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Abstract

We show that the almost sure $\theta$-intermediate dimension of the image of the set $F_p = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{p}, \frac{2}{p}, \ldots \}$ under index-$h$ fractional Brownian motion is $\frac{\theta}{ph} + \theta$, a value that is smaller than that given by directly applying the Hölder bound for fractional Brownian motion. In particular this establishes the box-counting dimension of these images.

1 Introduction

Intermediate dimensions were introduced in [10] to interpolate between the Hausdorff dimension and box-counting dimensions of sets where these differ, see the recent surveys [9, 11] for surveys on intermediate dimensions and dimension interpolation. The lower and upper intermediate dimensions, $\dim_\theta E$ and $\overline{\dim}_\theta E$ of a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ depend on a parameter $\theta \in [0, 1]$, with $\dim_\theta E = \dim_0 E = \dim_H E$ and $\overline{\dim}_\theta E = \overline{\dim}_B E$ and $\overline{\dim}_1 E = \overline{\dim}_B E$, where $\dim_H, \dim_B$ and $\overline{\dim}$ denote Hausdorff, and lower and upper box-counting dimensions, respectively. Various properties of intermediate dimensions are established in [1, 10] with the intermediate dimensions reflecting the range of diameters of sets needed to get coverings that are efficient for estimating dimensions. In particular $\dim_\theta E$ and $\overline{\dim}_\theta E$ are monotonically increasing in $\theta \in [0, 1]$, are continuous except perhaps at $\theta = 0$, and are invariant under bi-Lipschitz mappings. Intermediate dimensions have been calculated for many sets which have differing Hausdorff and box-counting dimensions, including for sets of the form $F_p$ given in (1.5) below in [10], as well as for attractors of infinitely generated conformal iterated function systems [2], spirals [6], countable families of concentric spheres [16] and topologists’ sine curves [16], with non-trivial bounds obtained for self-affine carpets [10, 13].

Specifically, for $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, the lower intermediate dimension of $E$ may be defined as

$$\dim_\theta E = \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \text{for all } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and all } 0 < r_0 < 1, \text{ there exists } 0 < r \leq r_0 \right\} \sum |U_i|^s \leq \epsilon$$

and a cover $\{U_i\}$ of $E$ such that $r^{1/\theta} \leq |U_i| \leq r$ and $\sum |U_i|^s \leq \epsilon$
and the corresponding upper intermediate dimension by

$$\bar{\dim}_\theta E = \inf \{ s \geq 0 : \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } 0 < r_0 < 1 \text{ such that for all } 0 < r \leq r_0,
\begin{align*}
\text{there is a cover } \{U_i\} \text{ of } E \text{ such that } r^{1/\theta} \leq |U_i| \leq r \text{ and } \sum |U_i|^s \leq \epsilon \},
\end{align*}
$$

(1.2)

where $|U|$ denotes the diameter of a set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. When $\theta = 0$ (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to Hausdorff dimension, since there are no lower bounds on the diameters of covering sets. When $\theta = 1$ all covering sets are forced to have the same diameter and we recover the lower and upper box-counting dimensions.

It is convenient to work with equivalent definitions of these intermediate dimensions in terms of the exponential behaviour of sums over covers. For $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded and non-empty, $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $r > 0$ and $s \in [0, n]$, define

$$S_{r,\theta}(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_i |U_i|^s : \{U_i\}_i \text{ is a cover of } E \text{ such that } r \leq |U_i| \leq r^{\theta} \text{ for all } i \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

It is immediate that

$$\bar{\dim}_\theta E = \text{ the unique } s \in [0, n] \text{ such that } \liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\log S_{r,\theta}(E)}{-\log r} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\bar{\dim}_\theta E = \text{ the unique } s \in [0, n] \text{ such that } \limsup_{r \to 0} \frac{\log S_{r,\theta}(E)}{-\log r} = 0.$$

For $p > 0$ let

$$F_p = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{1^p}, \frac{1}{2^p}, \ldots, \frac{1}{3^p}, \ldots \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

It is shown in [10] that, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\dim_\theta F_p = \frac{\theta}{p + \theta}.$$

Index-$h$ fractional Brownian motion ($0 < h < 1$) is the stochastic process $B_h : \mathbb{R}^\geq 0 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, almost surely, $B_h$ is continuous with $B_h(0) = 0$, and the increments $B_h(x) - B_h(y)$ are stationary and Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $|x - y|^{2h}$, see, for example, [7, 8, 12, 14, 15]. There is a considerable literature on the dimensions of images of sets under stochastic processes, see [12] for Hausdorff dimensions, and [17] where the packing dimensions of images are expressed in terms of dimension profiles.

Here we investigate the almost sure intermediate dimensions of $B_h(F_p)$, the image of $F_p$ under index-$h$ fractional Brownian motion. By a simple estimate on the intermediate dimensions of Hölder images of sets, or see [11, Section 4], since $B_h$ has almost sure Hölder exponent $h - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\dim_\theta B_h(F_p) \leq \frac{1}{h} \dim_\theta F_p = \frac{\theta}{h(p + \theta)};$$

however the actual value is smaller than this.
**Theorem 1.1.** Let $B_h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be index-$h$ fractional Brownian motion. Then almost surely, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\dim_\theta B_h(F_p) = \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta},$$

and in particular

$$\dim_B B_h(F_p) = \frac{1}{ph + 1}.$$  

We obtain the upper bound for $\dim_\theta B_h(F_p)$ by, for each $r$, covering the part of $B_h(F_p)$ near 0 by abutting intervals of lengths $r^\theta$ and the remaining points individually by intervals of length $r$. The lower bound uses a potential theoretic method, estimating an energy of the image under $B_h$ of the measure given by equal point masses on the points of $F_p$ between $1/(2M - 1)^p$ and $1/MP$.

‘Intermediate dimension profiles’ were introduced in [5] to develop a general theory of intermediate dimensions including their behaviour under projections and this was developed for random images in [4]. By [4, Theorem 3.4]

$$\dim_\theta B_h(E) = \frac{1}{h} \dim_\theta^h E$$

where $\dim_\theta^h E$ is the $\theta$-dimension profile of a general compact $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, but this does not give an explicit value of $\dim_\theta B_h(F_p)$. Whilst this might be found by an awkward calculation of dimension profiles, our proof here of (1.6) is self-contained.

## 2 Proofs

We recall from [5] the energy kernels $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined for $0 < r < 1$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $0 < s \leq m$ by

$$\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & |x| < r \\
\left( \frac{r}{|x|} \right)^s & r \leq |x| < r^\theta \\
0 & r^\theta \leq |x| 
\end{cases}$$

(2.1)

(here we only need the case of $m = 1$).

The proof of the lower bound for (1.6) uses the following three lemmas. The first is a slight variant of [5, Lemma 4.3] that relates the covering sums to energies with respect to the kernel $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s$. We write $\mathcal{M}(F)$ for the set of Borel probability measures supported by $F$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be compact, $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $0 < r < 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq m$ and let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(F)$. Then

$$S_{r,\theta}^s(F) \geq r^s \left[ \iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x - y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right]^{-1}$$

(2.2)

**Proof.** Since $\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s$ is lower semicontinuous, by standard potential theory there is an equilibrium measure $\mu_0$ for which $\iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x - y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$ attains its minimum, say,

$$\iint \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^{s,\gamma}(x - y) d\mu_0(x) d\mu_0(y) = \gamma.$$
Moreover,
\[
\int \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x-y)d\mu_0(y) \geq \gamma
\]
for all \( x \in F \), with equality if \( x \in F_0 \) for a set \( F_0 \subseteq F \) with \( \mu_0(F_0) = 1 \).

If \( r \leq \delta < r^0 \) and \( x \in F_0 \) then using (2.1),
\[
\gamma = \int \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(x-y)d\mu(y) \geq \int \left( \frac{r}{\delta} \right)^s B(\delta, \delta)(x-y)d\mu(y) \geq \left( \frac{r}{\delta} \right)^s \mu(B(x, \delta)). \tag{2.3}
\]
Let \( \{U_i\}_i \) be a finite cover of \( F \) by sets of diameters \( r \leq |U_i| < r^\theta \) and write \( \mathcal{I} = \{i : U_i \cap F_0 \neq \emptyset\} \), so for each \( i \in \mathcal{I} \) we may choose \( x_i \in U_i \cap F_0 \) such that \( U_i \subseteq B(x_i, |U_i|) \). Then
\[
1 = \mu(F_0) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(U_i) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mu(B(x_i, |U_i|)) \leq r^{-\gamma} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |U_i|^s
\]
by (2.3), so
\[
\sum_{i} |U_i|^s \geq r^s \gamma^{-1};
\]
taking the infimum over all such covers gives (2.2). (Note that considering covers with \( r \leq |U_i| < r^\theta \) makes no difference in the definition (1.3).) □

We next bound the expectation of \( \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s \) evaluated on increments of fractional Brownian motion in terms of another kernel:
\[
\psi_{r,\theta}^s(x) = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{r^{\theta(1-s)+s}}{|x|^h} \right\}. \tag{2.4}
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( B_h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be index-h fractional Brownian motion. Then there is a constant \( c \) depending only on \( s \) such that for \( 0 < r < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1 \) and \( 0 < s < 1 \),
\[
\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y))) \leq c \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x-y).
\]

**Proof.** Since \( B_h(x) - B_h(y) \) has Gaussian density with mean 0 and variance \( |x-y|^{2h} \),
\[
\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y))) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{|x-y|^h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(t) \exp \left( \frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}} \right) dt.
\]
This is bounded above by 1 since \( \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(t) \leq 1 \) and the Gaussian has integral 1. With \( c_1 = 2/\sqrt{2\pi} \),
\[
\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(x) - B_h(y))) = \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{r,\theta}^s(t) \exp \left( \frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}} \right) dt
\]
\[
= \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \left[ \int_0^r \exp \left( \frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}} \right) dt + \int_r^{r^\theta} \frac{r^s}{t^s} \exp \left( \frac{-t^2}{2|x-y|^{2h}} \right) dt \right]
\]
\[
\leq \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \left[ \int_0^r dt + \int_r^{r^\theta} \frac{r^s}{t^s} dt \right]
\]
\[
\leq \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^h} \left[ r + \frac{1}{1-s} r^{s+\theta(1-s)} \right]
\]
\[
\leq \frac{c_2}{|x-y|^h}
\]
since \( r < 1 \) and \( s + \theta(1-s) \leq 1 \). □
The next lemma estimates the energy of a measure on $F_p$ under the kernel $\psi_{r,\theta}^s$.

**Lemma 2.3.** Given $\theta, s \in (0, 1]$ and $h \in (0, 1)$ there is a number $c > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < 1$ there is a measure $\mu_r \in \mathcal{M}(F_p)$ such that

$$E(\mu_r) := \int \int \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x - y) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) \leq c r^{(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)}.$$

**Proof.** Given $r$ we will find $M \equiv M(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the measure $\mu_r$ formed by placing a point mass of $1/M$ on each of the $M$ points of

$$F_p^M = \left\{ \frac{1}{(2M - 1)^p}, \frac{1}{(2M - 2)^p}, \ldots, \frac{1}{M^p} \right\} \subseteq F_p$$

satisfies the conclusion. Note that if $M \leq k \leq 2M - 1$, then

$$\frac{p}{(2M)^{p+1}} \leq \frac{p}{(k+1)^{p+1}} = \left| \frac{1}{k^p} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^p} \right| \leq \frac{p}{k^{p+1}} \leq \frac{p}{M^{p+1}},$$

by a mean value theorem estimate. In particular, if $g$ is the minimum gap length between any pair of points of $F_p^M$, then $g \geq p/(2M)^{p+1}$ so any interval of length $R \geq g$ intersects at most $aM^{p+1}$ points of $F_p^M$, where $a = 2^{p+2}/p$. We estimate the energy

$$E(\mu_r) = \int \int \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x - y) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{M \leq i,j \leq 2M-1} \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x_i - x_j)$$

where for convenience we write $x_i = 1/i^p$. Let $m$ be the greatest integer such that $2^m g \leq M^{-p}$. Using (2.4),

$$M^2 E(\mu_r) = \sum_{x_i = x_j} \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{g \leq |x_i - x_j| \leq 1/M^p} \psi_{r,\theta}^s(x_i - x_j)$$

$$= M + \sum_{g \leq |x_i - x_j| \leq 1/M^p} \frac{r^{\theta(1-s)+s}}{|x_i - x_j|^h}$$

$$\leq M + \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{2^k g \leq |x_i - x_j| \leq 2^{k+1} g} \frac{r^{\theta(1-s)+s}}{|x_i - x_j|^h}$$

$$\leq M + c_1 M^{p+2} r^{\theta(1-s)+s} g^{-h} 2^{m(1-h)}$$

$$\leq M + c_1 M^{p+2} r^{\theta(1-s)+s} g^{-h} (M-p)^{-h} (1-h)$$

$$= M + c_1 M^{2+p h} r^{\theta(1-s)+s}$$

where $c_1$ is a constant depending only on $h$ and we have taken the dominant term of the geometric sum. Thus

$$E(\mu_r) \leq M^{-1} + c_1 M^{p h} r^{\theta(1-s)+s}$$

$$= (2 + c_1 2^{p h}) r^{(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)}$$

on setting $M = \left\lfloor r^{-(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)} \right\rfloor \leq 2 r^{-(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)}$ as $r < 1$. \qed
**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The conclusion is clear when $\theta = 0$, as $B_h(F_p)$ is countable so has Hausdorff dimension 0, so assume $\theta \in (0,1]$.  

**Upper bound:** Let $0 < \epsilon < h$. Index-$h$ fractional Brownian motion satisfies
\[
|B_h(x)| \leq K t^{h-\epsilon} \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1)
\]
almost surely for some $K < \infty$ see, for example, [3].  

Let $0 < r < 1$ and $M$ be an integer and take a cover of $B_h(F_p)$ by intervals $\{U_i\}$ with $r \leq |U_i| \leq r^\theta$ by covering each point $B_h(1/k^p)$ $(1 \leq k \leq M)$ by an interval of length $r$ and covering $B_h([0,1/M^p]) \subseteq [-K M^{-p(h-\epsilon)}, K M^{-p(h-\epsilon)}]$ by abutting intervals of length $r^\theta$. Then
\[
\sum_i |U_i|^s \leq M r^s + \left[ \frac{2 K M^{-p(h-\epsilon)}}{r^\theta} + 1 \right] (r^\theta)^s = M r^s + 2 K r^\theta(s-1) M^{-p(h-\epsilon)} + r^{s\theta}.
\]
Setting $M = \lceil r^\theta(s-1)/(1+p(h-\epsilon)) \rceil \geq 2$ gives
\[
\sum_i |U_i|^s \leq 2(1 + K) r^{s(p(h-\epsilon)+\theta)/(1+p(h-\epsilon))} + r^{s\theta} \to 0
\]
as $r \to 0$ provided that $s > \theta/(p(h-\epsilon)+\theta)$. Taking $\epsilon$ arbitrarily small we conclude that
\[
\overline{\dim}_\theta B_h(F_p) \leq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta}
\]
almost surely, by the definition (1.2) of $\overline{\dim}_\theta$.  

**Lower bound:** From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and using Fubini’s theorem, there is a number $c$ independent of $r$ such that for all $0 < r < 1$ there is a measure $\mu_r$ on $F_p$ such that
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \int \int \tilde{\phi}^s_{r,\theta}(B_h(x) - B_h(y)) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) \right) \leq c r^{(\theta(1-s)+\epsilon)/(1+ph)}.
\]
For $\epsilon > 0$, setting $r = 2^{-k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and summing,
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^k \frac{(\theta(1-s)+\epsilon)/(1+ph)-\epsilon}{(1+ph)-\epsilon} \int \int \tilde{\phi}^s_{2^{-k},\theta}(B_h(x) - B_h(y)) d\mu_{2^{-k}}(x) d\mu_{2^{-k}}(y) \right) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-k\epsilon} < \infty.
\]
Hence, almost surely there exists a random $K < \infty$ such that
\[
\int \int \tilde{\phi}^s_{r,\theta}(B_h(x) - B_h(y)) d\mu_r(x) d\mu_r(y) \leq K r^{(\theta(1-s)+\epsilon)/(1+ph)-\epsilon}
\]
for $r = 2^{-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus for all $0 < r < 1$ with a modified $K$, noting that the two sides change only by a bounded ratio on replacing $r$ by $2^{-k}$ for the least $k$ such that $2^{-k} \leq r$. Writing $\tilde{\mu}_r$ for the image measure of $\mu_r$ under $B_h$, so $\tilde{\mu}_r$ is supported by $B_h(F_p)$ (in the notation of Lemma 2.3 $\tilde{\mu}_r$ consists of a mass of $1/M$ on each point $B_r(x_i)$), this becomes
\[
\int \int \tilde{\phi}^s_{r,\theta}(u - v) d\tilde{\mu}_r(u) d\tilde{\mu}_r(v) \leq K r^{(\theta(1-s)+\epsilon)/(1+ph)-\epsilon}.
\]
Thus, by Lemma 2.1 almost surely there is a $K < \infty$ such that for all $0 < r < 1$,

$$S_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(F_p)) \geq K^{-1}r^{s-(\theta(1-s)+s)/(1+ph)+\epsilon}$$

so

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\log S_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(F_p))}{-\log r} \geq -s + \frac{\theta(1-s) + s}{(1+ph)} - \epsilon = \frac{\theta(1-s) - sph}{(1+ph)} - \epsilon$$

and this remains true almost surely on setting $\epsilon = 0$. Hence $\liminf_{r \to 0} \log S_{r,\theta}^s(B_h(F_p)) / -\log r \geq 0$ if $\theta(1-s) + sph = 0$, that is if $s = \theta/(ph + \theta)$, so by (1.4)

$$\dim_\theta B_h(F_p) \geq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta}. \quad (2.6)$$

Combined with (2.5) this gives (1.6) almost surely for each $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Thus, almost surely, (1.6) holds for all rational $\theta \in [0, 1]$ simultaneously, and so, since box dimensions are continuous for $\theta \in (0, 1]$, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$ simultaneously. \hfill \Box

Finally we remark that a similar approach can be used to find or estimate the intermediate and box-counting dimensions of fractional Brownian images of sets defined by other sequences tending to 0. For example, let $f : [1, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a decreasing function and let $F = \{0, f(1), f(2), \ldots \}$. Then if $f(x) = O(x^{-p})$ the upper bound argument gives

$$\dim_\theta B_h(F) \leq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta} \quad (2.7)$$

almost surely. If we also assume that $f$ is differentiable with non-increasing absolute derivative $|f'|$ then $F$ has ‘decreasing gaps’, that is $f(k) - f(k+1)$ is non-increasing, and if

$$\frac{f(x)^{1-h}}{|f'(2x)|} = O(x^{1+ph})$$

a similar energy argument gives that almost surely, for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\dim_\theta B_h(F) \geq \frac{\theta}{ph + \theta}. \quad (2.8)$$
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