v \cdot \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{M}) \right)^{-1}.
\]

Consequently, we get
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} M_3(\Psi_j) \gg \left( \prod_{v \mid \infty} T_v \cdot \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{M}) \right)^{-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{R}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| L \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi \right) \right|^4 \mathbb{1}_{B(\tilde{T},\mathfrak{M})}(\chi \rvert \alpha) d\tau.
\]

If we write \( \mathcal{E}_0 \) for the linear combination of Eisenstein series such that \( E_0^2 - \mathcal{E}_0 \in L^2([\text{PGL}_2]) \), then we have \( E_j^{(1)}E_j^{(2)} - n(B(j)).\mathcal{E}_0 = n(B(j)).(E_0^2 - \mathcal{E}_0) \in L^2([\text{PGL}_2]) \). Hence \( \mathcal{E}_j := n(B(j)).\mathcal{E}_0 \) is the \( \mathcal{E} \) in the above general discussion for the pair of Eisenstein series \( E_j^{(1)} \) and \( E_j^{(2)} \). Now that \( E_0^2 - \mathcal{E}_0 \) is \( K \)-invariant (and \( U_2(\mathbb{C}) \& O_2(\mathbb{R}) \)-invariant), we see that \( M_3(\Psi_j \mid \pi) \) resp. \( M_3(\Psi_j \mid \chi, s) \) is non-vanishing only if \( \pi \) resp. \( \chi \) is strongly spherical resp. unramified at every place. Under this condition, we have
\[
M_3(\Psi_j \mid \pi) = \langle E_0^2 - \mathcal{E}_0, e_\pi \rangle \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee(n(B(j)).e_\pi) \right),
\]
\[
M_3(\Psi_j \mid \chi, i\tau) = \langle E_0^2 - \mathcal{E}_0, E(i\tau, e_\chi) \rangle \cdot Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B(j)).E(-i\tau, e_\chi) \right),
\]
where \( e_\pi \) resp. \( e_\chi \) is the unique spherical vector of \( \pi \) resp. \( \pi(\chi, \chi^{-1}) \).

**Lemma 7.4.** For any \( \epsilon > 0 \), any constant \( \theta \) towards the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture (\( \theta = 7/64 \) is currently the best known \([2, 7] \)) and each \( j \), we have
\[
\| e_\pi^\vee \|^{-1} \left| Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee(n(B(j)).e_\pi^\vee) \right) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} C(\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}r + \epsilon} \left( \prod_{v \mid \infty} T_v \cdot \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{M}) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \theta + \epsilon},
\]
\[
\| e_\chi^\vee \|^{-1} \left| Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B(j)).E(-i\tau, e_\chi^\vee) \right) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} C(\chi \rvert \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}r + \epsilon} \left( \prod_{v \mid \infty} T_v \cdot \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{M}) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \theta + \epsilon},
\]
where the norm of \( e_\pi \) resp. \( e_\chi \) is the Petersson norm resp. norm on the induced model of \( \pi(\chi, \chi^{-1}) \). Consequently, we get for any \( j \) and any \( \epsilon > 0 \)
\[
M_3(\Psi_j) \ll_{\epsilon} \left( \prod_{v \mid \infty} T_v \cdot \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{M}) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \theta + \epsilon}.
\]

**Lemma 7.5.** For any \( j \) and any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[
|DS(\Psi_j)| + |DG(\Psi_j)| \ll_{\epsilon} \left( \prod_{v \mid \infty} T_v \cdot \text{Nr}(\mathfrak{M}) \right)^{\epsilon}.
\]

Theorem [1.8] obviously follows from the above Lemma [7.3, 7.4, and 7.5] each of which is of local nature.
7.2. Local Estimation. We first prove Lemma 7.6 along the way we make the choice of $B^{(j)}$ explicit. For every finite place $p$, we choose
\[ B_p = \omega_p^{-n_p}, \quad n_p := \text{ord}_p(\Omega). \]

Lemma 7.6. (1) Let $W_{0,p}$ be the local component at $p$ of the Whittaker function $W_0$ of $E_0$ (see (7.2)). For any $\chi_p$ unitary character of $F_p^\times$ with conductor exponent $c(\chi_p) \leq n_p$, we have
\[ L_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_p \right)^{-2} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_p, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_{0,p} \right) \approx_{n_p} \begin{cases} \text{Nr}(p)^{-\frac{n_p}{p}} & \text{if } n_p > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n_p = 0. \end{cases} \]

(2) In the case $n_p > 0$ and $\chi_p = 1$ is the trivial character, we have for any integer $n \geq 0$
\[ \left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} \zeta_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + s \right)^{-2} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + s, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_{0,p} \right) \right| \ll_{n_p} n_p^{n+1} \text{Nr}(p)^{-n_p} (\log \text{Nr}(p))^n, \]
\[ \left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} \zeta_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + s \right)^{-2} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + s, n(\omega_p^{n_p}) W_{0,p} \right) \right| \ll_{n_p} n_p^{n+1} (\log \text{Nr}(p))^n. \]

Proof. (1) Let $v_p(\cdot)$ be the normalized additive valuation in $F_p$. By (7.2), we easily deduce
\[ W_{0,p}(a(y)) = \int_{F_p^\times} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(t) dt = (1 + v_p(y))^\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(y). \]
Write $m_p = c(\chi_p) \leq n_p$. We apply Lemma 4.7: In the case $m_p > 0$, we get
\[ \left| Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_p, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_{0,p} \right) \right| = \zeta_p(1)(1 + n_p - m_p N(p)^{-\frac{n_p}{p}}) \]
in the case $m_p = 0 < n_p$, writing $X := \chi_p(\omega_p) N(p)^{-\frac{1}{2} - it}$, we get
\[ L_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_p \right)^{-2} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_p, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_{0,p} \right) = (n_p + 1) X^{n_p} - n_p X^{n_p + 1} - \zeta_p(1) n_p N(p)^{-1} X^{n_p - 1}(1 - X)^2; \]
while in the case $n_p = m_p = 0$, we get
\[ L_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_p \right)^{-2} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_p, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_{0,p} \right) = 1. \]
The desired bound follows readily from the above explicit formulas.
(2) Putting $\chi_p = 1$ in the above equation (7.3), we get
\[ \zeta_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + s \right)^{-2} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + s, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_{0,p} \right) = (n_p + 1) N(p)^{-\frac{1}{2} + s-n_p} - n_p N(p)^{-\frac{1}{2} + s+(n_p+1)} - \zeta_p(1) n_p N(p)^{-1-(\frac{1}{2}+s)(n_p-1)}(1 - N(p)^{-\frac{1}{2} - s}). \]
The desired bounds follow readily. \qed

Lemma 7.7. (1) Let $W_{0,v}$ be the local component at a place $v \mid \infty$ of the Whittaker function $W_0$ of $E_0$. There exist absolute constants $C_+, C_- > 0$ such that for $B^+_v = C_+ T_v$ and $B^-_v = C_- T_v$, we have uniformly for unitary characters $\chi_v$ of $F_v^\times$ with $C(\chi_v) \leq T_v$
\[ \left| Z_v \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_v, n(B^+_v) W_{0,v} \right) \right|^2 + \left| Z_v \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi_v, n(B^-_v) W_{0,v} \right) \right|^2 \gg T_v^{-1}. \]

(2) The function $s \mapsto Z_v(s, n(B^+_v) W_{0,v})$ is regular at $s = 1$, and admits a pole at $s = 0$ of order 2. If we denote by $Z^{(n)}_v(s_0, n(B^+_v) W_{0,v})$ the $n$-th coefficient in the Laurent expansion at $s = s_0$, then we have for any integer $n \geq 0$ resp. $\geq -2$
\[ |Z^{(n)}_v(1, n(B^+_v) W_{0,v})| \ll_n T_v^{-1}(\log T_v)^n, \quad |Z^{(n)}_v(0, n(B^+_v) W_{0,v})| \ll_n (\log T_v)^{n+2}. \]
Proof. (1) The complex case is the content of Lemma 1. We only need to consider the real case. We omit the subscript \(v\) for simplicity of notation. Assume \(\chi(t) = t^{i\mu}\) for \(t > 0\) and some \(\mu \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(1 + |\mu| \leq T\). We have

\[
W_0(a(y)) = |y|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi i t^2 y^2 + t^2} d\xi t = |y|^{\frac{1}{2}} K_0(2\pi |y|).
\]

Consequently, we get

\[
Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi, n(B), W_0 \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_0(a(y)) e^{2\pi i B y} \chi(y) q \cdot y
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2} - i\mu} \left\{ \int_0^\infty K_0(y) e^{i B y} y^{i\mu - \frac{1}{2}} dy + \chi(-1) \int_0^\infty K_0(y) e^{-i B y} y^{i\mu - \frac{1}{2}} dy \right\}.
\]

By the formulas [8, 6.6213] and [22, 15.8.1 & 15.8.25], we have

\[
\int_0^\infty K_0(y) e^{i B y} y^{i\mu - \frac{1}{2}} dy = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(1 - i B)^{1+i\mu}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\mu \right)^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + i\mu)} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\mu, \frac{1}{2} + i\mu; 1 + iB \right)
\]

\[
= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{4} + i\mu \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{4} + i\mu \right)} _2F_1 \left( \frac{3}{4} + i\mu, \frac{3}{4} + i\mu; -B^2 \right) & \text{if } \chi(-1) = 1
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
\text{Hence we obtain}
\]

\[
Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi, n(B), W_0 \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\mu \right)^2}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{4} + i\mu \right)} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + i\mu, \frac{1}{2} + i\mu; -B^2 \right) & \text{if } \chi(-1) = 1
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
\frac{2iB \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\mu \right)^2}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{4} + i\mu \right)} _2F_1 \left( 
\frac{3}{4} + i\mu, \frac{3}{4} + i\mu; -B^2 \right) & \text{if } \chi(-1) = -1
\]

We treat the first case \(\chi(-1) = 1\) in detail, the other one being similar. By the formulas [22, 15.6.6], we have

\[
\frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + i\mu \right)^2}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{4} + i\mu \right)} _2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + i\mu, \frac{1}{2} + i\mu; -B^2 \right) = \frac{2^{2i\mu-1-1/2}}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{\Gamma(1/4 + i\mu/2 + z)^2 \Gamma(-z)}{\Gamma(1/2 + z)} B^{2z} dz,
\]

where \(-1/4 < c < 0\). Moving the contour of integration to \(\Re z = -1\), estimating the resulting integral by

\[
B^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\pi |y|^{3/2}} \frac{(1 + |y|^{3/2})}{(1 + |y| + |\mu|/2)^{3/2}} dy \ll \frac{(1 + |\mu|)^{3/2}}{B^2} \ll B^{-1/2},
\]

and evaluating the residue at the point \(z = -1/4 - i\mu/2\), we get

\[
Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \chi, n(B), W_0 \right) = \pi^{-i\mu} \frac{\Gamma(1/4 + i\mu/2)}{2B^{1/2+i\mu} \Gamma(1/4 - i\mu/2)} \times (2 \log B + 2 \psi(1) - \psi(1/4 + i\mu/2) - \psi(1/4 - i\mu/2)) + O(B^{-1/2}) \gg B^{-1/2}.
\]
We conclude the proof.

(2) In the real case, putting \( \chi = \cdot |^{s-1/2} \) in the above computation with simplification, we get

\[
Z(s, n(B), W_0) = \frac{\pi^{1-s}2^{-s+1}2^{-s} \Gamma^2(s/2)}{2 \Gamma(1-s/2)} \binom{s}{\frac{1}{2}} \binom{s}{\frac{1}{2}; -B^2} = \Gamma^2(s/2) \frac{\pi^{s}}{2} \binom{s}{\frac{1}{2}} \binom{s}{\frac{1}{2}; -B^2}.
\]

As in the previous case, using the Mellin integral for the hypergeometric function (see [22, 15.6.6]), moving the contour of integration to the left and evaluating the residue at the point \(-s/2\), we obtain

\[
Z(s, n(B), W_0) = \frac{\pi^{1/2-s} \Gamma(s/2)}{2 \pi^{s-1} \Gamma(1-s/2)} \left( 2 \log B + 2 \psi(1) - \psi(s/2) - \psi(1-s/2) \right) + O(B^{-3/2}).
\]

The desired assertions follow readily from the above formula. In the complex case, we have

\[
W_0(a(y)) = |y| \int_{C^\times} e^{-2\pi (|y|^{2} + |t|^{-2})} d^\times t = 2\pi |y| K_0(4\pi |y|).
\]

Applying the formula \[8, 6.5763\], we get

\[
Z(s, n(B), W_0) = 2^{-1} (4\pi)^{-2s} \int_0^\infty K_0(\rho) J_0(B\rho) \rho^{2s} d\rho = 2^{-1} (2\pi)^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \frac{\pi}{2} \binom{s}{\frac{1}{2}} \binom{s}{\frac{1}{2}; -B^2},
\]

where \( J_0 \) is the usual Bessel-J function of order 0. We conclude as in the real case.

\[\square\]

It is clear that Lemma 7.3 follows from Lemma 7.6 (1) and Lemma 7.7 (1). We have also specified \( B^{(j)} \) by renumbering \( (B^{(j)})_{v|\infty} = (B_p)_{p<\infty} \) with \( \epsilon_v \in \{\pm\} \). Moreover, we have also proved the part of Lemma 7.5 for \( DG(\Psi) \) by Lemma 7.6 (2) and Lemma 7.7 (2) via (1.7).

We turn to the local upper bounds in Lemma 7.4.

**Lemma 7.8.** (1) Let \( W_p \) be the Whittaker function of a spherical element in \( \pi_p \simeq \pi(\cdot |^{\nu}| \cdot |^{-\nu}) \) of PGL(2, \( F_p \)) with parameter \( \nu \in i\mathbb{R} \cup (-\theta, \theta) \). Then we have for any \( \epsilon > 0 \)

\[
L_p \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_p \right)^{-1} \left| \frac{L_p(1, \pi_p \times \pi_p)}{\zeta_p(2)} \right| |W_p|^{-1} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_p \right) \ll \gamma_p \left| \frac{\rho_p}{\nu} \right| \left| \frac{\rho_p}{\nu} \right| + O_{\pi_p}(1) \]

if \( n_p > 0 \) and \( n_p = 0 \).

(2) Assume \( n_p > 0 \). Then we have for any integer \( n \geq 0 \)

\[
\left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \nu^n} \right| \left| Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2}, W_p \right)^{-1} Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_p \right) \right| \ll_n (n_p \log \gamma_p)^n.
\]

**Proof.** (1) The bound for the term on the left hand side without \( L \)-factor is treated in [34, Lemma 6.8].

Adding the \( L \)-factor does not alter the size, but making the unramified case equal to 1.

(2) We can take \( W_p(a(y)) \) invariant by \( y \rightarrow y\delta \) for \( \delta \in \mathfrak{o}_p^\times \) with (see [4, Theorem 4.6.5])

\[
W_p(\omega_p^m) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{q^{\nu(m+1)} - q^{-\nu(m+1)}}{q^\nu - q^{-\nu}} 1_{m \geq 0}, \quad q := \gamma_p.
\]

By [34, Lemma 4.7], we get

\[
Z_p \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(\omega_p^{-n_p}) W_p \right) = q^{-\nu(n_p - 1)} \frac{1 - q^{-2(n_p + 1)\nu}}{1 - q^{-2\nu}} - q^{\nu(n_p - 1)} \frac{1 - q^{-2n_p\nu}}{1 - q^{-2\nu}} - q^{-\nu(n_p - 1)} \frac{1 - q^{-2n_p\nu}}{1 - q^{-2\nu}}(1 - q^{-\frac{2}{3}n_p\nu}).
\]

The desired bound follows (7.4) at once. \[\square\]
Lemma 7.9. (1) Let \( W_\nu \) be the Whittaker function of a spherical element in \( \pi_\nu \simeq \pi(|\cdot|^\nu,|\cdot|^{-\nu}) \) of \( \text{PGL}_2(F_\nu) \) with parameter \( \nu \in i\mathbb{R} \cap (-\theta, \theta) \). Then we have for any \( \epsilon > 0 \)

\[
||W_\nu||^{-1}Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B_\nu^{\pm}).W_\nu \right) \ll _\epsilon T_\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}+\theta+\epsilon}.
\]

(2) We have for any integer \( n \geq 0 \)

\[
\left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \nu^n} \bigg|_{\nu = \frac{1}{2}} Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, W_\nu \right)^{-1} Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B_\nu^{\pm}).W_\nu \right) \right| \ll_n (\log T_\nu)^n.
\]

Proof. (1) This is contained in [34, Lemma 6.8].

(2) We treat the real case, leaving the similar complex case as an exercise. We omit the subscript \( \nu \) for simplicity. We can take (see [3.3])

\[
W(a(y)) = |y|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{K}_\nu(2\pi|y|).
\]

For \( B = B_\nu^{\pm} \), we have by the formulas [8, 6.5763] and [22, 15.8.1 & 15.8.25]

\[
Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B).W \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{K}_\nu(y) \left( e^{iBy} + e^{-iBy} \right) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy
\]

\[
= 2^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \nu \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \nu \right) \sum_{\pm} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + iB) + i\nu} {}_2F_1 \left( 1 + \nu, \frac{1}{2} + \nu; \frac{1}{1 + iB} \right)
\]

\[
= 2^{-1} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \nu \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \nu \right) \sum_{\pm} 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \nu, \frac{1}{2} - \nu; \frac{1}{2} + iB \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \nu \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \nu \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \nu \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - \nu \right)} \left( 1 + B^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\nu}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\nu}{2}; 1 - \nu \right)
\]

By the connection formula \([7, 2.10 (3)]\), we deduce

\[
\frac{Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B).W \right)}{Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, W \right)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\nu)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \nu \right)} \left( 1 + B^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\nu}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{1}{4} + \nu; 1 + B^2 \right)
\]

Replacing the above hypergeometric functions by their Taylor expansions at 0, we easily deduce the desired bounds. \(\square\)

Proof of Lemma 7.4. Let \( W_\nu^{\vee} = \otimes_\nu W_\nu^{\vee, \nu} \) be the Whittaker function of \( e_\nu^{\vee} \). By \([2.3]\), we have

\[
\frac{Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B^{\nu,j}).e_\nu^{\vee} \right)}{||e_\nu^{\vee}||} = L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi^{\vee} \right) \prod_{\nu < \infty} \frac{Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B^{\nu,j}).W_\nu^{\vee, \nu} \right)}{\sqrt{\zeta_\nu(2) ||W_\nu^{\vee, \nu}||}}.
\]

\[
\prod_{\nu < \infty} L_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi^{\nu} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{L_\nu(1, \pi^{\nu} \times \pi^{\nu})}{\zeta_\nu(2)}} ||W_\nu^{\vee}||^{-1}Z_\nu \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(\varpi_\nu^{-n^{\vee}}).W_\nu \right),
\]

where those terms over \( p < \infty \) with \( n_p > 0 \) is not 1. The desired bound follows from Lemma 7.8 (1), Lemma 7.9 (1), the convex bound of \( L(1/2, \pi^{\nu}) \) and the lower bound of \( L(1, \pi, \text{Ad}) \) (see \([1] \) and \([3] \) Lemma...
Recall inequality is bounded by $C_π M_L$ the bound of the value at 1 of the adjoint
$\|e_χ\|$. For notational convenience, let us write $o$.

The local terms for $W$.

Finally, to treat the $DS(Ψ)$ part of Lemma 7.5 we note that

$$M_3(Ψ) | 1, s) = M_3(Ψ_0 | 1, s) \cdot \prod_v Z_v \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B_v^{(j)}), W_v^{(j)}(-s) \right) Z_v \left( \frac{1}{2}, W_v^{(j)}(-s) \right) = \frac{Λ_F (1 + 2s)^3 Λ_F (1 - 2s)^3}{Λ_F (1 + 2s)Λ_F (1 - 2s)} \cdot \prod_v Z_v \left( \frac{1}{2}, n(B_v^{(j)}), W_v^{(j)}(-s) \right) Z_v \left( \frac{1}{2}, W_v^{(j)}(-s) \right).$$

The desired bound of $DS(Ψ)$ then follows from Lemma 7.8 (2) and Lemma 7.9 (2) via 1.18.

8. Proof of Central Result

8.1. Hecke Characters of Given Module of Definition. It remains only to prove Lemma 1.7. By the bound of the value at 1 of the adjoint $L$-functions (see 8 and 8. Lemma 3)), Lemma 4.4 and the obvious bounds $M_3(\iota(τ, ε)) \gg Δ_τ^{-1}$ for $|τ ± T_v| \leq Δ_v$, we see that the left hand side of the desired inequality is bounded by $C(χ_0)^{1/2}$. The bound of degenerate terms in Lemma 5.5 and 5.6 shows that $|DS(Ψ)| + |DG(Ψ)| \ll ε C(χ_0)^{1+ε}$, which gives the first term on the right hand side of the desired inequality. We are left to bounding

$$M_4(Ψ) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{χ ∈ R_F \setminus A} \int_0^1 M_4 \left( Ψ | χ, \frac{1}{2} + iτ \right) dτ$$

(8.1)

where we have applied 1.11 and Corollary 4.1.

Let $m$ be the usual conductor ideal of the Hecke character $χ_0$, i.e.,

$$m := \prod_{p < ∞} p^{n_p}, \quad n_p := c(χ_0, p).$$

For notational convenience, let us write $I = 𝔹^∞$ for the group of ideles of $F$. Introduce

$$I_{fin}^m := \prod_{p < ∞} U_p^{(n_p)}, \quad \text{where } U_p^{(n)} := \begin{cases} \frac{ϕ_p}{(1 + p^n ϕ_p)} & \text{if } n = 0; \\ 1 + p^n ϕ_p & \text{if } n ≥ 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 8.1. Let $Cl(F)$ be the class group of $F$. Let $α_+$ be the group of totally positive units. Introduce some subgroups of $α^∞$ of finite index

$$\alpha^∞ := \{ ε ∈ α^∞ | ε ≡ 1 (mod m) \}, \quad \alpha^m := \{ ε ∈ α_+ | ε ≡ 1 (mod m) \}.$$

Recall $r = [F : Q]$. We have the exact sequences

$$1 → α^∞ \setminus (I_∞ × (α/m)^∞) → F^∞ \setminus I/I_{fin}^m → Cl(F) → 1,$$
1 \to \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty \to \sigma^\times \backslash (I_\infty \times (\sigma/m)^\times) \to (\sigma^\times/\sigma^m) \backslash (\sigma/m)^\times \to 1,

1 \to \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty^+ \to \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty \to (\sigma^m/\sigma^m) \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \to 1,

where $I_\infty^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}^+_{>0}$ is the connected component subgroup of $I_\infty$.

**Proof.** These are easy consequences of definitions. We leave the details to the reader. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 8.2.** Recall that we have identified $\mathbb{R}_+ = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with a subgroup of $I_\infty^+$ via a section $s_F$ of the adelic norm map. We have the exact sequences

$$1 \to C_1(m) := (\sigma^m/\sigma^m) \backslash (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \to \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty \to \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty^+ \to 1,$$

$$1 \to C_2(m) := (\sigma^\times/\sigma^m) \backslash (\sigma/m)^\times \to \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^\times \backslash (I_\infty \times (\sigma/m)^\times) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty^+ \to 1,$$

$$1 \to C_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{F}) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^\times \backslash (I/F_\infty^m \backslash I_{\text{fin}}^m) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^\times \backslash (I_\infty \times (\sigma/m)^\times) \to 1.$$

**Proof.** These are simple consequences of the Pontryagin duality theorem and the obvious variant of Lemma 8.1 by quotients by $\mathbb{R}_+$. \hfill \Box

The Hecke characters $\chi$ appearing on the right hand side of formula (8.1) are those of a module of definition $m$ (see [21, Chapter VII (6.11)], i.e., characters of $\mathbb{R}_+ F^\times / I/I_{\text{fin}}^m$. For every character $\chi$ of $\mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty$, we fix an extension to $\mathbb{R}_+ F^\times / I/I_{\text{fin}}^m$, still denoted by $\chi$. By Corollary 8.2 we can parametrize $\chi$ by

$$\chi = (\chi, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_0), \quad \chi_1 \in C_1(m), \chi_2 \in C_2(m), \chi_0 \in C_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{F}).$$

Since $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \simeq \mathbb{R}$ by the log-map, we have the canonical isomorphisms $I_\infty^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}^r$ and $I_\infty^{+1} \simeq \mathbb{R}^r_0$ where $I_\infty^{+1}$ is the subgroup of norm one elements of $I_\infty^+$ and $\mathbb{R}^r_0$ is the hyperplane orthogonal to the image of $\mathbb{R}_+$, i.e. $\mathbb{R} e_0 := (1, \ldots, 1)$ given by (implicitly we number the archimedean places as $v_j$ with $1 \leq j \leq r$)

$$\mathbb{R}^r_0 := \left\{ \bar{x} = (x_j)_{1 \leq j \leq r} \in \mathbb{R}^r \mid \sum_{j=1}^r x_j = 0 \right\}.$$

Under these isomorphisms, we have $\mathbb{R}^r_0 \simeq \mathbb{R}^r_0 \simeq \mathbb{R}^r_0$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty^{+1} \simeq \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty^{-r}$, where the pairing between $\mathbb{R}^r$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty$ is given by

$$\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty \to \mathbb{C}^1, \quad (\bar{x}, \bar{\mu}) := \exp(i \sum_{j=1}^r x_j \mu_j).$$

By Dirichlet’s unit theorem, the group $\sigma^m$ of totally positive units is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{r-1}$, hence is a lattice of $I_\infty^{+1} \simeq \mathbb{R}^r_0$. The same holds for its subgroup $\sigma^m_+$ of finite index. We denote the image lattice of $\sigma^m_+$ resp. $\sigma^m$ in $\mathbb{R}^r_0$ by $\Gamma$ resp. $\Gamma_\infty$. Their dual lattices with respect to $\mathbb{R}^r_0$ are denoted by $\Gamma^\vee$ resp. $\Gamma_\infty^\vee$ respectively. Then there is a bijection between $\Gamma_\infty$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ \sigma^m \backslash I_\infty$ given by $\bar{\mu} \to \chi^\vee(\bar{\mu})$ with

$$\chi^\vee(\bar{\mu})(t_j)_{1 \leq j \leq r} := \prod_{j=1}^r t_j^{\mu_j}.$$

Call $\bar{\mu}$ the parameter of $\chi^\vee(\bar{\mu})$. The box $B^\vee(\chi_0, \epsilon)$ becomes a box $B^\vee := \prod_{j=1}^r [-B_j, B_j]$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^r$ with $B_j = T_0(1 + T_j) \log^2(1 + T_j)$ and $T_0 := C(\chi_0)^{\frac{1}{r}}, T_j := T_{v_j}$. Denote the image under the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^r$ to $\mathbb{R}^r_0$ by

$$B^\vee_0 := \{ \bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}_0 \mid \exists \tau \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \bar{\mu} + \tau e_0 \in B^\vee \}.$$

We can thus decompose the right hand side of formula (8.1) as (abbreviating $\chi^\vee(\bar{\mu}), \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_0$ as $\chi(\bar{\mu})$)
By Proposition 3.26 and the convex bound, we have for any constant $1$

Hence the condition $\mu > \tau$.

Above all, we notice that the box $B^\vee$ is balanced, in the sense that there is a constant $A > 0$ depending only on $\epsilon$ such that $T_0 \leq B_1 \leq T_0^{1+A}$ for all $i$ (say $A = 2\epsilon^{-1}$).

Let $\bar{\mu} \in \Gamma_m \cap B_0^\vee$. Since $B^\vee$ is convex and compact, there exist $\tau_{\min}(\bar{\mu}) \leq \tau_{\max}(\bar{\mu})$ such that

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{R} \mid \bar{\mu} + \tau \in B^\vee\} = [\tau_{\min}(\bar{\mu}), \tau_{\max}(\bar{\mu})].$$

Hence the condition $\bar{\mu} + \tau \in B^\vee$ is equivalent to $\tau > \tau_{\max}(\bar{\mu})$ or $\tau < \tau_{\min}(\bar{\mu})$. Moreover, there exist $1 \leq j_{\min}, j_{\max} \leq r$ such that

$$\tau > \tau_{\max}(\bar{\mu}) \Leftrightarrow \mu_{j_{\max}} + \tau > B_{j_{\max}}, \quad \tau < \tau_{\min}(\bar{\mu}) \Leftrightarrow \mu_{j_{\min}} + \tau < -B_{j_{\min}}.$$

By Proposition 3.26 and the convex bound, we have for any constant $C \gg 1$

$$\int_{\mu_{j_{\max}} + \tau > B_{j_{\max}}} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi(\bar{\mu})\right)^4 \prod_{j=1}^r |M_{4,j}(i(\mu_j + \tau), \varepsilon_j)| d\tau \ll_{\epsilon, C} N_{r}(m)^{1+\epsilon} \int_{\mu_{j_{\max}} + \tau > B_{j_{\max}}} |\mu_{j_{\max}} + \tau|^{-C-1} \prod_{j \neq j_{\max}} (1 + T_j)^{1+\epsilon} d\tau \ll C(\chi_0)^{1+\epsilon} \cdot B^{-C}_{j_{\max}} \leq T_0^{\frac{3}{2}+r-C}.$$

We have similarly

$$\int_{\mu_{j_{\min}} + \tau < -B_{j_{\min}}} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi(\bar{\mu})\right)^4 \prod_{j=1}^r |M_{4,j}(i(\mu_j + \tau), \varepsilon_j)| d\tau \ll_{\epsilon, C} T_0^{\frac{3}{2}+r-C}.$$

Hence for an individual $\bar{\mu} \in \Gamma_m \cap B_0^\vee$, we have

$$\sum_{\chi_0 \in \text{Cl}(F)} \sum_{\chi_1 \in C_1(m)} \sum_{\chi_2 \in C_2(m)} \int_{\bar{\mu} + \tau \not\in B^\vee} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi(\bar{\mu})\right) \prod_{j=1}^r |M_{4,j}(i(\mu_j + \tau), \varepsilon_j)| \right| d\tau \ll_{\epsilon, C} \cdot |\text{Cl}(F)| \cdot |C_1(m)| \cdot |C_2(m)| \cdot T_0^{\frac{3}{2}+r-C}. \tag{8.3}$$

Lemma 8.3. There is a constant $B > 0$ depending only on $F$ such that $|\Gamma_m \cap B_0^\vee| \ll C(\chi_0)^B$. 

Proof. Let \( B_m := \max_j B_j \). We enlarge \( B^\vee \) to \( D^\vee := [-B_m, B_m]^r \). If \( d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \ldots \mid d_r \) are the elementary divisors of the abelian group \( \Gamma/\Gamma_m \), we consider the lattice
\[
d_r^{-1}\Gamma^\vee := \{ \vec{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}_0^r \mid d_r\vec{\mu} \in \Gamma^\vee \},
\]
which satisfies \( \Gamma^\vee < \Gamma_m^\vee < d_r^{-1}\Gamma^\vee \). Thus \( \Gamma_m^\vee \cap B_m^\vee \subset d_r^{-1}\Gamma^\vee \cap D_m^\vee \), where \( D_m^\vee \) is the orthogonal projection of \( D^\vee \) onto \( \mathbb{R}_0^r \). Note that \( D_m^\vee \) is a regular \( 2r \)-polyhedron in the \( r-1 \) dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}_0^r \), whose circumcircle has radius \( \leq \sqrt{r} B_m \). Note also that \( \Gamma^\vee \) is a lattice in \( \mathbb{R}_0^r \) depending only on \( F \). The trivial lattice point counting in \( \mathbb{R}_0^r \) implies
\[
|d_r^{-1}\Gamma^\vee \cap D_m^\vee | = |\Gamma^\vee \cap d_r D_m^\vee | \ll_F (d_r\sqrt{r} B_m)^{r-1}
\]
with implied constant depending only on \( F \) (the shape and covolume of \( \Gamma^\vee \)). We conclude by the trivial bounds \( d_r \leq [\Gamma : \Gamma_m] = [\mathcal{O}_+ : \mathcal{O}_m^\vee] \leq \text{Nr}(m) \) and \( B_m \leq C(\chi_0)^{1+\frac{r}{2}} \). □

Since \( |C_1(m)| \leq 2^r \) and \( |C_2(m)| \leq \text{Nr}(m) \), we obtain by \( \text{[14]} \) and Lemma \( \text{[13]} \)
\[
S_1 \ll_F c, C T_0^{(1+B)r^{-1}+A-C} \ll C(\chi_0)^{-C'}
\]
for any constant \( C' \gg 1 \).

Let \( \vec{\mu} \in \Gamma_m^\vee \) but \( \vec{\mu} \notin B_0^\vee \). Consider the following function on \( \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}_0^r \)
\[
d(\bar{x}) := \min_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \| \bar{x} + \tau \mathcal{O}_0 \|_\infty = \min_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} |x_j + \tau|.
\]
Since for any \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \) we have
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \| \bar{x} \|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \| \bar{x} + \tau \mathcal{O}_0 \|_2 \leq \| \bar{x} + \tau \mathcal{O}_0 \|_\infty \leq \| \bar{x} + \tau \mathcal{O}_0 \|_2,
\]
we deduce by taking the minimum over \( \tau \) that
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \| \bar{x} \|_2 \leq d(\bar{x}) \leq \| \bar{x} \|_2.
\]
Moreover, for \( \bar{x} \notin B_0^\vee \) it is easy to see
\[
d(\bar{x}) \geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq r} B_j \geq T_0.
\]
For any \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \), we claim that there is \( 1 \leq i_0 \leq r \) such that \( |\mu_{i_0} + \tau| \geq \max(B_{i_0}, d(\vec{\mu})^{1+\frac{r}{2}}) \). In fact, since \( \vec{\mu} \notin B_0^\vee \), there is \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) such that \( |\mu_i + \tau| > B_i \). There is \( 1 \leq j \leq r \) such that \( |\mu_j + \tau| = d(\vec{\mu}) \). Either \( |\mu_j + \tau| > B_j \), then we choose \( i_0 = j \); or \( |\mu_j + \tau| \leq B_j \leq B_1^{1+A} < |\mu_i + \tau|^{1+\frac{r}{2}} \), then we choose \( i_0 = i \). We have obtained
\[
\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} \} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^r \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R} \mid |\mu_i + \tau| \geq \max(B_i, d(\vec{\mu})^{1+\frac{r}{2}}) \right\}.
\]
Hence for such an individual \( \vec{\mu} \), we have for any \( C \gg 1 \)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |L(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \chi(\vec{\mu}))| \prod_{j=1}^r |M_{4,j}(i(\mu_j + \tau), \varepsilon_j)| \, d\tau
\ll_{c,C} \sum_{i=1}^r \int_{|\mu_i + \tau| \geq \max(B_i, d(\vec{\mu})^{1+\frac{r}{2}})} |\mu_i + \tau|^{-C-1} \prod_{j \neq i} T_j^{1+r} \, d\tau
\ll C_{\infty}(\chi_0)^{1+r} B_1^{\frac{r}{2}+\frac{r}{2}} d(\vec{\mu})^{-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{r}{2}} \ll C_{\infty}(\chi_0)^{1+r} C(\chi_0)^{-\frac{r}{2}} \| \vec{\mu} \|_2^{-\frac{r}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{O}_m^\vee}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{O}_m^\vee}}.
\]
Taking \( C \) sufficiently large and summing over \( \vec{\mu} \), we deduce and conclude the proof of Lemma \( \text{[14]} \) by
\[
S_2 \ll_{F, c, C} C(\chi_0)^{1+r} \frac{1}{2}.
\]
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